

Metropolitan King County Council Local Services and Land Use Committee

STAFF REPORT

Agenda Item:	6	Name:	Jenny Ngo
Proposed No.:	2024-0408	Date:	April 16, 2025

SUBJECT

Proposed Ordinance 2024-0408 would adopt updates to a critical area policy in the Comprehensive Plan and implementing critical area regulations.

SUMMARY

Following a two-year process by the Executive, the Council is considering the first major update to critical area regulations since 2004. The Growth Management Act (GMA) requires that critical area regulations be adopted for several types of critical areas, including wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, critical aquifer recharge areas, geologically hazardous areas, and frequently flooded areas.

The GMA requires that critical area regulations include best available science ("BAS") in developing policies and development regulations to protect the functions and values of critical areas; give special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries; ensure no net loss of ecological functions and values; and update critical areas regulations as part of the 10-year statutory review.

Council staff analysis of the Executive's proposed critical area regulations is included in Attachment 4 to this staff report.

The Local Services and Land Use Committee will be briefed today on the Council staff analysis. Following today's briefing, a striking amendment will be developed by the Committee Chair. The schedule in Attachment 5 to the staff report includes amendment deadline information. The Committee is expected to act on the Proposed Ordinance in July 2025.

The Proposed Ordinance is required to be adopted by the end of December 2025.

BACKGROUND

Growth Management Act (GMA). Under RCW 36.70A.060 and RCW 36.70A.130, the County is required to adopt development regulations that protect critical areas and periodically take action to review and revise these regulations. State law requires the

County utilizes BAS when developing policies and regulations to conserve and protect the functions and values of critical areas. The County must also give special consideration to conservation and protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries.

Timing. Under the GMA, the County is required to update critical area regulations as part of the 10-year statutory update to the Comprehensive Plan and implementing development regulations. The County updated the Comprehensive Plan, and other development regulations and map amendments, in December 2024, as part of Ordinance 19881. The Findings for Ordinance 19881 noted that additional critical area regulations work was ongoing, "as allowed under the reasonable progress exception in RCW 36.70A.130(7)(b)." Under this provision of the GMA, the County has until the end of December 2025 to complete this portion of the 10-year statutory update.

2004 Update. The current critical area regulations were adopted in 2004 as part of Ordinance 15053. Some substantive updates have been made since then, including changes to the allowed alterations within critical areas, allowances for agricultural activities, alteration exceptions and reasonable use exceptions, in lieu fee mitigation program requirements, notice on title, new requirements for vegetation management plans, building setbacks from critical areas, updated floodplain regulations in 2020, critical aquifer recharge area mapping, updates to wetland and stream requirements, including buffer widths, as part of the 2019 Shoreline Master Program periodic update, and listed species requiring wildlife habitat conservation areas.

Types of Critical Areas. The GMA identifies and defines five types of critical areas: geologically hazardous areas, frequently flooded areas, critical aquifer recharge areas, wetlands, and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. The County may choose to designate other areas for protection. Table 1 identifies the five critical areas designated under the GMA compared to the County's designations, as included in the proposed ordinance or under existing code.

Table 1. Critical Area Types Comparison

Growth Management Act	King County Designations in							
Designations	Proposed Ordinance							
Geologically hazardous areas	Geologically hazardous areas							
Landslide hazard areas	- Landslide hazard areas							
	- Steep slope hazard areas							
	 Alluvial fan hazard areas 							
Erosion hazard areas	- Erosion hazard areas							
Seismic hazard areas	- Seismic hazard areas							
	- Tsunami hazard areas							
	- Channel migration zones							
	- Coal mine hazard areas							
	 Volcanic hazard areas 							
Frequently flooded areas	- Floodplains							
	- Special flood hazard areas							
	 Zero-rise flood fringe; 							
	- Zero-rise floodways							

	FEMA floodwaysChannel migration zones
Critical aquifer recharge areas	Critical aquifer recharge areas
Wetlands	Wetlands
Fish and wildlife habitat	- Aquatic areas
conservation areas	- Riparian areas
	- Wildlife habitat conservation areas
	- Wildlife habitat networks

The County defines each critical area and provides specific regulations that limit alterations, including type, intensity, or duration, along with measures to prevent, reduce, eliminate or mitigate impacts. The proposed ordinance defines these critical areas as follows:

Geologically hazard areas include:

- Landslide hazard area: an area subject to severe risk of landslide, such as:
 - An area with a combination of:
 - Slopes steeper than fifteen percent of inclination;
 - Impermeable soils, such as silt and clay, frequently interbedded with granular soils, such as sand and gravel; and
 - Springs or ground water seepage;
 - An area that has shown movement during the Holocene epoch, which is from ten thousand years ago to the present, or that is underlain by mass wastage debris from that epoch;
 - Any area potentially unstable as a result of rapid stream incision, stream bank erosion or undercutting by wave action;
 - o An area that shows evidence of or is at risk from snow avalanches; or
 - o An area located on an alluvial fan, presently or potentially subject to inundation by debris flows or deposition of stream-transported sediments.
- Steep slope hazard area: an area on a slope of forty percent inclination or more
 within a vertical elevation change of at least ten feet. For the purpose of this
 definition, a slope is delineated by establishing its "toe" and "top", and is
 measured by averaging the inclination over at least ten feet of vertical
 relief. Also, for the purpose of this definition:
 - The "toe" of a slope means a distinct topographic break in slope that separates slopes inclined at less than forty percent from slopes inclined at forty percent or more. Where no distinct break exists, the "toe" of a slope is the lower most limit of the area where the ground surface drops ten feet or more vertically within a horizontal distance of twenty-five feet; and
 - The "top" of a slope is a distinct topographic break in slope that separates slopes inclined at less than forty percent from slopes inclined at forty percent or more. Where no distinct break exists, the "top" of a slope is the upper-most limit of the area where the ground surface drops ten feet or more vertically within a horizontal distance of twenty-five feet.
- Alluvial fans are defined as "a fan-shaped deposit of sediment and organic debris formed where a stream flows or has flowed out of an upland onto a flat plain or

- valley floor due to a sudden change in sediment transport capacity, such as a significant change in slope or confinement." Alluvial fan hazard areas are considered a type of geologically hazardous area and a type of landslide hazardous area.
- Erosion hazard area: a geologically hazardous area underlain by soils that are subject to severe erosion when disturbed. Such soils include, but are not limited to, those classified as having a severe to very severe erosion hazard according to the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service, the 1990 Snoqualmie Pass Area Soil Survey, the 1973 King County Soils Survey or any subsequent revisions or addition by or to these sources such as any occurrence of River Wash ("Rh") or Coastal Beaches ("Cb") and any of the following when they occur on slopes inclined at fifteen percent or more:
 - The Alderwood gravely sandy loam ("AgD");
 - The Alderwood and Kitsap soils ("AkF");
 - The Beausite gravely sandy loam ("BeD" and "BeF");
 - The Kitsap silt loam ("KpD");
 - The Ovall gravely loam ("OvD" and "OvF");
 - The Ragnar fine sandy loam ("RaD"); and
 - The Ragnar-Indianola Association ("RdE").
- Seismic hazard area: an area subject to severe risk of earthquake damage from seismically induced settlement or lateral spreading as a result of soil liquefaction in an area underlain by cohesionless soils of low density and usually in association with a shallow groundwater table.
- Tsunami hazard area: a geologically hazardous area susceptible to flooding, inundation, debris impact, or mass wasting as the result of a tsunami in areas including, but not limited to, those areas shown on the Washington Geological Survey Digital Data Series 22, version 2.0, December 2023, and V1-V30, VE, or V zones shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Maps.
- Coal mine hazard area: an area directly underlain, adjacent to, or affected by operative or abandoned subsurface coal mine workings such as adits, tunnels, drifts, or air shafts.
- Channel migration zones are the area along a river channel within which the
 channel can be reasonably predicted to migrate over time as a result of natural
 and normally occurring hydrological and related processes when considered with
 the characteristics of the river and its surroundings. Channel migration zones can
 either be classified as "moderate" or "severe". Channel migration zones are
 considered a type of frequently flooded area and type of geologically hazardous
 area.

Frequently flood areas include floodplains, special flood hazard areas, zero-rise flood fringe, zero-rise floodway, the FEMA floodway, and channel migration zones (described previously).

- Floodplains: the total area subject to inundation by the base flood.
- Special flood hazard area or area of special flood hazard: the land subject to inundation by the base flood. Special flood hazard areas (SFHA or area of

- special flood hazard) are designated on flood insurance rate maps with the letters "A" or "V" including AE, AO, AH, A1-99, and VE.
- Flood fringe, zero-rise: that portion of the floodplain outside of the zero-rise floodway. The zero-rise flood fringe is generally associated with standing water rather than rapidly flowing water.
- Floodway, zero-rise: the channel of a stream and that portion of the adjoining floodplain that is necessary to contain and discharge the base flood flow without any measurable increase in base flood elevation. For the purpose of this definition, "measurable increase in base flood elevation" means a calculated upward rise in the base flood elevation, equal to or greater than 0.01 foot, resulting from a comparison of existing conditions and changed conditions directly attributable to alterations of the topography or any other flow obstructions in the floodplain. "Zero-rise floodway" is broader than that of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) floodway but always includes the FEMA floodway. "Zero-rise floodway" includes the entire floodplain unless a critical areas report demonstrates otherwise.
- FEMA floodway: the channel of the stream or other watercourse and the
 adjacent land areas that must be reserved in order to discharge the base flood
 without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a
 designated height at any point. The FEMA floodway is delineated on the Flood
 Insurance Rate Map and on King County Surface Water Design Manual major
 floodplain or floodway studies.

A *wetland* is defined as "an area that is inundated or saturated by ground or surface water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions." Wetlands are categorized based on the quality of habitat features, flood storage capacity, and water quality capabilities.

Aquatic areas include "shorelines of the state, rivers, streams, marine waters, and bodies of open water, such as lakes, ponds, and reservoirs," "ditches [especially if used by fish]." Aquatic areas are considered a kind of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.

A *riparian area* (formerly aquatic area buffers) is defined as "a designated area contiguous to an aquatic area that provides fish and wildlife habitat, protects adjacent aquatic fish and wildlife species and habitat, and protects water quality." riparian areas are considered a kind of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.

Other fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas include wildlife habitat conservation areas, which is defined as "an area for a species whose habitat the King County Comprehensive Plan requires the county to protect that includes an active breeding site and the area surrounding the breeding site that is necessary to protect breeding activity," and wildlife habitat networks, which are designated through a map in the Comprehensive Plan and are further defined as areas "that links wildlife habitat with critical areas, critical area buffers, priority habitats, trails, parks, open space and other areas to provide for wildlife movement and alleviate habitat fragmentation."

Critical aquifer recharge areas (CARAs) are areas within a sole source aquifer or a wellhead protection area for potable water systems, and are susceptible to groundwater contamination. There are three categories of CARAs based groundwater contamination susceptibility and location over an aquifer or wellhead protection area.

Best Available Science (BAS) and No Net Loss

The GMA requires that critical area regulations include BAS in developing policies and development regulations to protect the functions and values of critical areas; give special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries; ensure no net loss of ecological functions and values; and update critical areas regulations as part of the 10-year statutory review.

Under WAC 365-195-905(3), the Council is responsible for including BAS in the development and implementation of critical areas or regulations. State law encourages the BAS review to include consultation with qualified scientific experts to determine the BAS and assess its applicability to each critical area. State law provides guidelines to determine what constitutes BAS, including data obtained through a valid scientific process. Information from local, state, or federal natural resource agencies that have been determined to represent best available science may be used.

To demonstrate that the BAS was used in developing policies and development regulations, the County is required to address each of the following:

- 1. Identify the specific policies and development regulations adopted to protect the functions and values of the critical areas at issue;
- 2. Identify the relevant sources of the best available scientific information used;
- 3. When departing from BAS, any nonscientific information used as a basis for critical area policies and regulations. When departures from BAS occur, the County should identify the information that supports the departure, explain the rationale for the departure, and identify potential risk to critical area functions and values and any measures to limit risk; and
- 4. Include the BAS in deciding critical area alteration exceptions and reasonable use exceptions.

ANALYSIS

Summary of BAS Report. The County published a Best Available Science Review and Updates to Critical Areas Protections (BAS Report) in October 2024. The Executive transmitted the BAS Report with the Proposed Ordinance containing the critical area regulations. The BAS Report includes a description of the current best available science, Comprehensive Plan considerations, proposed regulatory updates, a risk assessment of the proposed regulatory updates (and policy departures from BAS), and a description of the nonregulatory measures that contribute to no net loss. The BAS Report covers wetlands, riparian areas, wildlife habitat, critical aquifer recharge areas, frequently flooded areas, and geologically hazardous areas.

The BAS Report indicates that regulatory changes are needed to align the County's regulations with BAS and state law. The findings and recommendations are as follows:

- Increased environmental protections for wetlands are needed, including buffer increases to some wetlands and revised mitigation ratios. Identified regulatory updates in the BAS Report include a focus on protecting rare wetlands and limiting the impact to wildlife habitat functions of common wetlands.
- Increased environmental protections for fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas are needed, including changes to definitions and riparian area width increases (formerly called aquatic area buffers). Identified regulatory updates in the BAS Report include increased protections for riparian areas and updates to the species of local importance and habitat of local importance lists, which indicate where alterations may require special consideration.
- Alluvial fans, which are designated as a type of geologically hazardous area, require additional standards to reduce public health and safety risks. The BAS Report indicates new alluvial fan development regulations and limiting alterations would reduce risk.
- The BAS Report also indicates that the protections consider multiple GMA goals.
 Further investment in nonregulatory programs will improve the protection and
 management of local wetlands, such as updated mapping and a monitoring and
 adaptive management program, climate gradient analysis for wildlife habitat,
 updated species and habitat lists, and the continuation of existing programs such
 as the public benefit rating system.

Substantive Changes. Attachment 4 to the staff report is the Council staff analysis matrix of the Proposed Ordinance. The matrix includes the Executive's proposed changes, rationale, and effect; as well as Council staff analysis of the proposed changes, findings from the BAS, and other background information. Substantive changes described in the matrix include:

- Findings;
- Critical area chapter purpose and applicability;
- General definitions:
- Exemptions from a clearing and grading permit;
- Allowed alterations to critical areas and buffers;
- Critical area alteration exceptions and reasonable use exceptions;
- Critical area review and report requirements;
- Critical area mitigation;
- Notice on title and critical area tracts;
- Channel migration zones;
- Geologically hazardous areas;
- Critical aquifer recharge areas;
- Wetlands and wetland buffers;
- Aquatic areas and riparian areas;
- Wildlife habitat conservation areas;
- Agricultural allowances, farm management plans, and grazing area buffers;

- Rural stewardship plans (proposed to be repealed);
- Shoreline Master Program;
- Violations;
- Consolidated review; and
- Plan update, codification, ecology approval, and severability.

There is also a change proposed in Chapter 5, Environment, of the King County Comprehensive Plan, to delete Policy E-412, related to altering Category IV wetlands less than 2,500 square feet subject to mitigation and criteria.

The matrix also includes a description of the nonregulatory measures that contribute to no net loss cited in the BAS Report, and the legislative markup version of the Comprehensive Plan policies and definition changes made as part of the critical area updates made in the 2024 Comprehensive Plan.

Impacts to Development. Critical areas and their buffers are generally required to be conserved. The critical area regulations establish development standards, allowed uses and alterations, and mitigation are specific to the protections needed for each critical area. Although the standards specific to each critical area varies, the presence of critical areas and their buffers impact the development potential of a property. The Proposed Ordinance includes updates that would modify limitations on activities in or near critical areas. For instance, new restrictions in alluvial fan hazard areas would limit certain alterations and increases to wetland buffer and riparian area widths (formerly called aquatic area buffers) would decrease the area for potential development. The increase to wetland buffer and riparian area widths as proposed is between 25 and 85 feet, depending on the category or type.

