



King County

I-207 Analysis^{1,2}
2024 King County Comprehensive Plan

December 2023

¹ In response to King County Comprehensive Plan Policy I-207 and King County Code (K.C.C.) 20.18.030 requirements for an analysis of proposed policy changes.

² Per Ordinance 19585, serial commas are being added throughout the Comprehensive Plan. If the proposed changes to a policy are solely to add a serial comma(s), those policies are not included in this document.

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 1 Regional Growth Management Planning								
RP-101 King County shall strive to provide a high quality of life for all of its residents by: working with cities, special purpose districts, and residents to develop attractive, safe, and accessible communities at appropriate urban and rural service levels; ((retain)) retaining rural character and rural neighborhoods; <u>planning for and accommodating housing affordable to all income levels; ((support)) supporting economic development; ((promote)) promoting equity and racial and social justice; ((preserve and maintain)) preserving and maintaining resource and open space lands; ((preserve)) preserving the natural environment; and ((protect)) protecting significant cultural and historic resources.</u>	Substantive change	Updated to reflect 2022 House Bill 1220 and Countywide Planning Policy housing mandates Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent	County actions support development of and access to housing affordable to all incomes	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	Programmatic and Regulatory	Proposed changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expand voluntary inclusionary housing program to all urban unincorporated areas and two rural towns. Streamline permitting processes for and incentivize development of middle housing. Streamline permitting processes for emergency housing. 	No	Ongoing
RP-102 <u>In its planning processes, including the development, update, and implementation of King County plans, ((King)) the County shall use equitable engagement strategies to actively solicit public participation from a wide variety of sources, particularly from populations historically underrepresented or excluded from planning processes ((in its planning processes, including the development, update, and implementation of its plans)).</u>	Substantive change	To improve equitable planning framework and address Countywide Planning Policies about prioritizing needs of underrepresented communities in access to services/process. To move beyond public participation, to not only engagement, but equitable engagement.	Improved engagement with priority populations, which can result in more equitable outcomes for those populations in County plans	Countywide Planning Policies, FW-6 and FW-8 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	Yes	Ongoing
RP-103 King County shall ((seek comment from)) <u>coordinate with Indian tribes during its planning processes in a manner that respects their sovereign</u>	Substantive change	Policy amendment for consistency with 2022 House Bill	Improved coordination with Indian tribes	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<u>status, promotes tribal self-determination and self-governance, and honors past and present agreements.</u>		1717, and to clarify the manner in which the County will coordinate with Indian tribes consistent with existing practices.						
((R-102)) RP-103a King County ((will)) shall continue to support the diversity and richness of its rural communities and their distinct character by working with its rural constituencies through its Community Service Areas program to sustain and enhance the rural character of Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-104 King County's planning ((should)) shall include multicounty, countywide, and subarea levels of planning. Working with <u>planning partners, such as residents, special purpose districts ((and)), cities, and Indian tribes ((as planning partners))</u> , the ((e)) County shall strive to balance the differing needs identified across or within plans at these geographic levels.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current mandates for and scope of County land use planning. Reoriented this a "such as" list, as this may not be the full range and it may vary in certain instances. Including Indian tribes to align with RP-103	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-105 King County ((should)) shall work with the Growth Management Planning Council to adopt Countywide Planning Policies that support ((annual ratifications to)) allocated housing and employment growth targets for cities and the county, <u>approve designations of countywide centers, and address other countywide planning topics.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-106 ((Except for Four to One proposals,)) King County shall not amend the Urban Growth Area prior to the Growth Management Planning Council taking action on the proposed amendment to the Urban Growth Area.	Substantive change	To align with existing mandates in the Countywide Planning Policies, current practice, and	No change; reflects existing practice and requirements	Countywide Planning Policy DP-16	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Growth Management Planning Council Four-to-One program review recommendations						
RP-107 King County shall not forward to the Growth Management Planning Council for its recommendation any proposed amendment to the Urban Growth Area unless the proposal was: a. Included in the scoping motion for a King County Comprehensive Plan update; b. ((An)) Subject to area zoning study ((of the proposal)) that was included in the public review draft of a proposed King County Comprehensive Plan update; or c. Subjected to the hearing examiner process for site specific map amendments as ((contemplated)) established by the King County Code.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-108 King County shall implement the Countywide Planning Policies through its Comprehensive Plan and through Potential Annexation Area, preannexation, and other interlocal agreements with ((its)) cities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Its" inaccurately implies ownership of/jurisdiction over the cities by the County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-109 King County should establish and/or participate in regional and subregional partnerships to advance the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan ((such as: a. The King County Cities Climate Collaboration (the "K4C") to confront climate change, b. The Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Transit Oriented Development Program to advance transit-oriented development around transit stations and hubs, c. The Eastside Rail Corridor Regional Advisory Council, or successor groups, to support a vision that includes dual use (recreation trail and public transportation) and multiple objectives, consistent with its federal railbanked status, and	Substantive change	List is not necessary and is also not reflective of all the areas the County does this, which could result in a very long list. As noted in the narrative following this policy, these issues are addressed throughout the Comp Plan.	No change; the "should" directive in this policy will continue	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
d. The Regional Code Collaboration to collaborate on development of and updates to green building codes.))								
RP-109a <u>Upon notification from a city that abuts the Rural Area or Natural Resource lands regarding proposed large, mixed-use developments, King County shall coordinate the city to ensure that the development review process mitigates impacts on the surrounding Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.</u>	New policy	Addresses Countywide Planning Policy change concerning rural-adjacent cities with proposed large developments adjacent to rural area need to coordinate to mitigate impacts.	Help to ensure that development impacts on the rural area and natural resource lands are considered during the development review process.	Countywide Planning Policy DP-11	Programmatic	n/a	No	2025
RP-110 King County's planning should strengthen communities by addressing all the elements, resources and needs that make a community whole, ((including:)) such as housing affordable to all income levels, economic growth and the built environment, environmental sustainability, regional and local mobility, health and human potential, and justice and safety.	Substantive change	Updated to reflect 2022 House Bill 1220 and Countywide Planning Policy housing mandates Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent	County actions support development of and access to housing affordable to all	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	Programmatic and Regulatory	n/a	n/a	n/a
((RP-112 King County shall incorporate approaches to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and prepare for the impacts of climate change into its land use and transportation planning, economic development efforts, and natural resource management.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to other policies, including new climate change Guiding Principle in RP-207	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-113 The King County Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map is adopted as part of ((this)) the Comprehensive Plan. ((#)) The Land Use Map shall depict ((s)) the ((Urban Growth Area,)) Urban Growth Area ((B)) boundary ((:)) ; land use designations for unincorporated urban areas, the Rural Area, and Natural Resource Lands; and other ((land uses)) appropriate information. The official Land Use Map shall be maintained in the King County Geographic Information System, and the Land Use Map at the end	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edited for accuracy and to reflect policy direction, as these are currently written as statements.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
of this chapter generally represents the official ((Comprehensive Plan Land Use M))map.								
RP-114 When updating the Comprehensive Plan, King County shall ((continue its process of reviewing county regulatory and administrative actions)) review proposals so as to avoid unconstitutional takings of private property.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-115 ((Subarea plans, including area zoning studies, provide detailed land use plans for local geographic areas.)) Subarea plans implement and shall be elements of the King County Comprehensive Plan and shall be consistent with the Plan's policies, development regulations, and Land Use Map. ((The s))Subarea plans should be consistent with functional plans' facility and service standards. ((The s))Subarea plans may include, but are not limited to: a. Identification of policies in the Comprehensive Plan that apply to the subarea; b. Review and update of applicable ((e))Community Service Area Subarea ((p))Plan policies; c. Specific land uses and implementing zoning, consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; d. Identification of the boundaries of Unincorporated Activity Centers, <u>countywide centers</u> , and Rural Towns; e. Recommendations for the establishment of new Unincorporated Activity Centers((-)) and Regional, Community, and Neighborhood Business Centers, if appropriate; f. Recommendations for additional Open Space designations and park sites; g. Recommendations for capital improvements((- the means and schedule for providing them and amendments to functional plans)) to support planned land uses and community priorities; h. Resolution of land use and service issues in Potential Annexation Areas; i. Identification of new issues that need resolution at a countywide level;	Substantive change	Countywide centers is added to the "may be included in subarea plans:" in sub-d to reflect the Countywide Planning Policies states that the center should be identified in the comprehensive plan, and that planning for the center can be, but does not have to be, a part of a subarea or center plan, or as a part of the comprehensive plan. Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent and to remove statement that is redundant to narrative	Allows for subarea plans, including but not limited Community Service Area subarea plans, to consider planning supportive of potential countywide center designations in the Countywide Planning Policies	Countywide Planning Policies Appendix 6 (Part 2.A.1 and Part 2.B.1)	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
j. Identification of all necessary implementing measures needed to carry out the plan; k. Specific land uses and zoning that encourage healthy, livable communities by promoting physical activity of walking and bicycling; and l. Identification of locations and conditions for special overlay districts.								
RP-116 King County should identify the financial costs and public benefits of proposed subarea and functional plans prior to adoption to ensure that implementation can be appropriately prioritized.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to CP-100	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-117 Functional plans for facilities and services ((should)) : a. Shall ((B)) be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and subarea ((and neighborhood)) plans; b. ((Define required)) Shall be consistent with service levels that are appropriate for the Urban Growth Area, Rural Area, and Natural Resource Lands; c. May ((P)) provide standards for location, design, and operation of public facilities and services; d. Should ((S)) specify adequate, stable, and equitable methods of pay for public facilities and services; e. May ((B)) be the basis for scheduling needed facilities and services through capital improvement programs; and f. Should ((P)) plan for maintenance of existing facilities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to reflect nuance of what the current adopted plans do and what possible future plans might do	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((RP-118 Existing functional plans that have not been adopted as part of this Comprehensive Plan shall remain in effect and continue as official county policy until reviewed and revised to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, or until repealed or replaced. In case of conflict or inconsistency between applicable policies in existing community and functional plans and the Comprehensive Plan, the Comprehensive Plan shall govern.	Clarification of existing policy intent	The County reviewed all functional plans and have updated the code to reflect current applicability. Given this, this policy is no longer needed.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-119 King County shall prepare functional plans to identify countywide facility and service needs and	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is not current/applicable to	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
define ways to fund these consistent with the King County Comprehensive Plan. Independent special purpose districts and other public agencies also prepare functional plans that should be considered by King County.))		the current functional planning framework. This was based on a robust functional planning approach in the 1994 Comprehensive Plan. The County now only has two functional plans, and instead has other non-functional plans that address the intent of this policy. But those are implementation/strategic plans and are not formally part of the Comprehensive Plan. See new "other planning" subsection in Chapter 1.						
RP 120 King County will measure and assess agency performance and the achievement of Countywide Planning Policies and Comprehensive Plan goals.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Duplicative of I-301	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP 121 Using best management practices, King County shall develop assessment and review tools to ensure that health, equity, social, and environmental justice impacts are considered in the development, implementation and funding of county projects and programs.	Clarification of existing policy intent	These tools have been developed. The policy is no longer needed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP 122 Planning in King County shall be consistent with the King County Strategic Plan by: a. Encouraging vibrant, economically thriving and sustainable communities; b. Enhancing the county's natural resources and the environment; c. Supporting safe communities; and	Clarification of existing policy intent	Global edit throughout the Comprehensive Plan to remove King County Strategic Plan references,	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
d. Providing equitable opportunities for all individuals.)		consistent with Ordinance 19540.						
RP-201 In its policies and regulations, King County shall ((strive to)) promote sustainable and equitable neighborhoods and communities. King County shall seek to ensure that the benefits and impacts of the ((e)) County's activities are equitably distributed among all segments of the population.	Substantive change	Updated to reflect current practice and equity goals	Improved equitable outcomes for priority populations, including more accessible housing	Countywide Planning Polices H-18, H-19 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic and Regulatory	Proposed changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expand voluntary inclusionary housing program to all urban unincorporated areas and two rural towns. Streamline permitting processes for and incentivize development of middle housing. Streamline permitting processes for emergency housing. 	No	Ongoing
RP-203 King County shall continue to ((support the reduction of)) limit sprawl by focusing growth and future development in the Urban Growth Area, consistent with adopted growth targets.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Streamlining and to reflect current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-205 King County ((will)) shall, when implementing and evaluating its land use policies, programs, investments and practices, ((seek to reduce health inequities)) identify and proactively address issues of equity ((,)) racial, social, and environmental justice; disparate health outcomes; and physical, economic, and cultural displacement ((when evaluating and implementing its land use policies, programs, and practices)) .	Substantive change	Reflects current practice of evaluating equity and racial and social justice impacts, and Countywide Planning Policies about community investment strategies, engagement activities and planning, and addressing health	Ensure impacts are more fully considered in planning practices, which can result in more equitable outcomes for priority populations	Countywide Planning Policy DP-6 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan.	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		disparities through land use strategies. Additional edits for clarity, and to reflect that "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen						
RP-206 King County (will) shall protect, restore and enhance its natural resources and environment((,)) and encourage sustainable agriculture and forestry((,) reduce climate pollution and prepare for the effects of climate change, including consideration of the inequities and disparities that may be caused by climate change)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Climate elements addressed in new Guiding Principle RP-207 "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RP-207 King County shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions, advance climate equity, and prepare for climate change impacts. The following principles shall guide and be integrated in County climate action: a. <u>Act with urgency and intention;</u> b. <u>Lead with racial justice and equity;</u> c. <u>Respond to community needs and priorities;</u> d. <u>Use and develop a comprehensive and data- and science-driven approach;</u> e. <u>Seek systemic solutions;</u> f. <u>Build partnerships;</u> g. <u>Lead through local action;</u> h. <u>Prioritize health and co-benefits; and</u> i. <u>Be transparent and accountable.</u>	New policy	Consolidates climate elements from RP-206, with updates to: • align with the three main sections and guiding principles of the Strategic Climate Action Plan • reflect new climate change Growth Management Act planning goal • address directives of the Countywide Planning Policies.	Advances the County's work to establish a framework for its climate change-related work and to improve implementation and related community outcomes.	Countywide Planning Policies DP-5, DP-6, EN-5, and EN-28 Strategic Climate Action Plan 2023 House Bill 1181	Regulatory and Programmatic	Recent updates to county green building standards in K.C.C. Title 18	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 2 Urban Communities								
U-102 The Urban Growth Area designations shown on the official Land Use Map include enough land to provide the countywide capacity, as required by the Growth Management Act, to accommodate residential (including housing affordable to all income levels), commercial, and institutional growth expected (over the period 2006-2034) between 2019 and 2044. These lands should include only those lands that meet the following criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>1.</u> Are characterized by urban development that can be efficiently and cost effectively served by roads, water, sanitary sewer and storm drainage, schools, and other urban governmental services within the next 20 years; ((b-)) <u>2.</u> Do not extend beyond natural boundaries, such as watersheds, which impede provision of urban services; ((c-)) <u>3.</u> Respect topographical features that form a natural edge, such as rivers and ridge lines; ((d-)) <u>4.</u> Are sufficiently free of environmental constraints to be able to support urban growth without major environmental impacts, unless such areas are designated as an urban separator by interlocal agreement between jurisdictions; ((e- Are included within the Bear Creek Urban Planned Development sites; and f.)) <u>5.</u> Are not Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands; and <u>6.</u> Are not within the 100-year floodplain of any river or river segment that has a mean annual flow of 1,000 or more cubic feet per second as determined by the Washington State Department of Ecology, unless otherwise exempted under Chapter 36.70A Revised Code of Washington; or 	Substantive change	Updated to reflect 2022 House Bill 1220 and Countywide Planning Policy housing mandates Sub-a.6. is added to address requirements in RCW 36.70A.110(8) Clarifies existing intent: This is an "and" list – meaning that Urban Growth Area lands need to meet all of the things on this list, which is not the case for current sub-e, as there are urban lands outside of the former-Urban Planned Developments. Restructured and added the former-Urban Planned Developments using their current names as a separate "or" in sub-b. to address this exception.	Ensures there is enough zoned capacity for housing needs and urban lands are free from extreme flood hazards	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	Regulatory	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
b. Are included within the Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge East, and Trilogy neighborhoods.								
U-103 Parcels that are split by the Urban Growth Area boundary ((line)) should be reviewed for possible redesignation to either all urban area or all Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands taking into consideration: a. Whether the parcel is split to recognize ((environmentally sensitive features)) critical areas; b. The parcel's geographic features; c. Whether the parcel will be added to an adjoining city's Potential Annexation Area; and d. The requirements of interlocal agreements, or the requirements of King County plans.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-104 Rural zoned properties that are immediately adjacent to a city and are planned or designated for park purposes by that city may be redesignated to urban when the city has committed to designate the property in perpetuity in ((a form satisfactory to)) <u>an interlocal agreement or conservation easement adopted by the King County Council for park purposes and:</u> a. The property is ((no more)) less than 30 acres in size and was acquired by the city prior to 1994; <u>or</u> b. ((The property is no more than 30 acres in size and receives county support through a park or recreation facility transfer agreement between King County and a city; or c.) The property is ((or was formerly)) a King County park and is being ((or has been)) transferred to a city.	Substantive change	To align with existing mandates in the Countywide Planning Policies. Updates form of implementing vehicle to for clarity, to reflect the legal options to enforce this	No change; reflects existing practice and requirements	Countywide Planning Policy DP-17	Regulatory	n/a	n/a	n/a
((U-105 Existing or proposed churches in the Rural Area may be included within the Urban Growth Area when all of the following criteria are met: a. The church property must have an interior lot line as defined by King County Code 21A.06.730 that is adjacent to the original Urban Growth Area boundary as established by the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan, excluding the Urban Growth Areas of Cities in the Rural Area and excluding Urban	Clarification of existing policy intent	Outdated policy that has since been implemented and is no longer needed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Growth Area boundaries established through the Four to One Program; b. The church property shall not be adjacent to an Agricultural Production District or the Forest Production District; c. Sewer service is required once the property is included in the Urban Growth Area; d. Direct vehicular access to a principal arterial road is required; and e. The church property shall be included in the Potential Annexation Area of the appropriate city at the same time it is included in the Urban Growth Area.								
U-107 King County should support ((land use and zoning)) actions that promote public health ((by increasing opportunities for every resident to be more physically active)); <u>address racially and environmentally disparate outcomes; support safe and convenient daily physical activity and social connectivity; protect from exposure to harmful substances and environments; increase life opportunities and access to employment; and support housing in high-opportunity areas</u> ((Land use and zoning actions include)), through activities such as: a. ((e))Concentrating growth, infrastructure, and services into the Urban Growth Area; b. ((p))Promoting urban centers; c. ((a))Allowing mixed-use developments; d. ((s))Supporting access to healthy, affordable retail foods; and e. ((a))Adding pedestrian and bicycle facilities and connections.	Substantive change	Addresses Countywide Planning Policies regarding addressing health disparities through land use strategies, including but beyond physical activity. Also consolidates Comprehensive Plan Policies U108, U-109, and U-109a.	Improved health outcomes for priority populations.	Countywide Planning Policy DP-6 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan.	Regulatory and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((U-108 King County should support the development of Urban Centers to meet the region's needs for housing, jobs, services, culture and recreation and to promote healthy communities; improving access to these services helps address social and economic needs of all residents, including disadvantaged communities. Strategies may include exploring opportunities for joint development or transit-oriented development, siting civic uses in mixed-use areas, and	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in U-107	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
leveraging or utilizing existing county assets in urban centers.								
U-109 King County should concentrate facilities and services within the Urban Growth Area to make it a desirable place to live and work, to increase the opportunities for walking and biking within the community, to more efficiently use existing infrastructure capacity and to reduce the long-term costs of infrastructure maintenance.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in U-107	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-109a King County should encourage development, facilities and policies that lead to compact communities that transit can serve efficiently and effectively. As funding permits, King County should partner with jurisdictions and the private sector to spur development of compact communities and infrastructure investments that enhance alternatives to single occupant vehicles such as transit, safe walking paths and trails, bicycle facilities, car and van pools, and other modes.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in U-107	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
(U-132a)) U-111a King County shall allow and support the development of ((innovative)) community gardens and urban agriculture throughout ((the public realm of)) residential and commercial areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Changes to related to 2016 Work Plan Action 5 – Implementation Needs. Relocated to reflect original intent; previous location in "mixed use development" section implied it was limited to mixed use development, which it was not meant to "Innovative" is unclear what it would entail. Allowing urban agriculture in residential and	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		<p>commercial zones is a new substantive change as it is. It's unknown what being more innovative beyond that would entail.</p> <p>"Public realm" is unclear. This could/should be both for common areas of urban residential and urban commercial developments, but also as standalone uses in urban residential and urban commercial zones. It is not necessary for the policy.</p> <p>Note that, as an outstanding Work Plan Action 5 item, this also has related code changes proposed in K.C.C. Title 21A to implement policy amendments adopted in 2016</p>						
U-112 King County ((will)) shall work with cities, communities, residents, and developers to ((design communities and development projects that)) employ green infrastructure and other ((techniques)) approaches that help reduce heat islands ((throughout the community and the region)) and the health effects of extreme heat on residents, particularly in frontline	Substantive change	Changes address Countywide Planning Policies regarding creating and protecting green infrastructure systems and	Improve built environments and thereby improve health outcomes.	Countywide Planning Policy DP-43 Strategic Climate Action Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<u>communities and historically underserved neighborhoods with less tree canopy and open spaces.</u>		prioritizing neighborhoods with green infrastructure underinvestment. Clarifying change: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen		Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan.				
U-114 Land use policies and regulations shall accommodate a growth target of approximately ((11,440)) 5,412 housing units and approximately ((6,840)) 3,340 jobs by ((2031)) 2044, as established in the Countywide Planning Policies for the unincorporated portion of the Urban Growth Area. a. <u>As part of accommodating the housing growth target, King County shall plan for and accommodate its jurisdictional housing need, as established in the Countywide Planning Policies; and</u> b. <u>The targets allocated to urban unincorporated King County shall be monitored and may be refined through future planning that includes the community, adjacent cities, and service providers.</u>	Substantive Change	Updated to reflect 2022 House Bill 1220 and Countywide Planning Policy housing mandates Consolidates U-116	Ensures there is enough zoned capacity for housing needs	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	Regulatory	Proposed changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expand voluntary inclusionary housing program to all urban unincorporated areas and two rural towns. Streamline permitting processes for and incentivize development of middle housing. Streamline permitting processes for emergency housing. 	No	n/a
U-115 King County shall provide adequate land capacity for residential <u>(including to plan for and accommodate housing affordable to all income levels)</u> , commercial, industrial, and other non-residential growth in the urban unincorporated area. As required under the Growth Management Act, this land capacity shall:	Substantive Change	Updated to reflect 2022 House Bill 1220 and Countywide Planning Policy housing mandates	Ensures there is enough zoned capacity for housing needs	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	Regulatory	Proposed changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expand voluntary inclusionary housing program to all urban unincorporated 	No	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
a. ((b)) Be calculated on a countywide basis and be consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies; and b. ((shall-)) Include both redevelopment opportunities as well as opportunities for development on vacant lands. ⁽⁺⁾		Edit's for clarity				arears and two rural towns. • Streamline permitting processes for and incentivize development of middle housing.		
((U-116 King County shall use housing and employment targets to implement the Comprehensive Plan in urban communities. The targets allocated to subareas of unincorporated King County will be monitored and may be refined through future planning that includes communities, affected cities and service providers.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in U-114	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-118 New residential development in the Urban Growth Area should occur where facilities and services can be provided at the lowest public cost and in a timely fashion. The Urban Growth Area ((should have)) shall include zoning that provides for a variety of housing types and prices, including mobile home parks, ((multifamily development)) apartments, middle housing, townhouses, and small-lot ((-)) single ((-family)) detached home development.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to reflect current mandates and regulations, and to use current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-120 King County should apply the urban residential, low land use designation in limited circumstances in unincorporated urban areas ((in order)) to protect ((-)) floodplains, critical aquifer recharge areas, high function wetlands and unstable slopes from degradation, and the link these environmental features have to a network of open space, fish and wildlife habitat, and urban separators. The residential density for land so designated should be maintained at one unit per acre, and lands that are sending sites under the Transfer of Development Rights Program may transfer density at a rate of at least four units per acre.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((U-122 Land zoned for multifamily uses should be allowed to be converted to nonresidential zone	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current practice. The	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

⁽⁺⁾As amended by Ordinance 17687-)

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
categories only after new multifamily sites are identified and rezoned to replace the multifamily housing capacity lost due to the conversion.))		County considers a wide variety of impacts of any zoning change; this specific direction is unnecessary.						
U-122a King County (should) shall explore zoning policies and provisions and tools that increase housing density and affordable housing opportunities within unincorporated urban (growth) areas, with a focus on areas near frequent transit and commercial areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current practice, and edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-123 King County should apply minimum density requirements to all unincorporated urban residential zones of four or more homes per acre, except under limited circumstances such as the: a. Presence of significant physical constraints such as those noted in policy U-120, or b. Implementation of standards applied to a property through a property-specific development condition((-)) or special district overlay((-) or subarea study)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case of sub-b, property standards that would diverge from the minimum lot area above would only be imposed via a p-suffix or special district overlay; such standards are not set via an area zoning and land use study nor a subarea plan. So, the reference should just be removed.						
U-124 Requests for increases in density of unincorporated urban residential property zoned for one dwelling unit per acre shall be considered unless the property meets the criteria for low land use designation in set forth in Policy U-120.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-125 King County should support proposed zoning changes to increase density within the unincorporated urban area when consistent with the King County Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map and when the following conditions are present: a. The development will be compatible with the character and scale of the surrounding neighborhood; b. Urban public facilities and services are adequate, consistent with adopted levels of service and meet Growth Management Act concurrency requirements, including King County transportation concurrency standards; c. The proposed density change will not increase unmitigated adverse impacts on environmentally critical areas or increase unmitigated adverse displacement	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy				
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change
<p>impacts on residents or businesses, either on site or in the vicinity of the proposed development;</p> <p>d. The proposed density increase will be consistent with or contribute to achieving the goals and policies of ((this)) the ((e)) Comprehensive ((p)) Plan((r)) and the subarea plan ((or subarea study)) for that geography, if applicable((-or));</p> <p>e. ((t))The development is within walking distance of transit corridors or transit activity centers, retail and commercial activities, and is accessible to parks and other recreation opportunities; and</p> <p>((e-)) f. An equity impact analysis has been completed that identifies all potential equity impacts and displacement risk to residents or businesses located on or adjacent to the site proposed for zoning reclassification:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> For ((area zoning or)) zoning reclassifications initiated by the County in a subarea plan or area zoning and land use study, the analysis shall include, at a minimum, ((use of the County's Equity Impact Review tool)) an equity impact review. For zoning reclassifications not initiated by the County, a community meeting shall be held that meets the requirements of ((K.C.C.)) King County Code 20.20.035 prior to submittal of the application. Notice of the community meeting should be provided, at a minimum, in the top six languages ((identified by the tier map of limited English proficient persons maintained by the office of equity and social justice and the county demographer)) spoken in that community. 		<p>plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case of sub-d, subarea plans have goals and policies, but area zoning and land use studies do not. So, subarea study is removed, and reliance on the Comprehensive Plan and subarea plans is retained; and adding area zoning and land use studies would not be appropriate.</p> <p>Sub-f is updated to reflect current terminology: "equity impact review" is proposed to be defined in the Comprehensive</p>					

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Plan and provide flexibility for how the current Equity Impact Review Tool might change over time. Other edits for clarity, consistent with existing intent						
U-126 King County, when evaluating rezone requests, shall consult with the city whose <u>Potential Annexation Area</u> includes the property under review; if a pre-annexation agreement exists, King County shall work with the city to ensure compatibility with the city's pre-annexation zoning for the area. King County shall also notify special purpose districts and local providers of urban utility services and should work with these service providers on issues raised by the proposal.	Technical change	To spell out acronyms	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-128 Density incentives should encourage private developers to: provide affordable housing, significant open space, trails and parks; use the Transfer of Development Rights Program, Low Impact Development and Green Building; locate development close to transit; participate in historic preservation; and include energy conservation measures.))	Substantive change	Removing to reflect repeal of the Residential Density Incentive Program, which is being replaced by the Inclusionary Housing Program. Replacement language here is not appropriate as 1) the program is substantively addressed in Chapter 4 Housing, and 2) the program applies to both urban unincorporated areas and two of the Rural Towns, which	Affordable housing, one of the biggest issues facing King County residents, is prioritized in regulatory incentives, which can help spur new development and access to housing that is affordable to all	n/a	Regulatory	Proposed repeal of the Residential Density Incentive Program in K.C.C. Chapter 21A.34 and expansion of the Inclusionary Housing program in K.C.C. Chapter 21A.48	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		goes beyond the scope of this chapter.						
U-129 King County ((supports)) should allow mixed use developments in the urban area in community and neighborhood business centers, unincorporated activity centers, and in areas designated commercial outside of centers.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To move from a statement to policy direction and to reflect urban scope of the policy, as some of these centers also occur in rural areas.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((U-132a King County shall allow and support the development of innovative community gardens and urban agriculture throughout the public realm of residential and commercial areas.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to U-111a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-133 King County shall encourage ((s)) affordable, innovative, quality infill development and redevelopment in existing unincorporated urban areas. A variety of regulatory, incentive, and program strategies ((could)) should be considered, including: a. Special development standards for infill sites; b. Assembly and resale of sites to providers of affordable and healthy housing; c. ((Impact mitigation fee structures that favor infill developments; d.)) Greater regulatory flexibility in allowing standards to be met using innovative techniques; ((e.)) d. Coordination with incentive programs of cities affiliated to annex the area; ((f.)) e. Green ((B)) building techniques that create sustainable development; and ((g.)) f. Joint public/private loan guarantee pools.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Encourages" is a statement; not policy direction. "shall encourage" is consistent with current practice and other policy goals and current inclusionary housing regulations, as is the addition of "affordable" infill. Sub-c is removed as the County only has school impact fees. if implementing this, it would have fiscal impacts for schools, as they would have to cover the unpaid difference, which is outside the scope if the current interlocal agreement with the school districts.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
U-134 Single(family) detached homes, <u>accessory dwelling units</u> , townhomes, (duplexes) <u>middle housing</u> , and apartments shall be allowed in all urban residential zones, provided that <u>apartments, duplexes, triplexes, and fourplexes shall not be:</u> a. (Apartments shall not be a) Allowed in the R-1 zone unless 50(%) percent or more of the site is environmentally constrained; and b. (Apartments in R-1, R-4, R-6 and R-8 shall not be d) Developed at densities in excess of 18 units per acre in the net buildable area <u>in the R-1, R-4, R-6, or R-8 zone.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to reflect middle housing terminology proposed in the K.C.C., consistent with existing intent (which is that duplexes, triplexes, and fourplexes are currently allowed as "apartments" and "townhomes" in the Code, and thus already subject to these limitations) Uses current terminology in the code for single detached homes, and policy restructured for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-135 Urban residential neighborhood design should preserve historic structures, natural features and neighborhood identity, while <u>accommodating housing affordable to all income levels and providing privacy, community space, and safety and mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists of all ages and abilities.</u>	Substantive change	Updated to reflect 2022 House Bill 1220 and Countywide Planning Policy housing mandates	Development of and access to housing affordable to all	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	Regulatory	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-136 Site planning tools, such as clustering, shall be permitted (in order) to allow preservation or utilization of unique natural features within a development.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-139 Nonresidential uses, such as schools, (religious facilities) <u>places of worship</u> , libraries, and small-scale retail and personal services, should be integrated into urban residential neighborhoods to create viable neighborhoods with reduced dependence on (the automobile) <u>vehicles</u> . These uses should be sited, designed, and scaled to be compatible with existing residential character and should provide	Technical change	Reflects current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
convenient and safe walking and bicycling connections to neighboring residences.								
U-145 Recreation spaces located within ((a residential)) the following developments, except those for elderly or other special needs populations, shall include a child's play area: a. single detached subdivisions; b. apartment, townhouse, and mixed-use developments, of more than four units in the UR and R-4 through R-48 zones; and c. stand-alone townhouse developments in the NB zone of more than four units on property designated commercial outside of center in the urban area.	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is an existing requirement in K..C.C. 21A.14.190; policy is updated to be clear and consistent about where this is required	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-147 Business((/)) and office park developments should be located in or adjacent to an unincorporated activity center. They may serve as a transition between office((/)) and retail areas and residential areas. They should be designed to take advantage of on-site or nearby structured parking, and/or bus service and passenger facilities should be compatible with the objective of higher employment densities.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-149 New facilities and businesses that draw from throughout the region, such as large retail uses, large public assembly facilities, and ((institutions of)) higher education institutions should locate in the Urban Growth Area.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-151 Within unincorporated activity centers, the following zoning is appropriate: Urban Residential, with a density of ((twelve)) 12 to ((forty-eight)) 48 dwelling units per acre; Community Business; Neighborhood Business; Office; and Industrial.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
U-152 King County may designate new unincorporated activity centers or expand existing unincorporated activity centers only through a subarea planning process that should address: a. The relationship and role of the ((entire)) center to its surrounding uses, including adjacent cities, and to other nearby centers; b. Availability of supporting public services; c. ((The function of the center to other centers in the sub-region; d.)) The need for additional commercial and industrial development; ((e-)) d. The size and boundaries of the center; and ((f.)) e. Zoning.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-153 The size, uses, and boundaries of unincorporated activity centers should ((be consistent with the following criteria)) : a. Be ((M)) more than ((forty)) 40 acres in size, excluding land needed for surface water management or protection of environmentally critical areas; b. Include ((R)) retail space based on the amount of residential development planned for the surrounding area to provide for community and local shopping needs; and c. Limit ((R)) retail space ((should not exceed)) to a maximum of 60 acres and 600,000 square feet unless ((it is served by direct freeway access by)) located on a principal or minor arterial that connects directly to a freeway and the retail space is well served by transit.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-160 Designated community business centers are shown on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map. Expansion of existing or designation of new community business centers shall be permitted only through a subarea plan or area zoning and land use study. Redevelopment and infill development of existing community business centers is encouraged.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study"	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		<p>requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary.</p> <p>In this case, expansions of community business centers or designations of new ones could happen via either a subarea plan or an area zoning and land use study..</p>						

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
U-162 The specific size and boundaries of community business centers should be ((consistent with the criteria listed below.)) : a. More than 10 acres and up to 40 acres in size, excluding land needed for surface water management or protection of environmentally critical areas; b. Designed to provide shopping and services for a market population of 15,000 to 40,000 people; c. Located one to three miles from an unincorporated activity center or from another community business center. May be located less than two miles from a neighborhood business center when it is demonstrated the neighborhood business center will not be adversely affected; and d. ((Must be)) Located at the intersection of two principal or minor arterials.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-165 Designated neighborhood business centers are shown on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map. Expansion of existing or the designation of new neighborhood business centers shall only be permitted through a subarea plan or area zoning and land use study. Redevelopment and infill development of existing neighborhood business centers is encouraged.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case, expansions of neighborhood business centers or designations of new ones could happen via either a subarea plan or an area zoning and land use study.						
U-167 The specific size and boundaries of neighborhood business centers should be ((consistent with the criteria listed below.)) : a. Ten acres or less in size, excluding land needed for surface water management or protection of ((environmentally sensitive features)) critical areas; b. Designed to provide convenience shopping for a market population of 8,000 to 15,000 people; c. Located within walking distance of transit corridors or transit activity centers; and d. Located one to three miles from another neighborhood business center.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, streamlining, and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-169 Stand-alone commercial developments legally established outside designated centers in the unincorporated urban area may be recognized with the ((CO)) Commercial Outside of Center designation and appropriate commercial zoning, including any identified potential zoning classification. An action to implement a potential zoning classification shall not require ((a detailed subarea)) an area zoning or land use study, if the current ((CO)) Commercial Outside of Center	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
designation is to remain unchanged. When ((more detailed)) subarea plans are prepared, these developments may be designated as centers and allowed to grow if appropriate, or may be encouraged to redevelop consistent with the residential density and design policies of the Comprehensive Plan.		<p>was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary.</p> <p>In this case, an area zoning study would be the typical vehicle that this sort of change occurs under, unless it is for potential zoning that retains the associated Commercial Outside of Center land use designation.</p> <p>Spells out acronyms</p>						

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
U-170 The ((CQ)) <u>Commercial Outside of Center</u> designation may be applied as a transitional designation in Potential Annexation Areas identified in a signed memorandum of understanding between a city and the ((e))County for areas with a mix of urban uses and zoning ((in order)) to facilitate the joint planning effort directed by the memorandum of understanding. Zoning to implement this transitional designation should recognize the mix of existing and planned uses. No zone changes to these properties to allow other nonresidential uses, or zone changes to allow expansion of existing nonresidential uses onto other properties, should occur unless or until a subarea plan or area zoning and land use study ((with the city)) is completed <u>in consultation with the city</u> .	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case, this type of change could happen via either a subarea plan or an area zoning and land use study.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Spells out acronyms						
U-171 Commercial, retail and industrial developments in the unincorporated urban area should foster community, create enjoyable outdoor areas, and balance needs of ((automobile)) vehicle movement with pedestrian and bicycle mobility and safety. Commercial and industrial developments shall provide the following improvements: a. Paved streets; b. Sidewalks for all ages and abilities and bicycle facilities in commercial and retail areas; c. Adequate parking for employees and business users; d. Landscaping along or within streets, sidewalks, and parking areas to provide an attractive appearance; e. Adequate stormwater control, including curbs, gutters, and stormwater retention facilities; f. Public water supply; g. Public sewers; h. Controlled traffic access to arterials and intersections; and i. Where appropriate, in commercial areas, common facilities, such as shared streets, walkways, and waste disposal and recycling with appropriate levels of landscaping. The design and construction quality of development in unincorporated urban areas should meet or exceed the quality in the area's designated annexation city.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
U-172 Within the Urban Growth Area((, but outside unincorporated activity centers,))) properties with existing industrial uses shall be protected. The ((e))County may use tools such as special district overlays to identify them for property owners and residents of surrounding neighborhoods.	Clarification of existing policy intent	The goals of this policy apply to I zoned properties both within and outside of unincorporated activity centers, consistent with existing mandates to accommodate industrial	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		employment growth in the Multicounty Planning Policies and the Countywide Planning Policies						
U-175 King County, in collaboration with cities and the development community, should create and fund a process to clean up and reclaim polluted industrial areas ((in order)) to expand the land available for industrial development.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((U-176 Sites for potential new Urban Planned Developments may be designated within the established Urban Growth Area to realize mutual benefits for the public and the property owner. Two Urban Planned Developments areas have been designated by the county: the Bear Creek Urban Planned Development area, comprised of the Redmond Ridge (formerly known as Northridge) Urban Planned Development, the Trilogy at Redmond Ridge (formerly known as Blakely Ridge) Urban Planned Development, and the Redmond Ridge East Urban Planned Development; and Cougar Mountain Village Urban Planned Development. Future Urban Planned Development sites in the Urban Growth Area shall be designated through a subarea planning process, or through a Comprehensive Plan amendment initiated by the property owner.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits made throughout the plan to reflect that <ul style="list-style-type: none"> there are no large undeveloped areas in the urban growth area that would be appropriate for a Urban Planned Development-scale and/or Fully Contained Community-scale of development, the previous Urban Planned Development/Fully Contained Community agreements and approvals have expired and are now under King County zoning 	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
U-177 The creation of Urban Planned Developments is intended to serve as a model for achieving a mix of uses, appropriate development patterns, and high quality design, as well as providing for public benefits that shall include: a. Open space and critical areas protection; b. Diversity in housing types and affordability; c. Quality site design; and d. Transit and nonmotorized transportation opportunities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits made throughout the plan to reflect that <ul style="list-style-type: none">there are no large undeveloped areas in the urban growth area that would be appropriate for a Urban Planned Development-scale and/or Fully Contained Community-scale of development,the previous Urban Planned Development/Fully Contained Community agreements and approvals have expired and are now under King County zoning	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-178 King County has established a Fully Contained Community. This one area is designated through this Comprehensive Plan and is shown on the Land Use Map as the urban planned community of the Bear Creek Urban Planned Development area comprised of Trilogy at Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge, and Redmond Ridge East Urban Planned Development sites. Nothing in these policies shall affect the continued validity of the approved Urban Planned Development permits for these sites. This Fully Contained Community designation may be implemented by separate or coordinated Fully Contained Community permits.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits made throughout the plan to reflect that <ul style="list-style-type: none">there are no large undeveloped areas in the urban growth area that would be appropriate for a Urban Planned Development-scale and/or Fully Contained Community-scale of development,	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the previous Urban Planned Development/Fully Contained Community agreements and approvals have expired and are now under King County zoning 						
U-179 The population, household, and employment growth targets and allocations for the county's Urban Growth Area in this plan include the Bear Creek Urban Planned Development area. Accordingly, the requirements in Revised Code of Washington 36.70A.350(2) that the county reserve a portion of the 20-year population projection for allocation to new Fully Contained Communities has been satisfied.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits made throughout the plan to reflect that <ul style="list-style-type: none"> there are no large undeveloped areas in the urban growth area that would be appropriate for a Urban Planned Development-scale and/or Fully Contained Community-scale of development, the previous Urban Planned Development/Fully Contained Community agreements and approvals have expired and are now under King County zoning 	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>U-180—The review and approval process for a Fully Contained Community permit shall be the same as that for an Urban Planned Development permit, except the following additional criteria shall be met, pursuant to the provisions of RCW 36.70A.350:</p> <p>a.——New infrastructure (including transportation and utilities infrastructure) is provided for and impact fees are established and imposed on the Fully Contained Community consistent with the requirements of RCW 82.02.050;</p> <p>b.——Transit-oriented site planning and traffic demand management programs are implemented in the Fully Contained Community. Pedestrian, bicycle, and high occupancy vehicle facilities are given high priority in design and management of the Fully Contained Community;</p> <p>c.——Buffers are provided between the Fully Contained Community and adjacent non-Fully Contained Community areas. Perimeter buffers located within the perimeter boundaries of the Fully Contained Community delineated boundaries, consisting of either landscaped areas with native vegetation or natural areas, shall be provided and maintained to reduce impacts on adjacent lands;</p> <p>d.——A mix of uses is provided to offer jobs, housing, and services to the residents of the new Fully Contained Community. No particular percentage formula for the mix of uses should be required. Instead, the mix of uses for a Fully Contained Community should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, in light of the geography, market demand area, demographics, transportation patterns, and other relevant factors affecting the proposed Fully Contained Community. Service uses in the Fully Contained Community may also serve residents outside the Fully Contained Community, where appropriate;</p> <p>e.——Affordable housing is provided within the new Fully Contained Community for a broad range of income levels, including housing affordable by households with income levels below and near the median income for King County;</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	<p>Edits made throughout the plan to reflect that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> there are no large undeveloped areas in the urban growth area that would be appropriate for a Urban Planned Development-scale and/or Fully Contained Community-scale of development, the previous Urban Planned Development/Fully Contained Community agreements and approvals have expired and are now under King County zoning 	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy				
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change
<p>f. Environmental protection has been addressed and provided for in the new Fully Contained Community, at levels at least equivalent to those imposed by adopted King County environmental regulations;</p> <p>g. Development regulations are established to ensure urban growth will not occur in adjacent nonurban areas. Such regulations shall include but are not limited to: rural zoning of adjacent Rural Areas; Fully Contained Community permit conditions requiring sizing of Fully Contained Community water and sewer systems so as to ensure urban growth will not occur in adjacent nonurban areas; and/or Fully Contained Community permit conditions prohibiting connection by property owners in the adjacent Rural Area (except public school sites) to the Fully Contained Community sewer and water mains or lines;</p> <p>h. Provision is made to mitigate impacts of the Fully Contained Community on designated agricultural lands, forest lands, and mineral resource lands; and</p> <p>i. The plan for the new Fully Contained Community is consistent with the development regulations established for the protection of critical areas by King County pursuant to RCW 36.70A.170.</p> <p>For purposes of evaluating a Fully Contained Community permit the following direction is provided: The term "fully contained" is not intended to prohibit all interaction between a Fully Contained Community and adjacent lands but to limit impacts on adjacent lands and contain them within the development site as much as possible. "Fully contained" should be achieved through the imposition of development conditions that limit impacts on adjacent and nearby lands and do not increase pressures on adjacent lands for urban development. "Fully contained" is not intended to mandate that all utilities and public services needed by an urban population both start and end within the property (since sewer, water, power, and roads, are of such a nature that the origin and/or outfall cannot reasonably exist within the property boundaries), but</p>							

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
that the costs and provisions for those utilities and public services that are generated primarily by the Fully Contained Community (schools, police, parks, employment, retail needs) be reasonably accommodated within its boundaries and not increase pressure for more urban development on adjacent properties.))								
U-181 ((Except for existing Fully Contained Community designations, n))No new Urban Planned Developments or Fully Contained Communities shall be <u>designated</u> or approved in King County.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits made throughout the plan to reflect that <ul style="list-style-type: none"> there are no large undeveloped areas in the urban growth area that would be appropriate for a Urban Planned Development-scale and/or Fully Contained Community-scale of development, the previous Urban Planned Development/Fully Contained Community agreements and approvals have expired and are now under King County zoning 	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
U-182 Urban separators are corridors of land that define community or municipal identities and boundaries, provide visual breaks in the urban landscape, and link parks and open space within and outside the Urban Growth Area. These urban corridors should include and link parks and other lands that contain significant ((environmentally sensitive features)) critical areas, provide wildlife habitat or critical resource protection, contain defining physical features, or contain historic resources. The residential density for land so designated should be maintained at one unit per acre, and lands that are sending sites under the Transfer of Development Rights Program may transfer density at a rate of at least four units per acre.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-183 King County should ((actively pursue designating urban separators in the unincorporated area and)) work with the cities to establish and maintain permanent urban separators within the incorporated area that link with and enhance King County's urban separator corridors.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect that the County has already established urban separators in unincorporated king County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-185 Through the Four-to-One Program, King County shall actively pursue dedication of open space along the original Urban Growth Area ((line)) boundary adopted in the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan. Through this program, one acre of Rural Area zoned land may be added to the Urban Growth Area in exchange for a dedication to King County of four acres of permanent open space. ((Land added to the Urban Growth Area for drainage facilities that are designed as mitigation to have a natural looking visual appearance in support of its development, does not require dedication of permanent open space.))	Substantive change	Moves detailed technical language to the K.C.C., substantively consistent with Growth Management Planning Council Four-to-One program review recommendations	No change; standards would still be required in the code	Countywide Planning Policies DP-17, DP-18, DP-19	Regulatory	Proposed integration into K.C.C. Chapter 21A.18	n/a	n/a
U-186 King County shall evaluate Four-to-One proposals for both quality of open space and feasibility of urban development and annexation. The highest-quality proposals shall be recommended for adoption as amendments to the Urban Growth Area. Lands preserved as open space shall retain their Rural Area designations ((and should)) ; generally be configured in such a way as to connect with open	Substantive change	Alignment with other Countywide Planning Policies and Comprehensive Plan policies that support the annexation of urban unincorporated	Supports proposals that are feasible for annexation. Helps ensure surrounding rural area and natural resource lands will	Countywide Planning Policies DP-17, DP-18, DP-19	Regulatory	Proposed integration into K.C.C. Chapter 21A.18	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
space on adjacent properties; <u>include half of the site in dedicated open space; and fully buffer the new urban area from surrounding Rural Area lands and Natural Resource Lands.</u>		lands, and adds clarity for placement of on-site open space requirement, consistent with existing intent; substantively consistent with Growth Management Planning Council Four-to-One program review recommendations:	be protected from growth pressure and urban impacts					
U-187 King County shall use the following criteria for evaluating open space in Four-to-One proposals: a. Quality of fish and wildlife habitat areas; b. Connections to regional open space systems; c. Protection of wetlands, stream corridors, ground water and water bodies; d. Unique natural, biological, cultural, historical, or archeological features; e. Size of proposed open space dedication and connection to other open space dedications along the Urban Growth Area ((line)) boundary; and f. The land proposed as open space shall remain undeveloped, except for those uses allowed in (U-188) in King County Code 20.18.180.	Substantive change	Reflects edits in U-118, substantively consistent with Growth Management Planning Council Four-to-One program review recommendations	No change; standards would still be required in the code	Countywide Planning Policies DP-17, DP-18, DP-19	Regulatory	Proposed integration into K.C.C. Chapter 21A.18	n/a	n/a
U-188 King County shall preserve the open space acquired through the Four-to-One Program primarily as natural areas, passive recreation sites, or resource lands for farming or forestry. King County may allow (the following) additional uses only if located on a small portion of the open space, provided that these uses are found to be compatible with the site's natural open space values and functions, such as those listed in (the preceding policy): a. Trails; b. Compensatory mitigation of wetland losses on the urban designated portion of the project, consistent	Substantive change	Removes detailed technical language that is already in the K.C.C., substantively consistent with Growth Management Planning Council Four-to-One program review recommendations	No change; standards would still be required in the code	Countywide Planning Policies DP-17, DP-18, DP-19	Regulatory	Proposed integration into K.C.C. Chapter 21A.18	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
with the King County Comprehensive Plan and the Critical Area Ordinance; and e. Active recreation uses not to exceed five percent of the total open space area. Support services and facilities for the active recreation uses may locate within the active recreation area only, and shall not exceed five percent of the active recreation area. An active recreation area shall not be used to satisfy the active recreation requirements for the urban designated portion of the project as required by) King County Code ((Title 21A)) King County Code 20.18.180.								
U-189 Land added to the Urban Growth Area under the Four-to-One Program shall: a. Not expand the Urban Growth Area from a location that was previously expanded through the Four-to-One Program; b. Be limited to residential development and have a minimum density of ((four)) eight dwellings per acre ((and)); c. ((shall b))Be ((physically contiguous)) adjacent to the original Urban Growth Area boundary adopted in the 1994 Comprehensive Plan, unless there are limitations due to the presence of critical areas((- and)); d. ((shall b))Be able to be served by sewers and other efficient urban services and facilities((:)); provided that such sewer and other urban services and facilities shall be provided directly from the urban area and ((shall)) not cross the open space or Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands((-)); e. ((Drainage facilities to s))Support the urban development ((shall be)) with drainage facilities that are located within the urban portion of the development((-)); f. ((In some cases, lands must m))Meet affordable housing requirements under this program((-); and g. ((The total area)) Not result in more than a total of 4,000 acres being added to the Urban Growth Area as a result of this ((policy shall not exceed 4,000 acres)) program.	Substantive change	Improved clarity and consistency amongst the provisions in the Countywide Planning Policies, Comprehensive Plan, and K.C.C.. Aligns Four-to-One provisions with other policy goals. Avoids cascading UGA changes, reiterates long-standing limitation for residential development, increases minimum densities, clarifies tenure of Urban Growth Area boundary for eligibility purposes, substantively consistent with Growth Management Planning Council Four-to-One	Protects from urban sprawl Supports increased urban densities that are responsive to housing needs Creates consistency between program provisions, for a more effective and implementable program	Countywide Planning Policies DP-17, DP-18, DP-19	Regulatory	Proposed integration into K.C.C. Chapter 21A.18	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		program review recommendations.						
U-190 ((King County shall amend)) Amendments to the Urban Growth Area to add Rural Area lands to the Urban Growth Area ((consistent with Policy U-185)) through the Four-to-One Program may be made during the annual, midpoint, or 10-year Comprehensive Plan amendment process. Open space dedication shall occur at final formal plat recording. If the applicant decides not to pursue urban development or fails to record the final plat prior to expiration of preliminary plat approval, the urban properties shall be restored to a Rural Area land use designation and associated zoning during the next annual ((review of)) update to the King County Comprehensive Plan.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Four-to-One changes were already allowed in midpoints and 10-year updates under policies I-204 and I-204a. Other edits for clarity and streamlining. Substantively consistent with Growth Management Planning Council Four-to-One program review recommendations	n/a	Countywide Planning Policies DP-17, DP-18, DP-19	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<u>U-190a For Four-to-One proposals adjacent to an incorporated area, development proposals and/or activities shall not be allowed until the land added to the Urban Growth Area is annexed into a city.</u>	New policy	Requires Four-to-One projects to be annexed into cities before they can develop, substantively consistent with Growth Management Planning Council Four-to-One	Creates development consistent with the cities that the new urban area will be annexed to; incentivizes annexation to occur in a timely manner	Countywide Planning Policies DP-17, DP-18, DP-19	Regulatory	Proposed integration into K.C.C. Chapter 21A.18	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		program review recommendations						
U-191 King County shall collaborate with all Eastside Rail Corridor owners, adjacent and neighboring jurisdictions, and other interested and affected parties in support of achieving a vision for the corridor that includes dual use (recreational trail and public transportation) and supports multiple objectives, consistent with federal railbanking.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to policy P-110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-192 King County shall identify and implement actions that support development of the Eastside Rail Corridor to include dual use (recreational trail and public transportation), consistent with federal railbanking, and to achieve multiple objectives, such as actions to include property management and maintenance, service and capital planning and improvements, community and stakeholder engagement, securing funding to implement priority activities, and other actions.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to policy P-110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-193 King County shall work within all appropriate planning venues and processes to integrate the Eastside Rail Corridor into land use plans, transportation system plans, trail system plans, utility plans, and other plans, including significant capital projects or plans that affect and relate to dual use (recreational trail and public transportation), consistent with federal railbanking, and achieving multiple objectives for the corridor.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to policy P-110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-201 ((In order to))To meet the Growth Management Act and ((the regionally adopted)) Countywide Planning Policies goal of becoming a regional service provider for all county residents and a local service provider in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, King County shall encourage annexation of the remaining urban unincorporated area. The ((e))County may also act as a contract service provider where mutually beneficial.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-201a In all urban unincorporated areas, King County shall consider equity and racial and social justice in its planning, project development, and service delivery approach.	Technical	To reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
U-202 To help create an environment that is supportive of annexations, King County shall work with cities and with neighborhood groups, local business organizations, public service providers, and other ((stakeholders)) affected parties on annexation-related activities to move the remaining urban islands towards annexation by the city most appropriate to serve it. King County ((will)) shall also seek changes at the state level that would facilitate annexation of urban unincorporated areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Edits to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-203 The Potential Annexation Areas Map adopted by the Growth Management Planning Council illustrates city-designated ((p)) Potential ((a)) Annexation ((a)) Areas ((PAA)) . This may include contested areas (where more than one city claims a ((PAA)) Potential Annexation Area), and those few areas that are unclaimed by any city. For contested areas, the ((e)) County should attempt to help resolve the matter, or to enter into an interlocal agreement with each city for the purpose of bringing the question of annexation before voters. For unclaimed areas, King County should work with adjacent cities and service providers to develop a mutually agreeable strategy and time frame for annexation. For areas affiliated with a city for annexation, King County should proactively support annexations.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and to spell out acronyms	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-204 King County shall support annexation proposals that are consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies and the Washington State Growth Management Act, when the area proposed for annexation is wholly within the annexing city's officially adopted ((PAA)) Potential Annexation Area, and when the area is not part of a contested area.	Technical	To spell out acronyms	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
U-205 King County shall not support annexation proposals that would: a. Result in illogical service areas; b. Create unincorporated islands, unless the annexation is preceded by an interlocal agreement in which the city agrees to pursue annexation of the remaining island area in a timely manner; c. Focus solely on areas that would provide a distinct economic gain for the annexing city at the exclusion of other proximate areas that should logically be included; d. Move designated Agricultural and/or Forest Production District lands into the Urban Growth Area, <u>except as allowed in Policies R-656 and R-656a</u> ; or e. Apply zoning to maintain or create permanent, low-density residential areas, unless such areas are part of an urban separator or are environmentally constrained, rendering higher densities inappropriate.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects existing allowance for Agricultural and/or Forest Production District lands to be moved into the Urban Growth Area under policies R-656 and R-656a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-206 King County shall favor annexation over incorporation as the preferred method of governance transition. King County ((will)) shall not support incorporations when the proposed incorporation area is financially infeasible.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
U-207 King County shall work with cities to develop pre-annexation or annexation interlocal agreements to address the transition of services from the ((e)) County to the annexing cities. The development of such agreements should include a public outreach process to include but not be limited to residents and property owners in the Potential Annexation Areas, as well as residents and property owners in the surrounding areas. Such agreements may address a range of considerations, including but not limited to: a. Establishing a financing partnership between the ((e)) County, city, and other service providers to address needed infrastructure; b. Providing reciprocal notification of development proposals in Potential Annexation Areas,	Technical	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy				
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change
<p>and opportunities to identify and/or provide mitigation associated with such development;</p> <p>c. Supporting the city's desire, to the extent possible, to be the designated sewer or water service provider within the Potential Annexation Area, where this can be done without harm to the integrity of existing systems and without significantly increasing rates;</p> <p>d. Assessing the feasibility and/or desirability of reverse contracting in order for the city to provide local services on the ((e))County's behalf prior to annexation, as well as the feasibility and/or desirability of the ((e))County continuing to provide some local services on a contract basis after annexation;</p> <p>e. Exploring the feasibility of modifying development, concurrency, and infrastructure design standards prior to annexation, when a specific and aggressive annexation timeline is being pursued;</p> <p>f. Assessing which ((e))County-owned properties and facilities should be transferred to city control, and the conditions under which such transfers should take place;</p> <p>g. Transitioning ((e))County employees to city employment where appropriate;</p> <p>h. Ensuring that land use plans for the annexation area are consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies with respect to planning for urban densities and efficient land use patterns; provision of urban services, affordable housing, and transportation; the protection of critical areas; and the long-term protection of urban separators;</p> <p>i. Continuing equivalent protection of cultural resources, and county landmarks and historic resources listed on the King County Historic Resource Inventory;</p> <p>j. Maintaining existing equestrian facilities and establishing equestrian linkages; and</p> <p>k. Establishing a timeline for service transitions and for the annexation.</p>							

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
U-208 King County should engage in joint planning processes for the urban unincorporated areas with the area's designated annexation city. Alternatively, upon a commitment from the city to annex through an interlocal agreement, King County ((will)) shall engage in joint planning processes for the urban unincorporated areas in tandem with the annexing city. Such planning may consider land use tools such as: a. ((traditional s)) Subarea plans ((, subarea studies)) or area ((rezoning)) zoning and land use studies; b. ((a)) Allowing additional commercial and high-density residential development through the application of new zoning; c. Transfers of Development Rights that add units to new development projects; and d. ((a)) Application of collaborative and innovative development approaches, such as design standards. ((King County will work through the Growth Management Planning Council to develop a plan to move the remaining unincorporated urban Potential Annexation Areas towards annexation.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Unclear what traditional (vs nontraditional) would mean for subarea planning. Referencing subarea plans in general is more appropriate. "Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		<p>references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case of sub-a, subarea plans and area zoning and land use studies covers the examples of this set of land use tools.</p> <p>"Area zoning" is old terminology; updated to current "area zoning and land use study" defined term.</p> <p>For the last statement, reflects current practice, as without action from the state, there are limited options to substantively advance annexation.</p>						

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 3 Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands								
R-101 King County ((will)) shall continue to preserve and sustain its rural ((legacy)) history, character, and communities through programs and partnerships that support, preserve, and sustain its historic, cultural, ecological, agricultural, forestry, and mining heritage through collaboration with <u>Indian tribes</u> , local and regional preservation and heritage programs, community groups, rural residents and business owners including forest and farm owners, ((rural communities, towns, and e)) <u>Cities in the Rural Area</u> , and other interested ((stakeholders)) <u>parties</u> .	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Other edits for clarity and current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-102 King County will continue to support the diversity and richness of its rural communities and their distinct character by working with its rural constituencies through its Community Service Areas program to sustain and enhance the rural character of Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to RP-103a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-201 It is a fundamental objective of the King County Comprehensive Plan to maintain the character of its designated Rural Area. The Growth Management Act specifies the rural element of comprehensive plans include measures that apply to rural development and protect the rural character of the area (Revised Code of Washington 36.70A.070 ((5))). The Growth Management Act defines rural character as it relates to land use and development patterns (Revised Code of Washington 36.70A.030 ((15))). ((This definition can be found in the Glossary of this Plan.)) Rural development can consist of a variety of uses that are consistent with the preservation of rural character and the requirements of the rural element. ((In order to)) To implement the Growth Management Act, it is necessary to define the development patterns that are considered rural, historical, or traditional and do not encourage urban growth or create pressure for urban facilities and service. Therefore, King County's land use regulations and development standards shall protect and enhance the	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, current terminology, and grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>following attributes associated with rural character and the Rural Area:</p> <p>a. The natural environment, particularly as evidenced by the health of wildlife and fisheries (especially salmon and trout), aquifers used for potable water, surface water bodies including Puget Sound and natural drainage systems and their riparian (corridors) <u>areas</u>;</p> <p>b. Commercial and noncommercial farming, forestry, fisheries, mining, home(-)_occupations and home industries;</p> <p>c. Historic resources, historical character, and continuity important to local communities, as well as archaeological and cultural sites important to <u>Indian</u> tribes;</p> <p>d. Community small-town atmosphere, safety, and locally(-)_owned small businesses;</p> <p>e. Economically and fiscally healthy Rural Towns and Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers with clearly defined identities compatible with adjacent rural, agricultural, forestry, and mining uses;</p> <p>f. Regionally significant parks, trails, and open space;</p> <p>g. A variety of low-density housing choices compatible with adjacent farming, forestry, and mining and not needing urban facilities and services;</p> <p>h. Traditional rural land uses of a size and scale that blend with (historic) <u>historical</u> rural development; and</p> <p>i. Rural uses that do not include primarily urban-serving facilities.</p>								

