APPENDIX D1

# **Growth Targets and the Urban Growth Area**



## **Table of Contents**

Abstract	
l. Background	3
II. Size of the Urban Growth Area	5
A. Growth to be Accommodated	5
Projected Countywide Growth	5
2. Allocation of Population, Housing, and Job Growth within King County	6
3. Allocation of Projected Growth to Cities and Urban Unincorporated King Count	y 7
4. 2019-2044 Urban Unincorporated Growth Targets	10
B. Land Capacity in the UGA	10
1. Countywide	10
2. Unincorporated King County	11
V. Conclusion	12

## I. Abstract

This appendix provides an analysis of the amount of growth King County and cities in King County are planning for within the Urban Growth Area (UGA) for the 20-year planning period of the 2024 Comprehensive Plan, and the capacity available to accommodate it. It also provides a similar analysis for urban unincorporated King County. The purpose of these analyses is to ensure that the UGA is appropriately sized to accommodate the housing and employment projected during the planning period of the Comprehensive Plan.

Appendix D was originally prepared for the 1994 Comprehensive Plan in support of the creation of King County's UGA Boundary and has been supplemented with new appendices in periodic and major updates since 2004, including this 2024 Appendix. Those supplements incorporate the 1994 Appendix D by reference but do not address issues already covered by the original, such as delineation of the Urban Growth Area. Therefore, they supplement but do not replace the 1994 Appendix D.

The key inputs to the analysis in this appendix are housing and employment growth projections adopted as growth targets in Table DP-1 of the 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policies and estimates of developable capacity contained in the 2021 King County Urban Growth Capacity Report. The growth targets adopted in the Countywide Planning Policies are consistent with the Growth Management Population Projections developed by the Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM), and the Puget Sound Regional Council's VISION 2050 Regional Growth Strategy. The Urban Growth Capacity Report Contains the required review of the UGA and urban patterns of development compared to planning objectives and goals. The 2021 King County Urban Growth Capacity Report was accepted by the Washington State Department of Commerce in June 2021.

King County's UGA is sized to adequately accommodate projected growth while also accounting for unpredictable circumstances that could alter the calculated supply of urban growth capacity or the number of housing units needed to accommodate projected population growth. The location of the UGA includes areas of the county that already have urban services or have solid commitments for future urban services, and as a result, would be inconsistent with the criteria for rural or Natural Resource Lands. The most recent developable capacity information, analyzed in the 2021\_King County Urban Growth Capacity Report affirms the adequacy of the existing UGA to accommodate all the county's projected growth through 2044. This is true both for the entire UGA and for the unincorporated portions of the UGA.

## II. Background

A foundational element of growth management planning in Washington state is the designation of urban, rural, and natural resource lands. Urban land is composed primarily of incorporated cities and towns, and secondarily of portions of unincorporated King County. Certain mapped urban lands may also be subject to the sovereign governmental authority of Indian tribes. Rural and Natural Resource Lands are portions of unincorporated King County, certain sub-portions of which are owned by, or held in trust for, Indian tribes. The Growth Management Act (GMA) requires the delineation of urban lands by establishment of the urban growth area (UGA), and of rural and natural resource lands by designation pursuant to comprehensive land use and zoning. While the GMA requires coordination of local plans, and population and employment projections are tacitly inclusive of tribal growth, Indian tribes have historically not been included in discussions about allocating urban growth within King County. King County recognizes that urban, rural,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policies [<u>LINK</u>]; 2021 King County Urban Growth Capacity Report, ratified 2022 [<u>LINK</u>] <sup>2</sup> Washington State Office of Financial Management, Growth Management Act County Projections, 2022 [<u>LINK</u>]; Puget Sound

Regional Council, VISION 2050 [LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Required by Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 36.70A.215 [LINK], and RCW 36.70A.130 [LINK]

and natural resource lands are inclusive of certain lands held and governed by Indian tribes, and King County will coordinate with Indian tribes as a part of County and countywide planning processes.