As part of the Council's review of the Proposed Ordinance, Executive staff prepared a high-level, theoretical GIS analysis of the potential impacts of the updated riparian area widths on property development within urban unincorporated King County. The analysis is based on assumptions that would lead to an overestimate of parcels, as all streams are Type F or S, meaning the largest widths are used, and site-specific conditions or code provisions that modify density were not included.

The analysis found that 9.8% of analyzed parcels are potentially impacted when applying current riparian area widths for Type S and F streams and that the proposed changes in riparian area widths from 115 feet to 180 feet in width could potentially impact an additional 3.4% of parcels. Executive staff note that although the proposal may have the effect of decreasing the number of potential housing units, these changes are anticipated to be minimal and other factors may already affect development (for instance, limitations from existing development standards or the presence of other critical areas). Executive staff note that the riparian area's estimated impact on urban unincorporated King County residential capacity overall (regardless of riparian width) will not affect King County's ability to accommodate planned growth in urban unincorporated King County and meet its adopted growth targets. The land capacity analysis from the 2024 Comprehensive Plan estimated approximately 29,000 units of residential capacity in UUKC, sufficient to accommodate the projected 5,412 units of housing growth 2019-2044.

The analysis is included in Attachment 6 to this staff report.

Schedule. The review schedule, Attachment 5 to this staff report, includes the established amendment deadlines, including those for full Council. The schedule has been updated since the Committee last was briefed on the Proposed Ordinance. It now shows Committee action in July, instead of June.

INVITED

- Megan Smith, Environment and Water Quality Policy Manager, Department of Natural Resources and Parks
- Mark Rowe, Deputy Director, Permitting Division, Department of Local Services

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Proposed Ordinance 2024-0408 (and its attachment)
- 2. Transmittal Letter
- 3. Fiscal Note
- 4. Council Staff Analysis Matrix
- 5. Council's Review Schedule for 2025 KCCP, updated April 2, 2024
- 6. Executive Staff analysis on riparian area widths

LINKS

All materials for the 2025 KCCP, as well as additional information about the Council's review of the proposal, can be found at:

https://kingcounty.gov/en/dept/council/governance-leadership/county-council/useful-links/comprehensive-plan/2025

King County

KING COUNTY

Signature Report

Ordinance

Sponsors Perry

ATTACHMENT 1

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Proposed No. 2024-0408.1

1	AN ORDINANCE related to critical area regulations;
2	amending the King County Comprehensive Plan; and
3	amending Ordinance 15053, Section 3, as amended, and
4	K.C.C. 16.82.051, Ordinance 3692, Section 2, as amended,
5	and K.C.C. 20.12.200, Ordinance 10511, Section 7, as
6	amended, and K.C.C. 20.36.100, Ordinance 6949, Section
7	6, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.44.040, Ordinance 15051,
8	Section 7, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.072C,
9	Ordinance 10870, Section 70, as amended, and K.C.C.
10	21A.06.122, Ordinance 10870, Section 80, as amended,
11	and K.C.C. 21A.06.200, Ordinance 15051, Section 24, and
12	K.C.C. 21A.06.254, Ordinance 10870, Section 123, as
13	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.415, Ordinance 15051,
14	Section 41, and K.C.C. 21A.06.451, Ordinance 15051,
15	Section 107, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1331, Ordinance 10870,
16	Section 190, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.750,
17	Ordinance 10870, Section 243, as amended, and K.C.C.
18	21A.06.1015, Ordinance 10870, Section 288, as amended,
19	and K.C.C. 21A.06.1240, Ordinance 10870, Section 314, as
20	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1370, Ordinance 10870,

21	Section 321, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1405, Ordinance 10870,
22	Section 448, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.010,
23	Ordinance 10870, Section 449, as amended, and K.C.C.
24	21A.24.020, Ordinance 15051, Section 137, as amended,
25	and K.C.C. 21A.24.045, Ordinance 15051, Section 138, as
26	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.051, Ordinance 15051,
27	Section 140, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.061,
28	Ordinance 10870, Section 454, as amended, and K.C.C.
29	21A.24.070, Ordinance 10870, Section 456, as amended,
30	and K.C.C. 21A.24.090, Ordinance 14187, Section 1, as
31	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.500, Ordinance 10870,
32	Section 457, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.100,
33	Ordinance 10870, Section 458, as amended, and K.C.C.
34	21A.24.110, Ordinance 10870, Section 460, as amended,
35	and K.C.C. 21A.24.130, Ordinance 15051, Section 151, as
36	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.133, Ordinance 10870,
37	Section 464, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.170,
38	Ordinance 10870, Section 465, as amended, and K.C.C.
39	21A.24.180, Ordinance 10870, Section 467, as amended,
40	and K.C.C. 21A.24.200, Ordinance 15051, Section 158,
41	and K.C.C. 21A.24.205, Ordinance 11621, Section 75, as
42	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.275, Ordinance 10870,
43	Section 475, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.280,

44	Ordinance 10870, Section 476, as amended, and K.C.C.
45	21A.24.290, Ordinance 10870, Section 478, as amended,
46	and K.C.C. 21A.24.310, Ordinance 11481, Section 2, as
47	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.311, Ordinance 15051,
48	Section 173, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.312,
49	Ordinance 15051, Section 174, as amended, and K.C.C.
50	21A.24.313, Ordinance 15051, Section 179, as amended,
51	and K.C.C. 21A.24.316, Ordinance 15051, Section 183, as
52	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.318, Ordinance 15051,
53	Section 185, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.325,
54	Ordinance 15051, Section 187, as amended, and K.C.C.
55	21A.24.335, Ordinance 10870, Section 481, as amended,
56	and K.C.C. 21A.24.340, Ordinance 15051, Section 192, as
57	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.355, Ordinance 15051,
58	Section 193, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.358,
59	Ordinance 15051, Section 195, as amended, and K.C.C.
60	21A.24.365, Ordinance 10870, Section 485, as amended,
61	and K.C.C. 21A.24.380, Ordinance 15051, Section 198, as
62	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.382, Ordinance 15051,
63	Section 204, and K.C.C. 21A.24.388, Ordinance 16958,
64	Section 31, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.25.100,
65	Ordinance 16985, Section 32, as amended, and K.C.C.
66	21A.25.110, Ordinance 3688, Section 415, as amended,

67	and K.C.C. 21A.25.150, Ordinance 16985, Section 39, as
68	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.25.160, Ordinance 16985,
69	Section 46, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.25.210,
70	Ordinance 11168, Section 3 as amended, and K.C.C.
71	21A.30.045, Ordinance 10870, Section 534, as amended,
72	and K.C.C. 21A.30.060, Ordinance 15051, Section 228,
73	and K.C.C. 21A.50.035, and Ordinance 263, Article 2,
74	Section 1, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.010, adding new
75	sections to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06, adding new sections to
76	K.C.C. chapter 21A.24, recodifying K.C.C. 21A.06.1331
77	and K.C.C. 21A.24.500, and repealing Ordinance 15051,
78	Section 139, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.055,
79	Ordinance 17539, Section 47, as amended, and K.C.C.
80	21A.24.072, Ordinance 15051, Section 152, as amended,
81	and K.C.C. 21A.24.137, Ordinance 10870, Section 461, as
82	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.140, Ordinance 11481,
83	Sections 3 and 5, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.314,
84	Ordinance 15051, Section 189, as amended, and K.C.C.
85	21A.24.342, and Ordinance 15051, Section 234, as
86	amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.550.
87	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
88	SECTION 1. Findings:

A. The Washington state Growth Management Act ("the GMA") requires
counties to include the best available science ("BAS") in developing policies and
development regulations to protect the functions and values of critical areas; give special
consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance
anadromous fisheries; ensure no net loss of ecological functions and values; and consider
critical areas regulations as part of the comprehensive plan review and evaluation
required by RCW 36.70A.130.

- B. King County completed its statutorily required comprehensive plan update in December 2024 via Ordinance XXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2023-0440). Under the reasonable progress exception in RCW 36.70.130(7)(b), the county has until December 2025 to complete the associated updates to critical areas regulations.
- C. King County reviewed BAS and updated its critical areas policies and development regulations. The county's current BAS review builds on the county's 2004 BAS review and was informed by the GMA and state guidance documents, updated BAS for critical areas developed by state natural resources agencies, supplemental scientific literature, county experience in implementing critical area regulations since 2004, consideration of the county's unique land use context, and the need to meet sometimes competing GMA goals.
- D. The October 2024 Best Available Science Review and Updates to Critical Areas Protections report summarizes GMA requirements for review and inclusion of BAS in updates to Comprehensive Plan policies and critical areas regulations, describes tribal consultation and community engagement, details the approach and scope for BAS review, reviews Comprehensive Plan considerations, and identifies regulatory updates

and non-regulatory actions to strengthen protection and ensure no net loss of critical areas
functions and values. As required by GMA, where policies and development regulations
depart from BAS, the report provides information and rationale to support departures,
assesses potential risks to critical areas functions and values, and describes regulatory and
nonregulatory actions to mitigate risk.

- E. Comprehensive Plan policies, land use designations, zoning classifications, and development regulations work in concert with non-regulatory actions, including land conservation and habitat restoration to ensure no-net loss of critical area functions and values.
- F. The proposed updates to critical areas regulations have the effect of strengthening protections for critical areas functions and values while advancing the goals of GMA, including the designation and protection of resource lands and industries, housing accommodation, protection of property rights, and prevention of urban sprawl, all within the unique land use and development context of unincorporated King County.
- G. To protect critical aquifer recharge areas, in accordance with chapter 36.70A RCW, the following provisions of the King County Code are determined to protect critical aquifer recharge areas: K.C.C. chapters 9.04, 9.12, 9.14, 16.82, 21A.06, 21A.16, 21A.22, and 21A.24, and K.C.C. 17.04.010. For the purposes of RCW 70A.355.030, King County declares critical aquifer recharges areas to be environmentally sensitive areas.
- H. The adoption of this ordinance completes all the requirements of the update required under RCW 36.70A.130.

134	SECTION 2. Ordinance 15053, Section 3, as amended, and K.C.C. 16.82.051 are
135	hereby amended to read as follows:
136	A. For the purposes of this section, the definitions in K.C.C. <u>16.82.020 apply to</u>
137	the activities described in this section. If a term is not defined, then the definition in
138	K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 shall apply ((to the activities described in this section, if the terms
139	are not defined in K.C.C. 16.82.020)). Where definitions in K.C.C. 16.82.020 differ from
140	the definitions in K.C.C. chapter 21A. 06, the definitions in K.C.C. 16.82.020 shall
141	control.
142	B. The activities in subsection D. of this section are exempted from the
143	requirement of obtaining a clearing or grading permit ((before undertaking forest
144	practices or clearing or grading activities, as long as)), if those activities conducted in
145	critical areas ((are in compliance)) comply with the standards in this chapter and in
146	K.C.C. chapter 21A.24, and are legally established. Activities ((not requiring)) exempt
147	from a clearing and grading permit are not exempt from other code requirements and may
148	require other permits, including, but not limited to, a floodplain development permit.
149	C. Clearing and grading permit requirement exemptions shall be interpreted as
150	follows:
151	1. The use of "NP" in a cell means that no clearing or grading permit is required
152	if the listed conditions and any applicable conditions in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 are met;
153	2. A number in a cell means the numbered condition in subsection E. of this
154	section applies, and:
155	a. where a series of numbers separated by commas are in a cell, each of the
156	numbered conditions for that activity applies; and

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

- b. if more than one letter-number combination appears in a cell, the conditions

 of at least one letter-number combination((s)) shall be met for a given exemption to

 apply;
 - 3. In cases where an activity may be included in more than one activity category, the most-specific description of the activity shall govern whether a permit is required;
 - 4. For activities ((involving more than one critical area)) where one or more critical areas are present, compliance with the conditions applicable to each critical area is required; and
 - 5. Clearing and grading permits are required when a cell in ((this)) the table in subsection D. of this section is empty and for activities not listed on the table.
 - D. Clearing and grading permit requirement exemptions.

"Wildlife area and	Out	Coa	Erosi	Floo	Cha	Land	Seis	((V	((Ste	Criti	Wet	Aqu	((Wil
network" column	of	1	on	d	nnel	slide	mic,	olea	ep	cal	land	atic	dlife
applies to both	Criti	Min	Haza	Haz	Mig	<u>&</u>	<u>Vol</u>	nie	Slop	Aqui	and	Are	Area
Wildlife Habitat	cal	e	rd	ard	ratio	Steep	<u>cani</u>	Haz	e	fer	Buff	a	and
Conservation Area	Are	Haz	<u>Area</u>	<u>Are</u>	n	Slop	<u>c,</u>	ard)	Haz	Rech	er	and	Buff
and Wildlife	a	ard		<u>a</u>	<u>Haz</u>	<u>e</u>	<u>and</u>)	ard	arge		((Bu	er))
Habitat Network.	and	<u>Are</u>			<u>ard</u>	Haza	<u>Tsu</u>		and	Area		ffer)	Wild
	Buff	<u>a</u>			<u>Are</u>	rd	<u>nam</u>		Buff)	<u>life</u>
	er				<u>a</u>	Area	<u>i</u>		er))			Ripa	<u>Habit</u>
						s and	Haz		Allu			<u>rian</u>	<u>at</u>

						Buff	ard		<u>vial</u>			Are	Area
						er	<u>Are</u>		<u>Fan</u>			<u>a</u>	and
							<u>a</u>		<u>Haz</u>				Wild
									<u>ard</u>				<u>life</u>
									Area				<u>Habit</u>
													<u>at</u>
													Netw
													<u>ork</u>
ACTIVITY													
Grading and													
Clearing													
Grading	NP	NP	NP				NP	((N		NP			
	1, 2	1, 2	1, 2				1, 2	P 1,		1, 2			
								2))					
Clearing	NP	NP	NP	NP			NP	((N		NP	NP	NP	
	3	3	3	3			3	₽		3	4	4	
	NP							3))			((N	((N	
	23										P	P	
	NP										23)	23)	
	24))	
Covering of	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
garbage	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	P	5	5	5	5	5