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy						
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change	
R-202 The Rural Area geography shown on the King County Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map shall include areas that are rural in character and ((meet one or more of the following criteria)) that: a. Have ((0)) opportunities ((exist)) for significant commercial or noncommercial farming and forestry (large-scale farms and forest lands are designated as Resource Lands); b. ((The area w)) Will help buffer nearby Natural Resource Lands from conflicting urban uses; c. ((The area is)) Are contiguous to other lands in the Rural Area, Resource Lands or large, predominantly environmentally critical areas; d. ((There are)) Have major physical barriers to providing urban services at reasonable cost, or such areas will help foster more logical boundaries for urban public services and infrastructure; e. ((The area is)) Are not needed for the foreseeable future that is well beyond the 20-year forecast period to provide capacity for population or employment growth; f. ((The area has)) Have outstanding scenic, historic, environmental, resource or aesthetic values that can best be protected by a rural designation; or g. Have ((S)) significant environmental constraints that make the area generally unsuitable for intensive urban development.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
R-203 ((King County's)) The Rural Area geography is considered to be permanent and shall not be redesignated to ((an)) be in the Urban Growth Area ((until)) unless reviewed pursuant to the Growth Management Act (Revised Code of Washington 36.70A.130((3))) and the Countywide Planning Policies.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-204 Farming and forestry are vital to the preservation of rural King County and should be encouraged throughout the Rural Area. King County should encourage the retention of existing and establishment of new rural resource-based uses, with appropriate site management that protects habitat resources. King County's regulation of farming((r))	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Keeping livestock" is part of "farming"	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
keeping of livestock,) and forestry in the Rural Area should be consistent with these guiding principles: a. Homeowner covenants for new subdivisions and short subdivisions in the Rural Area should not restrict farming and forestry; b. Development regulations for resource-based activities should be tailored to support the resource use and its level of impact; c. Agricultural and silvicultural management practices should not be construed as public nuisances when carried on in compliance with applicable regulations, even though they may impact nearby residences; and d. County environmental standards for forestry and agriculture should protect environmental quality, especially in relation to water and fisheries resources, while encouraging forestry and farming.								
R-206 ((The e))Conservation of forest land and forestry throughout the Rural Area shall remain a priority for King County. Landowner property tax incentives, technical assistance, permit assistance, regulatory actions, and community-based education shall be used throughout the Rural Area to sustain the forest land base and forestry activities. King County should ensure that its regulations, permitting processes, and incentive programs facilitate and encourage active forest management and implementation of forest stewardship plans.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-207 Rural Forest Focus Areas ((are identified)) shall be designated geographic areas where special efforts are necessary and feasible to maintain forest cover and the practice of sustainable forestry. King County shall continue to target funding, when available, ((new)) economic incentive programs, regulatory actions, fee and easement acquisition strategies and ((additional)) technical assistance to the Rural Forest Focus Areas. ((Strategies specific to each Rural Forest Focus Area shall be developed, employing the combination of incentive and technical assistance programs best suited to each focus area.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented statement of fact to policy direction and to reflect current practice. Protection and delivery of incentives are not tailored to specific RFFAs.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-208 The Rural Forest Focus Areas should be maintained in parcels of 20 acres or more (in order) to retain large, contiguous blocks of rural forest. Regulations and/or incentives should seek to achieve a maximum density of one home per 20 acres.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-209 King County should develop incentives to encourage agricultural activities (in the remaining) on prime farmlands located outside the Agricultural Production District. These incentives could include tax credits, expedited permit review, reduced permit fees, permit exemptions for activities complying with best management practices, assistance with agricultural waste management, or similar programs.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edited for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-210 King County supports the raising and management of livestock and the production of related value-added products. The management of livestock and the lands and structures supporting the raising of livestock, should be consistent with industry best management practices and (must) shall comply with county, state, and federal regulations related to the specific industry.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Shall" is more consistent with Comprehensive Plan terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-211 King County should continue to support and sustain equestrian activities and ensure that regulations support those activities compatible with the area in which they are located. The (e)County should encourage subdivision layouts that preserve opportunities for livestock and equestrian activities.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-213 Soft-surface multiple-use trails in corridors separate from road rights-of-way are the preferred option for equestrian travel for safety reasons and to avoid conflicts with residential activities associated with the street. Existing off-road trails should be preserved during site development, with relocation as appropriate to accommodate development while maintaining trail connections. The King County Road Design and Construction Standards (will) shall accommodate safe equestrian travel within road rights-of-way. Where appropriate, capital improvement programs for transportation and park facilities shall also enable the use of new facilities by equestrians. Construction standards for multiple-use (nonmotorized) trails to be	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Updates to reflect current terminology; trails are not just for nonmotorized uses	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
established in road rights-of-way within the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands should assure a minimum eight-foot-wide gravel shoulder on arterial roads and 4.0 foot gravel shoulder on local access roads, or provide a trail separated from the driving lanes by a ditch or other barrier. Construction standards for soft-surface multiple-use (nonmotorized) trails in corridors separate from road rights-of-way shall be consistent with current trail construction and maintenance practices as promulgated by the U.S. Forest Service.								
R-216 Equestrian trails should be a category in the ((e))County's Public Benefit Rating System, so that a landowner who provides trail access may qualify for a tax reduction under the program.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-217 County departments negotiating trades or sales of ((e))County-owned land shall determine whether any historically established trails exist on the property, and, when economically feasible, ensure that those trails are retained or replaced and are not lost as a condition of the trade or sale. Trails that provide key linkages, for either multi-use or equestrian trails, shall be considered to have strategic value to the county's trail network and shall be retained or replaced whenever possible.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy						
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change	
R-301 <u>King County shall use all appropriate tools at its disposal to limit growth in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, such as land use designations, development regulations, level of service standards and incentives, to:</u> a. <u>Retain ((A)) a low growth rate ((is desirable for the Rural Area, including Rural Towns and Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers, to));</u> b. <u>((e))Comply with the State Growth Management Act((:));</u> c. <u>((continue preventing)) Prevent sprawl, the conversion of rural land, and the overburdening of rural services((:));</u> d. <u>((f))Reduce the need for capital expenditures for rural roads((:));</u> e. <u>((m))Maintain rural character((:));</u> f. <u>((p))Protect the environment; and</u> g. <u>((f))Reduce ((transportation related)) greenhouse gas emissions. ((All possible tools may be used to limit growth in the Rural Area. Appropriate tools include land use designations, development regulations, level of service standards and incentives.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Strengthened to a shall to reflect current Growth Management Act mandates and County practices and regulations for limiting growth in the Rural Area and on Natural Resource Lands Sub-c is updated to include prevention of the conversion of rural land, consistent with existing intent and as mandated by the Growth Management Act, VISION 2050 IN MPP-RGS-13, and the Countywide Planning Policies in DP-46 Other clarifying and streamlining edits consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
R-302 Residential development in the Rural Area should <u>only</u> occur <u>((as follows))</u> : a. In Rural Towns at a variety of densities and housing types <u>as services an infrastructure allows</u> , compatible with <u>((maintenance)) protection</u> of historic resources and community character; and b. Outside Rural Towns at low densities compatible with traditional rural character and uses((:)); farming, forestry, <u>and</u> mining; and rural service levels.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect other policy and code mandates for appropriate infrastructure needed to support development in the rural area, especially in rural towns with higher density	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		zoning that don't have sewer service Other clarifying edits consistent with existing intent						
R-303 Rural Area zoned properties should have low residential densities that can be sustained by minimal infrastructure improvements such as septic systems and rural roads, should cause minimal environmental degradation and impacts to significant historic resources, and ((that)) will not cumulatively create the future necessity or expectation of urban levels of services.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-304 Rural Area zoned residential densities shall be applied in accordance with R-305 – R-309. Individual zone reclassifications are discouraged and should not be allowed in the Rural Area. ((Property owners seeking i)) Individual zone reclassifications ((should)) shall demonstrate compliance with R-305 – R-309.	Substantive change	Strengthened to a "shall" to ensure consistency with the rural zoning standards of the Comprehensive Plan.	More robust review of rural rezones and improved alignment with the intent and standards of the Comprehensive Plan	n/a	Regulatory	No commensurate code change is needed, as K.C.C. 21A.44.060 currently requires consistency with the Comprehensive Plan, which would now include consistency with this new "shall" requirement.	No	2025
R-305 A residential density of one home per 20 acres or 10 acres shall be achieved through regulatory and incentive programs on lands in the Rural Area that are managed, preserve, or prioritized for forestry or farming respectively, and <u>lands that</u> are found to qualify for a Rural Forest Focus Area designation in accordance with R-207.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current practice and the multiple interests the County may have in land that is being used for forestry and farming	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-306 A residential density of one home per 10 acres shall be applied in the Rural Area where: a. The lands are adjacent to or within one-quarter mile of designated ((Agricultural Production Districts, the Forest Production District or legally approved long-term mineral resource extraction sites)) <u>Natural Resource Lands; ((or))</u> b. The lands contain moderate or significant; environmentally constrained areas as defined by county ((ordinance, policy or federal or)) , state, or federal law ((, or)) ; regionally significant resource areas; or ((substantial)) critical habitat as determined by legislatively approved ((basin plans or)) Watershed Resource Inventory Area Plans; ((and)) or c. ((The predominant lot size is greater than or equal to 10 acres in size)) <u>A residential density of one home per five acres would harm or diminish the surrounding area, burden infrastructure, increase development pressure, or be inconsistent with the development patterns promoted by the Comprehensive Plan.</u>	Substantive change	Sub-a and b – clarifying/ streamlining edits that reflect existing intent. Specifically, in sub-b, basin plans is removed here because they are proposed for repeal in the ordinance adopting the 2024 Comprehensive Plan, as they are primarily from the 1990s and do not reflect current conditions or regulatory requirements. Sub-c – This language was guidance from when the post-Growth Management zoning conversion was first implemented in the early 1990s. The new, lower zoned densities were often not applied to smaller parcels that were already developed at the time, which often created a patchwork of various zones and lot sizes in any given area. The policy needs reorienting to current application	Will help provide clarity when reviewing proposed rezones, avoid use of an outdated approach, and ensure protection of rural character. Unlikely to have significant impacts on rural densities.	n/a	Regulatory	Commensurate updates in K.C.C. Chapter 20.22	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		and context when reviewing proposed rezones now. Properties are often smaller in size than the minimum lot size and, in the case of RA-10, they abut other rural area zoned parcels (such as RA-5, RA-2.5) as well as city parcels, that allow even smaller lots. Given this, the predominant lot size approach is no longer an appropriate method for distinguishing between RA-10 and other zones. The policy is reoriented to better align with other Growth Management Act and Comprehensive Plan goals and mandates.						
R-308 A residential density of one home per five acres shall be applied in the Rural Area <u>only</u> where: a. <u>The lands are more than one-quarter mile away from designated Natural Resource Lands;</u> b. <u>The lands (is) are physically suitable for development with minimal; environmentally sensitive features as defined by county, state, or federal law; regionally significant resource areas; or critical habitat as determined by legislatively ((adopted watershed based)) approved Watershed Resource Inventory Area plans; and</u>	Substantive change	Sub a. and new sub-b – Language added for parallel considerations and contrast with R-306, with edits for clarity, consistent with existing intent Old sub-b – removed, as this requirement is not	Will help provide clarity when reviewing proposed rezones and avoid use of an outdated approach. Unlikely to have significant impacts on rural densities.	n/a	Regulatory	Commensurate updates in K.C.C. Chapter 20.22	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>((b. Development can be supported by rural services; e. The land does not meet the criteria in this plan for lower density designations; and d. The predominant lot size is less than 10 acres.)) c. This residential density would not harm or diminish the surrounding area, burden infrastructure, increase development pressure, and be inconsistent with the development patterns promoted by the Comprehensive Plan.</p>		<p>unique to RA-5 zoned properties; it would be the same for all RA densities. Instead relies on new sub-c.</p> <p>Old sub-c - removed unnecessary and passive language Instead relies on new Subsection c.</p> <p>Old sub-d - This language was guidance from when the post-Growth Management zoning conversion was first implemented in the early 1990s. The new, lower zoned densities were often not applied to smaller parcels that were already developed at the time, which often created a patchwork of various zones and lot sizes in any given area. The policy needs reorienting to current application and context when reviewing proposed rezones now. Properties are often smaller in size than the minimum lot size and, in the case of</p>						

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		RA-5, they abut other rural area zoned parcels (such as RA-5, RA-2.5) as well as city parcels, that allow even smaller lots. Given this, the predominant lot size approach is no longer an appropriate method for distinguishing between RA-5 and other zones. The policy is reoriented to better align with other Growth Management Act and Comprehensive Plan goals and mandates.						
R-311 The King County (Residential Density Incentive) Inclusionary Housing Program shall not be available for development in the Rural Area zones.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect proposed repeal of the Residential Density Incentive Program and replacement by the expanded Inclusionary Housing Program. The expanded program is still not appropriate for Rural Area zones.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-312 ((As an innovative means to)) King County shall continue to operate an effective Transfer of Development Rights Program to: a. ((p)) Permanently ((preserve)) conserve private lands with countywide public benefit ((,)) ; b. ((to e)) Encourage higher densities in urban areas; ((and)) c. ((r)) Reduce residential development capacity in Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands; d. ((, King County shall continue to operate an effective Transfer of Development Rights Program)) ; and e. Support the County's climate resilience goals.	Substantive change	Reflects existing code allowances for Transfer of Development Rights acquisitions of parks and open space in urban areas. Incorporates climate change as an additional driver for Transfer of Development Rights-supported conservation. Other edits for clarity, streamlining, and current terminology	Reduction of climate change impacts at via Transfer of Development Rights easement acquisitions in unincorporated urban areas and in cities.	Conservation Futures Tax Opportunity Areas Land Conservation Initiative Strategic Climate Action Plan Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
R-313 The purpose of the Transfer of Development Rights Program is to reduce development potential in the Rural Area, ((and)) on designated Natural Resource Lands, and on urban open space lands acquired using conservation futures tax funding. ((its)) The Program's priority is to encourage the transfer of development rights from private rural and resource properties into the Urban Growth Area to protect natural resources while preserving potential and incentivizing development in locations best suited for growth.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects existing code allowances for Transfer of Development Rights acquisitions of parks and open space in urban areas. Explains why it's a priority to conserve rural and resource lands, consistent with current practice and program goals Other edits for clarity, streamlining, and current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-314 King County supports and shall work actively to facilitate the transfer of Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands development rights to: a. ((Preserve)) Conserve the rural environment, encourage retention of resource-based uses and reduce service demands; b. Provide permanent protection to significant natural resources; c. Increase the regional open space system; d. Maintain low density development in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands; e. Steer development growth inside the Urban Growth Area in ways that promote quality urban neighborhoods where residents want to work and live; and f. ((Provide mitigation for the impacts of urban development on global climate change by simultaneously reducing transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions and sequestering carbon through retention of forest cover and conserving agricultural lands)) Contribute to climate change benefits.	Substantive change	Align policy with current science and environmental benefits, recognizing Transfer of Development Rights in and of itself doesn't support climate mitigation efforts quantifiably to the extent once believed, (though Transfer of Development Rights are still beneficial for climate mitigation and adaptation many reasons) Other edits for current terminology	Requires new urban development using Transfer of Development Rights to account for and mitigate, where appropriate, climate change impacts under the State Environmental Policy Act	n/a	Regulatory	Commensurate removal in K.C.C. Chapter 21A.37	n/a	n/a
R-315 To promote transfers of development rights, King County shall: a. Facilitate transfers from private property owners with sending sites to property owners with receiving sites; b. Operate the King County Transfer of Development Rights Bank to facilitate the Transfer of Development Rights market, <u>maintain supply to the extent practicable</u> , and bridge the time gap between willing sellers and buyers of Transferrable Development Rights through buying, holding, and selling Transferable Development Rights; c. Work with cities to develop interlocal agreements that encourage transfers of development rights from Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands into cities; d. Work with cities regarding annexation areas where Transferrable Development Rights are likely to be used;	Substantive change	Emphasizes importance of demand to enable future conservation (i.e. it drives the system), encourages seeking new ways to drive demand, and specifies urban areas as preferred receiving areas.	Increased opportunities for Transfer of Development Rights partnerships with cities and augments urban demand, which drives additional conservation.	n/a	Regulatory and Programmatic	Creation of new fee-in-lieu Transfer of Development Rights option when Transfer of Development Rights are not available for purchase	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
e. Work with communities and seek funding and other means to provide public amenities to enhance the livability of incorporated and unincorporated area neighborhoods accepting increased densities through the Transfer of Development Rights Program; ((and)) f. Work with the Washington State Department of Commerce, Puget Sound Regional Council, and King County cities to implement Washington State Regional Transfer of Development Rights legislation; and g. <u>Explore new opportunities to increase Transfer of Development Right demand, prioritizing new receiving sites or Transfer of Development Right use within urban areas.</u>								
R-316 Eligible sending sites shall be lands designated on the King County Comprehensive Plan land use map as: Rural Area (with RA-2.5, RA-5, or RA-10 zoning), Agriculture (with A zoning), Forestry (with F zoning), Urban Separator (with R-1 zoning), or Urban Residential Medium or Urban Residential High (with R-4, R-6, R-8, R-12, R-18, R-24 or R-48 zoning) and that are approved for Conservation Futures Tax funding ((s)) . These sites shall provide permanent land ((protection)) conservation to create a significant public benefit. ((Priority s)) Sending sites ((are)) shall include, but not be limited to: a. Lands in Rural Forest Focus Areas; b. Lands adjacent to the Urban Growth Area boundary; c. Lands contributing to the protection of endangered and threatened species; d. Lands that are suitable for inclusion in and provide important links to the regional open space system; e. Agricultural and Forest Production District lands; f. Intact shorelines of Puget Sound; g. Lands ((identified as important according to the Washington State Department of Ecology's Watershed Characterization analyses)) in the RA zone with	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reorients policy from a statement to direction, consistent with existing intent. Updates to reflect current practice: align with code, removes reference to outdated analysis tool, clarifies key priorities for rural conservation, and alignment with critical area protection goals. Reflects current terminology.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
conservation values related to farming, forestry, carbon sequestration, or open space; or h. Lands contributing open space or strengthening protection of critical area function and values in urban unincorporated areas.								
((R-317 For Transfer of Development Rights purposes only, qualified sending sites are allocated development rights as follows: a. Sending sites in the Rural Area zoned RA-2.5 shall be allocated one Transferrable Development Right for every two and one-half acres of gross land area; b. Sending sites in the Rural Area zoned RA-5 or RA-10 or Agricultural zoning shall be allocated one Transferrable Development Right for every five acres of gross land area; c. Sending sites with Forest zoning shall be allocated one Transferrable Development Right for every eighty acres of gross land area; d. Sending sites with Urban Separator land use designation shall be allocated four Transferrable Development Rights for every one acre of gross land area; e. Sending sites with an Urban Residential, Medium or Urban Residential, High land use designation shall be allocated Transferrable Development Rights equivalent to the zoning base density for every one acre of gross land area; f. If a sending site has an existing dwelling or retains one or more development rights for future use, the gross acreage shall be reduced in accordance with the site's zoning base density for the purposes of Transferrable Development Right allocation; and g. King County shall provide bonus Transferrable Development Rights to sending sites in the Rural Area as follows: 1. The sending site is a vacant RA-zoned property and is no larger than one-half the size requirement of the base density for the zone; and	Substantive change	This is regulatory and a level of detail not appropriate for the Comprehensive Plan. It is currently in the Code and will continue there.	No change; standards would still be required in the code	n/a	Regulatory	Existing code in K.C.C. chapter 21.A.37 addresses these requirements	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
2. The sending site is a RA zoned property and is located on a shoreline of the state and has a shoreline designation of conservancy or natural.)								
R-318 ((Prior to the county's allocation of Transferable Development Rights to a sending site landowner, the landowner shall record and place on title of sending site parcel a conservation easement documenting the development restrictions. If development rights are being retained for future development, the subsequent development must be clustered, and the tract preserved with a permanent conservation easement shall be larger than the developed portion. In the case of lands within the Rural Forest Focus Areas, no more than one dwelling unit per 20 acres shall be retained, and the tract preserved with a conservation easement shall be at least 15 acres in size.)) <u>Transfer of Development Right sending sites shall be permanently protected with a conservation easement or similar encumbrance to ensure perpetual conservation benefit.</u>	Substantive change	Simplified language requiring permanent protection of TDR sending sites; allows "similar encumbrances" as conservation easements to simplify Transfer of Development Rights enrollment for King County fee title acquisitions.	Streamlined enrollment process	n/a	Regulatory and Programmatic	Commensurate updates to K.C.C. Chapter 21A.37	No	Ongoing
((R-319 Transferrable Development Rights may be used on receiving sites in the following order of preference as follows: a. Incorporated Cities. Transfers into incorporated areas shall be detailed in an interlocal agreement between the city receiving the development rights and the county; b. Unincorporated urban commercial centers; c. Other unincorporated urban areas; and d. Rural Areas zoned RA-2.5, unless they are on Vashon Maury Island, may receive transfers of development rights, but only from the Rural Forest Focus Areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removal will reduce confusion around implementation, and reflect market-based nature of the program; the bank can be selective about selling to receiving sites, but the Program doesn't want to constrain private market activity, consistent with existing practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-319a King County should designate urban unincorporated areas as Transferrable Development Right receiving sites for short subdivisions. Use of Transferrable Development Rights in formal	Substantive change	Allowance for urban Transfer of Development Right sending sites for subdivisions is a	Streamlined permitting process for subdivisions using Transfer of Development Rights	n/a	Regulatory	Current code in K.C.C. chapter 21A.37 already reflects subdivision allowance.	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
subdivisions shall be allowed only through a subarea study.)		code requirement, and doesn't need to be a policy. "Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case, of Transfer of Development				Replacement of subarea study requirement with Hearing Examiner review is reflected in K.C.C. chapter 21A.37 and K.C.C. 20.22		

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Rights, the study requirement is redundant to existing reviews that occur as part of departmental review of subdivision applications. So, the additional study requirement is proposed for removal. However, the code is updated to ensure that review of the subdivision application by the Hearing Examiner would need to include a finding that the use of Transfer of Development Rights doesn't create additional, unmitigated impacts.						
R-319 King County should prioritize Transferable Development Rights uses for residential density in urban areas. King County may also allow Transferrable Development Rights: a. In limited instances for development in the Rural Area, except for Vashon-Maury Island; and b. To provide incentives to developers for uses other than additional residential density.	New policy	Intent is (1) to clarify that we prefer Transfer of Development Rights to convert to residential density in receiving areas to increase housing capacity, consistent with R-312 and R-313, (2) to acknowledge the limited rural use of Transfer of Development Rights (currently for	Helps ensure continued demand for TDRs by allowing TDRs to translate into non-density uses of value to developers.	n/a	Regulatory and Programmatic	Proposed change to K.C.C. 21A.08.030 to allow for a duplex on a substandard lot in Snoqualmie Pass Rural Town that could otherwise have an Accessory Dwelling Unit. No additional proposed changes to K.C.C. at this time; new policy creates authority for	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		concurrency and proposed for duplexes in a Rural Town), and (3) to allow flexibility for future uses of Transfer of Development Rights if demand emerges for another kind of bonus. We would still prioritize residential density but wouldn't want to preclude the opportunity for additional conservation if the market expands in a new direction.				future changes, where appropriate.		
R-320 King County should seek other public funding and private-public partnerships for incorporated and unincorporated urban area amenities to strengthen the Transfer of Development Rights Program ((and facilitate the transfer of development rights from Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands into the King County Urban Growth Area to preserve the rural environment, encourage retention of rural and resource-based uses, and avoid urban service demands in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removes language redundant to other policies about program goals.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((R-321 King County should pursue public funding and public-private partnerships, and bond or levy proposals, for additional Transfer of Development Rights Bank funding to target threatened private Rural Areas or Natural Resource Lands. Development rights purchased through such a program should be sold into any appropriate urban location.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Duplicative of R-320	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-322 The goals of the Rural and Resource Land Preservation Transfer of Development Rights Program are to: (1) reduce the development potential in Rural	Clarification of existing policy intent	R-322 duplicates R-313, R-314, and the section intro	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Area and Natural Resource Lands by 25%; (2) increase activity in the Transfer of Development Rights market; (3) bolster demand for Transferrable Development Rights; (4) offer Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands property owners access to incentive programs; (5) protect low density Rural Areas from encroaching urban development; and (6) reduce greenhouse gas emissions by decreasing vehicle miles traveled from the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands and by sequestering carbon.		narrative. The 25% reduction goal is not captured elsewhere, but is difficult to track and is not part of current program operations/capacity.						
R-323 The Rural and Resource Land Preservation Transfer of Development Rights Program shall include, but is not limited to, the following: a. In addition to the density that is allowed on a receiving site in the urban growth area from the purchase of Transferrable Development Rights, the county shall evaluate the climate change benefits achieved by reducing transportation related greenhouse gas emissions that result from the transfer of development rights from the sending site, provided that such consideration is not precluded by administrative rules promulgated by the state; b. In order to satisfy transportation concurrency requirements in the Rural Area in a transportation concurrency travel shed that is non-concurrent, a development proposal for a short subdivision creating up to four lots may purchase Transferrable Development Rights from other Rural Area or Natural Resource Land properties in the same travel shed; allowing this is intended to reduce overall traffic impacts in rural travel sheds by permanently removing development potential. The transfer shall not result in an increase in allowable density on the receiving site. A short subdivision creating two lots where the property has been owned by the applicant for five or more years and where the property has not been subdivided in the last ten years shall satisfy the transportation concurrency requirements without having to purchase Transferrable Development Rights; c. King County shall provide an added density bonus of up to a 100% increase above the base	Clarification of existing policy intent	Generally, all provisions are either already in code, are being removed for other reasons already noted in other policies, or are covered by policy changes earlier in this section. Specifically: Sub-a: see related note on removing greenhouse reduction calculations; Sub-b: duplicates K.C.C. 14.70.025 Sub-c: duplicates K.C.C. Chapter 21A.12 Subs-d, e, and f: duplicates K.C.C. 21A.08.030 New proposed policy R-319 above would continue to allow Transfer of Development Rights for bonuses other	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>density allowed in K.C. Code 21A.12.030, when Transferrable Development Rights are used for projects within any designated commercial center or activity center within the Urban Growth Area that provides enhanced walkability design and incorporates transit oriented development, and may provide an added density when Transferrable Development Rights are used for projects that provide affordable housing in the R-4 through R-48 zones;</p> <p>d. King County may allow accessory dwelling units in the Rural Area that are greater than one thousand square feet, but less than 1,500 square feet, if the property owner purchases one Transferrable Development Right from the Rural Area, Agriculture or Forestry designations; ((and))</p> <p>e. King County may allow a detached accessory dwelling unit on a RA-5 zoned lot that is two and one-half acres or greater and less than three and three-quarters acres if the property owner purchases one Transferrable Development Right from the Rural Area, Agriculture or Forestry designations.</p>		<p>than residential density, but with more flexibility and more consistent with current program framework.</p>						
<p>R-324 Nonresidential uses in the Rural Area shall be limited to those that:</p> <p>a. Provide convenient local products and services for nearby residents;</p> <p>b. Require location in a Rural Area;</p> <p>c. Support natural resource-based industries;</p> <p>d. Provide adaptive reuse of significant historic resources; or</p> <p>e. Provide recreational ((and)) or tourism opportunities that are compatible with the surrounding Rural Area.</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	<p>Sub-e would currently require uses to provide both recreational <i>and</i> tourism opportunities; but there are uses that are currently allowed that may only provide one or the other.</p> <p>Policy is also split into two, with the 2nd half now in R-324a, as they address different issues (types of uses versus conditions on the use)</p>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-324a ((These)) Nonresidential uses in the Rural Area shall be sited, sized and landscaped to complement rural character as defined in policy R-101 and R-201, prevent impacts to the environment and function with rural services, including on-site wastewater disposal.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated from R-324	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-325 Golf facilities shall be permitted as a conditional use in the RA-2.5 and RA-5 zones and when located outside of Rural Forest Focus Areas, Regionally Significant Resource Areas and Locally Significant Resource Areas((, as a conditional use, in the RA-2.5 and RA-5 zones)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-327 Consistent with the recommendations of the School Siting Task Force, included as Appendix ((Q)) E, in the Rural Area: a. Except as otherwise provided in subsections d. and e. of this policy, an existing elementary, middle, or junior high school may be modified or expanded but shall not be converted to a high school; b. An existing high school may be modified or expanded or converted to an elementary, middle, or junior high school; c. Snoqualmie Valley 1: parcel number 1823099046, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as a new school; d. Lake Washington 4: parcel numbers 0825069008 and 0825069056, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as a new school and convert an existing school on the site to a high school use; e. Tahoma 1: parcel number 2622069047, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as a new school and convert an existing school on the site to a high school use only if no feasible alternative site can be located within the Urban Growth Area; f. Lake Washington 2: parcel numbers 3326069010 and 3326069009, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as a new school only if no	Technical change	To reflect changes in appendix numbering	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
feasible alternative site can be located within the Urban Growth Area, in which case it may be incorporated into the Urban Growth Area; and g. Enumclaw A and D: the rural portions of parcel numbers 2321069064, 2321069063, and 2321069062, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as ballfields or recreational playfields only, for a school located on the urban portions of the parcels.								
R-329 Library services for the Rural Area should be provided by bookmobiles, or by libraries in Rural Towns or Cities in the Rural Area <u>or may be allowed as an accessory use to a park or in a historic building in the Rural Area.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	To be consistent with existing code in K.C.C. 21A.08.040	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((R-634)) <u>R-329a</u> No master planned resorts <u>as defined in Chapter 36.70A Revised Code of Washington shall be permitted in ((the Forest Production District)) unincorporated King County.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocates a portion of R-631, with clarifying edits to reflect existing intent. King County does not allow "master planned resorts," as defined in the Growth Management Act (which includes allowing sewers in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands), anywhere in the unincorporated area – not just in the Forest Production District. Instead, King County allows a less intensive version of this as "destination resorts," if appropriately sized/scaled. This policy inadvertently	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		conflated the two and is updated to reflect that underlying intent and what's allowed in current code.						
R-331 New subdivisions in the Rural Area should be designed and developed to maximize conservation of existing forest cover and native vegetation, and to minimize impervious surfaces within individual lots and in the subdivision as a whole. ((King County shall develop additional site design standards for new subdivisions that further reduce the impacts of new homes in the Rural Area on the natural environment, resource uses and other adjacent land uses.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removing outdated language from 2000. These goals are generally addressed throughout current K.C.C.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-332 Site design standards for new subdivisions in the Rural Area should include: minimization of impervious surfaces; <u>maximizing retention of native soil and vegetation; supporting green stormwater infrastructure; site layout and landscaping that minimizes wildfire risk;</u> limitations on entrance signage; preservation of natural contours, existing meadows and opportunities for keeping of horses; and other standards to limit features typical of urban or suburban development.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Editing consistent with current stormwater management practices, Clean Water Healthy Habitat, and regional stormwater goals. In 2019, jurisdictions were required to update their code to implement low impact development. Many of these listed standards are part of that requirement minus signage, fire risk, and horse keeping. The Surface Water Design Manual requires all, except those.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((ED-502)) R-333a In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, King County shall provide assistance	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated without edit from to Chapter	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
through development of customized stewardship plans for individual properties, to help property owners understand their properties' characteristics and the potential impacts of their actions, and to make sustainable land management choices that protect natural resources.		10, as this policy is not directly about economic development						
R-335 When a resource or open space tract is created as part of a plat, the ((e))County should require a stewardship plan to ensure appropriate management of the tract.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-336 King County shall continue to support the rural development standards that have been established to protect the natural environment by addressing seasonal and maximum clearing limits, impervious surface limits and resource-based practices. Stormwater management practices should be implemented that emphasize preservation of natural drainage systems((, protect)) and protection of water quality and natural hydrology of surface waters and groundwater. Rural development standards should also, where feasible, incorporate and encourage ((L))low ((H))impact ((D))design principles for managing stormwater onsite by minimizing impervious surfaces, preserving onsite hydrology, retaining native vegetation and forest cover, capturing and reusing rainwater, controlling pollution at the source, and protecting groundwater. King County shall take care that requirements for onsite stormwater management complement requirements for onsite wastewater management.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-336b ((Adoption of such codes may result in an increased use of r))Renewable energy technologies ((that)) may be sited in the Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands, as appropriate. Development standards ((will seek to)) should ensure that the siting, scale, and design of these facilities respect and support rural character.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from statement to policy direction, consistent with existing intent. "Will seek to" means the same as "should"	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-401 King County shall work with cities and other agencies providing services to the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands to adopt standards for facilities and services in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands that: a. ((p)) Protect ((basic)) public health and safety and the environment ((, but)) ; b. ((a)) Are financially supportable at appropriate densities; c. ((c)) Are appropriate size and scale; and d. ((d)) Do not encourage urban development.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Restructured for clarity. Incorporates appropriate size and scale in sub-c, consistent with existing mandates in the Growth Management Act and the Comprehensive Plan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-402 Public spending priorities for facilities and services within the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands should be as follows: a. First, to maintain existing facilities and services that protect public health and safety; b. Second, to upgrade facilities and services when needed to correct ((level of service)) <u>level-of-service</u> deficiencies without unnecessarily creating additional capacity for new growth; and c. Third, to support sustainable economic development that is sized and scaled at levels appropriate for Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands and does not foster urbanization.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-403 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, standards and plans for utility service should be consistent with long-term, low-density development and resource industries. Utility facilities that serve the Urban Growth Area but must be located in the Rural Area or on Natural Resource Lands (for example, a pipeline from a municipal watershed) should be designed and scaled to serve primarily the Urban Growth Area. Sewers needed to serve previously established urban "islands," Cities in the Rural Area, Rural Towns, or new or existing schools pursuant to R-327 and ((F-264)) <u>F-262a</u> shall be tightlined and have access restrictions precluding service to other lands in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.	Technical change	Reflects policy renumbering	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-501 The range of uses allowed on lands with the Rural Neighborhood Commercial Center(s designated on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map are) land use designation shall be scaled to be small(-scale business areas) businesses that (should) provide convenience shopping and services for (the surrounding community) surrounding Rural Area and Natural Resource land residents, such as retail, community and human services, and personal services.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Clarifying edits to: to make it clear that these are not the same as "centers" under the Multicounty Planning Policies and Countywide Planning Policies where growth is targeted, consistent with existing intent; consolidation of R-502; and breaking up the policy with new R-501a and R-501b below to make it clear that R-501 is about existing centers, R-501a is about prohibiting new centers, and R-501b is about expanding existing centers, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-501a No new nodes of lands shall be designated as Rural Neighborhood Commercial Center(s are needed to serve the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Breaking up R-501 with new R-501a and R-501b to make it clear that R-501 is about existing centers, R-501a is about prohibiting new centers, and R-501b is about expanding existing centers, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-501b (Expansion of the boundaries of the) Adding the Rural Neighborhood Commercial Center land use designation to land adjacent to an existing Rural	Clarification of existing policy intent	Breaking up R-501 with new R-501a and R-501b to make	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Neighborhood Commercial Center((s)) shall not be ((permitted)) <u>allowed</u> except through a subarea <u>plan or area zoning and land use study</u> .		it clear that R-501 is about existing centers, R-501a is about prohibiting new centers, and R-501b is about expanding existing centers, consistent with existing intent						
R-502 Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers should accommodate only small scale retail, community and human services, and personal service uses that provide convenience shopping and services to nearby Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands residents.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated with R-501	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-503 King County commercial development standards for Rural Neighborhood Commercial Center((s)) <u>lands</u> should facilitate economic reuse of existing structures, minimize increases in impervious surfaces, and encourage retention of historic character and scale. Urban-level parking, landscaping, and street improvement standards are not appropriate for Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers except as demonstrated as being needed to address the safety of the public.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To make it clear that these are not the same as "centers" under the Multicounty Planning Policies and Countywide Planning Policies where growth is targeted, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-503a ((Where appropriate,)) King County should allow the use of existing structures/parcels to accommodate Farmers Markets ((within)) <u>on</u> Rural Neighborhood Commercial Center((s)) <u>lands</u> .	Clarification of existing policy intent	To make it clear that these are not the same as "centers" under the Multicounty Planning Policies and Countywide Planning Policies where growth is targeted, consistent with existing intent "Where appropriate" is not needed, because it is implied	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		in the Comprehensive Plan definition of "should"						
((R-507)) R-503b Rural Towns serve as activity centers for the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands and may be served by a range of utilities and services, and may include several or all of the following land uses, if supported by necessary utilities and other services and if scaled and designed to protect rural character: a. Retail, commercial, and industrial uses to serve the surrounding Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands population; b. Residential development, including single(-family) detached housing on small lots, as well as multifamily housing and mixed-use developments; c. Other retail, commercial, and industrial uses, such as resource industries, tourism, commercial recreation, and light industry; and d. Public facilities and services such as community services, parks, (churches) places of worship, schools, and fire stations.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect that parks are also currently provided in Rural Towns, and to use current terminology Moved from below to so the policies flow from overarching role of Rural Towns (this policy) to then the specific Rural Towns that meet this criteria.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-504 King County designates the Rural Towns of Fall City, Snoqualmie Pass, and the (Town of) Vashon as unincorporated Rural Towns. These historical settlements in unincorporated King County should provide services and a range of housing choices for Rural Area residents. The boundaries of the designated Rural Towns are shown on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map. Adjustments to these boundaries shall only occur through a subarea plan or area zoning and land use study, and shall not allow significant increases in development potential or environmental impacts. No new Rural Towns (are needed to serve the Rural Area) shall be created.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		<p>practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case, expansions of Rural Town boundary adjustments could happen via either a subarea plan or an area zoning and land use study.</p> <p>The last sentence is reoriented from a statement to policy direction, consistent with existing intent. The current statement is from the 1994 Comprehensive Plan when the Rural Town designation was first created to reflect the 3 existing nodes of more intensive development in the</p>						

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		rural area (Vashon, Fall City, and Snoqualmie Pass) and to comply with the Growth Management Act mandate that no additional development of this density occur in the rural area. The statement was intended to set a marker that no additional rural towns be created moving forward.						
R-505 Commercial and industrial development that provides employment, shopping, and community and human services that strengthen the fiscal and economic health of rural communities should locate in Rural Towns if utilities and other services permit. Urban-level parking((, landscaping,)) and street improvement standards are not appropriate for Rural Towns. Sidewalks and other pedestrian safety measures should be provided to serve ((the)) Rural Towns.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with current code. The Road Standards say "Street trees and landscaping should be incorporated into the design of road improvements for all classifications of roads" and don't distinguish between urban, rural, or rural town.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-506 Rural Towns may contain higher-density housing than permitted in the surrounding Rural Area, and should provide affordable and resource-worker housing ((if utilities and other services permit)). Development density in Rural Towns may approach that achieved in Cities in the Rural Area, <u>when appropriate infrastructure is available.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	As written, "if utilities and other services permit" appears to only apply to affordable and resource worker housing, which would be an additional requirement on these types of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		development that wouldn't necessarily have larger impacts than market-rate housing. Moved to end of policy to apply more generally, consistent with existing requirements in the Growth Management Act and Comprehensive Plan						
R-507 Rural Towns serve as activity centers for the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands and may be served by a range of utilities and services, and may include several or all of the following land uses, if supported by necessary utilities and other services and if scaled and designed to protect rural character: a. Retail, commercial, and industrial uses to serve the surrounding Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands population; b. Residential development, including single-family housing on small lots as well as multifamily housing and mixed-use developments; c. Other retail, commercial, and industrial uses, such as resource industries, tourism, commercial recreation, and light industry; and d. Public facilities and services such as community services, churches, schools, and fire stations.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated as R-503b	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-508 Sewers may be allowed in Rural Towns if necessary to solve existing water quality and public health problems ((which)) that cannot be addressed by other methods, provided that any extension of sewer mains from urban areas to serve a Rural Town shall be tightlined systems designed to not serve any intervening lands. All alternatives shall be exhausted	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
before sewers may be allowed. Rural Towns shall not be enlarged to facilitate provision of sewers.								
R-509 Rural Towns should be compact, promoting ((pedestrian and nonmotorized travel)) <u>active transportation</u> while ((permitting automobile)) <u>allowing vehicle access</u> to most commercial and industrial uses. New development should be designed to strengthen the desirable characteristics and the historic character of the town, be supported by necessary public facilities and services, and be compatible with historic resources and nearby Rural Area or Natural Resource Land uses. New industrial uses should locate where they do not disrupt pedestrian or bicycle traffic in established retail areas of town or conflict with residential uses.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-510 ((The Cities in the Rural Area and their Potential Annexation Areas are part of the overall Urban Growth Area for purposes of planning land uses and facility needs.)) King County should work with Cities in the Rural Area to: a. ((e)) Encourage the provision of affordable housing ((, tē)) ; b. ((m)) Minimize the impacts of new development on the surrounding Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands; c. <u>Avoid the conversion of rural lands into commercial uses and the creation of pressure to extend or expand urban services, infrastructure, and facilities, such as roads or sewer, across or into the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands; and</u> d. ((tē-p)) Plan for growth consistent with long-term protection of significant historic resources ((, r)) and the surrounding Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.	Clarification of existing policy intent	First sentence is policy direction, and redundant to narrative above the policy Sub-c is added to include prevention of the conversion of rural land and associated development pressure, consistent with existing intent and as mandated by the Growth Management Act, VISION 2050 IN MPP-RGS-13, and the Countywide Planning Policies in DP-46 Other edits for grammar and clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-511 Within Potential Annexation Areas of Cities in the Rural Area the following uses shall be permitted until the area annexes to the city: a. Residential development at a density of one home per five acres or less with mandatory clustering; and b. Nonresidential development such as commercial and industrial as determined through ((previous)) subarea plans.	Clarification of existing policy intent	As written, this policy would only apply to subarea plans before a certain date (unclear which date) and/or that may not be currently adopted, when it should apply to any currently adopted subarea plan regardless of when the plan was adopted	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-513 Rural Public Infrastructure Maintenance Facilities, and agriculture and forestry product processing should be allowed in the Rural Area. Other new industrial uses in the Rural Area shall be permitted only on existing Industrial zoned properties in Rural Towns and ((in the designated industrial area adjacent to the Rural Neighborhood Commercial Center of)) the Preston Industrial Area.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for accuracy, to reflect that the Rural Neighborhood Commercial Center next to Preston was erroneously imposed and does not align with the zoning of the area (which is proposed for correction in the land use and zoning map amendments in Snoqualmie Valley North East King County subarea plan that is being evaluated concurrently with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-514 Development regulations for new industrial development in the Rural Area shall require the following: a. Greater setbacks, and reduced building height, floor/lot ratios, and maximum impervious surface	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and to reflect current code	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
percentage standards in comparison to standards for urban industrial development; b. Maximum protection of sensitive natural features, especially salmonid habitat and water quality; c. Building and landscape design that respects the aesthetic qualities and character of the Rural Area, and provides substantial buffering from the adjoining uses and scenic vistas; d. ((Building colors and materials that are muted, s)) Signs that are not internally illuminated, and site and building lighting that is held to the minimum necessary for safety; e. <u>Prohibition of ((H))</u> heavier industrial uses, new industrial uses producing substantial waste byproducts or wastewater discharge, or new paper, chemical and allied products manufacturing uses <u>allowed</u> in the urban industrial zone ((shall be prohibited)) ; and f. Industrial uses ((requiring)) <u>be sized to not require</u> substantial investments in infrastructure, such as water, sewers, or transportation facilities, or facilities that generate substantial volumes of heavy-gross weight truck trips ((, shall be reduced in size to avoid the need for public funding of the infrastructure)) .								
R-515 Existing industrial uses in the Rural Area outside of Rural Towns ((, the industrial area on the King County designated historic site along State Route 169 or the designated industrial area adjacent to the Rural Neighborhood Commercial Center of Preston)) without Industrial zoning currently shall be zoned rural ((residential)) area but may continue if they qualify as legal, conforming and/or nonconforming uses.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-516 ((Within Rural Towns and larger Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers, non-motorized connectivity, where consistent with rural character,)) Connectivity for active transportation uses should be encouraged in Rural Towns, where consistent with rural character, to promote ((walking and bicycling)) physical activity and to improve public health.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Restructured for clarity. Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers is removed, as those nodes of commercial development are small and isolated, and thus not appropriate for or	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		capable of non-motorized connectivity, consistent with rural levels of service in the Growth Management Act and the Comprehensive Plan.						
R-517 King County should explore ways of creating and supporting community gardens, Farmers Markets, produce stands and other similar community((-)-based food growing projects to provide and improve access to healthy, affordable food for all rural residents.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-601 The Rural Forest Commission shall advise the King County Executive and Council on the development and implementation of ((innovative)) strategies, programs, policies and regulations that benefit forestry ((and)), that encourage the retention of the forest land base in King County, and support rural forest landowners. King County shall continue to support the Rural Forest Commission with staff and other resources.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to reflect current scope and role of the Rural Forest Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-602 The Agriculture Commission shall advise the King County Executive and Council on ((agricultural issues and programs, including, but not limited to: a. Existing and proposed legislation and regulations affecting commercial agriculture; b. Land use issues that affect agriculture; and c. Ways to maintain, enhance and promote agriculture and agricultural products in the region.)) <u>programs, policies, regulations, and land use issues that affect commercial agriculture, encourage retention of farmland, support farmland access for traditionally underserved communities, and contribute to a strong local food system.</u> King County shall continue to support the Agriculture Commission with staff and other resources.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to reflect current scope and role of the Agriculture Commission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((R-606)) R-603 Farm lands, forest lands and mineral resources shall be conserved for productive use	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to lead with the designation	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
through the use of Designated Agricultural and Forest Production Districts and Designated Mineral Resource Sites where the principal ((and preferred)) land uses ((will)) shall be commercial resource management activities ((, and by the designation of appropriate compatible uses on adjacent Rural Area and urban lands)) .		and then the ways to support/implement that designation. Striking word "preferred" to recognize non-resource uses may be preferred in some cases, e.g. forest protection for carbon sequestration and habitat, consistent with existing practice. "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Removed language redundant to R-607						
R-604 King County shall promote and support <u>commercially viable and environmentally sustainable forestry, agriculture, and other resource-based industries</u> as a part of a diverse and regional economy.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Including commercial considerations, consistent with Growth Management Act requirements to protect resource lands of long-term commercial significance	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-604a King County shall support ((and designate)) mineral resource lands of long-term <u>commercial</u> significance and promote policies, environmental reviews, and management practices that minimize	Clarification of existing policy intent	Including commercial considerations, consistent with	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
conflicts with neighboring land uses and mitigate environmental impacts.		Growth Management Act requirements to protect resource lands of long-term commercial significance "Designate" is redundant to R-603						
((R-605 Forestry and agriculture best management practices are encouraged because of their multiple benefits, including natural resource preservation and protection.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Addressed under "environmentally sustainable" in R-604	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-606 Farm lands, forest lands and mineral resources shall be conserved for productive use through the use of Designated Agricultural and Forest Production Districts and Designated Mineral Resource Sites where the principal and preferred land uses will be commercial resource management activities, and by the designation of appropriate compatible uses on adjacent Rural Area and urban lands.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to R-603	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-608 King County should encourage infrastructure and services that support resource lands management and resource-based businesses. These should be sited ((in close proximity)) close to designated Agricultural and Forest Production Districts and Designated Mineral Resource Sites ((when)) where potential adverse impacts and incompatibilities can effectively be mitigated.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-609 King County should expand access to property tax incentive programs to encourage landowners to continue ((practicing)) and expand farming and forestry and to help ensure retention of the resource land base. These programs should be publicized and marketed to ensure equitable access to program benefits.	Substantive change	Updates to reflect program goals to not only keep support current farming/forestry but also expand them, as well as advancing equity goals.	Could lead to increased farm and forestry uses for properties using incentives, and more equitable program delivery / incentives benefitting priority populations.	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((R-610 King County shall employ a variety of innovative programs and incentives to help maintain and enhance resource-based industries.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Very general policy that is more specifically addressed throughout may policies in this chapter.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-612 King County shall work cooperatively with cities, <u>Indian</u> tribes, other public agencies, private utilities, resource managers, land((-))owners, and residents to conserve public and private Resource Lands for long-term productivity and environmental protection in a consistent and predictable manner.	Technical change	Current terminology and grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-613 Designated Forest and Agricultural Production District lands shall not be annexed by cities <u>except as allowed in Policies R-656 and R-656a.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects existing allowance for Agricultural and/or Forest Production District lands to be moved into the Urban Growth Area and potentially annexed under policies R-656 and R-656a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-614 King County should establish written agreements with agencies, <u>Indian</u> tribes and other affected parties whose close coordination and collaboration are essential to effective implementation of resource management programs. Such agreements should serve to establish consensus and commitment to achieving specific resource management goals and to define the specific roles and responsibilities of each agency.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((R-615 King County should avoid duplication of federal and state regulations that apply to resource-based industries. However, King County reserves the authority to address issues of local concern with regard to resource-based activities and operations.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Policy direction is not needed to allow this	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-618 King County shall be a leader in resource management by demonstrating environmentally sound agriculture and forestry on ((e))County-owned land.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-619 King County shall include resource education through its signs on trail systems that are linked with working farms, forests, and mines. ((Interpretation should: a. Provide historical perspective; b. Demonstrate current adaptive resource management practices (forestry, fisheries, wildlife, agriculture); and c. Explain economics of various resource uses.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Too much detail for Comprehensive Plan policy; addressed through implementation in King County Parks planning	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-620 The Forest Production District shall remain in large blocks of contiguous forest lands where the primary land use is commercial forestry. Other resource ((industry)) uses, such as mineral extraction and agriculture, should be permitted within the Forest Production District when managed to be compatible with forestry.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Streamlining; industry is implied in resource	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-621 The Forest Production District is a long-term designation. Lands may be removed from the Forest Production District only through a subarea <u>plan or area zoning and land use</u> study, and only to recognize areas with historical retail commercial uses.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case, expansions of Forest Production District land removal could happen via either a subarea plan or an area zoning and land use study.						
R-622 King County recognizes the many values provided by the public forestland in the county, and encourages continued responsible forest management on these lands. King County should collaborate with other public land managers in planning for the <u>restoration, conservation, use, and management of forest resources on public lands for multiple public values such as sustainable supply of timber, carbon storage and sequestration, and other ecosystem benefits.</u>	Substantive change	Updated to reflect current practice, and provide additional clarity on of the type of other benefits beyond forestry that are being prioritized. Supports other changes in Chapter 5 and a new work program item related to old growth/mature forests	Additional protection of upper watershed and major river corridors	Strategic Climate Action Plan Action GHG 6.4.1 Land Conservation Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-623 King County is committed to maintaining working forestland in the Forest Production District, and shall continue to work with landowners and other (stakeholders)) <u>partners</u> to promote forestry, reduce uses and activities that conflict with resource uses, and recognize forestland values.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-624 To reduce conflicts with resource uses <u>and wildfire risks</u> , a forest management plan shall be required as a condition of development for any	Clarification of existing policy intent	Emphasizes existing fire safety/protection requirement for	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
residential uses in the Forest Production District. Accessory dwelling units shall not be allowed in the Forest Production District.		forest management plans in K.C.C. 21A.08.030.B.2.b, which will be more important over time as wildfire risks increase with climate change						
R-626 King County should conserve working forests and should encourage continued private forestry through the acquisition or transfer of development rights in the Forest Production District. Land acquisition proposals that would remove lands from forest management should be evaluated to ensure that the long-term commercial significance of the Forest Production District is not compromised.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-628 In consultation with Indian tribes and other affected agencies and landowners, King County should support land trades that result in consolidated forest ownership and work with forest managers to identify and develop other incentives for continued forestry.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-631 ((No master planned resorts shall be permitted in the Forest Production District.)) New or expansion of existing recreational or institutional uses, including destination resorts, in the Forest Production District may be permitted if compatible with long-term forestry, the interests of Indian tribes and other resource management goals.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocates first sentence to R-329a, which is true for more areas than just the Forest Protection District Clarifies types of recreational uses included, consistent with uses allowed in existing code.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-632 King County should continue to work with all affected parties and the Washington State Department of Natural Resources to improve the clarity of jurisdictional responsibilities for proposed timber harvests and associated enforcement of forest practice regulations ((in the Rural Area)) , and to ensure that landowners comply with county regulations when they are converting portions of a site to a non-forest use.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects that clarifying state vs county jurisdiction is important to improving enforcement.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((Harvesting of forest lands for the purpose of converting to non-forest uses shall meet all applicable county standards for clearing and critical areas management, and the loss of carbon sequestration capacity resulting from such forest conversions should be fully mitigated. Landowners opting to conduct forest management activities under state approved forest practices permits should be restricted from developing those areas for non-resource purposes for six years from the date of forest practice approval. Recognizing that some landowners combine the development of a residence or an agricultural activity on a portion of the property with long-term forestry on the rest, the county should provide flexibility in its regulations to address the residential development and agricultural activity differently from the forest management.))		For removed language, this level of detail more appropriate for the code, and we do not need a policy to state that the code must be followed.						
R-633 King County should ensure that regulations applying to forest practices do not discourage forest management on properties in long-term forestry((- Forestry should be regulated consistent with best management practices in)) , consistent with the Forest Practices Act. The ((e)) County should work to simplify its regulatory processes related to forest management.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-636 King County promotes forest management that achieves long-term forest health; protection of watersheds, critical areas and habitat to support fish and wildlife populations; protection of threatened and endangered species; management of stormwater runoff and associated pollutants; conservation and economic viability of working forests; <u>wildfire risk reduction; recreation; carbon storage and sequestration</u> ((and reduction in greenhouse gas emissions)); and adaptation to climate change.	Substantive change	To reflect current policy priorities and context	King County is more actively engaged directly or indirectly (via collaborations) in conducting or promoting forest management activities that reduce wildfire risk. This includes working with landowners to reduce wildfire risk, selective thinning around critical County-owned infrastructure, and forest restoration. Relevant to King	King County Wildfire Risk Reduction Strategy 30 Year Forest Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
			County forest lands and forest land owners/managers					
R-637 King County should encourage community fire planning so that residents are aware of the dangers of forest fires and take steps to make their properties less vulnerable. King County should support neighborhood-based efforts to manage forests to improve forest health and reduce the risk of wildfire.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to R-641c	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-640 King County should continue to collaborate with the University of Washington, Washington State University including Extension, state and federal agencies, and forest landowners to monitor and evaluate impacts of climate change on forests in King County.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to R-641e	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-641 King County ((should)) shall consider climate change impacts and take steps to improve forest health ((and resilience to climate change impacts through its technical assistance to forest land owners, management of county-owned forest lands, and support of neighborhood-based efforts to reduce risks from wildfires)) and wildfire resilience on County-owned forest lands.		Strengthening policy commitment given the importance of this issue. Also, R-641 split into two modified policies: one focusing on county-owned forest lands (this one is retaining the R-641 identity) and a new collaboration policy in R-641e focusing on private forest land owners and residents.						
<u>R-641a King County shall take steps to plan for and reduce wildfire risk in the wildland-urban interface in unincorporated King County including wildfire risk assessment and planning, amending codes to align with best practices for wildfire risk reduction, and public education.</u>	New policy	Advancing recommendations from the King County wildfire strategy	County takes actions to reduce wildfire risk in the unincorporated parts of the wildland-urban interface.	Wildfire Risk Reduction Strategy Action 4 30-Year Forest Plan Strategy 1-3	Regulatory and Programmatic	Proposed changes to K.C.C. Chapter 16.82 to remove permitting barriers for vegetation management for wildfire risk reduction	Likely yes – probably grant funding	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<u>R-641b King County shall encourage wildfire preparedness, including wildfire risk assessment and planning, in cities and towns located in the wildland-urban interface in King County.</u>	New policy	Advancing recommendations from the King County wildfire strategy	Cities and towns take actions to reduce in wildfire risk in the incorporated parts of the wildland-urban interface.	Wildfire Risk Reduction Strategy Action 4 30-Year Forest Plan Strategy 1-3	Programmatic	n/a	Likely yes – probably grant funding	Ongoing
((R-637)) <u>R-641c King County ((should)) shall encourage community ((fire planning)) wildfire preparedness so that residents are aware of the dangers of forest fires and take steps to make their properties less vulnerable. ((King County should support neighborhood based efforts to manage forests to improve forest health and reduce the risk of wildfire.))</u>	Substantive change	Strengthening policy commitment given the importance of this issue	Residents take actions to reduce in wildfire risk in the wildland-urban interface.	Wildfire Risk Reduction Strategy Actions 3, 4, 6, and 8 30-Year Forest Plan Strategy 1-3	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
<u>R-641d King County wildfire risk reduction activities shall prioritize the needs of residents whose ability to prepare for, respond to, and recover from wildfire impacts may be limited by income, health, mobility, or other disparities.</u>	New policy	Ensures King County's wildfire risk reduction work is accounting for equity and prioritizing those who need to most assistance.	Improved equitable outcomes in the wildland-urban interface.	Wildfire Risk Reduction Strategy 30-Year Forest Plan Strategy 1-3 Strategic Climate Action Plan Sustainable and Resilient Frontline Communities Focus Area 4	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
<u>R-641dd King County should adopt regulations that do not require permits for vegetation management in areas outside of critical areas and their buffers if implementing approved best management practices for wildfire risk reduction or as included within an approved forest stewardship plan that includes wildfire best management practices.</u>	New policy	Reflects need for regulatory changes that improve clearing and grading requirements to further support vegetation management for wildfire risk reduction	Residents can more easily implement wildfire risk reduction best management practices for vegetation management on their properties.	Wildfire Risk Reduction Strategy 30-Year Forest Plan Strategy 1-3	Regulatory	Proposed changes to K.C.C. Chapter 16.82 to remove permitting barriers for vegetation management for wildfire risk reduction	n/a	n/a
((R-640)) <u>R-641e King County ((should continue to)) shall collaborate with key partners, such as the University of Washington, Washington State University including Extension, state and federal agencies, cities,</u>	Substantive change	Strengthens the policy while creating flexibility to work with partners	King County is pursuing a diverse portfolio of activities to reduce wildfire	Wildfire Risk Reduction Strategy	Programmatic	n/a	Likely yes – probably grant funding	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>first responders, Indian tribes, and ((forest)) landowners, ((to)) on activities that improve forest resilience and reduce wildfire risks, including the following:</p> <p>a. ((monitor)) Monitoring and ((evaluate)) evaluating impacts of climate change on forests and wildfire potential in King County</p> <p>b. Promoting species and structural diversity within and across forest stands in King County;</p> <p>c. Providing educational and technical assistance for small forest landowners;</p> <p>d. Leveraging partnerships to increase funding for landowner incentive cost-share programs;</p> <p>e. Expanding and enhancing opportunities for building public awareness and promoting shared learning about wildfire preparedness and risk reduction in King County;</p> <p>f. Reducing landslide and flooding risks resulting from wildfire damage and associated impacts; and</p> <p>g. Supporting the recovery of natural systems and communities affected by wildfire.</p>		beyond the listed partners and to reflect that the partners may change in any given case. Includes key strategies to advance, reflecting current policy goals related to wildfire risk reduction	risk, in collaboration with appropriate partners.	30-Year Forest Plan Strategy (Strategy 1, Climate)				
R-642a King County should develop a long((-))-term strategy for financing protection of sufficient farmland to significantly expand and retain food production, including improving the farmability of protected farmland, and ensuring that the easements are well-managed for the long((-))term.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-642b Farmers conducting work on property on which King County owns a Farmland Preservation Program easement or farmers leasing properties owned by King County should be limited to predominantly agricultural activities and agricultural ((-supportive activities)) support services.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To changes in terminology adopted in the code in 2017	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-643 Agricultural Production Districts ((are)) shall be blocks of contiguous farmlands where agriculture is supported through the protection of agricultural soils and related support services and activities. Roads and natural features ((are)) should be appropriate boundaries for Agricultural Production Districts to reduce the possibility of conflicts with adjacent land uses.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reorients from a statement to policy direction, consistent with existing intent and current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-646 Lands within Agricultural Production Districts (should) shall remain in parcels large enough for commercial agriculture. A maximum residential density of one home per 35 acres shall be applied where the predominant lot size of agricultural-zoned parcels in the surrounding area is 35 acres or larger, and a maximum residential density of one home per 10 acres shall be applied where the predominant lot size of agricultural-zoned parcels in the surrounding area is smaller than 35 acres.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consistent with requirements in the Growth Management Act, land within Agricultural Production Districts must be large enough for commercial agriculture. Zoning on agricultural lands should consider conditions on other surrounding agricultural parcels alone, not other types of parcels (which are generally intentionally smaller than agricultural parcels).	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-649 Agriculture (must) shall remain the predominant use in any Agricultural Production District and aquatic habitat or floodplain restoration projects (as well as, King County) and mitigation reserves program projects shall not reduce the ability to farm in the Agricultural Production District. (Therefore, until the county implements the watershed planning process described in R-650, such projects are allowed only when supported by owners of the land where the proposed project is to be sited. Criteria to be considered: a. For a project proposed to be sited on lands that are unsuitable for direct agricultural production purposes, such as portions of property that have not historically been farmed due to soil conditions or frequent flooding, and which cannot be returned to productivity by drainage maintenance, or	Substantive change	Suite of changes to reflect current status and future plans for Fish, Farm, Flood, based on lessons learned coming out of the Snoqualmie Fish, Farm, Flood work, substantively consistent with recommended policy language from the Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation Oversight Committee.	Strives for balance of agriculture and species protection/habitat restoration and enhancement in Agricultural Production Districts	Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation Oversight Committee recommendations	Regulatory and Programmatic	Existing code in K.C.C. 21a.24.381	No	2025