The 1992 Countywide Planning Policies established a framework UGA for King County. The County initially designated the UGA in its 1994 Comprehensive Plan based on this framework. Each city or town within King County is responsible for determining, through its comprehensive plan, land use within its borders, including accommodating the broad range of residential and nonresidential uses associated with urban growth. King County is responsible for establishing land use in the unincorporated portion of the UGA through its comprehensive plan.

Key factors used in setting the UGA size include population and employment projections, growth targets, and land capacity. Population and employment projections are predictions about likely future growth based on past trends. Growth targets are a jurisdiction's policy statement on the quantity of net new housing units and jobs it plans to accommodate over the 20-year planning period based on projections and the jurisdiction's rolein the regional growth strategy. Land capacity is derived from an estimate of vacant land plus the redevelopment potential of land already partially developed or underutilized. Discount factors are applied to the estimate of land capacity to account for probable constraints and likelihood of developing the land over the 20-year planning period.

Population and employment projections are useful as an indicator of the potential future demand for land capacity. Growth targets follow the development of specific goals and objectives for future growth, and under the GMA, they must be supported by commitments of funds, incentives, and regulations. Discounted capacity is a market-constrained estimate of how much growth may be accommodated in a geographic area.

Under the GMA, each county is required to plan to accommodate 20 years of population growth in its comprehensive plan. Counties are to establish a UGA "within which urban growth shall be encouraged and outside of which growth can occur only if it is not urban in nature." Further, based on OFM population projections, the GMA requires the UGA to "include areas and densities sufficient to permit the urban growth that is projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year period." As part of the county's planning, it must accommodate housing and employment growth targets, including institutional and other nonresidential uses. All cities are places for urban growth and, by law, must be included within the UGA. In addition, unincorporated areas may be included within the UGA "only if such territory already is characterized by urban growth or is adjacent to territory already characterized by urban growth." The UGA must also include greenbelt and open space areas. Several GMA goals, such as those dealing with affordable housing, economic development, open space, recreation, and the environment, also have an important bearing on these UGA requirements. These goals need to be balanced with those which encourage efficient urban growth and discourage urban sprawl.

The concurrency goal for public facilities and services in the GMA directs jurisdictions to ensure that "those public facilities and services necessary to support development shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards." King County also coordinates with school districts to ensure that schools are able to plan for projected growth. Ensuring adequate land capacity for industrial and commercial development and providing enough land capacity to allow for choices in where people live can help advance economic development and maintain housing affordability. If the UGA is adequately sized, then pressures to develop on environmentally constrained land and areas setaside for open space are reduced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> RCW 36.70A.110(1)[LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> RCW 36.70A.110(2) [LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> RCW 36.70A.110(1) [LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> RCW 36.70A.110(2) [LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> RCW 36.70A.020(12) [LINK]

## III. Size of the Urban Growth Area

#### A. Growth to be Accommodated

As shown in *Figure 1: Growth Targets Development Process* below, establishing the amount of population, housing, employment growth to be accommodated countywide and in individual King County jurisdictions begins with countywide and regional forecasts. Growth is allocated to King County and to groups of jurisdictions called "Regional Geographies" via VISION 2050's Regional Growth Strategy, and population growth is then converted to housing growth. Finally, jurisdictions grouped by Regional Geography collaboratively determine jurisdictional-level housing and employment growth targets based on local factors and input.

#### **Figure 1: Growth Targets Development Process**



PAA means Potential Annexation Area

#### 1. Projected Countywide Growth

Forecasted population growth is generally sourced from the most recent OFM growth management population projections, which are prepared at a countywide level. The OFM projections from 2017 were the most current forecast available during the target setting process in 2020 and 2021. The OFM projections contain "high," "medium," and "low" series of projected growth. King County has planned for the medium-series population growth projections in past Comprehensive Plan updates. In December 2022, OFM published a new population projection based on updated Census data and forecast assumptions. The 2022 medium series is approximately 1,000 persons greater from the 2017 medium series in the year 2044 (the last year in the planning period). Because of the limited differences between the 2017 and 2022 projections, the current countywide growth allocations adopted in the Countywide Planning Policies and the Comprehensive Plan are consistent with the 2022 projections.