								5))					
Emergency tree	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
removal		6	6	6	6	6	6	P	6	6	6	6	6
								6))					
Hazard tree	NP	NP	NP	NP			NP	((N		NP			
removal	25	25	25	25			25	P		25			
								25)					
)					
Removal of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
noxious weeds								P))					
Removal of	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP		NP	((N	<u>NP</u>	NP	NP	NP	NP
invasive vegetation	7	7	7	7	7		7	P	<u>8</u>	7	8	8	8
								7))					
Forest	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
management	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	P	9	9	9	9	9
activity								9))					
Emergency action	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	P	10	10	10	10	10
								10)					
)					
Roads													
Grading within the	NP	((N	((N	NP			NP						

roadway	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	P	P	11			11
								11)	11)				
))				
Clearing within the	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
roadway		12	12	12	12	12	12	P	12		12	12	12
								12)					
)					
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
driveway or	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	P	13	13	13	13	13
private access road								13)					
)					
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
bridge or culvert	13,	13,	13,	13,	13,	13,	13,	P	13,	13,	13,	13,	13,
	14,	14,	14,	14,	14,	14,	14,	13,	14,	14,	14,	14,	14,
	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	14,	15	15	15	15	15
								15)					
)					
((Construction of	((N												
farm field access	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
drive))	16)												
)))))))))))))
((Maintenance of	((N												

farm field access	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
drive))	17)												
)))))))))))))
Utilities													
Construction or	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
maintenance of	18	19	19	19	19	19	19	P	19	18	19	19	19
utility corridors or								19)					
facility within the)					
right-of-way													
Construction or	NP		NP				NP	((N		NP			
maintenance of	1,		1,				1,	P 1,		1,			
utility corridors or	2, 3		2, 3				2, 3	2,		2, 3			
facility outside of	NP							3))					
the right-of-way	27												
	NP												
	28												
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
existing surface	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	P	11	11	11	11	11
water conveyance								11)					
system)					
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
existing surface	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	P	11	11	11	11	11

water flow control								11)					
and surface water)					
quality treatment													
facility													
Maintenance or	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
repair of flood	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	P	20	20	20	20	20
protection facility								20)					
)					
Maintenance or	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
repair of existing								P))			11	11	
instream structure													
Recreation areas													
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
outdoor public	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	₽	13	13	13	13	13
park facility, trail,								13)					
or publicly)					
improved													
recreation area													
Habitat and													
science projects													
Habitat	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
((restoration))creat		21	21	21	21	21	21	P	21		21	21	21

ion or								21)					
enhancement)					
project													
Drilling and testing	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
for critical	1,	1,	1,	22	22	22	1,	P 1,	22	1,	22	22	22
areas((s)) report or	2,	2,	2,				2,	2))		2,			
monitoring and	<u>22</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>22</u>				22			<u>22</u>			
data collection													
Agriculture													
Horticulture	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
activity including								P))					
tilling, discing,													
planting, seeding,													
harvesting,													
preparing soil,													
rotating crops, and													
related activity													
Grazing livestock	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
								P))					
Construction and	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP		NP	((N		NP	NP	NP	
maintenance of	((1	((1	((1	((1	((1		((1	₽		((1	((1	((1	
livestock manure	6))	6))	6))	6))	6))		6))	16)		6))	6))	6))	

storage facility	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>		<u>17</u>)		<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	
Maintenance or	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
replacement of	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	P	15	15	15	15	15
agricultural								15)					
drainage)					
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
agricultural	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	P	26	26	26	26	26
waterway								26)					
)					
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
farm pond, fish	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	P	15	15	15	15	15
pond, livestock								15)					
watering pond)					
Construction of	NP	<u>NP</u>	<u>NP</u>	NP	<u>NP</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>NP</u>	<u>NP</u>
farm field access	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>							
drive													
Maintenance of	NP	NP	NP	<u>NP</u>	NP	<u>NP</u>							
farm field access	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>							
drive													
Other													
Excavation of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
cemetery grave in								P))					

established and													
approved cemetery													
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
cemetery grave		13	13		13	13		P))	13		13	13	13
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
lawn, landscaping,		13	13		13	13		P))	13		13	13	13
and gardening for													
personal													
consumption													
Maintenance of	NP	((N	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP						
golf course	13	13	13	13	13	13	<u>13</u>	P))	13	13	13	13	13

169 E. The following conditions apply:

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

- 1. Excavation less than five feet in vertical depth((,)) or fill less than three feet in vertical depth that, cumulatively on a single site since January 1, 2005, does not involve more than one hundred cubic yards on a single site.
 - 2. Grading that produces less than two thousand square feet of new impervious surface on a single site added after January 1, 2005, or that produces less than two thousand square feet of replaced impervious surface or less than two thousand square feet of new plus replaced impervious surface after October 30, 2008. For purposes of this subsection E.2., "new impervious surface" and "replaced impervious surface" are defined in K.C.C. 9.04.020.

1/9	3. Cumulative clearing of less than seven thousand square feet on a single site
180	since January 1, 2005, including, but not limited to, collection of firewood and removal
181	of vegetation for fire safety. This exception shall not apply to development proposals:
182	a. regulated as a Class IV forest practice under chapter 76.09 RCW;
183	b. in a critical drainage areas established by administrative rules;
184	c. subject to clearing limits included in property-specific development
185	standards and special district overlays under K.C.C. chapter 21A.38; or
186	d. subject to urban growth area significant tree retention standards under
187	K.C.C. 16.82.156 and K.C.C. Title 21A.
188	4. Not allowed within a wetland, aquatic area, or a tree containing an active
189	<u>nest. Otherwise, allowed for $((C))$cutting firewood for personal use in accordance with a</u>
190	forest management plan ((or rural stewardship plan)) approved under K.C.C. Title 21A.
191	For the purpose of this condition, personal use shall not include the sale or other
192	commercial use of the firewood.
193	5. Limited to material at any solid waste facility operated by King County.
194	6. Allowed to prevent imminent danger to persons or structures.
195	7. Cumulative clearing of less than seven thousand square feet annually or
196	conducted in accordance with an approved farm management plan((5)) or forest
197	management plan((, or rural stewardship plan)).
198	8. Cumulative clearing on a single site since January 1, 2005, of less than seven
199	thousand square feet and either:
200	a. conducted in accordance with a farm management plan($(\frac{1}{2})$) or a forest
201	management plan((, or a rural stewardship plan)); or

202	b. limited to removal with hand labor.
203	9. When conducted as a Class I, II, III, or IV-S forest practice as defined in
204	chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC.
205	10. If done in compliance with K.C.C. 16.82.065.
206	11. Only when conducted by or at the direction of a government agency in
207	accordance with the regional road maintenance guidelines and K.C.C. 9.04.050, creates
208	less than two thousand square feet of new impervious surface on a single site added after
209	January 1, 2005, and is not within or does not directly discharge to an aquatic area or
210	wetland. For purposes of this subsection E.11., "new impervious surface" is defined in
211	K.C.C. 9.04.020.
212	12. Limited to clearing conducted by or at the direction of a government agency
213	or by a private utility that does not involve:
214	a. slope stabilization or vegetation removal on slopes; or
215	b. ditches that are used by ((salmonids)) fish.
216	13. In conjunction with normal and routine maintenance activities, if:
217	a. there is no alteration of a ditch or aquatic area that is used by ((salmonids
218	fish:)) fish;
219	b. ((the structure, condition, or site maintained was constructed or created in
220	accordance with law; and
221	e-)) the maintenance does not expand the roadway, lawn, landscaping, ditch,
222	culvert, or other improved area being maintained; and

223	c. the maintenance does not involve the use of herbicides or other hazardous
224	substances within critical areas or associated buffers, except for the removal of noxious
225	weeds or invasive vegetation.
226	14. If a culvert is used by ((salmonids)) fish or conveys water used by
227	((salmonids)) fish and there is no adopted farm management plan, the maintenance is
228	limited to removal of sediment and debris from the culvert and ((its)) associated inlet,
229	invert, and outlet and the stabilization of the area within three feet of the culvert where
230	the maintenance disturbed or damaged the bank or bed and does not involve the
231	excavation of a new sediment trap adjacent to the inlet.
232	15. If used by salmonids, only in compliance with an adopted farm management
233	plan in accordance with K.C.C. Title 21A and only if the maintenance activity is
234	inspected by:
235	a. The King Conservation District;
236	b. King County department of natural resources and parks;
237	c. King County department of local services, permitting division; or
238	d. Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife.
239	16. Only on sites with agricultural activities uses if:
240	a. consistent with an adopted farm management plan in accordance with
241	K.C.C. Title 21A((-));
242	b. constructed using best management practices approved by the permitting
243	division;
244	c. access is not greater than fourteen feet wide;

245	d. an alternate location is not available to provide less adverse impact on
246	critical areas and associated buffers;
247	e. in compliance with the requirements for farmland dispersion within the King
248	County Surface Water Design Manual;
249	f. located where it is least subject to risk from channel migration;
250	g. a floodplain development permit is obtained for any action within the
251	floodplain; and
252	h. all other required state and federal permits have been obtained and actions
253	comply with these permits.
254	17. Only if consistent with an adopted farm management plan in compliance
255	with K.C.C. Title 21A.
256	18. In accordance with a right-of-way construction permit.
257	19. Only within the roadway in accordance with a right-of-way construction
258	permit.
259	20. When:
260	a. conducted by a public agency;
261	b. the height of the facility is not increased;
262	c. the linear length of the facility is not increased;
263	d. the footprint of the facility is not expanded waterward;
264	e. done in accordance with the Regional Road Maintenance Guidelines;
265	f. done in accordance with the adopted King County Flood Management Plan
266	and the Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines (Washington State Aquatic Habitat
267	Guidelines Program, 2002); and

268	g. monitoring is conducted for three years following maintenance or repair and
269	an annual report is submitted to the department.
270	21. Only if:
271	a. the activity is not part of a mitigation plan associated with another
272	development proposal or is not corrective action associated with a violation((;)), and
273	((b. the activity is sponsored or cosponsored by a government agency that has
274	natural resource management as its primary function and the activity is)) limited to((÷))
275	(((4))) revegetation of the critical area and $((its))$ associated buffer with native
276	vegetation or climate-smart plants, or the removal of noxious weeds or invasive
277	vegetation using only hand labor; or
278	b. the activity is sponsored or cosponsored by a government agency that has
279	natural resource management as its primary function and limited to:
280	(1) revegetation of the critical area and associated buffer with native
281	vegetation or climate-smart plants, or the removal of noxious weeds or invasive
282	vegetation;
283	(2) placement of weirs, log controls, spawning gravel, ((woody debris)) <u>large</u>
284	wood, and other specific ((salmonid)) fish habitat improvements; and
285	(3) hand labor except:
286	(a) the use of riding mower or light mechanical cultivating equipment and
287	herbicides or biological control methods when prescribed by the King County noxious
288	weed control board for the removal of noxious weeds or invasive vegetation; or
289	(b) the use of helicopters or cranes if they have no contact with or otherwise
290	disturb the critical area or ((its)) associated buffer.

291	22. If done with hand equipment((and)), does not involve any clearing, and
292	equipment is not left in the critical area or associated buffer when work is concluded.
293	23. Limited to tree and vegetation clearing for the purposes of wildfire
294	preparedness, except tree and vegetation clearing subject to K.C.C. 16.82.156, ((or))
295	K.C.C. Title 21A, or otherwise requiring a permit, including, but not limited to,
296	alterations within critical areas, as follows:
297	a. Within thirty feet of a residential structure containing habitable space, the
298	following is allowed:
299	(1) vegetation removal:
300	(a) within fifteen feet of the furthest attached exterior point of a residential
301	structure containing habitable space or a deck;
302	(b) within ten feet of an installed above ground propane or liquefied
303	petroleum gas tank; and
304	(c) underneath a tree crown to provide up to ten feet of clearance from the
305	ground to remove ladder fuels; and
306	(2) removal and pruning of trees to provide:
307	(a) ten feet of clearance from the ground to remove ladder fuels, as long as
308	pruning does not exceed one-third of tree height;
309	(b) fifteen feet of clearance over driveways for emergency vehicle access;
310	(c) eighteen feet between tree crowns; and
311	(d) ten feet between tree crowns and decks, chimneys, propane tanks,
312	liquefied petroleum gas tanks, overhead communication cables, overhead electrical wires
313	or other structures; and

314	b. All activities in subsection E.23.a. of this section are also allowed up to one
315	hundred feet from a residential structure containing habitable space if such clearing is
316	advised in a wildfire risk assessment conducted by a professional holding a wildfire risk
317	assessment certification, or the activity is advised in a forest stewardship plan approved
318	by the department of natural resources and parks that includes best management practices
319	to reduce wildfire risk, except ((as follows:)) that
320	(((1))) removal and pruning of trees to provide clearance between tree crowns
321	is limited to providing:
322	$((\frac{a}{a}))$ (1) twelve feet between tree crowns $(\frac{a}{a})$ located more than
323	thirty feet and up to sixty feet $((ef))$ from a residential structure containing habitable
324	space; and
325	$((\frac{b}{b}))$ (2) six feet between tree crowns $(\frac{b}{b})$ located more than sixty
326	feet and up to one hundred feet ((Θf)) \underline{from} a residential structure containing habitable
327	space.
328	24. Limited to the removal of downed trees.
329	25. Except on properties that are:
330	a. subject to clearing limits included in property-specific development
331	standards and special district overlays under K.C.C. chapter 21A.38; or
332	b. subject to urban growth area significant tree retention standards under
333	K.C.C. 16.82.156.
334	26. Only if allowed under K.C.C. 21A.24.045.D.69. and if the maintenance
335	activity is inspected by the:
336	a. King Conservation District;

337	b. department of natural resources and parks;
338	c. department of local services, permitting division; or
339	d. Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife.
340	27. Pruning of trees to provide up to ten feet of clearance from overhead
341	communication cables and electrical wire components of utility facilities, if:
342	a. ((no)) all debris is ((left)) removed following the pruning activity;
343	b. authorized by a right-of-way construction permit;
344	c. pruning activities around overhead electrical facilities do not extend fifteen
345	feet beyond the right-of-way; and
346	d. any work is approved by the property owner.
347	28. Tree and vegetation clearing, except for overhead facilities in subsection
348	E.27. of this section, and except for tree and vegetation clearing subject to K.C.C.
349	16.82.156 or K.C.C. Title 21A or otherwise requiring a permit, as follows:
350	a. Up to thirty feet measured horizontally from the utility facility structure, the
351	following is allowed:
352	(1) vegetation removal:
353	(a) within fifteen feet of the furthest attached exterior point of a structure;
354	and
355	(b) underneath a tree crown to provide up to ten feet of clearance from the
356	ground to remove ladder fuels;
357	(2) removal and pruning of trees to provide:
358	(a) ten feet of clearance from the ground to remove ladder fuels, as long as
359	pruning does not exceed one-third of tree height;