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy				
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change
<p>b. For a project proposed to be sited on lands suitable for direct agricultural production: (1) there are no unsuitable lands available that meet the technical or locational needs of the proposed project, and (2) the project is included in, or consistent with, an approved Water Resources Inventory Area Salmon Recovery Plan, Farm Management Plan, Flood Hazard Management Plan or other similar watershed scale plan; or the project would not reduce the baseline agricultural productivity within the Agricultural Production District.) King County, through implementation of projects and programs, shall ensure sufficient land within Agricultural Production Districts remain available to support long term viability of commercial agriculture and that its programmatic and project actions support the maintenance or improvement of drainage and other agricultural support infrastructure. To the maximum extent practicable, King County should tailor measures to protect threatened or endangered species to support continued operation of working farms within the Agricultural Production Districts and should strive for outcomes consistent with goals King County may establish for optimal area of productive agricultural lands within the Agricultural Production Districts.</p>		<p>Specific to this policy, changes: reflect that the County completed the process directed in R-650; remove detail addressed in the code; ensure projects/programs to protect threatened and endangered species considers Agricultural Production District management for continued productivity of commercial agriculture in the Agricultural Production Districts</p>					

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>R-650 ((Aquatic habitat restoration projects, floodplain restoration projects and projects under King County's mitigation reserves program in an Agricultural Production District shall be evaluated through a collaborative watershed planning process with the goal of maintaining and improving agricultural viability, improving ecological function and habitat quality, and restoring floodplains through integrated, watershed-wide strategies. A watershed planning process shall be established for an agricultural production district because of the number of potential restoration projects and shall:</p> <p>a. _____ ensure that agricultural viability in the Agricultural Production District is not reduced as the result of actions taken and that agriculture remains the predominant use in the agricultural production district;</p> <p>b. _____ evaluate and recommend actions at all scales across the affected watershed to maintain and improve agricultural viability, restore ecological functions and aquatic habitat and restore floodplains, including voluntary actions taken by landowners;</p> <p>c. _____ be a collaborative effort among affected land owners, interested stakeholders, and King County and shall be updated on a periodic basis; and</p> <p>d. _____ identify and recommend actions that King County should take or ensure are taken to maintain and improve agricultural viability in the Agricultural Production District and address any impacts to agriculture from aquatic habitat restoration projects, floodplain restoration projects and projects under King County's mitigation reserves program constructed in the Agricultural Production District.))</p> <p>The County shall administer a collaborative review process considering watershed context for projects sponsored by the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks, Water and Land Resources Division, in the unincorporated area where a habitat or floodplain restoration project may result in reducing the amount of land available for farming in Agricultural Production Districts. The review process shall be administered by the Division and strive for balance in</p>	Substantive change	<p>Suite of changes to reflect current status and future plans for Fish, Farm, Flood, based on lessons learned coming out of the Snoqualmie Fish, Farm, Flood work, substantively consistent with recommended policy language from the Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation Oversight Committee.</p> <p>Specific to this policy, changes: reflect new, required project review process that would be implemented with the adoption of the 2024 update, which provide additional clarity on how balancing salmon habitat and agricultural needs should be operationalized.</p>	Establishes an internal, administrative review process in for Water and Land Resources-sponsored projects to consider competing interest and balancing multiple objectives. Projects sponsored by entities other than Water and Land Resources may also use the review process, where appropriate.	Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation Oversight Committee recommendations	Programmatic	n/a	No	2025

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>outcomes that achieve co-equal goals of maintaining and improving suitability of land for agricultural productivity, increasing habitat quality, and restoring floodplains and ecological function. The review process should:</p> <p>a. Occur early in the planning process for projects, and at regular intervals for ongoing programs;</p> <p>b. Consider guidance from relevant plans relating to agriculture, salmon recovery, and floodplain management;</p> <p>c. Consider efforts for advancing multiple resource interests;</p> <p>d. Track on-the-ground changes in land cover relative to acreage targets for farmland and habitat restoration areas, focused on impacts to agricultural lands and fish populations;</p> <p>e. Consider input and recommendations resulting from engagement and input from external partners and subject matter experts; and</p> <p>f. Identify and address barriers to efficient implementation of the process.</p> <p>The review process may also be offered for projects and programs sponsored by King County agencies aside from the Water and Land Resources Division and/or for projects and programs sponsored by external entities.</p>								
<p>R-650a ((The Snoqualmie Valley Agricultural Production District is the first Agricultural Production District to undergo a watershed planning effort called for in R-650. King County shall implement the recommendations of the Snoqualmie Fish, Farm and Flood Advisory Committee. The recommendations of the task forces and other actions identified in the final Advisory Committee Report and Recommendations will form the basis for a watershed planning approach to balance fish, farm and flood interests across the Snoqualmie Valley Agricultural Production District and an agreement on protecting a defined number of acres of agricultural land. The Advisory Committee, or a successor committee, will monitor progress of the task</p>	Substantive change	Suite of changes to reflect current status and future plans for Fish, Farm, Flood, based on lessons learned coming out of the Snoqualmie Fish, Farm, Flood work, substantively consistent with recommended policy language from the Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation	Supports completion of outstanding Snoqualmie Valley-specific Fish, Farm, Flood	Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation Oversight Committee recommendations	Programmatic	n/a	No	2025

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
forces and will reconvene to evaluate the watershed planning approach to balancing interests prior to the next Comprehensive Plan update. The policy issues and recommendations outlined in the Snoqualmie Fish, Farm, Flood Advisory Committee Report and Recommendations are largely specific to the Snoqualmie Valley and are not intended to be applied broadly in other Agricultural Production Districts. Future Fish, Farm, Flood efforts focused in other Agricultural Production Districts will need to go through their own processes to identify barriers to success for all stakeholders in these geographic areas. R-649 continues to apply to the Snoqualmie Valley Agricultural Production District until the watershed planning effort outlined in the Fish, Farm and Flood recommendations is complete. A policy reflecting the outcome of this effort shall be included in the next eight-year update.) The County shall continue to support the Snoqualmie Valley Agricultural Production District fish, farm, flood effort, as appropriate, through completion of the task forces and establishment of measurable goals for agriculture, habitat restoration, and floodplain restoration for the Snoqualmie Valley Agricultural Production District.		Oversight Committee. Specific to this policy, changes streamlines Snoqualmie Valley-specific Fish, Farm, Flood direction and reflects current status						
<u>R-650b</u> The County shall continue to document and consider the lessons learned from the Snoqualmie Valley Agricultural Production District fish, farm, flood effort to guide and refine the collaborative planning and review processes in a watershed context for projects and programs in other geographies with the co-equal goals of balancing farm, fish, and flood interests where farms, fish habitat and floodplains overlap, as well as strategies for avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating losses of farmable land, floodplain functions, and habitat functions. The County should support planning efforts similar to the Snoqualmie Valley Fish, Farm, and Flood Advisory Committee in other geographies if and when the County and partners choose to pursue such efforts or if acute tensions among various interests arise in a particular Agricultural Production District or other area of the county.	Substantive change	Suite of changes to reflect current status and future plans for Fish, Farm, Flood, based on lessons learned coming out of the Snoqualmie Fish, Farm, Flood work, substantively consistent with recommended policy language from the Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation Oversight Committee.	Broadly advances existing valuable knowledge for immediate implementation (rather than waiting for planning processes in each Agricultural Production District), and right-sizes future planning, as needed and where appropriate, in consideration of available resources	Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation Oversight Committee recommendations	Programmatic	n/a	TBD	2025

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Specific to this policy, changes outline approach for related planning in geographies other than Snoqualmie Valley, and prioritizes use of existing applicable lessons from the Snoqualmie Valley work to inform work in other Agricultural Production Districts						
((R-651 Maintaining the viability of farmlands is a high priority for King County. Within the Agricultural Production Districts, measures to protect threatened or endangered species shall be tailored to ensure working farms can continue to operate.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Suite of changes to reflect current status and future plans for Fish, Farm, Flood, based on lessons learned coming out of the Snoqualmie Fish, Farm, Flood work, substantively consistent with recommended policy language from the Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation Oversight Committee. Specific to this policy, it is addressed via other updated Farm Fish Flood policies	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-653 The Lower Green River Agricultural Production District is a regionally designated resource that is to remain in unincorporated King County <u>except as allowed in Policies R-656 and R-656a</u> . The Lower Green River Agricultural Production District functions	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects existing allowance for Agricultural and/or Forest Production District lands to be	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
as an urban separator between the cities of Kent and Auburn. King County may contract with other jurisdictions to provide some local services to this area as appropriate.		moved into the Urban Growth Area and potentially annexed under policies R-656 and R-656a						
R-655 Public services and utilities provided by King County and other entities within and adjacent to Agricultural Production Districts shall be designed to support agriculture and minimize significant adverse impacts on agriculture and to maintain total farmland acreage and the area's historic agricultural character: a. Whenever feasible, water lines, sewer lines, and other public facilities should avoid crossing Agricultural Production Districts. Installation should be timed to minimize negative impacts on seasonal agricultural practices; b. Road projects planned for the Agricultural Production Districts, including additional roads or the widening of roads, should be limited to those that are needed for safety or infrastructure preservation and that benefit agricultural uses. Where possible, arterials should be routed around the Agricultural Production Districts. Roads that cross Agricultural Production Districts should be aligned, designed, signed, and maintained to minimize negative impacts on agriculture, and to support farm traffic; (and) c. In cases when King County concludes that regional public infrastructure cannot be located outside of, and must intrude into, Agricultural Production Districts, the County shall ensure that the infrastructure be built and located to minimize disruption of agricultural activity, and shall establish agreements with the relevant jurisdictions or agencies (-) ; and d. If public services and utilities reduce total acreage in the Agricultural Production District, mitigation shall follow the criteria established in policy R-656a.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and to capture related streamlined narrative.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-656 King County may allow lands to be removed from the Agricultural Production Districts only when it can be demonstrated that: a.1. Removal of the land will not diminish the productivity of prime agricultural soils or the effectiveness of farming within the local Agricultural Production District boundaries; and ((b-)) 2. The land is determined to be no longer suitable for agricultural purposes; or ((e-)) b. The land is needed for public services or utilities as described in policy R-655.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Restructured for clarity and consistency with R-655, which recognizes that public services/utilities projects do not always have the ability to ensure they do not diminish farmlands or farming	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-656a King County may only approve the removal of land from the Agricultural Production District if it is, concurrently with removal of the land from the Agricultural Production District, mitigated through the replacement of agricultural land abutting the same Agricultural Production District that is, at a minimum, comparable in size, soil quality, and agricultural value. As alternative mitigation, the County may approve a combination of acquisition and restoration totaling three acres for every one acre removed as follows: a. A minimum of one acre ((must)) shall be added into another Agricultural Production District for every acre removed; and b. Up to two acres of unfarmed land in the same Agricultural Production District from which land is removed shall be restored for every acre removed. <u>Replacement land to comply with the requirements of this policy may be acquired added to the Agricultural Production District in advance of removal of land from the Agricultural Production District, rather than concurrently, if the criteria in R-656b are met.</u>	Substantive change	Reflects creation of R-656b, which creates flexibility in the sequencing of acquiring replacement land to improve feasibility of policy implementation. This allows for necessary public services/ utilities projects while ensuring preservation of Agricultural Production District acreage and agricultural value. King County Roads attempted to implement R-656a as currently written for two critical road safety projects, but was unable to achieve this within project timelines and	Replacement land for Agricultural Production District loss mitigation could be acquired in advance and "banked" for future public services/ utilities projects.	n/a	Capital Project and Programmatic	n/a	Potentially, but due to underlying mandate (not the policy change)	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		available project funding.						
R-656b Replacement land required under R-656a may be acquired and added to the Agricultural Production District in advance of removal as follows: a. The mitigation is for a public agency or utility project consistent with R-655; b. Property proposed to be added to the Agricultural Production District is approved by the Department of Natural Resources and Parks to ensure compliance with R-656a; c. The subsequent map amendment to remove the Agricultural Production District land identifies the previously added land being used for mitigation; and d. The Department of Natural Resources and Parks tracks the acreage of advance additions of replacement land and subsequent removals to ensure that the requirements of R-656a are met.	New policy	Creates flexibility in the sequencing of acquiring replacement land to improve feasibility of policy implementation. This allows for necessary public services/ utilities projects while ensuring preservation of Agricultural Production District acreage and agricultural value. King County Roads attempted to implement R-656a as currently written for two critical road safety projects, but was unable to achieve this within project timelines and available project funding.	Replacement land for Agricultural Production District loss mitigation could be acquired in advance and "banked" for future public services/ utilities projects.	n/a	Capital Project and Programmatic	n/a	Potentially, but due to underlying mandate (not the policy change)	Ongoing
R-657 King County shall work with (and provide support to) Washington State University Extension and other technical service providers for (its) their research and education programs that assist small-scale commercial farmers.	Substantive change	Reflects current County role and available resources; The County hasn't provided financial support in many years.	No change	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
R-658 King County shall work with other jurisdictions and non((-))profits to expand markets for farm products by supporting ((Puget Sound Fresh and other))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to make policy more timeless (for example, Puget	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
programs that promote local food and connect buyers with producers.		Sound Fresh no longer exists)						
R-659 King County should work with other jurisdictions, farm advocacy groups, and others to support ((Farmlink,)) farmer training and other programs that help new farmers get started, gain access to farmland and develop successful marketing methods.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to make policy more timeless (for example, Farmlink no longer exists)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-661 King County should develop and encourage the use of incentives ((to encourage)) for food production on prime farmland. These incentives could include tax credits, expedited permit review, reduced permit fees, permit exemptions for activities complying with best management practices, or similar programs. The ((e))County should continue to work with community-based organizations that can assist farmers who are People of Color, immigrants, ((and minority farmers)) refugees, and other communities that have traditionally experienced access issues((,)) in gaining access to farmland.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-661a To help make more farmland accessible to beginning, ((and)) low-income, historically underserved, and socially disadvantaged farmers, King County should expand its leasing of agricultural land to farmers and community organizations where appropriate and should encourage private farmland owners to lease unused land to farmers.	Substantive change	To advance equity goals. While "socially disadvantaged" is not current County terminology, it is aligned with USDA language which is defined as farmers and ranchers (SDFRs) belonging to groups that have been subject to racial or ethnic prejudice. SDFRs include farmers who are Black or African American, American Indian or Alaska Native, Hispanic or	Increased accessibility of land to SDFRs and community organizations serving historically underserved farmers.	Local Food Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Latino, and Asian or Pacific Islander. For some but not all USDA programs, the SDFR category also includes women.						
R-661b King County should expand representation of low income, ((and)) <u>historically underserved, and socially disadvantaged farmers and community organizations</u> within King County agricultural processes, such as the Agriculture Commission, advisory committees, task forces, and hiring.	Substantive change	To advance equity goals. While "socially disadvantaged" is not current County terminology, it is aligned with USDA language which is defined as farmers and ranchers (SDFRs) belonging to groups that have been subject to racial or ethnic prejudice. SDFRs include farmers who are Black or African American, American Indian or Alaska Native, Hispanic or Latino, and Asian or Pacific Islander. For some but not all USDA programs, the SDFR category also includes women.	More influence in related County planning and actions, which can improve equitable outcomes for priority populations.	Local Food Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-662 Agricultural processing, packing and direct sales are considered agricultural activities and should be allowed at a size and scale appropriate to the zone in which they are operating. King County ((shall)) <u>should</u> work with local and state health departments to develop regulations supporting these activities and with local non(-)profits and academic institutions to	Substantive change	Some of this has occurred, such as for meat processing. Additional work might be needed, but it's unclear when, in what context, and with	None; does not change current practice	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
educate farmers about safe food processing practices and compliance.		what resources that will occur. So, a "should" is more appropriate for the policy direction here.						
R-663 King County supports the processing and packaging of farm products from crops and livestock, and ((will)) shall continue to work with farmers, ranchers, cities, neighboring counties, and other interested parties to address infrastructure and regulatory needs that promote sales to consumers, institutions, restaurants, and retail enterprises.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-664 King County supports innovative technologies to process waste from dairy and other livestock ((waste)) to reduce nutrients and to create other products such as energy and compost in areas that have Agriculture and Rural Area land use designations.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-666 King County shall provide incentives, educational programs, and other methods to encourage agricultural practices and technological improvements that maintain water quality, protect public health, protect fish and wildlife habitat, protect historic resources, maintain flood conveyance and storage, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, control noxious weeds, ((and)) prevent erosion of valuable agricultural soils, and increase soil water holding capacity while maintaining the functions needed for agricultural production.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-668 King County shall work with federal, state, local, and private agencies to improve the availability and efficiency of water for agriculture through use of tools such as: expanding the availability of recycled water to farms ((:)) ; offering incentives for irrigation efficiency ((:)) ; and supporting mechanisms for water rights banking and trading that will give farmers greater certainty for water rights while protecting instream flows. King County ((will)) shall encourage the maintenance and preservation of agriculture water rights for agriculture purposes. Assessments of future surface and groundwater availability for agriculture should consider projected impacts of climate change.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Other edits for grammar.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-668a King County ((will)) shall continue to support drainage improvements through its Agricultural Drainage Assistance Program and actively seek new ways to make drainage projects less expensive and easier to implement and to improve drainage systems across property lines.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-669 King County should continue to collaborate with the Washington State University Extension, the University of Washington, and King Conservation District to: a. ((e)) Develop information on and analyze the ((likely)) current and future impacts of climate change on agriculture in King County ((,-)) ; b. ((and to d)) Develop mitigation, resiliency, and adaptation strategies that are appropriate for King County's soils and farm economy ((,- Research should address)) , such as soil management, use of commercial compost, water storage, irrigation, alternative crops, integrated pest management, and nutrient management ((,- The information should be made available to)) ; and c. Share the information and strategies with farmers through technical assistance programs and farm planning.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-671 King County regulations should ((use pilot or demonstration projects and multi-agency collaboration to develop a new suite of practices that will)) provide options to manage alluvial fans for landowners whose existing operations, residences, or infrastructure are affected by alluvial fan deposits. These should provide timely and cost-effective relief from debris and the associated changes to the watercourse along with protection of ((intact)) functional fish habitat and restoration of degraded fish habitat within these areas.	Substantive change	To reflect 1) that the alluvial fan demonstration projects have expired and 2) recommendations in the Alluvial Fan Demonstration Projects Report	Improved management of alluvial fans	2020-RPT0126	Regulatory	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-672 King County should work with federal, state and local jurisdictions to reduce flood impacts to agricultural operations. The ((e)) County ((will)) shall continue to investigate the needs of agriculture before, during and after flood events, to determine if and how losses can be reduced, and ((will)) shall use this	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
information in designing its floodplain policies and regulations.		what is anticipated to happen. Other edits for grammar.						
R-673 In addition to enhancing the Farmland Preservation Program, the ((e))County should develop more innovative solutions and incentives to keep agricultural land affordable and profitable for active farming.	Technical	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-675 King County ((should)) shall prioritize its programs to help build and support a sustainable, reliable, equitable, and resilient local food system. King County ((should)) shall strive to ((make)) strengthen the local food system, ((accessible)) increase accessibly to ((all)) to the local food supply, and strive to make access to the local food system culturally appropriate by: a. Expanding leadership and ownership of food production opportunities to low-income, historically underserved, and socially disadvantaged farmers; and b. Making locally grown, healthy, and culturally relevant foods available to and reflective of King County communities and low-income, historically underserved, and socially disadvantaged farmers.	Substantive change	Equity revisions made to strengthen and be more intentional about who increased food accessibility and land access will be available for, consistent with current program goals and practices	Increased accessibility and availability of local food to historically underserved communities and advanced ownership among historically underserved farmers in various aspects of the food production system.	Local Food Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
R-677 King County should promote and support local food production and local processing to strengthen a sustainable and climate resilient the local food system and reduce the distance that food must travel from farm to table.	Substantive change	Strengthening the connection between local food production and climate resilience, reflective of the current work being done around food access as outlined in the Local Food initiative and Strategic Climate Action Plan	Ensures actions to support the local food system take into account climate impacts and needs	Local Food Initiative Strategic Climate Action Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
R-677a King County should continue food waste programs for single ((family,)) detached and multifamily residences, businesses, and institutions, aimed at reducing generation, promoting donation and	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
encouraging curbside collection for anaerobic digestion and composting.								
((R-677b King County should prioritize the economic development of the food and agriculture industries in order to build a more sustainable and resilient local food system.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Very broad policy without much clarity or specifics; objective is captured elsewhere.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<u>R-677c King County should support low-income, historically underserved, and socially disadvantaged populations in efforts to improve equitable food access and food production goals, including support for alternative pathways for farmland access that meet their community's needs.</u>	New policy	To support equity outcomes and changing framework to a community-serving and community-supporting initiative. This is more reflective of the current work being done around food access as outlined in the Local Food initiative and Strategic Climate Action Plan	Increased accessibility and availability of local food to historically underserved communities and advanced ownership among historically underserved farmers in various aspects of the food production system.	2020 Strategic Climate Action Plan Action 5.1.2	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-678 King County should support and collaborate with (other organizations to further the development of) food incentive program providers, with food providers, producers, and distributors, and with community-based organizations to further develop and expand programs that increase (the ability of shoppers to) access to affordable foods, increase the use of food assistance benefits, and increase the ability of farmers to accept electronic and other forms of payment at Farmers Markets and farm stands.	Substantive change	To support equity outcomes and changing framework to a community-serving and community-supporting initiative. This is more reflective of the current work being done around food access as outlined in the Local Food initiative and Strategic Climate Action Plan	Increased accessibility and availability of local food to historically underserved communities and advanced ownership among historically underserved farmers in various aspects of the food production system.	2020 Strategic Climate Action Plan Action 5.1.2	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
R-679 King County shall identify existing and potential mineral extraction sites on the Mineral Resources Map ((in order)) to conserve mineral resources, promote compatibility with nearby land uses, protect environmental quality, maintain and enhance mineral resource industries, and serve to notify property owners of the potential for mineral extraction activities. The County shall identify(a. Sites with existing Mineral zoning as Designated Mineral Resource Sites; b. Sites where the landowner or operator has indicated an interest in mineral extraction, sites that as of the date of adoption of the 1994 Comprehensive Plan had potential Quarrying/Mining zoning, or sites that the County determines might support future mineral extraction as Potential Surface Mineral Resource Sites; and c. Sites where mining operations predate zoning regulations but without zoning or other land use approvals as Nonconforming Mineral Resource Site sites consistent with Washington State Department of Natural Resources mineral resource mapping and in accordance with the mineral resource lands evaluation and designation criteria established in Chapter 36.70A Revised Code of Washington and applicable sections in Washington Administrative Code.	Clarification of existing policy intent	The existing language was the process used to first designate mineral lands in 1994 in compliance with the Growth Management Act. It is not current practice, does not have current applicability/use, and does not align with the evaluation requirements in state law. Other technical edit for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-681 King County may designate additional sites on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map as Mining only following a site-specific rezone to Mineral zoning. Upon approval of a rezone to Mineral zoning, the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map shall be amended to designate the site as Mining during the next Comprehensive Plan update. King County should approve applications for site-specific rezones to Mineral zoning and applications for permits that would authorize mineral extraction and processing only following site-specific environmental study(,) and early and continuous public notice and comment opportunities, when: a. The proposed site contains rock, sand, gravel, or other mineral resources;	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>b. The proposed site is large enough to confine or mitigate all operational impacts;</p> <p>c. The proposal will allow operation with limited conflicts with adjacent land uses when mitigating measures are applied;</p> <p>d. The proposal has been evaluated under the State Environmental Policy Act so that the County may approve, condition or deny applications consistent with the County's substantive State Environmental Policy Act authority, and ((in order)) to mitigate significant adverse environmental impacts.</p> <p>e. Roads or rail facilities serving or proposed to serve the site can safely and adequately handle transport of products and are in close proximity to the site.</p>								
R-683 King County may amend the Mineral Resources Map to identify additional Potential Surface Mineral Resource Sites as part of the ((eight)) 10-year or midpoint update.	Technical change	Reflects new state 10-year comprehensive planning cycle	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-686 ((in order)) To comprehensively assess the environmental impacts associated with a zoning change, conditional use, or operating approval for a mineral extraction proposal, the range of environmental impacts, including short-term and long-term effects arising or existing over the lifetime of the proposal, shall be assessed at the earliest possible stage. This should include the potential for <u>phasing of</u> future proposals for structures and operations related to mineral extraction, such as asphalt and concrete batch plants.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To clarify that this environmental assessment should consider impacts of phasing of mining activities, rather than future permits for other uses. Other technical edit for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-687 King County should prevent or minimize conflicts with mineral extraction when planning land uses adjacent to Designated Mineral Resource Sites and Potential Surface Mineral Resource Sites. Subarea plans or area zoning and land use studies may indicate areas where Mining is an inappropriate land use designation. Designated Mineral Resource Sites and Potential Surface Mineral Resource Sites and Nonconforming Mineral Resource Sites should be shown on the Mineral Resources Map ((and subarea study maps in order)) to notify nearby property owners	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study"	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
and residents of existing and prospective mineral extraction activities.		<p>requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary.</p> <p>In this case, changes to mining designations could happen via either a subarea plan or an area zoning and land use study.</p> <p>The reference to subarea study maps is inappropriate, as the only place where mineral designations are reflected is the Mineral Resources Maps in the Comprehensive</p>						

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Plan; subarea plans and area zoning and land use studies do not do this, and thus should not be supplanted here.						
R-689 Conditions and mitigations for significant adverse environmental impacts associated with mineral extraction or mining operations and their associated structures or facilities should be required, especially in the following areas: a. Air quality, including greenhouse gas emissions from minerals extracted for energy production; b. Environmentally sensitive and critical areas, such as surface and groundwater quality and quantity, wetlands, fisheries and wildlife habitats, and aquatic habitats; c. Noise levels; d. Vibration; e. Light and glare; f. Vehicular access and safety; g. Land and shoreline uses; h. Traffic impacts; i. Visual impacts; j. Cultural and historic features and resources; k. Site security; and l. ((Climate change impacts from minerals extracted for energy production; and m.)) Others unique to specific sites and proposals.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Sub-l is moved up to the air quality item in sub-a, for clarity and consistency with State Environmental Policy Act review	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-690 Where mineral extraction or mining are subject to state or federal regulations, King County should work with the state and federal governments to ensure that proposals are reviewed with consideration of local land use and environmental requirements, regional impacts from transport, and assessment of climate change impacts from end((-))use of minerals and mined materials.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
R-692 King County shall encourage the removal of existing stockpiles of previously mined material ((#	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
order)) to promote and achieve reclamation of land to its highest and best use.								

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 4 Housing and Human Services								
H-100 King County shall work through the Growth Management Planning Council, or its designee, to: <u>a. Conduct a housing-focused review of and provide comments on all King County jurisdictions' draft periodic comprehensive plan updates for alignment with the King County Countywide Planning Policies Housing Chapter goals and policies prior to plan adoption;</u> <u>b. Monitor progress towards meeting countywide and jurisdictional housing growth targets, housing needs, and eliminating disparities in access to housing and neighborhood choice;</u> <u>c. Provide necessary, ongoing information to jurisdictions on their progress toward planning for and accommodating their housing needs using public-facing tools; and</u> <u>d. Review monitoring and reporting data collected through annual reporting and other local data and analysis five years after adoption of a periodic update to a comprehensive plan, identify significant shortfalls in planning for and accommodating housing needs, provide findings that describe the nature of the shortfalls, and make recommendations that jurisdictions take action to address shortfalls consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies.</u>	New policy	Identifies the Growth Management Planning Council's Affordable Housing Committee's role in reviewing, monitoring, and informing the County's jurisdictions regarding their Comprehensive Plans to fulfill requirements of the Countywide Planning Policies. H-100 replaces H-101, H-103, H-103a, and H-106.	King County's jurisdictions are held accountable for ensuring progress toward goals identified in the Comprehensive Plan. Jurisdictions will adopt code changes, where appropriate, to reduce housing disparities across the county.	King County Countywide Planning Policies H-8, H-25, H-26, H-27	Programmatic	n/a	No	Sub-a: 2024-2025 Sub-a: 2023-2024. Sub-b and sub-c: 2023-2025. Sub-d: 2029
((H-101 King County shall initiate and actively participate in regional solutions to address critical affordable housing needs in unincorporated King County and throughout the region.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to/ consolidated with H-100 and H-102	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-101 King County shall equitably engage ((d)) jurisdictions, community members, community-based organizations, private sector, and housing representatives ((should be invited)) to identify and implement solutions to further housing stability, accessibility, and affordability goals established in the Countywide Planning Policies, such as adopting tenant protections, creating mandatory and incentive housing programs, and middle housing regulations.	Substantive change	Advances equity goals through engagement strategies and in response to Equity Work Group input. Explicitly identifies specific implementing	Holds King County accountable for using best equity practices when conducting engagement, which can result in more equitable outcomes for communities.	Countywide Planning Policies H-101a, H-103, and H-106	Programmatic	n/a	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources.	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		actions, such as tenant protections, incentive housing, and middle housing programs, as solutions to increasing housing stability.	Programs are implemented to increase housing stability, accessibility, and affordability.					
((H-101a — King County should participate in regional efforts related to tenant protections throughout the region.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated with H-101	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-102 King County shall work with jurisdictions, the private sector, state and federal governments, other public funders of housing, other public agencies such as the Housing Authorities, regional agencies such as the Puget Sound Regional Council, intermediary housing organizations, and the non((-))profit sector, to ((encourage)) <u>support</u> a wide range of housing and to reduce barriers to the <u>preservation, improvement, and development</u> ((and preservation)) of a wide range of housing, at an appropriate size and scale, that: a. Provides housing choices ((for)) <u>affordable</u> to people of all income levels, particularly in areas with existing or planned high-capacity and frequent public transportation access where it is safe and convenient to walk, bicycle, and take public transportation to work and other key destinations such as educational facilities, shopping, and health care; b. Meets the needs of <u>and advances equitable outcomes for</u> a diverse population, especially families and individuals who have <u>extremely low-, very((-))_low-, low-, ((to)) and moderate((-))_incomes, and intersectional populations, including ((elder adults)) Black, Indigenous, and other ((p))People of ((e))Color((-, children and vulnerable adults (including victims and survivors of domestic violence, human trafficking, and commercial sexual exploitation), people with developmental disabilities, people with behavioral, physical, cognitive and/or functional disabilities, and people who are experiencing homelessness); seniors; veterans; people experiencing homelessness; people</u>	Increase housing choices affordable to all incomes; advance equitable outcomes for intersectional populations; reflect plan's that support housing goals; replaced encourage with support to strengthen the policy, because King County funds this work.	As a regional convener, priorities from H-152 will inform the King County Housing Finance Program Annual Request for Proposals process. This will amplify priorities such as housing near transit, equitable outcomes, and serving intersectional and other listed populations to impact policies, programs, and actions that increase housing choices affordable to people who need it most.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RCW 36.70A.070(2)(b) • Crisis Care Centers Levy • Best Starts for Kids Implementation Plan: 2022-2027 • Initial Health Through Housing Implementation Plan • Veterans, Seniors and Human Services Levy Implementation Plan • Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Behavioral Health Sales Tax Fund plan 	n/a	No	Ongoing		

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
with behavioral, physical, cognitive, and developmental disabilities; immigrants; refugees; LGBTQIA+ people; families with children; survivors of domestic violence, human trafficking, and commercial sexual exploitation; and women; c. Supports economic growth; and d. Supports the goals of ((King County's Equity and Social Justice Initiative and Health)) housing and ((H))human ((S))services ((Transformation Plan goals))-related plans, including the Crisis Care Centers Levy, Best Starts for Kids Implementation Plan, Initial Health through Housing Implementation Plan 2022-2028 or successor plans, Veterans, Seniors and Human Services Levy Implementation Plan, and Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Behavioral Health Sales Tax Fund Plan, for an equitable ((and rational)) distribution of ((low income and high quality)) affordable housing, including mixed-income housing, and supportive services throughout the county((-and e. ——— Allows for the opportunity to encourage permanent safe firearm storage locations in private and public residential buildings to make safe storage an easy choice, and, fosters safety from injury and violence, through exploring housing and community design standards that are shown to increase connectivity and reduce violence)).								
((H-103 Through subarea and regional planning with jurisdictions and partners in the Puget Sound region, mandatory and incentive programs and funding initiatives for affordable housing, King County shall serve as a regional convener and local administrator in the unincorporated areas to plan for housing to meet the needs of all economic segments of the population. With respect to affordable housing, King County shall address the countywide need for housing affordable to very low, low and moderate income households pursuant to the countywide targets established in the most recently adopted Countywide Planning Policies.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to/consolidated with H-100, H-101, and H-102	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((H-103a ——— King County will work collaboratively with jurisdictions and partners to identify and meet affordable housing needs, including eliminating barriers	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to/consolidated with H-100 and H-102	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
to housing. This effort should take the form of a regional affordable housing plan that summarizes existing efforts and identifies the roles and strategies of the county, jurisdictions and partners to meet affordable housing needs.)								
<p>H-104 King County shall work with the multiple partners outlined in this section to promote the preservation and expansion of:</p> <p>a. (a) Affordable rental housing opportunities for households earning up to 80((%)) percent of the King County area median income((-)); and</p> <p>b. Affordable ownership housing opportunities for households earning up to 120 percent of the King County area median income.</p> <p>Preservation ((is a particularly acute need)) should be prioritized in areas that may experience redevelopment due to proximity to high((-))-capacity transit and/or an area experiencing changing market conditions.</p>	Substantive change	Consolidates H-105 here for clarity and aligns with the emphasis on housing affordable to all income levels.	More housing will be developed affordable to a range of incomes through innovative programs such as Middle Housing and Inclusionary housing.	Countywide Planning Policy H-12	Regulatory	<p>2022 code changes for Skyway and North Highline creating :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community preference program Mandatory and voluntary inclusionary housing program <p>Proposed code changes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> expand voluntary inclusionary housing regulations to all urban unincorporated areas and the Rural Towns of Snoqualmie Pass and Vashon; reducing regulatory and permitting requirements for middle housing; clarifying and streamlining permitting for permanent supportive housing and 	No	2025

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
						emergency housing; and • retain density bonus for accommodating displaced mobile homes		
((H-105 King County shall work with the multiple partners outlined in this section to promote the preservation and expansion of affordable ownership housing opportunities for households earning up to 120% of the King County median income. Preservation is a particularly acute need in areas that may experience redevelopment due to proximity to high capacity transit and/or an area experiencing changing market conditions.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in H-104	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-105a King County shall work with the Puget Sound Regional Council and subregional collaborations, and shall engage ((marginalized)) historically and currently underrepresented populations ((in the)) to advance community-driven development, implementation, and ((evaluation)) monitoring of county((-))wide affordable housing goals, policies, and programs.	Substantive change	Supports ongoing cooperation with Puget Sound Regional Council and subregional collaboration, and more equitable engagement for community (such as through the Community Partners Table) input throughout the county to meet the goals of the Countywide Planning Policies.	More people and agencies are engaged to strengthen affordable housing goals, policies, and programs, which can advance more equitable housing outcomes.	Countywide Planning Policy H-8	Programmatic	n/a	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources.	Ongoing
((H-106 King County, in partnership with other jurisdictions, shall evaluate achievement of countywide and local goals for housing for all economic sectors of the population by analyzing housing indicators, adopted land use regulations, actions that encourage development, and the effect of market factors on housing development. The results of this evaluation shall be used to develop new or revised policies,	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to/consolidated with H-100, H-101, and H-102	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
programs, regulations, and incentives to better meet the Countywide Planning Policies' housing goals. These may include adopting appropriate land use regulations and other actions that encourage development, rehabilitation, and preservation of low and moderate income housing.								
H-107 King County ((should)) shall encourage regional land use and investment strategies to stimulate ((mixed-use and)) mixed-income developments as a way to racially and economically integrate neighborhoods, ((and)) increase housing and transportation choices throughout King County, <u>and improve housing stability for people of all incomes.</u>	Substantive change	Changes reflect current policy goals, including equity and housing stability outcomes. Mixed-use is removed as, while it is important, it doesn't necessarily create these outcomes. Changed from a "should" to a "shall" directive to strengthen policy.	Investments and strategies are used to improve housing stability and racially and economically integrate neighborhoods. Priorities will inform the King County Housing Finance Program Annual Request for Proposals process.	Countywide Planning Policy H-16	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
H-108 King County shall ((work with other jurisdictions to)) encourage the use of universal design in the development of affordable housing, family-sized housing, and market rate housing <u>to create housing units that are accessible to seniors and people with disabilities.</u>	Substantive change	To better reflect the scope of King County's role and ensure seniors and people with disabilities are reflected in the range of policy outcomes.	More housing units accessible to seniors and people with disabilities.	Countywide Planning Policy H-18	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
H-109 King County should develop new partnerships with public and private lending institutions to find solutions that reduce ((housing financing)) homeownership costs for ((both builders and consumers)) residents.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To better reflect what is within King County control/capacity.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-110 King County shall work with regional bodies, including the Puget Sound Regional Council and the Growth Management Planning Council, or their successors, and the private and non ((-)) profit sectors to support development of an adequate supply of housing commensurate with job growth within the county ((and its cities)) . To attain this goal, King County shall work with such regional partners to:	Substantive change	Sub-a updated reflect 2022 House Bill 1220 and housing needs allocations in the Countywide Planning Policies	Increased access to housing for people of all incomes	RCW Chapter 36.70A and Countywide Planning Policies	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
a. Support job and household growth targets, housing needs for people of all incomes, and policies established in the Countywide Planning Policies; and b. ((Establish performance measures to gauge how jurisdictions are accommodating growth and housing needs; c. Participate in buildable lands inventories, market analyses and other studies to evaluate if sufficient land capacity is available for residential development; and d.)) Work with cities to ensure additional actions are taken throughout the county to accommodate and promote residential development when job growth causes great demand for housing and severe shortages in the availability of housing for new workers in the county.		Sub-b and c are removed as they are redundant to/consolidated in other policies, including the H-100						
((H-111 King County should work with local employers to develop affordable employer-assisted housing opportunities located within commuting distance of the employment site.))	Substantive change	The current County role and activities revolve around supporting developing housing near transit. Local nonprofits work with philanthropic groups to support the development of affordable housing. King County does not explicitly develop employer-assisted housing.	None; this work is not occurring currently, nor has occurred in the past; so removal of the policy does not change County practice or associated outcomes	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-112 King County should encourage <u>development of permanent supportive and other affordable housing through redevelopment of nonresidential buildings</u> ((such as schools and commercial buildings.)) in locations suitable for housing <u>to create housing stability for low-income residents</u> and in ways that preserve significant historic features where appropriate.	Substantive change	Supports development of permanent supportive, in addition to other affordable housing strategies, reflective of current County priorities and actions. The Health	Increase housing stability for low-income residents and people experiencing homelessness.	Countywide Planning Policies H-2, H-9, H-10, and H-13	Regulatory and programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Through Housing program redeveloped and will fund operations in 11 buildings that will provide permanent supportive housing and four buildings that provide emergency housing.						
H-113 King County should support the development, preservation and rehabilitation of affordable and sustainable housing that protects residents from exposure to harmful substances and environments, including lead poisoning; reduces the risk of injury; is well-maintained; is adaptable to all ages and abilities; and advances climate equity. King County should work on a regional level with jurisdictions to explore tools to ensure healthy housing is provided throughout the region to improve housing stability of residents.	Substantive change	Incorporating throughout the chapter: 1) climate change, climate equity, and housing connections (sustainable housing) in response to 2024 Scope of Work and 2) housing stability	More people have access to healthier housing and improved housing stability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Countywide Planning Policy H-24 Strategic Climate Action Plan 	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-114 King County should encourage development of ((residential communities that achieve lower prices and rents through)) affordable housing and sustainable housing. These developments should utilize smaller-scale units and clustered and higher density housing that shares common spaces, open spaces, and community facilities.	Substantive change	Incorporating climate change, climate equity, and housing connections (sustainable housing) in response to 2024 Scope of Work throughout the housing chapter; smaller-scale, high-density housing models contribute to climate resiliency, and King County funded affordable housing complies with affordable housing green building standards	More people have access to healthier housing	Strategic Climate Action Plan	Regulatory and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
H-115 King County should work with <u>the King County Regional Homelessness Authority and other housing partners and jurisdictions to oppose and repeal policies, regulations, and actions that result in the criminalization of homelessness and homeless encampments.</u>	Substantive change	Reflects King County Regional Homelessness Authority role. Strengthen policy by encouraging not only opposition of new policies/regulations result in the criminalization of homelessness and homeless encampments, but also the repeal of existing policies/regulations	Reduction in legal harm for people experiencing homelessness	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-117 King County shall support ((partnership efforts and the application of innovations in manufactured home production that may allow mobile home parks to adapt and improve the quality of housing stock and to increase the density of housing stock in order to preserve housing affordability while accommodating the region's growth needs)) <u>the preservation of mobile home communities to prevent displacement in unincorporated King County and improve the quality of these units.</u>	Substantive change	Focuses on anti-displacement needs, and supports improvement of existing mobile homes in alignment with the existing the Housing Repair Program	Increased housing stability and healthy housing through targeted preservation or investments in existing mobile home parks	n/a	Regulatory and programmatic	As part of the proposed repeal of the Residential Density Incentive Program, it is proposed to retain the density bonus for mobile homes that need to relocate due to displacement	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources	Ongoing
H-118 King County shall actively promote and affirmatively further fair housing in <u>unincorporated King County through</u> its housing programs, and shall ((work with all of)) <u>participate in efforts with</u> its partners to further fair housing in its regional role promoting housing affordability ((;)) <u>and</u> choice and access to opportunity for ((all)) <u>communities</u> ((, especially those)) <u>that experience disproportionate rates of housing discrimination and communities that bear the burdens from lack of investment and access to opportunity</u> ((; and shall work with residents and stakeholders to help them understand the rights protected by federal, state, and local fair housing laws and shall help to promote	Substantive change	Updated to reflect current King County activities and roles	This change will result in increased tenant protections for unincorporated and potentially additional jurisdictions through an eviction data base, a relocation assistance program, and similar actions that help tenants achieve housing stability.	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	Yes	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
equitable housing practices for protected classes through fair housing education and enforcement).								
H-120 King County should work with housing industry representatives to identify and remove barriers (such as real estate marketing, finance, or insurance practices) that restrict housing choices and opportunities for: extremely low-, very low-, low-, and moderate-income people older adults((?)); people who are experiencing homelessness; and people with behavioral, physical, cognitive, and developmental disabilities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-120a King County shall take intentional actions that repair harms to Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color households from past and current racially exclusive and discriminatory land use and housing practices that result in racially disparate outcomes, such as development patterns, disparate homeownership rates, affordable housing divestment in lower-income communities, and infrastructure availability, such as through: a. Creating more opportunities for development of middle housing; b. Investing in rental assistance and eviction prevention programs to keep tenants housed; c. Launching a community preference program to prevent displacement; d. Investing in equitable development to support community-driven priorities; e. Preserving mobile home communities and affordable housing to prevent displacement; and f. Expanding affordable housing homeownership programs to increase wealth building opportunities for low- and moderate-income households.	New policy	To help repair past harms to Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color	Harms done to Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color are addressed through examining discriminatory land use and housing practices and implementing programs that the community had input in.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Countywide Planning Policies H-9, H-10, and H-19 Skyway-West Hill and North Highline Anti-displacement Strategies Report House Bill 1220 	Regulatory and Programmatic	2022 code changes for Skyway and North Highline creating : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community preference program Mandatory and voluntary inclusionary housing program Proposed code changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> expand voluntary inclusionary housing regulations to all urban unincorporated areas and the Rural Towns of Snoqualmie Pass and Vashon; reducing regulatory and permitting requirements for middle housing; 	Yes	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> clarifying and streamlining permitting for permanent supportive housing and emergency housing; and retain density bonus for accommodating displaced mobile homes 		
<u>H-120b King County shall promote equitable outcomes in communities most impacted by racially exclusive and discriminatory land use and housing practices by supporting, in partnership with impacted communities, equitable access to resources, such as through surplus properties, affordable housing financing, and capacity building for community-based organizations.</u>	New policy	To help repair past harms to Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color; supports capacity building for community-based organizations added based on Equity Work Group input.	Improved equitable outcomes in communities most impacted by racially exclusive and discriminatory land use and housing practices	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Countywide Planning Policies H-10, H-18, H-19 Skyway-West Hill and North Highline Anti-displacement Strategies Report House Bill 1220 	Programmatic	n/a	Yes	Ongoing
<u>H-120c King County shall support actions for historically underrepresented populations who experience systemic racism or discrimination that:</u> a. <u>Increase and preserve access to affordable rental and ownership housing in communities at risk of displacement; and</u> b. <u>Advance housing stability.</u>	New policy	To help repair past harms to Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color	Advance housing stability for populations who experienced racism or discrimination.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPPs H-9, H-10, H-14, H-18, H-19, H-20, H-21 Skyway-West Hill and North Highline Anti-displacement Strategies Report House Bill 1220 	Regulatory and Programmatic	2022 code changes for Skyway and North Highline creating : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community preference program Mandatory and voluntary inclusionary housing program Proposed code changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> expand voluntary inclusionary 	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
						housing regulations to all urban unincorporated areas and the Rural Towns of Snoqualmie Pass and Vashon; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> reducing regulatory and permitting requirements for middle housing 		
H-120d King County shall support development of new affordable housing units that promote culturally relevant and multi-generational housing options, such as developments with two-, three-, and four-bedroom units.	New policy	Encourage more family-sized housing units	Larger families, including multi-generational families, have increased housing options and access.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPPs H-18, H-19 Skyway-West Hill and North Highline Anti-displacement Strategies Report 	Regulatory and Programmatic	2022 code changes for Skyway and North Highline creating: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandatory and voluntary inclusionary housing program, which includes incentives for multi-room units Proposed code changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> expand voluntary inclusionary housing regulations to all urban unincorporated areas and the Rural Towns of Snoqualmie Pass and Vashon, which includes 	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
						incentives for multi-room units		
H-120e King County shall support equitable development projects and investments in areas most directly impacted by structural racism and discrimination, at a higher risk of displacement, that have low access to economic and health opportunities, or that are home to significant populations of communities experiencing disparities in life outcomes.	New policy	To help repairing past harms to Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color	Communities experiencing disparities in life outcomes are invested in	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPPs H-18, H-19, H-21 • Skyway-West Hill and North Highline Anti-displacement Strategies Report 	Programmatic	n/a	Yes	Ongoing
H-121 King County shall support affordable and mixed-income housing development in transit-oriented locations that is compatible with surrounding uses by: a. Providing information and a process for accessing potential development sites in transit-oriented locations where King County has ownership or access to potential sites; and b. Promoting land use patterns that cohesively connect affordable and mixed-income housing with active transportation choices(;-and c. Developing public financing techniques that will provide an advantage for projects that will create and/or preserve affordable and mixed-income housing within transit-oriented communities and neighborhoods that promote health, well-being and opportunity, and or within a neighborhood plan for revitalization).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to/consolidated in H-104, H-122, H-123, H-124, H-129, H-139, H-152, H-204	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-122 King County shall support ((transit-oriented)) development ((at)) near high-capacity or frequent transit ((supportive)) that supports density and scale that preserves and expands affordable, sustainable, and mixed-income housing opportunities ((at locations near frequent and high-capacity transit service)). King County shall engage in this work through a variety of strategies, including enabling development of affordable housing on suitable Metro-owned property; using Metro's authority and influence as a transit provider; and ((the engagement of)) engaging with funding partners, transit partners, jurisdictions, private for-profit and non((-))profit development entities, communities at risk of displacement, and other transit-oriented development partners.	Substantive change	Edited to align with H-152 and King County Housing Finance Program, and incorporate housing stability and Incorporating climate change, climate equity, and housing connections (sustainable housing) in response to 2024 Scope of Work	Development of and access to more housing that has mobility options and healthy housing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Countywide Planning Policy H-17 • Transit-Oriented Development Bond Allocation Plan • Strategic climate Action Plan 	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		throughout the housing chapter						
H-123 King County ((will)) shall evaluate and seek opportunities for equitable and sustainable transit-oriented development at major transit centers and hubs when investments are likely to produce increased ridership, community benefits, and affordable housing opportunities.	Substantive change	Clarifying edit to reflect existing intent. "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Incorporating climate change, climate equity, and housing connections (sustainable housing) in response to 2024 Scope of Work throughout the housing chapter	Development of and access to more healthy housing	Strategic Climate Action Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
H-124 King County shall work with partners to ((reduce)) mitigate and prevent displacement of extremely low-, very(-) low-, low-, ((to)) and moderate-income households from transit-oriented locations, to the extent possible; and shall strive to align affordable housing investments and transit investments ((in order)) to ((increase)) support the quality of life of historically disinvested communities ((as measured by the Determinants of Equity)) .	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated for consistency with area median income (AMI) levels Updated to reflect historic disinvestments, and not limiting to determinants of equity as there's a variety of ways this is evaluated	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-125 King County shall ((assure)) ensure that there is sufficient ((and)) zoned capacity in the unincorporated urban areas ((zoned)) to accommodate King County's ((share of affordable)) housing need allocations and provide a range of affordable, sustainable housing types, including higher(-) density	Substantive change	Incorporating climate change, climate equity, and housing connections (sustainable housing) throughout	Increased housing affordability and density in unincorporated King County	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-2, H-12, H-15	Regulatory	2022 code changes for Skyway and North Highline creating :	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
single(family) detached homes, (multifamily properties) duplexes, triplexes, fourplexes, townhouses, apartments, (manufactured housing) mobile homes, cottage housing, accessory dwelling units, and mixed-use developments.		<p>the chapter in response to 2024 Scope of Work</p> <p>Updated to reflect middle housing types, consistent with mandates in 2022 House Bill 1220</p> <p>Splits the policy for clarity</p> <p>Updating to reflect current terminology and clarifying edits to reflect existing intent</p>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community preference program Mandatory and voluntary inclusionary housing program <p>Proposed code changes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> expand voluntary inclusionary housing regulations to all urban unincorporated areas and the Rural Towns of Snoqualmie Pass and Vashon; reducing regulatory and permitting requirements for middle housing; clarifying and streamlining permitting for permanent supportive housing and emergency housing; and retain density bonus for accommodating displaced mobile homes 		

