

Cedar River/E Maple Valley East Auburn/SE Kent

Area: 084

Residential Revalue for 2023 Assessment Roll



King County

Department of Assessments

Setting values, serving the community, and pursuing excellence

201 S. Jackson St., Room 708, KSC – AS – 0708

Seattle, WA 98104

OFFICE (206) 296-7300 FAX (206) 296-0595

Email: assessor.info@kingcounty.gov

<http://www.kingcounty.gov/assessor/>



King County

Department of Assessments

201 S. Jackson St., Room 708, KSC – AS – 0708
Seattle, WA 98104

OFFICE: (206) 296-7300 FAX (206) 296-0595

Email: assessor.info@kingcounty.gov

<http://www.kingcounty.gov/assessor/>

John Wilson
Assessor

Dear Property Owners,

Our field appraisers work hard throughout the year to visit properties in neighborhoods across King County. As a result, new commercial and residential valuation notices are mailed as values are completed. We value your property at its “true and fair value” reflecting its highest and best use as prescribed by state law (RCW 84.40.030; WAC 458-07-030).

We continue to work to implement your feedback and ensure we provide you accurate and timely information. We have made significant improvements to our website and online tools to make interacting with us easier. The following report summarizes the results of the assessments for your area along with a map. Additionally, I have provided a brief tutorial of our property assessment process. It is meant to provide you with background information about our process and the basis for the assessments in your area.

Fairness, accuracy and transparency set the foundation for effective and accountable government. I am pleased to continue to incorporate your input as we make ongoing improvements to serve you. Our goal is to ensure every taxpayer is treated fairly and equitably.

Our office is here to serve you. Please don't hesitate to contact us if you ever have any questions, comments or concerns about the property assessment process and how it relates to your property.

In Service,

John Wilson
King County Assessor



How Property Is Valued

King County along with Washington's 38 other counties use mass appraisal techniques to value all real property each year for property assessment purposes.

What Are Mass Appraisal Techniques?

In King County the Mass Appraisal process incorporates statistical testing, generally accepted valuation methods, and a set of property characteristics for approximately 700,000 residential, commercial and industrial properties. More specifically for residential property, we break up King County into 88 residential market areas and annually develop market models from the sale of properties using multiple regression statistical tools. The results of the market models are then applied to all similarly situated homes within the same appraisal area.

Are Properties Inspected?

All property in King County is physically inspected at least once during each six year cycle. Each year our appraisers inspect a different geographic area. An inspection is frequently an external observation of the property to confirm whether the property has changed by adding new improvements or shows signs of deterioration more than normal for the property's age. From the property inspections we update our property assessment records for each property. In cases where an appraiser has a question, they will leave or mail a card requesting the property owner contact them.

RCW 84.40.025 - Access to property

For the purpose of assessment and valuation of all taxable property in each county, any real or personal property in each county shall be subject to visitation, investigation, examination, discovery, and listing at any reasonable time by the county assessor of the county or by any employee thereof designated for this purpose by the assessor.

In any case of refusal to such access, the assessor shall request assistance from the department of revenue which may invoke the power granted by chapter [84.08](#) RCW.

How Are Property Sales Used?

For the annual revaluation of residential properties, three years of sales are analyzed with the sales prices time adjusted to January 1 of the current assessment year. Sales prices are adjusted for time to reflect that market prices change over time. During an increasing market, older sales prices often understate the current market value. Conversely, during downward (or recessionary) markets, older sales prices may overstate a property's value on January 1 of the assessment year unless sales are time adjusted. Hence time adjustments are an important element in the valuation process.

How is Assessment Uniformity Achieved?

We have adopted the Property Assessment Standards prescribed by the International Association of Assessing Officers that may be reviewed at www.IAAO.org. As part of our valuation process statistical testing is performed by reviewing the uniformity of assessments within each specific market area, property type, and quality grade or residence age. More specifically Coefficients of Dispersion (aka COD) are developed that show the uniformity of predicted property assessments. We have set our target CODs using the standards set by IAAO which are summarized in the following table:

Type of property - General	Type of property - Specific	COD Range
Single-family Residential (including residential condominiums)	Newer or more homogeneous areas	5.0 to 10.0
Single-family Residential	Older or more heterogeneous areas	5.0 to 15.0
Other residential	Rural, seasonal, recreational, manufactured housing, 2-4-unit housing	5.0 to 20.0
Income-producing properties	Larger Areas represented by large samples	5.0 to 15.0
Income-producing properties	Smaller areas represented by smaller samples	5.0 to 20.0
Vacant land		5.0 to 25.0
Other real and personal property		Varies with local conditions

Source: IAAO, *Standard on Ratio Studies*, 2013, Table 1-3

More results of the statistical testing process is found within the attached area report.

Requirements of State Law

Within Washington State, property is required to be revalued each year to market value based on its highest and best use. (RCW 84.41.030; 84.40.030; and WAC 458-07-030). Washington Courts have interpreted fair market value as the amount of money a buyer, willing but not obligated to buy, would pay to a seller willing but not obligated to sell. Highest and Best Use is simply viewed as the most profitable use that a property can be legally used for. In cases where a property is underutilized by a property owner, it still must be valued at its highest and best use.

Appraisal Area Reports

The following area report summarizes the property assessment activities and results for a general market area. The area report is meant to comply with state law for appraisal documentation purposes as well as provide the public with insight into the mass appraisal process.



King County

Department of Assessments
King County Administration Bldg.
500 Fourth Avenue, ADM-AS-0708
Seattle, WA 98104-2384

John Wilson
Assessor

Cedar River/E Maple Valley East Auburn/SE Kent – Area 084

2023 Assessment Roll Year

Recommendation is made to post values for Area 084 to the 2024 tax roll:

SE District Senior Appraiser: Jurgen Ramil

8/8/2023

Date

Residential Division Director: Jeff Darrow

Date

This report is hereby accepted and the values described in the attached documentation for Area 084 should be posted to the 2024 tax roll.

John Wilson, King County Assessor

Date

Executive Summary

Cedar River/E Maple Valley East Auburn/SE Kent - Area 084

Physical Inspection

Appraisal Date: 1/1/2023
Previous Physical Inspection: 2018
Number of Improved Sales: 361
Range of Sale Dates: 1/1/2020 – 12/31/2022 Sales were time adjusted to 1/1/2023.