360	(b) fifteen feet of clearance over driveways for emergency vehicle access;
361	(c) eighteen feet between tree crowns; and
362	(d) ten feet between tree crowns and structures; and
363	(3) the screening function of any landscaping planted to provide screening in
364	K.C.C. chapter 21A.16 is maintained; and
365	b. All of the activities in subsection E.28.a. of this section are also allowed up
366	to one hundred feet measured horizontally from the utility facility structure if such
367	clearing activity is advised in a wildfire risk assessment conducted by a professional
368	holding a wildfire risk assessment certification, or the activity is advised in a forest
369	stewardship plan approved by the department of natural resources and parks and that
370	includes best management practices to reduce wildfire risks, except that removal and
371	pruning of trees to provide clearance between tree crowns is limited to providing:
372	(1) twelve feet between tree crowns, when more than thirty feet and up to
373	sixty feet measured horizontally from a utility facility structure; and
374	(2) six feet between tree crowns, when more than sixty feet and up to one
375	hundred feet measured horizontally from a utility facility structure.
376	SECTION 3. Ordinance 3692, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.200 are
377	hereby amended to read as follows:
378	A. The King County shoreline master program consists of the following
379	elements, enacted on or before the date of enactment of ((Ordinance XXXX (Proposed
380	Ordinance 2023 0440)) this ordinance:
381	1. The King County Comprehensive Plan chapter six;
382	2. K.C.C. chapter 21A.25;

```
383
                    3. The following sections of K.C.C. chapter 21A.24:
384
                      a. K.C.C. 21A.24.045;
385
                      b. K.C.C. 21A.24.051;
386
                      c. ((<del>K.C.C. 21A.24.055;</del>
387
                      <del>d.</del>)) K.C.C. 21A.24.070.A., B.2., C.2., D., and E.;
                     ((e.)) d. K.C.C. 21A.24.125;
388
                      ((<del>f.</del>)) <u>e.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.130;
389
390
                      ((g_{\cdot})) <u>f.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.133;
391
                      ((h.)) g. K.C.C. 21A.24.200;
392
                      ((<del>i.</del>)) <u>h.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.210;
393
                      ((j.)) <u>i.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.220;
                      ((k.)) j. K.C.C. 21A.24.275;
394
                      ((<del>L</del>)) <u>k.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.280;
395
396
                      ((m.)) <u>1.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.290;
397
                      ((n.)) m. K.C.C. 21A.24.300;
398
                      ((<del>0.</del>)) <u>n.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.310;
399
                      ((<del>p.</del>)) <u>o.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.316;
                      ((<del>q.</del>)) <u>p.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.318;
400
401
                      ((<del>r.</del>)) <u>q.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.325;
402
                      ((<del>s.</del>)) <u>r.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.335;
403
                      ((<del>t.</del>)) <u>s.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.340;
                      ((<del>u.</del>)) <u>t.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.355;
404
405
                      ((<del>v.</del>)) <u>u.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.358;
```

```
406
                 ((<del>w.</del>)) v. K.C.C. 21A.24.365;
407
                 ((<del>x.</del>)) <u>w.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.380;
408
                 ((<del>y.</del>)) <u>x.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.382;
409
                 ((z.)) y. K.C.C. 21A.24.386; and
410
                 ((<del>aa.</del>)) <u>z.</u> K.C.C. 21A.24.388;
411
                4. The following:
412
                 a. K.C.C. 20.18.050;
413
                 b. K.C.C. 20.18.056;
414
                 c. K.C.C. 20.18.057;
415
                 d. K.C.C. 20.18.058;
416
                 e. K.C.C. 20.22.160;
417
                 f. K.C.C. 21A.32.045;
418
                 g. K.C.C. 21A.44.090;
419
                 h. K.C.C. 21A.44.100; and
420
                 i. K.C.C. 21A.50.030; and
421
                5. The 2024 King County Flood Management Plan.
422
              B. The shoreline management goals and policies constitute the official policy of
423
       King County regarding areas of the county subject to shoreline jurisdiction under chapter
424
       90.58 RCW. As provided by WAC 173-26-191(2)(a), King County's local
425
       administrative, enforcement, and permit review procedures shall conform to chapter
426
       90.58 RCW but shall not be a part of the shoreline master program.
427
              C. Amendments to the shoreline master program do not apply to the shoreline
428
       jurisdiction until approved by the Washington state Department of Ecology as provided
```

in RCW 90.58.090. The department of local services, permitting division, shall, within
ten days after the date of the Department of Ecology's approval, file a copy of the
Department of Ecology's approval, in the form of an electronic copy, with the clerk of the
council, who shall retain the original and provide electronic copies to all
councilmembers, the chief of staff, and the lead staff of the local services and land use
committee, or its successor.
SECTION 4. Ordinance 10511, Section 7, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.36.100 are

- <u>SECTION 4.</u> Ordinance 10511, Section 7, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.36.100 are hereby amended to read as follows:
- A. The definitions in this section apply throughout this section, as well as in K.C.C. 20.36.040 and K.C.C. 20.36.190, unless the context clearly requires otherwise.
- B. To be eligible for open space classification under the public benefit rating system, a property shall contain one or more qualifying open space resources and have at least five points as determined under this section. The department shall review each application and recommend award of credit for current use of the property. In making the recommendation, the department shall utilize the point system described in subsections C. and D. of this section.
 - C. The following open space resources are each eligible for the points indicated:
- 1. Active trail linkage fifteen or twenty-five points. "Active trail linkage" means land in private ownership through which the owner agrees to allow public passage for active transportation, as defined in K.C.C. 14.01.xxx (((the new section created by)) Ordinance XXXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2023-0440), ((s)))Section 21 ((of this ordinance))), for the purpose of providing a connection between trails within the county's regional trails system and local or regional attractions or points of interest, for trail users

including equestrians, pedestrians, bicyclists, and other users. "Local or regional attractions or points of interest" include other trails, parks, waterways, or other recreational and open space attractions, retail centers, arts and cultural facilities, transportation facilities, residential concentrations, or similar destinations. The linkage shall be open to passage by the general public and the property owner shall enter into an agreement with the county consistent with applicable parks and recreation division policies to grant public access. To receive twenty-five points, the property owner shall enter into an agreement with the county regarding improvement of the trail, including trail pavement and maintenance. To receive fifteen points, the property owner shall agree to allow a soft-surface, nonpaved trail. The parks and recreation division is authorized to develop criteria for determining the highest priority linkages for which it will enter into agreements with property owners;

- 2. Aquifer protection area five points. "Aquifer protection area" means property that has a plant community in which native plants are dominant and that includes an area designated as a critical aquifer recharge area under K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 or applicable city critical aquifer recharge area regulations. At least fifty percent of the enrolling open space area or a minimum of one acre of open space shall be designated as a critical aquifer recharge area. If the enrolling open space area does not have a plant community in which native plants are dominant, revegetation shall occur subject to a revegetation plan reviewed and approved by the department;
- 3. Buffer to public or current use classified land three points. "Buffer to public or current use classified land" means land that has a plant community in which native plants are dominant or has other natural features, such as streams or wetlands, and that is

abutting and provides a buffer to a publicly owned park, trail, or forest, to land legally
required to remain in a natural state, to a state or federal highway, or to a property
participating in a current use taxation program under chapters 84.33 or 84.34 RCW. The
buffer shall be at least fifty feet long and fifty feet ((in)) wide. Public roads may separate
the public land, or land in private ownership classified under chapters 84.33 or 84.34
RCW, from the buffering land, if the entire buffer is at least as wide and long as the
adjacent section of the road easement. Landscaping or other nonnative vegetation may
not separate the public land or land enrolled under chapters 84.33 or 84.34 RCW from the
native vegetation buffer. The department may grant an exception to the native vegetation
requirement for property along parkways with historic designation, upon review and
recommendation of the historic preservation officer of King County or the local
jurisdiction in which the property is located. Eligibility for this exception does not
extend to a property where plantings are required or existing plant communities are
protected under local zoning codes, development mitigation requirements, or other local
regulations;

- 4. Ecological enhancement land eighteen points. "Ecological enhancement land" means open space lands undergoing recovery of significantly degraded or lost ecological function or processes. The following requirements shall be met:
- a. A jurisdiction, natural resource agency, or appropriate organization has committed to sponsoring the ecological enhancement project, with secured funding in place before the application's public hearing;
- b. The ecological enhancement project shall include removing significant human-made structures, alterations, or impediments such as shoreline armoring, roads,

culverts, and wetland fill that are detrimental to significant wildlife or salmonid habitat
The intent of the removal shall be to reestablish natural function or processes to the
project area;

- c. The owner is responsible for providing and implementing an ecological enhancement plan for the proposed project. The approved enhancement plan shall include at least a statement of purpose, detailed description of work to be done, site map of the project area, and specific timeline for the enhancement activities to be completed. The enhancement plan is subject to approval by the department; and
- d. The owner shall annually provide to the department a monitoring report detailing the enhancement efforts' success for five years following enrollment. The owner shall also provide any additional monitoring reports required by K.C.C. 20.36.190. The monitoring report shall describe the progress and success of the enhancement project and shall include photographs to document the success. Land receiving credit for this category may not receive credit for the ((rural stewardship land or)) resource restoration ((categories)) category;
- 5. Equestrian-pedestrian-bicycle trail linkage thirty-five points. "Equestrian-pedestrian-bicycle trail linkage" means land in private ownership that the property owner allows the public to use as an off-road trail linkage for equestrian, pedestrian, or other active transportation, as defined in K.C.C. 14.01.xxx (((the new section created by)))

 Ordinance XXXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2023-0440), ((s)))Section 21 ((of this ordinance))), uses, or that provides a trail link from a public right-of-way to a trail system. Use of motorized vehicles is prohibited on trails receiving a tax reduction for this category, except for maintenance or for medical, public safety, or police

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

trail. The landowner may impose reasonable restrictions on access that are mutually agreed to by the landowner and the department, such as limiting use to daylight hours. To be eligible as an equestrian-pedestrian-bicycle trail linkage, the owner shall provide a trail easement to an appropriate public or private entity acceptable to the department. The easement shall be recorded with the King County recorder's office or its successor. In addition to the area covered by the trail easement, adjacent land used as pasture, barn, or stable area and any corral or paddock may be included, if an approved and implemented farm management plan is provided. Land necessary to provide a buffer from the trail to other nonequestrian uses, land that contributes to the aesthetics of the trail, such as a forest, and land set aside and marked for off road parking for trail users may also be included as land eligible for current use taxation. Those portions of private roads, driveways, or sidewalks open to the public for this purpose may also qualify. Fencing and gates are not allowed in the trail easement area, except those that are parallel to the trail or linkage; 6. Farm and agricultural conservation land - five points. "Farm and agricultural conservation land" means land previously classified as farm and agricultural land under RCW 84.34.020 that no longer meets the criteria of farm and agricultural land, or traditional farmland not classified under chapter 84.34 RCW that has not been

emergencies. Public access is required only on that portion of the property containing the

property owner shall commit to returning the property to farm or agricultural activities by

irrevocably devoted to a use inconsistent with agricultural uses and has a high potential

for returning to commercial agriculture. The property shall be used for farm and

agricultural activities or have a high probability of returning to agriculture and the

implementing a farm management plan. An applicant shall have an approved farm management plan in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051 that is acceptable to the department and that is being implemented according to its proposed schedule of activities before receiving credit for this category. Farm and agricultural activities shall occur on at least one acre of the property. Eligible land shall be zoned to allow agricultural uses and be owned by the same owner or held under the same ownership. Land receiving credit for this category may not receive credit for the contiguous parcels under separate ownership category;

- 7. Forest stewardship land five points. "Forest stewardship land" means property that is managed according to an approved forest stewardship plan and that is not enrolled in the designated forestland program under chapter 84.33 RCW. The property shall contain at least four acres of contiguous forestland, which may include land undergoing reforestation, according to the approved plan. The owner shall have and implement a forest stewardship plan approved by the department. The forest stewardship plan may emphasize forest retention, harvesting, or a combination of both. Land receiving credit for this category may not receive credit for the resource restoration ((external stewardship land categories)) category;
- 8. Historic landmark or archeological site: buffer to a designated site three points. "Historic landmark or archaeological site: buffer to a designated site" means property adjacent to land constituting or containing a designated county or local historic landmark or archeological site, as determined by King County's historic preservation officer or by a manager of a certified local government program in the jurisdiction in which the property is located. A property shall have a plant community in which native

plants are dominant and provide a significant buffer for a designated landmark or archaeological site listed on the county or other certified local government list or register of historic places or landmarks. "Significant buffer" means land and plant communities that provide physical, visual, noise, or other barriers and separation from adverse effects to the historic resources due to adjacent land use;

- 9. Historic landmark or archaeological site: designated site five points.

 "Historic landmark or archaeological site: designated site" means land that constitutes or contains a historic landmark designated by King County or other certified local government program in the jurisdiction in which the property is located. Historic landmarks include buildings, structures, districts, or sites of significance in the county's historic or prehistoric heritage, such as Native American settlements, trails, pioneer settlements, farmsteads, roads, industrial works, bridges, burial sites, prehistoric and historic archaeological sites, or traditional cultural properties. A property shall be listed on a county or other certified local government list or register of historic places or landmarks for which there is local regulatory protection. Eligible property may include property that contributes to the historic character within designated historic districts, as defined by the historic preservation officer of King County or other certified local government jurisdiction. The King County historic preservation officer shall make the determination on eligibility;
- 10. Historic landmark or archeological site: eligible site three points.

 "Historic landmark or archaeological site: eligible site" means land that constitutes or contains a historic property that has the potential of being designated by a certified local government jurisdiction, including buildings, structures, districts, or sites of significance

in the county's historic or prehistoric heritage, such as Native American settlements, pioneer settlements, farmsteads, roads, industrial works, bridges, burial sites, prehistoric and historic archaeological sites, or traditional cultural properties. To be eligible, the historic preservation officer of King County or other certified local government program in the jurisdiction in which the property is located shall determine the property meets the jurisdiction's criteria for designation and listing on the county or other local register of historic places or landmarks for which there is local regulatory protection. Eligible property may include contributing property within designated historic districts. Property listed in the state or national Registers of Historic Places may qualify under this category;

- 11. Public recreation area five points. "Public recreation area" means land devoted to providing active or passive recreation use or that complements or substitutes for recreation facilities characteristically provided by public agencies. Use of motorized vehicles is prohibited, except for golf carts on golf courses, for maintenance, or for medical, public safety, or police emergencies. The facilities shall be open to the general public or to specific public user groups, such as youth, seniors, or people with disabilities. A property shall be identified by the responsible agency within whose jurisdiction the property is located as meeting the definition of public recreation area. The property owner shall use any best practices defined in K.C.C. chapter 21A.06. If a fee is charged for use, it shall be comparable to the fee charged by a similar public facility;
- 12. Rural open space five points. "Rural open space" means an area of ten or more contiguous acres of open space located outside of the urban growth area as identified in the King County Comprehensive Plan that:
 - a. has a plant community in which native plants are dominant; or

b. is former open farmland, woodlots, scrublands, or other lands that are in the
process of being replanted with native vegetation and for which the property owner is
implementing an approved farm management, ecological enhancement, forest
stewardship, ((rural stewardship,)) or resource restoration plan acceptable to the
department;

- 13. ((Rural stewardship land five points. "Rural stewardship land" means land zoned RA (rural area), A (agricultural), or F (forest), that has an implemented rural stewardship plan under K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 acceptable to the department. On RAzoned properties, the approved rural stewardship plan shall meet the goals and standards of K.C.C. 21A.24.055. On A- and F-zoned properties, credit for this category is allowed if the plan meets the goals of K.C.C. 21A.24.055. A rural stewardship plan includes, but is not limited to, identification of critical areas, location of structures and significant features, site specific best management practices, a schedule for implementation, and a plan for monitoring as provided in K.C.C. 21A.24.055. To be eligible as rural stewardship land, the open space shall be at least one acre and feature a plant community in which native plants are dominant or be in the process of native vegetation restoration, reforestation, or enhancement. Land receiving credit for this category may not receive credit for the ecological enhancement land, resource restoration, or forest stewardship land categories;
 - 14.)) Scenic resource, viewpoint, or view corridor five points.
- a. "Scenic resource" means an area of natural or recognized cultural features visually significant to the aesthetic character of the county. The site shall be significant to the identity of the local area, be visible to a significant number of the general public

from public rights-of-way, be of sufficient size to substantially preserve the scenic resource value, and enroll at least ten acres of open space.