In 2017, in preparation of VISION 2050, the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) produced a regional forecast for its jurisdiction, which includes King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties, forecasting population and employment through 2050. This forecast was used as the source for projected employment growth in past Comprehensive Plan updates. The PSRC forecasted population is comparable to the 2017 OFM population projection medium series for the four-county PSRC region. Because of the similar population projection, the use of the PSRC employment forecast for determining employment growth targets, and the primacy of VISION 2050's Regional Growth Strategy in allocating growth within the region, King County utilized the PSRC forecast as the source for countywide growth allocations in developing 2019-2044 growth targets.

As the PSRC forecast is at a regional scale, countywide shares of population and employment growth are applied to the regional totals to project growth for King County alone. These shares derive from VISION 2050's Regional Growth Strategy. The resulting population and job growth form King County's projected

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Puget Sound Regional Council, VISION 2050 Regional Growth Strategy [LINK]

countywide growth allocations for the 2019-2044 period. Figure 2 below shows how countywide growth allocations were determined.

#### 

#### **Figure 2: Countywide Population and Employment Projections**

Scale Step		Step		Calculation	Population	Employment	Explanation and Sources
	onal	А	2019 Estimate	N/A	4,203,400	2,295,608	Base year estimates Sources: OFM Population Estimates, PSRC Total Employment Estimates
	Regiona	В	2044 Projection	N/A	5,525,074	3,180,060	Horizon year projections Source: PSRC Macroeconomic Forecast
	_	С	2019-2044 Projection	A - B	1,321,674	884,452	Regional projection for the planning period
	Countywide	D	Regional Growth Strategy Share of King County Growth	N/A	50%	59%	Source: PSRC VISION 2050 Regional Growth Strategy for King County
		Е	2019-2044 Initial Countywide Growth	C*D	660,837	520,756	Regional Growth Strategy shares are applied to regional projection to create King County projection
	Count	F	Share of Construction/Resource jobs	N/A	N/A	5.7%	Construction/Resource employment are removed from total as jobs generally do not have fixed worksites  PSRC Total Employment Estimates
		G	2019-2044 Countywide Growth	E * (1-F)	660,837	490,854	Countywide population projection

VISION 2050's Regional Growth Strategy apportions 50 percent of the region's population growth and 59 percent of the region's employment growth to King County. For comparison, in 2020, King County housed 53 percent of the region's population and 67 percent of the region's jobs. <sup>10</sup> The Regional Growth Strategy shares reflect King County's role within the region and includes policy choices aimed at improving the regional balance of jobs and housing among the four PSRC counties. The Regional Growth Strategy disperses employment growth traditionally concentrated in King County but retains a similar share of population growth.

Finally, before sub-county allocations are made, the countywide employment growth number is adjusted to remove construction and resource sector employment. While the contributions from these sectors are essential to King County's economy, construction and resource jobs are less likely to have a fixed worksite, e.g., an office or storefront, and resource sector jobs are generally less urban. These jobs are removed from the countywide allocation.

