



*Members/ Designees:* Denise Rothleutner (Designee for Adrienne Quinn), Ann McGettigan, Ashley Fontaine, Michele Meaker (Designee for Ashley Fontaine), Judge Barbara Linde, Barbara Miner, Claudia D' Allegri, Brigitte Folz (Designee for Darcy Jaffe), Councilmember Dave Asher, Brenda Fincher (Designee for Councilmember Dave Asher), Judge Ketu Shah (Designee for Judge Donna Tucker), Jeanette Blankenship, Joshua Wallace, Katherine Switz, Kyra Zylstra, Laura Smith, Mario Paredes, Merrill Cousin, Idabelle Fosse (Designee for Merrill Cousin), Jennifer DeYoung (Designee for Patty Hayes)

*Other Attendees:* Chris Verschuyl, Kimberly Cisson, Kim Dean, Jim Vollendroff, Lisa Kimmerly, Steve Andryszewski, Peggy Dolane, Michael Della Santa, Madeline Cavazos

*Notes by:* Alissa Latimer

Issues	Discussion	Action Items:
<b>Welcome</b>	Judge Barbara Linde welcomed all attendees and led the meeting with introductions. Items 8 and 9 on the agenda were moved after item 5 in order to accommodate schedules.	
<b>Member and/or Staff Announcements</b>	Steve Andryszewski introduced Barb Donohue as the new finance manager for the Behavioral Health and Recovery Division (BHRD). Barb is here on a temporary basis to help during the transition to Fully Integrated Managed Care (FIMC).	
<b>Review/Approve Meeting Notes</b>	Merril Cousin requested that feedback given on community-driven behavioral health initiative funding be added into the minutes, as it was not accurately captured in the meeting notes. Committee members share strong sentiments that there is a need to start up community-driven behavioral health initiatives. April minutes were approved with the requested revision.	
<b>MIDD Financial Report and Financial Plan</b>	Steve Andryszewski asked the committee to look at the handout, which currently reflects estimated spending through the 2017-2018 biennium. There is an internal process in place in which each initiative is reviewed on a monthly basis by staff working with community-based service providers to see where the service providers are in terms of their spending plans and generally how they are doing. This has created a much more accurate and up-to-date picture of spending. These reviews will continue through the end of the year so that there is an accurate starting position for 2019-2020.	
<b>MIDD Steering Committee/ Evaluation Subcommittee Report</b>	<p>Councilmember Dave Asher presented an update on the work of the MIDD Steering Committee and Evaluation Subcommittee. They met on May 22, 2018 to go over major pieces of today's agenda, including a review of the draft MIDD Annual Report. The committee found the information in the annual report easily digestible. The committee asked questions and explored edits to clarify components of the report. Staff is working on those refinements. The committee recommended bringing the draft report to the MIDD Advisory Committee for review and discussion.</p> <p>The committee received a briefing on the Technical Supplement, which provides more detail about MIDD's evaluation process and the outcome information provided in the MIDD Annual Report. The committee also received an update on the 2019-2020 budget adjustments that have been developed since their last meeting. Staff changes have been made reflecting the committees' feedback from the last meeting. The MIDD Steering Committee supports these budget adjustments.</p>	

	<p>The MIDD Steering Committee and Evaluation Subcommittee agreed to support a 2018 Supported Employment funding request. This is an effective program that is getting results, and there is a good rationale to accept the one time change that is proposed.</p>	
<p><b>Briefing: Revisions since April to Preliminary 2019- 2020 Budget Adjustments</b></p>	<p>Last month a proposed budget adjustment to the MIDD Advisory Committee was presented, and the committee came back with recommendations. Those recommendations are reflected in a three-part change to the budget that will be submitted in on June 29, 2018:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. As part of the ongoing process for putting funding forth in the community, there has been an effort to ensure that expected economic changes were built into funding adjustments for community-based service providers. The rate will match the same rate King County uses internally. These additions are reflected in the budget included in the handout. Each initiative was given a 3.8% increase for 2019, with an additional 3.3% percent for 2020.</li> <li>2. Community-driven behavioral health grants were zeroed out in the last version of the budget. The committee had some feedback regarding this change. As a result of those comments, the community-driven behavioral health grants were put back into the budget.</li> <li>3. In order to pay for the community-driven behavioral health grants, funding set aside for FIMC incentives had to be reduced.</li> </ol> <p>Chris Verschuyl provided an overview of some minor technical changes and non-policy adjustments occurring since April. A change was made in personnel attribution for the SEP program to more accurately reflect the mix of MIDD and Medicaid functions. Adjustments made previously to Family Treatment Court and Adult Drug Court initiatives that were not reflected in April's provided modelling have now been added.</p> <p>The budget is ready to be submitted to the Executive Office, who will spend time reviewing the county-wide submissions. BHRD will be asked to justify the budget as submitted. There may be some further iterations of the budget during the executive phase of review. Two more Office of Financial Analysis (OEFA) adjustments that will come in July and August. OEFA will project sales tax revenue for the next two years, and any adjustments they foresee will be built into their overall projections. There may be some changes in revenue, with an expected increase. The Executive Office and Council will make decisions on any suggested adjustments.</p> <p>A question was asked about these potential revenue increases. Committee members wanted to know if the funding for community-driven grants could come from revenue increases instead of being removed from the FIMC incentive funds.</p>	