- b. A "viewpoint" means a property that provides a view of an area visually significant to the aesthetic character of the county. A site shall provide a view of a scenic natural or recognized cultural resource in King County or other visually significant area, allow unlimited public access, and be identified by a permanent sign readily visible from a road or other public right-of-way.
- c. A "view corridor" means a property that contributes to the aesthetics of a recognized view corridor critical to maintaining a public view of a visually significant scenic natural or recognized cultural resource. The site shall contain at least one acre of open space that contributes to a view corridor visible to the public and that provides views of a scenic natural resource area or recognized cultural resource significant to the local area. The site shall have ((a)) significant cultural areas and contain significant inventoried or designated historic properties, as determined by the King County historic preservation officer or officer of another certified local government program in the jurisdiction in which the property is located in. Eligibility is subject to determination by the department or applicable jurisdiction;
- ((15.)) 14. Significant plant or ecological site five points. "Significant plant or ecological site" means an area that meets the criteria for Element Occurrence established under the Washington Natural Heritage Program authorized by chapter 79.70 RCW. An Element Occurrence is a particular, on-the-ground observation of a rare species or ecosystem. An eligible site shall be listed as an Element Occurrence by the Washington Natural Heritage Program or be identified as a property that meets the criteria for an

659	Element Occurrence. The identification shall be confirmed by a qualified expert
660	acceptable to the department. The department shall notify the Washington Natural
661	Heritage Program of any verified Element Occurrence on an enrolling property.
662	Commercial nurseries, arboretums, or other maintained garden sites with native or
663	nonnative plantings are ineligible for this category;
664	((16.)) 15. Significant wildlife or ((salmonid)) fish habitat - five points.
665	a. "Significant wildlife or ((salmonid)) <u>fish</u> habitat" means:
666	(1) an area used by animal species listed as endangered, threatened, sensitive,
667	or candidate by the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife or Department of
668	Natural Resources or used by species of local ((significance)) importance that are listed
669	by the King County Comprehensive Plan or a local jurisdiction;
670	(2) an area where the species listed in subsection $C.((16.))15a.(1)$ of this
671	section are potentially found with sufficient frequency for critical ecological processes,
672	such as reproduction, nesting, rearing, wintering, feeding, or resting, to occur;
673	(3) a site that meets the criteria for priority habitats as defined by the
674	Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife and that is so listed by the King
675	County Comprehensive Plan or by the local jurisdiction in which the property is located;
676	or
677	(4) a site that meets criteria for a wildlife habitat conservation area as defined
678	by the department or a local jurisdiction.
679	b. To be eligible, the department, by its own determination or by expert
680	determination acceptable to the department, shall verify that qualified species are present
681	on the property or that the land fulfills the functions described in subsection $C.((16))15.a.$

of this section. To receive credit for ((salmonid)) <u>fish</u> habitat, the owner shall provide a buffer at least fifteen percent greater in width than required by any applicable regulation. Property consisting mainly of disturbed or fragmented open space determined by the department as having minimal wildlife habitat significance is ineligible;

((47:)) 16. Special animal site - three points. "Special animal site" means a site that includes a wildlife habitat network identified by the King County Comprehensive Plan or individual jurisdictions through the Growth Management Act, chapter 36.70A RCW, or a biodiversity area and corridor identified by the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife's priority habitats and species project as of the date of the application as identified by King County or local or state jurisdiction or by expert verification acceptable to the department or local jurisdiction. Property consisting mainly of disturbed or fragmented open space determined by the department to have minimal wildlife habitat significance is ineligible for this category;

((18-))17. Surface water quality buffer – five, eight, or ten total points. "Surface water quality buffer" means an undisturbed area that has a plant community in which native plants are dominant abutting a lake, pond, stream, shoreline, wetland, or marine waters on or abutting the property, that provides buffers beyond that required by any applicable regulation. To receive five points, the buffer shall be at least fifty percent wider than the buffer required by any applicable regulation. To receive eight points, the buffer shall be at least two times the required width. To receive ten points, the buffer shall be at least three times the required width. The qualifying buffer shall be longer than twenty-five feet and shall be preserved from clearing or maintenance, unless this area is part of a department-approved ecological enhancement, farm management, forest

705	stewardship, ((rural stewardship,)) or resource restoration plan. Grazing use by livestock
706	on such land is prohibited;
707	((19.)) <u>18.</u> Urban open space - five points.
708	a. "Urban open space" means land located within the boundaries of a city or
709	within the urban growth area that has a plant community in which native plants are
710	dominant and that under the applicable zoning is eligible for more-intensive development
711	or use. The enrolling area shall be at least one acre, or be at least one-half acre if the land
712	meets one of the following criteria:
713	(1) the land conserves and enhances natural or scenic resources;
714	(2) the land protects streams or water supply;
715	(3) the land promotes conservation of soils, wetlands, beaches, or tidal
716	marshes;
717	(4) the land enhances the value to the public of adjacent parks, forests,
718	wildlife preserves, nature reservations or sanctuaries, or other open space;
719	(5) the land enhances recreation opportunities for the general public; or
720	(6) the land preserves visual quality along highways, roads, and streets or
721	scenic vistas.
722	b. Owners of noncontiguous properties that together meet the minimum
723	acreage requirement may jointly apply under this category if each property is closer than
724	seventy-five feet to one other property in the application and if each property contains an
725	enrolling open space area at least as large as the minimum zoned lot size; and
726	((20.)) <u>19.</u> Watershed protection area - five points. "Watershed protection area"
727	means property contributing to the forest cover that provides run-off reduction and

groundwater protection. The property shall consist of contiguous native forest or be in the process of reforestation. The enrolling forested area shall consist of additional forest cover beyond that required by county or applicable local government regulation and shall be at least one acre or sixty-five percent of the property acreage, whichever is greater. If reforestation or improvements to the forest health are necessary, the property owner shall provide and implement an ecological enhancement, a forest stewardship, or resource restoration((, or rural stewardship)) plan that addresses this need and is acceptable to the department.

- D. Property qualifying for an open space category in subsection C. of this section may receive credit for additional points as follows:
- 1. Conservation easement or historic preservation easement eighteen points.

 "Conservation easement or historic preservation easement" means land on which an
 easement is voluntarily placed that restricts, in perpetuity, further potential development
 or other uses of the property. The easement is subject to approval by the department and
 shall be recorded with the King County recorder's office or its successor. The easement
 shall be conveyed to the county or to an organization acceptable to the department, such
 as a land trust or conservancy. Historic preservation easements are subject to approval by
 the historic preservation officer of King County or of the local government jurisdiction in
 which the property is located. An easement required by zoning, subdivision conditions,
 or other land use regulation is not eligible unless an additional substantive easement area
 is provided beyond that otherwise required;
 - 2. Contiguous parcels under separate ownership two points.

- a. "Contiguous parcels under separate ownership" means at least two or more parcels under different ownership where either:
 - (1) the enrolling parcels and open space acreage abut each other without a significant human-made barrier separating them; or
 - (2) the enrolling parcels do not abut each other, but abut a publicly owned open space, without a significant human-made barrier separating the publicly owned open space and the open space portion of the parcels seeking open space classification.
 - b. Award of this category requires a single application by multiple owners and parcels with identical qualifying public benefit rating system resources. Only a single application fee is required.
 - c. Contiguous parcels of land with the same qualifying public benefit rating system resources are eligible for treatment as a single parcel if open space classification is sought under the same application except as otherwise prohibited by the farm and agricultural conservation land category. Each parcel need not meet the minimum acreage requirements for a resource category so long as the total area of all enrolling land combined meets any required minimum acreage requirements. The owners of each parcel included in the application shall agree to identical terms and conditions for enrollment in the program.
 - d. Individual parcels or portions of parcels may be withdrawn or removed from open space classification, consistent with all applicable rules and regulations. The continued eligibility of all parcels and associated acreage remaining in open space classification accepted under the same application is dependent upon the continued qualification for a resource category or categories.

- e. Points are awarded for each participating owner above one owner and accrue to all owners of a single application. The withdrawal or removal of all enrolled acreage associated with an owner results in the loss of two points for each remaining owner;
- 3. Easement and access thirty-five points. "Easement and access" means that the property has at least one qualifying open space resource, unlimited public access or limited public access due to resource sensitivity, and a conservation easement or historic preservation easement in perpetuity in a form and with conditions acceptable to the department. A property shall only be eligible in this category if it receives credit for an open space category and for the conservation easement or historic easement in perpetuity category. The owner shall agree to allow public access to the portion of the property designated for public access in the easement. An easement required by zoning, subdivision conditions, or other land use regulation is not eligible, unless there is additional easement area beyond that required. Credit for this category may not overlap with the equestrian-pedestrian-bicycle trail linkage;
- 4. Public access points depend on type and frequency of access allowed.

 "Public access " means the general public is allowed access on an ongoing basis for uses such as recreation, education, or training. Access shall be allowed on the portion of the property that is designated for public access. The landowner may impose reasonable restrictions on access, such as limiting use to daylight hours, agreed to by the department. No physical barriers may limit reasonable public access or negatively affect an open space resource. A property owner shall demonstrate that the property is open to public access and is used by the public. Award of public access points for historic ((properties)) properties is subject to approval by the historic preservation officer of King County or a

certified officer of another local government jurisdiction in which the property is located.
The property owner may be required to furnish and maintain signage according to county
specifications.

- a. Unlimited public access five points. Year-round access by the general public is allowed without special arrangements with the property owner.
- b. Limited public access ((because of)) due to resource sensitivity five points.

 Access may be reasonably limited by the property owner due to the sensitive nature of the resource, with access provided only to appropriate user groups. The access allowed should generally be for an educational, scientific, or research purpose and may require special arrangements with the owner.
- c. Seasonally limited public access three points. Access by the public is allowed only for part of the year due to due to seasonal conditions, as mutually agreed to by the landowner and the department.
- d. Environmental education access three points. The landowner enters into an agreement with a school, with an organization with 26 U.S.C. Sec. 501(c)(3) tax status, or, with the agreement of the department, with another community organization that allows membership by the general public to provide environmental education to its members or the public at large. The department shall verify that the enrolled portion of the property has value for environmental education purposes.
- e. None or members-only zero points. No public access is allowed or the access is allowed only by members of the organization using or owning the land; and
- 5. Resource restoration five points. "Resource restoration" means restoration of an enrolling area of property benefiting an area in an open space resource category.

Emphasis is placed on the restoration of native vegetation associated with anadromous
fish rearing habitat, riparian zones, migration corridors and wildlife, forest, stream, and
wetland habitats. The owner shall provide and implement a restoration plan approved by
the department. The plan may be developed in cooperation with a natural resource expert
or agency. The approved restoration plan shall, at a minimum, include a purpose
statement, a description of restoration work to be done, a detailed site map of the area to
be restored, a specific timeline for the restoration activities to be completed and a
monitoring schedule for the restoration project's first five years. Historic resource
restoration is subject to approval by the King County historic preservation officer or
officer of another certified local government in the jurisdiction in which the property is
located and shall be accompanied by a long-term maintenance plan. The owner shall also
provide to the department a yearly monitoring report for at least five years following
enrollment in the public benefit rating system program. The report shall describe the
progress and success of the restoration project and shall include photographs to document
the success. Land receiving credit for this category may not receive credit for the
ecological enhancement land((5)) or forest stewardship land((5 or rural stewardship land))
categories.
SECTION 5 Ordinance 6949 Section 6 as amended, and K.C.C. 20.44 040 are

SECTION 5. Ordinance 6949, Section 6, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.44.040 are hereby amended to read as follows:

A. King County adopts the standards and procedures specified in WAC 197-11-300 through 197-11-390 and 197-11-800 through 197-11-890 for determining categorical exemptions and making threshold determinations subject to the following:

1. The following exempt threshold levels are hereby established in accordance
with WAC 197-11-800(1)(c) for the exemptions in WAC 197-11-800(1)(b):

- a. The construction or location of any residential structures of twenty dwelling units within the boundaries of an urban growth area, or of any residential structures of eight dwelling units outside of the boundaries of an urban growth area;
- b. The construction of a barn, loafing shed, farm equipment storage building, produce storage or packing structure, or similar agricultural structure, covering thirty thousand square feet on land zoned agricultural, or fifteen thousand square feet in all other zones, and to be used only by the property owner or agent in the conduct of farming the property. This exemption shall not apply to feed lots;
- c. The construction of an office, school, commercial, recreational, service or storage building with twelve thousand square feet of gross floor area, and with associated parking facilities designed for forty automobiles;
 - d. The construction of a parking lot designed for forty automobiles;
- e. Any fill or excavation of five hundred cubic yards throughout the total lifetime of the fill or excavation and any fill or excavation classified as a class I, II, or III forest practice under RCW 76.09.050 or regulation thereunder: The categorical exemption threshold shall be one hundred cubic yards for any fill or excavation that is in ((an aquatic area, wetland,)) a steep slope, ((or)) landslide ((hazard area)), or alluvial fan hazard area. If the proposed action is to remove from or replace fill in ((an aquatic area, wetland,))a steep slope, ((or)) landslide ((hazard area)), or alluvial fan hazard area to correct a violation, the threshold shall be five hundred cubic yards.

803	2. The determination of whether a proposal is categorically exempt shall be
864	made by the county department that serves as lead agency for that proposal.
865	B. The mitigated DNS provision of WAC 197-11-350 shall be enforced as
866	follows:
867	1. If the department issues a mitigated DNS, conditions requiring compliance
868	with the mitigation measures which were specified in the application and environmental
869	checklist shall be deemed conditions of any decision or recommendation of approval of
870	the action.
871	2. If at any time the proposed mitigation measures are withdrawn or
872	substantially changed, the responsible official shall review the threshold determination
873	and, if necessary, may withdraw the mitigated DNS and issue a DS.
874	NEW SECTION. SECTION 6. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06
875	a new section to read as follows:
876	Active nest: a nest or breeding site that is actively being used, built, or repaired
877	by birds.
878	NEW SECTION. SECTION 7. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06
879	a new section to read as follows:
880	Alluvial fan: a fan-shaped deposit of sediment and organic debris formed where a
881	stream flows or has flowed out of an upland onto a flat plain or valley floor due to a
882	sudden change in sediment transport capacity, such as a significant change in slope or
883	confinement.
884	NEW SECTION. SECTION 8. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06
885	a new section to read as follows:

886	Alluvial fan hazard area: any area on an alluvial fan that is susceptible to natural
887	hazards such as episodic inundation, sedimentation, and erosional impacts. Alluvial fan
888	hazard areas are a type of geological hazard area.
889	SECTION 9. Ordinance 15051, Section 7, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.072C
890	are hereby amended to read as follows:
891	A. Aquatic areas:
892	1. Nonwetland water features including: all shorelines of the state, rivers,
893	streams, marine waters, and bodies of open water, such as lakes, ponds, and reservoirs;
894	2. Impoundments, such as reservoirs or ponds, if any portion of the contributing
895	water is from a nonwetland water feature listed in subsection A.1. of this section; ((and))
896	3. Above-ground open water conveyance systems, such as ditches, if any
897	portion of the contributing water is:
898	a. used by fish; or
899	<u>b.</u> from either a wetland or a ((nonwetland)) water feature listed in subsection
900	A.1. or A.2. of this section, or both; and
901	4. Portions of the water features in subsections A.1, A.2, and A.3 of this section
902	that are conveyed underground in pipes or culverts.
903	B. "Aquatic areas" does not include water features where the source of
904	contributing water is entirely artificial, including, but not limited to, ground water wells,
905	and any irrigation delivery systems, irrigation infrastructure, irrigation canals, or drainage
906	ditches that lie within the boundaries of, and are maintained by a port district or an
907	irrigation district or company.