### 

#### 2. Allocation of Population, Housing, and Job Growth within King County

With the countywide population and employment growth allocations established, the next step is to allocate population, housing, and jobs to jurisdictions within King County. Growth is allocated to VISION 2050 Regional Geographies before being allocated to individual jurisdictions. Regional Geographies are groups of cities and urban unincorporated areas that have similar characteristics, such as designated regional growth centers or high-capacity transit infrastructure.<sup>11</sup>

The policy goals of VISION 2050 and the 2021 Countywide Planning Policies define how growth is allocated to Regional Geographies. Metropolitan and Core Cities have regional growth centers and existing or planned high-capacity transit investments, and collectively are planned to accommodate the greatest share of projected growth. High Capacity Transit Communities are cities and urban unincorporated areas with planned high-capacity transit investments that will allow these jurisdictions to accommodate a larger share

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> US Census Bureau, Decennial Census 2020; Puget Sound Regional Council, Covered Employment Estimates, 2020 [<u>LINK</u>]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Puget Sound Regional Council, VISION 2050 Regional Growth Strategy [LINK]

of future growth. 12 Cities and Towns and the Urban Unincorporated areas accommodate the least amount of projected growth as they lack high-capacity transit and growth centers or are surrounded by the Rural Area. Rural King County is included in the countywide distribution but does not receive a growth target. Figure 3: Share of Growth by Regional GeographyFigure 3 lists the six Regional Geography categories and their associated growth shares from VISION 2050 that were used to sub-allocate the countywide growth allocations.

218219

Figure 3: Share of Growth by Regional Geography

Regional Geography	Share of Countywide Population	Share of Countywide Employment
Metropolitan Cities	44%	46%
Core Cities	40%	45%
High Capacity Transit Communities	11%	6%
Cities and Towns	5%	3%
Urban Unincorporated	0.5%	0.1%
Rural	0.7%	0.4%

220

population and employment growth. Population was then converted to housing units to support the development of housing targets. The conversion used household assumptions (share of group quarters population, household size, and vacancy rates) created for each regional geography from jurisdiction-level 2018 American Community Survey and OFM population estimates, averaged to regional geography, and then adjusted to reflect forecasted trends in reduced household size and longer-term vacancy rates.

226227

Figure 4 shows the housing, employment, and population initially allocated to Regional Geographies.

The shares in Figure 3 were applied to the 2019-2044 countywide growth from Figure 2 to create Regional Geography level

228229

Figure 4: Allocated Growth by Regional Geography

Regional Geography	2019-2044 Population Growth	2019-2044 Housing Growth	2019-2044 Employment Growth
Metropolitan Cities	288,407	134,500	223,508
Core Cities	261,912	112,859	222,789
High Capacity Transit Communities	69,641	29,933	28,747
Cities and Towns	33,307	13,985	12,936
Urban Unincorporated	3,028	1,292	719
Rural	4,542	4,211	2,156
Urban King County Subtotal	656,295	292,569	488,698
King County Total	660,837	296,780	490,854

230

231232

233

234

#### 3. Allocation of Projected Growth to Cities and Urban Unincorporated King County

The final phase of the growth target setting process allocated growth to cities and potential annexation areas in urban unincorporated King County. This was a two-step process. First, Regional Geography allocations were apportioned into an initial range of growth target scenarios for individual cities and PAAs.

<sup>12</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> In King County, the High Capacity Transit Communities regional geography includes the Potential Annexation Areas (PAAs) for Federal Way, Renton, and Seattle (North Highline). These areas were selected because a portion of each PAA falls within a ½-mile of a future light rail station or contains an existing or planned bus rapid transit stop.

Each scenario within the range is based on a proportional allocation of Regional Geography growth to cities and potential annexation areas based on relevant data, including existing capacity from the Urban Growth Capacity Report, existing development and recent growth, the number of regional growth centers, and number of transit station areas.

The initial growth target ranges provided the foundation for the second step of this stage of the process, where planning staff representing the 39 cities and unincorporated King County were convened by their VISION 2050 Regional Geography to negotiate the set of draft growth targets. Each Regional Geography group met approximately five times. Groups discussed the preliminary target ranges created in the previous step, weighing the merits and relevancy of capacity, existing development, transit and transportation connections, growth rates, and other supplied data to allocate growth targets within their Regional Geography. Groups worked iteratively, collectively identifying a baseline set of housing and employment targets from the preliminary target ranges as a starting place for negotiation, and then individually working with other jurisdictional staff and elected officials to develop a jurisdictional position on the baseline. King County staff then assembled the individual positions from jurisdictions and convened the Regional Geography groups again to collectively review and attempt to close any gap between the individual growth target positions and the Regional Geography growth allocation.