Sales - Improved Valuation Change Summary:						
	Land	Improvements	Total	Mean Sale Price	Ratio	COD
2022 Value	\$288,600	\$647,600	\$936,200			9.76%
2023 Value	\$247,400	\$590,300	\$837,700	\$910,500	93.2%	9.05%
\$ Change	-\$41,200	-\$57,300	-\$98,500			
% Change	-14.3%	-8.8%	-10.5%			

Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) is a measure of the uniformity of the predicted assessed values for properties within this geographic area. The 2023 COD of 9.05 is an improvement from the previous COD of 9.76%. The lower the COD, the more uniform are the predicted assessed values. Refer to the table on page 3 of this report for more detail surrounding COD thresholds. Area 084 is a more rural or diverse area, and the COD threshold prescribed by the IAAO should be no more than 20%. The resulting COD meets or exceeds the industry assessment standards. Sales from 1/1/2020 to 12/31/2023 (at a minimum) were considered in all analysis. Sales were time adjusted to 1/1/2023.

Population - Improved Valuation Change Summary:			
	Land	Improvements	Total
2022 Value	\$284,800	\$605,000	\$889,800
2023 Value	\$251,100	\$535,700	\$786,800
\$ Change	-\$33,700	-\$69,300	-\$103,000
% Change	-11.8%	-11.5%	-11.6%

Number of one to three-unit residences in the population: 2,691

Physical Inspection Area:

State law requires that each property be physically inspected at least once during a six year revaluation cycle. During the recent inspection of Area 084 – Macro Inserts Area Name Here, appraisers were in the area, confirming data characteristics, developing new valuation models and selecting a new value for each property for the assessment year. For each of the subsequent years, the previous property values are statistically adjusted during each assessment period. Taxes are paid on total value, not on the separate amounts allocated to land and improvements.

Area 084 Physical Inspection Ratio Study Report

PRE-REVALUE RATIO ANALYSIS



Pre-revalue ratio analysis compares time adjusted sales from 2020 through 2022 in relation to the previous assessed value as of 1/1/2023.

PRE-REVALUE RATIO SAMPLE STATISTICS	
<i>Sample size (n)</i>	361
<i>Mean Assessed Value</i>	936,200
<i>Mean Adj. Sales Price</i>	910,500
<i>Standard Deviation AV</i>	344,294
<i>Standard Deviation SP</i>	355,536
ASSESSMENT LEVEL	
<i>Arithmetic Mean Ratio</i>	1.039
<i>Median Ratio</i>	1.038
<i>Weighted Mean Ratio</i>	1.028
UNIFORMITY	
<i>Lowest ratio</i>	0.461
<i>Highest ratio:</i>	1.514
<i>Coefficient of Dispersion</i>	9.76%
<i>Standard Deviation</i>	0.134
<i>Coefficient of Variation</i>	12.86%
<i>Price Related Differential (PRD)</i>	1.011
<i>Price Related Bias (PRB)</i>	-0.03%


POST-REVALUE RATIO ANALYSIS

Post revalue ratio analysis compares time adjusted sales from 2020 through 2022 and reflects the assessment level after the property has been revalued to 1/1/2023.

POST REVALUE RATIO SAMPLE STATISTICS	
<i>Sample size (n)</i>	361
<i>Mean Assessed Value</i>	837,700
<i>Mean Sales Price</i>	910,500
<i>Standard Deviation AV</i>	291,649
<i>Standard Deviation SP</i>	355,536
ASSESSMENT LEVEL	
<i>Arithmetic Mean Ratio</i>	0.934
<i>Median Ratio</i>	0.932
<i>Weighted Mean Ratio</i>	0.920
UNIFORMITY	
<i>Lowest ratio</i>	0.553
<i>Highest ratio:</i>	1.354
<i>Coefficient of Dispersion</i>	9.05%
<i>Standard Deviation</i>	0.108
<i>Coefficient of Variation</i>	11.60%
<i>Price Related Differential (PRD)</i>	1.016
<i>Price Related Bias (PRB)</i>	-3.35%




Area 084Map



All maps in this document are subject to the following disclaimer: The information included on this map has been compiled by King County staff from a variety of sources and is subject to change without notice. King County makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, as to accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or rights to the use of such information. King County shall not be liable for any general, special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost revenues or lost profits resulting from the use or misuse of the information contained on this map. Any sale of this map or information on this map is prohibited except by written permission of King County. Scale unknown.

Neighborhood Map



Area Information

Name or Designation

Area 084 - Cedar River/E Maple Valley East Auburn/SE Kent

Boundaries

Highway 18, SR-169 (SE Renton-Maple Valley Road) and SR-516 (Kent-Kangley Road). Nearby commercial centers are downtown Renton and up the hill on 140th Street to the Fairwood shopping area. Slightly to the south, past Cedar Grove Road, is the City of Maple Valley near the Highway 18 corridor.

Maps

A general map of the area is included in this report. More detailed Assessor's maps are located on the 7th floor of the King County Administration Building.

Area Description

Area 84 is large but with a smaller population of properties. Most of the area is in unincorporated King County, with a small portion of the northwestern tip in the City of Renton. Major arterial access includes The southernmost commercial area is the SR- 516, Kent-Kangley Road, and the intersection of SR-169, SE Renton-Maple Valley Rd, known as "Four Corners."

The area is comprised of a mixture of rural acreage, older plats, newer plats, and riverfront properties along the Cedar River. Properties along the Cedar River are typically older, predominantly pre-1970s homes, but some scattered, good to excellent-quality homes are also scattered. This is especially the case along Jones Road and to the southeastern tip of the Cedar River.

Overall, area 84 is non-homogeneous and asymmetrical in nature, with housing made up of a variety of building grade quality, age, and lot size. However, some homogeneity does exist in platted neighborhoods such as Maple Wood Estates in Sub 1, Pioneer Place, and Elliott Farm in Sub Area 2.

The area has numerous topographical influences, from steep hillsides, rolling hills, many ravines, properties along the valley floor, and significant influence by the Cedar River. Large numbers of parcels are impacted by environmental restrictions, including wetlands, streams, and topography. Since many of these properties in unincorporated King County are zoned RA5 (one dwelling unit per five acres), they have tended to maintain their rural nature, including privacy, vegetation, and wooded settings.