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
H-125a King County should work with cities and urban unincorporated communities to increase opportunities for affordable housing development by ((assuring)) ensuring there is sufficient ((land capable of being developed for this)) zoned capacity to accommodate housing need allocations and provide a range of housing types that are more likely to be affordable to extremely low-, very low- low-, moderate-, and middle-income households and multigenerational households.	Substantive change	2 nd half of H-125 split out into its own policy for clarity Supports zoning capacity for more housing affordability, types, and density in cities and unincorporated area	Increase housing affordability and density in unincorporated King County for people of extremely low, very low, low, moderate, and middle-income households	Countywide Planning Policy H-2	Regulatory and Programmatic	2022 code changes for Skyway and North Highline creating : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community preference program Mandatory and voluntary inclusionary housing program Proposed code changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> expand voluntary inclusionary housing regulations to all urban unincorporated areas and the Rural Towns of Snoqualmie Pass and Vashon; reducing regulatory and permitting requirements for middle housing; clarifying and streamlining permitting for permanent supportive housing and emergency housing; and retain density bonus for accommodating 	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
						displaced mobile homes		
H-126 King County shall provide opportunities for attached and detached accessory dwelling units and middle housing in urban residential areas ((and shall encourage all jurisdictions within King County to adopt provisions to allow accessory dwelling units in their communities)) to increase housing supply affordable to all incomes.	Substantive change	To support middle housing access and housing supply affordable to incomes.	Residents experience greater levels of housing supply and affordability.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Countywide Planning Policies H-16, H-17, H-25 2022 House Bill 1220 	Regulatory	Proposed changes reducing regulatory and permitting requirements for middle housing	n/a	n/a
H-127 King County shall adopt appropriate land use regulations to require and encourage development, rehabilitation, and preservation of <u>sustainable housing affordable to extremely low-, very((-) low-, ((to)) low-, and moderate-income ((housing)) households.</u>	Substantive change	Incorporating climate change, climate equity, and housing connections (sustainable housing) throughout the chapter in response to 2024 Scope of Work		Countywide Planning Policy H-13	Regulatory	Current King County land use regulations reduce the cost of developing affordable housing, including sustainable affordable housing, by actions such as waiving impact fees, reducing parking requirements, and reducing sewer capacity fees.	n/a	n/a
((H-128 King County should pursue land use policies and regulations that result in lower development costs without loss of adequate public review, environmental quality or public safety and do not reduce design quality, inhibit infrastructure financing strategies, or increase maintenance costs for public facilities.))	Substantive change	For clarity on intended outcomes. This is no something the County can implement from a regulatory perspective, especially in the face of new state requirements for no net loss of critical areas values/functions, climate change mitigation and resiliency, etc.	Compliance with state law	n/a	Regulatory	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
H-129 King County shall continue to improve development standards to allow higher densities and flexibility of housing types in all <u>urban</u> residential zones((-in order)) to: a. <u>Increase housing choice, access, and stability, as well as</u> best accommodate the environmental conditions on the site and the surrounding neighborhood when planning housing developments((-)); and b. ((H-130 King County shall explore zoning policies and provisions that increase housing density and)) <u>Increase</u> affordable housing opportunities within unincorporated urban ((growth)) areas near transit and near commercial areas.	Substantive change	Consolidates H-130; clarifies geographical area; incorporates and prioritizes housing equity goals, in addition to other goals.	Increased housing choice, access, and stability in urban residential zones.	n/a	Regulatory	2022 code changes for Skyway and North Highline creating : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community preference program Mandatory and voluntary inclusionary housing program Proposed code changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> expand voluntary inclusionary housing regulations to all urban unincorporated areas and the Rural Towns of Snoqualmie Pass and Vashon; reducing regulatory and permitting requirements for middle housing 	n/a	n/a
H-133 King County shall encourage the development of new housing models that are healthy and affordable by providing opportunities ((for new models)) within unincorporated urban ((growth)) areas and near commercial areas. King County shall work to allow innovative housing projects to move forward, including affordable housing demonstration projects, <u>community-driven development projects</u> , affordable owner-built housing, land trusts and cooperative ownership structures for rental and ownership housing, co-housing, and other innovative developments.	Substantive change	Additions to support equity goals and current supporting program priorities for community development Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent	More new housing models that are developed by and for community get developed in specified areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Countywide Planning Policies H-18, H-19 Skyway-West Hill and North Highline Anti-displacement Report 	Regulatory and Programmatic	2022 code changes for Skyway and North Highline creating : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community preference program Mandatory and voluntary inclusionary housing program 	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources.	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
						Proposed code changes to: • expand voluntary inclusionary housing regulations to all urban unincorporated areas and the Rural Towns of Snoqualmie Pass and Vashon		
H-134 King County shall provide ((D)) density bonuses and other ((incentives for the)) regulatory measures that incentivize or require creation of development of affordable housing ((by for-profit and non-profit developers shall be available within)) in unincorporated urban areas ((, with a focus on commercial areas to both single-family and multifamily developments to promote development of affordable rental and/or ownership housing)) including both rental and ownership opportunities. ((Bonuses shall be periodically reviewed and updated)) The County shall review and update these measures as needed ((;)) to ((assure)) ensure they are effective in creating affordable housing units ((, especially in coordination with any mandatory inclusionary affordable housing requirements that may be adopted)) .	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-136 King County should provide opportunities within unincorporated urban ((growth)) areas and in Rural Towns with sewer service for the development, rehabilitation, and preservation of rental residential buildings that have shared facilities, such as single-room occupancy buildings, boarding homes, micro-units buildings, and clustered micro homes to provide opportunities for lower rent housing options and higher density ownership options including condominiums, co-operative mutual housing, cottage housing, and other forms of clustered higher density ownership housing.	Substantive change	To reflect appropriate services needed to serve this level of density, consistent with other rural service polices in the Comprehensive Plan	Limits alternative housing and higher density housing models to areas that have the infrastructure available to support them	n/a	Regulatory	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Other edits for consistent terminology						
((H-138 Housing developments in the urban unincorporated areas, consisting of not less than 100 acres, shall provide a mix of housing types and densities, including housing that is affordable to low-, moderate-, and middle-income households. This mix should include housing opportunities for older adults, persons who are experiencing homelessness and persons with behavioral, cognitive, physical, and/or developmental disabilities.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Originally intended for Urban Planned Developments, which are proposed for disallowance in this plan update, as development at this scale is not feasible/anticipated on remaining urban unincorporated lands.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-139 King County should provide opportunities for incorporation of the principles of healthy communities and housing (, sustainability, and greenhouse gas emissions mitigation) and sustainable housing into policy initiatives on housing, affordable housing, and community development in unincorporated areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with updated sustainable housing terminology, which includes these 3 things	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((H-140 King County shall allow five-story wood frame construction to increase the availability of multifamily housing while lowering development costs and maintaining fire safety.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This has already been implemented and is redundant to the state building code	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-141 King County shall explore the expansion of land use and financial incentives to preserve and improve existing housing, including housing in a <u>building designated or eligible to be designated as a historic landmark</u> , in redeveloping areas through the use of programs such as transfer of development rights, tax credits and tax exemptions for new and preserved affordable housing, as well as tax abatements and ((restoration)) loans ((for housing designated as a historic landmark)) .	Clarification of existing policy intent	King County Historic Preservation Program requested including the terminology of "or eligible" as some buildings may be in the process of receiving historic designation Other edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((H-143 King County development standards should promote lower-cost infill development, such as accessory dwelling units, in a manner that allows	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to H-125 and H-126.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
existing housing to be retained through measures such as an innovative or flexible building envelope, access and infrastructure standards.)								
H-144 King County ((will)) shall ensure that mandatory and/or incentivized affordable housing units created through its land use policies and regulations are high quality, safe and integrated on-site with market rate housing.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-145 King County shall: a. ((e)) Continue to require the use of Evergreen Sustainable Development Standards, or an equivalent successor standard, in King County-funded housing projects; and b. ((will-w)) Work with partners and ((stakeholders)) other interested parties to encourage the improvement of sustainable housing and in healthy housing elements in affordable housing statewide-green building standards ((ef)) , including Evergreen Sustainable Development Standards ((, with emphasis on healthy housing elements that reduce asthma)) .	Substantive change	Incorporating climate change, climate equity, and housing connections (sustainable housing) throughout the chapter in response to 2024 Scope of Work Asthma is removed as it is now addressed in new health equity in housing section later in this chapter Other edits for clarity	Development of and access to more healthy housing	Strategic Climate Action Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
H-146 King County shall prohibit restrictive covenants or other land use, permitting, or property conditions that limit the ability of persons from protected classes (as defined in the King County Fair Housing Ordinance in King County Code Chapter 12.20) to live in residences of their choice.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edit for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-147 King County shall permit group living situations, including those where residents receive such supportive services as counseling, foster care, or medical supervision, within a single ((family house)) detached home, or apartment.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-148 King County shall work with community members, cities, the private sector, and ((community	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
representatives)) service providers to establish new, countywide funding sources for affordable housing development, acquisition, rehabilitation, preservation, and ((related services)) operating costs((, such that cities and King County contribute on an equitable basis)).								
((H-150 King County should encourage and support efforts by non-profit housing developers, housing agencies, and service providers to develop long-term nongovernmental funding sources, such as planned giving, endowments, and related economic development ventures.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Encouraging and supporting nonprofits in securing long term endowments is outside of the County's role. Nonprofit housing developers have successfully secured private donations for capital campaigns independently.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-151 King County shall seek opportunities to fund programs and projects ((where county funds are matched by additional public and private loans and investments, and/or contributions in order to increase the amount of financing available for affordable housing)) in a manner that reduces the time and cost of achieving affordable housing goals, which may include leveraging additional public and private loans or sole-funded projects.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Clarifying policy goals and support sole-funded projects and projects with financial leverage from other funding sources.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-152 ((King County shall give priority in its affordable housing subsidy programs to projects that serve individuals and households at or below 80% of area median income, and/or that provide older adults, people with behavioral health, cognitive, physical or developmental disabilities, people who are experiencing homelessness and people who are at risk of homelessness and/or displacement.)) King County shall prioritize funding in its affordable housing programs projects that: a. Provide low-barrier housing designed to meet the needs of people experiencing homeless or at risk of homelessness;	Substantive change	Equitable prioritization of affordable housing funding, in alignment with Countywide Planning Policies; provides additional clarity; reflects current policy priorities; and consolidate H-155, H-156, H-165, and H-167	Increased access to affordable housing in areas with high need; King County resources are spent equitably.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Countywide Planning Policies H-2, H-9, H-10, H-14, H-15, H-16, H-21 Skyway-West Hill and North Highline Anti-displacement 	Programmatic	n/a	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>b. Provide accessible housing to people with behavioral health, cognitive, physical, or developmental disabilities;</p> <p>c. Create homeownership opportunities for households with incomes at or below 80 percent of area median income to build generational wealth and promote housing stability;</p> <p>d. Create rental housing for households with incomes at or below 80 percent of area median income to meet a range of housing needs;</p> <p>e. Are located near high-capacity or frequent transit to give residents access to job opportunities and services;</p> <p>f. Are in areas with communities at risk of displacement and have a shortage of affordable housing;</p> <p>g. Reflect an equitable regional distribution of funding; and/or</p> <p>h. Are inclusive community-driven projects developed and stewarded by and in collaboration with historically underserved communities facing displacement pressures and disparate health and economic outcomes.</p>				Strategies Report				
<p>H-152a King County shall prioritize funding for community and economic development projects that:</p> <p>a. Benefit households at or below 80 percent area median income;</p> <p>b. Create equitable opportunities for economic prosperity, good health, safety, and connection to community;</p> <p>c. Reflect an equitable regional distribution of funding; and</p> <p>d. Meet the needs of historically underserved communities facing economic pressures and disparate health and economic outcomes.</p>	New policy	Equitable prioritization of funding for community and economic development projects.	Increase funding for community and economic development projects in historically underserved communities.	Countywide Planning Policy H-21	Programmatic	n/a	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources	Ongoing
<p>(H-153 King County shall encourage the inclusion of smoke-free housing policies in projects funded through its affordable housing subsidy programs, in a manner that limits the creation of new barriers to housing.</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to H-116 and H-204	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<p>H-154 King County shall work with partners and stakeholders to encourage improvement in healthy</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant and replaced with	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
housing elements in existing affordable housing sustainability standards, with emphasis on healthy housing elements that reduce problems such as asthma, falls, gun-related injury and violence, and unintentional poisoning.		updated healthy housing elements in the II. Regional Health and Human Services section, such as H-205 and H-206.						
H-155 King County shall give particular consideration in its affordable housing and community development investments to projects that provide housing and community development solutions in the areas of the county with the most disparate outcomes in health, economic prosperity, and housing conditions, and where residents may be at high risk of displacement. King County shall work to coordinate planning and community development investments to support such communities as they experience changes in their demographics, built environment, and real estate markets.	Clarification of existing policy intent	A suite of policies replaces and amplify themes addressing disparate outcomes, displacement and changing demographics. This includes H-117, H120c, H-122, H-152, H-161, and H-175.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-156 King County shall give particular consideration in its affordable housing subsidy programs to projects in areas where there is a severe shortage of affordable housing, and where there is access to job opportunities, a healthy community, and active transportation.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to H-152	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-157 King County should expand its use of surplus ((e))County-owned property and air rights over ((e))County-owned property for affordable housing and its possible use for other public benefits, such as human services((-and)). King County should consider conveyance of properties to public or non((-))profit housing developers and agencies at below-market cost ((for the purpose of building or providing affordable housing. Surplus county property shall) to be prioritized for housing development that will be consistent with King County adopted plans. This policy shall be carried out consistent with King County Charter Section 230.10.10 and other applicable laws, regulations, and contract restrictions, such as grant funding requirements.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
H-158 King County should support the efforts of non((-)profit developers ((and)), housing agencies, and community-based organizations to increase the supply of housing for extremely low-, very low-, low-, and moderate-income households((;)) through affordable housing planning, policy, and advocacy activities ((and the provision of technical assistance)) as well as funding for capacity-building and pre-development work.	Substantive change	Support capacity-building of community-based organizations based on Equity Work Group input and clarifying income levels	Work toward achieving affordable housing goals to benefit extremely low-, very low-, low-, and moderate-income households.	King County Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((H-159 King County should support programs and projects that provide apprenticeship and employment training in the building trades through affordable housing development. King County should explore ways to partner with non-profit housing developers in offering pre-apprenticeship, apprenticeship, and employment training opportunities.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to Chapter 10 as ED-307	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-160 ((When awarding subsidies for affordable housing developments to non-profit developers and housing agencies,)) King County ((may give additional weight to)) should encourage funding for affordable housing and community development projects that incorporate and implement healthy housing, sustainable housing, and ((sustainable development elements and)) universal design features.	Substantive change	Incorporating climate change, climate equity, and housing connections (sustainable housing) throughout the chapter in response to 2024 Scope of Work Other edits for clarity and streamlining	Development of and access to more healthy housing	Strategic Climate Action Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-161 King County should develop and expand incentives and subsidy programs to preserve affordable housing threatened by market forces and expiring federal subsidies. Relocation assistance and replacement housing should be funded ((, where feasible,)) to help low-income households when displacement is unavoidable.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Where feasible" is captured in the Comprehensive Plan definition of "should"	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-163 King County should coordinate preservation of existing affordable housing with city and ((e)) County historic preservation programs and incentives, and should promote preservation and restoration of significant historic features in the rehabilitation of existing buildings and sites for housing.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((H-164 For any subsidized housing project that preserves existing structures, King County shall ensure that usable structures are rehabilitated to an appropriate level of safety and habitability.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to state building code	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-165 King County shall strive to adopt funding program policies that encourage the integration of publicly subsidized housing within mixed-income projects, and within all communities. Such funding policies shall support a fair distribution of publicly subsidized housing throughout the county and provide King County and local jurisdictions mutual support in meeting affordable housing needs. King County shall not apply mandatory dispersion requirements that limit where publicly subsidized housing may be located.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to H-152	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-165a ((Through its funding programs,)) King County ((shall)) should encourage developers and owners of publicly ((subsidized)) funded housing units to ((undertake activities to establish and maintain positive relationships with neighbors)) be active community members and to market vacant units to the local area in addition to conducting general marketing outreach.	Substantive change	Encourage housing units be marketed toward people in the community.	People from the community move into available units to mitigate displacement.	Current community preference program in K.C.C. Chapter 21A.48	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-166 King County shall administer standards for publicly ((subsidized)) funded housing that will: a. Increase the ability of people with physical disabilities to have physical access to housing and mobility within housing regardless of their residency status; b. Allow household members to age in place through the inclusion of universal design principles that make housing units more accessible and usable by all persons; c. Support the ability of older adults and people with behavioral health, physical, cognitive, and developmental disabilities to find housing opportunities that allow them to live as independently as possible in the housing and community of their choice; and d. Increase the ability of people to have access to smoke-free housing, while not creating barriers to housing.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((H-167 King County should use opportunity mapping: a. To support the siting of community facilities and assisted publicly subsidized affordable housing in locations where low and moderate income residents and persons with behavioral health, physical, cognitive and developmental disabilities have convenient access to transportation; employment opportunities; amenities, such as parks, trails, libraries and other public facilities; and services, such as grocery stores; and b. To promote fair housing and diverse communities that are inclusive of residents with a range of abilities, ages, races, incomes and other diverse characteristics of the population of King County.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Opportunity mapping is referred to as housing choice and that is mentioned in H-102 sub-a, and H-120.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-168 King County ((should)) shall support ((flexible)) and implement programs and ((emerging strategies)) policies that increase housing stability and ((that)) help to prevent and reduce homelessness, such as permanent supportive housing, emergency rental assistance, short-term rental assistance, diversion assistance, eviction prevention, and mortgage default and foreclosure counseling ((, and improvements to emergency services referral networks)).	Substantive change	Strengthened to a "shall" and updated to include permanent supportive housing and eviction prevention to reflect current program goals and practices	More policies and regulations to prevent and reduce homelessness.	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
((H-169 King County shall play a leadership role in implementing the All Home Strategic Plan to make homelessness rare, brief and one-time.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This refers to a previous homelessness plan and the outdated agency administering it. H-115 references the current King County Regional Homelessness Authority.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-170 King County shall work with jurisdictions and housing providers locally and across the state to urge state and federal governments to expand funding for direct assistance services, such as ((flexible)) rental assistance and eviction prevention resources, diversion assistance, and emergency housing services. In addition ((to rental assistance)), King County should	Substantive change	"Eviction prevention resources" is added to reflect current work on development of an unincorporated King County evictions	More funding for homelessness prevention programs, including resources to support a countywide evictions database	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((support)) encourage programs that help prevent homelessness and ((that)) improve prevention and emergency services referral networks((-including an efficient coordinated intake system for families and individuals experiencing homelessness)).		database to identify needs and desire to expand that countywide Other edits to reflect current County roles, existing intent, streamlining						
((H-171 King County should support innovative and flexible tools and programs that assist low-income renters to maintain housing stability or to gain access to permanent affordable housing and private market housing, such as revolving loan funds that cover utility and damage deposits, and rental assistance programs.))	Substantive change	Revolving loan funds to assist renters is not currently implemented and are unlikely to be in the future. Other programs do similar things such as H-168 and H-172.	Alignment with available resources	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-172 King County shall support: a. <u>Rental assistance, eviction prevention, and other programs that provide ((landlord tenant counseling, sessions and workshops, mediation in landlord tenant disputes,)) tenants with the resources and information to successfully navigate landlord-tenant disputes;</u> and b. <u>((!))Legislation that ((protects the rights of tenants and landlords, such as uniform protections for tenants and landlords and fair rental contracts)) increases tenants' access to safe, affordable, healthy, and stable housing.</u>	Substantive change	Updates strategies and programs to support tenants. Removed text from sub-b to broaden options for tenant protections legislation.	Increase tenants access to safe, affordable, healthy, stable housing throughout the county.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Countywide Planning Policies H-22, H-23 Tenant Protection Access Plan King County Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice 	Regulatory and Programmatic	In 2024, the Executive will transmit a right to reside with family tenant protection ordinance.	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources.	2024
H-173 King County shall provide financial assistance for ownership housing rehabilitation to <u>qualifying extremely low-, very low-, and low-income home(())owners, including owners of mobile((/manufactured)) homes residing in parks or on their own land through individual or cooperative ownership. ((King County should also consider support for community-based</u>	Substantive change	To create alignment in area median income (AMI) levels, consistent with current County housing policy.	Aligns policy with current practices	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
repair programs, such as tool banks or painting programs.)		Removed last sentence to be higher level; repair is included in rehabilitation earlier in the policy. Nonprofits could be funded for this through Community Development Block Grant, but it's not something King County would be directive about. Other changes to use current terminology						
H-174 King County should work with local lenders and non((-)profit organizations providing home(())ownership assistance to expand assistance for eligible income-qualified homebuyers, including homebuyer education and counseling, mortgage default and foreclosure counseling, culturally relevant low-cost financing and assistance with down payments and closing costs, and alternative ownership housing models such as land trusts((+)) and co-housing((+ etc)).	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-175 King County shall take actions to prevent and mitigate residential and cultural displacement for <u>unincorporated communities at risk of displacement to address racial disparities in housing and help protect cultural communities for Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color by supporting cultural institutions and community hubs and using community preference programs for affordable housing that helps people with a connection to the local community remain in or return to their community of choice.</u>	New policy	Supports actions to prevent and mitigate displacement; alignment with the Countywide Planning Policies.	More resources to support displaced residents and reductions in displacement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Countywide Planning Policies H-9, H-18, H-19 Skyway-West Hill and North Highline Anti-displacement Strategies Report 	Regulatory and Programmatic	2022 code changes for Skyway and North Highline creating : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community preference program Mandatory and voluntary inclusionary housing program Proposed code changes to:	No. The scale of implementation is dependent upon availability of additional resources.	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> expand voluntary inclusionary housing regulations to all urban unincorporated areas and the Rural Towns of Snoqualmie Pass and Vashon; reducing regulatory and permitting requirements for middle housing; retain density bonus for accommodating displaced mobile homes 		
H-176 <u>King County shall prioritize community-driven development of permanently affordable homeownership and rental projects led by community-based organizations and community land trusts.</u>	New policy	To support community-driven permanently affordable homeownership and rental projects;; equitable prioritization of funding.	Increased affordable homeownership and rental opportunities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Skyway-West Hill and North Highline Anti-displacement Strategies Report Regional Affordable Housing Task Force 5-year action Plan, Goal 5 Countywide Planning Policies H-19, H-20 	Programmatic	n/a	Yes	Ongoing
H-201 In coordination with local jurisdictions, funding partners and community partners, King County (will seek to build and) shall develop and sustain coordinated regional health and human services and	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive,	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
behavioral health systems to provide services, support((s)), safety, and opportunity to those most in need. In carrying out its role in such systems, King County ((government will)) shall: a. Work with other jurisdictions and organizations to ((define)) implement a regional health and human services and behavioral health system((s)) and strengthen financing, access, and overall effectiveness of services; b. Collaborate with other funders to ((assure)) ensure coordination in how funds are used, and continue to explore improvements to system design, contracting, and data collection and analysis; and c. ((Retain responsibility for the development and implementation of mandated, through law or adopted County policy, countywide specialty systems for behavioral health (including mental health and substance use disorder treatment), physical, emotional, and cognitive health, public health, (drug and alcohol abuse and dependency, veterans, older adults, children and youth, vulnerable adults, and people with developmental disabilities; d. Define its regional role in other human service and prevention-oriented systems, including systems that address homelessness, older adults' needs, domestic violence, sexual assault, crisis diversion and re-entry, early intervention and prevention, and youth and family services; e. Assess and measure the health and needs of King County's residents on an ongoing basis and modify strategies to respond to changing needs, outcomes, and new research; and f.)) Review the effectiveness and appropriateness of this policy framework periodically and revise if needed.		not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Clean-up of directives throughout: sub-c relocated to H-201a for clarity. Sub-d relocated to H-201b for clarity. Sub-e removed because it is addressed in the Health Equity section.						
<u>H-201a King County shall retain responsibility for implementation of mandated, through law or policy, countywide specialty systems for: behavioral health, including mental health and substantive use disorder treatment; physical, emotional, and cognitive health; public health; veterans; older adults; children and</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocation of H-201 sub-c	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
youth; vulnerable adults; and people with developmental disabilities.								
H-201b King County shall partner with regional bodies, such as the King County Regional Homelessness Authority, that lead other human service and prevention-oriented systems, including those that address homelessness, older adults' needs, domestic violence, sexual assault, crisis diversion and re-entry, early intervention and prevention, youth and family services, and climate-related emergencies.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocation of H-201 sub-d	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-202 King County (is a priority for) shall prioritize human service (investments will be) programs and services that help people in need become more stable and (resilient) healthy, and that prevent or reduce the need for costly emergency medical services, crisis services, and involvement with the criminal (justice) legal system. King County (will) shall focus resources and efforts on effective intervention and prevention that improve individual and community quality of life and enhance equity and racial and social justice. King County (will) shall preserve the resources necessary to collaborate as a true partner in regional human service systems. These focus areas include the following priority investment areas, which are consistent with other regional plans and initiatives: a. Job readiness, support for job development in business innovation districts; b. Affordable housing; c. Community and economic development activities; d. Strategies to (make homelessness rare, brief and one-time) create a homelessness response system that centers people with lived experience to focus on responding to needs and eliminating inequities, to end homelessness for all; (and) e. Behavioral health services (including crisis services, mental health treatment, substance use disorder treatment, co-occurring disorder treatment, and housing support services); and f. Strategies and programs to build the life, academic, and employment skills for young people to	Substantive change	Supports: creation of homelessness response system to align with King County Regional Housing Authority's approach; building life, academic, and employment skills for young people to reflect the work of the Department of Community and Human Services' Children, Youth, and Young Adults Division. Clarifying changes to align with existing intent: 1) "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen; 2) updated language to current terminology	Focuses resources on preventative services to increase health and stability of people.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Best Starts for Kids Implementation Plan: 2022-2027 King County Regional Homelessness Authority Five-Year Plan (2023-2028) 	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
reach their full potential as they transition from childhood to adulthood.								
<u>H-202a King County shall support and incentivize culturally relevant child care programming for new and existing licensed early learning and child care facilities to increase affordability for families across all income levels, especially those located in child care access deserts and/or which serve families who experience additional barriers to accessing child care.</u>	New policy	New policy: culturally relevant child care programming based on Equity Work Group input	Increase culturally relevant and affordable child care programming.	Best Starts for Kids Levy	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
H-203 ((King County will apply principles that promote effectiveness, accountability and equity and social justice.)) King County ((embraces)) shall apply the following principles to promote effectiveness, accountability, equity, and racial and social justice in its health and human service actions and investments: a. King County ((will)) shall provide information to the community on its health, human services, and behavioral health system planning and evaluation activities, funding processes and criteria, and on the results of its investments in a transparent, accountable, and culturally- and audience-appropriate manner; b. King County ((will)) shall uphold federal, state, and local laws against discrimination; promote culturally ((competent,)) relevant and equitable ((and relevant)) service delivery; c. ((and will)) King County shall work to end disparities in social, health, and economic status among communities and people of different racial and ethnic backgrounds; ((e-)) d. King County ((will)) shall work with local service providers to provide behavioral health services	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Other restructuring and edits for clarity and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>to ((low income)) individuals in need, including high quality equitable prevention, crisis diversion, mental health, substance abuse disorder, and co-occurring disorder treatment services to youth, young adults, and older adults. The ((e)) County ((will)) shall assume primary responsibility for coordinating the provision of countywide behavioral health services, working in partnership with <u>the state</u>, cities, and local service providers;</p> <p>((e-)) e. King County ((will)) shall ((encourage)) support service approaches that promote recovery and ((resiliency)) resilience and support individuals and families to achieve their full potential to live meaningful and productive lives in the community;</p> <p>((e-)) f. King County ((will)) shall foster integration of systems of care through increased information sharing and collaborative efforts across agencies and programs for the purpose of improved service delivery, coordination, and shared outcomes; and</p> <p>((f-)) g. ((Together with its partners,)) King County ((will)) shall, together with its partners, assess and respond to changing human service and behavioral health needs and use data, research, innovation, analysis, and evidence-based practices to drive its investments.</p>								
<p>H-204 King County shall strive to apply principles that lead to thriving healthy communities in all neighborhoods of the ((region)) county. King County ((will)) shall support public health investments that help all residents to live in thriving communities where they have the opportunity to make healthy choices. King County shall support:</p> <p>a. Access to safe and convenient opportunities to be physically active, including access to walking, bicycling, recreation, and transit infrastructure;</p> <p>b. Access to healthy, affordable foods and the elimination of food deserts;</p> <p>c. Protection from exposure to harmful environmental agents, such as lead, and infectious disease;</p>	Substantive change	New sub-g is added to support actions that that limit disproportionate concentrations of retail sales and advertisement of tobacco and cannabis in in areas with high percentage youth and/or Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) residents	Better health outcomes in areas with higher social vulnerability and risk factors.	Recommendations of Social Equity in Cannabis Task Force and Public Health – Seattle & King County and King County Department of Community and Human Services	Programmatic	n/a	No	2024

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>d. Access to transportation infrastructure designed to prevent pedestrian, bicyclist and motor vehicle-related injuries;</p> <p>e. (Residential n) Neighborhoods free from violence and fear of violence;</p> <p>f. Protection from involuntary exposure to second(-)hand tobacco smoke and under-age access to tobacco products;</p> <p>g. <u>Protection from disproportionate retail and promotion of products known to cause poor health outcomes, such as tobacco and cannabis, in areas where residents have higher social vulnerability and risk factors;</u></p> <p>h. Community amenities and design that maximizes opportunities for social connectivity and stress reduction; and</p> <p>(h-) i. A range of health services, including timely emergency response and culturally-(specific) relevant preventive medical, behavioral, and dental care within their community.</p>		Other clarifying changes: 1) to reflect existing intent/scale of County role; and 2) "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen						
H-205 King County (will) shall support and implement health-related policies and programs that address the social determinants of health and the built environment by partnering with health care services, community-based organizations, foundations, other regional agencies, boards, commissions, and elected officials to improve public health.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-206 King County (will) shall (encourage) support significant increases in the role and influence of residents living in communities that have disproportionately lower health outcomes by intentionally engaging people who are affected by health and human services policy development, planning, and service delivery in authentic and meaningful ways, especially residents living in communities that have disproportionately lower health outcomes.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Replaced encourage with support to align with current practice and levels of commitment	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((H-207 King County recognizes that poverty, lack of affordable housing and lack of access to economic opportunity for all residents are critical public health issues. King County will take steps to address these issues through ongoing county plans, programs and funding.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to policies, such as H-113, H-133, and H-102.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-208 King County ((will)) shall, to the extent possible, ((locate)) promote the location of health and human services facilities ((where)) in areas that balance the County's shared interests in service delivery that is ((most cost)) more accessible, effective, and efficient. The equity and racial and social justice opportunities and impacts of possible locations ((will)) shall be taken into account. Locations should be easily accessible to anticipated clientele via various transportation methods including public transit, make the best use of existing facilities and opportunities to co-locate services and be ((compatible with adjoining uses)) consistent with the land use elements of the Comprehensive Plan.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Other edits for clarity, consistent with existing intent, and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-208a ((When a health and human services facility is being relocated,)) King County ((consideration)) should consider and work to minimize the impact on current clients when a health and human services facility is being relocated, such as accessibility, transportation options, and services available at the relocated facility.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-303 Public Health-Seattle & King County should reduce the prevalence and harm of asthma by conducting population studies, providing technical support to policy advocates, and training and deploying a Community Health Worker program.	New policy	Consolidates various asthma-related elements from policies H-145, H-154, and reorients to current practice	Improve health outcomes related to asthma.	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((H-209)) H-304 King County should work to address the public health and public safety crisis of gun violence by: collecting epidemiological and other data ((;)) ; engaging with cities, local neighborhoods, and other ((stakeholders,)) partners; and making information available that promotes safe firearm storage and fosters community safety.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated and updated to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
H-305 King County shall work with jurisdictions, the private sector, state and federal governments, other funders of public housing, other public agencies, and the nonprofit sector to support public and private housing that allows for the opportunity to encourage permanent safe firearm storage locations that make safe storage an easy choice and foster safety from injury and violence, through exploring housing and community designs that are shown to increase connectivity and reduce violence.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated from H-102 sub-e, with edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((H-210)) H-306 King County ((should)) shall seek to develop strategies to ((decrease)) eliminate exposure to lead where children, youth, and families live, learn and play, including: a. Advocating for countywide efforts to screen all children (at 12 months and 24 months) for exposure to lead poisoning and monitoring of this data; b. Working to ensure all renovation, repair, and painting work that disturbs painted surfaces in pre-1978 dwellings be performed in compliance with the requirements of the Washington Department of Commerce to reduce exposure to lead contaminated dusts; and c. Working to ensure strategies are used that minimize or eliminate the spread of lead dust during the demolition of pre-1978 residential and commercial buildings, including community education and notification.	Substantive change	Renumbered to match new section structure. Adds new direction and consolidates H-211 H-212 and H-213, as these are details of the overarching strategy. Strengthened to a "shall" to "eliminate" rather than "decrease" as no safe levels of lead in children, consistent with current county programming	Reduce exposure to lead.	Draft statewide lead testing and screening plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
((H-211 King County shall advocate for regional efforts to screen all children (at 12 months and 24 months) for exposure to lead poisoning.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in H-306	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-212 King County should work to ensure all renovation, repair and painting work that disturbs painted surfaces in pre-1978 dwellings be performed in compliance with the requirements of the Washington Department of Commerce to reduce exposure to lead contaminated dusts.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in H-306	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
H-213 King County should work to ensure strategies are used that minimize or eliminate the spread of lead dust during the demolition of pre-1978 residential and	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in H-306	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
commercial buildings, including community education and notification.)								
H-307 <u>People-centered design elements that includes principles of patient-centered, recovery-oriented, and trauma-informed care should be considered and incorporated in County-owned or funded regional health and human services facilities, behavioral health facilities, emergency housing, transitional and permanent supportive housing, and affordable housing.</u>	New policy	To recognize the role the physical environment can also support trauma-informed care by health service providers	Incorporation of People-centered design elements into County owned or funded health service and affordable housing facilities	n/a	Capital Project and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 5 Environment								
E-101 In addition to its regulatory authority, King County should use incentives to protect and restore the natural environment whenever practicable. Incentives ((shall)) <u>should</u> be monitored and periodically reviewed to determine their effectiveness ((in terms of)) <u>at</u> protecting <u>and restoring</u> natural resources.	Substantive change	To reflect current practice policy goals. This occurs when the County has available resources, in consideration of various regulatory priorities, but cannot always do it. For example, the County is reviewing the critical area regulations, including incentives, as part of the 2024 update; but this does not include globally looking at all incentives code. Other edits for clarity, consistent with existing intent	None; reflects current practice	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
E-102a King County ((will)) <u>shall</u> consider environmental <u>justice</u> and climate ((justice)) <u>equity</u> impacts and disparities in its planning, projects and services <u>to assess and mitigate unintended impacts on frontline communities and to ensure solutions that enhance conditions for people and the environment.</u>	Substantive change	Additional updates to advance equity goals Clarifying edits to reflect that current terminology and that "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	Reductions in inequities for priority populations	Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan Strategic Climate Action Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
E-103 King County should coordinate with local jurisdictions, universities, federal and state agencies, <u>Indian</u> tribes, special interest groups, special districts, businesses, and residents to implement, monitor, and update Water Resource Inventory Area salmon recovery plans for all areas of King County.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-104 Development of environmental regulations, restoration, and mitigation projects, and incentive and stewardship programs should be coordinated with local jurisdictions, federal and state agencies, <u>Indian</u> tribes, special interest groups, and residents when conserving and restoring the natural environment consistent with Urban Growth Area, Rural Area, and designated Natural Resource Land goals.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-105 Environmental quality and important ecological functions shall be protected and hazards to health and property shall be minimized through development reviews and implementation of land use plans, Water Resource Inventory Area salmon recovery plans, <u>the Strategic Climate Action Plan</u> , stormwater management plans and programs, flood hazard management plans, environmental monitoring programs, and park ((master)) management plans, <u>as well as focused ongoing efforts such as the fish passage restoration program, Land Conservation Initiative, 30-Year Forest Plan, and Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan.</u> Implementation of ((F)) these plans <u>and programs</u> ((shall)) should also encourage stewardship and restoration of critical areas as defined in the Growth Management Act, ((and include)) such as including an adaptive management approach.	Substantive change	To ensure that that environmental protection and hazard reduction strategies also include these additional initiatives, consistent with existing practice and policy intent Clarifies that not all existing or added plans/initiatives also encourage stewardship and restoration	More plans and initiatives work to protect ecological functions and minimize health and property hazards, resulting in improved environmental outcomes	Strategic Climate Action Plan Land Conservation Initiative 30-Year Forest Plan Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan.	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
E-108 King County may exercise its substantive authority under the State Environmental Policy Act to condition or deny proposed actions ((in order)) to mitigate associated individual or cumulative impacts such as significant habitat modification or degradation that may actually kill, injure, or harm listed threatened or endangered species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, spawning, rearing, migrating, or sheltering.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((E-111 King County shall evaluate development proposals subject to drainage review in unincorporated King County to assess whether the proposed actions are likely to cause or contribute to violations of Washington State water quality standards in receiving waters for individual pollutants of concern and identify mitigation or requirements to avoid the impacts when appropriate.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	These are requirements in the Surface Water Design Manual and does not need to be a policy.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-112 When environmental monitoring, testing, or reliable data indicates human activities have caused impaired water quality, such as increased water temperature, fecal contamination, low oxygen, excess nutrients, metals, or other contaminants, King County shall take actions ((which will)) that help moderate those impairments.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-112a The protection of lands where development would pose hazards to health and safety, property, important ecological functions or environmental quality shall be achieved through acquisition, enhancement, incentive programs, and appropriate regulations. The following critical areas are particularly susceptible and shall be protected in King County: a. Floodways of 100-year floodplains; b. Slopes with a grade of 40((%)) percent or more or landslide hazards that cannot be mitigated; c. Wetlands and their protective buffers; d. Aquatic areas, including streams, lakes, marine shorelines and their protective buffers; e. Channel migration hazard areas; f. Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas; g. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas; and h. Volcanic hazard areas.	Technical change	Standardizes language	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-113 King County should actively participate in updating and implementing the Puget Sound Partnership's Action Agenda, through the <u>Puyallup-White River</u> , South Central <u>Action Area</u> Caucus Group ((and)) , <u>Snohomish-Stillaguamish</u> , and <u>West Sound Partners for Ecosystem Recovery</u> Local Integrating Organizations, consistent with King County goals.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current context	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
E-114 King County should collaborate with other watershed forum partners to ensure that recommendations of watershed-based salmon recovery plans, <u>goals for regional stormwater controls, and goals for human and community health for King County are integrated with the Puget Sound Partnership recommendations.</u>	Substantive change	To further support current and planned regional stormwater and health planning goals and efforts, including the Stormwater Summit series	Improved outcomes for stormwater management and human health	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-115a King County shall ((exercise its authority under Revised Code of Washington 17.10 to)) : ((1)) a. ((establish a)) Work with the King County ((noxious)) Weed ((e)) Control Board to provide public oversight and direction of the County's Noxious Weed Control Program; and ((2)) b. ((i)) Implement a program of activities that minimizes the impacts of noxious weeds to the environment, economy, recreation, and public health within the ((C)) county; and c. <u>Adopt regulations to ensure control of noxious weeds and weeds of concern as identified by the Noxious Weed Control Board.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates for clarity and to reflect current context and practice, including existing implementing regulations in K.C.C. Title 21A	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-200 The 2020 Strategic Climate Action Plan, or successor plans, <u>should guide the planning, development, and implementation of greenhouse gas reduction goals and actions, equitable and community-driven climate solutions, and policies and actions that reduce climate change vulnerabilities and increase climate resilience.</u>	New policy	Supports the role of the Strategic Climate Action Plan in guiding climate action across the County, consistent with new Growth Management Act climate change planning goal	Ensures integrity of climate action in greenhouse gas goals, the application of climate equity, and the planning and implementation of resilience efforts for all County communities, with emphasis on frontline communities.	Strategic Climate Action Plan 2023 House Bill 1181	Regulatory, Capital Projects, and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-201 King County should participate in and support appropriate local, regional and national efforts and organizations focused on reducing greenhouse gas emissions and preparing for climate change impacts.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to E-238	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
E-202 King County shall assess and publicly report on: a. Its normalized and total energy usage and total greenhouse gas emissions associated with county operations; b. Countywide greenhouse gas emissions associated with resident, business, and other local government activities; and c. Countywide greenhouse gas inventories that quantify all direct local sources of greenhouse gas emissions as well as emissions associated with local consumption.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to E-216	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-203 King County shall collaborate to set transparent standards to account for the net energy and greenhouse gas emissions impacts of government actions such as constructing transportation infrastructure and providing services such as recycling and transit and shall assess and publically report these impacts as practicable.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to E-217	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-204 King County shall collaborate with experts in the field of climate change, including scientists at the University of Washington's Climate Impacts Group, to monitor, assess and publicly share information about the impacts of climate change in King County.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to E-228	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-205)) E-201 King County shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions from ((all facets of)) its operations and actions, including but limited to those associated with construction and management of ((e)) County-owned facilities, infrastructure development, transportation, and environmental protection programs to achieve the emissions reductions targets set in ((E-206)) E-202 and to work towards the carbon neutral goal in F-215b.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, and to reflect policy number changes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-206)) E-202 King County shall reduce total greenhouse gas emissions from government operations, compared to a 2007 baseline by at least ((25%)) 50 percent by ((2020)) 2025 and ((50%)) 80 percent by 2030.	Substantive change	Strengthens targets to be consistent with Strategic Climate Action Plan	Accelerated actions supporting reduction in greenhouse gas reductions	Strategic Climate Action Plan County operational greenhouse gas goal and GHG 2 performance measure	Regulatory, Capital Projects, and Programmatic	Existing regulations in K.C.C. Title 18	Yes	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((E-206a)) E-203 King County's Department of Natural Resources and Parks, including the Wastewater Treatment Division, Solid Waste Division, Parks and Recreation Division, and Water and Land Resource Division, ((shall)) should achieve, at a minimum, net carbon neutrality ((for its operations by 2017)) on an annual basis.	Substantive change	To reflect targets in the Strategic Climate Action Plan Change from "should" to "shall" remains consistent with Ordinance 17971 and current plans and practices, but reflects that there may be measures beyond County control that could limit implement of the policy as a mandate	No additional changes; reflects existing plans and practices	Strategic Climate Action Plan GHG 1.3.3	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-206b)) E-204 King County's Wastewater Treatment Division and Solid Waste Division ((shall)) should each independently achieve carbon-neutral operations by 2025.	Substantive change	Change from "should" to "shall" remains consistent with Ordinance 17971 and current plans and practices, but reflects that there may be measures beyond County control that could limit implement of the policy as a mandate	No additional changes; reflects existing plans and practices	Strategic Climate Action Plan GHG 1.3.4	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-207)) E-205 King County shall ((develop and)) continue to implement an operational "social cost of carbon." The social cost of carbon should be used in life-cycle assessments and decision making related to County operations, including for purchase of vehicles, buses and fuels, for facility construction and resource efficiency projects, and for related technology investments. ((King County should also pursue using	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects that operational cost of carbon has been developed and is in use. Updated to align with K.C.C. 18.20.015, cost of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
the cost of carbon to inform broader County planning and decision-making.))		carbon defined term is "social cost of carbon" Note that this was an outstanding 2016 Work Plan Action 5 "Implementation Needs" item, which has related code changes proposed in K.C.C. Chapter 21A.17 to implement policy amendments adopted in 2016						
((E-208 King County shall maximize the creation of resources from waste products from county operations such as gases produced by wastewater treatment and solid waste disposal in a manner that reduces greenhouse gas emissions and produces renewable energy.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Duplicative of F-310	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-209)) E-207 King County ((will)) shall continue to evaluate its own maintenance and operations practices, including procurement, for opportunities to reduce its own emissions or emissions produced in the manufacturing of products.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-210)) E-209 King County shall ((collaborate)), independently and in collaboration with ((its)) cities((,)) and other partners, ((to reduce countywide sources of greenhouse gas emissions, compared to a 2007 baseline, by 25% by 2020, 50% by 2030, and 80% by 2050)) adopt and implement policies and programs to achieve a target of reducing countywide sources of greenhouse gas emissions, compared to a 2007 baseline, by 50 percent by 2030, 75 percent by 2040, and 95 percent, including net-zero emissions through carbon sequestration and other strategies, by 2050. King County shall evaluate and update these targets over time in consideration of the latest international	Substantive change	To align with 2021 Countywide Planning Policies. During development of the 2020 Strategic Climate Action Plan, the County knew that needed stronger greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets were needed, but determined it would	Accelerated actions supporting reduction in greenhouse gas reductions	Countywide Planning Policy EN-27 Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Action GHG 1.1.2	Regulatory and Programmatic	Commensurate proposed target changes in K.C.C. 18.25.010 Proposed code changes in K.C.C. Title 21A to incentivize development of middle housing near transit	Yes	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p><u>climate science and statewide targets aiming to limit the most severe impacts of climate change and keep global warming under 1.5 degrees Celsius.</u></p>		<p>be best to adopt stronger targets in coordination and collaboration with cities through the Countywide Planning Policies. So, there is a direction in the Strategic Climate Action Plan to "strengthen long-term countywide GHG targets to reflect public input and science..., King County commits to work with cities and partners to analyze pathways to more ambitious targets, including a 2050 carbon neutral target, and to develop recommendations to shared GHG reduction targets as part of the next update to Countywide Planning Policies, planned for 2021." Based on that direction and in coordination/ collaboration with the cities, stronger targets were adopted in the Countywide</p>				<p>Recently adopted code changes supporting reduction of fossil fuel use in the building and energy codes in K.C.C. Title 16, and anticipated additional proposed changes to the building and energy codes in 2024.</p>		