908	SECTION 10. Ordinance 10870, Section 70, as amended, and K.C.C.
909	21A.06.122 are hereby amended to read as follows:
910	Buffer: a designated area <u>adjacent and</u> contiguous to a ((steep slope or landslide
911	hazard area intended to protect slope stability, attenuation of surface water flows and
912	landslide hazards or a designated area contiguous to and intended to protect and be an
913	integral part of an aquatic area or wetland)) critical area that is intended to protect the
914	functions and values of the critical area and reduce impacts from adjacent land uses.
915	NEW SECTION. SECTION 11. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
916	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
917	Climate-smart plants: native plant species currently or prehistorically found
918	within the surrounding ecoregion that are predicted to maintain their abundance under
919	climate change, as identified by the department of natural resources and parks.
920	SECTION 12. Ordinance 10870, Section 80, as amended, and K.C.C.
921	21A.06.200 are hereby amended to read as follows:
922	Coal mine hazard area: an area <u>directly</u> underlain, <u>adjacent to</u> , or ((directly))
923	affected by operative or abandoned subsurface coal mine workings such as adits, tunnels,
924	drifts, or air shafts.
925	NEW SECTION. SECTION 13. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06
926	a new section to read as follows:
927	Commercial production of agricultural products: agriculture conducted by an
928	operator who has done one or more of the following:
929	A. Filed IRS Schedule F for a minimum of three years;

930	B. Obtained and currently holds a United States Department of Agriculture
931	Organic Certification producer certificate; or
932	C. Enrolled, and remains in good standing, in the current use classification "Farm
933	and agricultural land", under chapter 84.34 RCW.
934	SECTION 14. Ordinance 15051, Section 24, and K.C.C. 21A.06.254 are hereby
935	amended to read as follows:
936	Critical area: any area that is subject to natural hazards or a land feature that
937	supports unique, fragile, or valuable natural resources including fish, wildlife, or other
938	organisms or their habitats or such resources that carry, hold, or purify water in their
939	natural state. "Critical area" includes the following areas:
940	A. ((Aquatic areas;
941	B. Coal mine hazard areas;
942	C.)) Critical aquifer recharge areas;
943	((D. Erosion hazard areas;
944	E. Flood hazard areas;
945	F. Landslide hazard areas;
946	G. Seismic hazard areas;
947	H. Steep slope hazard areas;
948	I. Volcanic hazard areas;
949	J.)) B. Frequently flooded areas, regulated as Flood Hazard Areas, including;
950	1. Floodplains;
951	2. Special flood hazard areas, as shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Map;
952	3. Zero-rise flood fringe;

953	4. Zero-rise floodways;
954	5. FEMA floodways; and
955	6. Channel migration zones;
956	C. Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, including:
957	1. Aquatic areas;
958	2. Riparian areas;
959	3. Wildlife habitat conservation areas; and
960	4. Wildlife habitat networks;
961	D. Geologically hazardous areas, including;
962	1. Alluvial fan hazard areas;
963	2. Channel migration zones;
964	3. Coal mine hazard areas;
965	4. Erosion hazard areas;
966	5. Landslide hazard areas;
967	6. Seismic hazard areas;
968	7. Steep slope hazard areas;
969	8. Tsunami hazard areas; and
970	9. Volcanic hazard areas; and
971	E. Wetlands((;
972	K. Wildlife habitat conservation areas; and
973	L. Wildlife habitat networks)).
974	NEW SECTION. SECTION 15. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
975	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:

976	Critical freshwater habitat: portions of streams, rivers, wetlands, and lakes within
977	shorelines of the state, and floodplains designated as shorelines of the state in the
978	shoreline master program.
979	NEW SECTION. SECTION 16. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
980	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
981	Debris flow: a moving mass of rock fragments, soil, and mud, with more than
982	half of the particles being larger than sand size.
983	NEW SECTION. SECTION 17. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
984	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
985	Ecological professional: a person having a degree in ecology, wildlife biology,
986	wetland biology, fisheries, botany, soil science, environmental science, natural resource
987	management, or a closely related field, with a minimum of five years of professional
988	experience related to the subject ecological field. Professional certification in a relevant
989	ecological field can be substituted for two years of work experience.
990	SECTION 18. Ordinance 10870, Section 123, as amended, and K.C.C.
991	21A.06.415 are hereby amended to read as follows:
992	Erosion hazard area: ((an)) a geologically hazardous area underlain by soils that
993	((is)) are subject to severe erosion when disturbed. ((These)) Such soils include, but are
994	not limited to, those classified as having a severe to very severe erosion hazard according
995	to the United States Department of Agriculture ((Soil)) Natural Resources Conservation
996	Service, the 1990 Snoqualmie Pass Area Soil Survey, the 1973 King County Soils Survey
997	or any subsequent revisions or addition by or to these sources such as any occurrence of

998	River Wash ("Rh") or Coastal Beaches ("Cb") and any of the following when they occur
999	on slopes inclined at fifteen percent or more:
1000	A. The Alderwood gravely sandy loam ("AgD");
1001	B. The Alderwood and Kitsap soils ("AkF");
1002	C. The Beausite gravely sandy loam ("BeD" and "BeF");
1003	D. The Kitsap silt loam ("KpD");
1004	E. The Ovall gravely loam ("OvD" and "OvF");
1005	F. The Ragnar fine sandy loam ("RaD"); and
1006	G. The Ragnar-Indianola Association ("RdE").
1007	SECTION 19. Ordinance 15051, Section 41, and K.C.C. 21A.06.451 are hereby
1008	amended to read as follows:
1009	Farm field access drive: a((n)) paved or impervious ((surface constructed to
1010	provide a fixed)) route or path used for moving livestock, produce, equipment, or
1011	supplies to and from farm fields, and farm structures for agricultural activities on a
1012	property that is within an Agricultural Production District, enrolled in the Farmland
1013	Preservation Program, or zoned A.
1014	NEW SECTION. SECTION 20. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1015	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1016	Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas: areas that serve a critical role in
1017	sustaining needed habitats and species for the functional integrity of the ecosystem, and
1018	which, if altered, may reduce the likelihood that the species will persist over the long
1019	term. These areas may include, but are not limited to, rare or vulnerable ecological
1020	systems, communities, and habitat or habitat elements including seasonal ranges,

1021	breeding habitat, riparian areas, aquatic areas, wildlife habitat network, and areas with
1022	high population density or species richness.
1023	Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas do not include artificial water
1024	carrying features or constructs such as irrigation delivery systems, irrigation
1025	infrastructure, irrigation canals, or drainage ditches that lie within the boundaries of, and
1026	are maintained by, a port district or an irrigation district or company.
1027	NEW SECTION. SECTION 21. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1028	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1029	Geologically hazardous areas: areas susceptible to erosion, sliding, earthquake, or
1030	other geological events. Areas classified as geologically hazardous areas include one or
1031	more of the following:
1032	A. Alluvial fan hazard areas;
1033	B. Channel migration zones;
1034	C. Coal mine hazard areas;
1035	D. Erosion hazard areas;
1036	E. Landslide hazard areas;
1037	F. Seismic hazard areas;
1038	G. Steep slope hazard areas;
1039	H. Tsunami hazard areas; and
1040	I. Volcanic hazard areas.
1041	NEW SECTION. SECTION 22. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1042	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:

1043	Geological professional: a geotechnical engineer or geologist, licensed in
1044	Washington state and experience in analyzing geologic, hydrologic, and ground water
1045	flow systems, as well as, preparing reports for the relevant geological subdisciplines.
1046	NEW SECTION. SECTION 23. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1047	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1048	Grazing area buffer: a designated area contiguous to a wetland or aquatic area
1049	from which grazing livestock are excluded.
1050	SECTION 24. K.C.C. 21A.06.1331, as amended by this ordinance, is hereby
1051	recodified as a new section in K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 to follow K.C.C. 21A.06.585.
1052	SECTION 25. Ordinance 15051, Section 107, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1331 are
1053	hereby amended to read as follows:
1054	((Tree, hazard)) Hazard tree: any tree with a structural defect, combination of
1055	defects or disease resulting in structural defect that, under the normal range of
1056	environmental conditions at the site, will result in the loss of a major structural
1057	component of that tree in a manner that will:
1058	A. Damage a residential ((structure)) building or accessory structure, place of
1059	employment or public assembly or approved parking for a residential structure or
1060	accessory structure or place of employment or public assembly;
1061	B. Damage an approved road or utility facility; or
1062	C. Prevent emergency access ((in the case of medical hardship)).
1063	SECTION 26. Ordinance 10870, Section 190, as amended, and K.C.C.
1064	21A.06.750 are hereby amended to read as follows:

1065	Mitigation: an action taken to compensate for unavoidable adverse impacts to the
1066	environment resulting from a development activity or alteration after all appropriate and
1067	practicable avoidance and minimization measures have been accounted for and
1068	implemented.
1069	NEW SECTION. SECTION 27. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1070	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1071	Notice of map amendment: a letter issued by the department of natural resources
1072	and parks indicating that the classification of a critical area has been changed from the
1073	classification shown on a critical areas map adopted by King County. The notice of map
1074	amendment may indicate that an area has been reclassified, declassified, or newly classified
1075	as a critical area.
1076	NEW SECTION. SECTION 28. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1077	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1078	Revegetation: the reestablishment of vegetation within an area that reflects historic
1079	natural conditions or native vegetation types that are well suited to thrive in the area.
1080	NEW SECTION. SECTION 29. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1081	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1082	Riparian area: a designated area contiguous to an aquatic area that provides fish and
1083	wildlife habitat, protects adjacent aquatic fish and wildlife species and habitat, and protects
1084	water quality. Riparian areas reduce impacts from adjacent land uses through various
1085	physical, chemical, or biological processes.
1086	SECTION 30. Ordinance 10870, Section 243, as amended, and K.C.C.
1087	21A.06.1015 are hereby amended to read as follows:

1088	Salmonid: a fish native to the Puget Sound region that is a member of the fish
1089	family Salmonidae, including((, but not limited to)):
1090	A. Chinook, coho, chum, sockeye, and pink salmon;
1091	B. Rainbow, steelhead, and cutthroat ((salmon, which are also known as)) trout;
1092	C. ((Brown trout;
1093	D. Brook, b))Bull trout, which is ((also known as)) a type of char, and Dolly
1094	Varden char;
1095	((E.)) D. Kokanee; ((and
1096	F.)) E. Pygmy whitefish; and
1097	F. Mountain whitefish.
1098	NEW SECTION. SECTION 31. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1099	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1100	Special flood hazard area or area of special flood hazard: the land subject to
1101	inundation by the base flood. Special flood hazard areas (SFHA or area of special flood
1102	hazard) are designated on flood insurance rate maps with the letters "A" or "V" including
1103	AE, AO, AH, A1-99, and VE.
1104	NEW SECTION. SECTION 32. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1105	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1106	Species of local importance: a species designated by the county in the
1107	Comprehensive Plan to be of local concern due to their population status, sensitivity to
1108	habitat alteration, or that are game species.
1109	SECTION 33. Ordinance 10870, Section 288, as amended, and K.C.C.
1110	21A.06.1240 are hereby amended to read as follows:

1111	Stream: an aquatic area where surface water produces a channel, not including a
1112	wholly artificial channel((,,)) unless ((it)) the artificial channel is:
1113	A. Used by ((salmonids)) <u>fish</u> ; or
1114	B. Used to convey a stream or wetland that occurred naturally before
1115	construction of the artificial channel.
1116	NEW SECTION. SECTION 34. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1117	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1118	Tsunami hazard area: a geologically hazardous area susceptible to flooding,
1119	inundation, debris impact, or mass wasting as the result of a tsunami in areas including, but
1120	not limited to, those areas shown on the Washington Geological Survey Digital Data Series
1121	22, version 2.0, December 2023, and V1-V30, VE, or V zones shown on the Flood
1122	Insurance Rate Maps.
1123	SECTION 35. Ordinance 10870, Section 314, as amended, and K.C.C.
1124	21A.06.1370 are hereby amended to read as follows:
1125	Volcanic hazard area: ((an)) a geologically hazardous area subject to pyroclastic
1126	flows, lava flows, debris avalanche, or an inundation by debris flows, mudflows, lahars,
1127	or related flooding resulting from volcanic activity on Mount Rainier, delineated based
1128	on recurrence of an event equal in magnitude to the prehistoric Electron mudflow.
1129	SECTION 36. Ordinance 10870, Section 321, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1405 are
1130	hereby amended to read as follows:
1131	Wetland functions: ((natural processes performed by wetlands including
1132	functions which are important in facilitating food chain production, providing habitat for
1133	nesting, rearing and resting sites for aquatic, terrestrial and avian species, maintaining the

1134	availability and quality of water, acting as recharge and discharge areas for groundwater
1135	aquifers and moderating surface and storm water flows, as well as performing other
1136	functions including, but not limited to, those set forth in 33 CFR 320.4(b)(2), 1988)) the
1137	physical, biological, chemical, and geologic interactions among different components of
1138	the environment that occur within a wetland. Wetland functions include, but are not
1139	limited to, functions that improve water quality, functions that change the water regime in
1140	a watershed such as flood storage, and functions that provide habitat for plants and
1141	animals.
1142	NEW SECTION. SECTION 37. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1143	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1144	Wetland values: wetland processes, characteristics, or attributes that are
1145	considered to benefit society.
1146	NEW SECTION. SECTION 38. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter
1147	21A.06 a new section to read as follows:
1148	Wildlife lighting: measures applied to exterior lighting to reduce impacts to wildlife.
1149	SECTION 39. Ordinance 10870, Section 448, as amended, and K.C.C.
1150	21A.24.010 are hereby amended to read as follows:
1151	The purpose of this chapter is to implement the goals and policies of the Growth
1152	Management Act, chapter 3670A RCW, Washington state Environmental Policy Act,
1153	chapter 43.21C RCW, and the King County Comprehensive Plan, which call for
1154	protection of the natural environment and the public health and safety by:
1155	A. Establishing development and alteration standards to protect functions and
1156	values of critical areas;

1157	B. Protecting members of the general public and public resources and facilities
1158	from injury, loss of life, property damage, or financial loss due to flooding, erosion,
1159	avalanche, landslides, tsunamis, alluvial fan hazards, seismic and volcanic events, soil
1160	subsidence, or steep slope failures;
1161	C. Protecting unique, fragile, and valuable elements of the environment
1162	including, but not limited to, fish and wildlife and their habitats, ((and)) while
1163	maintaining and promoting countywide native biodiversity;
1164	D. Requiring prioritization of avoidance and minimization measures, followed by
1165	mitigation of ((unavoidable)) adverse impacts to critical areas((, by regulating alterations
1166	in or near critical areas)) and associated buffers;
1167	E. Preventing cumulative adverse environmental impacts on water availability,
1168	water quality, ground water, wetlands, and aquatic areas;
1169	F. Measuring the quantity and quality of wetland and aquatic area resources and
1170	preventing overall net loss of wetland and aquatic area functions;
1171	G. Protecting the public trust as to navigable waters, aquatic resources, and fish
1172	and wildlife and their habitat;
1173	H. Meeting the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program and
1174	maintaining King County as an eligible community for federal flood insurance benefits;
1175	I. Alerting members of the public including, but not limited to, appraisers,
1176	owners, potential buyers, or lessees to the development limitations of critical areas; and
1177	J. Providing county officials with sufficient information ((to protect)) at the time
1178	of permit application submittal to determine whether proposed land uses, activities, or
1179	development could negatively impact critical areas.