Land Valuation

Vacant sales from 1/1/2020 to 12/31/2022 were given primary consideration for valuing land with emphasis placed on those sales closest to January 1, 2023.

All land sales were physically inspected and verified in the field with an effort to contact the buyer or seller when necessary or possible. Nineteen vacant land sales were used to analyze and create the land model.

The characteristics of each sale were compared and categorized for the purpose of estimating land values and establishing adjustments for additional amenities or impacts affecting value. The land allocation and abstraction methods were also incorporated in the land model analysis for additional support and validation where land sales were limited. Overall, values and ratios from both methods were compatible with the vacant land sales and considered reliable in helping to determine the final land values for tax lots and Plats.

Land Model

Model Development, Description, and Conclusions

Characteristics found to have the most influence on land sale prices include the availability of water (with the option of connecting to a water system or drilling a well), the ability to dispose of sewage (either by septic or public sewer system), lot size, waterfront, views, topography, traffic, access, sensitive areas, and location. Due to the unavailability of public utilities in some portions of the area, many parcels have private water and rely on a septic system for sewer. For these parcels, the ability to drill a well or hook up to a community well and support an on-site sewage system was the biggest determining factor in land valuation.

There were 19 vacant land sales to use as a basis for land model development and to ensure appropriate land values. Time adjustment was considered during model development. The first model is the per-acre value for non-platted/acreage parcels. The second is the site value method within the various plats. Site value is determined by neighborhood quality, location, lot size, homogeneity, amenities, and mean sale price within the plat. There are additional adjustments for superior attributes, such as views, and negative adjustments for inferior attributes, such as traffic nuisance, sensitive areas, access, and topography. The amount of adjustment is based on onsite appraisal judgment.

Land Value Model Calibration

Lot Size	Buildable
3,000	\$120,000
3,001-4,000	\$125,000
4,001-7,000	\$130,000
7,001 - 10,000	\$140,000
10,001 - 15,000	\$150,000
15,001 - 20,000	\$160,000
20,001 - 26,000	\$170,000
26,001 - 35,000	\$180,000
35,001 - 43,559	\$190,000
1 - 1.25 Acres	\$205,000
1.26 - 1.50 Acres	\$220,000
1.51 - 1.75 Acres	\$235,000
1.76 - 2.00 Acres	\$250,000
2.01 - 2.25 Acres	\$265,000
2.26 - 2.50 Acres	\$275,000
2.51 - 2.75 Acres	\$285,000
2.76 - 3.00 Acres	\$295,000

\$40,000 per acre greater than 3 acres

*Values are not interpolated

Additional Adjustments		
Major 733093		\$120,000
Easements		-5% to -20%
Power Lines		-5% to -15%
Road Access		-5% to -50%
Stream Impact		-5% to -30%
Topography		-5% to -75%
Water Problems		-5% to -60%
Wetland/Flood Plain		-5% to -60%
Restrictive Size/Shape/Access		-5% to -30%
Base Land Value less than \$10,000		Previous Base Land Value
River Front Parcels		+\$50,000
		*No additional value for high-bank riverfront parcels
Traffic	Moderate	-\$5,000
	Heavy	-\$10,000
	Extreme	-\$20,000
Unbuildable		Appraiser Select for % Base Land Value/No adjustments for traffic or view
Views		
Mount Rainier	Average	+\$5,000
	Good	+\$15,000
	Excellent	+\$25,000
Territorial/Cascades/Lake	Average	+\$2,000
	Good	+\$5,000
	Excellent	+\$10,000

*Always add for Mount Rainier, then add only the highest view adjusted for Territorial/Cascade. If a parcel has an Average Cascades view (\$2,000) and a Good Territorial view (\$5,000), the highest adjustment (\$5,000) will be applied.

Land Value Model Calibration... Continued

*The following plat adjustments in the table are a factor to the tax lot schedule presented above.

Major	Sub	Plat Name	Grade	Year Built	Base Land Value
156091	001	CHERYL LEE HEIGHTS DIV # 2	8 to 10	1978 to 1991	1.40
156092	001	CHERYL LEE HEIGHTS DIV NO 03	9 to 11	1984 to 2000	1.40
156093	001	CHERYL LEE HEIGHTS DIV #4	9 to 11	1986 to 2011	1.40
326056	001	HERITAGE PLAT	9 to 10	2006 to 2008	1.40
511330	001	MAPLE VALLEY NOB HILL ADD DIV # 1	7 to 8	1964 to 1966	1.30
732635	001	RIVA RIDGE	10 to 11	1991 to 1994	1.40
856730	001	TAYLOR CREEK MEADOWS	8 to 10	1984 to 1994	1.40
113400	002	BRODELLS MAPLE GARDEN HOMES ADD	7 to 9	1961 to 2018	1.40
147140	002	CEDAR RIVER SUMMER HOME SITES ADD	6 to 7	1943 to 1986	1.20
147157	002	CEDAR VALLEY	8 to 10	1993 to 1997	1.50
231430	002	ELLIOTT FARM	8	1997 to 2000	1.50
357020	002	INDIAN GROVE UNREC	7 to 10	1046 to 1989	1.50
510445	002	MAPLE RIDGE ESTATES	7 to 8	1986 to 1996	1.50
510446	002	MAPLE RIDGE ESTATES DIV 02	9	1992 to 1993	1.50
510447	002	MAPLE RIDGE ESTATES DIV NO 2 PH 3	9	1994 to 1995	1.50
512640	002	MAPLEWOOD DIV # 1	6 to 8	1943 to 1998	1.30
512690	002	MAPLEWOOD DIV # 2	6 to 8	1994 to 2019	1.30
668940	002	PAULLS MAPLEWOOD ADD	7 to 8	1956 to 1976	1.30
680610	002	PIONEER PLACE	7 to 8	1905 to 2006	1.50
733093	002	RIVER TRAIL AT ELLIOTT FARM	8	2022 to 2023	\$120,000
780645	002	SKYFIRE MEADOWS/RIDGE	9	1989 to 1992	1.50
885689	002	VALLEY FAIRE NO 01	8	1986 to 1990	1.50
885691	002	VALLEY FAIRE NO 01 PH 03	8	1989 to 1990	1.50
885692	002	VALLEY FAIRE NO 03	8	1989 to 1996	1.50
891410	002	VIEW POINTE AT MAPLE RIDGE	9 to 11	1993 to 2018	2.00
918970	002	WASMITA PARK	6 to 8	1938 to 2004	1.30