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Planning Policies. Given this, the 2024 Comprehensive Plan proposes to use the Countywide Planning Policy greenhouse gas reduction targets, rather than those in the Strategic Climate Action Plan.						
((E-212 King County will work with its cities and other partners to establish a greenhouse gas emissions inventory and measurement framework for use by all King County jurisdictions to efficiently and effectively measure progress toward countywide targets.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	The policy direction has been completed, and is substantively redundant to revised policies E-216 and E-217	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-213)) E-210 King County should ensure that its land use policies, development and building regulations, technical assistance programs, and incentive programs support and encourage the use of viable renewable energy, energy efficiency, and fossil fuel reduction and transition technologies that ((have)) produce zero or minimal greenhouse gas emissions, while considering equity and racial and social justice siting impacts.	Substantive change	To reflect: current status of County regulations and programs, as well as future intention to continue this moving forward; reflect additional measures to reduce greenhouse gases and move towards elimination of fossil fuel use in the built environment; and environmental justice considerations	Increased use of greenhouse gas-reducing technologies, in consideration of siting impacts on priority populations	Strategic Climate Action Plan – Building Energy – Countywide – Performance Measure 13	Regulatory and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-211 King County shall develop and implement <u>building and energy codes that reduce energy use and phase out fossil fuel use in the built environment within King County's jurisdiction.</u>	New policy	Recognizes the important role building and energy codes play in to support goals to phase out fossil fuel	New construction and retrofits of buildings within King County's jurisdiction reduce their energy use and phase out	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Actions GHG 3.3.1, GHG 3.6.1 and GHG 4.03.01	Regulatory and Programmatic	Recently adopted code changes supporting reduction of fossil fuel use in the building and energy codes in	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		use in unincorporated King County	use of fossil fuels esp. natural gas			K.C.C. Title 16, and anticipated additional proposed changes to the building and energy codes in 2024.		
E-212 King County shall support: a. Stronger Washington State building and energy codes and policies that reduce energy use, reduce the embodied carbon of materials, phase out fossil fuel use, and support deployment of electric vehicles and clean energy; and b. Increased state resources for local code development and implementation.	New policy	Recognizes the important role the state plays in development of local codes to support goals to phase out fossil fuel use in unincorporated King County	State building and energy codes are strengthened to support reduced energy use in the state and throughout the county; increased resources for local implementation	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Action GHG 4.02.01 and Performance Measure GHG 18	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
E-213 King County should work with other local building officials and staff, as well as community partners and the building industry, to effectively implement energy and building codes that reduce energy use and embodied carbon of materials and phase out fossil fuel use.	New policy	Recognizes the role King County can play as a leader in efforts, and to the importance of collaboration to, support goals to phase out fossil fuel use in unincorporated King County	Cities adopt building energy codes that result in reduced greenhouse gases from buildings in throughout the county	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Action GHG 4.02.01	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-214 King County shall develop and implement countywide community-scale built environment programs and policies that: a. Reduce energy use, increase the use of renewable energy, and phase out the use of fossil fuels, such as: energy loan, residential efficiency retrofits; and fossil fuel reduction and transition incentives and programs; and b. Prioritize access and affordability of solutions for frontline communities, especially for low-income, senior, and renter households.	New policy	Supports strategies to implement programs that reduce energy use community wide, including programs that support frontline communities	Reduced greenhouse gases throughout the county	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Action GHG 3.1.1, GHG 3.5.1, and GHG 3.10.1	Regulatory and Programmatic	Recently adopted code changes supporting reduction of fossil fuel use in the building and energy codes in K.C.C. Title 16, and anticipated additional proposed changes to the building and energy codes in 2024.	Has current authority and an funded pilot program; scale of future programs will be dependent on scale of future funding (federal state, and/or local funding, grants, etc.)	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
						Recent adoption of Ordinance 19360 (as amended by Ordinance 19449), which launched a Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy and Resiliency program that enables commercial and multi-family property owners to finance efficiency, renewable, and resiliency improvements to their facilities.		
((E-244)) E-215 King County, through its Comprehensive Plan policies and development regulations, should promote healthy community designs that enable ((walking, bicycling,)) active transportation and public transit use, thereby reducing greenhouse gas emissions and regional air pollution.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-215 King County shall evaluate proposed actions subject to the State Environmental Policy Act for their greenhouse gas emissions. King County may exercise its substantive authority under the State Environmental Policy Act to condition or deny proposed actions in order to mitigate associated individual or cumulative impacts to global warming. In exercising its authority under this policy, King County should consider project types that are presumed to be not significant in generating greenhouse gas emissions and do not require review for their greenhouse gas emissions. (Any standards related to consideration of greenhouse gas emissions through the State Environmental Policy Act process shall be subject to Council review and adoption by ordinance.)	Substantive change	Under state law, evaluating proposals under the State Environmental Policy Act requires consideration of impacts, and mitigation where appropriate, to air quality, including greenhouse gas emissions. A separate policy is not needed to reflect this mandate.	None; continues implementation of existing mandates under the State Environmental Policy Act	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>((E-202)) <u>E-216</u> King County shall ((assess and publicly report on: <u>a. Its normalized and total energy usage and total greenhouse gas emissions associated with county operations;</u> <u>b. Countywide greenhouse gas emissions associated with resident, business, and other local government activities; and</u> <u>c. Countywide greenhouse gas inventories that quantify all direct local sources of greenhouse gas emissions as well as emissions associated with local consumption));</u> <u>a. Assess and publicly report on countywide greenhouse gas emissions associated with resident, business, and local government buildings, vehicles, and solid waste at least every two years;</u> <u>b. Update its comprehensive greenhouse gas emissions inventory that quantifies all direct local sources of greenhouse gas emissions and emissions associated with local consumption at least every five years; and</u> <u>c. Develop city-specific emissions inventories and data, in partnership with cities.</u></p>	Substantive change	<p>To align with Countywide Planning Policies and Strategic Climate Action Plan</p> <p>Sub-a is now substantively captured in E-217</p>	Timely data, which can identify how greenhouse gas reduction actions are working and inform where changes may be needed to achieve goals and targets	<p>Countywide Planning Policy EN-29</p> <p>Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Actions GHG 1.2.1. and GHG 1.2.2.</p>	Regulatory and Programmatic	Existing regulations in K.C.C. Title 18	These activities are currently funded but will need ongoing support.	Ongoing
<p>((E-203)) <u>E-217</u> King County ((shall collaborate to set transparent standards to account for the net energy and greenhouse gas emissions impacts of government actions such as constructing transportation infrastructure and providing services such as recycling and transit and shall)) <u>should assess and ((publically)) publicly report on ((these impacts as practicable)) the net energy and net greenhouse gas impacts of the County providing services, such as recycling and public transit, and constructing infrastructure, using best practice accounting standards.</u></p>	Substantive change	<p>The current policy was written in time when made more sense to invest in quantification approach development. However, these are not targets that the County tracks in the current Strategic Climate Action Plan. These are metrics that departments have sometimes used on their own; for example, Metro has reported this</p>	No change; aligns with current practice	Strategic Climate Action Plan metrics	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		value. But because it is not across the board, it is reoriented to a should, and revised to focus on assessing and reporting rather than developing standards.						
<u>E-218 King County shall prioritize and support ongoing partnerships with frontline communities in co-development and implementation of County climate planning, policies, and programs.</u>	New policy	As part of new Climate Equity section of the Comprehensive Plan, supports frontline community leadership focus area of the Strategic Climate Action Plan	Co-development of equitable climate solutions	Strategic Climate Action Plan Sustainable and Resilient Frontline Communities Section Focus Area 1	Programmatic	n/a	Resources needed for community compensation and engagement across King County departments relating to climate action.	Ongoing
<u>E-219 King County shall invest in and enable culturally and linguistically contextualized climate change education that builds frontline communities' capacity to engage on climate change impacts and solutions.</u>	New policy	As part of new Climate Equity section of the Comprehensive Plan, supports community capacity building focus area of the Strategic Climate Action Plan Examples includes Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Actions SRFC 4.1.1: "... opportunities for frontline communities to co-create communications around climate events and health, access emergency	Climate literacy investments for frontline communities	Strategic Climate Action Plan Sustainable and Resilient Frontline Communities Section Priority Actions SRFC 2.1.1, SRFC 2.3.1, and SRFC 4.1.1	Programmatic	n/a	Resources needed for multilanguage, relevant climate change communications across King County.	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		resources and warnings, and collaborate on training events and climate-related health impacts while reducing access and participation barriers."						
E-220 King County shall invest in climate solutions that result in equitable outcomes that benefit frontline communities by: a. Centering and funding access and pathways to living wage green jobs and careers for frontline communities, including youth and Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color populations; b. Providing frontline communities with resources and support to respond to extreme weather events and public health emergencies through culturally relevant strategies and avenues; c. Supporting a just food economy that increases affordability and access to healthy foods; d. Addressing housing insecurities intensified by climate change through programs and resources expanding frontline community access to climate-resilient housing and anti-displacement strategies; e. Prioritizing an affordable transition to renewable energy infrastructure and utility assistance; and f. Expanding public transportation mobility access and climate-resilient infrastructure for frontline communities in greatest need of public transit.	New policy	As part of new Climate Equity section of the Comprehensive Plan, supports green jobs, community health, food justice, housing security, energy and utilities justice, and transportation and mobility access goals of the Sustainable and Resilient Frontline Communities Section of the Strategic Climate Action Plan	Frontline communities are beneficiaries of co-benefit climate solutions	Strategic Climate Action Plan Sustainable and Resilient Frontline Communities Section Focus Areas 3,4,5,6,7, and 8	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	Resources needed to ensure climate planning and climate action account for subs-a, b, c, d, and e in planning, coordination, and prioritization that of solutions that include frontline communities.	Ongoing
((E-215a King County will collaborate with local cities, residents, and other partners to prepare for the effects of climate change on the environment, human health, public safety, and the economy.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to E-235	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
E-221 King County shall take actions that equitably reduce climate change vulnerabilities and increase the resilience of King County residents, communities, natural systems, and the built environment by: a. Integrating and accounting for climate impacts in policies, plans, practices, and procedures, and implementing climate-resilient decisions; b. Investing in and using data and other technical information to inform climate preparedness work at King County; c. Prioritizing health and equity in climate preparedness actions and activities; d. Strengthening collaborations and partnerships to address countywide climate impacts and increase regional resilience; and e. Investing in public outreach, engagement, and technical assistance related to climate preparedness.	New policy	New overarching goal statement based on climate preparedness vision of success in the Strategic Climate Action Plan, and the five strategic priorities established to guide that work	Residents have improved and equitable climate change resilience	Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate Preparedness section Focus Areas 1-5, including Prep 1.1.1, 2.2.4, 3.1.1, 4.1.1, and 5.1.4	Regulatory, Capital Projects, and Programmatic	Proposed changes to K.C.C. Chapter 16.82 to remove permitting barriers for vegetation management for wildfire risk reduction		Ongoing
(E-215b) E-222 King County (will) shall plan and prepare for the likely impacts of climate change on County-owned facilities, infrastructure, and natural resources.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
(E-215b) E-223 King County (should) shall develop and implement regulations that help mitigate and build (resiliency) resilience to the anticipated impacts of climate change, based on best available information. Such impacts could include sea level rise, changes in rainfall patterns and flood volumes and frequencies, changes in average and extreme temperatures and weather, impacts to forests including increased wildfires, droughts (and pest infiltrations) , disease, and insect attacks. Methods could include mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, establishing sea level rise regulations, managing existing and limiting new development in floodplains, and/or strengthening forests ability to withstand impacts.	Substantive change	Strengthened to "shall" to reflect that we're already doing this and intend to continue to do so. Other clarifying edits to reflect that we cannot ensure mitigation for and building resiliency to all listed impacts, and that the listed impacts change over time.	Improved resilience to climate change	Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate Preparedness section Focus Area 1	Regulatory	Recently adopted updates to the flood code in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 and establishment and regulation of the Sea Level Rise Risk Area. Proposed changes to K.C.C. Chapter 16.82 to remove permitting barriers for vegetation management for wildfire risk reduction	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Added disease as a missing impact for forests (e.g., harmful fungi) and changed from "pest infiltrations" to "insect attacks" to be consistent with terminology used in forest management. Connections between development and flooding is added as an additional mitigating method of climate change impacts, consistent with existing regulations and a input from Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife.						
((E-215bbb — King County shall assess the best available sea level rise projections two years prior to each eight year update, and shall incorporate the projections into the Comprehensive Plan where appropriate.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to E-230	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-219)) E-224 King County shall integrate estimates of the magnitude and timing of climate change impacts into capital project planning, siting, design, and construction and ((also)) implement infrastructure operation and maintenance programs that consider full life-cycle costs and climate change impacts in asset management.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-216)) E-225 King County shall integrate observed and projected climate change impacts, including severe weather, extreme heat, flooding, drought, wildfire, and landslides, into emergency management	Substantive change	Updates to reflect current context and existing practice	No change; reflects existing practice	Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate Preparedness section Focus Area	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
planning and programs.		Creates additional policy support for the planned 2025 update of the King County Hazard Mitigation Plan and King County Extreme Heat Strategy anticipated in 2024		1 King County Hazard Mitigation Plan				
((E-223)) E-226 King County shall consider projected impacts of climate change on habitat for salmon and other wildlife when developing long-range conservation plans and prioritizing habitat protection and restoration actions.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocation of policy without edit	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-224)) E-227 To foster resilience to climate change in ecosystems and species, King County should prioritize efforts such as: the restoration of floodplains to improve the resilience of major rivers to changing flow regimes and temperatures; the protection and restoration of riparian vegetation and mature and old-growth forests to reduce warming in cold water systems, of wetlands to reduce drought and flooding, and of connections between different habitats to maintain current seasonal migration; and facilitate migration opportunities for species whose ranges shift in latitude and altitude.	Substantive change	To recognize importance of mature and old growth forests to integrity of ecosystems, and support associated proposed Work Plan action	Additional protection and restoration of mature and old growth forests	30-Year Forest Plan Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-204)) E-228 King County shall collaborate with experts in the field of climate change, including scientists at the University of Washington's Climate Impacts Group, or successor groups, to monitor, assess, and publicly share information about the impacts of climate change in King County.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To make policy more timeless, in case the name of the referenced group changes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-215e)) E-229 King County should collaborate with the scientific community, state and federal agencies, and other jurisdictions to develop detailed, science-based estimates of the magnitude and timing of climate change, including impacts on air temperatures and heat waves, rainfall patterns and severe weather, forest health and wildfire, public health river flooding, sea level rise, biodiversity (including fish	Substantive change	Expands the list of impacts that this policy applies to, while also ensuring that the work of this policy is not limited only to the items listed here, and	More comprehensive collaboration with scientific community, which can better inform climate change actions	Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate Preparedness section Focus Areas 2, 4	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
and wildlife), and ocean acidification ((in King County)). ((E-215bbb)) E-230 King County shall assess the best available sea level rise projections ((two years)) prior to each ((eight)) 10-year update((-)) and shall ((incorporate the projections into)) update relevant risk assessments and policies in the Comprehensive Plan, where appropriate.	Clarification of existing intent	consolidates E-222. Clarifying to reflect existing intent, which is to update the policies, not just add the projections to the narrative. Added "relevant risk assessments" to make sure we are doing the necessary studies too. Also making more general to be prior to 10-year updates (reflecting new state update schedule), as the specific timelines may vary.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-220)) E-231 King County shall periodically review and evaluate climate change impacts on natural resources that its resource programs are designed to protect, such as open space, forests, fisheries, productive farmland, and water quality and treatment, ((in order)) to assess and improve the efficacy of existing strategies and commitments.	Technical change	Grammar and relocation	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-218)) E-232 King County shall ((apply its Equity Impact Review process)) use equity impact reviews to help prioritize investments in making infrastructure, natural resources, and communities more resilient to the impacts of climate change.	Clarification of existing intent	Reflects current terminology and new defined term in the Comprehensive Plan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-225)) E-233 Through land use and transportation actions, King County should work to reduce ((air quality and)) climate change ((related)) health inequities ((and)) related to the exposure of vulnerable populations to poor air quality and extreme weather events.	Clarification of existing intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-226)) E-234 King County shall develop and incorporate into outreach efforts public health messages related to the health implications of climate	Clarification of existing intent	Clarified to include clear connection to climate change and	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
change, particularly in urban communities, and the benefits of actions ((, such as using alternative transportation options that simultaneously reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve air quality, and improve public health)) that can reduce climate impacts on health.		health impacts. Removed examples because the phrasing seemed like it was putting the burden of taking alternative transportation on those whose health is affected.						
((E-215a)) E-235 King County ((will)) shall collaborate with local cities, residents, and other partners to prepare for <u>and adapt to</u> the effects of climate change on the environment, <u>natural resources</u> , human health, public safety, <u>infrastructure</u> , and the economy.	Clarification of existing intent	Updates for clarity, to reflect current context, and consolidation of E-217 "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-215c)) King County should collaborate with the scientific community, state and federal agencies, and other jurisdictions to develop detailed, science-based estimates of the magnitude and timing of climate change impacts on air temperatures and heat waves, rainfall patterns and severe weather, river flooding, sea level rise, fish and wildlife, and ocean acidification in King County.)	Clarification of existing intent	Relocated to E-229	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-215d)) E-236 King County ((should)) shall share information on climate change impacts and collaborate on approaches to improving ((resiliency of)) infrastructure <u>resilience</u> , disaster preparedness, and public engagement with ((local)) cities and other partners to ((make the best use of limited resources and)) more <u>efficiently and</u> effectively engage King County residents.	Substantive change	Strengthened to "shall" to reflect current practice Other edits for clarity, consistent with existing intent	No change; reflects current practice	2020 SCAP Climate Preparedness section Focus Areas 4	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
E-237 King County should implement and support equitable outreach, engagement, and technical	New policy	To align with strategic focus in the	Supports future ongoing and future	Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<u>assistance related to reducing climate risks. This should include providing information on climate change impacts in King County, local efforts to address climate change, and actions that individuals and communities can take to reduce climate risks.</u>		Strategic Climate Action Plan to include climate preparedness in outreach, engagement, and technical assistance	investments in climate communications that are equitable	Preparedness section Focus Area 5; Priority Actions SRFC 2.1.1, SRFC 2.3.1, and SRFC 4.1.1				
((E-216 King County shall integrate observed and projected climate change impacts, including severe weather, flooding, drought, fire, and landslides, into emergency management planning and programs.	Clarification of existing intent	Relocated to E-225	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-217 King County will work with its cities and other partners to formulate and implement climate change adaptation strategies that address the impacts of climate change to public health and safety, the economy, public and private infrastructure, water resources, and habitat.	Clarification of existing intent	Consolidated with E-235	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-218 King County shall apply its Equity Impact Review process to help prioritize investments in making infrastructure, natural resources, and communities more resilient to the impacts of climate change.	Clarification of existing intent	Relocated to E-232	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-219 King County shall integrate estimates of the magnitude and timing of climate change impacts into capital project planning, siting, design, and construction and also implement infrastructure operation and maintenance programs that consider full life-cycle costs and climate change impacts in asset management.	Clarification of existing intent	Relocated to E-224	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-220 King County shall periodically review and evaluate climate change impacts on natural resources that its resource programs are designed to protect, such as open space, forests, fisheries, productive farmland, and water quality and treatment, in order to assess and improve the efficacy of existing strategies and commitments.	Clarification of existing intent	Relocated to E-231	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-222 King County should collaborate with climate scientists in order to increase knowledge of current and projected climate change impacts to biodiversity.	Clarification of existing intent	Consolidated with E-229	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-223 King County shall consider projected impacts	Clarification of	Relocated to E-226	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
of climate change on habitat for salmon and other wildlife when developing long range conservation plans and prioritizing habitat protection and restoration actions.	existing policy intent							
E-224 To foster resilience to climate change in ecosystems and species, King County should prioritize efforts such as: the restoration of floodplains to improve the resilience of major rivers to changing flow regimes and temperatures; the protection and restoration of riparian vegetation to reduce warming in cold water systems, of wetlands to reduce drought and flooding, and of connections between different habitats to maintain current seasonal migration; and facilitate migration opportunities for species whose ranges shift in latitude and altitude.	Clarification of existing intent	Relocated to E-227	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-225 Through land use and transportation actions, King County should work to reduce air quality and climate change related health inequities and the exposure of vulnerable populations to poor air quality and extreme weather events.	Clarification of existing intent	Relocated to E-233	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-226 King County shall develop and incorporate into outreach efforts public health messages related to the health implications of climate change, particularly in urban communities, and the benefits of actions, such as using alternative transportation options that simultaneously reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve air quality, and improve public health.)	Clarification of existing intent	Relocated to E-234	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-204)) E-238 King County ((should)) shall participate in and support appropriate local, regional and national efforts and organizations focused on reducing greenhouse gas emissions, advancing climate equity, and preparing for climate change impacts.	Substantive change	Strengthened to "shall" and account for equity to reflect current practice	No change; reflects current practice	Aligned with Strategic Climate Action Plan identified actions where King County role is "convener"	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
((E-227)) E-239 King County shall support((s)) a comprehensive federal, regional and state science-based limits and a market-based price on carbon pollution and other greenhouse gas emissions. A portion of revenue from these policies should support local greenhouse gas emissions reduction efforts, such as funding for transit service, energy efficiency and fossil fuel reduction projects, and forest protection and	Substantive change	Reoriented from statements to policy directives, consistent with existing intent Additional changes to support	Increases opportunities to advance more strategies to achieve climate change goals	Strategic Climate Action Plan GHG 1.1.1	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
restoration initiatives; <u>efforts that advance climate equity and frontline community investments; and climate preparedness and resilience efforts.</u> King County <u>shall</u> also support (s) renewable energy standards for electricity production and vehicle efficiency performance standards.		eliminating fossil fuel use in the built environment and reflect Strategic Climate Action Plan focus areas						
((E-228)) E-240 King County ((should)) <u>shall</u> advocate for federal, <u>regional</u> and state initiatives and grant and loan programs that support local investments in projects and programs, such as community solar, <u>fossil fuel reduction</u> , ((and)) energy efficiency retrofits to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, <u>climate equity</u> , and ((prepare)) <u>preparedness strategies</u> for climate change impacts.	Substantive change	Strengthened to a "shall" to reflect existing work and intent to continue to do so into the future. Additional changes to support eliminating fossil fuel use in the built environment and reflect Strategic Climate Action Plan focus areas	Increases opportunities to advance more strategies to achieve climate change goals	Strategic Climate Action Plan GHG 1.1.1	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
((E-229)) E-241 King County shall work with ((the business community)) <u>relevant industry sector partners</u> to support efforts that reduce energy and fossil fuel use and greenhouse gas emissions, ((and to promote King County and the Puget Sound region as a center for green manufacturing)) <u>as well as promoting locally recognized high growth sectors identified in the Green Jobs Strategy, such as green manufacturing, construction, transportation, and professional services in King County and the Puget Sound.</u> The ((e)) County shall also work with community groups, consumers, and the retail sector to promote the consumption ((of green-manufactured products)) <u>and adoption of products and services supporting reduced energy use and reduced greenhouse gas emissions.</u>	Substantive change	To support eliminating fossil fuel use in the built environment and Green Jobs Strategy	Increases opportunities to advance more strategies to achieve climate change goals	Strategic Climate Action Plan Green Jobs Strategy Report – "Invest in local high-demand industry sectors" goal	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
E-301 King County should support initiatives that reduce <u>air pollution</u> emissions due to indoor and outdoor wood burning consistent with the actions of Puget Sound Clean Air Agency to control this source of ((public health threat)) <u>health impacts.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
E-302 King County (will) shall continue to actively develop partnerships with the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency, local jurisdictions, the state, and public, private, and (not-for-profit) nonprofit groups to promote programs, (and) policies, and code changes that reduce emissions and health impacts of ozone, wildfire smoke, fine particulates, toxics, and greenhouse gases, particularly for those populations already experiencing health disparities linked to air quality.	Substantive change	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Other edits for clarity and to reflect current context and practice	Additional actions to improve air quality include those that benefit health and address wildfire smoke	Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate Preparedness section Focus Areas 3, 4	Regulatory and Programmatic	Recently adopted code changes supporting reduction of fossil fuel use in the building and energy codes in K.C.C. Title 16, and anticipated additional proposed changes to the building and energy codes in 2024.	No	Ongoing
<u>E-303 King County should encourage the use of methods to improve indoor air quality and reduce smoke infiltration into indoor environments during wildfire smoke events, particularly for populations already experiencing health disparities, such as air filtration technologies and other mechanisms that reduce the level of wildfire smoke that can make its way into indoor environments.</u>	New policy	To recognize the health effects of particulates from wildfire smoke on indoor air quality and health. Supports planned work on wildfire smoke.	Mitigation of the health effects of wildfire smoke indoors, especially for frontline communities	Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate Preparedness section Focus Areas 3, 4, Priority Action Prep. 4.2.10; Sustainable & Resilient Frontline Communities Focus Area 4	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-402 In the Urban Growth Area, King County shall strive to maintain a quality environment that includes fish and wildlife habitats that support the greatest diversity of native species consistent with Growth Management Act-mandated population density objectives. In areas outside the Urban Growth Area, the (the)County should strive to maintain, protect, and recover ecological processes, native landscapes, ecosystems, and habitats that can support viable populations of native species. This should be accomplished through coordinated conservation planning and collaborative implementation.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-403 King County should develop a biodiversity conservation framework and conservation strategy to achieve the goals of maintaining and recovering native biodiversity. (This framework should be coordinated with the Washington Biodiversity Conservation Strategy where applicable.) King County should collaborate with other governments and private and	Substantive change	To broaden beyond just coordination and just this one listed other body of work.	Supports regionally aligned and co-supportive actions on biodiversity, which can improve effectiveness	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<u>nonprofit organizations on the creation and implementation of this strategy.</u>								
((E-404 King County should collaborate with other governments and private and non-profit organizations to establish a bioinventory, an assessment and monitoring program, and a database of species currently using King County to provide baseline and continuing information on wildlife population trends in the county.))	Substantive change	This is not a current or planned body of work. Instead, goal is to collaborate as part of biodiversity conservation as a whole in E-403.	Creates flexibility on how to deploy limited resources, consistent with planned work	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-405 King County should evaluate a range of projected future climate scenarios based on best available science to help ensure that <u>biodiversity</u> conservation efforts are able to meet their objectives in a changing climate.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edit for clarity consistent with existing intent, as this policy is in the biodiversity section of the Comprehensive Plan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-408 King County should carry out conservation planning efforts in close collaboration with other local governments, <u>Indian</u> tribes, state and federal governments, land((-)owners, community groups, and other conservation planning ((stakeholders)) partners.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-409 King County should develop a countywide landscape characterization system based on ecoregions as a key tool for assessing, protecting, and recovering biodiversity.	Substantive change	This is not a current or planned body of work. Instead, it is planned to develop a new corridor map, which will include ecoregion data as part of the inputs. This policy is not needed to do that	Creates flexibility on how to deploy limited resources, consistent with planned work	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-411 King County should ((conduct an analysis to identify areas critical for functional habitat connectivity. This assessment should be coordinated with state and federal mapping efforts as appropriate)) map habitat <u>connectivity corridors and biodiversity areas to protect wildlife populations in a changing climate.</u> Areas identified by this analysis ((as being critical for functional habitat connectivity)) should be prioritized by King County, and in collaboration with Indian tribes, the	Substantive change	Aligns with how King County approaches maps and protects wildlife networks/corridors; supports improved coordination of these efforts with partners; and	Creates flexibility on how to deploy limited resources, consistent with planned work; supports regionally aligned and co-supportive actions on biodiversity,	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<u>state, cities, and other landowners</u> , for land conservation and restoration actions and programs.		requires consideration of changing climate.	which can improve effectiveness; improved resiliency to climate change					
E-412 King County should work with adjacent jurisdictions, state and federal governments, <u>Indian</u> tribes, and landowners during development of land use plans, Water Resource Inventory Area salmon recovery plans, <u>fish passage plans</u> , and site development reviews to identify and protect habitat networks at jurisdictional and property boundaries.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current terminology and context and practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-412a King County should work with non-governmental organizations and regulatory agencies to accelerate removal of barriers to fish passage and should: a. <u>Seek opportunities to accelerate permitting and project implementation;</u> b. <u>Explore all mechanisms available to remove barriers and restore salmon access to the most and highest quality habitat as quickly as possible; and</u> c. <u>Aggressively seek funding for projects to remove barriers.</u>	New policy	To support fish passage outcomes. Emphasizes proactive coordination and collaboration to address bottlenecks related to regulations and funding sources that make it challenging to accomplish projects. Supports regional coordination to get the most bang for the buck as quickly as possible. This isn't addressed by current legal requirements to do the work, which in some cases are also causing the bottlenecks, if we just proceed as we've done in the past.	Expands reach and effectiveness of fish passage barrier removal planning and implementation	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan 2021 Final Report Regarding Remedies to Existing Fish Passage Barriers for King County	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-413 King County's efforts to restore and maintain biodiversity should place priority on protecting and	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates E-414 (acquisition is part of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
restoring ecological processes that create and sustain habitats and species diversity <u>and support climate change resilience.</u>		King County's efforts restore and maintain biodiversity)						
((E-414 When acquiring land for habitat protection, efforts should be made to protect and restore areas of each habitat type most likely to be resistant to and enhance resilience to climate change.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in E-413	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-420 King County should incorporate climate change projections into new species protection plans and shall revise older species protection plans when feasible or when conducting ((eight)) 10-year updates to incorporate projected impacts from climate change.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect planning cycle changes recently adopted in state law	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-423 New development, <u>erosion control projects, and restoration of stream banks, lakes, shorelines, and wetlands</u> should, where possible, incorporate native plant communities into the site plan, both through preservation of existing native plants and addition of new native plants. <u>Introductions of non-native invasive plant, vertebrate, and invertebrate species should be avoided in terrestrial, freshwater, and marine environs.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates E-426 and E-506	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-426 Introductions of non-native, invasive plant, vertebrate, and invertebrate species should be avoided in terrestrial, freshwater, and marine environs.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in E-426	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-427 King County should promote and restore native plant communities where sustainable, feasible, and appropriate to the site and surrounding ecological context and should incorporate climate change considerations into planting design, <u>including:</u> a. <u>Encouraging management and control of nonnative invasive plants, including aquatic plants;</u> b. <u>Using environmentally sound methods of vegetation control to control noxious weeds;</u> c. <u>Use of locally- or climate- adapted species for natural area landscaping, restoration, rehabilitation, and erosion control on County-owned lands; and</u> d. <u>Adequate maintenance of plantings in habitat restoration projects to prevent invasion of weeds and ensure survival of native plantings.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates E-504 and E-428, as these are strategies that help to implement the overarching goal in this policy	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-428 On county-owned lands, King County should use locally adapted native species for natural area	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in E-427	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
landscaping, restoration, rehabilitation, and erosion control. Habitat restoration projects should include provisions for adequate maintenance of plantings to prevent invasion of weeds and ensure survival of native plantings.)								
E-430 King County shall implement its strategy to minimize impacts of noxious weeds to the environment, recreation, public health, and the economy on all lands in the County. This includes preventing, monitoring and controlling infestations of state-listed noxious weeds and other non-native invasive weeds of concern on ((e))County-owned and managed lands.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-430a Through training and other programs, King County should actively encourage the use of environmentally safe methods of vegetation control. Herbicide use on King County-owned and leased properties shall be restricted to low toxicity products applied by trained and licensed staff or contractors, and used only as necessary. King County shall be a good steward of public lands and protect water quality, by reducing the use of insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides through the use of integrated pest and vegetation management practices.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Related to 2016 Work Plan Action 5 – Implementation Needs Changes to this policy adopted in the 2016 Comprehensive Plan were reviewed further to determine if code changes were needed to implement them. It was determined that the 1st sentence was intended to be about private actions, the 2nd and 3rd sentences were intended to be about King County actions. The policy is proposed to be updated accordingly. With these clarifying changes, no implementing code	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		regulations are necessary.						
E-431 Management activities should, when feasible and practicable, be ((designed)) implemented in a manner that can test ((them)) results against management objectives and adjust as appropriate.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-432 King County shall designate the following areas as Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas: a. Areas with which federal or state listed endangered, threatened or sensitive species have a primary association; b. Habitats of Local Importance and ((H)) habitats for Species of Local Importance; c. Wildlife habitat networks designated by the ((e)) County; d. Commercial and recreational shellfish areas; e. Kelp and eelgrass beds; f. Herring, smelt, and sand lance spawning areas; g. Riparian ((corridors)) areas; and h. State aquatic reserves.	Technical change	To reflect terminology and edits for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-433 King County should map Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas. King County shall protect Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas through measures such as regulations, incentives, capital projects, or purchase ((, as appropriate)) .	Clarification of existing policy intent	"As appropriate" is implied in the Comprehensive Plan definition of "should" and use of the term "such as"	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-434 Habitats for species that have been identified as endangered, threatened, or sensitive by the state or federal government shall not be degraded or reduced in size and should be conserved.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-440 King County should regularly review the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's list of Priority Species and other scientific information on species of local importance, and evaluate whether any species should be added to or deleted from the lists in policies E-435 and E-437. Any additions or deletions ((should)) may be made through the annual update.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to reflect that is a permissive allowance for changes that can be made during the annual Comprehensive Plan update, rather than just an	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		encouragement to do it.						
E-442 King County should conserve and restore salmonid habitats by ensuring that land use and facility plans (transportation, water, sewer, electricity, gas) include riparian and stream habitat conservation measures developed by the ((e))County, cities, <u>Indian</u> tribes, service providers, and state and federal agencies. Project review of development proposals within basins that contain hatcheries and other artificial propagation facilities that are managed to protect the abundance, productivity, genetic diversity, and spatial distribution of native salmon and provide harvest opportunities should consider significant adverse impacts to those facilities.	Technical change	To reflect current terminology and edits for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-446 King County should: a. <u>(((e)))Evaluate the need for product or material restrictions because of water quality impacts;</u> b. <u>Ensure the use of a data- and science-driven approach to identify and reduce the use of contaminants of emerging concern;</u> c. <u>Seek changes to state regulations and permits that incentivize regional stormwater investments where they will achieve the best outcomes for pollution reduction; and</u> d. <u>Continue to support regional collaborative stormwater management approaches, including consideration of incentives for regional collaboration and identification of supplemental funding sources for collaborative stormwater management in the region.</u>	Substantive change	To further support current and planned regional stormwater planning goals and efforts	Improvements in regional collaboration on stormwater management and implementation of science-based program and regulatory strategies to address contaminants of emerging concern	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-447 ((King County recognizes that conserving and restoring headwater and upland forest cover is important for preventing flooding, improving water quality, and protecting salmon and other wildlife habitat.)) The central role that forests ((cover)) play ((s)) in supporting hydrologic and other ecological processes should be reflected in ((policies and programs addressing)) stormwater management, flooding, wildlife, and open space <u>policies and programs.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removed statement that is not policy direction; this statement is already covered in the narrative. Other edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
E-448 King County's critical areas and clearing and grading regulations should provide for activities compatible with long-term forest use, including use of recreational trails, firewood collection, forest fire ((prevention)) risk reduction, forest management, and control of invasive plants.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current terminology and context	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<u>E-449a King County should identify and implement strategies that optimize ecological, social, and economic benefits of establishing and maintaining large blocks of forest, particularly in upper watershed areas and along major river corridors. These approaches should:</u> a. <u>Promote establishment of a broad mix of native tree species and age classes, including eventual establishment of forests with old growth characteristics in areas prioritized as having high conservation value;</u> and b. <u>Consider the effect of conservation acquisitions on the viability of the timber resource economy in King County.</u>	New policy	Supports, and sets guidance for, exploring establishment of old growth corridors to result in multiple benefits (ecological, water quality, climate resilience) while considering viability of timber economy. Relates to new proposed Work Plan action.	Potential protected areas intended for long-term development as future old growth forests	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan Land Conservation Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-450 Site development practices should minimize soil disturbance and maximize retention of native vegetation and soils. Where soil disturbance is unavoidable, native soils should be stockpiled on site and reused on site in accordance with best management practices to the maximum extent practicable.	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a requirement of the Surface Water Design Manual and does not need to be a policy	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-451 King County shall require the use of organic matter to restore disturbed soils on site developments.	Clarification of existing policy intent	More appropriate for code; see K.C.C. 16.82.100.G.1.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-452 The role of salmon in transferring nutrients and maintaining the productivity of riparian and floodplain soils should be incorporated in the development of salmon and soil conservation plans.-))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This policy likely resulted from an effort in the early 2000s that was highly focused on soils during development. This is now settled science and longstanding practice. No need	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		for policy to promote the concept.						
((E-454 King County shall regard the region's organic waste materials as resources which should be reused as much as possible, and minimize the disposal of such materials.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to E-456	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-455 King County shall work with regional ((stakeholders)) partners to ensure a viable and safe organics recycling infrastructure that allows for yard, food, wood, biosolids, manure and other organic wastes to be turned into resources benefiting climate change, soil health, water quality, and maximizing landfill diversion, consistent with the County's zero waste of resources and Re+ goals.	Substantive change	Connects to County goals for zero waste of resources Other edits to reflect current terminology	Creates consistent delivery of solid waste management services in support of progress towards zero waste	Re+ Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
E-456 King County shall promote, encourage, and require, where appropriate, the beneficial use and reuse of organic materials and minimize their disposal, including but not limited to their use in the following activities: agriculture and silviculture; road, park and other public project development; site development and new construction; restoration and remediation of disturbed soils; nursery and sod production; and landscaping. For these purposes, organic materials do not include fly ash.	Substantive change	Connects to County goals for zero waste of resources	Increased reuse and minimization of disposal of organic material	Re+ Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
E-457 King County agencies shall use <u>compost and recycled organic products</u> , ((such as compost,)) whenever feasible, and promote the application of ((organic material)) <u>compost</u> to compensate for historic losses of organic content in soil caused by <u>human actions, including development, landscaping agricultural practices, and resource extraction.</u>	Substantive change	Updated to align with new composting requirements in RCW 43.19A.160 and .120 (while retaining existing requirements from RCW 43.19a.040), as well as composting requirements in Ordinance 19552.	None; reflects current practice and requirements	RCW 43.19A.160 and .120 Ordinance 19552	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
E-458 King County ((will)) shall seek to enhance soil quality ((;)) and protect water quality and biodiversity across the landscape by developing policies,	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive,	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
programs, and incentives that support the goal of no net loss of organic material.		not statements of what is anticipated to happen.						
E-461 King County shall use incentives, regulations, capital projects, open space acquisitions, public education and stewardship, and other programs ((like)) such as recycled water to manage its aquatic resources (Puget Sound, rivers, streams, lakes, freshwater and marine wetlands, and groundwater) and to protect and enhance their multiple beneficial uses. Use of water resources for one purpose should, to the fullest extent practicable, preserve opportunities for other uses.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-466 As watershed plans are developed and implemented, zoning, regulations, and incentive programs ((may)) should be developed, applied, and monitored so that critical habitat in King County watersheds is capable of supporting sustainable and fishable salmonid populations. Watershed-based plans should define how the natural functions and values of watersheds critical to salmonids are protected so that the quantity and quality of water and sediment entering the streams, lakes, wetlands and rivers can support salmonid spawning, rearing, resting, and migration.	Clarification of existing policy intent	As written, this policy allows these actions to happen (as a permissive "may"), when an encouragement to do it is intended (as a "should"), consistent with Comprehensive Plan nomenclature	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-467 Responsibility for the costs of watershed planning and project implementation, including water quality, groundwater protection, and fisheries habitat protection, should be shared between King County and other jurisdictions within a watershed.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is an outdated policy; shared funding model has been in place for many years and the continued funding model for Water Resource Inventory Area work is not in question. Policy is no longer necessary.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-469 ((A tiered system for protection of aquatic resources should be developed based on an assessment of basin conditions using Regionally Significant Resource Area and Locally Significant Resource Area designations, Water Resource	Substantive change	Current policy is redundant to E-468; updated to reflect current work King	Conservation of high-value open space	Land Conservation Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Inventory Area Plans, habitat assessments completed for acquisitions plans, the Water Quality Assessment, Total Maximum Daily Loads, ongoing monitoring programs, and best available science.)) Through a coordinated approach of incentives and acquisitions, King County should prioritize, enhance, and protect a variety of ecosystems, including urban open space uplands, riparian areas, floodplains, and aquatic systems with the highest conservation value and those supporting equitable access to quality open space.		County is doing in this area.		Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan				
((E-470 King County shall use current manuals and guidance from state and federal governmental agencies and departments to identify, delineate, and categorize wetlands and to establish mitigation requirements for wetlands.	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a state requirement and does not need to be a policy	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-471 King County will apply the current scientifically accepted methodology for wetland mitigation based on technical criteria and field indicators. Where appropriate, King County should rely on publications and recommendations from state and federal agencies to ensure King County approved mitigation will be accepted by state and federal agencies with jurisdiction.	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a state requirement and does not need to be a policy	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-472 King County shall communicate and coordinate with other jurisdictions and tribes to establish uniform countywide wetlands policies that provide protection of both regionally and locally highly rated wetlands.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Not a King County role; the state does this. Additionally, King County customizes its Best Available Science to specifically apply to unincorporated areas in a manner that would not apply to cities	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-476 King County should identify upland areas of native vegetation that connect wetlands to upland habitats and that connect upland habitats to each other. The ((e))County should seek protection of these areas through acquisition, stewardship plans, and incentive programs such as the Public Benefit Rating	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
System and the Transfer of Development Rights Program.								
E-480 Enhancement or restoration of degraded wetlands may be allowed to maintain or improve wetland functions and values, provided that all wetland functions are evaluated in a wetland management plan, and adequate monitoring, code enforcement, and evaluation is provided and assured by responsible parties. The enhancement or ((R))restoration ((or enhancement)) must result in a net improvement to the functions and values of the wetland system. Within available resources, King County should provide technical assistance to small property owners as an incentive to encourage the <u>enhancement or restoration ((or enhancement))</u> of degraded wetlands.	Technical change	For consistency of terms throughout the policy	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-483 Wetland impacts should be avoided if possible, and minimized in all cases. Where impacts cannot be avoided, they should be mitigated on site if the proposed mitigation is ((feasible)) practical, ecologically appropriate, and likely to continue providing equivalent or better biological functions in perpetuity. Where on-site mitigation is not possible or appropriate, King County may approve off-site mitigation.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Related to 2016 Work Plan Action 5 – Implementation Needs The policy was amended in the 2016 Comprehensive Plan in a manner that conflicted with K.C.C. 21A.24.133. After additional review, it was determined the code language was the appropriate language. Policy is proposed to be updated accordingly	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-486 King County in partnership with other governmental entities and interested parties should encourage the development and use of wetland mitigation banks through which functioning wetlands or aquatic areas are enhanced, restored, or created prior	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
to the impacting of existing wetlands or aquatic areas. The ((e))County shall encourage establishment of such banks by established government entities as well as by private, entrepreneurial enterprises.								
E-489 Wetland mitigation projects should avoid impacts to and prevent loss of farmable land within Agricultural Production Districts. Creation of wetland mitigation banks ((are)) shall not be allowed in the Agricultural Production Districts when the purpose is to compensate for wetland impacts from development outside the Agricultural Production Districts.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from a statement to policy direction, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-490 Lakes ((should)) shall be protected through management of lake watersheds and shorelines. Lakes ((sensitive to nutrients shall)) should also be protected through the management of nutrients that stimulate potentially harmful algae blooms and aquatic plant growth. Where sufficient information is available, measurable standards for lake quality should be set and management plans established to meet the standards. Formation of lake management districts or other financing mechanisms should be considered to provide the financial resources necessary to support actions for protection of ((sensitive)) lakes.	Substantive change	Strengthened first existing "should" to a "shall" to reflect current practice - the County currently does and plans to continue to protect lakes through watershed management actions (stormwater infrastructure and retrofits, riparian buffer plantings etc.) The County protect lakes for many reasons - bacteria, nutrients, etc. The term "sensitive to nutrients" is not a currently used term for lake management. So, it's misleading, as the County doesn't have a separate approach for these lakes than others. The policy should	No change; reflects current practice	n/a	Regulatory and Programmatic	Existing Shoreline Master Program regulations	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		capture that all lakes should be protected to align with current practice. Given this change, the second clause should also be changed to "should," as the nutrient standard would have large, non-resourced implications to the current program.						
E-491 King County, in partnership with other governments and community groups, should monitor and assess lake water and sediment quality, physical habitat, (and) biotic resources, and hydrology. Assessment should identify trends and describe impacts on human and ecosystem health, aquatic life, and wildlife habitat.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current context and practice 2 nd half of the policy is made into new policy E-491a, as the 1 st part of E-491 is about monitoring/assessments, and this part is about addressing pollution sources.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-491a ((The e)) King County should collaborate with other ((affected)) jurisdictions, Public Health - Seattle & King County((, the State Department of Health, and the State Department of Ecology)), and state agencies to identify and address pollutant sources adversely impacting aquatic life and/or human and ecosystem health((; through local or grant funding opportunities, the county should reduce or remove these inputs)).	Substantive change	Relocation of 2 nd half of E-491. Removed direction to do this work only through local or grant funding and only with 2 state agencies, as it limits the opportunities and sources that allows the County to reduce/ remove/ address the pollutant inputs.	Broader collaboration and funding opportunities, which can improve outcomes for management of pollution sources	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Funding considerations are already implied in the "should" at the start of the policy. Other edits for clarity and current context and practice						
E-492 Swimming beaches on lakes should be monitored for ((bacterial)) fecal contamination and algal toxins. When data shows public health to be at risk, Public Health - Seattle & King County should take appropriate action to address public health risks.	Clarification of existing policy intent	More specific wording of what is actually monitored	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-493 King County shall identify and map areas in unincorporated King County that are considered Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas and sole-source aquifers. The ((e))County shall periodically update this map with new information from adopted groundwater and wellhead protection studies and other relevant sources. King County should develop and maintain map layers of groundwater risk level when funding is available.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-494 King County should protect the quality and quantity of groundwater countywide by: a. Implementing adopted Groundwater Management Plans; b. Reviewing and implementing approved Wellhead Protection Programs in conjunction with cities, state agencies and groundwater purveyors; c. Developing, with affected jurisdictions, best management practices for development and for forestry, agriculture, and mining operations based on adopted Groundwater Management Plans and Wellhead Protection Programs. The goals of these practices should be to promote aquifer recharge quality and to strive for no net reduction of recharge to groundwater quantity; d. Refining regulations to protect Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas and well((-))head protection areas;	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy						
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change	
<p>e. Educating the public about Best Management Practices to protect groundwater;</p> <p>f. Encouraging forest retention and active forest stewardship;</p> <p>g. Incorporating into its land use and water service decisions consideration of potential impacts on groundwater quality and quantity, and the need for long-term aquifer protection;</p> <p>h. Coordinating groundwater management efforts with cities, water districts, groundwater committees, and state and federal agencies;</p> <p>i. Requiring the proper decommissioning of any well abandoned in the process of connecting an existing water system to a Group A water system; and</p> <p>j. When funding is available, monitoring groundwater status and trends, especially for the groundwater protection planning areas established by King County, and evaluating the groundwater monitoring results, along with groundwater monitoring performed by public water systems, plus their annual quantities of groundwater pumped over the five((-))-year period. Findings as an indicator of environmental quality should be reported for each groundwater management area.</p>									
E-495 King County should protect groundwater recharge quantity and quality by promoting low impact development and other methods that infiltrate stormwater runoff where site conditions permit and where pollution source controls and stormwater treatment can prevent potential groundwater contamination.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, to reflect current practice, and align with similar language E-496	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-496 ((In making future zoning and land use decisions that are subject to environmental review,)) King County ((shall)) should periodically evaluate and monitor groundwater policies, their implementation costs, and the impacts upon the quantity and quality of groundwater. The depletion or degradation of aquifers needed for potable water supplies should be avoided or mitigated, and the need to plan and develop feasible and equivalent replacement sources to compensate for	Substantive change	Evaluation of impacts on quantity/quality of zoning changes occurs where appropriate (such as when evaluating the Vashon affordable housing special district overlay); but	Evaluation occurs in a more appropriate pathway, and in consideration of available resources	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
the potential loss of water supplies should be considered.		it may not be applicable in all cases. That type of review is more appropriate for review of development proposals subject to State Environmental Policy Act review, which is already required and does not need a policy to do. Additionally, evaluation all policies and their implementation costs would not make sense as to occur part of reviewing an individual zoning change or development proposal. This would be its own evaluation. This is not something that is currently resourced; so, a should is more appropriate.						
E-498 King County should, in partnership with water utilities, ((evaluate the likely effects of)) <u>work to ensure that climate change impacts on ((aquifer recharge and groundwater supplies and develop a strategy to mitigate potential impacts in coordination with other climate change initiatives)) groundwater are being accounted for in water supply planning and management, such as by</u> a. <u>Evaluating effects of climate change on aquifer recharge and groundwater supplies; and</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Restructured and edited to provide clarity on the policy direction, with implementing actions as examples	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
b. <u>Developing strategies through climate change initiatives with cities, water districts, groundwater committees, state and federal agencies, and Indian tribes to mitigate impacts of climate change.</u>								
E-498a <u>The existing flood storage and conveyance functions and ecological values of floodplains, wetlands, and riparian (corridors) areas shall be protected, and should(where possible) be restored and enhanced (or restored) through integrated actions that provide multiple benefits.</u>	Substantive change	Emphasizes integrated/multiple benefit actions to align with the Countywide Planning Policies and King County flood program goals Other edits to reflect current terminology, align with definition of "should" (which includes "where possible"), and clarity	Additional improved outcomes when protecting, restoring and enhancing floodplains.	Countywide Planning Policy EN-9 Flood Hazard Management Plan Guiding Principle 1.3.2, Policies G-3, G-9, PROJ-6 Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
E-499 (Rivers and streams are inherently dangerous.) King County should coordinate across (e) County departments and with other agencies and organizations to promote public awareness of the dynamics and dangers of river and stream systems and the need for personal responsibility when living near or recreating in or on rivers and streams.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removed statement that is not policy direction.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-499b <u>River and stream channels, stream outlets, headwater areas, riparian corridors, and areas where dynamic ecological processes are present should be preserved, protected and enhanced for their hydraulic, hydrologic, ecologic and aesthetic functions, including their functions in providing large wood to salmonid-bearing streams. (Management of) Actions taken along river and stream channels should (consider other beneficial uses of these water bodies, including recreation) provide multiple benefits, resiliency to climate change, and ensure flood risk reduction actions benefit all communities, especially frontline communities, consistent with equity and racial</u>	Substantive change	Emphasizes integrated/multiple benefit actions to align with the Countywide Planning Policies, King County flood program and equity goals	Additional improved outcomes when protecting, restoring and enhancing floodplains, especially for priority populations	Countywide Planning Policy EN-9 Flood Hazard Management Plan Guiding Principle 1.3.2, Policies G-3, G-9, PROJ-6 Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<u>and social justice goals and the policies of the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan or successor plans.</u>				Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan				
E-499f King County should improve the management of alluvial fans by developing and clarifying definitions of alluvial fans, mapping the locations of existing alluvial fans, and developing appropriate management strategies. Strategies should protect intact habitat ((and)) , restore degraded habitat, <u>and</u> reduce threats to public safety ((, and accommodate)) in the context of existing land uses. <u>Best Available Science and ((F))findings from Alluvial Fan Management Pilot Projects Reports should inform management strategies for alluvial fans, including potential regulatory changes.</u>	Substantive change	To reflect current context (pilot projects have been completed) and support alluvial fan management actions informed by current science and recent County alluvial fan analysis and recommendations Other edits for clarity	Future actions and code changes that support more effective management of alluvial fans	Alluvial Fan Demonstration Projects Report (2020-RPT0126)	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-499g King County should collaborate with ((the)) federal and state agencies, ((including)) the Puget Sound Partnership ((+)) , cities, <u>Indian tribes, other counties, and universities to monitor and assess Puget Sound marine waters, nearshore areas, and embayments. Monitoring and assessment should:</u> a. <u>Address water and sediment quality, bioaccumulation of chemicals, physical habitat, ((and)) biotic resources, and hydrology ((Assessment should)); and</u> b. <u>Identify trends and describe impacts on human and ecosystem health and safety, aquatic life, and wildlife habitat.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity: restructured into sub items and broke out into two separate policies, as E-499g is about monitoring/assessments, and new E-499gg is about addressing pollution sources. Other edits for clarity, current terminology, and consistent language as with lakes in E-491 and E-491a.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<u>E-499gg</u> ((The e)) King County should collaborate with other ((affected)) jurisdictions, Public Health -- Seattle & King County, ((the State Department of Health, and the State Department of Ecology)) , and state agencies to identify and address	Substantive change	Relocation of 2 nd half of E-499g. Removed direction to do this work only	Broader collaboration and funding opportunities, which can improve	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
pollutant sources adversely impacting aquatic life and/or human and ecosystem health((; through local or grant funding opportunities, the county should reduce or remove these inputs)).)).		through local or grant funding and only with 2 state agencies, as it limits the opportunities and sources that allows the County to reduce/ remove/ address the pollutant inputs. Funding considerations are already implied in the "should" at the start of the policy. Other edits for clarity and current context and practice	outcomes for management of pollution sources					
<u>E-499hh King County shall continue to support efforts of the Poverty Bay Shellfish Protection District to safeguard against threats to water quality that limit access to existing commercial shellfish harvesting areas.</u>	New policy	Ensures continued resourcing and support for/ management of the Poverty Bay Shellfish production District, which extends beyond just unincorporated King County, and thus has more uncertainty of continuation	Safe-to-harvest shellfish in Poverty Bay	Ordinance 18840 RCW 90.72.030 and 90.72.045	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
<u>E-499hhh King County should continue to support regional program and actions to monitor and address fecal pollution of King County lakes, streams, and beaches, such as the Pollution Identification and Control Program being run in collaboration with the King Conservation District and Public Health – Seattle & King County.</u>	New policy	Supports future cooperation with partners to manage pollution and reduce toxics and pathogens in lakes, streams, and beaches beyond just unincorporated King	Improved quality of lakes, streams, and beaches countywide	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		County. The Pollution Identification and Control Program is one of the strategies identified in the Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan to support associated goals						
E-499ii King County supports the coexistence of beavers and people in rural King County. ((King County should prepare a beaver management strategy to guide a program on issues such as where and how beavers and humans can co-exist with or without engineered solutions and where beavers should be excluded or removed.))	Substantive change	Creates flexibility in how to manage the coexistence of beavers and people; a "strategy" is not needed before implementing programs, actions, and/or regulations.	Streamlining beaver management actions	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-499j King County shall continue to participate in the Water Resource Inventory Area salmon recovery plan implementation efforts and in other regional efforts to recover salmon and the ecosystems they depend on, such as the Puget Sound Partnership. King County's participation in planning and implementation efforts shall be guided by the following principles: a. Focus on federally listed salmonid species and declining stocks protected under <u>Indian</u> tribal treaty rights first, take an ecosystem approach to habitat management and seek to address management needs for other species over time; b. Concurrently work on early actions, long-term projects and programs that will lead to improvements to, and information on, habitat conditions in King County that can enable the recovery of endangered or threatened salmonids, while maintaining the economic vitality and strength of the region; c. Address both King County's growth management needs and habitat conservation needs;	Technical change	To reflect current terminology. Taking WAC citation up a level to cover the whole chapter, as intended.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
d. Use best available science as defined in Chapter 365-195 Washington Administrative Code ((365-195-005 through 365-195-925)); e. Improve water quality, water quantity and channel characteristics; f. Coordinate with key decision-makers and ((stakeholders)) partners; and g. Develop, implement and evaluate actions within a watershed-based program of data collection and analysis that documents the level of effectiveness of specific actions and provides information for adaptation of salmon conservation and recovery strategies.								
E-499k King County should use the recommendations of approved Water Resource Inventory Area salmon recovery plans to inform the updates to development regulations as well as operations and capital planning for its floodplain management, fish passage, surface water management, transportation, wastewater treatment, parks, and open space programs.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-499l King County should seek to support Water Resource Inventory Area salmon recovery plan goals of maintaining intact natural landscapes through: a. Retaining low density land use designations such as Agriculture, Forestry and Rural Area designations; b. Promoting Current Use Taxation and other incentives; c. Promoting stewardship programs including development and implementation of Forest Plans, Farm Plans, and Rural Stewardship Plans; d. Promoting the use of ((L))low ((+))impact ((D))development methods; and e. Acquiring property or conservation easements in areas of high ecological importance with unique or otherwise significant habitat values.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-499m King County ((will)) shall monitor and evaluate programs and regulations to determine their effectiveness in contributing to Endangered Species Act listed species conservation and recovery, and	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive,	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((will)) shall update and enhance programs and plans as necessary. King County should amend regulations, plans and best management practices to enhance their effectiveness in protecting and restoring salmonid habitat, using a variety of resources, including best available science as defined in Chapter 365-195 Washington Administrative Code ((365-195-905 through 365-195-925)).		not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Taking WAC citation up a level to cover the whole chapter, as intended.						
E-499n Through the Watershed Resource Inventory Area planning process, geographic areas vital to the conservation and recovery of listed salmon species are identified. King County ((will)) shall evaluate this information to determine appropriate short and long-term strategies, including, but not limited to: designation of Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas, development regulations (special district overlays, zoning, etc.), acquisitions, facility maintenance programs, and capital improvement projects.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-499q King County should continue to take actions that ensure its habitat restoration and protection actions are implemented as part of a watershed-based salmon conservation strategy that integrates habitat actions with actions taken by harvest and hatchery managers. Harvest and hatchery managers specifically include Indian tribes with treaty-reserved fishing rights, the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, the National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Appropriate venues for this coordination include watershed plan implementation groups and other local or regional salmon management entities that rely on actions by habitat, harvest, and hatchery managers to achieve specific goals and objectives.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity to align with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-499qq King County shall implement a comprehensive local floodplain management program that, consistent with the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan or successor plans: protects lives((?)); minimizes damage and disruption to infrastructure and critical facilities((?)); preserves and restores natural floodplain functions((?)); uses	Substantive change	Emphasizes integrated/multiple benefit actions to align with the Countywide Planning Policies, King County flood	Additional improved outcomes when protecting, restoring and enhancing floodplains, especially for priority populations	Countywide Planning Policy EN-9 Flood Hazard Management Plan Guiding Principle	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
integrated approaches to provide multiple benefits; is resilient to climate change; supports floodplain management actions that benefit frontline communities; and ensures that new development does not put people in harm's way or cause adverse flooding impacts elsewhere(, consistent with the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan)).		program and equity goals Other edits for clarity and timelessness		1.3.2, Policies G-3, G-9, PROJ-6 Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan				
E-499qqq King County shall continue to exceed the federal minimum standards stipulated by the National Flood Insurance Program for unincorporated areas to better protect public safety, reduce the risk of flood and channel migration hazards to existing public and private property, and prevent new at-risk development.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with current regulations	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-499r King County's floodplain land use and floodplain management activities shall be carried out in accordance with policies, programs and projects detailed in the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan, or successor plans.	Clarification of existing policy intent	For timelessness	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-499t King County should review new business permit and change of use applications for businesses that propose to use hazardous chemicals or generate hazardous waste as part of their operations. The ((e))County should offer to provide technical assistance related to hazardous waste disposal requirements, ((spill response,)) and non-toxic alternatives.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with current County role	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-501 Grading and construction activities shall implement erosion control best management practices and other development controls as necessary to reduce sediment and pollution discharge from construction sites to minimal levels.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a requirement and doesn't need to be a policy; see K.C.C. 21A.22.070 and 9.04.050.A.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-503 Slopes with a grade of 40((%)) percent or more shall not be developed unless the risks and adverse impacts associated with such development can be reduced to a non-significant level. ((No disturbance zones shall be designated where basin plans identify the need to prevent erosion damages in areas that are	Clarification of existing policy intent	Basin plans are proposed for repeal in the ordinance adopting the 2024 Comprehensive Plan, as they are	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
extremely sensitive to erosion impacts. Properly designed stormwater tightlines may be allowed within designated no-disturbance zones.))		primarily from the 1990s and do not reflect current conditions or regulatory requirements.						
E-504 King County should protect native plant communities by encouraging management and control of nonnative invasive plants, including aquatic plants. Environmentally sound methods of vegetation control should be used to control noxious weeds.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in E-427	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-506 The use of native plants should be encouraged in landscaping requirements and erosion control projects, and in the restoration of stream banks, lakes, shorelines, and wetlands.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in E-423	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-507 In response to watershed-based salmon conservation Water Resource Inventory Area plans and as part of King County's continued basin planning and stewardship programs, King County may adopt vegetation retention goals for specific drainage basins. These goals should be consistent with R-334, as applicable. The county should adopt incentives and regulations to attain these goals, and the county should monitor their effectiveness.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Not current practice, nor in regulations.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-507a King County should work with partner jurisdictions to ((maintain a)) <u>periodically review and update the map and inventory of known and potential landslide hazard areas in unincorporated King County ((that is based upon the best available information))</u> <u>consistent with best available science and current data.</u> This information ((will)) <u>shall</u> be used to inform future planning and guide development regulations.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current context and practice (this inventory has been created, and should update it from time to time when resources allow; but there are no resources currently). Other edits for clarity and to reflect that "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive,	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		not statements of what is anticipated to happen.						
E-507b King County should make landslide hazards information readily available to the public ((in order)) to improve the general understanding of landslides and their associated hazards. This may include making information available on a public website and providing outreach and assistance to current and prospective property owners and developers.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-509 In areas with severe seismic hazards, special building design and construction measures should be used to minimize the risk of structural damage, fire and injury to occupants and to prevent post-seismic collapse.	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a requirement in the building code; policy is not needed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-511 King County ((will)) shall encourage efforts by public and private property owners and the Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Enforcement to return lands to their highest productive use by safely minimizing or eliminating coal mine hazards.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Other edits for clarity and to reflect that "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((E-514 King County shall require all landowners proposing new development in coal mine hazard areas to document the potential hazard on the title of the parcel or parcels being developed. This notice may include reference to any available technical studies or detailed hazard delineations.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Addressed in K.C.C. 21a.24.170.A, and required for all critical areas; policy specific to coal mines is not needed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
E-601 King County shall ((incorporate into)) consider high priority strategies and actions identified in the King County Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, or successor plans, in its land use and transportation planning, economic development efforts, and natural resource management ((the most promising actions)) to reduce impacts from natural hazards, such as earthquake, flooding, and landslide risk.	Substantive change	Related to 2016 Work Plan Action 5 – Implementation Needs "Most promising actions" was added to the policy in the 2016 Comprehensive Plan update. This	Improved alignment with regional strategies for natural disasters, which can improve outcomes for more resilient systems and communities	Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		was identified as possibly needing implementing code changes due to the "shall incorporate." Upon further review, it was determined that the new language was vague and needed refinement/clarification. Given this, the policy is recommended to be updated to reflect current context and adopted policy framework by referencing the Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan. Code changes are premature at this time; so, "shall consider" is more appropriate.						
E-701 King County should conduct a comprehensive and coordinated program of environmental monitoring and assessment to track long-term changes in climate ((e.g.,)) such as precipitation ((;)) and temperature, water quality and quantity, toxics in fish and shellfish, land use, land cover and aquatic and terrestrial habitat, natural resource conditions, and biological resources as well as the effectiveness of policies, programs, regulations, capital improvement projects, and stormwater treatment facility design. This monitoring program should be coordinated with other jurisdictions, state and federal agencies, <u>Indian</u> tribes, and universities to ensure the most efficient and effective use of monitoring data.	Technical change	Grammar and current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
E-707 King County shall continue to coordinate with other governments, agencies, <u>Indian</u> tribes, non-governmental organizations and others to develop and implement regional and watershed-based Monitoring and Adaptive Management programs focused on achieving salmon recovery goals. The programs shall continue to include monitoring of salmon populations and habitat status and trends over time in order for the ((€))County and its partners in salmon recovery to be able to access the overall trajectory of salmon recovery efforts.	Technical change	Grammar and current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 6 Shorelines								
S-102 King County's Shoreline Master Program is to be interpreted consistently with the policies and requirements of the Shoreline Management Act (Chapter 90.58 Revised Code of Washington ((90.58))).	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-201 All proposed uses and development occurring within King County's shoreline jurisdiction (must) shall conform to the Shoreline Management Act and to King County's Shoreline Master Program.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Shall" is more consistent with Comprehensive Plan nomenclature	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-203 King County, when determining allowable uses and resolving use conflicts in the shoreline jurisdiction, shall apply the following preferences and priorities in the order listed below: a. Reserve appropriate areas for protecting and restoring shoreline ecological processes and functions to control pollution and prevent damage to the natural environment and to public health. b. Reserve shoreline areas for water-dependent and associated water-related uses. Harbor areas, established pursuant to Article XV of the State Constitution, and other areas that have reasonable commercial navigational accessibility and necessary support facilities, such as transportation and utilities, should be reserved for water-dependent and water-related uses that are associated with commercial navigation, unless adequate shoreline is reserved for future water-dependent and water-related uses and unless protection of the existing natural resource values of such areas preclude such uses. Shoreline mixed-use developments may be allowed if they include and support water-dependent uses and address specific conditions that affect water-dependent uses. c. Reserve shoreline areas for other water-related and water-enjoyment uses that are compatible with ecological protection and restoration objectives. d. Locate single (family) detached residential uses where they are appropriate and can be developed	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
without significant impact to shoreline ecological processes and functions or displacement of water-dependent uses. e. Limit nonwater-oriented uses to those locations that are inappropriate for higher priority uses or <u>locations</u> where the nonwater-oriented uses demonstrably contribute to the objectives of the Shoreline Management Act.								
S-205 The following policy goals apply to all of the shoreline jurisdiction. The goals are not ranked in importance and have been assigned a number for identification purposes only. a. The use of the shoreline jurisdiction for those economically productive uses that are particularly dependent on shoreline location or use. b. The use of the shoreline jurisdiction for public access and recreation. c. Protection and restoration of the ecological processes and functions of shoreline natural resources. d. Protection of the public right of navigation and corollary uses of waters of the state. e. The protection and restoration of buildings and sites having historic, cultural, and educational value. f. Planning for public facilities and utilities correlated with other shorelines uses. g. Prevention and minimization of flood damage. h. Recognizing and protecting private property rights. i. Preferential accommodation of single ((family)) <u>detached residential uses</u> . j. Coordination of shoreline management with other relevant local, state and federal programs.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-212 ((The policy of achieving)) <u>Development regulations shall provide</u> both shoreline use and protection ((is reflected in the provision that)) <u>by requiring</u> permitted uses in the shoreline jurisdiction ((shall)) <u>to be designed and conducted in a manner to avoid or minimize, in so far as practical, any resultant</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from statement to policy direction, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
damage to the ecology and environment of the shoreline area and the public's use of the water.								
S-309 The King County Shoreline Master Program should guide the ((e))County's transportation plans and projects within the shoreline jurisdiction.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-314 Historic resources in the shoreline jurisdiction should be protected to prevent the destruction of, or damage to, any site having archaeological, historic, cultural, or scientific value through coordination and consultation with the appropriate local, state and federal authorities, including affected <u>Indian</u> tribes. a. Sites should be protected in collaboration with appropriate <u>Indian</u> tribal, state, federal, and other local governments. Cooperation among public and private parties ((is to)) <u>should</u> be encouraged in the identification, protection, and management of cultural resources. b. Where appropriate, access to such sites should be made available to parties of interest. Access to such sites ((must)) <u>shall</u> be designed and managed in a manner that gives maximum protection to the resource. c. Opportunities for education related to archaeological, historical, and cultural features should be provided where appropriate and incorporated into public and private programs and development.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Should" and "shall" are more consistent with Comprehensive Plan nomenclature Other edits to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-315 King County should work with <u>Indian</u> tribal, state, federal, and local governments to maintain an inventory of all known historic resources. King County shall protect these inventories from public disclosure to the extent permitted or required under applicable federal and state law. As appropriate, such sites should be preserved and restored for study, education, and public enjoyment to the maximum possible extent.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-320 Owners of historic resource are encouraged to make substantial development plans known well in advance of application so that appropriate agencies, such as the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, <u>Indian</u> ((F))tribes, and others, may have ample time to assess the site and make arrangements to preserve historic,	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
cultural, scientific, and educational values as applicable.								
S-401 The King County Shoreline Master Program must be consistent with the Washington State Growth Management Act.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to state law; not necessary for Comprehensive Plan to state.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-402 The King County Shoreline Master Program must be consistent with and coordinated with the King County Countywide Planning Policies.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to state law; not necessary for Comprehensive Plan to state.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-404 The King County Shoreline Master Program includes by reference portions of the King County critical areas regulations into the Shoreline Master Program to meet the requirements of Revised Code of Washington 90.58.090((3) and 90.58.090(4)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Raised RCW reference up a level for more timelessness to help ensure accuracy over the next 10 years	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-405 To the maximum extent practical, King County's Shoreline Master Program shall rely on King County's existing regulations, including critical areas regulations, surface water management regulations, clearing and grading regulations, and zoning ((in order)) to comply with the Shoreline Management Act and the Ecology's guidelines.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-406 The King County Shoreline Master Program ((will)) shall rely on the policies and programs established in the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan and flood hazard regulations to meet the requirements of the Shoreline Management Act and the Department of Ecology's guidelines for flood hazard reduction.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-509 King County shall require that the scale and intensity of new uses and development within the Residential Shoreline Environment is compatible with((-)) and protects or enhances the existing character of the area.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-517 King County shall require that new uses or development in the Conservancy Shoreline Environment preserve the existing character of the	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
shoreline consistent with the purpose of the environment, including: a. Limiting the total effective impervious surface in the shoreline jurisdiction to no more than ((ten)) 10 percent ((in order)) to maintain the existing hydrologic character of the site; and b. Allowing more effective impervious surface coverage on lots legally created prior to the date of adoption of this update to King County's Shoreline Master Program. In these cases, effective impervious surface coverage shall be limited to the maximum extent practicable.								
S-522 King County shall require forest practices in the Forestry Shoreline Environment to comply with standards that provide protection for shoreline ecological processes and functions equal to or greater than the forest practice rules adopted by the Washington State Department of Natural Resources ((and in effect on January 1, 2007)) .	Clarification of existing policy intent	Date is not needed to reflect current adopted rules	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-528 King County may allow single ((family)) detached residential development in the Natural Shoreline Environment as a shoreline conditional use if the scale and intensity of the use is limited to protect shoreline ecological processes and functions and is consistent with the purpose of the environment. King County shall require new subdivisions and short-subdivisions in the Natural Shoreline Environment to locate new structures and impervious surfaces outside of the shoreline jurisdiction to the maximum extent practicable.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-532 King County shall allow passive and low ((--)) impact recreational activities in the Natural Shoreline Environment. New passive and low impact recreation activities shall use designs that avoid or minimize impacts to shoreline processes and functions. Maintenance of trails and campsites shall minimize disturbance and restoration of impacted areas is encouraged.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-537 King County shall encourage multiple uses of over-water facilities in the Aquatic Shoreline Environment ((in order)) to reduce the impacts of	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
shoreline development and increase the effective use of water resources.								
S-539 King County shall not allow uses in the Aquatic Shoreline Environment that adversely impact the ecological processes and functions of critical saltwater and freshwater habitats, except when necessary to achieve the objectives of Revised Code of Washington 90.58.020, and then only when the adverse impacts are mitigated according to the sequence described in Washington Administrative Code 173-26-201((2)(e)) as necessary to assure no net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Raised RCW reference up a level for more timelessness to help ensure accuracy over the next 10 years	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-605 King County's Shoreline Master Program goals and policies ((will)) shall promote restoration of impaired shoreline ecological processes and functions. Policies and programs and non-regulatory actions that contribute to restoration goals ((will)) shall be identified. King County should consider the direct and indirect effects of regulatory or non-regulatory programs of other local, state, and federal governments, as well as any restoration effects that may result from shoreline development regulations and mitigation standards.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-607 King County should provide options for property-specific technical assistance and tailored applications of shoreline management regulations through Rural Stewardship Plans for single ((family)) detached residential uses in the upland areas of the Rural, Conservancy and Natural Shoreline Environments. Rural Stewardship Plans must be consistent with the goals of the Shoreline Management Act and King County Shoreline Protection and Restoration Plan, and ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-613 King County shall consider and address cumulative impacts of shoreline development on shoreline ecological processes and functions and on shoreline uses given priority under Chapter 90.58 Revised Code of Washington ((Chapter 90.58)).	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-615 In considering development regulations to protect shoreline ecological processes and functions, King County shall consider the scientific and technical	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
information contained in functional plans adopted to implement the Comprehensive Plan, adopted watershed plans, King County critical areas regulations, and state, <u>Indian</u> tribal, and federal programs.								
S-617 King County wetland regulations shall address the following uses to achieve, at a minimum, no net loss of wetland area and functions: a. Removal, excavation, grading, or dredging of soil, sand, gravel, minerals, organic matter, or material of any kind; b. Dumping, discharging, or filling with any material, including discharges of stormwater and domestic, commercial, or industrial wastewater; c. Draining, flooding, or disturbing of the open water level, duration of inundation, or groundwater table; d. Driving of pilings; e. Placing of obstructions; f. Construction, reconstruction, demolition, or expansion of any structure; g. Significant vegetation removal, except for non-conversion forest practices regulated under <u>Chapter 76.09</u> Revised Code of Washington (chapter 76.09); h. Other uses or development that results in a significant ecological impact to the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of wetlands; and i. Activities reducing the functions of buffers.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-618 King County shall categorize wetlands within shorelines of the state as provided for in Chapter 5((:)), Environment((, of the King County Comprehensive Plan))).	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Of the Comprehensive Plan" is implied and not consistent with nomenclature for internal references within the plan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-621 In determining appropriate mitigation measures applicable to shoreline development, the mitigation sequencing requirements described in Washington Administrative Code 173-26-201((2)(e)) require that lower priority measures shall be applied only where	Clarification of existing policy intent	Raised RCW reference up a level for more timelessness to help ensure accuracy	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
higher priority measures are determined to be infeasible or inapplicable.		over the next 10 years						
((S-624 Development regulations for geologically hazardous areas shall meet the minimum requirements in Washington Administrative Code 365-190-120.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to state law; not necessary for Comprehensive Plan to state.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-625 King County shall prohibit development and new lot creation in geologically hazardous areas if it would result in increased risk of injury to people or property damage, consistent with King County Code ((e)) Chapter 21A.24.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((S-626 King County shall prohibit new development that requires structural stabilization in geologically hazardous areas. Stabilization will be allowed in these areas only if the stabilization is necessary to protect existing allowed uses, there is no alternative location available, and no net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions will result. Stabilization measures shall conform to Washington Administrative Code 173-26-231.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in S-774 and S-774a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-627 King County may allow stabilization structures or measures in geologically hazardous areas to protect existing primary residential structures, if there are no alternatives, including relocation or reconstruction of the residential structure, the stabilization is in conformance with Washington Administrative Code 173-26-231, and no net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions will result.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in S-774 and S-774a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-631 Docks, bulkheads, bridges, fill, floats, jetties, utility crossings, and other human-made structures shall not intrude into or over critical saltwater habitats except when all of the conditions below are met: a. The public's need for such an action or structure is clearly demonstrated, and the proposal is consistent with protection of the public trust, as embodied in Revised Code of Washington 90.58.020; b. Avoidance of impacts to critical saltwater habitats by an alternative alignment or location is not feasible or would result in unreasonable and disproportionate cost to accomplish the same general purpose;	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
c. The project, including any required mitigation, will result in no net loss of ecological functions associated with critical saltwater habitat; and d. The project is consistent with state and <u>Indian</u> ((F)) tribal interests in resource protection and species recovery.								
S-635 King County ((should)) <u>shall</u> regulate uses and development as necessary within and along stream channels, associated channel migration zones, wetlands, lake shorelines, ((and)) floodplains, <u>and other critical areas</u> within the shoreline jurisdiction, to assure that no net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions results from new development near freshwaters of the state, including associated hyporheic zones.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to shall to be consistent with Shoreline Management Act standards (WAC 173-26-186). Added "other critical areas" for clarity and completeness, in response to a comment from Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife and consistent with existing intent.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-641 Vegetation conservation provisions apply to all shoreline uses and developments, <u>regardless of</u> whether ((or not)) the use or development requires a shoreline substantial development permit.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-644 King County should adopt development regulations for ((vegetated)) <u>riparian</u> areas along streams, which once supported or could in the future support mature trees, that include buffers of sufficient width to facilitate the growth of mature trees and periodic recruitment of woody vegetation into the water body to ((support vegetation related)) <u>provide</u> shoreline <u>ecological function</u> ((s)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, to reflect current terminology, and existing mandates, in response to a comment from Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife and consistent with existing intent.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-650 King County shall ensure that new projects for and major maintenance or replacement of utilities, roads, and other public infrastructure consider the	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
impacts of sea(-)level rise in the location, design, and operation of the projects.								
S-706 The following types of uses and development should require a shoreline conditional use permit: ((1-)) a. Uses and development that may significantly impair or alter the public's use of the waters of the state; ((2-)) b. Uses and development which, by their intrinsic nature, may have a significant impact on shoreline ecological processes and functions depending on location, design, and site conditions; and ((3-)) c. Development in critical saltwater habitats.	Technical change	Updated to standard Comprehensive Plan numbering	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-713 Within shorelines of statewide significance, selective commercial timber cutting shall be used for timber harvest within two hundred feet abutting landward of the ordinary high water mark so that no more than thirty percent of the merchantable trees may be harvested in any ((ten-)) 10-year period of time. Through a shoreline conditional use permit, King County may approve: a. Other timber harvesting methods in those limited instances where the topography, soil conditions, or silviculture practices necessary for regeneration render selective logging ecologically detrimental; and b. Clear cutting of timber that is solely incidental to the preparation of land for other uses authorized by the King County Shoreline Master Program.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-716 Aquaculture is a water-dependent use and should be an allowed use of the shoreline when consistent with control of pollution and avoidance of adverse impacts to the environment and preservation of habitat for native species, ((t)) consistent with Washington Administrative Code 173-26-241 ((3)(b))) .	Clarification of existing policy intent	Raised RCW reference up a level for more timelessness to help ensure accuracy over the next 10 years, with edit for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-726 King County should actively seek substantive comment regarding potential adverse impacts of any shoreline permit application for aquaculture from all appropriate Federal, State, <u>Indian tribal</u> , and local agencies (; the Muckleshoot Tribe, the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, the Tulalip Tribes and other tribes with	Clarification of existing policy intent	Indian tribal covers all tribes, rather than listing one by one, consistent with Comprehensive Plan nomenclature	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
treaty fishing rights); and the general public. Comments of nearby residents or property owners directly affected by an aquaculture proposal should be considered and evaluated, especially in regard to use compatibility and aesthetics.								
S-727 The rights of treaty Indian tribes to aquatic resources within their usual and accustomed areas should be addressed through the permit review process. Direct and early coordination between the applicant or proponent and the relevant tribe(s) should be encouraged.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((S-272b)) S-727b King County shall prohibit new commercial salmon net pen aquaculture operations to avoid adverse impacts on native salmon runs.	Technical change	Corrected typo in policy number	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((S-272e)) S-727c King County shall support Indian tribal treaty fishing rights, including operation of noncommercial native salmon net pens for temporary rearing and brood stock recovery programs.	Technical change	Corrected typo in policy number	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-744 The King County Shoreline Master Program provisions and permit requirements for mining should be coordinated with the requirements of Chapter 78.44 Revised Code of Washington ((Chapter 78.44)) .	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-746 King County shall permit mining within the active channel of a river only as follows: a. Removal of specified quantities of sand and gravel or other materials at specific locations will not adversely affect the natural processes of gravel transportation for the river system as a whole; b. The mining and any associated permitted activities will not have significant adverse impacts to habitat for threatened or endangered species nor cause a net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions; c. The determinations required by items ((4)) a. and ((2)) b. of this policy shall be consistent with Revised Code of Washington 90.58.100 ((4)) and Washington Administrative Code 173-26-201 ((2)(a)) . Such evaluation of impacts should be appropriately integrated with relevant environmental review requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act and the Department of Ecology guidelines;	Clarification of existing policy intent	Raised RCW reference up a level for more timelessness to help ensure accuracy over the next 10 years, with edits for grammar and corrections	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
d. In considering renewal, extension, or reauthorization of gravel bar and other in-channel mining operations in locations where they have previously been conducted, King County shall require compliance with this policy if no such review has previously been conducted. Where there has been prior review, King County shall review the previous determinations ((in order)) to ensure that current site conditions comply with the Program; and e. These requirements do not apply to dredging of authorized navigation channels when conducted in accordance with Washington Administrative Code 173-26-231 ((3)(f)) .								
S-748 Recreational development is allowed in the shoreline jurisdiction and ((must)) shall be consistent with the shoreline environment designation in which the property is located.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Shall" is more consistent with Comprehensive Plan nomenclature	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-749 King County shall plan to provide public recreational uses on ((e)) County-owned shoreline, consistent with the goals of this chapter.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-750 Single ((family)) detached residential development is a priority use in the shoreline jurisdiction in King County.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-751 King County shall require a conditional use permit for construction or expansion of a single ((family residence)) detached home that is located within an aquatic area buffer in the Forestry or Natural Shoreline Environment.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((S-752 Shoreline residential development, including accessory structures and uses, should be sufficiently set back from steep slopes and shorelines vulnerable to erosion so that structural improvements, including bluff walls and other stabilization structures, are not required to protect these structures and uses.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in S-774 and S-774a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-759 Parking facilities in the shoreline jurisdiction are not a preferred use. King County shall allow parking facilities in the shoreline jurisdiction only when necessary to support an authorized use and when an alternatives analysis shows there are no feasible alternatives outside of the 200-foot shoreline	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
jurisdiction. Parking facilities in the shoreline jurisdiction shall use ((L)) low ((I)) impact ((D)) designs, such as porous concrete and vegetated swales, and be planned, located and designed to minimize the environmental and visual impacts.								
S-766 ((In order to)) To reduce the adverse effects of shoreline modifications, King County should limit shoreline modifications in number and extent to the maximum extent practicable.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-768 King County shall ensure that shoreline modifications individually and cumulatively do not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions. ((In order to)) To achieve this goal, King County shall give preference to those types of shoreline modifications that have a lesser impact on the shoreline and by requiring mitigation of identified impacts resulting from shoreline modifications.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-771 King County shall require shoreline stabilization to be consistent with Washington Administrative Code 173-26-221 ((5)) for vegetation retention and ((Washington Administrative Code 173-26-221(2) for)) protection of critical areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Raised RCW reference up a level for more timelessness to help ensure accuracy over the next 10 years, with edits for grammar and corrections	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-774 <u>Shoreline stabilization shall be allowed only when:</u> a. <u>Necessary to protect existing primary structures at imminent risk;</u> b. <u>No lower-impact alternative exists, including relocation or reconstruction of the structure;</u> c. <u>When impacts are mitigated to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions;</u> and d. <u>Stabilization measures are in conformance with Washington Administrative Code 173-26-231.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	S-774 and S-744a consolidates S-626, S-627, S-752, S-775, and S-776 for clarity, to align with existing practice and consistency with other stabilization policies and state guidance	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
S-774a King County shall require: a. ((n)) New shoreline development to be located and designed, as well as the creation of new subdivisions and short subdivisions, to avoid the need for future structural slope or shoreline stabilization ((to the maximum extent practicable)); and b. New development to be set back from steep or eroding slopes so that structural slope or shoreline stabilization is not needed for the life of the development.	Clarification of existing policy intent	S-774 and S-744a consolidates S-626, S-627, S-752, S-775, and S-776 for clarity, to align with existing practice and consistency with other stabilization policies and state guidance	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((S-775 King County shall require that lots in new subdivisions and short subdivisions to be created so that shoreline stabilization will not be necessary in order for reasonable development to occur, using geotechnical analysis of the site and shoreline characteristics.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in S-774 and S-774a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-776 King County shall require new development on steep slopes or bluffs to be set back sufficiently to ensure that shoreline stabilization is unlikely to be necessary during the life of the structure, as demonstrated by a geotechnical analysis.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in S-774 and S-774a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((S-778 King County should notify all prospective developers of new development along Vashon-Maury Island that their development may be impacted by sea level rise and should encourage all such new development to be set back a sufficient distance to avoid the need for shoreline protection during the expected life of the development.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This policy is not needed. 1) it overlaps with policies and actions in the Vashon-Maury Island subarea plan. 2) it's mandated by code in K.C.C. 21A.25.170.M.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
S-779 King County shall require the use of soft methods of shoreline stabilization to the maximum extent practicable. King County shall allow new and replacement hard structural stabilization measures only as follows: a. To protect existing nonwater-dependent development and structures, including single(family residences) detached homes, if: 1. The erosion is not the result of upland conditions, such as the loss of vegetation and drainage; 2. Nonstructural measures, such as locating the development further from the shoreline, planting vegetation, or installing on-site drainage improvements, are not feasible or not sufficient; 3. The need to protect primary structures from imminent risk of damage due to erosion is demonstrated through a geotechnical report submitted by a qualified specialist. The damage must be caused by natural processes, such as tidal action, currents, and waves; and 4. Mitigation is provided such that the erosion control structure will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions. b. To protect water-dependent development if: 1. The erosion is not the result of upland conditions, such as the loss of vegetation and drainage; 2. Nonstructural measures, planting vegetation or installing on-site drainage improvements are not feasible or not sufficient; 3. The need to protect primary structures from damage due to erosion is demonstrated through a geotechnical report submitted by a qualified specialist; and 4. The erosion control structure will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with state requirements/guidance and current practice. Added language in sub-a.3 to reflect current practice, clarifying that nonwater-dependent structures can only use structural shoreline stabilization to protect them from imminent risk, not any theoretical risk Other edits for current terminology, corrections, and grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
c. To protect shoreline restoration projects or hazardous substance remediation projects pursuant to Chapter 70A.305 Revised Code of Washington ((Chapter 70.405D)) if: 1. Nonstructural measures, planting vegetation or installing on-site drainage improvements are not feasible or not sufficient; and 2. The erosion control structure will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions.								
S-780 An existing shoreline stabilization structure may be replaced ((with a similar structure if)) , <u>provided that the least impactful stabilization measure is used and there is a demonstrated need to protect principal uses or structures from erosion caused by currents, tidal action, or waves.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with state requirements/guidance and current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-784 King County shall ((encourage)) require the use soft shoreline stabilization measures that use placement or growth of natural materials that closely resemble natural scales and configurations, <u>or other soft stabilization measures where appropriate</u> , and that provide restoration of shoreline ecological processes and functions waterward of the ordinary high-water mark.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with state requirements/guidance and current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-786 ((When shoreline stabilization is proposed, King County shall require a geotechnical report to address the need to prevent potential damage to a primary structure. The report shall estimate time frames and rates of erosion and the urgency associated with the specific situation. King County should not allow hard armoring solutions, unless a geotechnical report confirms that there is a significant possibility that the structure will be damaged within three years as a result of shoreline erosion in the absence of such hard armoring measures, or where waiting until the need is immediate would foreclose the opportunity to use measures that avoid impacts on shoreline ecological processes and functions. If the geotechnical report confirms a need to prevent	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect existing practice.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
potential damage to a primary structure, but the need is not as immediate as the three years, the report may still be used to justify more immediate authorization to protect against erosion using soft measures.)) <u>When shoreline stabilization is proposed, King County shall ensure that the stabilization method used is the least ecologically impactful, technically feasible option.</u>								
S-788 If structural shoreline stabilization measures are demonstrated to be necessary, King County shall: ((1-)) <u>a.</u> Limit the size of stabilization measures to the minimum necessary; ((2-)) <u>b.</u> Require the use of measures designed to assure no net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions; <u>and</u> ((3-)) <u>c.</u> Require the use of soft approaches, unless they are demonstrated not to be sufficient to protect primary structures, dwellings, and businesses.	Technical change	Updated to standard Comprehensive Plan numbering	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-793 King County shall allow new piers and docks only for water-dependent uses or public access. If it is designed and intended as a facility for access to watercraft, a dock associated with a single(family residence) <u>detached home</u> is considered a water-dependent use. As an alternative to individual private moorage for residential development: mooring buoys are preferred over floats or docks and shared moorage facilities are preferred over single use moorage, where feasible or where water use conflicts exist or are predictable.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-796 King County shall allow new pier or dock construction, excluding docks accessory to single(family residences) <u>single detached homes</u> , only when the applicant has demonstrated that a specific need exists to support the intended water-dependent uses.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-798 King County shall require piers and docks, including those accessory to single(family residences) <u>detached homes</u> , to be designed and constructed to avoid and then minimize and mitigate the impacts to shoreline ecological processes and functions. King County shall (require piers and docks to be constructed of non-toxic materials. Where toxic	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with state law requirements, in response to comments from Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife and	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
materials, such as treated wood, are proposed, the proponent must show that no non-toxic alternative exists)) prohibit the use of creosote or pentachlorophenol pilings.		consistent with existing King County Code Other changes to reflect current terminology						
S-800 King County shall allow fill waterward of the ordinary high-water mark only when necessary to support: ((1-)) a. Water-dependent use; ((2-)) b. Public access; ((3-)) c. Cleanup and disposal of contaminated sediments as part of an interagency environmental clean-up plan; ((4-)) d. Disposal of dredged material considered suitable under, and conducted in accordance with, the dredged material management program of the Washington Department of Natural Resources; ((5-)) e. Expansion or alteration of transportation facilities of statewide significance currently located on the shoreline and then only upon a demonstration that alternatives to fill are not feasible; ((e)) ((6-)) f. Mitigation actions, environmental restoration, beach nourishment, enhancement projects; or ((7-)) g. Flood risk reduction projects implemented consistent with the goals, policies and objectives of the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan where no reasonable alternative exists.	Technical change	Updated to standard Comprehensive Plan numbering and grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-805 Breakwaters, jetties, groins, and weirs shall be designed to protect critical areas and shall provide for mitigation according to the sequence in policy S-616 and defined in Washington Administrative Code 173-26-201 ((2)(e)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Raised RCW reference up a level for more timelessness to help ensure accuracy over the next 10 years, with edits for grammar and corrections	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-810 King County shall require dredging to be conducted consistent with Policy RCM-3 of the ((2006))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates for more timelessness to help ensure accuracy	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
King County Flood Hazard Management Plan, or successor policies or plans.		over the next 10 years						
S-901 If the Department of Ecology recommends changes to any elements of the King County Shoreline Master Program, King County reserves the right to submit an alternate proposal to the Department for its review and approval.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to state law; not necessary for Comprehensive Plan to state.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-902 If the Department of Ecology rejects part or all of King County's Shoreline Master Program, or if the Department of Ecology recommends changes that are unacceptable to King County, King County reserves the right to appeal the Department's decision to the Shoreline Management Hearings Board.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to state law; not necessary for Comprehensive Plan to state.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-903 Upon receipt of the letter from the Department of Ecology approving the King County Shoreline Master Program or any amendments to the Shoreline Master Program, King County will promptly post on its web site a notice that the Department of Ecology has taken final action and approved the Shoreline Master Program or SMP amendments. The notice will indicate the effective date.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to state law; not necessary for Comprehensive Plan to state.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-904 Nothing in the King County Shoreline Master Program nor in any action taken under the Shoreline Master Program shall be construed to affect any Indian treaty right to which the United States is a party.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 7 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space								
((P-101 For the purposes of the King County open space system: "regional" shall define sites and facilities that are large in size, have unique features or characteristics or significant ecological value, and serve communities from many jurisdictions; and "local" shall define sites and facilities that serve unincorporated communities predominately in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a factual statement, not policy direction. More appropriate for (and moved to) narrative.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-102 King County shall be a regional (leader in the provision of a regional) provider of an open space system consisting of regional and local parks, regional and backcountry trails, natural areas, working resource lands, and flood hazard management lands. The regional network of open space((s)) should provide((s)) benefits to all county residents equitably including: recreation facilities, conservation of natural and working resource lands, improving air and water quality, (flood hazard management) and related programs and services, thereby contributing to the physical, mental, and emotional well-being of county residents.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Creates consistency between the Open Space Plan and Comprehensive Plan Open Space Plan: Section 3.2, Figure 3.2, OS-104	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-103 King County (will) shall preserve wildlife corridors, riparian habitat, contiguous forest land, as well as open space areas separating ((U))urban ((A))areas from the Rural Area((s)) and Natural Resource Lands as part of its open space system.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Other edits for current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-105 King County should facilitate affordable and culturally(-) accessible educational, interpretive, and aquatic programs on ((e))County-owned properties that further the enjoyment, understanding and appreciation of the natural, cultural, and recreational resources of the park system and the region.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
P-106 King County should facilitate and seek regional and national programs and special events at regional sites and facilities.								
P-107 King County shall provide local parks, trails and other open spaces in ((the Rural Area)) <u>unincorporated areas</u> . Local parks, trails and other open spaces that complement the regional system should be provided in each community ((in Rural Areas)) to meet local recreation and open space needs and enhance environmental <u>quality</u> and ((visual quality)) <u>view corridors</u> .	Clarification of existing policy intent	Creates consistency between the Open Space Plan and Comprehensive Plan; better describes King County's role in Urban Unincorporated Areas Open Space Plan: 3.1.2 Values, 3.2.1, OS-105	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-108 King County should provide local parks within ((rural)) <u>unincorporated</u> communities with fields and other facilities that provide opportunities for active sports. These facilities shall be in addition to and compatible with King County's regional parks.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Creates consistency between the Open Space Plan and Comprehensive Plan; better describes King County's role in Urban Unincorporated Areas Open Space Plan: 3.1.2 Values, 3.2.1, OS-105	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-108a King County shall consider equity in the <u>development and acquisition of its open space system to help reduce health disparities and promote environmental justice</u> .	New policy	Emphasizes equity lens King County uses to improve service, consistent with existing practice and the Parks Open Space Plan	No change; existing practice	Open Space Plan, CIP-115	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	No	Ongoing
P-109 King County shall complete and maintain a regional trails ((system)) <u>network</u> , ((linking trail corridors to form a countywide network)) <u>to provide</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, current terminology,	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p><u>recreational opportunities and to allow for transportation and wildlife corridor uses to contribute to the health and wellbeing of both trail users and the environment.</u> King County (will) shall continue to primarily own the land necessary for the operation and management of the trail (system) network and pursue public-private funding opportunities for development and maintenance, while ensuring opportunities for access for all King County residents.</p>		<p>and alignment with Open Space Plan.</p> <p>"Completing" the network implies "linking corridors to form a countywide network," consistent with Open Space Plan Goal 3 that states "Improve regional trails and mobility to ensure that essential connections are completed and existing trails are maintained." Open Space Plan also includes "maintaining" in similar context, which is added here for consistency.</p> <p>Reflects that recreation is the primary focus of the network, although other uses and benefits also occur such as (transportation/mobility), consistent with the Open Space Plan</p> <p>"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive,</p>						