1180	SECTION 40. Ordinance 10870, Section 449, as amended, and K.C.C.
1181	21A.24.020 are hereby amended to read as follows:
1182	A. This chapter applies to all land uses and activities in King County, and all
1183	persons within the county shall comply with this chapter.
1184	B. King County shall not approve any permit or otherwise issue any authorization
1185	to alter the condition of any land, water, or vegetation or to construct or alter any
1186	structure or improvement without first ensuring compliance with this chapter.
1187	C. Approval of a development proposal in accordance with this chapter does not
1188	discharge the obligation of the applicant to comply with this chapter.
1189	D. If an area or site contains more than one critical area or natural resource land
1190	use designation, all designations shall apply.
1191	E. When ((any other chapter)) another provision of the King County Code
1192	conflicts with this chapter or when the provisions of this chapter are in conflict, the
1193	provision that provides ((more)) greater environmental protection to ((environmentally))
1194	critical areas shall apply unless specifically provided otherwise in this chapter or unless
1195	the provision conflicts with federal or state laws or regulations.
1196	$((E_{-}))$ <u>F.</u> This chapter applies to all forest practices over which the county has
1197	jurisdiction under chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC.
1198	SECTION 41. Ordinance 15051, Section 137, as amended, and K.C.C.
1199	21A.24.045 are hereby amended to read as follows:
1200	A. Within the following ((seven)) critical areas and their buffers ((all)) alterations
1201	are allowed if the alteration complies with the development standards, and prioritizes

1202	impact avoidance and minimization measures, ((and)) followed by mitigation
1203	requirements and other applicable requirements established in this chapter:
1204	1. Critical aquifer recharge areas;
1205	2. Coal mine hazard areas;
1206	3. Erosion hazard areas;
1207	4. Flood hazard areas except in the severe channel migration hazard areas;
1208	5. Landslide hazard areas under forty percent slope;
1209	6. Seismic hazard areas; ((and))
1210	7. Tsunami hazard areas; and
1211	8. Volcanic hazard areas.
1212	B. Within the following ((seven)) critical areas and their buffers, unless allowed
1213	as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the alterations $((\mathbf{on}))$ in the
1214	table in subsection C. of this section are allowed if the alteration complies with
1215	conditions in subsection D. of this section and the development standards, and prioritizes
1216	impact avoidance <u>and</u> minimization <u>measures</u> , ((and)) <u>followed by</u> mitigation
1217	requirements and other applicable requirements established in this chapter:
1218	1. ((Severe channel migration hazard area)) Alluvial fan hazard areas;
1219	2. ((Landslide hazard area over forty percent slope)) Aquatic areas;
1220	3. ((Steep slope hazard area)) Landslide hazard areas over forty percent slope;
1221	4. ((Wetland)) Riparian areas;
1222	5. ((Aquatic area)) Severe channel migration hazard areas;
1223	6. ((Wildlife habitat conservation area; and)) Steep slope hazard areas;
1224	7. ((Wildlife habitat network)) Wetlands;

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

8. Wildlife habitat conservation areas; and

9. Wildlife habitat networks.

C. In the following table where an activity is included in more than one activity category, the numbered conditions applicable to the most specific description of the activity governs. Where more than one numbered condition appears for a listed activity, each of the relevant conditions specified for that activity within the given critical area applies. For alterations involving more than one critical area, compliance with the conditions applicable to each critical area is required.

A = ((alternation)) Alteration is	Landslide	Alluvia	Steep	Wetland	Aquatic	Wildlife
allowed. Numbers indicate	Hazard	<u>1 Fan</u>	Slope	and Buffer	Areas ((and	Habitat
applicable development	Areas	<u>Hazard</u>	Hazard		Buffer)),	Conservati
condition in subsection D. of	Over	Areas	Areas		Riparian	on Areas
this section.	40% and		and		Areas, and	and
	Buffer		Buffer		Severe	Wildlife
					Channel	Habitat
					Migration	Network <u>s</u>
					<u>Hazard</u>	
					Areas	
Structures						
Construction of new single				A 1	((A 2))	
detached dwelling unit						
Construction of a new tree-				A 64	A 64	A 64

supported structure						
((Construction of nonresidential				((A-3))	((A 3))	((A 3, 4))
structure))						
Maintenance or repair of	A 5	<u>A 5, 6</u>	A <u>5</u>	A	A	A 4
existing structure						
Expansion or replacement of	A 5, 7	<u>A 5, 6,</u>	A 5, 7	A 7, 8	A 6, 7, 8	A 4, 7 <u>, 8</u>
existing structure		7				
Interior remodeling	A		A	A	A	A
Construction of new dock or				A 9	A 9, 10, 11	
pier						
Maintenance, repair or				A 12	A 10, 11	A 4
replacement of dock or pier						
Grading						
Grading		<u>A 13,</u>	A 13		A 14	A 4, ((14))
		<u>15, 70</u>				
Construction of new slope	A 15 <u>, 16</u>	<u>A 15,</u>	A 15,	A 15 <u>, 16</u>	A 15 <u>, 16</u>	A 4, 15,
stabilization		<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>			<u>16</u>
Maintenance of existing slope	A <u>15,</u> 16	<u>A 15,</u>	A	A <u>16,</u> 17	A 16, 17	A 4
stabilization		<u>16</u>	((13))			
			<u>15, 16</u>			
Mineral extraction	A		A			
Clearing						

Clearing	A 18	<u>A 18</u>	A 18	A 18, 20	A 14, 18, 20	A 4,
						((14,)) 18,
						20
Cutting firewood		<u>A 21</u>	A 21	A 21	A 21	A 4, 21
Vegetation management	A 19	<u>A 19</u>	A 19	A 19	A 19	A 4, 19
((Removal of vegetation for fire	A 22	<u>A 22</u>	A 22	A 22	A 22	A 4, 22
safety)) Tree and vegetation						
clearing for the purposes of						
wildfire preparedness						
Removal of noxious weeds or	A 23	<u>A 23</u>	A 23	A 23	A 23	A 4, 23
invasive vegetation						
Forest Practices						
Forest management activity	A	<u>A</u>	A	A	A	A 25
Roads						
Construction of new public road				A 26	A 26	
right-of-way structure on						
unimproved right-of-way						
Construction of new road in a				A 26	A 26	
plat						
Maintenance of public road	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16	A 16, 27
right-of-way structure						
Expansion beyond public road	A	<u>A 26</u>	A	A 26	A 26	

	1	ı	T	T	T	1
right-of way structure						
Repair, replacement, or	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16	A 16, 27
modification within the						
roadway						
Construction of driveway or	A 28	<u>A 28,</u>	A 28	A 28	A 28	A 28
private access road		<u>70</u>				
((Construction of farm field	((A 29))		((A	((A 29))	((A 29))	((A 29))
access drive))			29))			
Maintenance of driveway,	A	<u>A 17</u>	A	A 17	A 17	A 17, 27
private access road, ((farm field						
access drive)) or parking lot						
Construction of a bridge or	A 39	<u>A 39,</u>	A 39	A 39	A 39	A 39
culvert as part of a driveway or		<u>70</u>				
private access road						
Bridges or culverts						
Maintenance or repair of bridge	A 16, 17	<u>A 16,</u>	A 16,	A 16, 17	A 16, 17	A 16, 17,
or culvert		<u>17</u>	17			27
Construction of a new bridge	A 16, 39	<u>A 16,</u>	A 16,	A 16, 39	A16, 39	A 4, 16,
		<u>39</u>	39			39
Replacement of bridge or	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16, 30	A 16, 27
culvert						
Expansion of bridge or culvert	A 16, 17	<u>A 16,</u>	A 16,	A 16, 17,	A <u>16,</u> 17, 31	A ((4)) <u>27</u>

		<u>17, 31</u>	17	31		
Utilities and other						
infrastructure						
Construction of new utility	A 32, 33	<u>A 32,</u>	A 32,	A 32, 34	A 32, 34	A <u>4,</u> 27,
corridor or utility facility		33, 34	33 <u>, 34,</u>			32, 35
			<u>35</u>			
Construction or maintenance of	A 67	<u>A 66,</u>	A 67	A 66	A 66	A 4, 66
a hydroelectric ((generating))		<u>67</u>				
generation facility						
Construction of a new	A 32, 33	<u>A 32,</u>	A 32,	A 32, 60	A 32, 60	A 27, 32,
residential utility service		33, 60	33			60
distribution line						
Maintenance, repair or	A 32, 33	<u>A 32,</u>	A 32,	A 32, 34,	A 32, 34, 36	A 4, 32,
replacement of utility corridor		<u>33</u>	33	36		37
or utility facility						
Construction of a new on-site	((A 24))		((A	A 63	A 63	
sewage disposal system or well			24))			
Maintenance or repair of	A 37	<u>A 37</u>	A 37	A 37	A 37	A 4, 37
existing well						
Maintenance, ((or)) repair, or	A <u>24</u>	A 24,	A <u>24</u>	A <u>24, 37</u>	A <u>24,</u> 37	A 4, 24,
replacement of existing on-site		<u>37</u>				<u>37</u>
sewage disposal system						

Construction of new surface	A 32, 33	<u>A 32,</u>	A 32,	A 32, 38	A 32, 38	A 4
water conveyance system		33, 38	33			
Construction, maintenance, or				A 68	A 68	
repair of in-water heat						
exchanger						
Maintenance, repair, or	A <u>32,</u> 33	<u>A 16,</u>	A <u>32,</u>	A 16, 32,	A 16, <u>32,</u>	A 4, <u>32,</u>
replacement of existing surface		32, 33,	33	38	<u>38,</u> 40, 41	37
water conveyance system		40, 41				
Construction of new surface		<u>A 32</u>		A 32	A 32	A 4, 32
water flow control or surface						
water quality treatment facility						
Maintenance or repair of	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16	A 4 <u>, 16</u>
existing surface water flow						
control or surface water quality						
treatment facility						
Construction of new flood		<u>A 16,</u>		A 42	A 42	A 27, 42
protection facility		<u>42</u>				
Maintenance, repair, or	A 33, 43	<u>A 33,</u>	A 33,	A 43	A 43	A 27, 43
replacement of flood protection		<u>43</u>	43			
facility						
Flood risk reduction gravel	A 61	<u>A 61</u>	A 61	A 61	A 61	A 61
removal						
	1					

Construction of new instream	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16, 44, 45	A 4, 16,
structure or instream work						44, 45
Maintenance or repair of	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A	A	A	A 4
existing instream structure						
Recreation						
Construction of new trail	A 46	<u>A 46,</u>	A 46	A 47	A 47	A 4, 47
		<u>47</u>				
Maintenance of outdoor public	A 48	<u>A 48</u>	A 48	A 48	A 48	A 4, 48
park facility, trail, or publicly						
improved recreation area						
Habitat, education, and						
science projects						
Habitat restoration or	A 49	<u>A 49</u>	A 49	A 49	A 49	A 4, 49
enhancement project						
Scientific sampling for		<u>A 50</u>		A 50	A 50	A 50
salmonids						
Drilling and testing for critical	A 51	<u>A 51</u>	A 51	A 51, 52	A 51, 52	A 4
area((s)) reports, or for						
monitoring and data collection						
within critical areas						
Environmental education	A 62	<u>A 62</u>	A 62	A 62	A 62	A 62
project						

Agriculture						
Horticulture activity including	A 53	<u>A 53</u>	A 53	A 53, 54	A 53, 54	A 53, 54
tilling, discing, planting,						
seeding, harvesting, preparing						
soil, rotating crops, and related						
activity						
Grazing livestock	A 53	<u>A 53</u>	A 53	A 53, 54	A 53, 54	A 53, 54
Construction or maintenance of				A 53, 54	A 53, 54	A 53, 54
a commercial fish farm						
Construction or maintenance of				A 53, 54,	A 53, 54,	A 53, 54
livestock manure storage				55	((55,)) 56	
facility						
Construction of a livestock				A 53, 54,	A 53, 54,	A 53, 54
heavy use area				55	((55,)) 56	
Construction or maintenance of				A 56	A 56	
a farm pad						
Construction of agricultural				A 57	A 57	A 4, 57
drainage						
Maintenance or replacement of	A 23, 58	<u>A 23,</u>	A 23,	A 23, 53,	A 23, 53,	A 4, 23,
agricultural drainage		53, 54,	58	54, 58	54, 58	53, 54, 58
		<u>58</u>				
Maintenance of agricultural		<u>A 69</u>		A 69	A 69	

waterway						
Construction or maintenance of	((A 53))	A 53,	((A	A 53, 54	A 53, 54	A 53, 54
farm pond, fish pond, or		<u>54</u>	53))			
livestock watering pond						
Construction or maintenance of	A 20	Λ 20	Λ 20	A 20	Λ 20	A 20
	<u>A 29</u>	<u>A 29</u>	<u>A 29</u>	<u>A 29</u>	<u>A 29</u>	A 29
farm field access drive						
Construction of nonresidential		<u>A 3</u>		<u>A 3</u>	<u>A 3</u>	<u>A 3, 4</u>
structure						
Other						
Shoreline water dependent or					A 65	
shoreline water oriented use						
Excavation of cemetery graves	A	<u>A</u>	A	A	A	A
in established and approved						
cemetery						
Maintenance of cemetery graves	A	<u>A</u>	A	A	A	A
Maintenance of lawn,	A 59	<u>A 59</u>	A 59	A 59	A 59	A 59
landscaping, or garden for						
personal consumption						
Maintenance of golf course	A 17	<u>A 17</u>	A 17	A 17	A 17	A 4, 17

D. The following alteration conditions apply:

1. Limited to farm residences in grazed or tilled wet meadows and subject to the

limitations of subsection D.3. of this section.

1234

1236	2. ((Only allowed in a buffer of a lake that is twenty acres or larger on a lot that
1237	was created before January 1, 2005, if:
1238	a. at least seventy five percent of the lots abutting the shoreline of the lake or
1239	seventy-five percent of the lake frontage, whichever constitutes the most developable
1240	lake frontage, has existing density of four dwelling units per acre or more;
1241	b. the development proposal, including mitigation required by this chapter, will
1242	have the least adverse impact on the critical area;
1243	c. existing native vegetation within the critical area buffer will remain
1244	undisturbed except as necessary to accommodate the development proposal and required
1245	building setbacks;
1246	d. access is located to have the least adverse impact on the critical area and
1247	critical area buffer;
1248	e. the site alteration is the minimum necessary to accommodate the
1249	development proposal and in no case in excess of five thousand square feet;
1250	f. the alteration is no closer than:
1251	(1) on a site with a shoreline environment designation of high intensity or
1252	residential, the greater of twenty-five feet or the average of the setbacks on adjacent lots
1253	on either side of the subject property, as measured from the ordinary high water mark of
1254	the lake shoreline;
1255	(2) on a site with a shoreline environment designation of rural, conservancy,
1256	resource or forestry, the greater of fifty feet or the average of the setbacks on adjacent
1257	lots on either side of the subject property, as measured from the ordinary high water
1258	mark; and

1259	(3) on a site with a shoreline environment designation of natural, the greater
1260	of one hundred feet or the average of the setbacks on adjacent lots on either side of the
1261	subject property, as measured from the ordinary high water mark; and
1262	g. to the maximum extent practical, alterations are mitigated on the
1263	development proposal site by enhancing or restoring remaining critical area buffers.))
1264	Repealed.
1265	3. Limited to nonresidential farm-structures in grazed or tilled wet meadows.
1266	((or)) wetland buffers ((of wetlands)), or ((aquatic)) riparian areas where:
1267	a. the site is predominantly used for the practice of ((agriculture)) agricultural
1268	activities;
1269	b. the structure is in compliance with an approved farm management plan in
1270	accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051;
1271	c. the structure is either:
1272	(1) on or adjacent to existing nonresidential impervious surface areas,
1273	additional impervious surface area is not created waterward of any existing impervious
1274	surface areas, and the area was not used for crop production;
1275	(2) higher in elevation and no closer to the critical area than its existing
1276	position; or
1277	(3) at a location away from existing impervious surface areas that is
1278	determined to be the optimum site in the farm management plan;
1279	d. all best management practices associated with the structure specified in the
1280	farm management plan are installed and maintained;

1281	e. installation of fencing in accordance with K.C.C. chapter 21A.30 does not
1282	require the development of a farm management plan if required best management
1283	practices are followed and the installation does not require clearing of critical areas or
1284	their buffers; and
1285	f. in an alluvial fan hazard area or a severe channel migration hazard area
1286	((portion of an aquatic buffer only)) if:
1287	(1) there is no feasible alternative location on-site;
1288	(2) the structure is located where it is least subject to risk from <u>alluvial fan</u>
1289	hazards or channel migration;
1290	(3) the structure is not used to house animals or store hazardous substances;
1291	and
1292	(4) the total footprint of all accessory structures within the severe channel
1293	migration hazard area will not exceed the greater of one thousand square feet or two
1294	percent of the severe channel migration hazard area on the site.
1295	4. No clearing, external construction, or other disturbance in a wildlife habitat
1296	conservation area is allowed during breeding seasons established under K.C.C.
1297	21A.24.382.
1298	5. Allowed for structures when:
1299	a. the landslide hazard, steep slope hazard, or alluvial fan hazard poses little
1300	((or)) to no risk of injury;
1301	b. the <u>hazard</u> risks ((of landsliding is)) from landslides, steep slopes, or alluvial
1302	fans are low; and
1303	c. there is $no((t-an))$ expansion of the structure.