Improved Parcel Valuation

Improved Parcel Data:

Sales information is obtained from excise tax affidavits and reviewed initially by the Accounting Division, Sales Identification Section. Information is analyzed and investigated by the appraiser in the process of revaluation. All sales were verified if possible by calling either the purchaser or seller, inquiring in the field or calling the real estate agent. Characteristic data is verified for all sales if possible. Due to time constraints, interior inspections were limited. Available sales and additional Area information can be viewed on the Assessor's website with [sales lists](#), [eSales](#) and [Localscape](#). Additional information may reside in the Assessor's Real Property Database, Assessor's procedures, Assessor's "field" maps, Revalue Plan, separate studies, and statutes.

The Assessor maintains a cost model, which is specified by the physical characteristics of the improvement, such as first floor area, second floor area, total basement area, and number of bathrooms. The cost for each component is further calibrated to the 13 grades to account for quality of construction. Reconstruction Cost New (RCN) is calculated from adding up the cost of each component. Depreciation is then applied by means of a percent good table which is based on year built, grade, and condition, resulting in Reconstruction Cost New less Depreciation (RCNLD). The appraiser can make further adjustments for obsolescence (poor floor plan, design deficiencies, external nuisances etc.) if needed. The Assessor's cost model generates RCN and RCNLD for principal improvements and accessories such as detached garages and pools.

The Assessor's cost model was developed by the King County Department of Assessments in the early 1970's. It was recalibrated in 1990 to roughly approximate Marshall & Swift's square foot cost tables, and is indexed annually to keep up with current costs.

Model Development, Description and Conclusions:

Most sales were field verified and characteristics updated prior to model development. Sales were time adjusted to 1/1/2023.

Most sales were field verified and characteristics updated prior to model development. Sales were time adjusted to 1/1/2023.

The analysis of this area consisted of a systematic review of applicable characteristics which influence property values. Characteristics that indicated possible significance in the marketplace were land value, age, Building RCN, condition, Subarea 1 and waterfront.

Improved Parcel Total Value Model Calibration

Variable	Definition
AgeC	Age of Home
BaseLandC	2023 Adjusted Base Land Value
BldgRcnC	Building Replacement Cost New
GoodYN	Home in Good Condition
Sub1YN	Subarea 1
VGoodYN	Home in Very Good Condition
WftLocYN	Waterfront footage >0
+ AccyRcnld (constrained)	Accessory Cost New Less Depreciation

Multiplicative Model

$$(1-0.075) * \text{EXP}(2.94117287929423 - 0.167694996399769 * \text{AgeC} + 0.261008227766581 * \text{BaseLandC} + 0.483428230917788 * \text{BldgRcnC} + 0.0769791259526832 * \text{GoodYN} + 0.0718582007615519 * \text{Sub1YN} + 0.16324341907459 * \text{VGoodYN} + 0.0916198341807356 * \text{WftLocYN}) * 1000 + \text{AccyRcnld} * 1000$$

The information provided on this page serves as a basic illustration of the regression model and its components. This page is not intended as a guide or framework for re-creating the regression model. More detailed information on the regression model, its components, and variable transformations is available upon request.

EMV values were not generated for:

- Buildings with grade less than 3
- Building two or greater. (EMV is generated for building one only.)
- If total EMV is less than base land value
- Lot size less than 100 square feet

Of the improved parcels in the population, 229 parcels increased in value. They were comprised of 16 single-family residences on commercially zoned land and 2446 single-family residences or other parcels.

Of the vacant land parcels greater than \$1,000, 542 parcels increased in value. Tax exempt parcels were excluded from the number of parcels increased.

Supplemental Models and Exceptions

Adjustment Parameter	
SFR Poor Condition	= Baseland+\$1,000 for IMP + Accy RCNLD
SFR Fair Condition	= Baseland + Total RCNLD
Multiple Improvements	= Total EMV + Building 2 RCNLD + Building 3 RCNLD
Single Family + MH.	= IMP EMV + MH RCNLD + Condition \$\$ from MH model
Percent Complete	= Baseland + Improvement value*% Complete
Obsolescence	= Baseland + Improvement value*(100-Obsolescence)
Net Condition	= Baseland + Improvement Value*Net Condition %
Baseland>EMV parcels	=Baseland + Total RCNLD

King County Assessor Mobile Home Valuation

Mobile Home Data:

Sales information is obtained from excise tax affidavits and reviewed initially by the Accounting Division, Sales Identification Section. Information is analyzed and investigated by the appraiser in the process of revaluation. All sales were verified if possible by calling either the purchaser or seller, inquiring in the field or calling the real estate agent. Characteristic data is verified for all sales if possible. Due to time constraints, interior inspections were limited. Sales are listed in the Area's Sales Available List. Additional information may reside in the Assessor's Real Property Database, Assessor's procedures, Assessor's "field" maps, Revalue Plan, separate studies, and statutes.

For Mobile Homes the Assessor uses residential costs from Marshall & Swift, from the September prior to the Assessment year (i.e. Marshall & Swift's September 2022 update for the 2023 Assessment Year). The cost model specifies physical characteristics of the mobile home such as length, width, living area, class, condition, size, year built. Reconstruction Cost New (RCN) is calculated from adding up the cost of each component. Depreciation is then applied by means of a percent good table which is based on year built, class, and condition, resulting in Reconstruction Cost New less Depreciation (RCNLD). The appraiser can also apply a net condition for Mobile Homes that have depreciated beyond the normal percent good for their age and condition.

Model Development, Description and Conclusions:

Most sales were field verified and characteristics updated prior to model development. Sales were time adjusted to 1/1/2023.

Mobile Home Total Value Model Calibration

A market adjusted cost approach was used to appraise mobile homes.