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		not statements of what is anticipated to happen.						
((P-110a)) P-110 ((The Eastside Rail Corridor regional trail shall be developed)) King County shall develop Eastrail in coordination with the other trail owners, other jurisdictions, local and state agencies, utilities with property interests, and nonprofit and private partners to enhance regional recreation and mobility and connectivity between regional growth centers, urban communities, other regional trails, and local and high-capacity transit, consistent with a commitment to dual use (recreational trail and public transportation), and consistent with federal railbanking. ((The trail will enhance the quality of life by providing important recreation and mobility options for adjacent land uses.)) The trail corridor shall include high-quality landscaping and environmental features, where appropriate, to enhance the trail experience and to provide ecological benefits to the region.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates P-110, P-110a, and P-110b	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((P-110 King County shall include the planning and development of a regional trail in the Eastside Rail Corridor, to enhance regional recreation and mobility. This facility will be planned and developed in coordination with other owners of BNSF's former interests, and in close coordination with King County Department of Transportation and other state and local agencies, in support of the commitment to dual use (recreational trail and public transportation), consistent with federal railbanking, and multiple objectives of King County and the other owners. ((The trail will be identified in King County's regional trails plan, the Regional Trails Needs Report, as a priority capital facility.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in updated P-110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-110b The Eastside Rail Corridor regional trail shall be developed to the most current regional trail standards, ensuring safe recreation and mobility in accessing trails, streets, and transit consistent with a commitment to dual use (recreational trail and public transportation), and consistent with federal railbanking.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in updated P-110	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
The trail corridor will include high quality landscaping and environmental features where appropriate to enhance the trail experience and to provide ecologic benefits to the region.								
P-110c Multi-use sites <u>should</u> include lands that have areas of environmental value, but also may accommodate extensive public access and active and/or passive recreation opportunities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from a statement to policy direction, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-111 ((King County will manage its)) Management of natural areas <u>owned by King County shall</u> ((to)) protect, preserve, and enhance important natural resource habitat, biological diversity, and the ecological integrity of natural systems.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from a statement to policy direction, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-112 ((King County shall recognize and protect)) The natural character and ecological value of its natural areas <u>owned by King County shall be protected</u> . These areas are important for preserving fish and wildlife and their habitat, native vegetation, ((and)) features of scientific and educational value, <u>and Indian tribal cultural and historic resources</u> . Development and public use may be limited to preserve the natural state and reduce disturbance of the natural <u>and cultural</u> resources. Site improvements should be focused on providing educational and interpretive opportunities. Public access should be directed to the less fragile portions of a site to ensure continued protection of the ecological resources.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented the County's current role and authority, and reflects preservation of tribal and cultural resources, consistent with existing practice and Open Space Plan SO-125 and CIP-135.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-113 Farmland owned by King County shall: a. ((e)) Contribute to the preservation of contiguous tracts of agricultural land; and b. ((make affordable farmland available for use by small scale and new farmers)) <u>Provide access to farmland for beginning, low-income, historically underserved, and socially disadvantaged farmers.</u>	Substantive change	Advancing equity goals and aligning with language in Policies R-661a and R-661b, consistent with existing practice. While "socially disadvantaged" is not current County terminology, it is aligned with U.S. Department of	Increased accessibility of land to priority populations	Local Food Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Agriculture (USDA) language which is defined as farmers and ranchers (SDFRs) belonging to groups that have been subject to racial or ethnic prejudice. SDFRs include farmers who are Black or African American, American Indian or Alaska Native, Hispanic or Latino, and Asian or Pacific Islander. For some but not all USDA programs, the SDFR category also includes women.						
P-114 Farmers leasing properties owned by King County shall use ((A))agricultural ((B))best ((M))management practices, ((I))integrated ((P))pest ((M))management, and other sustainable farming methods.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-116 Working forest land and conservation easements owned by King County shall provide large tracts of forested property in the Rural Forest Focus Areas, the Forest Production District, and Rural Area, (that will) <u>These areas shall remain in active forestry, protect areas from development, or provide a buffer between commercial forestland and adjacent residential development, and may provide ecological or recreational benefits.</u>	Substantive change	Added for consistency with new old growth /mature forest changes in Chapter 3 and 5 and related work plan action, recognizing the acceptability of Forest Production Districts easements that allow recreation or favor ecological forest practices. Without this added, the policies could be	Additional protection of upper watershed and major river corridors	Strategic Climate Action Plan Action GHG 6.4.1 Land Conservation Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		<p>in conflict. Use of "may" (permissive but not required) was intentional because not every easement will allow for recreation or create ecological benefits (e.g. a straight Transfer of Development Rights easement, which just pulls development rights but otherwise allows private commercial forestry to continue).</p> <p>Other edits for clarity and existing policy intent, including: "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.</p>						
P-117 <u>Management goals for working (F) forest land owned by King County shall ((be used to sustain and enhance environmental)) include enhancing ecological benefits and functions, ((demonstrate progressive)) demonstrating best forest management ((and research)) practices, ((and provide)) providing passive recreation opportunities, and generating revenue ((for the)) facilitate sustainable management of ((the working forest lands)) those sites.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with current terminology, existing practices, and the Open Space Plan (such as OS-115), as well as consolidation of P-118	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((P-118 Forest land owned by King County shall provide a balance between sustainable timber production, conservation and restoration of resources, and appropriate public use.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in P-117	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
P-118a King County (will) shall continue to provide and manage a backcountry trail system on its lands in collaboration with other public and private landholders and consistent with its Trail Programmatic Permit.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<u>P-118b King County shall encourage and pursue partnerships and mutually beneficial agreements with public agencies, Indian tribes, nonprofit and community organizations, and the private sector to fund, program, manage, and steward sites and facilities for public recreation and natural resource protection consistent with the classification, role, and use of said sites and facilities.</u>	New policy	To provide policy direction related to other open spaces, consistent with the Open Space Plan and current practice	Leverages the County open space system with other sites owned by other public and private partners to support countywide public recreation and natural resource protection goals	Open Space Plan PCI-101	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
P-119 Open space lands should be acquired to expand and enhance the open space system as identified in the King County Open Space Plan: Parks, (Regional) Trails and Natural Areas.	Technical change	Reflects current plan name	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-121 King County shall consider equity in the location, development, and acquisition of its open space system to help in the reduction of health disparities and in the promotion of racial, social, and environmental justice.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-123 Decisions on acquisition and development of park, regional trail, and other open space sites should consider funding needs for <u>public engagement, initial stewardship, site development, long((-))-term maintenance, and operations.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Recognizes funding needs for other key elements of acquiring and owning land, consistent with current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-124 A variety of measures should be used to acquire, protect, manage, and develop regional and local parks, regional trails, and open space. Measures can include: (e)County funding and other funding mechanisms, grants, partnerships, incentives, regulations, dedications, and contributions from residential and commercial development based on their	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
service impacts and trades of lands and shared development activities.								
P-125 Management of the regional open space system of parks, regional trails, natural areas and working resource lands ((is)) shall be guided by the King County Open Space Plan: Parks, Trails and Natural Areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from statement to policy direction, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-127 Open space lands shall be classified to identify their role in the open space system and the purpose of the acquisition as recreation site, regional trail, natural area ((park, multiuse site, or)), working ((resource land)) forestland, or multi-use site.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to align with categories in the Open Space Plan OS-106	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((P-128 King County will adopt an entrepreneurial approach to managing and operating the open space system and work aggressively to implement multiple and appropriate strategies to fiscally sustain the open space system.))	Substantive change	Related policy in the previous Open Space Pan was removed in 2022 update. This is not as much of a focus in open space planning as it was 10 years ago. Removal here aligns with that change and defers to the Open Space Plan as functional plan of the Comprehensive Plan to guide this work. There is no need for duplicative policies in the both plans, unless they are necessary for the foundational open space policy framework.	No change; reflects existing practice	Open Space Plan SO-101	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
P-128b King County's use of pesticides and fungicides ((will)) shall be based on integrated pest management principles.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		what is anticipated to happen.						
P-128c King County shall support activities at County parks that advance public health, provide clean environments, and avoid exposure to harmful products, such as tobacco and vaping products, ((in order)) to promote play, physical activity, and family and community connection.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-129 <u>Recognizing the value of open space in promoting social and economic health and wellness across the county</u> , King County shall be a leader in establishing partnerships with cities, adjacent counties, <u>Indian</u> tribes, state and federal agencies, school and special purpose districts, community organizations, non((-)profit organizations, land((-)owners, and other residents. The ((e))County and these partners should work to: a. <u>Support and strengthen the linkages between rural, resource, and urban communities' use and maintenance of these open spaces</u> ; b. ((p)) Promote and protect all aspects of environmental quality, while addressing equity and racial and social justice goals to complete the regional parks and open space system through joint planning and management of local and regional sites and facilities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates ED-605, with removal of "green space" as redundant to "open space." Other edits for grammar, current terminology, and clarity.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-130 In the Urban <u>Growth</u> Area, King County shall work in partnership with other jurisdictions to facilitate annexation and transfer of local parks ((, and local trails)) and other open space sites to cities or other providers to ensure continued service to the community.	Clarification of existing policy intent	For consistent with Open Space Plan OS-116 and current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((P-131 King County should work with cities to share operational and maintenance costs of parks and other open spaces in unincorporated areas in which a substantial portion of the users are from incorporated areas.))	Substantive change	This policy is not needed and does not reflect current practice. The Parks Division is not aware of agreements driven by this policy. Though the County does sometimes	No change; aligns with current practice	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		share costs with cities, in practical terms, it is hard to track where park visitors come from.						
P-132 King County ((will)) shall encourage and support volunteer efforts to maintain and enhance programs, sites, and facilities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-133 King County ((will)) shall encourage and seek public input, advice and participation ((from the public)) in decisions about ((management of)) the open space system ((that relate to key issues such as funding, planning, acquisition, development and stewardship)) and to advance equitable access to parks and trails.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current practice, Open Space Plan (PIE-101, PIE-102) and related equity goals Other edits for clarity, including "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-134 King County ((will invite and involve a wide variety of interests via a diversity of individuals, groups and agencies)) shall engage the public using approaches consistent with the County's equity and racial and social justice goals and policies. King County ((will intentionally engage communities that are the most affected by proposals and plans)) shall provide equitable access for historically underrepresented and historically underserved populations in public engagement and access to the open space system.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current practice, Open Space Plan (PIE-101, PIE-102, PIE-103, PIE-104) and related equity goals Other edits for clarity, including "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		what is anticipated to happen.						
P-135 King County ((will)) shall use a variety of equitable engagement methods to ensure public involvement from all county residents, such as public meetings, advisory groups, surveys, web and social media postings, news releases, park site signage, mailing lists, newsletters, and through various community groups (including Community Service Areas). These methods ((will)) shall allow for early, continuous, and broad public participation.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects current practice, Open Space Plan (PIE-101, PIE-102, PIE-103, PIE-104) and related equity goals Other edits for clarity, including "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-202 King County shall consider equity and racial, social, and environmental justice in its promotion and protection of cultural resources.	Technical change	Reflects current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-203 King County shall encourage preserving, reusing and recycling historic buildings in its facilities planning and other relevant actions. King County shall assist in encouraging interested parties in pursuing preservation, restoration, and repurposing projects, particularly in those doing repairs and/or upgrades themselves.	Substantive change	Added in response to comments from the Joint Area Team, consistent with existing practice.	Increased preservation, restoration, and repurposing actions by non-County parties	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
P-208 King County shall pursue its cultural resource goals by working with residents, property owners, cultural organizations, public agencies, Indian tribes, schools and school districts, and others.	Technical change	Reflects current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-209 King County shall provide leadership in pursuing its cultural resource goals by actively advancing the protection, enhancement, and ongoing use of ((e)) County-owned and other cultural resources, and by promoting intergovernmental cooperation and partnerships for the preservation and use of cultural resources.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
P-210 King County shall partner with cities to protect and enhance historic resources and public art located within city boundaries and <u>Potential</u> ((a)) Annexation ((a)) Areas.	Technical change	Reflects current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-217 King County shall acquire and preserve historic properties for use by ((e)) County and other public agencies and shall give priority to occupying historic buildings whenever feasible.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-219 King County may condition public and private projects ((in order)) to protect historic properties. King County agencies shall coordinate with the Historic Preservation Program to provide consistent review and mitigation for their projects and undertakings throughout the county.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
P-221 King County shall maintain an inventory of historic properties ((in order)) to guide its historic preservation decision making.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 8 Transportation								
T-101 King County should provide a <u>safe and accessible</u> system of transportation services and facilities that offers travel options to all members of the community.	Substantive change	Added in alignment with scope items 1) Advance integrated approaches to enhanced traffic safety; 2) Support investments to increase safe access to public transit; 3) Advance County investment upstream, where needs are greatest and in partnership with communities that are most directly impacted, such as ... people with disabilities	Improved safety and accessibility outcomes for the community	2021 King County Road Services Division Americans with Disabilities Act Transition Plan	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-101a King County should seek to ensure that its system of transportation services and facilities <u>equitably</u> serves the mobility needs of ((disadvantaged)) communities <u>with the greatest need</u> , ((and people with limited transportation options, including)) including populations who are Black, Indigenous, and other ((p)) People of ((e)) Color ((r)) ; immigrants; refugees; and other intersectional populations, including ((low income communities)) those who earn less than 80 percent of area median income and those that have no income, ((people with limited English proficiency, immigrant and refugee populations, students, youth, seniors, and)) people with disabilities, seniors, people with special transportation needs, LGBTQIA+ people, and/or women.	Substantive change	Updated per scope item: Support equitable access to mobility options and invest in transit services where the needs are greatest, especially for populations who are Black, Indigenous, People of Color, immigrants, ...	Improved transportation and equitable outcomes for priority equity populations.	Metro Connects Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-102 As a transportation provider and participant in regional transportation planning, King County should support, plan, design, and implement an integrated ((r)) and coordinated ((and balanced)) multimodal transportation system that serves the growing travel	Substantive change	Added to respond to scope item: equitable access to mobility options.	Improved equity in transportation services	Metro Connects Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic and Capital Project	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
needs of the county safely, equitably, effectively, and efficiently, and ((promotes a decrease in the share of trips made by single occupant vehicles)) reduces vehicle miles traveled.		Other edits to clarify existing intent						
T-104 The Strategic Plan for Public Transportation ((2011-2024)) 2021-2031, King County Metro Service Guidelines, and ((the King County Metro Long Range Plan for Public Transportation)) Metro Connects, or successor plans, shall guide the planning, development, and implementation of the public transportation system and services operated by the King County Metro Transit Department.	Technical change	Reflects current plan names	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
(T-105 The King County Ferry District 2014 Strategic Plan, or successor plans, shall guide the planning, development and implementation of the passenger only ferry system and services operated by the King County Marine Division.)	Technical change	Ferry District, and associated plan, no longer exists	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-108 King County shall ((consider)) evaluate equity impacts and benefits when planning, developing, and implementing transportation programs, projects, and services, including physical, economic, and cultural displacement risk.	Substantive change	Improve equitable access to mobility options	Enhanced effectiveness of equity analysis involved in transportation programs, projects, and services.	Metro Connects Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan Countywide Planning Policy T-9	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	No	Ongoing
T-109 As directed by the King County((:s)) Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan, King County shall seek to protect its transportation system against disasters, to the extent possible, by developing prevention and recovery strategies in partnership with other jurisdictions and agencies, and coordinating emergency transportation response.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-201 Multimodal transportation options such as public transportation, bicycling and walking, are most effective in densely developed urban areas. ((As resources allow,)) King County's transportation investments in urban areas should emphasize public transportation and road services and facilities that support multiple modes and facilitate connections between them.	Clarification of existing policy intent	The Comprehensive Plan definition of "should" includes consideration of cost and availability of funding	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
T-202 ((As resources allow,)) King County's transportation investments in the Rural Area ((s)) and Natural Resource Lands should emphasize maintaining and preserving safe road infrastructure that is compatible with the preservation of rural character and does not promote urban or unplanned growth.	Clarification of existing policy intent	The Comprehensive Plan definition of "should" includes consideration of cost and availability of funding	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-202a In areas not well suited to fixed ((-)) -route transit, the ((e)) County should work with partners to develop a range of ((alternative)) flexible service options ((such as community shuttles, real-time rideshare, community vans and other innovative options)) , in accordance with Metro plans and policies.	Substantive change	Various mobility modes are more appropriately addressed in Metro's plans, which can be updated more frequently than the Comprehensive Plan	More flexibility to respond to constantly changing mobility options	Metro Connects	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-203 ((As funding permits,)) King County should partner with jurisdictions and the private sector to spur infrastructure investments that enhance <u>safe, equitable, and accessible</u> opportunities for transit, pedestrians, bicyclists, car and van pools, and other alternatives to single occupant vehicles.	Substantive change	In response to scope item: 1) Advance County investment upstream, where needs are greatest and in partnership with communities that are most directly impacted, such as communities of color... ; 2) Support investments to increase safe access to public transit; and 3) Advance integrated approaches to enhanced traffic safety for all users, such as supporting complete streets and equitable infrastructure investments	Improved safety of and access to multimodal system	Strategic Plan for Road Services Metro Connects Strategic Climate Action Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		The Comprehensive Plan definition of "should" includes consideration of cost and availability of funding						
T-205 King County should support, encourage, and implement high-capacity transit facilities and services that are consistent with, and supportive of, the Comprehensive Plan, Metro's Strategic Plan for Public Transportation, Metro's ((Long Range Plan for Public Transportation and the King County Ferry District 2014 Strategic Plan)) Service Guidelines, and Metro Connects, or successor plans.	Technical change	Reflects current plan names	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-206 ((Except as provided in T-209,)) King County shall not construct and shall oppose the construction by other agencies of any new arterials or highways in the Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands.	Clarification of existing policy intent	T-206 (new roads), T-208 (adding capacity to existing roads), and T-209 (development regulations re: T-208) are updated and consolidated for clarity and to remove redundancies, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-207 King County recognizes the importance to regional and local mobility of state highways that traverse the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands and should advocate for state and federal agencies to improve ((performance)) the safety, efficiency, and resiliency of these facilities, consistent with the ((county's adopted)) Comprehensive Plan policies to prevent unplanned development in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands and preserve rural character.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Explicitly states what performance entails	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
T-208 King County shall not ((add any new arterial)) expand capacity of existing arterial roads in the Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands, except as needed for safety and for segments of rural regional corridors that pass through Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands to accommodate levels of traffic between urban areas. <u>Appropriate rural development regulations and strong commitments to access management should be in place prior to authorizing capacity expansion of rural regional corridors to prevent unplanned growth in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.</u> Rural regional corridors shall be identified in the Transportation Needs Report (Appendix C1) and shall meet all of the following criteria: a. Connects one urban area to another, or to a highway of statewide significance that provides such connection, by traversing the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands; b. Classified as a principal arterial; c. Carries high traffic volumes (at least 15,000 average daily traffic); and d. At least half of ((P.M.)) p.m. peak trips on the corridor are traveling to cities or other counties.	Clarification of existing policy intent	T-206 (new roads), T-208 (adding capacity to existing roads), and T-209 (development regulations re: T-208) are updated and consolidated for clarity and to remove redundancies, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((T-209 King County shall avoid construction of major roads and capacity expansion on existing roads in Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands. Where increased roadway capacity is warranted to support safe and efficient travel through Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands, appropriate rural development regulations and strong commitments to access management should be in place prior to authorizing such capacity expansion in order to prevent unplanned growth in these areas.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	T-206 (new roads), T-208 (adding capacity to existing roads), and T-209 (development regulations re: T-208) are updated and consolidated for clarity and to remove redundancies, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-210 Any capacity increases to rural regional corridors shall be designed to accommodate levels of traffic between urban areas consistent with ((the county's adopted)) Comprehensive Plan policies regarding development in the surrounding Rural Area	Technical change	Consistent Comprehensive Plan references and grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
or Natural Resource Lands. The ((e)) County shall seek to maximize the efficient use of existing roadway capacity before considering adding new capacity to rural regional corridors.								
T-212 King County shall work with cities for the annexation of ((e)) County ((--)) roadways and/or street segments located in the urban area and within or between cities ((--in order)) to provide for a consistent level of urban services on the affected roads and reduce the burden on unincorporated taxpayers that are supporting this urban infrastructure.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-213 King County should use its authority including zoning, permitting and development standards to protect the ((public use airports of)) Bandera ((near the town of North Bend)) , Vashon, and Skykomish ((airport in King County)) public-use airports and private airports from encroachment of non-compatible land uses. Compatible airport land uses are those that comply with generally accepted Federal Aviation Administration guidance on location, height, and activity that provide for safe aircraft movement, airport operations, including expansion, and community safety.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect inadvertent omission of an existing airport, consistent with existing intent, with additional edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((T-214b King County shall design a new concurrency management methodology that is efficient to administer, incorporates travel demand management principles, includes measures of congestion based on optimizing movement of people rather than cars, and promotes increased efficiency of the transportation system as a whole.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Policy requirement has been met; a new concurrency system has been created.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-216 The Level of Service standard for certain minor residential and minor commercial developments ((-- along with)) and certain public and educational facilities, as established in the King County Code, shall be Level of Service F. This standard shall be used in concurrency testing.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Standards for what "certain public and educational facilities" are established in K.C.C. 14.70.285	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-217 Rural Mobility Areas shall be defined as unincorporated Rural Towns designated in the Comprehensive Plan. The Level of Service standard for designated Rural Mobility Areas shall be E. This standard shall be used in concurrency testing.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates T-220	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((T-219 For the purposes of concurrency testing, a travel shed is a geographic area within unincorporated King County where trips generated by development within the travel shed would likely use or be affected by traffic on arterials within the travel shed.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removed, as this is a definition/ explanation, not policy direction. Related narrative is updated to capture the sentiments here.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-220 The concurrency program shall include provision for mobility areas within travel sheds as provided in T-217. Rural Mobility Areas shall be defined as unincorporated Rural Towns as designated in the King County Comprehensive Plan.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in T-217	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-221 The concurrency map shall identify the travel sheds that meet or do not meet concurrency standards. Any proposed development in travel sheds that meet concurrency standards ((will)) shall be deemed concurrent.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-222 The concurrency test shall be based on the Level of Service on arterials in unincorporated King County using the ((e)) County's adopted methodology. ((The test may be applied to designated Highways of Statewide Significance.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Deleted per RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(C) which states that concurrency requirements do not apply to transportation facilities and services of statewide significance.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-224 In the Rural Area, the concurrency test may include a provision that allows the purchase of Transferable Development Rights ((in order)) to satisfy transportation concurrency requirements.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-226 King County shall encourage the development of highly connected, grid-based arterial and nonarterial road networks in new developments and areas of in((-)) fill development. To this end, the ((e)) County should:	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
a. Make specific findings at the time of land-use permit review to establish a nonarterial grid system for public and emergency access in developments; and b. Require new commercial(;-) and multifamily(;-) developments and residential subdivisions to develop highly connective street networks to promote better accessibility and avoid single street(-)-only access.								
T-230 King County shall consider the needs and abilities of ((nonmotorized)) active transportation users ((of the transportation system)) in the planning, design, construction, maintenance, preservation, and operation of road infrastructure and other transportation facilities ((to the extent feasible given available funding)) .	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology The Comprehensive Plan definition of "should" includes consideration of cost and availability of funding Streamlining/clarifying edits	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-231 Consistent with the priorities defined in the County's ((functional)) transportation plans(;-) and the Regional Growth Strategy, ((nonmotorized)) active transportation system investments should aim to increase safety, accessibility and mobility ((- facilitating)) ; facilitate mode integration and intermodal connections(;-); improve access to centers, where appropriate(;-); and ((providing)) provide opportunities for healthy activity and alternatives to driving for all populations.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology Streamlining/clarifying edits	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-232 King County shall evaluate and implement ((nonmotorized)) active transportation improvements in its road construction projects where appropriate and feasible.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-233 In unincorporated areas of King County, the following needs ((will)) shall be given the highest priority when identifying, planning, and programming ((nonmotorized)) active transportation improvements: a. Addressing known collision locations;	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
b. Fostering safe ((walking and bicycling)) active transportation routes to schools and other areas where school-aged children regularly assemble; c. Filling gaps in, or enhancing connections to, the ((Regional Trails (system))) network; d. Serving ((L)) locations of high concentration of pedestrian and/or bicycle traffic; and e. Providing safe routes to transit.		Updates to current terminology						
T-234 In urban areas, ((nonmotorized)) active transportation improvements should increase access to transit and urban centers while enhancing community connections to parks, local trails, shopping, libraries, healthcare, and other public and private services and facilities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology Added "community" for clarity; Parks policy is not to provide local trails – edit shows that County investments should connect to local trails, not provide them	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-235 The King County Regional Trails ((System)) network ((is)) shall be the centerpiece of the ((nonmotorized system)) network for active transportation in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands. The ((e)) County's efforts to enhance the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands ((nonmotorized)) active transportation network should include filling in the Regional Trails ((System's)) network's missing links, coordinating road and trail projects whenever possible, considering access from roadways such as gateway (trailhead) parking, and enhancing access to transit, especially park ((-)) -and ((-)) -ride ((e)) lots and transit centers.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-236 In Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands, ((nonmotorized)) active transportation improvements shall be consistent with providing rural levels of service, preserving rural character, and avoiding impacts to the environment and significant historic properties.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-237 To increase equitable access to walking, bicycling, and transit mobility options, the ((e)) County	Substantive change	In response to scope item:	Additional funding for active	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
should actively seek grant funding to improve ((nonmotorized)) active transportation infrastructure that serves the needs of Black, Indigenous, and other ((p)) People of ((e)) Color ((-)) ; immigrants; refugees; and other intersectional populations, including ((low-income communities)) those who earn less than 80 percent of area median income, ((people with limited English-speaking proficiency, immigrant and refugee populations, and others who may have limited transportation options such as students, youth, seniors, and)) people with disabilities, seniors, LGBTQIA+ people, women, and others who may have limited transportation options such as students and youth.		Advance County investment upstream, where needs are greatest and in partnership with communities that are most directly impacted, such as communities of color...	transportation and resulting infrastructure for priority populations, which can improve mobility and health outcomes					
T-238 New school development should address safe ((walking and bicycling)) active transportation routes for students. If the existing transportation infrastructure within a one-mile radius, together with the school's road frontage improvements, cannot support safe ((walking or bicycling to school)) active transportation access, King County shall use its development review authority to require the school district and the new school to address the long-term transportation needs of students, including through the state-mandated Safe Routes to School program.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-239 New ((land use)) binding site plans and subdivisions shall seek to accommodate internal ((nonmotorized)) active transportation mobility and access to nearby shopping, parks, trails, schools, healthcare, community resources, and other public and private services and facilities, consistent with the different needs and service levels for urban ((and)) areas, the Rural Area ((s)) , and Natural Resource Lands.	Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent	Updates to current terminology Specifies the type of land use plans intended	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-240 The specifications in the King County Road Design and Construction Standards shall support ((nonmotorized)) active transportation safety and accessibility, consistent with the County's adopted policies regarding appropriate urban and rural levels of service.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-241 In supporting equestrian travel in the Rural Area ((s)) and Natural Resource Lands, King County	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
should emphasize safety and connection to ((the Regional Trail System and other)) established trail networks open to equestrian use.		brevity (Regional Trail System is an established trail network that is often open to equestrian use), and to reflect that equestrians most often use other "backcountry" or "equestrian" trails, especially on Natural Resource Lands, making Regional Trail System less relevant.						
T-242 King County shall seek opportunities to acquire and develop ((nonmotorized)) active transportation corridors. ((Evaluation of requests to vacate unused road rights-of-way will consider existing nonmotorized uses and future development of such uses.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology Roads Fund (and associated funded infrastructure) cannot be used for independent nonmotorized facility without adjacent road and property interests, which typically preclude use by trails.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-243 King County should coordinate with ((bicycling, pedestrian, and equestrian stakeholders)) active transportation users and advocacy organizations to ensure that their input is included early and throughout in the planning and project design process for projects with ((nonmotorized)) active transportation elements or that have the potential to affect ((nonmotorized)) these users.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
T-244 King County should participate in the Puget Sound Regional Council's regional bicycle network planning efforts and consider related project needs within King County's jurisdiction (should be considered) in the County's (nonmotorized) active transportation planning and project prioritization processes (as financial resources allow) .	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from a statement to a directive Updates to current terminology The Comprehensive Plan definition of "should" includes consideration of cost and availability of funding	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-245 King County shall implement policies and programs that support transportation demand management, (nonmotorized travel) active transportation, transit service improvements, and expansion of high-occupancy vehicle travel (in order) to increase the share of trips made by modes other than driving alone.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-246 (Where appropriate) King County should support the use of Transportation Demand Management strategies, including (variable tolling on state highways) congestion pricing, to increase mobility options, promote travel efficiency, optimize the existing transportation system (and) , support King County climate goals, and reduce the adverse environmental impacts of the transportation system.	Substantive change	Updated to reflect 1) contemporary language and the variety of congestion pricing strategies and 2) scope issue: Reduce transportation-related emissions	Increased mobility options, improved travel efficiency, mitigate and adapt to climate change, etc.	Strategic Climate Action Plan PSRC Regional Transportation Plan	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-247 King County should consider Transportation Demand Management strategies, beyond those adopted as (e) County regulation, among a menu of measures to mitigate for traffic impacts of proposed development or major highway construction projects. (Transportation Demand Management, as well as other mitigation requirements, may be imposed on new development as mandatory mitigation measures as necessary to meet the requirements for mitigation of impacts pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act and the State Subdivision Act.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removed language related to mitigation for development projects, as this already a given under State Environmental Policy Act regulatory authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
T-248 King County should promote employee transportation programs, including those for its own employees, that encourage trip reduction, use of public transportation, walking, and bicycling. ((King County should demonstrate regional leadership by continuing to provide a model program for its own employees.))	Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent	Streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((T-248a King County should actively participate in developing and implementing state-mandated Commute Trip Reduction programs.))	Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent	Removed policy, as King County is already required to do this for ourselves. Additionally, Metro no longer manages commute trip reduction programs for other jurisdictions.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-249 King County should participate in local, regional, and statewide efforts to implement and measure the results of Transportation Demand Management strategies, technologies, and systems, including policies developed through regional consensus and adopted by the ((e)) County. To this end, the ((e)) County shall identify funds to research, plan, implement, and measure the success of Transportation Demand Management strategies.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-250 King County ((will)) shall work with the Washington State Department of Transportation, Washington State Transportation Commission, Puget Sound Regional Council, and cities to develop and implement applications of managed transportation facilities and congestion pricing strategies on new and existing transportation facilities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-251 King County supports congestion pricing and other road usage pricing strategies, especially more equitable and less regressive approaches, as a means to optimize transportation system performance, generate revenues, reduce vehicle miles traveled, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Substantive change	To align with Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Transportation Plan and Strategic Plan for Road Services,	Increased consideration of equity impacts as regional road usage systems are developed -	Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan Puget Sound Regional Council's	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		climate, and equity goals	particularly impacts on those with lower incomes	Regional Transportation Plan Strategic Plan for Road Services				
T-252 Revenue from congestion pricing <u>and other road usage pricing</u> should be used to improve, preserve, and operate the transportation system, including transit and other multimodal investments, as well as to help fund improvements that address the diversionary impacts on non-tolled facilities.	Substantive change	To Align with Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Transportation Plan and Strategic Plan for Road Services, and climate goals	Use of road usage pricing revenue to improve, preserve, and operate transportation system	Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Transportation Plan Strategic Plan for Road Services	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-253 King County should partner with the Washington State Department of Transportation, Puget Sound Regional Council, local jurisdictions, employers, major institutions and developers to implement programs to encourage alternatives to commuting by single-occupant((-))_vehicles, and to improve travel options and awareness of those options.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-253a King County shall provide culturally((-)) appropriate opportunities <u>to inform and participate in programs that increase access to effective alternatives to driving alone</u> for residents of low-income communities, <u>Black, Indigenous, and other ((ϕ))People of ((ε))Color((-)); people ((with limited English proficiency)) speaking a language(s) other than English; ((and)) immigrants; and refugees ((populations to inform and participate in programs to increase access to effective alternatives to driving alone))</u> .	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-301 King County should provide reliable, safe, convenient, equitable, and accessible public transportation services that are responsive to the needs of people, businesses, and communities in King County – <u>especially where needs are greatest</u> .	Substantive change	To incorporate equity and accessibility into public transportation goals and align policy with Metro goals	Public transportation that better serves the needs of historically underserved communities and is provided where needs are greatest	Metro Connects	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
T-301a ((The)) King County ((Marine Division)) should be a leader in regional mobility by providing passenger-only ferry service that benefits the community, helps reduce road congestion, can assist in emergency management needs, and supports the economic development and growth management needs of King County.	Technical change	Marine Division no longer exists	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-301b King County ((s)) should provide passenger-only ferry service ((should be)) that is efficient, safe, accessible, and reliable, ((and provide)) in addition to providing excellent customer service while being responsive and accountable to the public.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-302 ((The)) King County ((Marine Division)) should work with the Washington State Department of Transportation, Kitsap County, and other entities offering passenger ferry services, to ensure that service and capital plans for ferries are consistent with ((the King County Ferry District 2014 Strategic Plan)) Metro's Strategic Plan for Public Transportation, Service Guidelines, and Metro Connects, or successor plans.	Technical change	Marine Division no longer exists Updated to current plan names	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-304 ((In order to)) To keep the most vital components of the road system operational for users, King County should use a decision framework that considers road function, life-safety needs, network connectivity, traffic volume, transit use, and other assessment criteria to both guide service provision and help direct investments toward ((s)) the most critical needs when additional resources are available.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Provides more specificity on the decision framework for service provision and investments, reflective of current practice and the Strategic Plan for Road Services	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-305 ((To ensure that the most vital components of the county's road system are kept operational,)) King County should fund safety, essential regulatory compliance, and maintenance and preservation needs of the existing road system ((should be funded)) prior to mobility and capacity improvements.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((T-306 Maintenance and preservation of the unincorporated rural roadway system shall be emphasized in long term planning and asset	Substantive change	The proposed deletion is intended better align with the	Increased County focus on long-term planning and asset	Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
management in recognition of the fact that Rural Area and Natural Resource Land roads and bridges will remain the county's long-term responsibility after all annexations are complete.))		<p>County's strong focus on equity and social justice, as guided by the Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan. The Equity and Social Justice Plan identifies transportation as a "Determinant of Equity," a key factor in accessing education, jobs, and services that allow residents to fulfill their potential. The T-306 policy focus on rural area roads directs County focus away from the unincorporated roads relied on by communities with disproportionately high priority populations. This unintended impact is not consistent with the today's commitments to equity, racial, and social justice.</p> <p>The existing policy was a reflection of the Road Services Division's strategic response to the road funding crisis, as defined in the 2010</p>	management of transportation assets in unincorporated urban areas, thereby improving the road-related transportation functions in those areas; however, the effects of the policy change will be severely limited by the County road funding crisis and the more rural nature of County service provision.					

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Strategic Plan for Road Services and its 2014 update, and in anticipation of still-unrealized annexations. Roads anticipates updating the strategic plan within the next few years to incorporate equity consideration. The County's goal is, and will remain, that Potential Annexation Areas annex to cities as called for under the Growth Management Act, so that residents receive the urban-level of services needed to thrive and the County's responsibilities are better aligned with its resources.						
T-306 <u>King County should contribute to achieving the state traffic safety goal of zero deaths and serious injuries using a safe systems approach, through which road system managers, public health organizations, law enforcement agencies, emergency responders, road users, and other parties collaborate to prioritize the elimination of crashes that result in death and serious injuries.</u>	New policy	The proposed policy is based on countywide planning policies T-29 Design roads, including retrofit projects, to accommodate a range of travel modes within the travel corridor in order to reduce injuries and fatalities, contribute to achieving the	Increased collaboration across county agencies, sustained focus on collisions leading to death and serious injury, improved grant competitiveness	Washington State Strategic Highway Safety Plan (Target Zero)	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		state goal of zero deaths and serious injuries, and encourage physical activity. The policy reflects the centrality of safety to the County's operation of the unincorporated road system and identifies a framework for approaching traffic safety that reflects the critical traffic safety roles of other entities such as public health, law enforcement, emergency responders, and road users. The policy aligns the County with the statewide initiative led by the Washington Traffic Safety Commission in the State Strategic Highway Safety Plan - while reflecting the implementation constraints created by the county road funding crisis. The policy and accompanying narrative focus on the types of priority						

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		<p>crashes (death & serious injury) and actors. The County acquired grant funding to complete a Traffic Safety Action Plan which will further the county's understanding of the collision factors that are most relevant on the unincorporated road system. Completion of this Action Plan will better inform the resources needed to fully address these types of collisions.</p> <p>Note that the 2019 Washington State Strategic Highway Safety Plan (Target Zero) identifies a target year of 2030. This target is likely to change before the next ten-year Comprehensive Plan update. So that date is not included in the Comprehensive Plan to preserve consistency and associated flexibility.</p>						
T-306a Decisions on road closures and abandonments should be made based on public safety considerations, technical/engineering standards, and the policy	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
guidance set forth in the Strategic Plan for Road Services. Impacts to residents, businesses, and other road users or ((stakeholders)) affected parties should be identified and communicated to them in a timely manner.								
T-307 Roadway stormwater facilities are an integral component of a properly functioning transportation network and shall be maintained, preserved, and, when practicable, upgraded ((in order)) to protect infrastructure, public health, and the natural environment, as well as meet federal, state, and local regulations.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-308 Road projects and programs shall be implemented in ways that avoid or minimize negative impacts, as well as seek to provide positive benefits, for Black, Indigenous, and other ((p)) People of ((e)) Color ((,)) ; immigrants; refugees; and other intersectional populations, including ((low-income communities)) those who earn less than 80 percent of the area median income, people with disabilities, seniors, LGBTQIA+ people, women, people ((with limited English proficiency)) speaking a language(s) other than English, ((immigrant and refugee populations)) and others who may have limited transportation options, such as students ((,)) and youth ((, seniors, and people with disabilities)) . ((Projects and programs shall seek to provide tangible, positive benefits.))	Substantive change	In response to scope item: Advance County investment upstream, where needs are greatest and in partnership with communities that are most directly impacted, such as communities of color...	Improved consideration of priority equity populations when implementing road projects and programs, which can help improve equitable outcomes	Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	No	Ongoing
T-309 To facilitate the establishment of a safe and efficient traffic circulation network reflecting all transportation modes and to retain the availability of access to adjacent properties, the ((e)) County shall review and comment on the appropriate placement of new or major modified facilities or physical barriers, such as buildings, utilities, and surface water management facilities in or adjacent to road rights-of-way.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-310 ((State highway facilities and arterial roads are designed to accommodate higher traffic volumes, at higher speeds, than local roads. To protect residential neighborhoods from the impacts of pass through	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
traffic,)) Whenever possible, King County should design and operate roads to direct ((such)) pass-through traffic away from local roads and encourage such traffic to use highways or arterials ((whenever possible)), which are designed to accommodate higher traffic volumes at higher speeds.								
((T-311 The Department of Local Services has responsibility for development and maintenance of transportation facilities in County-owned road rights-of-way. Other right-of-way users must obtain approval from the department regarding projects, maintenance and other activities impacting the right-of-way.	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a legal requirement and does not need a policy to do it	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-312 Arterial Functional Classification, established in Appendix C of this plan, should be implemented through the specifications provided in the King County Road Design and Construction Standards. The Comprehensive Plan's Urban Growth Area boundary provides the distinction between urban and rural arterials.-))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is not policy direction and is rather more of a statement of facts. Moved to narrative.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-313 The King County((s)) ((f))Road ((d))Design and ((e))Construction ((s))Standards shall, to the extent practical and allowed by law, incorporate complete streets infrastructure to promote safe, cost-effective roads that ((encourage multimodal use,)) balance the health and safety needs of all road users and reflect the function of the road and the different needs of and service levels for the Urban Growth Area and Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.	Substantive change	Strengthen existing policy language to describes roads that meet the needs of all users as "complete." Reflects that a "complete" street will look different in a rural vs urban context. For example, shoulders may more appropriately meet the needs of rural users and the rural context than urban-type infrastructure.	Road designs that meet the needs of all road users; however, County construction or reconstruction of roads will be significantly limited by the road funding crisis.	Strategic Plan for Road Services King County Road Design and Construction Standards	Regulatory and Capital Projects	Existing King County Road Standards	No	Ongoing
T-315 King County should preserve its identified Heritage Corridors through context sensitive design, planning, and maintenance, as exemplars of historic and scenic character. ((The corridors include:	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removed language is not policy direction and is rather more of a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Cedarhurst Road/Westside Highway (Vashon Island), Dockton Road (Vashon-Maury Island), Green Valley Road (Auburn-Black Diamond), Issaquah-Fall City Road (Snoqualmie Valley), Old Cascade Scenic Highway (Stevens Pass), Osceola Loop (Enumclaw Plateau), Old Sunset Highway (Snoqualmie Pass), West Snoqualmie River Road (Snoqualmie Valley), and West Snoqualmie Valley Road/Carnation Farm Road (Snoqualmie Valley).) In-kind replacement of road and roadside features and the use of materials that complement the character of each corridor should be utilized to the extent that is practicable and meets safety needs. King County should encourage adjacent property owners, through outreach efforts, to similarly support the preservation of these corridors.		statement of facts. Moved to narrative.						
T-316 King County shall support and encourage the preservation and enhancement of scenic, historic, and recreational resources along the designated Washington Scenic and Recreational Highways located in the county((, including I-90 (Mountains to Sound Greenway), US 2 (Stevens Pass Greenway), State Route 410 (Chinook Pass Scenic Byway), and State Route 202 (Cascade Valleys Scenic Byway))). The corridor management plans established for these highways should be considered in the development and implementation of King County's plans, projects, and programs.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removed language is not policy direction and is rather more of a statement of facts. Moved to narrative.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-317 King County shall plan, design, and implement services, programs, and facilities for the King County International Airport ((in compliance with Federal Aviation Administration regulatory requirements)) to support a safe, secure, and efficient global aerospace system.	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a legal requirement and does not need to be stated in a policy	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-317b King County and King County International Airport planning efforts shall: a. <u>Promote coordinated planning and effective management to optimize the movement of people and goods in the region's aviation system in a manner that minimizes health, air quality, and noise impact to the community, especially frontline communities;</u>	New policy	To implement new direction in VISION 2050 and the 2021 Countywide Planning Policies	More equitable aviation system, with improved efficiencies and planning	Multicounty Planning Policy MPP-T-28 and Countywide Planning Policy T-17	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
b. <u>Consider demand management alternatives as future aviation growth needs are analyzed, recognizing capacity constraints at existing facilities and the time and resources necessary to build new ones; and</u> c. <u>Support the ongoing process of development of a new commercial aviation facility in Washington State.</u>								
T-319 King County shall ((encourage all airports located in the county, whether owned by a public or private entities, to be responsible neighbors and make all reasonable efforts to minimize noise impacts on sensitive land uses such as residences, hospitals, and schools)) work with airports, federal agencies that oversee flight operations, local jurisdictions, community-based partners, and others to advance health equity and racial and social justice by mitigating exposure to noise and other airport-related harm.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented to appropriate King County role; clarifies applicable parties; and advance equity goals, including those beyond just noise	Improved health outcomes	Multicounty Planning Policy MPP-T-28 and Countywide Planning Policy T-17	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
T-320 Transportation improvements should be designed, built, and operated to minimize air, water, and noise pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and the disruption of natural surface water drainage in compliance with provisions and requirements of applicable federal, state, and local environmental regulations. Natural and historic resource protection should also be considered. Particular care should be taken to minimize impacts where the location of such facilities could increase the pressure for development in critical areas or the Rural Area(€) and Natural Resource Lands.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-320a King County should proactively identify barriers to fish passage created by existing County roads and prioritize multiple benefit solutions that enhance high-priority habitats and address critical roadway maintenance and preservation needs.	New policy	Affirm County's commitment to clean water and healthy habitat. Most barriers to salmon habitat are metal or concrete culverts that were installed below roads (and trails, and railroads) since the 19th century so that	Improved habitat access for salmon population	n/a	Capital projects	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		streams could flow underneath. The design of older culverts prevent fish from swimming through them. This policy reflects on-going collaboration between the County's Water and Land Resource Division and the Road Services Division to restore access to high-quality habitat at a faster pace and identifying projects that meet multiple objectives.						
T-322 Through its own actions and through regional partnerships, King County ((will)) shall promote strategies to reduce emissions from the transportation sector. The ((e)) County ((will)) shall promote new vehicle technologies, the use of low-carbon fuels, and strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, including land use changes, investment in equitable transit-oriented development, provision of transit, promotion of ((nonmotorized travel)) active transportation, joint purchasing, pilot projects, and actions to reduce vehicle miles traveled.	Substantive change	Updated to reflect to align with Strategic Climate Action Plan priorities Clarifying edits: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	More transit-oriented development, which can reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Actions GHG 4.5.1 and GHG 2.7.2.	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	No	Ongoing
T-322a King County shall increase the share of its fleet that are electric vehicles, as guided by County policies and the Strategic Climate Action Plan, or successor plans.	New policy	To advance goals in the Strategic Climate Action Plan and Ordinance 19052. "Shall increase" is a statement that is	Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from County operations	Strategic Climate Action Plan Ordinance 19052	Capital projects	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		currently true, and will continue to hold true over time. "As guided by" provides appropriate flexibility as SCAP or other policies may change over time.						
T-323 King County ((will)) shall strive to become a world leader in the use of transportation fuels and technologies that reduce operational greenhouse gas emissions from its fleets and vessels. King County ((will)) shall achieve this goal by buying ((hybrid-electric,)) electric, zero-emission, and other clean transportation technologies <u>when feasible to meet operational needs</u> ; using clean fuels in its fleets and vessels; implementing demonstration projects that use alternative fuels and technologies; purchasing locally ((-)) produced energy sources when practical; seeking local and federal support to expand the use of low-carbon fuels and alternative, zero ((-)) -emission technologies; and promoting best practices, innovations, ((trends)) and developments in transportation fuels and technologies. The ((e)) County ((will)) shall also seek to deploy and use its vehicles in an energy-efficient manner through vehicle routing, idling-reduction, and operator practices.	Substantive change	To support Strategic Climate Action Plan goals and reflect County intent to purchase of electric/zero emission vehicles "Trends" is removed, as they are premature for being promoted. Clarifying edits: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	Increasing use of zero-emission vehicles must meet operational need of County departments to be purchased /used.	Strategic Climate Action Plan Performance Measures GHG 9 and GHG 10	Capital projects	n/a	No	Ongoing
T-324 King County ((will)) shall incorporate climate change impacts information into <u>the</u> construction, operations, and maintenance of <u>transportation</u> infrastructure projects ((The department will incorporate climate change)) and into its transportation planning and design documents ((and also)) . <u>The County shall develop and implement strategies to incorporate climate change response into the design and operations of its transportation structures and services, where feasible.</u>	Substantive change	Adding implementation to strengthen policy beyond just development of strategies; but need to include feasibility caveat, as it is not always possible to fully address climate resiliency in all	Transportation system is more resilient to climate change	Strategic Climate Action Plan	Programmatic and Capital Projects	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		infrastructure projects. Clarifying edits: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Edits for streamlining/clarity						
T-324a King County ((will)) shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions from its off-road vehicles and equipment by using low-carbon fuels and advanced technologies, and by partnering with other agencies to implement demonstration projects using these vehicle technologies.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-325 King County ((will develop methods to)) shall periodically evaluate ((the)) climate change impacts ((of its actions and)) related to transportation infrastructure and services, and ((will)) implement climate ((sensitive)) change strategies and practices consistent with ((the)) its environmental sustainability goals and policies ((described in Chapter 5, Environment, as well as existing)) and state, regional, and local plans, laws, and regulations.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Edits for streamlining/clarity and to reflect current practice (we've already developed the methods; we're now implementing them)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((T-326 To the extent practicable, future expansion or redevelopment of the county's road stormwater infrastructure should minimize pollutant discharges and flow alterations by mimicking the natural drainage	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removed as this is a requirement and policy is not needed. The Surface Water	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
system or preserving the ability to create such a system in the future.))		Design Manual appropriately reflects this.						
T-327 King County supports expansion of private electric vehicle use and the necessary charging infrastructure, including opportunities to improve equitable access to the benefits of electric vehicle and geographically dispersed access to public vehicle charging at King County-owned facilities and at partner locations.	New policy	Supports goal of increasing support for electric vehicles countywide, especially for frontline communities	Increased electric vehicles use, which can decrease greenhouse gas emissions	Strategic Climate Action Plan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-401 Financial investments in transportation should support a sustainable((;)) transportation system, consistent with the priorities established in ((the King County Strategic Plan and)) each department and division's strategic plan or other functional plans.	Technical change	Strategic Plan no longer exists	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-402 King County should fund services, operations, and capital facilities that support local and regional transportation and land use goals and result in a ((balanced,)) sustainable, equitable, affordable, safe, and efficient multimodal transportation system.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Balanced" was an inaccurate word; replaced with language reflecting Puget Sound Regional Council Regional Transportation Plan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-403 The unincorporated county road system provides transportation connections for large numbers of users that travel through the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands to reach adjoining cities, other counties, or regional destinations. King County should ((seek)) pursue and support regional planning and funding sources that ((could be used to repair and maintain the arterial system)) recognize the interdependent, cross-jurisdictional nature of the region's transportation system, including impacts of urban development on the rural area transportation network.	Substantive change	Response to scope item: Review policies, regulations, and programs related to transportation improvements and access in the rural area, including mitigation of impacts of urban development on the rural area transportation network. Reinforces regional planning and	Continued collaboration with jurisdictions throughout the region to develop regional solutions to regional transportation and funding problems. Improved funding of the county road system - particularly on the corridors used by urban travelers to pass through the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands -	Puget Sound Regional Council Regional Transportation Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		regional funding as solution to urban impacts on rural road system, not infeasible access restrictions or development-level mitigation.	would benefit the residents of the unincorporated areas who rely on the roadways as well as the urban through-travelers.					
T-406 King County shall continually work to improve the efficiency of its operations and delivery of projects and services ((in order)) to minimize the need for new revenue sources.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-408 To help finance transportation services, infrastructure, and facility improvements, King County should leverage partnership opportunities, grants, and other cooperative funding mechanisms and shall maximize its efforts to obtain other federal and state funding ((to help finance transportation services, infrastructure, and facility improvements)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for streamlining/clarity; consolidates T-409	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((T-409 King County shall maximize its efforts to obtain federal and state funding for its transportation services, infrastructure and facility improvements.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in T-408	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-501 King County should pursue regional coordination and partnership to address county((-))wide transportation challenges.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-504 King County should work with <u>state agencies</u> the Puget Sound Regional Council and its members to ensure that any regional projected aviation capacity problems, and the air transportation needs of the region's residents and economy are addressed in a ((timely)) manner that is <u>timely and reflects the County's land use plans, transportation plans, and infrastructure capacity.</u>	Substantive change	Emphasizes County support for aviation solutions that respect and reflect County plans and infrastructure. Provides additional guidance relevant to the State's on-going efforts to address aviation capacity in the region.	Aviation needs - and any new needed aviation facilities - are appropriate to the local context and infrastructure capacity	King County Road Design Standards Comprehensive Plan land use standards	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-505 King County shall support active management of state-owned freeways to optimize movement of people. High((-))-Occupancy Vehicle, High((-))-	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Occupancy Toll, or Express Toll lanes should be managed to prioritize reliable speed advantage for transit and vanpools, and maintain a reliable speed advantage for the other high(-)-occupancy-vehicles consistent with the State's High-Occupancy(-) Vehicle lane minimum performance standard.								
T-507 King County should collaborate with the Puget Sound Regional Council, cities and other affected agencies to develop a regional parking strategy consistent with the parking pricing and management recommendations of ((Transportation 2040)) the Regional Transportation Plan, or successor plans.	Technical change	Reflects current plan name	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-507a King County should collaborate with the Puget Sound Regional Council, cities, and other agencies to improve interjurisdictional coordination on active transportation and Regional Trail infrastructure including bicycle/pedestrian facilities. The County should support efforts to maintain comprehensive information about existing and planned facilities, model plans and best practices, and grant opportunities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to incorporate Regional Trails for clarity, as there might be additional trail-specific considerations beyond just active transportation	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-507b King County ((shall support and participate in collaborative planning efforts – both inter-departmentally and)), in coordination with ((other)) federal, state, and other local agencies ((–to)), shall develop the ((Eastside Rail-C)) Eastrail corridor in ways that enhance multimodal mobility and connectivity, with a commitment to dual use (recreational trail and public transportation), consistent with federal railbanking. Planning and development should consider opportunities for integration of multimodal facilities, including regional trails, into the greater transportation network.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for streamlining/clarity; reflect current name	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-508 The King County transportation system should support reliable and efficient movement of goods throughout the county, while minimizing the impacts of freight traffic on general purpose traffic and residential neighborhoods. The ((e))County should participate in regional efforts and partnerships to achieve these goals.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
T-510a King County should work with <u>regional public and private partners</u> ((and stakeholders)) to plan for and develop adequate truck parking in high-demand locations along King County's Truck Freight Economic Corridors to improve safety and reduce negative impacts on local communities. Development of truck parking should be supportive of technologies that reduce greenhouse gases, such as electric charging, energy efficiency, and biodiesel.	Technical change	Updates to current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-511 King County should provide <u>accessible, culturally((-))_appropriate, timely, accurate, and consistent public information about transportation services, infrastructure, and funding issues, and ensure a wide range of opportunities for input and engagement with county residents, including ((low income communities,)) Black, Indigenous, and other ((p))People of ((e))Color((,)); immigrants; refugees; and other intersectional populations, including those who earn less than 80 percent of area median income, people with disabilities, seniors, LGBTQIA+ people, women, people ((with limited English proficiency)) speaking a language(s) other than English, ((immigrant and refugee populations)) and other ((stakeholders)) affected community members.</u>	Substantive change	In response to scope item: 1) Advance County investment upstream, where needs are greatest and in partnership with communities that are most directly impacted, such as communities of color...; and 2) Improve process equity to support full and equal participation in County planning and decision-making by all community members, implement Countywide Planning Policy requirements mandates for community engagement, and integrate and align with King County equitable	Improved knowledge of transportation services, infrastructure and funding issues among priority equity community members and improved understanding of priority equity community members' needs and knowledge to inform County transportation activities.	Metro Connects Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		engagement best practices						
T-512 King County should actively engage the public and other appropriate ((stakeholders)) parties, such as the community service areas constituencies, community groups, ((and subarea transportation forums)) elected officials, and jurisdictions throughout the region, in transportation planning processes and plan updates.	Substantive change	Reflect current terminology and the broader scope of engagement with elected officials (beyond limited engagement with subarea forums), consistent with current practice	Improved engagement and community input into transportation planning processes and plan updates.	Metro Connects	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
T-513 King County Metro Transit's engagement should follow guidance in Metro's Strategic Plan for Public Transportation, Service Guidelines, and Metro Connects, or successor plans, to prioritize equity, involve communities in upstream decisions, and build lasting relationships with community partners.	New policy	In response to scope item: 1) Advance County investment upstream, where needs are greatest and in partnership with communities that are most directly impacted, such as communities of color...; and 2) Improve process equity to support full and equal participation in County planning and decision-making by all community members, implement Countywide Planning Policy requirements mandates for community engagement, and integrate and align	Metro's investments reflect community needs and priorities, making Metro's decision-making more transparent, and supporting Metro and partners in identifying opportunities to better coordinate to meet needs. Service concepts, capital plans, programs, and policies are built from the ground up with the communities they are intended to serve. More opportunities to understand community priorities, learn about community-led efforts, explore opportunities for partnership and co-creation, enabling	Metro's Strategic Plan for Public Transportation Service Guidelines Metro Connects	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		with King County equitable engagement best practices	staff and leaders to respond to their needs, building trust, and providing better opportunities to break down silos when involving communities in work across Metro divisions, County departments, and agencies.					