The ultimate result of this process are the growth targets adopted by the King County Council and ratified by the cities in the 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policies.<sup>13</sup> Figure 5 displays the adopted housing and employment growth targets for 2019-2044.

Figure 5: King County Jurisdiction Growth Targets 2019-2044

		Net New Units and Jobs		
	Jurisdiction	2019-2044 Housing Target	2019-2044 Jobs Target	
Metro Cities	Bellevue	35,000	70,000	
Cit @	Seattle	112,000	169,500	
Metropoli	itan Cities Subtotal	147,000	239,500	
	Auburn	12,000	19,520	
	Bothell	5,800	9,500	
	Burien	7,500	4,770	
	Federal Way	11,260	20,460	
Core Cities	Issaquah	3,500	7,950	
o O	Kent	10,200	32,000	
Cor	Kirkland	13,200	26,490	
	Redmond	20,000	24,000	
	Renton	17,000	31,780	
	SeaTac	5,900	14,810	
	Tukwila	6,500	15,890	
Core Citie	s Subtotal	112,860	207,170	
city	Des Moines	3,800	2,380	
h Capad Transit mmunit	Federal Way PAA*	1,020	720	
High Capacity Transit Communities	Kenmore	3,070	3,200	
Hig O	Lake Forest Park	870	550	

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  GMPC Motion 21-1 initially adopted by Ordinance 19384 [<u>LINK</u>], and amended in 2022 by GMPC Motion 22-1 adopted by Ordinance 19553 [<u>LINK</u>]

		Net New Units and Jobs		
	Jurisdiction	2019-2044 Housing Target	2019-2044 Jobs Target	
	Mercer Island	1,239	1,300	
	Newcastle	1,480	500	
	North Highline PAA*	1,420	1,220	
	Renton PAA* - East Renton	170	0	
	Renton PAA* - Fairwood	840	100	
	Renton PAA* - Skyway/West Hill	670	600	
	Shoreline	13,330	10,000	
	Woodinville	2,033	5,000	
High Cap	acity Transit Communities Subtotal	29,942	25,570	
	Algona	170	325	
	Beaux Arts	1	0	
	Black Diamond	2,900	680	
	Carnation	799	450	
	Clyde Hill	10	10	
	Covington	4,310	4,496	
	Duvall	890	990	
/ns	Enumclaw	1,057	989	
Cities and Towns	Hunts Point	1	0	
pur	Maple Valley	1,720	1,570	
es	Medina	19	0	
Ċ <u>i</u>	Milton	50	900	
	Normandy Park	153	35	
	North Bend	1,748	2,218	
	Pacific	135	75	
	Sammamish	2,100	728	
	Skykomish	10	0	
	Snoqualmie	1,500	4,425	
	Yarrow Point	10	0	
Cities and	l Towns Subtotal	17,583	17,891	
	Auburn PAA*	12	0	
70	Bellevue PAA*	17	0	
atec	Black Diamond PAA*	328	0	
por	Issaquah PAA*	35	0	
ICOL	Kent PAA*	3	300	
Urban Unincorporated	Newcastle PAA*	1	0	
an L	Pacific PAA*	134	0	
Urb	Redmond PAA*	120	0	
	Sammamish PAA*	194	0	
	Unaffiliated Urban Unincorporated	448	400	
Urban Un	incorporated Subtotal	1,292	700	

	Net New Units and Jobs		
Jurisdiction	2019-2044 Housing Target	2019-2044 Jobs Target	
Urban Growth Area Total	308,677	490,831	