- Mobile Home = (Cond=Poor) = Baseland + \$1,000 + Accy RCNLD
- Mobile Home = (YrBlt <1977, Cond>Poor) = Total RCNLD
- Mobile Home = (YrBlt => 1977, Cond=Fair) = Total RCNLD + \$30,000
- Mobile Home = (YrBlt => 1977, Cond=Avg/Good) = Total RCNLD + \$50,000
- Mobile Home = (YrBlt => 1977, Cond=Very Good/Excellent) = Total RCNLD + \$80,000

MHRCNLD = King County Manufactured Home Cost Model (Mobile Home Reconstruction Cost New Less Depreciation).

There are 213 parcels in Area 84, improved with a mobile home, and 26 sales were used in the valuation. Sales used were from 1/1/2020 to 12/31/2022.

Physical Inspection Process

Effective Date of Appraisal: January 1, 2023

Date of Appraisal Report: August 8, 2023

Appraisal Team Members and Participation

The valuation for this area was done by the following Appraisal Team. The degree of participation varied according to individual skill in relevant areas and depending on the time they joined the team.

- Jurgen Ramil– Senior Appraiser: Team lead, coordination, valuation model development and testing. Land and total valuation appraisals. Sales verification, physical inspection and report writing.
- Tim Moss– Appraiser I: Sales verification, appraisal analysis, land appraisal, physical inspection and total valuation.
- Miriam Nazario– Appraiser I: Sales verification, appraisal analysis, land appraisal, physical inspection and total valuation.
- Bonnee Kellogg– Appraiser I: Sales verification, appraisal analysis, land appraisal, physical inspection and total valuation.

Sales Screening for Improved Parcel Analysis

In order to ensure that the Assessor’s analysis of sales of improved properties best reflects the market value of the majority of the properties within an area, non-typical properties must be removed so a representative sales sample can be analyzed to determine the new valuation level. The following list illustrates examples of non-typical properties which are removed prior to the beginning of the analysis.

1. Vacant parcels
2. Mobile Home parcels
3. Multi-Parcel or Multi Building parcels
4. New construction where less than a 100% complete house was assessed for 2022
5. Existing residences where the data for 2022 is significantly different than the data for 2023 due to remodeling
6. Parcels with improvement values, but no characteristics
7. Parcels with either land or improvement values of \$10,000 or less posted for the 2022 Assessment Roll
8. Short sales, financial institution re-sales and foreclosure sales verified or appearing to be not at market

(Available sales and additional Area information can be viewed from [sales lists](#), [eSales](#) and [Localscape](#))

Highest and Best Use Analysis

As If Vacant: Market analysis of the area, together with current zoning and current and anticipated use patterns, indicate the highest and best use of the overwhelming majority of the appraised parcels is single family residential. Any other opinion of highest and best use is specifically noted in our records, and would form the basis for the valuation of that specific parcel.

As If Improved: Where any value for improvements is part of the total valuation, we are of the opinion that the present improvements produce a higher value for the property than if the site was vacant. In appraisal theory, the present use is therefore the highest and best (as improved) of the subject property, though it could be an interim use.

Standards and Measurement of Data Accuracy

Sales were verified with the purchaser, seller or real estate agent where possible. Current data was verified via field inspection and corrected. Data was collected and coded per the assessor’s residential procedures manual.

Physical Inspection Process ... Continued

We maintain uniformity with respect to building characteristics such as year-built, quality, condition, living area, stories, and land characteristics such as location (sub-area and plat), lot size, views, and waterfront. Other variables that are unique to the specific areas are also investigated. This approach ensures that values are equitable for all properties with respect to all measurable characteristics, whether the houses are larger or smaller, higher or lower quality, remodeled or not, with or without views or waterfront, etc.

Special Assumptions and Limiting Conditions

The sales comparison and cost approaches to value were considered for this mass appraisal valuation. After the sales verification process, the appraiser concluded that the market participants typically do not consider an income approach to value. Therefore the income approach is not applicable in this appraisal as these properties are not typically leased, but rather owner occupied. The income approach to value was not considered in the valuation of this area.

The following Departmental guidelines were considered and adhered to:

- Sales from 1/1/2020 to 12/31/2022 (at minimum) were considered in all analyses.
- Sales were time adjusted to 1/1/2023.
- This report is intended to meet the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Standards 5 & 6.

Area 084 Market Value Changes Over Time

In a changing market, recognition of a sales trend to adjust a population of sold properties to a common date is required to allow for value differences over time. Market conditions prevalent in the last three years indicated that the best methodology for tracking market movement through time is a modeling technique using splines. Put simply, this is a way of drawing best fit lines through the data points in situations where there may be several different trends going on at different times. Splines are the use of two or more straight lines to approximate trends and directions in the market. Splines are best suited to react to the sudden market changes. To create larger and more reliable data sets for time trending, it was necessary in most instances to combine geographic areas that were performing similarly in the marketplace. The following chart shows the % time adjustment required for sales to reflect the indicated market value as of the assessment date, **January 1, 2023**.

The time adjustment formula for AreaNumber is:

$$(0.825202789915984-0.000715212324252315*((SaleDate \leq 44635)*SaleDate+(SaleDate > 44635)*44635-44927)+0.000573570358323317*((SaleDate \geq 44635)*SaleDate+(SaleDate < 44635)*44635-44927))/(0.825202789915984-0.000715212324252315*(-292))$$

For example, a sale of \$600,000 which occurred on October 1, 2021 would be adjusted by the time trend factor of 0.952, resulting in an adjusted value of \$452,200 ($\$600,000 * 0.952 = \$452,000$) – truncated to the nearest \$1000.