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities								
F-101 King County, the cities, special purpose districts ((or)) , and local service providers shall plan as partners. King County's planning ((will)) shall focus on unclaimed urban unincorporated areas and cities' Potential Annexation Areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Other edits for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-101a King County agencies ((will)) shall engage communities in a culturally ((-)) and audience-appropriate manner, including language access. King County agencies ((will)) shall also engage communities that are most affected by proposed projects.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Consolidates F-101b Other edits for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-101b — King County shall adhere to the Executive Order on Written Language Translation Process and other applicable policies for those with limited English proficiency.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-101a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-102 King County shall work with cities, special purpose districts, other local service providers, and residents to ((identify and distinguish)) provide local, countywide, and regional services. ((Over time,)) As cities ((will)) assume primary responsibility for coordinating the provision of local services delivery in urban areas ((- in general)) , the ((e)) County ((will)) shall continue to provide local services delivery within the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, consistent with rural standards and needs. Special purpose districts may still provide services, where appropriate. The ((e)) County ((will)) shall also assume primary responsibility for coordinating the provision of	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Consolidates F-107 Other edits for clarity, current	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
countywide services, including countywide services that must be delivered within city boundaries. The ((e))County ((will)) shall also work with cities, special purpose districts, and other counties to identify regional service and facility needs and develop strategies to provide them.		context, and grammar						
F-103 King County ((will)) shall provide or manage countywide services which include but are not limited to: a. Affordable housing; b. Economic development; c. Flood warning and flood hazard management; d. Harborview Hospital; e. Hazardous waste management; f. Human services; g. Protection and preservation of natural resource lands; h. Public health; i. Regional law and criminal ((justice)) legal services; j. Regional park, trails and open space systems; k. Regional wastewater collection ((and)), treatment, and ((reclamation)) resource recovery; l. Solid waste management, including recycling; m. Stormwater management; n. Transit; and o. Water resource management.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Other edits for grammar and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-107 King County will, in cooperation with special purpose districts or local service providers, continue to plan for and provide public services to the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, consistent with rural standards and needs.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-102	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-108 ((To support the intent of the Growth Management Act,)) King County should work with cities and other service providers to establish priority areas for public funding of capital facilities, services, and infrastructure.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removed unnecessary language; all of the Comprehensive Plan supports the intent of the Growth Management Act	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-108a King County should address ((historic)) <u>historical</u> inequities and ((disadvantaged)) <u>historically underserved</u> communities both in rural and unincorporated urban areas in determining the priority areas for public funding of capital facilities, services, and infrastructure.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Grammar and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-201 All facilities and services should be provided in compliance with provisions and requirements of the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Water Act and the Growth Management Act.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is already a requirement and is not needed as a policy; it also does not capture the wide variety of laws that must be followed.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-202 King County should seek to ((create quality communities)) <u>support a high quality of life for county residents</u> by ((defining)) <u>identifying</u> the needs and proposing strategies for a full range of public facilities and services, including physical infrastructure and health, human and public safety services. King County should strive to provide an adequate and equitable supply ((and appropriate level)) of public facilities necessary to support all communities <u>at appropriate urban and rural service levels.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-202a Results from ((the King County E)) <u>equity</u> ((+)) <u>impact</u> ((R)) <u>reviews</u> ((Tool will)) <u>shall</u> be used as an important consideration in evaluating funding, <u>capital project</u> , and service delivery decisions, and the County's equity and racial and social justice principles <u>should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current terminology: "equity impact review" is proposed to be defined in the Comprehensive Plan and provide flexibility for how the current Equity Impact Review Tool might change over time. "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		what is anticipated to happen Consolidation of F-221a, F-243a, and F-271b Other edits for grammar and to reflect current terminology.						
F-203 ((When service providers are planning and designing facilities,)) King County should ((encourage them to use sustainable development practices to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions in new buildings by 2030)) work with service providers to implement incentives that encourage green building, such as financial and development incentives, and allow more people to access healthier buildings with reduced utility bills.	Substantive change	To direct county work supporting community scale adoption of green building standards	Authorizes and supports King County staff to assist at community scale adoption of green building standards	Strategic Climate Action Plan GHG 4.3 and actions GHG 4.3.1	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-204 King County should work with the cities, special purpose districts and other service providers to define regional and local services and to determine the appropriate providers of these services.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Duplicative of F-101 and F-102	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-205 King County shall work with its neighboring counties, the state, Puget Sound Regional Council, special purpose districts, ports and the cities to identify areas of shared need and adequate land supply for public facilities. The county's capital acquisition budget shall reflect the jointly agreed upon priorities and time schedule.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to F-212a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-206 Public and private community service providers should be encouraged ((, as appropriate,)) to share or reuse facilities when appropriate to reduce costs, conserve land and provide convenience, access and amenity for the public and to reduce the generation of greenhouse gasses. Joint siting and shared use of facilities should be encouraged for schools, community centers, health facilities, cultural facilities, libraries, swimming pools, and other social and recreational facilities. Sharing of facilities may include providing	Clarification of existing policy intent	"As appropriate" is covered by the Comprehensive Plan definition of "should"	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
meeting space that can be accessed by the community, as appropriate.								
F-206a King County should make its public facilities and properties available for the following, when such use is compatible with the primary public use of the facility: a. ((f)) Renewable energy production ((when such use is compatible with the primary use of the facility)) , with particular emphasis on benefits to King County ratepayers and communities, such as Community Solar programs; and b. Use as a P-patch or community garden.	Substantive change	Sub-a expands considerations for siting renewable energy infrastructure on County-owned facilities/properties to support that the benefits of the energy generation goes back to the tax/rate payers that funded that facility/property, consistent with current planning work at landfill sites Sub-b consolidates E-207, with restructure for clarity and to remove redundant language present in both policies	Co-beneficial outcomes for both the County and community	n/a	Capital Project and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-207 King County should make its public facilities or properties available for use as a P-patch or community garden when such use is compatible with the primary public use of the facility.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-206a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-208 Public spending to support growth should be directed to the Urban Growth Area and to maintain existing ((unincorporated)) infrastructure in the unincorporated area, and should be prioritized through the Capital Facility Plan to comply with the concurrency requirements of the Growth Management Act.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-209 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, services provided by agencies ((should)) shall support a rural level of development and support service that meets the needs of the community and not facilitate urbanization.	Substantive change	Strengthened to a "shall" to align with current practice and other related rural service	No change; reflects current mandates and practices	n/a	Capital Project and Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		requirements in the Growth Management Act and the Comprehensive Plan						
F-209a King County ((will)) shall provide or manage local services for unincorporated areas, which include but are not limited to: a. ((Building)) Development permits; b. District Court; c. Economic Development; d. Land use regulation; e. Law enforcement; f. Local parks; g. Roads; h. Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands management assistance; and i. Surface water management.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Sub-a is updated to reflect existing intent (the County must provide for processing of all development permits, not just building permits)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-209b) King County's local economic development services are provided in Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands through the Rural Economic Strategies Plan and in unincorporated urban areas through joint partnerships with cities, including annexation and governance transition services.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to Chapter 10 Economic Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-210a King County shall engage in ongoing facilities planning to ensure that it has sufficient work space to meet its operational needs on a going-forward basis. Facilities planning shall include an assessment of current facilities and future needs and shall promote equity, economic and operational efficiencies, and environmental sustainability. This facilities planning policy shall be implemented through the Real Property Asset Management Plan((, which shall be updated at least every four years, but may also be updated, in whole or in part, when proposals with significant impacts on county facilities are made. F-210b Consistent with K.C.C. 20.12.100, the Real Property Asset Management Plan shall consist of real property asset management policies, practices and strategies, including planning policies, locations of county agencies and implementation plans, planned moves and references to King County space standards.)) The Real Property Asset Management Plan shall guide facility planning processes, decisions, and implementation.	Clarification of existing policy intent	F-210a and F-210b are combined and streamlined, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-210c King County shall take into account the equity and racial and social justice opportunities for capital investments within a community when siting a facility or changing locations to improve service delivery.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-212 King County's capital facility plans (should) shall identify financing strategies to support (its) the land use assumptions in the Comprehensive Plan, including adopted (20-year) growth targets (and land use plan) and allocated housing needs.	Substantive change	Strengthened to a "shall" to reflect Growth Management Act mandates. Updated to align with the Countywide Planning Policies	Ensures there are sufficient services to support both growth targets and zoned capacity for housing needs	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
(F-205) F-212a King County shall work with its neighboring counties, the state, Puget Sound Regional Council, special purpose districts, ports, and the cities to identify areas of shared need and adequate land supply for public facilities. The (c) County's capital	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated and edited for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
acquisition budget shall reflect the jointly agreed-upon priorities and time schedule.								
F-213 King County's capital improvement program shall demonstrate that projected needs for facilities and services can be met within the Urban Growth Area and can be served in compliance with the concurrency requirements of the Growth Management Act ((or, if)), If that is not possible, King County shall determine where and when deficits may occur and how needed facilities and services might be phased in and((-))or financed to serve such deficit areas. Alternative phasing and financing strategies must be identified and determined to be infeasible prior to triggering a land use and zoning reassessment under Policy ((F-223)) F-221.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edited for clarity, grammar, and policy number changes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-214 School districts that choose to have the ((e))County collect impact fees for them, and water and sewer utilities that provide their services to unincorporated King County, shall prepare capital facility plans consistent with requirements of the Growth Management Act, the Countywide Planning Policies and King County Code.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-215 Provision of an adequate supply of kindergarten through twelfth grade public schools and public school facilities is essential to avoid overcrowding and to enhance the educational opportunities for children. King County shall adopt regulations that are supportive of the permitting of kindergarten through ((twelfth)) 12th grade public schools and facilities in a manner consistent with the goals of the Growth Management Act and as provided in policies R-326 and R-327.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-215a King County should plan to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions associated with new residential and commercial buildings built in King County by ((2030)) 2031.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with Strategic Climate Action Plan performance measure GHG 18	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-215b King County shall strive to provide services and build and operate public buildings and infrastructure that are ((carbon neutral)) fossil fuel free and to eliminate fossil fuels in new construction.	Substantive change	To support the County efforts to have fossil fuel free facilities, not just	Improved progression towards fossil fuel free facilities goal	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Actions 3.17.1 and 3.16.2	Programmatic	n/a	Yes	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		being carbon neutral, in alignment with the Strategic Climate Action Plan						
F-216 King County capital facilities and ((e))County-funded projects should be designed and constructed using sustainable development practices, with consideration for long-term environmental and economic sustainability.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-217 All eligible King County new capital projects shall ((plan for and should)) achieve Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Platinum certification level using the LEED rating system or the Sustainable Infrastructure Scorecard, or achieve the highest certification level using an approved alternative rating system((, and apply minimum performance standards when the incremental cost impacts do not exceed the maximums allowed by King County code)).	Substantive change	To align with current requirements	No change; reflects existing mandates and practice	Strategic Climate Action Plan Green Building focus area	Regulatory and Capital Projects	Existing code in K.C.C. 18.17.050	No	Ongoing
F-217a All eligible King County major remodels and renovations shall ((plan for and should)) achieve LEED Gold certification level using the LEED rating system or the Sustainable Infrastructure Scorecard, or achieve a similar certification level using an approved alternative rating system((, and apply minimum performance standards when the incremental cost impacts do not exceed the maximums allowed by King County code)).	Substantive change	To align with current requirements	No change; reflects existing mandates and practice	Strategic Climate Action Plan Green Building focus area	Regulatory and Capital Projects	Existing code in K.C.C. 18.17.050	No	Ongoing
F-217c All King County capital programs ((will)) shall evaluate their project portfolio for opportunities to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions through programs such as the Living Building challenge, Living Communities Challenge, Net Zero Energy, Envision, or EcoDistrict.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Other edits for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-217e King County ((will)) shall increase water efficiency and conservation, and reduce purchased water consumption through appropriate and economically feasible reuse of wastewater effluent, recycled water, stormwater, and harvested rainwater.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		what is anticipated to happen Other edits for grammar						
F-221 ((King County shall consider the initiation of a subarea study, or other corrective action, with any)) If a service provider ((that)) declares, through their capital facilities plan, an inability to accommodate projected service needs inside their service area, King County and the service provider shall remedy the deficiency through a joint planning process addressing capital improvement programs, long-term funding strategies, or other appropriate corrective actions. If those actions cannot resolve the deficiency, King County shall not allow for expansion of the service provider's service area and shall consider regulations to mitigate the effect of the deficiency.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case, this is not a land use study.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		<p>This is about efforts to resolve emergent service delivery issues. So, a general study that would be defined on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the issue and the associated service provider is more appropriate. The existing policy language was also vague regarding what the study was for; so that is also clarified here.</p> <p>Consolation of F-223</p> <p>Other clarifying edits to reflect existing intent</p>						
((F-221a ——— Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration in evaluation funding and service delivery decisions when needed to address service deficiencies.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to F-202a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-222 King County and ((its)) the cities in King County should coordinate planning for health and human service facilities and services. County investments in health and human service facilities should be targeted primarily to the designated Urban Centers and secondarily to other locations in the Urban Growth Area and Rural Towns.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Its" inaccurately implies ownership of/jurisdiction over the cities by the County	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-223 If a service deficiency is identified in a service provider's existing service area, King County and the applicable service provider shall remedy the deficiency through a joint planning process addressing capital	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-221	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
improvement programs and long-term funding strategies. If financing and level of service remedies cannot solve the deficiency, King County shall not allow for expansion of the service provider's service area and shall consider regulations to mitigate the effect of the deficiency.								
F-224 As part of pre-annexation or annexation agreements, King County shall work with the cities to create a financing partnership for areas of the Urban Growth Area that the cities will annex. This includes determining county/regional and city/municipal facilities and services and then committing to a shared financing strategy to build or provide these infrastructure improvements or services.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect existing intent and current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-225a King County should (consider) identify, strengthen, and support equitable discount or low-rate service fees (for) to reduce cost burden on households with low(-) incomes (households) .	Substantive change	Strengthened to support equity goals, consistent with current practice (such as ORCA fares, solid waste fees, sewer extensions, solar/heat pumps)	Reduced barriers for residents with low incomes	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Action SRFC 7.1.1	Regulatory and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-227 King County and neighboring counties (, if advantageous to both,) should share essential public facilities to increase efficiency of operation (, Efficiency of operation should take into account), including consideration of the overall value of the essential public facility to the region and the county and (the extent to which, if properly mitigated,) whether expansion of an existing essential public facility (located in the county) might be more economical and environmentally sound.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-228 King County should strive to site essential public facilities equitably so that no racial, cultural, or socio-economic group is (unduly) disproportionately impacted by or benefits from essential public facility siting or expansion decisions. No single community should absorb an inequitable share of these facilities and their impacts. An assessment of existing facilities should be conducted when siting new facilities. Siting (will) shall consider equity (,); environmental justice;	Substantive change	Updated to align with Countywide Planning Policies Other edits for clarity and grammar; "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be	Reduction in negative impacts and improved outcomes for priority populations resulting from essential public facilities	Countywide Planning Policy PF-24	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
and environmental, economic, technical, and service area factors. Communities with a disproportionate share of existing facilities should be actively engaged in the planning and siting process for new facilities. The net impact of siting new essential public facilities should be weighed against the net impact of expansion of existing essential public facilities, with appropriate buffering and mitigation. Essential public facilities that directly serve the public beyond their general vicinity shall be discouraged from locating in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.		directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.						
F-230 Siting analysis for proposed new or expansions to existing essential public facilities shall consist of the following: a. An inventory of similar existing essential public facilities in King County and neighboring counties, including their locations and capacities; b. A forecast of the future needs for the essential public facility; c. An analysis of the potential social, equity, health, and economic impacts and benefits and burdens to ((jurisdictions and local)) communities receiving or surrounding the facilities; d. An analysis of the proposal's consistency with policies F-226 through F-229; e. An analysis of alternatives to the facility, including decentralization, conservation, demand management, and other strategies; f. An analysis of economic and environmental impacts, including mitigation, of any existing essential public facility, as well as of any new site(s) under consideration as an alternative to expansion of an existing facility; g. <u>An analysis of potential climate change impacts on the essential public facility, including consideration of sea level rise, and options for reducing climate change impacts on the facility, including locating the facility outside of the mapped 500-year floodplain along the marine shoreline (unless water-dependent, such as wastewater treatment facilities and associated conveyance infrastructure);</u>	Substantive change	Updated to align with Countywide Planning Policies Other edits for clarity and current terminology	More equitable and healthy outcomes for impacted communities and improved essential public facility climate change resiliency	Countywide Planning Policies PF-24, PF-25, and EN-31	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	No	2025

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
h. Extensive public involvement which strives to effectively engage a wide range of racial, ethnic, cultural, and socio-economic group, including communities that are the most impacted; ((h-)) i. Consideration of any applicable prior review conducted by a public agency, local government, or ((stakeholder group)) interested parties; and ((i-)) j. To the extent allowable under the Growth Management Act, the locational criteria in policies R-326 and R-327.								
F-230a For existing essential public facilities, King County should considerer potential impacts from climate change and identify and implement actions to improve resiliency and mitigate for impacts, including consideration of potential long-term relocation of facilities that are in the mapped 500-year floodplain along the marine shoreline (unless water-dependent, such as wastewater treatment facilities and associated conveyance infrastructure).	New policy	Updated to align with Countywide Planning Policies	Improved essential public facility climate change resiliency	Countywide Planning Policy EN-31	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-231 King County supports coordination of regional water supply planning, sales of excess water supplies among municipalities in the region, water quality programs and water conservation, reuse and recycled water programs. This regional planning should support King County's goals of focusing growth in the Urban Growth Area and ensuring water availability for resource lands.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to F-241a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-232 Water utilities that obtain water from, or distribute water in unincorporated King County, and water utilities formed as special purpose districts under Title 57 Revised Code of Washington are required to submit water system plans to the ((e)) County for review and approval and shall describe in their plans how they intend to meet their duty to provide service within their retail service areas, and generally how they plan to meet water service needs in their future service.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-234 In the Urban Growth Area, individual private wells are not permitted unless application of Policy F-233 to a proposal for a single ((family residence)) detached home on an individual lot would deny all reasonable use of the property. In that case, the well	Technical change	Current terminology and correction	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
would be allowed only as an interim facility until service by a public water system can be provided. The individual well must meet the criteria of the King County Board of Health Code Title 13.								
F-235 ((In the Urban Growth Area, i)) If an existing Group A water provider cannot provide direct or indirect service to new development under Policy F-233, a new public water system may be established if it is owned or operated by the following, in order of preference: a. By a satellite management agency approved by the State Department of Health under contract with the Group A system in whose service area the system is located, provided that the existing Group A water system remains responsible for meeting the duty to serve the new system under Revised Code of Washington 43.20.260; ((or)) b. ((By a satellite management agency)) If approved by both the State Department of Health and King County: i. In the urban area, by a satellite management agency; or ii. In the Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands, by a satellite management agency or an existing Group B system; then c. In the Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands, by the owners of the lots, which are provided water by a new Group A or B system if not within the service area of an existing Group A system or not within the area covered by a satellite management agency. Approval for any such system shall be conditioned for future ownership or management by a satellite management agency, when such service becomes available, and for periodic review of system operations, as required by Revised Code of Washington 70.119A.060. All new public water systems ((formed in the Urban Growth Area)) shall connect to the Group A water system in whose service area the new system is located when direct service becomes available. Such	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates F-236 and F-237	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
a connection shall be made by the homeowner or association in a timely and reasonable manner.								
F-236 ((In the Rural Area, King County land use and water service decisions support the long-term integrity of Rural Area ecosystems. Within the Rural Area, individual private wells, rainwater catchment, Group B water systems, and Group A water systems are all allowed. If an existing Group A water provider cannot provide direct or indirect service to new development per the exceptions in Policy F-233, a new public water system or private well may be established if it is owned or operated by the following, in order of preference: a. By a satellite management agency approved by the state Department of Health under contract with the Group A system in whose service area the system is located, provided that the existing Group A water system remains responsible for meeting the duty to serve the new system under Revised Code of Washington 43.20.260; and b. By a satellite management agency or an existing Group B system approved by both the State Department of Health and King County. If service cannot be obtained by means of the above stated options, then water service may be obtained by	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-235, with retention of existing well and rainwater catchment allowance if F-235 cannot be met	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
creation of a new system, use of private wells or rainwater catchment. All new public water systems formed in the Rural Area shall connect to the Group A water system in whose service area the new system is located when direct service becomes available.)) In the Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands, if water service cannot be obtained by the options provided in F-235, then use of private wells or rainwater catchment may be allowed.								
((F-237 New public water systems established in the Rural Area shall be owned and operated by the following, in order of preference: a. By the Group A public water system in whose service area the system is located, by direct service or satellite management by the Group A system; b. By a satellite management agency approved by the State Department of Health and providing service within the county and under contract with the Group A system if it is located in a Group A system service area; or c. By the owners of the lots, which are provided water by a new Group A or B system if not within the service area of an existing Group A system or not within the area covered by a satellite management agency. Approval for any such system shall be conditioned for future ownership or management by a satellite management agency, when such service becomes available, and for periodic review of system operations, as required by Revised Code of Washington 70.119A.060(2).))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-235	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy						
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change	
F-238 New subdivisions with more than six single(family) detached residential lots on Vashon-Maury Island and in basins with closed streams in the Rural Area (as defined in Chapters 173-507, 173-508, 173-509, 173-510, and 173-515 Washington Administrative Code ((173-507, 508, 509, 510, and 515))) may not be served by a potable water system using an exempt well, or a combination of multiple exempt wells. Exempt wells are allowed only in the Rural Area and only under the following circumstances: a. ((New subdivisions or short subdivisions with six or fewer lots; b.)) Except as otherwise provided in subsection ((e-)) b. of this policy only one exempt well per subdivision or short subdivision ((will)) may be permitted unless more than one exempt well is needed to meet the water flow requirements for the subdivision or short subdivision; ((e-)) b. Individual private wells may be used in a subdivision or short subdivision when all lots in the subdivision or short subdivision are twenty acres in area or larger; and ((e-)) c. New developments in the Rural Area served by one or more exempt wells shall not exceed one-half acre of irrigation of land area.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and grammar; renumbered sub-a is a permissive allowance, not a guaranteed permit approval	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
F-239 King County shall work with water service providers, the State Department of Ecology and the State Department of Health to ((track and measure)) ensure groundwater use and to meet the County's obligation to protect groundwater quality and quantity in Rural Areas, while supporting uses of groundwater that meet public health, resource protection, land use planning, and fish recovery objectives and obligations.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with current practice; there is not a practical/literal tracking and measuring of this, but the County does ensure protection through various action (in review of water system plans; permit review for compliance with water service protocols, stormwater	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		management, flood hazard requirements, protection of important habitat; Public Health services for on-site septic systems and older group B water systems; participation in the Watershed Restoration and Enhancement Committee process; salmon recovery planning; etc).						
((F-240 King County shall require any new or expanding Group B water system to have a totalizing source meter and make information from the meter available upon request of King County.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Not a County role; the State regulates this (WAC 246-291-200)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-241 King County shall encourage the adoption of state or local laws and codes to limit the construction of new exempt wells, <u>as well as encourage abandoning existing exempt wells</u> , within ((existing)) water utility service areas and promote the safe and timely decommissioning of wells no longer in service.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Emphasizes that existing exempt wells should also be abandoned when a home connects to a water system, to support the "safe and timely" decommissioning element later in the policy, consistent with existing intent; policy has been used previously in a Timely and Reasonable Service appeal to require the decommission of an existing well that	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		would be abandoned. "Existing" is unnecessary to state.						
F-234) F-241a King County supports coordination of regional water supply planning, sales of excess water supplies among municipalities in the region, water quality programs, and water conservation, reuse, and recycled water programs. This regional planning should support King County's goals of focusing growth in the Urban Growth Area and ensuring water availability for resource lands.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocation of F-231	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-242 King County <u>should</u> support((s)) initiation of a water planning process for the development of a regional or subregional water plan. ((The planning process should at a minimum cover all of King County, but may include a broader geographic area.)) The County ((will)) shall work in concert with water utilities, state agencies, Indian tribes, and other ((s that participate)) key partners. ((Key components of this planning process should include: a. _____ Involvement, oversight and support of elected officials in the region; b. _____ Meaningful public participation including the involvement of the state and federally recognized tribes; and c. _____ Recognition of, and making appropriate linkages with, other state, regional, or local planning processes.))	Substantive change	Reorient to policy direction rather than a factual statement Updated to reflect current context and County role: policy makes more sense as it relates to water utility planning; Coordinated Water System Plans (CWSPs) typically have a section addressing regional planning opportunities, and CWSPs fall under the purview of the chair of the Utilities Technical Review Committee. Given this, policy is reoriented to support the update of the CWSPs, which are	Improved water system planning	n/a	Regulatory and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		approximately 30 years old						
F-243 King County recognizes that a regional water planning process will be a collaborative process. King County's objectives for the process and a resulting plan are that it: a. Be consistent with, and support, growth management objectives and decisions made by local and regional jurisdictions under the Growth Management Act; b. Address the need for sufficient flows to achieve salmon recovery objectives of the approved regional recovery plan for species listed under the Endangered Species Act, and recognize Indian tribal water rights; c. Be consistent with and support the approved water quality and quantity strategies adopted by the region, local governments, and other responsible entities (such as water utilities) in compliance with federal requirements under the Clean Water Act, Safe Drinking Water Act, and other authorities relevant to water quantity and quality; d. Include provisions for the efficient use of water, including recycled water; e. Consider the impacts of climate change on water demand and supply; f. Address the water needs of other specific sectors of the local economy, including agriculture and other industries with significant water uses; g. Include, to the extent possible, assigned accountability for implementing conservation and developing new supplies and related infrastructure; and h. Identify, and develop a strategy for, any legislative changes necessary or desirable to implement the plan.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
((F-243a Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration to identify and assess the impacts of proposed service changes, and the county's Equity and	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-202a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Social Justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.								
F-244 King County shall participate in the development of a regional water supply plan or plans addressing potable water supply service by multiple water purveyors to ensure that uses of recycled water intended to augment or replace potable water supplies will be considered in the development of any such plans, and for such other purposes as are authorized in the underlying authority for such a plan. King County's participation in the development of such plans shall be carried out in accordance with Revised Code of Washington 90.46.120, and pursuant to processes provided in the underlying planning authority.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to F-242, F-243, RCW 90.46.120, and K.C.C. 13.24.010 and 13.24.060	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-245 Prior to initiation of any process to develop a regional water plan as described in Policy F-243, King County shall work with utilities to conduct a joint assessment of the state of water planning and coordination in the region. Such an assessment should identify where current planning and coordination efforts by and among water utilities address County interests and where there are gaps. The assessment should be used to guide any efforts related to development of a regional water plan.	Substantive change	It's not necessary to conduct a joint assessment prior to the initiation of a regional plan; it could be completed as part of the plan development process	Streamlined planning process	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-246 King County supports interties that allow the transfer of water resources among water utilities to meet the projected demands for growth where such interties meet the requirements of Revised Code of Washington 90.03.383 and are also consistent with any applicable locally adopted comprehensive plans, regional water supply plans, adopted groundwater management plans, watershed plans, approved Coordinated Water System Plans, Endangered Species Act response requirements and Clean Water Act requirements.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Policies for interties are unnecessary. Water purveyors construct interties with neighboring systems for emergency and wholesale purchase, depending on the circumstance. WAC 246-290-100 requires water system plans (WSPs) to address the system's water system supply, including a reliability	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		analysis. The WSP is also required to address how the system would respond to a water shortage; this is where a WSP would reference agreements/interties with neighboring purveyors.						
F-247 — King County supports the development of appropriate regional water intertie capital projects, subject to approval from appropriate local, state, and federal agencies and consistent with Policy F-246.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Policies for interties are unnecessary. Water purveyors construct interties with neighboring systems for emergency and wholesale purchase, depending on the circumstance. WAC 246-290-100 requires water system plans (WSPs) to address the system's water system supply, including a reliability analysis. The WSP is also required to address how the system would respond to a water shortage; this is where a WSP would reference agreements/interties with neighboring purveyors.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-249 ((Utilities with more than one thousand service connections required to submit water system plans for	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, consistent with	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
approval to King County shall include an evaluation of recycled water use opportunities by completing King County's Water Reclamation Evaluation Checklist.) Water system plans for large purveyors shall include an evaluation of recycled water use opportunities.		existing intent and current practice						
F-250 King County shall ((encourage)) allow local developers with new projects in unincorporated King County to ((explore the possibility of using)) use recycled water for nonpotable purposes when ((a plan for)) recycled water ((has been approved for the area)) is available for use.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to align with current regulations; K.C.C. 21A.16.330 allows, but does not require, the use of alternative water sources (e.g. recycled water). Other edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-251 In its review of water system plans, the Utilities Technical Review Committee shall consider the criteria provided in King County Code 13.24.010, 13.24.060, and 13.24.070, and determine the plan's consistency with the following: a. Applicable provisions of the King County Comprehensive Plan, land use plans, and development regulations adopted under the Growth Management Act; b. Approved or adopted regional water resource plans, such as basin plans, groundwater plans, watershed-based conservation and recovery plans developed under Endangered Species Act, salmon recovery plans developed under chapter 77.85 Revised Code of Washington, water resource plans developed under chapter 90.54 Revised Code of Washington, watershed plans developed under chapter 90.82 Revised Code of Washington, and a regional water supply plan or water resource management plan; c. The county's Regional Wastewater Services Plan; and d. Other applicable provisions of countywide plans managed by King County, as specified in Utilities Technical Review Committee guidance or checklists.	Clarification of existing policy intent	These are code requirements and do not need to be policy; see K.C.C. Chapter 13.24	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
The Utilities Technical Review Committee shall work with state agencies, water utilities, and other parties to develop any necessary rules, policies or checklists to provide clear information and guidance as to the county's expectations for its reviews. For each plan submitted to the county for review, the Utilities Technical Review Committee should have the goal of providing an initial response and comments to the water utility within the same timeframes as the state Department of Health under Revised Code of Washington 43.20.250.)								
F-252 In reviewing proposals for modified and expanded service area boundaries for municipal water suppliers, <u>as defined under Chapter 90.03 Revised Code of Washington</u> , the Utilities Technical Review Committee shall consider (, in addition to Policy F-254): a. Compliance by the water system with its water system comprehensive plan, including water conservation elements; b. Whether it can meet its duty to provide service within its service area, as required under ((e)) Chapter 43.20 Revised Code of Washington; and c. Consistency with the service provisions of any applicable Coordinated Water System Plan, as adopted in King County Code Chapter 13.28. The ((e)) County shall not approve a water system plan with a proposed retail service area where the water system is unable to provide timely and reasonable service for one or more of the reasons identified in Revised Code of Washington 43.20.260. King County accepts and encourages timely and reasonable service by a water utility within its service area through the provision of satellite or remote ownership or management of facilities that are not physically connected with the water utility's other facilities. This does not preclude a modified or expanded service area boundary for the water system ((in order)) to correct problems and provide reliable potable water service to existing water users within the proposed modified	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, consistent with existing intent, and grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
service area. The Utilities Technical Review Committee is responsible for making determinations of timely and reasonable service, as provided for under Chapter 70A.100 Revised Code of Washington ((70.116,)) and ((K.C.C.)) King County Code Chapters 13.24 and 13.28.								
F-253 ((Consistent with Countywide Planning Policies, p))Public drinking water system surface water reservoirs and their watersheds should: a. ((b)) Be managed primarily for the protection of drinking water((, but should)); b. ((a)) Allow for multiple uses, including recreation, when such uses do not jeopardize drinking water quality standards((-P)) and public watersheds ((must be)) are managed to protect downstream fish and agriculture resources.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, current context, and grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-254 Groundwater-based public water supplies should be protected by preventing land uses that may adversely affect groundwater quality or quantity to the extent that the supply might be jeopardized. The ((e))County shall protect the quality and quantity of groundwater used as water supplies through implementation of Policies E-493 through E-497 where applicable.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-255 In the Urban Growth Area, all new development shall be served by public sewers unless: a. Application of this policy to a proposal for a single- family residence on an individual lot would deny all reasonable use of the property; or b. Sewer service is not available for a proposed short subdivision of urban property in a timely or reasonable manner as determined by the Utilities	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>Technical Review Committee. These on-site systems shall be managed by one of the following entities, in order of preference:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The sewer utility whose service area encompasses the proposed short subdivision; ((e)) 2. The provider most likely to serve the area; or((;)) 3. An Onsite Sewage System Maintainer certified by the Public Health – Seattle & King County. <p>The onsite system shall meet all state and county approval requirements. The approved short subdivision shall indicate how additional lots to satisfy the minimum density requirements of the zoning will be located on the subject property in case sewers become available in the future. There shall be no further subdivision of lots created under this policy unless served by public sewers.</p>								
F-257 City-owned parks that are redesignated from Rural to Urban on or after September 20, 2004, to allow future annexation by a city and that are subsequently served by public sewers shall be tightlined. ((This policy applies to parks that were redesignated from Rural to Urban on or after September 20, 2004.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and streamlining	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-258 The existing public sewer system in the Rural Towns of Vashon and Snoqualmie Pass shall not be expanded to serve land beyond the boundaries of the town, except as provided in Policy ((F-264)) F-262a and as consistent with Title 57 Revised Code of Washington. Subject to F-262, ((Q))onsite systems, community on-site systems or decentralized treatment systems may be used as appropriate for planned growth in the Rural Towns of Fall City ((and Snoqualmie Pass)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects that Snoqualmie Pass currently has sewer service, and renumbering of other polices Clarifies that: F-262 further modifies this policy, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-259 Sewer facilities such as pump stations, force mains, and trunk lines that do not provide connections to the Rural Area may be located in the Rural Area only	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
when they are identified in a King County-approved comprehensive sewage system plan and upon a finding by King County that it is technically necessary ((in providing)) to provide service to the Urban Growth Area.								
((F-282a)) F-261a King County should work with landowners, other jurisdictions, the state Department of Health, sewer districts, and the Puget Sound Partnership to develop effective strategies and additional resources for working with landowners to provide technical assistance and requested support regarding managing onsite septic systems, and proactively addressing failing septic systems in ((environmentally)) sensitive areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To match terminology in the Board of Health Code	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-262 <u>Developments using a ((Collective)) community on-site sewage system((s)) or large on-site sewage system may be ((used)) permitted only in the following circumstances in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands:</u> a. Existing on-site systems are failing within an area and ((the Seattle/King County Department of)) Public Health – Seattle & King County concurs that long-term individual on-site sewage system repairs are not feasible or water quality is threatened by the presence of or potential for health hazards resulting from inadequate on-site wastewater disposal methods; b. An authorized public agency will manage the ((community)) system; ((and)) c. The ((community)) system is designed only to serve existing structures and lots and cannot be used as a basis to ((increase)) exceed base density for the zone or applicable special district overlay(s) or p-suffix(es) ((or to expand permitted nonresidential uses)) . Substandard vacant lots must be combined to the extent feasible to meet rural density policies ((Management of the community system must be by an authorized public agency.)) and regulations; d. A system serving residentially developed lots cannot be used to: expand existing permitted nonresidential uses in size or scale; establish new	Substantive change	Edits to: reflect current types of collective on-site sewage systems; align with current County regulatory roles for said systems; and improve clarity and implementability	Ensures appropriate levels of development consistent with rural character	n/a	Regulatory	Commensurate changes in K.C.C. Chapter 21A.44	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
permitted nonresidential uses; or serve commercially zoned properties; and e. For a system serving commercially developed lots: the system is used only to serve commercially zoned properties; property-specific development conditions are imposed that establish a range of allowed uses that can be adequately served by the system at the time of its construction; and the allowed uses are not more expansive than those allowed in the underlying zone.								
((F-264)) F-262a Except as otherwise provided for in this policy, public sewer service shall be prohibited in the Rural Area or on Natural Resource Lands. a. Public sewer service may be expanded to the Rural Area or to Natural Resource Lands, only: 1. Where needed to address specific health and safety problems threatening the use of existing structures and the use of septic or other onsite wastewater systems has been determined by King County to be not feasible; or 2. To serve a new school authorized to be located in the Rural Area by R-327. b. Public sewers may be extended, pursuant to this policy, only if they are tightlined and only after a finding is made by King County that no reasonable alternative technologies are feasible. ((e-)) Public sewers that are allowed in the Rural Area or on Natural Resource Lands pursuant to this policy shall not be used to convert Rural Area land or Natural Resource Lands to urban uses and densities or to expand permitted nonresidential uses.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated and restructured for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((F-264 Except as otherwise provided for in this policy, public sewer service shall be prohibited in the Rural Area or on Natural Resource Lands. a. Public sewer service may be expanded to the Rural Area or to Natural Resource Lands, only: 1. Where needed to address specific health and safety problems threatening the use of existing structures and the use of septic or other onsite wastewater systems has been determined by King County to be not feasible; or 2. To serve a new school authorized to be located in the Rural Area by R-327. b. Public sewers may be extended, pursuant to this policy, only if they are tightlined and only after a finding is made by King County that no reasonable alternative technologies are feasible. c. Public sewers that are allowed in the Rural Area or on Natural Resource Lands pursuant to this policy shall not be used to convert Rural Area land or Natural Resource Lands to urban uses and densities or to expand permitted nonresidential uses.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to F-262a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-265 Regional solid waste planning should integrate the principles of environmental stewardship, <u>circular economy, equity and racial and social justice</u> , and sustainable development into all aspects of solid waste management.	Substantive change	To reflect that a circular economy is at the core of this work; rather than the take-make-waste linear economy, a circular economy minimizing waste, keeps materials in use as long as possible and regenerates natural systems To align with equity goals of Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan	Reductions in waste and improved outcomes for priority populations	Re+ Strategic Plan Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan Policy ES-3	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-266 Solid waste should be collected, handled, processed, and disposed of in ways that reduce waste, conserve resources, and protect public health and the environment.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-267 King County should achieve ((Z)) zero ((W)) waste of ((R)) resources by 2030 and a 85 percent construction and demolition diversion rate by 2025 by targeting areas of the waste stream that have the greatest potential for ((diversion)) prevention and reuse, product stewardship, recycling and composting, beneficial use, and recovery while regenerating natural systems.	Substantive change	To align with the Strategic Climate Action Plan and better reflect the waste hierarchy in alignment with the Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan	No effect; reflects current planning and practice	Strategic Climate Action Plan Performance Measure GHG 20 Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan Sustainable Materials Management Goal	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-268 Solid waste management should be planned, and transfer and disposal capacity provided, on a regional basis.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-269	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-269 King County shall <u>plan for and</u> operate a regional transfer system that is dispersed throughout the county to ensure access to safe, reliable, efficient, and affordable solid waste services, and improves recycling opportunities for residents and businesses. King County should continue to provide facilities for self-haulers.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates F-268	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-269a King County should ((consider demand management)) <u>implement</u> strategies that maximize the safety and efficiency of the transfer system and encourage use of, <u>and equitable participation in,</u> solid waste curbside collection services.	Substantive change	Demand management is no longer current planning reflected in solid waste Interlocal Agreements; aligning with recommendations in the Blue Ridge Services' " Process Improvement for the Solid Waste Industry" Report (which discusses increasing safety,	Ensures safety and equity are maximized in delivery	Re+ strategic plan K.C.C. Title 10	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		diversion of recyclables, and efficiencies), it makes sense to reorient the policy to better reflect current planning and practice. Also updated to reflect work the County is developing to implement a low income discount program.						
F-269b (In order to support achieving a 70% recycling goal) To minimize the disposal of useful materials, King County should work with partners and jurisdictions to encourage implementation of frequency and separation policies for curbside collection of garbage, recyclables, and organics throughout the county, including in unincorporated areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Moving away from the 70% recycling rate goal, as that is confusing with the zero waste context that 70% of what gets thrown away is recyclable. These are two different things, and it better to use more plain language, consistent with existing practice.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-269c <u>King County shall collaborate with cities to achieve a circular economy and preserve landfill space by implementing policies and hauler contract amendments that harmonize recycling and compost standards countywide, such as through the Re+ Program.</u>	New policy	To reflect that maximizing use of current landfills is the most cost effective and environmentally friendly to dispose of waste and the next long-term option will be more expensive.	Advances circular economy goals and maximizing landfill space.	Re+ Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		To support collaboration with cities, as common recycling and composting standards across jurisdictions reduces confusion of residents and business on what is actually recyclable, which will reduce contamination in these streams and increase value of the recyclable commodity.						
F-270 King County should maximize the capacity and lifespan of the Cedar Hills Regional Landfill <u>and plan for future disposal when Cedar Hills Landfill closes to ensure no gap in service</u> , subject to environmental constraints, relative costs to operate, ((stakeholder)) partner and public interests, and overall solid waste system optimization. <u>A replacement landfill shall not be located in King County.</u>	Substantive change	Edits to reflect existing intent and current practice and terminology	Supports waste management systems that won't require a new landfill in King County	Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan Policies D-2 and D-4	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-271 King County shall: a. ((e)) Encourage sustainable development and development of markets for <u>reusable and recyclable materials</u> ((;)) ; and b. ((p)) Provide consumer education in the public and private sectors regarding green building practices, product stewardship, <u>extended producer responsibility, circular economy</u> , recycling, purchasing, and consumption ((in order)) to reduce the amount of waste disposed.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflecting current terminology and restructured for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-271a King County should consider ((whether)) opportunities to increase energy recovery from select solid waste materials including organics, mixed plastics, and the non-recyclable portion of the waste stream ((are beneficial in terms of cost,)) by factoring in the financial, ((natural environment)) environmental,	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edited for clarity and to reflect current context	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
greenhouse gas ((emissions)), and community impacts(, as well as whether any such energy recovery facilities might be more appropriately located outside King County)).								
((F-274b) Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration to identify and assess the impacts of proposed service changes, and the county's Equity and Social Justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-202a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-272 To reduce flooding, erosion, and sedimentation, prevent and mitigate habitat loss, enhance groundwater recharge, and prevent groundwater and surface water quality degradation, consistent with the Growth Management Act, King County shall manage stormwater through plans, programs, and regulations developed by ((King)) the County in cooperation with affected jurisdictions and agencies whenever possible.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-273 A watershed approach shall be taken for stormwater management, with responsibility shared between King County and affected jurisdictions. This approach should emphasize prevention of surface water and groundwater degradation through education programs, retrofits of existing stormwater controls or the placement of new controls, and implementation of best management practices to reduce pollution entering the region's groundwater and surface waters, including Puget Sound. <u>This approach should also support and build upon regional collaborative stormwater management planning and management approaches as outlined in Policy E-446.</u>	Substantive change	To further support current and planned regional stormwater and health planning goals and efforts, including the Stormwater Summit series	Fosters regional cooperation to manage stormwater in cost effective ways and improves stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-274 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, King County shall minimize the use of ((constructed facilities)) grey infrastructure for stormwater management and, through ((L))low ((H))impact ((D))development, maximize the use of natural systems, provided that the ecological functions of the natural systems are not harmed. The County should provide incentives to keep these natural systems intact. Low ((H))impact ((D))development is also preferred in	Clarification of existing policy intent	Uses current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
the Urban Growth Area, but it is recognized that structural systems may be needed to realize urban growth and density goals in these areas.								
F-275 King County ((will)) shall plan and manage stormwater by basin or sub-basin consistent with Policies E-463 and E-464. To accomplish this goal, stormwater runoff should not be diverted from one basin or sub-basin into another, unless no other reasonable alternative is available for managing run-off within the same basin <u>or an analysis using best available science determines cross-basin diversion or mitigation actions for unavoidable impacts will have greater benefit to regional stormwater management.</u> Where such diversions are permitted, King County ((will)) shall require environmental analysis and mitigation adequate to protect surface water and groundwater resources from significant adverse impacts.	Substantive change	Creates options to regionally manage stormwater impacts and mitigation measures across basin boundaries if science supports and better outcomes would result. Clarifying edit: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	Fosters regional cooperation to manage stormwater in cost effective ways and improves stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-277 Stormwater programs including public education, stormwater system mapping, construction of regional and shared stormwater facilities, retrofitting developed areas, and operation and maintenance programs should be funded through an adequate and equitable funding mechanism. Stormwater facilities required for new development, redevelopment and retrofitting should be designed and built <u>to improve regional water quality to the maximum extent possible, as well as for aesthetic value</u> (, as well as for)) and low-cost, long-term maintenance.	Substantive change	Creates options to regionally manage stormwater impacts Other edits for clarity	Fosters regional cooperation to manage stormwater in cost effective ways and improves stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-278 King County shall continue to ((encourage, support and)) require the use of low impact development as a part of its strategy to mitigate stormwater impacts from new development to the maximum extent feasible <u>and should consider additional supportive strategies, such as in lieu fee mechanisms which are determined through analyses according to best available science to result in overall</u>	Substantive change	For consistency with current regulations and practices	Improved stormwater management outcomes	Surface Water Design Manual	Regulatory and Programmatic	Current Surface Water Design Manual	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<u>benefits to regional stormwater management and water quality.</u>								
F-279 King County should incorporate state-of-the art stormwater management techniques ((including)) and ((L))low ((+))impact ((D))development into the design, construction and operation of all ((e))County facilities and ((e))County-funded projects to the maximum extent feasible.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and current context	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<u>F-279a When scoping and designing flood risk reduction, fish passage, park, trails, and habitat restoration projects, the County should actively review and pursue opportunities to support stormwater retrofit projects.</u>	New policy	Most of King County's urban infrastructure was built out before effective stormwater control and treatment mechanisms were required by regulations, and those same areas are responsible for generating polluted stormwater runoff that makes its way untreated to local waterways. The primary means of treating that runoff in those areas is to retrofit stormwater treatment options (structural stormwater controls) where they have not previously existed. Stormwater retrofits improve the water quality and flow control in areas where those structures were previously lacking.	Improved stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-281 King County should work with residential and commercial developers to incorporate state-of-the-art stormwater management techniques, such as low impact development, that protect native vegetation and soils, restore disturbed soils by increasing the use of compost, facilitate reuse of resources such as recycled or harvested water, reduce the carbon footprint of the project, and minimize impervious surfaces.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-282a King County should work with landowners, other jurisdictions, the state Department of Health, sewer districts, and the Puget Sound Partnership to develop effective strategies and additional resources for working with landowners to provide technical assistance and requested support regarding managing onsite septic systems, and proactively addressing failing septic systems in environmentally sensitive areas.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to F-261a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-282a King County should continue to implement and support innovative stormwater management programs, such as the RainScapes Green Stormwater Infrastructure Incentive program for private landowners.	New policy	Scientific modeling tells us that regional stormwater treatment facilities, including stormwater parks, provide the most effective treatment for stormwater and improve local water quality, but that doesn't totally address the regional need. Green Stormwater Infrastructure, while smaller scale, provides distributed stormwater treatment across the landscape to enhance the benefits at scale and	Improved stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		in concert with regional stormwater facilities.						
F-282b <u>King County should work with regional partners to identify locations for stormwater parks, focusing first on communities with the most polluted water that lack easy access to green space.</u>	New policy	Supports development of stormwater parks, which can help address equity when built in communities without access to open space and recreational opportunities, they build resilience to climate change by increasing green space and stormwater management, and provide the opportunity to add recreational amenities. Trails, benches, art and wildlife viewing areas are common amenities added to renovated stormwater facilities. Regional facilities, such as stormwater parks, have been found to be the most cost-effective way to treat stormwater. By helping to improve water quality and fish habitat, stormwater parks support Indian tribal treaty rights.	Improved stormwater management outcomes; collaboration to identify locations for stormwater parks, prioritizing underserved communities	Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-283 King County ((shall)) <u>should</u> identify and evaluate potential changes to land use development regulations and building codes to support and promote state-of-the art stormwater management techniques.	Substantive change	Reads like a Work Program action; updated to reflect more appropriate level of commitment; this happens on an ongoing, as needed basis rather than as a single point in time mandate	Code is updated when appropriate and in alignment with available resources	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-284 King County should work cooperatively with other jurisdictions to develop and implement plans and programs that address the appropriate recycling, reuse, reclamation and disposal of the materials generated from maintenance of stormwater infrastructure.))	Substantive change	Not a current or planned body of work	No effect; reflects current practice	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-287 King County shall include equity and racial and social justice principles in ((planning)) <u>updating</u> and implementing the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan to ((assure floodplain property owners and residents are given equitable access to flood risk reduction services. Outreach should consider vulnerable populations that may face barriers to accessing services and programs based on age, income, disability, English language proficiency, race and ethnicity, or other factors.)) <u>assess flood risks, evaluate impacts of risk reduction actions, and work in partnership with the most impacted communities to develop and prioritize future actions.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits to streamline and align with current practice and terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-289 King County should continue to assess and revise current flood warning phases based on the most current data on hydrology and climate change ((predictions)) <u>projections</u> and modify the King County Flood Warning Program, as needed, to reflect these revised flood phases.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Modified for technical accuracy	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-290 King County should assess the most appropriate level of service for flood risk reduction along river segments based on existing and predicted development density, land use, and hydrologic conditions, <u>inclusive of climate change.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Added climate change to be more explicit about related impacts (already embedded within concept of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		hydrologic conditions, but this makes it clear), consistent with current practice						
F-291 King County ((will)) shall review available information on the potential impacts of climate change on ((winter floods)) flooding conditions and other natural hazards that may affect flooding, and consider those potential impacts when updating the flood risk reduction policies and capital improvement projects for the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Clarifying edit: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen To align with Flood Hazard Management Plan: refers to assessing impacts of climate change on flooding conditions generally, without assigning seasonality; contains the reference to "other natural hazards..." due to connection of things like burn scars to flooding and potential for increased landslide risk that could have flooding implications.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-293 King County shall continue to work with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Puget Sound Partnership, and other regional partners to develop a science-based vegetation management framework that provides for safe and effective levees, functional riparian habitat, and cost-effective use of limited resources.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current context' the Corps' 2014 interim policy on eligibility determination addresses the vegetation issue,	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		and there has not been any recent discussion to develop a regional vegetation management framework.						
F-294 King County ((will)) shall ((assess participation)) participate in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Public Law 84-99 Program ((to ensure)) on a case-by-case basis, provided compliance with the National Marine Fisheries Services Biological Opinion on the Federal Emergency Management Agency National Flood Insurance Program standards for levee vegetation, as well as cost-effective maintenance and repair of levees, <u>is achieved.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Other edits to reflect current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-295 King County ((will)) shall maintain compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program by: a. Assessing the projects and programmatic actions recommended in the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan for compliance with the Biological Opinion prepared for the Program; and b. Making necessary amendments to the Plan and its implementing development regulations.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-296 King County ((will)) shall work cooperatively with the King County Flood Control District, cities and other ((stakeholders)) partners to implement the <u>integrated approaches to floodplain management outlined in the Flood Hazard Management Plan, or successor plans,</u> to protect public safety, prevent property damage, and help protect the greater King County economy, consistent with the Growth Management Act. <u>Actions should advance King County's equity and racial and social justice goals, promote resiliency to the potential impacts of climate change, and provide multiple benefits for the residents of King County.</u>	Substantive change	To align with Countywide Planning Policies and King County flood program and equity goals Clarifying edit: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	Additional improved outcomes when protecting, restoring and enhancing floodplains, especially for priority populations	Countywide Planning Policy EN-9 Flood Hazard Management Plan Guiding Principle 1.3.2, Policies G-3, G-9, PROJ-6 Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate Preparedness section	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
				Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan				
F-297 Consistent with guidance from Federal Emergency Management Agency and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, King County's flood risk reduction strategies should focus first on risk avoidance, followed by actions intended to reduce vulnerability in at risk areas. New levees and other flood facilities should be the last rather than the first line-of-defense.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edit for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-298 King County shall continue to ((promote)) encourage the purchase of flood insurance to ((businesses)) owners and tenants of properties located within ((the floodplain)) flood hazard areas, including those ((businesses)) properties located behind accredited levees, to protect the ((economic)) value of the ((business)) properties and reduce the economic vulnerability ((to the region's economic activity from a larger but less frequent)) from flood events.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with current practice; the goals of this policy also applicable more broadly than just businesses. These changes better reflect the full range of the County's efforts on this issue.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-299 King County should continue to discourage new ((, at risk)) development in mapped flood hazard areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	All development in floodplains is at risk for flooding, consistent with input from Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-303 King County ((should)) shall encourage land uses and development that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions through the improvement of energy efficiency, and should support the expansion of renewable energy resources through development regulations ((, prudent variances)) and active incentive programs when the benefits of doing so outweigh the costs.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Strengthened to reflect current practice; Clarifying edits to reflect: why energy efficiency is needed; and "variances" is necessary to state as they are	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		addressed in development regulations earlier in the policy						
F-303a <u>Results from an equity impact review shall be used as an important consideration to identify impacts and opportunities of adding, expanding, or upgrading transmission and distribution lines; siting new gas or hazardous liquid transmission pipelines; or new modified, or expanded fossil fuel facilities. The County's equity and racial and social justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.</u>	New policy	Consolidates existing directives in F-325a, F-332a, and F-344g Reflects current terminology: "equity impact review" is proposed to be defined in the Comprehensive Plan and provide flexibility for how the current Equity Impact Review Tool might change over time Note that F-235a and F-332a were outstanding 2016 Work Plan Action 5 "Implementation Needs" items, which has related code changes proposed in K.C.C. Title 21A to implement policy amendments adopted in 2016	Improved equitable outcomes for priority populations	Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Regulatory	Commensurate changes to K.C.C. Title 21A	n/a	n/a
F-304 All King County departments and divisions shall use the Strategic Climate Action Plan, or successor plans, as the basis for strategic energy planning and direction.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To make policy more timeless, in case the name of the referenced plan changes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-305 King County shall plan for further reduction in its energy use from government operations by setting near and long term energy use and fossil fuel use reductions, consistent with its long term goals of working to continuously reduce operating costs and environmental impacts, maximizing energy efficiency and minimizing waste.	Substantive change	To make reduction in fossil fuel use explicit goal of facility energy use	Progress towards elimination of fossil fuel use in the built environment.	Strategic Climate Action Plan Strategy 3.16	Capital projects and Programmatic	n/a	The planning does not necessitate new resources, but implementation of the planning would	Per Strategic Climate Action Plan, reduce fossil fuel use by 20% by 2030
F-306 King County shall maximize the ((production)) capture, use and marketing of renewable energy at its wastewater treatment plants and Cedar Hills Landfill, to the extent feasible and consistent with other County goals, and pursue other renewable energy generation projects where cost-effective.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current context and practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-307 King County should foster the development and increased use of clean, renewable and alternative fuel and energy technologies, such as anaerobic digestion and co-digestion of organic material to create energy sources that result in the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, with a particular emphasis on creating renewable natural gas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To clarify the types of technologies KC is focusing on, consistent with current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-308 King County shall: a. Continue to increase the energy efficiency of county buses and vehicles, through adoption and promotion of innovative technology vehicles and greenhouse gas reducing fuels with a focus on electric vehicles, all electric battery buses and associated infrastructure, where appropriate; and b. Consistent with policy E-203, collaborate with other local governments regionally, nationally and internationally to develop a common approach to accounting for the greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the operation of its public transportation system, for offering carbon offsets or other environmental attributes for purchase and for claiming rights to any greenhouse gas emissions reduction attributes associated with its operation.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is an outdated policy; these standards now exist and are recognized. Generation of carbon offsets from public transit is no longer recommended, consistent with Ordinance 18106, which updated Ordinance 17971 based on a report it required, which concluded that offsets were not financially feasible for Metro, and Department of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Natural Resources and Parks should consider but not be required to buy offsets from Metro. Since then, the Department of Natural Resources and Parks has met their carbon neutral goals through other means.						
F-308a Consistent with Ordinance ((17974)) 18106, King County Metro Transit ((should implement a strategy to sell transit carbon offsets and other environmental attributes to)) shall make carbon offsets or environmental attributes available for purchase by individuals, public entities, and private entities, if doing so is likely to be financially beneficial to the department. ((To reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, the King County wastewater treatment division and solid waste division should consider purchasing transit carbon offsets from King County Metro Transit.)) Revenue from the sale of carbon offsets or environmental attributed shall be used by the department solely for the purposes of reducing greenhouse gas emissions through mobility services or investments that reduce greenhouse emissions from transit operations.	Substantive change	Updates to reflect Ordinance 18106, which updated Ordinance 17971 based on a report it required, which concluded that offsets were not financially feasible for Metro, and Department of Natural Resources and Parks should consider but not be required to buy offsets from Metro. Since then, the Department of Natural Resources and Parks has met their carbon neutral goals through other means. This ordinance is still relevant as Metro and other fleet owning dept will sell environmental attributes from	Ensures that any funds generated must be reinvested in greenhouse gas reducing activities	Strategic Climate Action Plan Transportation and Land Use goals	Programmatic	n/a	No	2024-2034