#### 4. 2019-2044 Urban Unincorporated Growth Targets

As shown in Figure 5, growth targets for urban unincorporated King County are divided across two Regional Geographies. Growth targets for the Federal Way PAA, North Highline, and Renton PAA are in the High Capacity Transit Communities Regional Geography, reflecting the planned transit investments in these areas. <sup>14</sup> Targets for other PAAs and unaffiliated urban areas are included in the Urban Unincorporated Regional Geography. Per the Countywide Planning Policies, growth targets were allocated to PAAs in the Urban Unincorporated Regional Geography proportionately based on their relative capacity. <sup>15</sup> Growth targets for the PAAs in the High Capacity Transit Communities geography were also allocated relative to their existing capacity to be consistent with the intent of the Countywide Planning Policies, but not directly proportional to the capacity of urban unincorporated King County given the other jurisdictions in the group.

## B. Land Capacity in the UGA

#### 1. Countywide

The GMA requires King County and the cities in King County to include land area and densities sufficient to accommodate projected growth over the 20-year planning period within the UGA. <sup>16</sup> Through the review and evaluation program, King County ensures that sufficient urban capacity for growth persists during the planning period and beyond, ensuring a stable UGA boundary. In 2021, King County completed the Urban Growth Capacity Report, its fourth edition of the required evaluation. <sup>17</sup> King County's previous reports have consistently reported sufficient capacity within the UGA for projected growth.

The Urban Growth Capacity Report assesses patterns of development within the UGA and uses assumptions to estimate a more "market-based" capacity for growth, to test whether capacity is realistically available for future development. <sup>18</sup> Available land supply is identified by its likeliness to re-develop. Densities used to calculate capacity are informed by recent development in a zone, rather than the base or maximum densities expressed in zoning. A "market factor" is applied to the calculated capacity to discount capacity unlikely to develop during the planning period. Therefore, capacity calculated under the Urban Growth Capacity Report is generally a more conservative estimate than the total amount allowed by zoning.

The Urban Growth Capacity Report found that King County had capacity for over 400,000 housing units and 600,000 jobs within the UGA.<sup>19</sup> This was ample capacity to accommodate the remaining projected growth from the previous, 2006-2035 growth targets, and sufficient to accommodate the projected growth under the 2019-2044 growth targets, as reported in Figure 5.

Amendments to the GMA in 2017 ushered in new requirements for the review and evaluation program.<sup>20</sup> The amendments formalized how assumptions about infrastructure gaps and market factors should be considered and expanded the purview for when "reasonable measures" would be required to correct for measured inconsistencies to include the achievement of growth targets and urban densities. The Urban

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The Puget Sound Regional Council assigned the entirety of an affiliated PAA to its VISION 2050 Regional Geographies. While Fairwood and East Renton do not have planned high-capacity transit investments during this planning period, they are in the High Capacity Transit Communities geography because the Renton PAA was assigned to it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policy DP-12g [LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> RCW 36.70A.110 [LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> King County, 2021 King County Urban Growth Capacity Report, ratified 2022 [LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Patterns of development analysis required by RCW 36.70A.130 [LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> King County, 2021 King County Urban Growth Capacity Report, ratified 2022 [LINK]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill 5254, 2017 [LINK]

Growth Capacity Report reported on these additional indicators, as a part of its assessment of the patterns of development with the UGA.

The Urban Growth Capacity Report analyzed progress made by cities and urban unincorporated King County towards achieving 2006-2035 growth targets. Because past reports had not focused on this specific outcome before, the 2021 report examined growth since 2006 and through 2018. Over this period, urban King County grew at a rate to achieve its adopted growth targets. Approximately 41 percent of the previous target period had elapsed between 2006-2018. Urban King County achieved 47 percent of its housing and employment targets during the period, growing slightly faster than this prorated pace.