Area 084 Market Value Changes Over Time

SaleDate	Adjustment (Factor)	Equivalent Percent
1/1/2020	1.394	39.4%
2/1/2020	1.373	37.3%
3/1/2020	1.353	35.3%
4/1/2020	1.331	33.1%
5/1/2020	1.310	31.0%
6/1/2020	1.289	28.9%
7/1/2020	1.268	26.8%
8/1/2020	1.247	24.7%
9/1/2020	1.225	22.5%
10/1/2020	1.205	20.5%
11/1/2020	1.183	18.3%
12/1/2020	1.162	16.2%
1/1/2021	1.141	14.1%
2/1/2021	1.120	12.0%
3/1/2021	1.100	10.0%
4/1/2021	1.079	7.9%
5/1/2021	1.058	5.8%
6/1/2021	1.037	3.7%
7/1/2021	1.016	1.6%
8/1/2021	0.994	-0.6%
9/1/2021	0.973	-2.7%
10/1/2021	0.952	-4.8%
11/1/2021	0.931	-6.9%
12/1/2021	0.910	-9.0%
1/1/2022	0.889	-11.1%
2/1/2022	0.867	-13.3%
3/1/2022	0.848	-15.2%
4/1/2022	0.847	-15.3%
5/1/2022	0.864	-13.6%
6/1/2022	0.881	-11.9%
7/1/2022	0.898	-10.2%
8/1/2022	0.915	-8.5%
9/1/2022	0.932	-6.8%
10/1/2022	0.949	-5.1%
11/1/2022	0.966	-3.4%
12/1/2022	0.983	-1.7%
1/1/2023	1.000	0.0%

Sales Sample Representation of Population


Year Built or Renovated

Sales

Year Built/Ren	Frequency	% Sales Sample
1900-1909	1	0.28%
1910-1919	2	0.55%
1920-1929	4	1.11%
1930-1939	8	2.22%
1940-1949	37	10.25%
1950-1959	22	6.09%
1960-1969	27	7.48%
1970-1979	22	6.09%
1980-1989	68	18.84%
1990-1999	94	26.04%
2000-2009	61	16.90%
2010-2019	7	1.94%
2020-2022	8	2.22%
361		

Population

Year Built/Ren	Frequency	% Population
1900-1909	12	0.45%
1910-1919	33	1.23%
1920-1929	68	2.53%
1930-1939	76	2.82%
1940-1949	208	7.73%
1950-1959	144	5.35%
1960-1969	345	12.82%
1970-1979	217	8.06%
1980-1989	550	20.44%
1990-1999	578	21.48%
2000-2009	356	13.23%
2010-2019	86	3.20%
2020-2022	18	0.67%
2,691		



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution fairly closely with regard to Year Built or Renovated. This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

Sales Sample Representation of Population


Above Grade Living Area

Sales

AGLA	Frequency	% Sales Sample
500	0	0.00%
1,000	27	7.48%
1,500	61	16.90%
2,000	64	17.73%
2,500	78	21.61%
3,000	56	15.51%
3,500	45	12.47%
4,000	19	5.26%
4,500	9	2.49%
5,000	1	0.28%
5,500	1	0.28%
7,000	0	0.00%
361		

Population

AGLA	Frequency	% Population
500	3	0.11%
1,000	223	8.29%
1,500	555	20.62%
2,000	575	21.37%
2,500	539	20.03%
3,000	409	15.20%
3,500	220	8.18%
4,000	92	3.42%
4,500	40	1.49%
5,000	20	0.74%
5,500	9	0.33%
7,000	6	0.22%
2691		



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution fairly closely with regard to Above Grade Living Area (AGLA). This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

Sales Sample Representation of Population

Building Grade

Sales

Grade	Frequency	% Sales Sample
1	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4	0	0.00%
5	8	2.22%
6	55	15.24%
7	84	23.27%
8	113	31.30%
9	81	22.44%
10	16	4.43%
11	4	1.11%
12	0	0.00%
13	0	0.00%
	361	

Population

Grade	Frequency	% Population
1	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	1	0.04%
4	9	0.33%
5	97	3.60%
6	421	15.64%
7	797	29.62%
8	770	28.61%
9	473	17.58%
10	96	3.57%
11	24	0.89%
12	3	0.11%
13	0	0.00%
	2691	



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution relatively closely with regard to Building Grades. This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

Results

Appraiser judgment prevails in all decisions regarding individual parcel valuation. Each parcel is field reviewed, and a value is selected based on general and specific data pertaining to the parcel, the neighborhood, and the market. The appraiser determines which available value estimate may be appropriate. This value estimate may be adjusted based on particular characteristics and conditions as they occur in the valuation area.

The assessment level target for all Residential areas in King County, including this area, is 0.925. The International Association of Assessing Officers recommends a range of 0.90 to 1.10. Due to rounding or other statistical influences, the median for a particular area may be slightly above or below this target. The median assessment level for this area is 93.2%.

Application of these recommended values for the 2023 assessment year (taxes payable in 2024) results in an average total change from the 2022 assessments of -11.6%. This decrease is due partly to market changes over time and the previous assessment levels.

A Ratio Study was completed just prior to the application of the 2023 recommended values. This study benchmarks the prior assessment level using 2022 posted values (1/1/2022) compared to current adjusted sale prices (1/1/2023). The study was also repeated after the application of the 2023 recommended values. The results show an improvement in the COD from 9.76% to 9.05%.

The Appraisal Team recommends application of the Appraiser selected values, as indicated by the appropriate model or method.

Note: More details and information regarding aspects of the valuations and the report are retained in the working files kept in the appropriate district office.

Area 084 Housing Profile



Grade 5/ 1942 Year Built/ 640sf Total Living Area



Grade 6/ 1959 Year Built/ 1310sf Total Living Area



Grade 7/ 2005 Year Built/ 2640sf Total Living Area



Grade 8/ 1987 Year Built/ 2770sf Total Living Area



Grade 9/ 2017 Year Built/ 3400sf Total Living Area



Grade 10/ 2010 Year Built/ 5980sf Total Living Area

Area 084 Housing Profile ...continued



Grade11 / 2006 Year Built/ 5920sf Total Living Area



Grade12 / 2006 Year Built/ 6140sf Total Living Area

Glossary for Improved Sales

Condition: Relative to Age and Grade

- 1= Poor Many repairs needed. Showing serious deterioration.
- 2= Fair Some repairs needed immediately. Much deferred maintenance.
- 3= Average Depending upon age of improvement; normal amount of upkeep for the age of the home.
- 4= Good Condition above the norm for the age of the home. Indicates extra attention and care has been taken to maintain.
- 5= Very Good Excellent maintenance and updating on home. Not a total renovation.