	<p>Jim Vollendroff said that he would not recommend doing this during the FIMC transition period. He indicated that many ideas are being considered for additional MIDD strategies. If the budget is left as-is, additional funds may be used as needed to address unforeseen issues. Maximum flexibility during this time is desirable.</p> <p>Committee members indicated that they preferred to prioritize original strategies and plans. Although there is future uncertainty, a fair amount of thought has gone into these approved strategies and plans. It was suggested that these be made whole first before new projects were begun.</p> <p>The loss or reduction of the safety net currently in place was mentioned, as there is a risk that effective programs may be lost. There is a preference for the committee to prioritize established and proven services. The committee voiced a strong viewpoint that, should the revenue forecast come in high, any additional forecast dollars should be allocated to the incentives. The Executive budget becomes public on September 29, 2018. A briefing on the Executive budget will be provided at the following MIDD AC Committee meeting.</p> <p>Chris Verschuyl said that though there is less of an increase than was proposed in April, a net increase is still expected. Concerns were expressed regarding changes to the FIMC incentive pool affecting providers of community-based services, who are already under considerable strain. Jim Vollendroff noted that incentives are only one way to support the provider network, which BHRD remains committed to supporting. The goal is to allow maximum flexibility to address unforeseen needs.</p> <p>MIDD Steering Committee/ Evaluation Subcommittee expressed their unanimous approval to recommend these adjustments. There were no objections and the MIDD Advisory Committee also approved these adjustments.</p>	
<p><b>Briefing and Discussion: Draft 2017 MIDD Annual Report</b></p>	<p>Chris Verschuyl gave an overview of the changes that were made to the MIDD Annual Report this year. It looks quite a bit different than it has in the past. The report is a vehicle for accountability and reporting on MIDD's effectiveness, set in the context of the significant system changes that are happening at this time. Every effort has been made to retain the robust outcomes analysis that have been the hallmark of MIDD's evaluation while making it more streamlined and approachable for people who are not as familiar with the programs. Instead of analyzing everything that every program does, there is an overall analysis that maintains a rigorous focus on the five policy goals of MIDD. One important component that has been carried forward in the inclusion of success stories shared by individuals who have benefited from services.</p> <p>Kimberly Cisson gave a brief overview of the 2017 MIDD Annual Report, focusing on some highlights. One of the main changes with the MIDD 2 is the</p>	

	<p>results-based accountability framework, with a focus on the MIDD policy goals. A notable change is the inclusion of demographic information on the fourth page. These demographics reflect all the people served by MIDD that can identified on an individual level. Aggregate information is included when possible. These demographics are further broken down by each MIDD policy area of focus. Some of the more data-focused information that used to be included in the annual report can now be found in the technical supplement, with easier access to streamlined MIDD policy goals.</p> <p>The Steering and Evaluation Committees suggested that some of the numbers that are important be bolded so that they are more noticeable, beginning on page twelve and continuing forward.</p> <p>Data-informed implementation adjustments are a new piece of the report, looking at when to engage in continuous quality improvement and how data can help inform that process. Changes to performance measures are captured on page 37. Discussions about these measures are continuing with stakeholders.</p> <p>Committee members mentioned their interest in capturing more information on the LGBTQ demographics in the report. Kimberly Cisson indicated that this is a goal in the future but requires more work to integrate, as not all programs collect this information.</p> <p>Jim Vollendroff thanked the members of the evaluation team who have worked so diligently on this report, Lisa Kimmerly and Michael Della Santa.</p> <p>The MIDD Advisory Committee voted to support the draft MIDD Report.</p>	<p>If committee members have any additional comments please send them to Kimberly or Chris in the next 24 hours.</p>
<p><b>One-Time Request for 2018: Initiative RR-10 Supported Employment</b></p>	<p>Lisa Floyd, who oversees this initiative, gave an overview of this funding request. Employment is an overlooked but important aspect of mental health. This program is evidence-based and enjoys national support. Clients are high-needs but the program has been very successful in helping many clients find new jobs and keep those jobs. This has reduced psychiatric hospitalization and King County Jail bookings. Interviews with clients about their satisfaction with services have been insightful. Roughly 800-950 individuals are served per year. Supported employment is much more affordable and effective than alternatives.</p> <p>An additional funding request is being made to support the services provided. Job placements are going higher at this moment, and employers are requesting more candidates. This request is to support the growth of the program and avoid pulling back funding from this results-based program. This request is a one-time request based on the expectation that Medicaid funding will be available in 2019.</p> <p>As mentioned above, the MIDD Steering Committee &amp; Evaluation Subcommittee recommended support this request.</p>	<p>The MIDD Advisory Committee will vote on this change at the next meeting.</p>

<b>MIDD Modification Process Review</b>	<p>The MIDD Advisory Committee was asked to provide any feedback and vote on the new MIDD Modification Process Review now that it has been tested.</p> <p>The MIDD Advisory Committee voted to continue the new MIDD Modification Review Process.</p>	
<b>Community Co-Chair Transition</b>	Merrill Cousin's term as a community co-chair is expiring. Claudia D'Allegrì has agreed to step in as the new co-chair.	The MIDD Advisory Committee will vote on this change at the next meeting. If any members have interest in the co-chair position they should contact Merrill Cousins, Chris or Kimberly.
<b>Public Comment</b>	Peggy Dolane thanked Chris Verschuyl and Kimberly Cisson for being responsive to her questions. The children's mental health safety net is funded through a variety of sources. Best Start for Kids and MIDD, the two biggest groups serving this population, are not looking at all of the youth services available. She shared the story of a mother who was in tears at a recent meeting about the difficulties with accessing services for her child at the last meeting of the King County Family and Youth Council. The system of care for this population needs to become integrated to better serve their needs at a higher level.	
<b>Adjourned</b>	1: 45 pm	
<b>Next meeting</b>	July 19, 2018	