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		<p>electrification of fleet.</p> <p>Broadens language to apply to all environmental attribute sales; this is relevant in current state policy programs such as Clean Fuel Standard. Broadens guidance on how revenues to be spent from transit service to mobility services.</p>						
F-309 King County shall maximize practical applications of <u>renewable natural gas (such as from wastewater or landfill gas), renewable electricity, and renewable heat production ((from renewable resources))</u> .	Substantive change	To reflect other renewable energy sources, and other edits for clarity	Allows use of broader renewable energy sources	Strategic Climate Action Plan Building Energy Operations priority actions	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	Possibly; additional resources could be needed if additional renewable energy installation is out of scope of existing capital project	Ongoing
F-310 King County shall support the conversion of renewable resources and service by-products to energy for beneficial use ((consistent with E-208)). King County shall claim and/or generate economic benefit for any and all renewable energy and greenhouse gas reduction attributes resulting from renewable energy generation.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflects removal of E-208 (which was duplicative of this policy)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-311 King County should encourage its energy utilities to provide energy efficiency services ((and)), <u>renewable energy options, and fossil fuel use reduction strategies</u> to all their customers. Additionally, the County should encourage the state and energy utilities to mitigate the environmental and greenhouse gas emissions impacts of energy and, as conservation and ((alternative energy)) <u>no- and low-carbon</u> sources demonstrate capacity to address energy needs, phase out existing coal and other fossil fuel based power	Substantive change	To support moving towards elimination of fossil fuel use in the built environment, such as a utility providing rebates for natural gas-using equipment (e.g. a	Expands areas where King County is advocating with utilities, which can improve environmental outcomes	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Action GHG 3.4.2	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
plants, and replace such facilities with resource efficiency and renewable generation sources.		more efficient natural gas furnace)						
F-312 King County shall develop and adopt strategic energy management, efficiency, and conservation programs in its own operations, including: a. Consolidated energy accounting of ((e))County facilities to establish baseline energy performance for the ((e))County, benchmarking of facilities against comparable best practices where possible, setting goals for facility efficiency improvements, and measuring and reporting progress toward ((e))County energy goals; b. <u>Fossil fuel elimination action plans;</u> c. <u>Purchase of 100 percent greenhouse gas neutral electricity for operations;</u> d. <u>Energy efficiency audits of all ((e))County facilities over 20,000 square feet and the creation of action plans for reducing energy use at such facilities;</u> ((e-)) e. Energy management plans for energy-intensive or special-purpose ((e))County facilities such as wastewater treatment plants, correctional facilities, and transit bases that focus on least-cost management and that include specific approaches for each facility's use, as well as the production and sale of energy where appropriate; f. <u>Capital portfolios managed to maximize greenhouse gas emissions reductions, including no new natural gas or fossil fuel powered equipment installed, with minor exceptions allowed;</u> ((e-)) g. Mandatory energy efficiency and resource use guidelines for operation and maintenance of all ((e))County-occupied facilities, while recognizing the unique operating requirements of specialty facilities; ((e-)) h. Programs to encourage employees to implement energy conserving measures at work; and ((f-)) i. Incentives, including retaining a portion of energy cost savings, to ((e))County agencies and departments for achieving energy efficiency.	Edits to support moving towards elimination of fossil fuel use in the built environment and to support priorities in the Strategic Climate Action Plan	Reduced fossil fuel emissions from facilities	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Actions GHG 3.16.1, GHG 3.16.2, and GHG 3.17.1	Capital projects and Programmatic	n/a		Per Strategic Climate Action Plan, reduce fossil fuel use by 20% by 2030	
F-313 King County should benchmark all applicable ((e))County buildings as a basis for measuring energy efficiency improvements, using the Environmental	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Protection Agency Portfolio Manager Tool, where applicable.								
F-317 King County should pursue district energy opportunities to maximize resource recovery efforts, in ways that can offer economic and environmental benefits to the county and community at large. This ((will)) shall be done by pursuing opportunities such as encouraging the use of wastewater for heat extraction and other forms of energy generation in the ((e)) County's wastewater conveyance system.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen Other edits for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-318 King County should pursue combined heat and power district energy opportunities in its own facilities, as well as in partnership with other public and private entities, that result in reduced energy consumption, greenhouse gas reductions, and financial savings to the ((e)) County.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-319 To implement the Countywide Planning Policy of aggressive conservation and promotion of regional air quality, King County should: a. Effectively enforce the energy code as part of the general permit process; b. Provide density incentives through the zoning code for energy efficient developments; c. Continue to improve the fuel efficiency and emissions of the county-owned fleet of motor vehicles; d. Work with utilities to become a model of energy efficiency in facilities owned or operated by Metropolitan King County; and e. Seek cost-effective ways to capture energy from county operations which other wise would be lost, such as methane gas from landfills and sewage treatment.	Clarification of existing policy intent	These issues are currently generally spread across different Countywide Planning Policies and addressed via various Comprehensive Plan policies, such as F-312	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-320 <u>King County should support new energy resources and technologies that reduce energy use, decarbonize energy sources, and electrify energy use in the building and transportation sectors. In supporting these efforts, King County should:</u> a. <u>Allow for siting of distributed energy resources, while considering appropriate use of land and associate impacts, including protection of designated Natural Resource Lands and open spaces;</u> b. <u>Accommodate the use of distributed energy resources in new and redeveloped properties;</u> c. <u>Reduce barriers to new and upgraded substations, transmission facilities, and the distribution system, for infrastructure that is needed to achieve County greenhouse gas reductions targets;</u> d. <u>Encourage and support the integration of new technologies and fuel sources;</u> e. <u>Minimize negative impacts on and maximize benefits for frontline communities resulting from related projects;</u> f. <u>Support equitable engagement strategies during project planning to actively solicit public participation and input from impacted frontline communities;</u> g. <u>Support equitable opportunities for frontline communities to participate in distributed energy resources;</u> h. <u>Support efforts by utilities and other entities to advance these outcomes; and</u> i. <u>Review and update development regulations periodically to ensure that they appropriately support new energy resources and technologies and mitigate for associated impacts.</u>	New policy	New policy to support implementation of new energy resources and technologies that advance the goal of eliminating fossil fuel use in the built environment	Ensures comprehensive review of regulations and actions supporting new energy resources and technologies, consistent with other planning goals	Strategic Climate Action Plan Building Energy Countywide and Sustainable & Resilient Frontline Communities – Energy Access	Regulatory and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-321 King County encourages <u>the</u> : a. ((the u)) Use of solar energy; b. ((the s)) Siting of roads, lots, landscaping and buildings for improved solar orientation; c. ((the u)) Use of passive solar design and active solar technologies; ((and)) d. ((the p)) Protection of solar access; and e. <u>The pursuit and allocation of funds to support access to solar energy for frontline communities.</u>	Substantive change	To integrate equity goals and long-term needs. There's funding of solar energy access in the 2023-2024 County budget, but not continued programs and funding sources for solar installations, especially for households with low incomes.	Creates support for future funding (grants, state or local budget, etc) for equitable renewable energy access	Strategic Climate Action Plan Sustainable & Resilient Frontline Communities Focus Area 7	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-323 King County should expand the availability of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and fossil fuel use reduction and transition measures to ((low income residents)) <u>frontline communities most likely to be disproportionately impacted by climate change.</u>	Substantive change	To support moving towards elimination of fossil fuel use in the built environment, and broadens priority populations	Improves equitable access to greenhouse gas reducing programs and actions	Strategic Climate Action Plan – Building Energy Countywide and Sustainable & Resilient Frontline Communities – Energy Access	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-325 King County ((and the)) <u>should work with utilities ((should)) to identify and preserve corridors, consistent with the Growth Management Act goal of focusing growth within the Urban Growth Area, to accommodate future electric power transmission and distribution lines. Corridor designation should include:</u> a. Identification of appropriate shared uses and recognition of the values provided by nonutility uses, such as recreation; b. Recognition of county roads as utility corridors; and c. Evaluation of proposed facility plans on a system-wide basis, rather than project-by-project.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and alignment with appropriate County role	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-325a)) <u>Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration to identify and assess impacts and opportunities of adding, expanding or upgrading transmission and distribution lines and the county's Equity and Social Justice principles should be used to</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-303a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.))								
F-327 New electrical distribution lines should be installed underground where reasonably feasible and not a health or safety concern. ((The county should encourage underground placement of existing distribution lines through such tools as local improvement districts.))	Substantive change	To reflect current practice	No effect; this is not occurring currently	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
F-328 King County will monitor scientific research on potential human health effects of extremely low frequency electric and magnetic fields. If federal or state agencies promulgate rules to reduce exposure to extremely low level electric and magnetic fields through changes in the use of appliances, construction practices, the location of electrical infrastructure or other activities the county shall inform its residents, in adherence with the Executive Order and other applicable policies on written language and translation processes, and take appropriate actions.	Substantive change	Monitoring this is not current nor planned County work; informing residents of federal or state regulatory changes is not the County's role; and requiring the County to "take appropriate actions" in response to federal or state regulatory changes is unnecessary to state, as the County would be required to align with new legal mandates regardless.	No effect; this is not occurring currently	n/a	Regulatory and Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
F-330 King County ((will)) shall provide leadership in and promotion of the use of renewable natural gas to minimize climate change impacts, including that from its own sources, as a substitute for fossil-sourced natural gas where practical, while discouraging the use of human food feedstocks for the creation of renewable natural gas.	Substantive change	To help ensure that any feedstock use is from waste products and not crops that could otherwise be used for human consumption Clarifying edit: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what	Protects usable food for people from diversion for renewable energy use	Strategic Climate Action Plan GHG Priority Action 5.1.1 ("recycled" feedstock)	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		is anticipated to happen.						
((F-332a Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration to identify impacts and opportunities of siting new gas or hazardous liquid transmission pipelines and the county's Equity and Social Justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-303a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-333 New hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines should be located away from high-density residential zones, Urban Activity Centers, ((and B)) business ((C)) centers, ((Q)) office ((P)) parks, sports fields, schools and day care centers or other land uses where large numbers of people would assemble.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((F-334 When new, expanded or upgraded hazardous liquid or gas transmission pipelines are required, use of existing corridors should be evaluated first. King County should facilitate appropriate corridor sharing among different utility types and owners.))	Substantive change	Not consistent with current regulations nor a planned body of work	No effect; reflects current practice	n/a	Regulatory and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-339 King County should promote the safety and reliability of the hazardous liquid and natural gas transmission pipeline systems by requiring developers, contractors, and excavators to notify the state, pipeline operators and utilities through the one-number locator service, before beginning excavation or construction.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a requirement in state law and does not need to be a policy; see RCW 19.122.030	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-340 In the interest of safety and reliability of the hazardous liquid and natural gas interstate transmission pipeline systems, the ((e)) County should take steps to protect and preserve the signs that mark pipelines.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-341 King County ((recognizes that the)) shall use franchise agreements process to require gas distribution ((system is primarily located in road rights-of-way)) utilities comply with county, state, and federal safety and health regulations.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from a statement to policy direction, consistent with current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-342 In the interest of safety and reliability of the natural gas distribution pipeline systems, the ((e)) County should take steps to protect and preserve the signs that mark pipelines.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
((F-344 Permit requirements shall require excavators to ensure adequate protection of any facilities that are encountered during their work. This shall include but not be limited to adhering to the foreign facility owners requirements for separation and backfill, developing joint plans when drilling or boring parallel to foreign facilities, and potholing all facilities that will be crossed by drilling or boring.	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a code requirement and does not need to be a policy; see K.C.C. 16.82.185	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-344a King County (Office of Emergency Management) shall convene local emergency managers, first responders, railroads and others to prepare for and mitigate the increasing risk of oil spills, fire, and explosions posed by oil transport by rail, truck, and vessel. This work should consider potential risks from related fossil fuel facilities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Specific agency is not necessary to be called out here, consistent with level of detail throughout Comprehensive Plan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-344c King County should collaborate with local and <u>Indian</u> tribal governments to jointly advocate for stronger federal and state disclosure requirements for hazardous materials being transported by rail, safety requirements and speed limits for tank cars, minimum liability coverage for railroads and oil shippers, and financial support for increased local emergency planning and response to oil spills, fires, and explosions.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-344d King County land use policies, development regulations, and permitting and environmental review processes related to fossil fuel facilities shall be designed to: a. ((p)) Protect public health, safety, and welfare; b. ((m)) Mitigate and prepare for disasters; c. ((p)) Protect and preserve natural systems; d. ((m)) Manage impacts on public services and infrastructure; and e. ((f)) Reduce impacts of climate change.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-344f When reviewing proposals for new, modified or expanded fossil fuel facilities, King County shall require comprehensive environmental assessment, and early and continuous public notice and comment opportunities. King County shall only approve proposals for new, modified, or expanded facilities when: a. The proposed facility can confine or mitigate all operational impacts; b. The facility can adequately mitigate conflicts with adjacent land uses; c. The full scope of environmental impacts, including life cycle greenhouse gas emissions and public health, have been evaluated and appropriately conditioned or mitigated as necessary, consistent with the County's substantive State Environmental Policy Act authority; d. The applicant ((must comply) <u>has complied</u> with applicable federal and state regulations, including the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, and Endangered Species Act; e. The applicant has demonstrated early, meaningful, and robust consultation with the public, surrounding property owners, and with Indian tribes to assess impacts to <u>tribal</u> treaty-protected cultural and fisheries resources; and f. Risks to public health and public safety can be mitigated.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
(F-344g Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool shall be used as an important consideration to identify and mitigate impacts of new, modified, or expanded fossil fuel facilities. The Equity Impact Review should take into consideration the potential effects of a new, modified or expanded fossil fuel facility on the health of a population, and how those effects may be different within a population.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-303a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
F-344h King County shall ((establish)) implement a periodic review process for fossil fuel facilities. The periodic review shall be a part of King County's ongoing enforcement and inspections of fossil fuel facilities, and to assure compliance with applicable conditions, mitigations, and the most up-to-date safety and public health standards. The periodic review process should, subject to applicable law: a. Provide opportunities for public review and comment; b. Evaluate whether the facility is in compliance with current federal, state, and County regulations and implementation of industry-standard best management practices; and c. Allow King County to modify, add, or remove permit conditions to address new circumstances and/or unanticipated fossil fuel facility-generated impacts.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current status (this has been established, and the County is now continuing to implement)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-345 Telecommunication services ((are to)) shall be encouraged ((as a means)) to mitigate the transportation impact of development and growth, including ((G)) greenhouse ((G)) gas ((E)) emissions.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-346 King County should encourage ((s the)) telecommunication service providers to engage in long-term planning for telecommunications construction, reconstruction, and facility upgrades, including provisions to ensure that the system's capacity, design, and equipment will allow users to take advantage of innovative uses, services, and technology.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from a statement to policy direction	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-347 Telecommunication companies and the ((e)) County should coordinate activities when facilities are being installed or road construction projects are scheduled.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-348 ((Long-term planning for telecommunications systems by the)) King County regulations should encourage telecommunication service providers ((should allow)) to plan and provide for uninterrupted service during natural disasters.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented to reflect King County role, consistent with underlying goals	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-349 Co-location of telecommunication facilities is encouraged to reduce the unnecessary proliferation of individual, single-user towers. Co-location shall be	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
required unless an applicant can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the ((e))County that collocation on an existing tower is not feasible and not consistent with service quality and access.								
F-352 Long-term planning for cable systems should include service to all areas of the county ((which)) that meets the minimum density established in the cable company's franchise agreement and ((the county's Cable Television Ordinance)) King County Code Chapter 6.27A.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to current code reference	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-354 Cable companies should take proactive steps to ensure that there is widespread availability of and equitable access to cable service. Cable companies should ensure information is culturally((-))appropriate and made available to ((residents of the county, especially low income and limited-English proficient communities)) county residents, especially those with low incomes and/or that speak a language(s) other than English.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-355 ((The goal of))Long-term cable planning should ((be)) support: a. _____ ((a))A high-capacity, state-of-the-art system((-)); b. _____ Installation and activation of ((F))two-way capacity ((should be installed and activated.)) c. _____ Interconnection of ((G))cable systems ((should be interconnected)) to other communications systems((- They should be designed to be)); and d. _____ ("open"; that is, the systems should be) Open systems, usable by many, for a variety of purposes.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Restructured for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-358 Builders and architects should work with the telecommunication industry to design and retrofit state-of-the art cable-ready homes and offices ((and)), as well as community centers, social service agencies, community health clinics, and other buildings that serve low-income residents.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-358a King County should explore opportunities to increase access to broadband internet services to underserved and unserved areas, such as expanding the I-Net network, pursuing grant funding to support infrastructure investments, partnering with service	New policy	In response to findings of the 2020 King County Broadband Access Study, consistent	Improved access to broadband internet services	2020 King County Broadband Access Study	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<u>providers to expand coverage, supporting fiber optic cable installation in the Eastrail corridor, and marketing to underserved communities.</u>		with current and planned work						
F-358b <u>King County should increase wireless capabilities at County-owned facilities and sites, such as County parks, for public access, where appropriate.</u>	New policy	To reflect current and planned work	Improved public internet access	n/a	Capital Projects and Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
F-359 <u>King County encourages public and private organizations to create wireless internet connections where the public can access the Internet, including in community centers, social service agencies, community health clinics, libraries, schools, and other buildings that serve ((low income)) residents with low incomes.</u>	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 10 Economic Development								
ED-101 King County ((has a long-term commitment to)) should support sustainable, inclusive, and equitable economic development throughout the county.	Substantive change	Updated to reorient from a statement to a policy directive and to clarify equity goals	Greater economic opportunity for all	Countywide Planning Policy EC-15 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-101a King County ((is committed to promoting)) should promote diversity, equity, and equality of opportunity in all economic development policies and programs, and to ((integrating)) prioritize these ((as)) factors in ((to)) decision and policy-making efforts.	Substantive change	Updated to reorient from a statement to a policy directive and to further support equity goals Updates to align with Countywide Planning Policies Other edits for clarity	Heightened consideration of equity impacts of economic development activities	Countywide Planning Policy EC-15 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-101b King County shall engage with the public to inform County economic development plans, policies, and programs. This includes fostering opportunities for the public, especially communities with limited access to economic opportunity and those with the highest needs, to be involved in associated decision-making.	New policy	Supports moving up the community engagement spectrum and to align with Countywide Planning Policies	Higher degree of engagement and autonomy in decision-making from those with limited access to economic opportunity	Countywide Planning Policy FW-8 and EC-8 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	TBD	Following program design and completion of draft of Office of Economic Opportunity & Creative Economy plan
ED-102 The focus for significant economic growth ((will)) shall remain within the Urban Growth Area, concentrated in cities and in a network of regionally designated growth centers. ((while within)) In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, ((the focus will)) economic development shall be focused on sustaining and enhancing prosperous and successful rural and resource-based businesses, as well as encouraging new businesses that support and are compatible with the rural economic clusters.	Substantive change	Added detail to geographic focus areas and types of businesses, and language around innovation, consistent with existing policy framework in the Multicounty Planning Policies and	No effect; consistent with existing practice	VISION 2050 Regional Growth Strategy Countywide Planning Policy EC-17	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Countywide Planning Policies "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Other edits for clarity and to align with existing intent						
ED-103 King County policies, programs, and strategies shall recognize the importance of (the) and (place special emphasis) focus on (the) growing new businesses, such as start-up companies and small local businesses, (as well as retaining) and expanding (homegrown firms in basic) existing businesses in industries that (bring income into the county and increase the standard of living of the County's residents) create jobs that pay family wages and share the County's values of racial equity, environmental sustainability, and workers' rights.	Substantive change	To align with Countywide Planning Policies concerning locally grown firms' role in job creation and emphasizing middle-wage job creation.	A diverse economy with employment opportunities at wages that support access to opportunity	Countywide Planning Policies EC-2, EC-3, and EC-7	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
ED-103a King County policies, programs, and strategies shall recognize the role and importance of small and locally owned businesses in community stability and creating opportunity for Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color; immigrants; refugees; the LGBTQIA+ community; women; and other historically underrepresented groups.	New policy	Addresses Countywide Planning Policies on community stabilization and anti-displacement.	Greater economic and wealth generating opportunities for priority populations	Countywide Planning Policies EC-7 and EC-29	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing
ED-104 King County policies, programs, and strategies shall recognize the importance of a diversified economic base to provide a continuum of skill training opportunities to meet the skill level needs of industry and shall support middle-wage jobs. King County should direct resources in ways that reduce inequities and build economic resiliency for those communities most negatively impacted by asset poverty.	Substantive change	Addresses Countywide Planning Policy changes emphasizing support for industry clusters that provide middle-wage jobs, development and	Greater economic and wealth generating opportunities for priority populations	Countywide Planning Policies EC-3, EC-27, and EC-16	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		implementation systems that provide a financial safety net and build economic resiliency						
ED-105 King County (recognizes) shall protect the natural environment as a key economic (value that must be protected) asset and should support businesses that can be developed in environmentally sustainable and climate-resilient ways.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from a statement to policy direction and expanded to reflect how economic development can support protection of the natural environment consistent with existing practice and other policies in the Comprehensive Plan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-106 King County shall protect and prevent displacement of cultural resources, and promote expanded cultural opportunities for its residents and visitors (in order) to enhance the region's quality of life and economic vitality.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current terminology and align with Countywide Planning Policies EC-14, EC-28, and E-29	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-107 (At the multicounty level,) King County should partner with other counties, regional entities and the state(, as appropriate,) to devise and implement economic development policies, programs, and strategies to (provide for) achieve sustainable, inclusive, and equitable growth throughout the Puget Sound region.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To support equity goals and provide clarifying edits to streamline and reflect existing intent; the Comprehensive Plan definition of "should" covers "as appropriate"	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-108 At the countywide level, King County should partner with other jurisdictions, economic development organizations, chambers of commerce, the Port of	Clarification of existing policy intent	The Comprehensive Plan definition of	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Seattle, and others((, as appropriate)), to develop and implement policies, programs, and strategies that set the general framework for economic development within the county.		"should" covers "as appropriate"						
ED-109 Within the unincorporated areas, King County should partner and engage with local businesses, the Agriculture and Rural Forest Commissions, community service areas, adjacent cities, other organizations, and residents((, as appropriate)), to develop and implement policies, programs, and strategies that promote compatible, inclusive, and equitable local economic development.	Substantive change	To support equity goals and provide clarifying edits to streamline and reflect existing intent; the Comprehensive Plan definition of "should" covers "as appropriate"	Greater economic opportunities for priority populations	Countywide Planning Policy EC-15 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-201 King County shall partner with federal, state, and local governments, economic development organizations, schools, educational and research institutions (and), the private sector, and other organizations to foster (an) innovative and (entrepreneurial environment and support programs and strategies that promote) sustainable business development and job creation((-Programs that provide technical and financial assistance to businesses include, but are not limited to: a. Financial, marketing, expansion, and general operations assistance for small businesses to help them become competitive in the private sector; b. Technological, efficiency, and managerial assessments to help manufacturers reduce costs and use smaller footprints for existing or expanded production; and c. Assessment and/or remediation of contaminated property (Brownfields) in order to continue or expand operations to help individual small businesses or jurisdictions impacted by Brownfields)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent. Removes statement that is not policy direction; list of strategies unnecessarily focuses on certain actions that may not be appropriate/feasible in the future; policy still has clear direction without it	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-202 King County shall (emphasize continued) prioritize support for the aerospace, green energy, creative economy, and information technology industrial clusters; agriculture and forestry clusters; (as well as industrial clusters offering) and emerging sectors that offer the best opportunities for business	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to reflect the full range of the County's priority sectors, consistent with current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
development, job creation, and economic growth ((including those identified in the Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Economic Strategy, the Local Food Initiative and the King County Rural Economic Strategies Plan)).								
((ED-205 King County shall support programs and strategies to promote and market Foreign Direct Investment opportunities in the county.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to ED-210	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-209 King County shall foster the development and use of public/private partnerships to implement economic development projects and programs. At a minimum, these projects ((must)) shall demonstrate that they: a. Cannot be accomplished solely by either sector; b. Have an experienced and proven private partner(s); c. Do not unduly enrich the private partner(s); d. Provide tangible and measurable public benefits in terms of tax and other revenue, construction and permanent jobs, livable wages with benefits and a wage-progression strategy, and public amenities; and e. ((Will use the King County Jobs Initiative, or other entities with similar programs, as their first source of referral for training and employing low-income, low-skill residents in entry-level and semi-skilled jobs)) Share decision-making power with and spread benefits to community groups.	Substantive change	Sub-e is not a current program nor an alternative feasible requirement to meet. Replaced with language that aligns with the Countywide Planning Policies Changed to shall to align with Comprehensive Plan nomenclature, consistent with existing intent	Public-Private partnerships with clear benefits by and for communities directly affected.	Countywide Planning Policies EC-8 and EC-28	Programmatic	n/a	No	2025
ED-210 King County should support programs and strategies to expand international trade, including those that: a. Promote, market, and position the county for increased export((,)) and import, and foreign investment opportunities; b. Promote the health and viability of the region's export and import gateways through active collaboration with the Northwest Seaport Alliance and the Port of Seattle; c. Provide technical assistance, training, and opportunities for local firms wishing to export; ((and))	Substantive change	To reflect that it is also important to have trade that supports a circular economy	Economic actions that co-deliver environmental outcomes	Re+ Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
d. Partner with regional trade groups to promote assistance, opportunities and partnerships to connect current and potential exporters with international markets; and e. Contribute to a more circular economy that reduces demand for materials; keeps materials in use as long as possible; regenerates natural systems by reusing waste products, materials, or byproducts of manufacturing, supporting export of recyclable materials; or otherwise improves processes to be more efficient.								
ED-211 King County should support programs and strategies to preserve and plan for an adequate supply of industrial and commercial land capacity, including but not limited to: a. Complying with the ((State of Washington)) Growth Management Act ((Buildable Lands)) Review and Evaluation Program – Revised Code of Washington 36.70A.215 – and, in cooperation with the cities, inventorying and monitoring the use of industrial, commercial, and residential lands every five years; b. Partnering with other jurisdictions and the private sector, to advocate for development and maintenance of a regional Geographic Information System ((to track the supply of land)); c. Actively applying for federal, state, and other resources to help defray the costs of assessment, remediation, and redevelopment of private and/or public Brownfields; ((e-)) c. Selling ((e)) County-owned surplus industrial and commercial lands for development by the private sector; ((e-)) d. Promoting the redevelopment and infill of industrial and commercial areas and exploring the feasibility of using incentives to achieve this goal; and ((f-)) e. Preventing the encroachment of non-industrial uses on industrially-zoned land and the rezoning of industrial land to other uses.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity, to align with current intent, and for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
ED-212 King County shall encourage and support community((-)-)based and community((-)-)led efforts to ((support)) develop and retain existing small and local	Substantive change	Address Countywide Planning Policies on preventing cultural	Reduced displacement pressure on	Countywide Planning Policies	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
businesses and resilient communities. These efforts may include: a. Priority hire programs that create middle-wage employment in historically underserved and historically underrepresented communities; b. Ensuring public investment decisions protect culturally significant economic assets and community anchors; and c. Engaging communities directly affected by economic development activities in planning, decision-making, and implementation.		displacement and stabilizing communities, encouraging priority hire programs, celebrating cultural diversity, institutions, and protecting culturally significant economic assets. Other edits for clarity and grammar	susceptible and vulnerable communities	EC-29, EC-13, EC-14, and EC-28.				
ED-213 King County shall coordinate with a broad range of partners, organizations, businesses, and public sector agencies to support the development of business innovation districts and ((related)) other <u>community stabilization</u> initiatives ((#)) to help prevent the displacement of Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color; immigrants; refugees; LGBTQIA+ people; and lower income communities (, with an emphasis on food innovation districts, in particular) .	Substantive change	Addresses Countywide Planning Policy on community stabilization and anti-displacement As this policy was expanded, the last clause makes less sense as a component. Food innovation districts would still be an example of a business innovation district noted earlier in the policy, and U-32b addresses them specifically	Reduced displacement pressure on susceptible and vulnerable communities	Countywide Planning Policy EC-29	Programmatic	n/a	Currently supported through Office of Equity and Racial and Social Justice grant programs; additional scale of efforts will depend upon future budget decisions and staffing	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
ED-301 King County should support workforce development programs that are integrated with the ((e))County's overall economic strategies, including but not limited to: a. Apprenticeship opportunities on ((e))County public works projects to ensure a continual pipeline of skilled, local construction trades workers and to encourage family-wage job opportunities((-)); b. ((Development and growth of clean technology "green" jobs linked to the preservation and sustainability of the natural environment, including jobs in pollution prevention, Brownfields cleanup, energy efficiency, renewable energy industries, natural resource management, and other technologies that address climate change.)) Identified partnerships prioritizing providing frontline communities (including middle skill workers and youth) accessible pathways and skills building toward positions providing a family sustaining wage within identified local high growth sectors while supporting reduced energy use and reduced greenhouse gas emissions including manufacturing, construction, transportation, and professional services; and c. Training ((in)) prioritizing in-demand skills ((job clusters) that apply to and are in demand)) across ((multiple)) identified high-growth industry ((clusters)) sectors.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To support current context in alignment with the Green Jobs Strategy and equitable access for frontline communities	A more equitable, regenerative economy where frontline communities can transition to green jobs as the landscape of the economy changes	Green Jobs Strategy Strategic Climate Action Plan Sustainable & Resilient Frontline Communities Equitable Green Jobs and Pathways Focus Area	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-302 King County should support the Workforce Development Council of Seattle-King County ((authorized by the federal Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act of 2014, and composed of high-level representatives from business, local government, labor, education and training institutions, advocacy organizations, and human service providers. The purpose of the Workforce Development Council is)) to coordinate and improve employment, training, literacy, and vocational rehabilitation programs to meet the needs of workers and employers. King County ((will)) should work with the Workforce Development Council to emphasize the needs in and highlight opportunities for communities that have the highest unemployment	Substantive change	To move from a predictive statement to policy direction and to align with the "should" level of commitment in the previous sentence and to reflect current practice; this is discretionary work dependent on available resources	Maintains flexibility in economic development strategies in alignment with available resources	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
rates in the region, including the potential for development of training opportunities in these areas.		Removes/ streamlines outdated context						
ED-303 King County policies, programs, strategies, and partnerships shall recognize the importance of worker training and retraining, especially for ((low-income and low-skilled residents,)) <u>workers in low-wage and low-barrier industries</u> and communities with the highest unemployment rates, to provide the skilled workers needed by local businesses and industry. King County shall support and partner with other jurisdictions, educational institutions and industry to promote programs <u>that align with community needs</u> , such as: a. Programs that retrain dislocated workers for jobs in growing industries; b. Training for jobs in growing industries that require post-technical or post-secondary training and credentials and provide a career pathway to self-sufficiency; c. Programs that facilitate employer involvement in hiring workers with limited experience and skills and provide successful strategies for skills training, job placement, and worker retention; d. Programs that reduce recidivism by helping residents exiting the criminal ((justice)) <u>legal</u> system gain access to training and employment services; e. Alignment of ((homeless)) housing and employment systems to ((assure)) <u>ensure</u> people who are experiencing homelessness have access to both housing and employment opportunities; f. Food-related, <u>creative economy, and green technology</u> workforce development activities and opportunities; g. School-to-work programs and effective alternatives for out-of-school youth to provide a clear pathway to self-sufficiency through career options and applied learning opportunities; h. Summer youth employment programs for ((at-risk)) <u>historically underserved</u> youth;	Substantive change	Address Countywide Planning Policy about workforce development efforts aligning with targeted community's needs/interests. Supports green jobs and equitable access for frontline communities Other edits for clarity, to reference current County economic development initiatives, and correct outmoded language	Workforce development activities are more aligned with community needs and feedback	Countywide Planning Policy EC-12 Green Jobs Strategy Strategic Climate Action Plan Sustainable & Resilient Frontline Communities Equitable Green Jobs and Pathways Focus Area	Programmatic	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
i. Access to alternative modes of transportation by providing transportation information, ((financial assistance programs)) <u>income-based discount programs</u> such as ORCA LIFT, and services to jobseekers and workers; ((and)) j. Access to childcare by increasing the availability and affordability of quality childcare for low-income families; <u>and</u> k. <u>Initiatives aimed at facilitating skills training and on-ramps to living wage employment for frontline communities connected to identified high growth industry sectors as outlined in King County's Green Jobs Strategy.</u>								
((ED-304 King County shall continue to increase equity in jobs and career opportunities for youth through programs such as the Education Engagement Strategy launched by Public Health in 2013, and others. These efforts may include: a. Partnering with private businesses, community organizations and educational institutions to provide job shadowing, internship and summer job opportunities for King County youth; and b. Partnering with Maritime and Manufacturing industry businesses, and other business sectors, to engage high school students in vocational programs that offer training for living wage industry jobs, and working with these businesses to engage schools in promoting regional opportunities for apprenticeships and internships for high school students.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Not current County programs	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-305 King County shall help promote and develop opportunities for ((limited English proficiency populations)) <u>communities that speak a language(s) other than English</u> , including: a. Partnering and investing in community organizations that represent ((limited English proficiency populations)) <u>linguistically diverse populations</u> ; b. Improving translation and interpretation services;	Clarification of existing policy intent	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
c. Partnering with private business to promote the hiring of ((limited English proficiency populations)) people that speak a language(s) other than English; and d. Partnering with regional educational institutions to develop methods for recertification for limited English proficiency professionals with credentials from other countries, and partnering with community organizations to promote and increase access to recertification programs.								
((H-159)) ED-307 King County should support programs and projects that provide apprenticeship and employment training in the building trades through affordable housing development. King County should explore ways to partner with non((-))profit housing developers in offering pre-apprenticeship, apprenticeship, and employment training opportunities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated from Chapter 4, and edited for grammar	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-401 ((King County recognizes that adequate infrastructure is essential to support existing economic activity and to attract new industry and development. The county therefore)) King County shall support((s)) and partner((s)) on programs and strategies to maintain existing infrastructure and construct new <u>infrastructure and facilities (including, but not limited to, transportation, utilities, schools, information, communications, ((including)) and an adequate supply of housing) necessary to accommodate current and future economic demand, in locations and at a size and scale that is consistent with other policies in the Comprehensive Plan.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from a statement to policy direction, consistent with current practice. Other edits for clarity.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-402 King County ((will)) shall support programs and partnerships to facilitate the efficient movement of freight to promote global competitiveness for business and industry.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-403 King County shall partner, where feasible, with jurisdictions and other ((stakeholders)) organizations to develop ((subarea)) locally-specific economic	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
development strategies to promote development and redevelopment in areas that can accommodate growth.								
ED-404 ((Through local subarea planning and partnerships with other agencies and organizations,)) King County should use zoning, incentives, partnerships, or other measures to capitalize on the economic benefit of infrastructure projects in locations and at a size and scale that is consistent with other policies in the Comprehensive Plan.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removing limitation that this would only occur through subarea planning (as opposed to other planning or initiatives), to reflect current practice. Under the edited language, this could still occur via subarea planning.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-501 King County should encourage, support and promote the application of sustainable development practices in all private sector development within the county. ((This may be accomplished through working with residential and commercial developers to use Low Impact Development principles and practices, including minimized impervious surface areas, protect ground and surface water within a watershed, ensure that habitat protection needs are incorporated into development proposals to the extent possible, incorporate greater use of green building materials, eliminate, to the extent possible, the use of materials that pose health hazards, and utilize systems that conserve or reuse resources, including those that use energy more efficiently. When King County provides technical assistance and incentives for the use of sustainable development practices, it shall be at no cost to any private sector development. King County shall collaborate with the private sector on potential future regulatory tools.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Streamlining policy: as it restates a lot of detailed regulations already in the code; the reference to "no cost" is not needed as technical assistance offered by the County is typically at no cost and incentives are inherently no-cost; and the last sentence is consolidated in ED-503	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-501a King County shall ((strive to)) promote green building and smart building practices throughout private, public, and residential uses and shall support programs that foster this type of development through collaboration with jurisdictions and other sectors.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((ED-502 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, King County shall provide assistance	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to R-333a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
through development of customized stewardship plans for individual properties, to help property owners understand their properties' characteristics and the potential impacts of their actions, and to make sustainable land management choices that protect natural resources.)								
ED-503 King County shall identify and evaluate potential changes to land use development regulations and building codes, in collaboration with the private sector, to support and promote sustainable development.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidation of ED-501	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((ED-504 — King County should participate in the development and use of national standards for measuring sustainability at the community scale and the breadth and effectiveness of county policies and practices that improve community-scale sustainability.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-601 King County is committed to a sustainable and vibrant rural economy that allows rural residents to live and work throughout the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands. County policy, regulations, and programs should be reviewed and developed in partnership with rural businesses, the Agriculture and Rural Forest Commissions, ((the Community Service Area)) community groups, and others to support the preservation and enhancement of traditional rural economic activities and lifestyles, while supporting evolving compatible commercial uses and job opportunities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	There are not community groups specific to the Community Service Area program, and this should encompass all community groups	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-602 King County should identify and implement ((the Rural Economic Strategies Plan to guide future)) rural economic development ((and will modify and add)) strategies ((as needed to)) that reflect the evolving nature of the rural economy, while protecting the traditional rural economic clusters. a. King County recognizes the value of the agriculture and forestry clusters for both their economic contribution and for their natural, educational, and recreational benefits to the county as a whole. The ((e)) County ((will)) shall work with the Agriculture Commission, Rural Forest Commission, and other related organizations on strategies and programs to	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current context. Other edits for grammar and to reflect that "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy				
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change
strengthen and enhance the economic viability of these clusters and the evolving value-added industry that helps sustain the county's legacy of raising crops and livestock and managing and harvesting forestlands. b. King County recognizes the value of home-based business, recreation and tourism, and commercial and industrial clusters for their ability to provide job opportunities in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, and help sustain the rural economic base. The ((e))County ((will)) shall continue to work with chambers of commerce and other organizations that support these rural businesses to help ensure the continued viability and economic health of new and existing businesses in these clusters. c. King County recognizes the importance of the equestrian cluster for its diversity of business and recreation related operations which combine to provide jobs and income opportunities within the rural economy. The ((e))County ((will)) shall continue to work with equestrian related organizations on business and recreation aspects of the equestrian cluster and with organizations that represent the various trail user groups to help ensure the continued viability and economic health of equestrian and related recreation businesses. d. As a means and in support of protecting rural character and Natural Resource Lands, King County recognizes the value of the partnership with Cities in the Rural Area to act as local urban centers for employment and centers of commerce that provides goods and services for the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands. The ((e))County ((will)) shall work with the cities and other organizations to support economic development for Cities in the Rural Area, at a size and scale consistent with the Growth Management Act. e. King County is committed to ensuring that all economic development, including the provision of infrastructure, within the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands shall be compatible with the							

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>surrounding rural character, be of an appropriate size and scale, and protect the natural environment.</p> <p>f. King County ((will)) shall continue to support and partner on programs and incentives to ensure the economic vitality of rural historic resources to help maintain the character of the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.</p> <p>g. King County ((will)) shall explore opportunities to support agricultural tourism and value-added program(s) related to the production of food, flowers and specialty beverages (including beer, distilled beverages, and wine) in the county. Partnership venues should be educational and include information on the diversity of products available in the county and the importance of buying local, should seek to unify regional tourism efforts, and should encourage development of new markets for agricultural products and value-added goods.</p> <p>h. King County ((will)) shall continue to review existing and proposed regulations to ensure they are relevant and effective in accommodating the differing needs and emerging trends of the compatible businesses that comprise the rural economy.</p> <p>i. King County should continue to identify the infrastructure needs of the rural economic clusters, including transportation, drainage, and information technology needs, and provide support for these needs, including identification of other funding sources.</p> <p>j. King County should continue to identify and encourage businesses to take advantage of incentives and technical assistance programs that promote economic viability of existing and new businesses in the Rural Area and Natural Resources Lands, particularly in the Agricultural and Forest Production Districts.</p>								
<p>((ED 603 — King County should partner with other Puget Sound counties and businesses to analyze the need and possible sites for regional agricultural (such as beef and poultry) and forest product processing. King County recognizes the importance of food and forest processing for the regional economy and should</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to policy direction in Chapter 3 Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
partner with regional communities, governments and residents to ensure that the challenges and opportunities within this industry are analyzed and addressed as needed.)								
ED-604 King County ((will)) shall continue to partner with organizations that support programs and strategies that strengthen the interdependence and linkage between the rural, resource, and urban economies((, such as the Regional Food Policy Council and Puget Sound Fresh)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen. Also updated to make policy more timeless (for example, Puget Sound Fresh no longer exists)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((ED-605 King County recognizes the value of open and green space in promoting social and economic health and wellness throughout the county. The county will continue to invest in public lands and partner with organizations that support and strengthen the linkages between rural, resource and urban communities' use and maintenance of these open spaces.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in P-129	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ED-606 King County ((will)) shall encourage economic analysis and economic development of the local food system as called for in the Local Food Initiative.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 11 Community Service Area Subarea Planning								
CP-100 King County shall <u>continue to</u> implement a Community Service Area subarea planning program. This program <u>shall</u> include(s) the following components for the development and implementation of each subarea plan: a. A subarea plan (shall be) <u>developed and adopted consistent with the schedule established in the Comprehensive Plan and King County Code Title 20</u> for each of the six rural Community Service Areas and five large urban Potential Annexation Areas (consistent with the schedule established in the Comprehensive Plan and King County Code Title 20. Each subarea plan shall be), streamlined to be focused on locally-specific policies that address long-range community needs(-), <u>and including consideration of land use tools to help plan for and accommodate housing needs for all income levels;</u> b. <u>A consideration of the financial costs and public benefits of the proposed subarea plan prior to adoption to ensure that implementation can be appropriately prioritized;</u> c. (The County shall adopt and update on an ongoing basis, a) <u>A list of services, programs, facilities, and capital improvements, updated on an ongoing basis,</u> that are identified by the community for each geography, known as a community needs list, to implement the vision and policies in the subarea plan and other County plans and to build on the strengths and assets of the community(-); (-) <u>d. ((The County should dedicate)) Dedicated resources toward implementation of the subarea plans and community needs lists in coordination with each community so the highest priorities are addressed where the needs are greatest(-);</u> (-) <u>e. Implementation of each subarea plan and community needs list ((shall be)) monitored on an ongoing basis via established performance metrics(-);</u>	Substantive change	To integrate HB 1120 and Countywide Planning Policy requirements into subarea planning as an additional vehicle to help plan for and accommodate housing needs Consolidates RP-116 Addresses Countywide Planning Policies Other clarifying edits, consistent with existing intent	Improved subarea planning processes, which can improve community outcomes	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15, and DP-40 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>((e-)) <u>f.</u> Community engagement for development, review, amendment, adoption, and implementation of each subarea plan ((shall use)) using the Office of Equity and Racial and Social Justice's equity toolkit and centering engagement with historically underrepresented groups((:)); and</p> <p>((f-)) <u>g.</u> The King County Council shall have an established role in the Community Service Area subarea planning process, including in the development, review, amendment, adoption, and monitoring the implementation of each subarea plan and community needs list.</p>								

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
Chapter 12 Implementation, Amendments, and Evaluation								
I-101 King County's regulation of land use should: a. Protect public health, safety and general welfare, and property rights; b. Protect consumers from fraudulent practices in land use, land sales and development; c. Implement and be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and other adopted land use goals, policies and plans; d. Be expeditious, predictable, clear, straightforward and internally consistent; e. Provide clear direction for resolution of regulatory conflict; f. Be enforceable, efficiently administered and provide appropriate incentives and penalties; g. Be consistently and effectively enforced; h. Create public and private benefits worth their cost; i. Be coordinated with timely provision of necessary public facilities and services; j. Encourage creativity and diversity in meeting county goals and policies; k. Be coordinated with cities, special purpose districts and other public agencies to promote compatible development standards throughout King County; l. Be responsive, understandable and accessible to the public; m. Provide effective public notice and reasonable opportunities for the public (especially those directly affected) to be heard and to influence decisions; n. Avoid intruding on activities involving constitutionally protected freedoms of speech, petition, expression, assembly, association and economic competition, except when essential to protect public health, safety and welfare (and then the restriction should be no broader than necessary); o. Treat all members of the public equitably. Base regulatory decisions wholly on the applicable criteria	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to I-500	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
and code requirements, including application of the county's Equity and Social Justice goals; p. Make development requirements readily accessible to the public through up-to-date codes, technical assistance materials and other relevant documents; and q. Provide for relief from existing regulations when they would deprive a property of uses allowed to similar properties with the same zoning or environmental or other constraints, and when such relief would neither endanger public health and safety nor conflict with adopted use policies. This policy is not intended for relief from rules governing the subdividing of land.								
I-101a Equity and social justice principles will be used by King County as an important consideration in developing zoning and development regulations governing public and private uses, in siting public facilities, and in evaluating land use decisions. Results from the Equity Impact Review Tool will be used where appropriate.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to I-505a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
I-102 King County property owners are entitled to have their property assessed at the true and fair value of real property for taxation purposes so that those portions of the property that are not developed or redeveloped due to physical or environmental constraints shall be assessed to reflect the presence of such constraints. Property appraisals shall be consistent with the King County Comprehensive Plan, development regulations, zoning, and any other governmental policies or practices in effect at the time of appraisal that affect the use of property, as well as physical and environmental influences as required by RCW 84.40.030.)	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a mandate in state law and a policy is not needed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((I-201 The update process shall provide continuing review and evaluation of Comprehensive Plan policies and development regulations.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in I-202	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
I-202 Through the update process, ((King County)) Comprehensive Plan policies and ((supporting)) implementing development regulations shall be subject	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidating I-201. Reflecting recent comprehensive	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
to continuing review, evaluation, and amendment according to the annual, midpoint, and ((eight)) 10-year update schedule in accordance with Revised Code of Washington 36.70A.130 ((1) and (2)) and the King County Code.		planning cycle changes in state law. Other edits for clarity.						
I-202a Except as provided in I-202b, ((P))proposed amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan, including the Land Use Map, shall be considered by the King County Council only once each calendar year in accordance with the State Growth Management Act and so that the cumulative effect of the proposals can be determined.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated from I-207, with edits to align with new policy I-202b	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
I-202b After appropriate public participation, amendments may be considered more than once each calendar year as follows: a. For initial adoption of a subarea plan; b. Adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program; c. Amendment of the capital facilities element of a comprehensive plan that is part of the adoption or amendment of the County budget; d. To resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the Growth Management Hearings Board or with the court; or e. If an emergency exists, if: 1. Based on the King County Council finding that the amendment is necessary for the immediate preservation of public peace, health, or safety or for the support of County government and its existing public institutions; and 2. Public notice and an opportunity for public comment precede the adoption of the amendments.	New policy	To reflect existing allowance in K.C.C. 20.18.030 and to reflect requirements in WAC 365-196-640	Additional clarity for processing emergency Comprehensive Plan updates, ensuring opportunity for public notice and comment	n/a	Regulatory and Programmatic	Sub-e is added to K.C.C. Chapter 20.18	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
I-203 Except as otherwise provided in this policy, the annual update shall not consider proposed amendments to the ((King County)) Comprehensive Plan that require substantive changes to Comprehensive Plan policies ((and development regulations)) or that alter the Urban Growth Area ((B))boundary. Substantive amendments may be considered in the annual update only to consider the following: a. <u>Changes required by existing Comprehensive Plan policies;</u> b. <u>Changes to technical appendices and any amendments required thereby;</u> c. <u>Adoption of Community Service Area subarea plans;</u> d. <u>Comprehensive updates of subarea plans initiated by motion;</u> e. <u>Changes required by amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies or state or federal law;</u> f. <u>Amendments resulting from the comprehensive plan implementation progress report required by Revised Code of Washington 36.70A.130;</u> g. <u>Land use map or shoreline master program map amendments resulting from a site-specific application or an area zoning and land use zoning study, provided that the amendments do not require substantive change to policy language or alter the Urban Growth Area boundary, except to correct mapping errors;</u> h. <u>Amendments to add or remove lands from the Agricultural Production District under policy R-656a and/or R-656b for King County safety, preservation, and/or fish passage road projects that are in the adopted six-year Capital Improvement Program;</u> i. <u>((A)) Four-to-One proposals ((that changes the Urban Growth Area Boundary));</u> ((b. An amendment regarding the provision of wastewater services to a Rural Town. Such amendments shall be limited to policy amendments and adjustments to the boundaries of the Rural Town	Substantive change	The list of what's allowed to change in an annual Comprehensive Plan update currently lives in both this policy and in K.C.C. 20.18.030, but the lists do not currently match. So, the policy is updated to align with the code (with edits for clarity), and the code will now point to the policy to avoid mismatched lists in the future. Substantive changes to the list: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> remove an outdated provision for wastewater services in a Rural Town (the study has been completed and no boundary changes are needed); add an allowance for annual changes needed to address new implementation progress reporting to the state; and add an annual allowance for changes needed to mitigate 	Improved clarity and consistency in the regulation of Comprehensive Plan Amendments; alignment with current planning needs	n/a	Regulatory and Programmatic	Proposed change to K.C.C. 20.18.030 to rely on the standards in this policy for annual updates	No	2025

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>as needed to implement a preferred option identified in a Rural Town wastewater treatment study; e-)) j. Amendments necessary for the conservation, protection, and recovery of threatened and endangered species; or ((d. Adoption of Community Service Area subarea plans; e-)) k. Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan ((w))Work ((p))Plan to change deadlines((-or f. Amendments to update the Comprehensive Plan schedule to respond to adopted ordinances to improve alignment with the Growth Management Act, multicounty and countywide planning activities)).</p>		<p>removal of Agricultural Production District lands for road projects to improve feasibility of implementation of polices R-656a and R-656b</p> <p>Other edits for clarity, consistent with existing intent (such as development regulations can be amended at any time)</p>						
<p>I-204 The ((eight)) 10-year update shall consider proposed amendments that could be considered in the annual update ((and also those outside the scope of the annual update)), proposed amendments relating to substantive changes to Comprehensive Plan policies ((and development regulations,)) and proposals to alter the Urban Growth Area ((B)) boundary in accordance with applicable provisions of Countywide Planning Policies.</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	<p>Reflecting recent comprehensive planning cycle changes in state law</p> <p>Other edits for clarity and consistency (such as development regulations can be amended at any time)</p>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<p>I-204a The midpoint update is an optional process that allows for consideration of a smaller range of substantive <u>policy changes and amendments to the Urban Growth Area boundary</u> at the ((four)) five-year point of the ((eight)) 10-year update schedule. Midpoint updates are only authorized by a motion that establishes the scope of work. ((A smaller range of substantive changes to policies and amendments to the Urban Growth Area boundary may be considered as part of the midpoint update.)) Workplan action items</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	<p>Reflecting recent comprehensive planning cycle changes in state law</p> <p>Other edits for clarity consistency, and streamlining</p>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change:	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
may be added or amended if related to a topic identified in the scope of work.								
I-205 ((In accordance with RCW 36.70A.140 and the State Environmental Policy Act, as applicable,)) King County shall use equitable engagement strategies to ensure public participation in the update process for Comprehensive Plan policies and development regulations, particularly from populations historically underrepresented or excluded from planning processes. King County shall disseminate information regarding public involvement in the Comprehensive Plan update process, including, but not limited to, the following: description of procedures and schedules for proposing amendments to Comprehensive Plan policies and development regulations; guidelines for participating in the docket process; public meetings to obtain comments from the public or other agencies; provision of public review documents; and dissemination of information relating to the Comprehensive Plan update process on the Internet or through other methods.	Substantive change	Updated to advance equity goals and to align with changes in RP-102 Removes not-applicable language about the State Environmental Protection Act	Improved engagement with priority populations, which can result in more equitable outcomes for those populations in County plans	Countywide Planning Policies, FW-6 and FW-8 Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	Programmatic	n/a	Yes	Ongoing
I-207 ((Proposed amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan, including the Land Use Map, shall be considered by the King County Council only once each calendar year in accordance with the State Growth Management Act and so that the cumulative effect of the proposals can be determined.)) All proposed Comprehensive Plan policy amendments ((should)) shall include the following analysis: a. Rationale and effect: a detailed statement of ((what is proposed to be changed and why; b. Effect: a statement detailing the anticipated outcome of the change on the geographic area affected, populations affected, and environment; c. Compliance: a statement confirming compliance with the: 1. Growth Management Act, including statutory references where applicable; and 2. Countywide Planning Policies, including policy references where applicable;	Substantive change	To provide improved information about proposed Comprehensive Plan changes	Improved clarity about intent and effect of proposed changes for the public and decision makers to	n/a	Programmatic	n/a	No	Ongoing

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
<p>3. King County Strategic Plan, including policy, objective or strategy references where applicable; and d. Public Review: an indication that the proposed policy amendment was included in the executive's public review draft or a statement of the public review process used to solicit comments on the proposal)); <u>1. Whether the proposed change is a new policy or substantive policy change, is clarification of existing intent, or is technical with no policy change intended;</u> <u>2. The rationale for the proposed change;</u> <u>3. The anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on the geographic area(s) and populations affected; and</u> <u>4. Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans and policies, including specific plan names and policy references, where applicable; and</u> b. Implementation: a description of the anticipated implementation of the proposed change, including: <u>1. Whether implementation of the proposed change is regulatory, programmatic, or a capital project, or a combination;</u> <u>2. If the change is regulatory, a description of the development regulations transmitted with the Comprehensive Plan update that implement the proposed change, in conformance with Policy I-208;</u> <u>3. If the change is programmatic or a capital project, whether it needs additional resources to implement the proposed change; and</u> <u>3. If the change is programmatic or a capital project, the anticipated timing for implementation.</u></p>								
I-208 Proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan policies (should) shall be accompanied by any changes to development regulations, (as well as modifications to capital improvement programs;	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to align with requirements in the Growth Management Act	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
subarea, neighborhood, and functional plans required for implementation so that regulations will be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan)) when necessary to implement the policy change.		and King County Code Removed language is addressed in I-209						
<u>I-209 Adopted Comprehensive Plan policy changes shall be integrated into future regularly scheduled updates to the Capital Improvement Program, subarea plans, and functional plans, when necessary to implement the change.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Moved from I-208, and edited to align with current practice. These updates do not occur as part of Comprehensive Plan updates; they get integrated during the next regularly scheduled update of the applicable plans. Neighborhood plans are removed, as those are no longer part of the County's current practice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<u>I-301 King County shall: a. Monitor ((and benchmark)), measure, assess, and report on the progress of the ((Countywide Planning Policies and King County)) Comprehensive Plan toward achieving ((their)) its objectives, inclusive of those relating to <u>growth targets, the environment, development patterns, housing needs, the economy, transportation, ((and)) the provision of public services, and health and social equity outcomes of residents((-))</u>; and b. Use results of such monitoring, measurement, assessment, and reporting to ((encourage)) identify implementation actions and inform policy revisions, as appropriate, to achieve the planning objectives found within the <u>Growth Management Act, Countywide Planning Policies, and ((King County)) Comprehensive Plan.</u></u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updates to align with various current Comprehensive Plan performance measurement programs and actions Reference to Countywide Planning Policies is removed, as that is addressed through the Growth Management Planning Council (consistent with Countywide	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Planning Policy FW-2) Other edits for clarity, consistency, and current terminology						
I-401 The King County Zoning Code's zone classifications and development standards and the official zoning maps shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and functional plans.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated to I-500a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((I-401)) I-500 King County's regulation of land use should: a. Protect public health, safety and general welfare, and property rights; b. Protect consumers from fraudulent practices in land use, land sales and development; c. Implement and be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and other adopted land use goals, policies, and plans; d. Be expeditious, predictable, clear, straightforward, and internally consistent; e. Provide clear direction for resolution of regulatory conflict; f. Be enforceable, efficiently administered, and provide appropriate incentives and penalties; g. Be consistently and effectively enforced; h. ((Create public and private benefits worth their cost; i.) Be coordinated with timely provision of necessary public facilities and services; ((j-)) i. Encourage creativity and diversity in meeting ((e)) County goals and policies; ((k-)) j. Be coordinated with cities, special purpose districts, and other public agencies to promote compatible development standards throughout King County; ((l-)) k. Be responsive, understandable, and accessible to the public; ((m-)) l. Provide effective public notice and reasonable opportunities for the public ((t)) , especially those	Clarification of existing policy intent	Sub-i is removed as it conflicts with some mandates, such as stormwater and critical areas Other edits for grammar and current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
directly affected((j)) ₁ to be heard and to influence decisions; ((n-)) <u>m.</u> Avoid intruding on activities involving constitutionally protected freedoms of speech, petition, expression, assembly, association ₁ and economic competition, except when essential to protect public health, safety and welfare ((t)) ₁ and then the restriction should be no broader than necessary((j)); ((e-)) <u>n.</u> Treat all members of the public equitably. Base regulatory decisions wholly on the applicable criteria and code requirements, including application of the ((e)) County's ((E)) equity and racial and ((S)) social ((J)) justice goals; ((p-)) <u>o.</u> Make development requirements readily accessible to the public through up-to-date codes, technical assistance materials ₁ and other relevant documents; and ((e-)) <u>p.</u> Provide for relief from existing regulations when they would deprive a property of uses allowed to similar properties with the same zoning or environmental or other constraints, and when such relief would neither endanger public health and safety nor conflict with adopted use policies. This policy is not intended for relief from rules governing the subdividing of land.								
((I-401)) I-500a The King County ((Zoning)) Code's ((zone)) zoning classifications and development standards and the ((official zoning maps)) King County Zoning Atlas shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan ((and functional plans)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
I-501 When needed infrastructure and facilities are not available in a timely manner, development approvals shall ((either)): a. ((b)) Be denied ((e)); b. ((d)) Divided into phases((, or the project proponents should)); or c. ((p)) Provide the needed facilities and infrastructure to address impacts directly attributable to their project((, or as may be provided by the proponent on a voluntary basis)).	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
I-504 King County shall enforce its ((land use and environmental)) development regulations by ((pursuing)) responding to code enforcement complaints and by providing ((oversight)) inspection services during the process of site development on all sites for which it issues permits.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to reflect current practice: the County is required to enforce all development regulations, not just land use and environmental ones; K.C.C. Title 23 and associated resources does not allow for the County to proactively "pursue" complaints – the County responds to complaints; oversight implies more than what actually occurs, which is just inspections and monitoring of certain permit conditions when required	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
I-505 King County shall develop, as a part of the ((buildable lands)) Urban Growth Capacity analysis, a zoning yield and housing production monitoring program to determine whether housing capacity is being lost in the context of compliance with the Endangered Species Act, and shall propose revisions to the Countywide Planning Policies to implement such a program.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
((I-101a)) I-505a Equity and racial and social justice principles ((will)) shall be used by King County as an important consideration in developing zoning and development regulations governing public and private uses, in siting public facilities, and in evaluating land use decisions. Results from ((the E))equity ((+))impact ((R))reviews ((Tool will)) shall be used where appropriate.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Policy	Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended	Rationale for the proposed change	If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy					
			Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations	Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable	Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic	If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change	If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change
		Updates for current terminology and that "equity impact review" is proposed to be defined in the Comprehensive Plan and provide flexibility for how the current Equity Impact Review Tool might change over time.						
I-601 King County should develop incentives for the Urban Growth Area that encourage the development industry to provide a broad range of housing <u>affordable to all income levels</u> and business space, including areas of the county with the most disparate outcomes in health, economic prosperity and housing conditions, where residents may be at high risk of displacement. Incentives could include: a. ((Identification of geographic areas with infill opportunities, granting budget priority status and allowing more flexible development standards; b. Density bonuses for site designs which provide public benefits (for example, grid roads that connect with other developments and limit impacts on arterials); c. Incentives which lower financial development risk; d.) <u>Density bonuses and/or other regulatory flexibilities for inclusionary housing;</u> b. Joint development opportunities at ((e)) County-owned or operated facilities, utilization of air rights on ((e)) County-owned or operated facilities, and the establishment of transit-supportive design guidelines <u>and regulations;</u> and ((e.)) c. County ((capital improvement)) funding for public urban amenities, including transportation, parks, open space, cultural, and other facilities, for cities participating in the King County Transfer of Development Rights Program.	Substantive change	Updated to reflect: 2022 House Bill 1220 and Countywide Planning Policy housing mandates; and current incentive strategies Other edits for grammar and clarity	Development of more housing that is accessible to all	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	Regulatory	n/a	n/a	n/a