Countywide, development trends analyzed under the Urban Growth Capacity Report showed that urban densities were being achieved within the UGA. While there is not a stated definition of "urban" growth stated as a density goal, 70 percent of residential development during the period analyzed by the report was developed at densities of at least 48 dwelling units per acre, and about 17 percent of development occurred at densities less than 10 dwelling units per acre. 98 percent of residential development was over four dwelling units per acre.

While the Urban Growth Capacity Report found that sufficient capacity was available in the UGA for projected growth, that urban densities were being achieved, and that urban King County was on track to achieve its 2006-2035 growth targets, a small number of cities lacked sufficient capacity for projected growth or were not growing at a rate to achieve their targets. The Urban Growth Capacity Report noted the cities where inconsistencies were identified and recommended that the cities evaluate whether reasonable measures were required to be taken in the 2024 periodic update to comprehensive plans to correct for the inconsistency.

Figure 6 lists these cities and the observed inconsistencies. Some inconsistencies may have been corrected by adopting revised growth targets for the 2019-2044 planning period. The observed inconsistency for the City of Sammamish related to an infrastructure deficiency that has since been resolved. Following the adoption of comprehensive plans in 2024, each jurisdiction will be required to monitor progress toward resolving the inconsistency, with regular reporting to the Growth Management Planning Council.

Figure 6: Recommendations for Adoption of Reasonable Measures from the 2021 Urban Growth Capacity Report

Jurisdiction	Inconsistency Identified
Burien	<ul><li>Insufficient employment capacity</li><li>Employment growth inconsistent with target</li></ul>
Pacific	Insufficient employment capacity
Sammamish	Insufficient housing capacity
Shoreline	Insufficient employment capacity
Tukwila	<ul><li>Housing growth inconsistent with target</li><li>Employment growth inconsistent with target</li></ul>

### 2. Unincorporated King County

The Urban Growth Capacity report found sufficient capacity for the remaining portion of the previous housing growth targets, with a shortfall of capacity for the remaining employment target. The evaluation revealed capacity for 7,383 housing units and 2,207 jobs, with 7,339 housing units and 4,343 jobs remaining of the previous period's 2006-2035 growth targets. The 2006-2035 urban unincorporated employment targets included a target of over 4,000 jobs for the Bear Creek Urban Planned Development, a fully contained community surrounded by the rural area. The target was a reflection of estimates of commercial

space planned for the community. As the development built out in Bear Creek in the last decade, the community added 1,100 jobs between 2006 and 2020, approximately a quarter of the anticipated growth there. <sup>21</sup> Because of the nature of the development in a fully contained community constrained by developer agreements and subdivision controls, additional growth is not expected to reach the originally targeted level. The 2019-2044 growth targets reflect reduced expectations for employment growth in the urban unincorporated area, more in line with the land supply and capacity for employment growth, to remedy the apparent capacity shortfall.

For the 2019-2044 planning period, King County is planning for a total of 5,412 housing units and 3,340 jobs in the urban unincorporated area. The land capacity analysis performed to support the development of the 2024 update to the Comprehensive Plan found capacity for 29,600 housing units and 62,900 jobs under current zoning at base densities.

Zoned capacity is higher than the capacity calculated in the Urban Growth Capacity Report, particularly for employment. This reflects the differences seen in recent development, which strongly influenced the capacity calculated in the Urban Growth Capacity Report, and what is allowed under the zoning code. The significant difference in non-residential capacity also owes to the imprecision in estimating a job-based capacity, as the quantity of employees varies across businesses and workplace types and is not directly controlled by the zoning code or land use designations.

## IV. Conclusion

The UGA is sized appropriately to accommodate the projected growth in housing and employment over the 2019-2044 planning period. As demonstrated in the 2021 King County Urban Growth Capacity Report, patterns of development within the UGA have been urban in nature and growth has been occurring at a rate to achieve growth targets. Urban unincorporated King County has sufficient capacity under the current zoning to accommodate its growth targets for the 2019-2044 period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Puget Sound Regional Council, Covered Employment Estimates, 2020 [LINK]