Residential Building Grades

- Grades 1 - 3 Falls short of minimum building standards. Normally cabin or inferior structure.
- Grade 4 Generally older low quality construction. Does not meet code.
- Grade 5 Lower construction costs and workmanship. Small, simple design.
- Grade 6 Lowest grade currently meeting building codes. Low quality materials, simple designs.
- Grade 7 Average grade of construction and design. Commonly seen in plats and older subdivisions.
- Grade 8 Just above average in construction and design. Usually better materials in both the exterior and interior finishes.
- Grade 9 Better architectural design, with extra exterior and interior design and quality.
- Grade 10 Homes of this quality generally have high quality features. Finish work is better, and more design quality is seen in the floor plans and larger square footage.
- Grade 11 Custom design and higher quality finish work, with added amenities of solid woods, bathroom fixtures and more luxurious options.
- Grade 12 Custom design and excellent builders. All materials are of the highest quality and all conveniences are present.
- Grade 13 Generally custom designed and built. Approaching the Mansion level. Large amount of highest quality cabinet work, wood trim and marble; large entries.

USPAP Compliance

USPAP Compliance

Client and Intended Use of the Appraisal:

This mass appraisal report is intended for use by the public, King County Assessor and other agencies or departments administering or confirming ad valorem property taxes. Use of this report by others for other purposes is not intended by the appraiser. The use of this appraisal, analyses and conclusions is limited to the administration of ad valorem property taxes in accordance with Washington State law. As such it is written in concise form to minimize paperwork. The assessor intends that this report conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) requirements for a mass appraisal report as stated in USPAP Standard 6. To fully understand this report the reader may need to refer to the Assessor's Property Record Files, Assessor's Real Property Data Base, separate studies, Assessor's Procedures, Assessor's field maps, Revalue Plan and the statutes.

The purpose of this report is to explain and document the methods, data and analysis used in the revaluation of King County. King County is on a six year physical inspection cycle with annual statistical updates. The revaluation plan is approved by Washington State Department of Revenue. The Revaluation Plan is subject to their periodic review.

Definition and date of value estimate:

Market Value

The basis of all assessments is the true and fair value of property. True and fair value means market value (Spokane etc. R. Company v. Spokane County, 75 Wash. 72 (1913); Mason County Overtaxed, Inc. v. Mason County, 62 Wn. 2d (1963); AGO 57-58, No. 2, 1/8/57; AGO 65-66, No. 65, 12/31/65).

The true and fair value of a property in money for property tax valuation purposes is its "market value" or amount of money a buyer willing but not obligated to buy would pay for it to a seller willing but not obligated to sell. In arriving at a determination of such value, the assessing officer can consider only those factors which can within reason be said to affect the price in negotiations between a willing purchaser and a willing seller, and he must consider all of such factors. (AGO 65,66, No. 65, 12/31/65)

Retrospective market values are reported herein because the date of the report is subsequent to the effective date of valuation. The analysis reflects market conditions that existed on the effective date of appraisal.

Highest and Best Use

RCW 84.40.030

All property shall be valued at one hundred percent of its true and fair value in money and assessed on the same basis unless specifically provided otherwise by law.

An assessment may not be determined by a method that assumes a land usage or highest and best use not permitted for that property being appraised under existing zoning or land use planning ordinances, statutes, or other government restrictions.

USPAP Compliance

WAC 458-07-030 (3) True and fair value -- Highest and best use.

Unless specifically provided otherwise by statute, all property shall be valued on the basis of its highest and best use for assessment purposes. Highest and best use is the most profitable, likely use to which a property can be put. It is the use which will yield the highest return on the owner's investment. Any reasonable use to which the property may be put may be taken into consideration and if it is peculiarly adapted to some particular use, that fact may be taken into consideration. Uses that are within the realm of possibility, but not reasonably probable of occurrence, shall not be considered in valuing property at its highest and best use.

If a property is particularly adapted to some particular use this fact may be taken into consideration in estimating the highest and best use. (Samish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922))

The present use of the property may constitute its highest and best use. The appraiser shall, however, consider the uses to which similar property similarly located is being put. (Finch v. Grays Harbor County, 121 Wash. 486 (1922))

The fact that the owner of the property chooses to use it for less productive purposes than similar land is being used shall be ignored in the highest and best use estimate. (Samish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922))

Where land has been classified or zoned as to its use, the county assessor may consider this fact, but he shall not be bound to such zoning in exercising his judgment as to the highest and best use of the property. (AGO 63-64, No. 107, 6/6/64)

Date of Value Estimate

RCW 84.36.005

All property now existing, or that is hereafter created or brought into this state, shall be subject to assessment and taxation for state, county, and other taxing district purposes, upon equalized valuations thereof, fixed with reference thereto on the first day of January at twelve o'clock meridian in each year, excepting such as is exempted from taxation by law.

RCW 36.21.080

The county assessor is authorized to place any property that is increased in value due to construction or alteration for which a building permit was issued, or should have been issued, under chapter 19.27, 19.27A, or 19.28 RCW or other laws providing for building permits on the assessment rolls for the purposes of tax levy up to August 31st of each year. The assessed valuation of the property shall be considered as of July 31st of that year.

Reference should be made to the property card or computer file as to when each property was valued. Sales consummating before and after the appraisal date may be used and are analyzed as to their indication of value at the date of valuation. If market conditions have changed then the appraisal will state a logical cutoff date after which no market date is used as an indicator of value.

USPAP Compliance

Property Rights Appraised: Fee Simple

Wash Constitution Article 7 § 1 Taxation:

All taxes shall be uniform upon the same class of property within the territorial limits of the authority levying the tax and shall be levied and collected for public purposes only. The word "property" as used herein shall mean and include everything, whether tangible or intangible, subject to ownership. All real estate shall constitute one class.

Trimble v. Seattle, 231 U.S. 683, 689, 58 L. Ed. 435, 34 S. Ct. 218 (1914)

...the entire [fee] estate is to be assessed and taxed as a unit...

Folsom v. Spokane County, 111 Wn. 2d 256 (1988)

...the ultimate appraisal should endeavor to arrive at the fair market value of the property as if it were an unencumbered fee...

The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, 3rd Addition, Appraisal Institute.

Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by the governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat.

Assumptions and Limiting Conditions:

1. No opinion as to title is rendered. Data on ownership and legal description were obtained from public records. Title is assumed to be marketable and free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, easements and restrictions unless shown on maps or property record files. The property is appraised assuming it to be under responsible ownership and competent management and available for its highest and best use.
2. No engineering survey has been made by the appraiser. Except as specifically stated, data relative to size and area were taken from sources considered reliable, and no encroachment of real property improvements is assumed to exist.
3. No responsibility for hidden defects or conformity to specific governmental requirements, such as fire, building and safety, earthquake, or occupancy codes, can be assumed without provision of specific professional or governmental inspections.
4. Rental areas herein discussed have been calculated in accord with generally accepted industry standards.
5. The projections included in this report are utilized to assist in the valuation process and are based on current market conditions and anticipated short term supply demand factors. Therefore, the projections are subject to changes in future conditions that cannot be accurately predicted by the appraiser and could affect the future income or value projections.
6. The property is assumed uncontaminated unless the owner comes forward to the Assessor and provides other information.
7. The appraiser is not qualified to detect the existence of potentially hazardous material which may or may not be present on or near the property. The existence of such substances may have an effect on the value of the property. No consideration has been given in this analysis to any potential diminution in value should such hazardous materials be found (unless specifically noted). We urge the taxpayer to retain an expert in the field and submit data affecting value to the assessor.

USPAP Compliance

8. No opinion is intended to be expressed for legal matters or that would require specialized investigation or knowledge beyond that ordinarily employed by real estate appraisers, although such matters may be discussed in the report.
9. Maps, plats and exhibits included herein are for illustration only, as an aid in visualizing matters discussed within the report. They should not be considered as surveys or relied upon for any other purpose.
10. The appraisal is the valuation of the fee simple interest. Unless shown on the Assessor's parcel maps, easements adversely affecting property value were not considered.
11. An attempt to segregate personal property from the real estate in this appraisal has been made.
12. Items which are considered to be "typical finish" and generally included in a real property transfer, but are legally considered leasehold improvements are included in the valuation unless otherwise noted.
13. The movable equipment and/or fixtures have not been appraised as part of the real estate. The identifiable permanently fixed equipment has been appraised in accordance with RCW 84.04.090 and WAC 458-12-010.
14. I have considered the effect of value of those anticipated public and private improvements of which I have common knowledge. I can make no special effort to contact the various jurisdictions to determine the extent of their public improvements.
15. Exterior inspections were made of all properties in the physical inspection areas (outlined in the body of the report) however; due to lack of access and time few received interior inspections.

Scope of Work Performed:

Research and analyses performed are identified in the body of the revaluation report. The assessor has no access to title reports and other documents. Because of legal limitations we did not research such items as easements, restrictions, encumbrances, leases, reservations, covenants, contracts, declarations and special assessments. Disclosure of interior home features and, actual income and expenses by property owners is not a requirement by law therefore attempts to obtain and analyze this information are not always successful. The mass appraisal performed must be completed in the time limits indicated in the Revaluation Plan and as budgeted. The scope of work performed and disclosure of research and analyses not performed are identified throughout the body of the report.

Certification:

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct
- The report analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.

USPAP Compliance

- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- The area(s) physically inspected for purposes of this revaluation are outlined in the body of this report.
- The individuals listed below were part of the “appraisal team” and provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification. Any services regarding the subject area performed by the appraiser within the prior three years, as an appraiser or in any other capacity are listed adjacent to their name.
- To the best of my knowledge, the following services were performed by the appraisal team within the subject area in the last three years:
 - Tim Moss, Miriam Nazario, and Bonnee Kellogg
 - Data Collection
 - Sales Verification
 - Appeals Response Preparation / Review
 - Appeal Hearing Attendance
 - Land and Total Valuation
 - New Construction Evaluation
- Any services regarding the subject area performed by me within the prior three years as an appraiser or in any other capacity are listed adjacent to my name.
- To the best of my knowledge the following services were performed by me within the subject area in the last three years:
 - Jurgen Ramil
 - Data Collection
 - Sales Verification
 - Appeals Response Preparation / Review
 - Appeal Hearing Attendance
 - Physical Inspection Model Development and Report Preparation
 - Land and Total Valuation
 - New Construction Evaluation



Senior Appraiser

8/8/2023

Date



King County

Department of Assessments

King County Administration Bldg.
201 S. Jackson St., Room 708, KSC – AS – 0708
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 296-7300 FAX (206) 296-0595
Email: assessor.info@kingcounty.gov

John Wilson
Assessor

As we start preparations for the 2023 property assessments, it is helpful to remember that the mission and work of the Assessor's Office sets the foundation for efficient and effective government and is vital to ensure adequate funding for services in our communities. Maintaining the public's confidence in our property tax system requires that we build on a track record of fairness, equity, and uniformity in property assessments. Though we face ongoing economic challenges, I challenge each of us to seek out strategies for continuous improvement in our business processes.

Please follow these standards as you perform your tasks.

- Use all appropriate mass appraisal techniques as stated in Washington State Laws, Washington State Administrative Codes, Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), and accepted International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO) standards and practices.
- Work with your supervisor on the development of the annual valuation plan and develop the scope of work for your portion of appraisal work assigned, including physical inspections and statistical updates of properties;
- Where applicable, validate correctness of physical characteristics and sales of all vacant and improved properties.
- Appraise land as if vacant and available for development to its highest and best use. The improvements are to be valued at their contribution to the total in compliance with applicable laws, codes and DOR guidelines. The Jurisdictional Exception is applied in cases where Federal, State or local laws or regulations preclude compliance with USPAP;
- Develop and validate valuation models as delineated by IAAO standards: Standard on Mass Appraisal of Real Property and Standard on Ratio Studies. Apply models uniformly to sold and unsold properties, so that ratio statistics can be accurately inferred to the entire population.
- Time adjust sales to January 1, 2023 in conformance with generally accepted appraisal practices.
- Prepare written reports in compliance with USPAP Standard 6 for Mass Appraisals. The intended users of your appraisals and the written reports include the public, Assessor, the Boards of Equalization and Tax Appeals, and potentially other governmental jurisdictions. The intended use of the appraisals and the written reports is the administration of ad valorem property taxation.

Thank you for your continued hard work on behalf of our office and the taxpayers of King County. Your dedication to accurate and fair assessments is why our office is one of the best in the nation.

John Wilson

Area 084

2023 Physical Inspection



Department of Assessment

34