1304	6. Within an alluvial fan hazard area or severe channel migration hazard area
1305	allowed for:
1306	a. existing legally established primary structures if:
1307	(1) there is not an increase of the footprint of any existing structure; and
1308	(2) there is not a substantial improvement as defined in K.C.C. 21A.06.1270;
1309	and
1310	b. existing legally established accessory structures if:
1311	(1) additions to the footprint will not make the total footprint of all existing
1312	structures more than one((-)) thousand square feet; and
1313	(2) there is $no((t-an))$ expansion of the footprint towards any source of
1314	alluvial fan hazard or channel migration hazard, unless the applicant demonstrates that
1315	the location is less subject to risk and has less impact on the critical area.
1316	7. Allowed only in ((grazed wet meadows or the)) a critical area, associated
1317	buffer, or ((building)) critical area setback outside a severe channel migration hazard area
1318	if:
1319	a. the expansion or replacement does not increase the footprint of a
1320	nonresidential structure;
1321	b.(1) for a legally established dwelling unit, the expansion or replacement,
1322	including any expansion of a legally established accessory structure allowed under this
1323	subsection B.7.b., does not increase the footprint of the dwelling unit and all other
1324	structures by more than one thousand square feet, not including any expansion of a
1325	drainfield made necessary by the expansion of the dwelling unit. To the maximum extent
1326	practical, the replacement or expansion of a drainfield in the buffer should be located

1327	within areas of existing lawn or landscaping, unless another location will have a lesser
1328	impact on the critical area and ((its)) associated buffer;
1329	(2) for a structure accessory to a dwelling unit, the expansion or replacement
1330	is located on or adjacent to existing impervious surface areas and does not result in a
1331	cumulative increase in the footprint of the accessory structure and the dwelling unit by
1332	more than one thousand square feet;
1333	(3) the location of the expansion has the least adverse impact on the critical
1334	area; and
1335	(4) a comparable area of degraded buffer area shall be enhanced through
1336	removal of nonnative plants and replacement with native vegetation or climate-smart
1337	plants in accordance with an approved ((landscaping)) mitigation plan;
1338	c. the structure was not established as the result of an alteration exception,
1339	variance, buffer averaging or reasonable use exception;
1340	d. to the maximum extent practical, the expansion or replacement is not
1341	located closer to the critical area or within the relic of a channel that can be connected to
1342	an aquatic area; and
1343	e. The expansion of a residential structure in ((the buffer of)) a riparian area
1344	$\underline{adjacent\ to}\ a\ ((\mathbf{T}))\underline{t}ype\ S$ aquatic area that extends towards the ordinary high water mark
1345	requires a shoreline variance if:
1346	(1) the expansion is within thirty-five feet of the ordinary high water mark; or
1347	(2) the expansion is between thirty-five and fifty feet of the ordinary high
1348	water mark and the area of the expansion extending towards the ordinary high water mark
1349	is greater than three hundred square feet

1350	8. Allowed upon another portion of an existing impervious surface outside a
1351	severe channel migration hazard area if:
1352	a. except as otherwise allowed under subsection D.7. of this section, the
1353	structure is not located closer to the critical area;
1354	b. except as otherwise allowed under subsection D.7. of this section, the
1355	existing impervious surface within the critical area or buffer is not expanded; and
1356	c. the degraded buffer area is enhanced through removal of nonnative plants
1357	and replacement with native vegetation or climate-smart plants in accordance with an
1358	approved ((landscaping)) mitigation plan.
1359	9. Limited to piers or seasonal floating docks in a category II, III, or IV wetland
1360	or ((its)) associated buffer or along a lake shoreline or ((its buffer)) the adjacent riparian
1361	area where:
1362	a. the vegetation where the alteration is proposed does not consist of dominant
1363	native wetland herbaceous or woody vegetation six feet in width or greater and the lack
1364	of this vegetation is not the result of any violation of law;
1365	b. the wetland or lake shoreline is not a salmonid spawning area;
1366	c. hazardous substances or toxic materials are not used; and
1367	d. if located in a freshwater lake, the pier or dock conforms to the standards for
1368	docks under K.C.C. 21A.25.180.
1369	10. Allowed on type N or O aquatic areas if hazardous substances or toxic
1370	materials are not used.
1371	11. Allowed on type S or F aquatic areas outside of the severe channel
1372	migration hazard area if in compliance with K.C.C. 21A.25.180.

1373	12. When located on a lake, must be in compliance with K.C.C. 21A.25.180.
1374	13. Limited to regrading and stabilizing of a slope formed as a result of a legal
1375	grading activity.
1376	14. ((The following are allowed in the severe channel migration hazard area if
1377	conducted more than one hundred sixty-five feet from the ordinary high water mark in
1378	the rural area and natural resource lands and one-hundred fifteen feet from the ordinary
1379	high water mark in the urban area:
1380	a. grading of up to fifty cubic yards on lot less than five acres; and
1381	b. clearing of up to one-thousand square feet or up to a cumulative thirty-five
1382	percent of the severe channel migration hazard area.)) Repealed.
1383	15. Only where erosion or landsliding threatens a <u>primary</u> structure, utility
1384	facility, roadway, driveway, or public trails, ((aquatic area or wetland if,)) and to the
1385	maximum extent practical, stabilization work does not disturb the slope and its vegetative
1386	cover and any associated critical areas.
1387	16. Allowed ((when)) for projects performed by, at the direction of, or
1388	authorized by a government agency in accordance with regional road construction and
1389	maintenance guidelines.
1390	17. Allowed ((when)) for projects not performed under the direction of a
1391	government agency only if:
1392	a. the maintenance or expansion does not involve the use of herbicides,
1393	hazardous substances, sealants, or other liquid oily substances in aquatic areas, <u>riparian</u>
1394	areas, wetlands, or ((their)) associated buffers; and

1395	b. when maintenance, expansion, or replacement of bridges or culverts
1396	involves water used by salmonids:
1397	(1) the work ((is in compliance)) complies with ditch standards in public rule;
1398	and
1399	(2) the maintenance of culverts is limited to removal of sediment and debris
1400	from the culvert and its inlet, invert and outlet and the stabilization of the disturbed or
1401	damaged bank or channel immediately adjacent to the culvert and shall not involve the
1402	excavation of a new sediment trap adjacent to the inlet.
1403	18. Allowed for the removal of hazard trees and vegetation as necessary for
1404	surveying or testing purposes.
1405	19. The limited trimming, pruning, or removal of vegetation under a vegetation
1406	management plan approved by the department:
1407	a. in steep slope and landslide hazard areas, for the making and maintenance of
1408	view corridors; and
1409	b. in all critical areas for habitat enhancement, invasive species control, or
1410	forest management activities.
1411	20. Harvesting of plants and plant materials, such as plugs, stakes, seeds, or
1412	fruits, for restoration and enhancement projects is allowed.
1413	21. Cutting of firewood is subject to the following:
1414	a. not allowed within a wildlife habitat conservation area, wetland, aquatic
1415	area, steep slope hazard area, or on a tree containing an active nest ((eutting firewood is
1416	not allowed));

1417	b. within a wildlife <u>habitat</u> network, cutting shall be in accordance with a
1418	management plan approved under K.C.C. 21A.24.386; and
1419	c. when firewood is for personal use, cutting is allowed within ((a)) critical
1420	areas and critical area buffers((, cutting shall be for personal use and)) when done in
1421	accordance with an approved forest management plan ((or rural stewardship plan)).
1422	22. ((Allowed only in buffers if in accordance with best management practices
1423	approved by the King County fire marshal)) Not allowed in wetlands, aquatic areas,
1424	wildlife habitat conservation areas, or severe channel migration hazard areas. Otherwise,
1425	allowed in critical areas and associated buffers within the wildland urban interface if
1426	limited to the activities listed in K.C.C. 16.82.051.E.23.
1427	23. Allowed as follows:
1428	a. if conducted in accordance with an approved forest management plan($(5,1)$) or
1429	farm management plan((, or rural stewardship plan)); or
1430	b. without an approved forest management $plan((,))$ or farm management
1431	plan((, or rural stewardship plan)), only if:
1432	(1) removal is undertaken with hand labor, including hand-held mechanical
1433	tools, unless the King County noxious weed control board otherwise prescribes the use of
1434	riding mowers, light mechanical cultivating equipment, or herbicides or biological
1435	control methods;
1436	(2) the area is stabilized to avoid regrowth or regeneration of noxious weeds;
1437	(3) the cleared area is revegetated with native vegetation or climate-smart
1438	plants and stabilized against erosion; and
1439	(4) herbicide use is in accordance with federal and state $law((\div))$.

1440	24. Allowed to repair or replace existing on site wastewater disposal systems in
1441	accordance with the applicable public health standards within Marine Recovery Areas
1442	adopted by $((the P))\underline{p}$ ublic $((H))\underline{h}$ ealth – Seattle & King County and:
1443	a. there is no alternative location available with less impact on the critical area;
1444	b. impacts to the critical area are minimized to the maximum extent
1445	practicable;
1446	c. the alterations will not subject the critical area to increased risk of landslide
1447	or erosion;
1448	d. vegetation removal is the minimum necessary to accommodate the septic
1449	system; and
1450	e. significant risk of personal injury is eliminated or minimized in the landslide
1451	hazard area.
1452	25. Only if in compliance with published Washington state Department of Fish
1453	and Wildlife and Washington state Department of Natural Resources Management
1454	standards for the species. If there are no published Washington state standards, only if in
1455	compliance with management standards determined by the county to be consistent with
1456	best available science.
1457	26. Allowed only if:
1458	a. there is $no((\mathfrak{t}))$ $((\mathfrak{an}))$ other feasible location with less adverse impact on the
1459	critical area and ((its)) associated buffer;
1460	b. the corridor is not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or
1461	spawning or by a species listed as endangered or threatened by the state or federal

1462	government unless the department determines that there is no other feasible crossing
1463	site((-));
1464	c. the corridor width is minimized to the maximum extent practical;
1465	d. the construction occurs during approved periods for instream work;
1466	e. the corridor will not change or diminish the overall aquatic area flow peaks,
1467	duration, or volume or the flood storage capacity; and
1468	f. no new public right-of-way is established within a severe channel migration
1469	hazard area.
1470	27. To the maximum extent practical, during breeding season established under
1471	K.C.C. 21A.24.382, land clearing machinery such as bulldozers, graders, or other heavy
1472	equipment are not operated within a wildlife habitat conservation area.
1473	28. Allowed only if:
1474	a. an alternative access is not available;
1475	b. impact to the critical area is minimized to the maximum extent practical
1476	including the use of walls to limit the amount of cut and fill necessary;
1477	c. the risk associated with landslide and erosion is minimized;
1478	d. access is located where it is least subject to risk from channel migration; and
1479	e. construction occurs during approved periods for instream work.
1480	29. <u>Allowed</u> ((O))only on sites currently involved in the practice of agricultural
1481	activities if:
1482	a. in compliance with a farm management plan in accordance with K.C.C.
1483	21A.24.051, and constructed using best management practices approved by the
1484	permitting division;

1485	b. there is no other feasible location with less adverse impact on critical areas
1486	and associated buffers;
1487	c. in compliance with the farmland dispersion requirements of the King
1488	County Surface Water Design Manual;
1489	d. access is located where it is least subject to risk from channel migration;
1490	e. a floodplain development permit is obtained for any action within the
1491	floodplain; and
1492	f. all other required state and federal permits have been obtained and actions
1493	comply with such permits.
1494	30. Allowed only if:
1495	a. the new construction or replacement is made fish passable in accordance
1496	with the most recent Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife manuals or with
1497	the National Marine and Fisheries Services guidelines for federally listed salmonid
1498	species; and
1499	b. the site is restored with appropriate native vegetation or climate-smart plants
1500	in accordance with an approved mitigation plan.
1501	31. Allowed if necessary to bring the bridge or culvert up to current standards
1502	and if:
1503	a. there is $no((tan))$ other feasible alternative available with less impact on the
1504	aquatic area and ((its buffer)) adjacent riparian area; and
1505	b. to the maximum extent practical, the bridge or culvert is located to minimize
1506	impacts to the aquatic area and ((its buffers)) adjacent riparian area.

1507	32. Allowed in an existing roadway if conducted consistent with the regional
1508	road maintenance guidelines.
1509	33. Allowed outside the roadway if:
1510	a. the alterations will not subject the critical area to an increased risk $((ef))$
1511	from landslide, alluvial fan, or erosion hazards;
1512	b. vegetation removal is the minimum necessary to locate the utility or
1513	construct the corridor; and
1514	c. significant risk of personal injury is eliminated or minimized in the landslide
1515	or alluvial fan hazard area.
1516	34. Limited to the pipelines, cables, wires, and support structures of utility
1517	facilities within utility corridors if:
1518	a. there is no alternative location with less adverse impact on the critical area
1519	and critical area buffer;
1520	b. new utility corridors meet $((all\ of))$ the following to the maximum extent
1521	practical:
1522	(1) are not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or spawning or by a
1523	species listed as endangered or threatened by the state or federal government unless the
1524	department determines that there is no other feasible crossing site;
1525	(2) the mean annual flow rate is less than twenty cubic feet per second; and
1526	(3) paralleling the channel or following a down-valley route near the channel
1527	is avoided;
1528	c. to the maximum extent practical utility corridors are located so that:
1529	(1) the width is the minimized;

1530	(2) the removal of trees greater than twelve inches diameter at breast height is
1531	minimized;
1532	(3) an additional, contiguous, and undisturbed critical area buffer, equal in
1533	area to the disturbed critical area buffer area including any allowed maintenance roads, is
1534	provided to protect the critical area;
1535	d. to the maximum extent practical, access for maintenance is at limited access
1536	points into the critical area buffer rather than by a parallel maintenance road. If a parallel
1537	maintenance road is necessary, the following standards are met:
1538	(1) to the maximum extent practical, the width of the maintenance road is
1539	minimized and in no event greater than fifteen feet; and
1540	(2) the location of the maintenance road is contiguous to the utility corridor
1541	on the side of the utility corridor farthest from the critical area;
1542	e. the utility corridor or facility will not adversely impact the overall critical
1543	area hydrology or diminish flood storage capacity;
1544	f. the construction occurs during approved periods for instream work;
1545	g. the utility corridor serves multiple purposes and properties to the maximum
1546	extent practical;
1547	h. bridges or other construction techniques that do not disturb the critical areas
1548	are used to the maximum extent practical;
1549	i. bored, drilled, or other trenchless $crossings$ ((is)) are laterally constructed at
1550	least four feet below the maximum depth of scour for the base flood;
1551	j. bridge piers or abutments for bridge crossing are not placed within the
1552	FEMA floodway or the ordinary high water mark;

1553	k. open trenching is only used during low flow periods or only within aquatic
1554	areas when they are dry. The department may approve open trenching of type S or F
1555	aquatic areas only if there is not a feasible alternative and equivalent or greater
1556	environmental protection can be achieved; and
1557	1. minor communication facilities may collocate on existing utility facilities if:
1558	(1) no new transmission support structure is required; and
1559	(2) equipment cabinets are located on the transmission support structure.
1560	35. Allowed only for new utility facilities in existing utility corridors.
1561	36. Allowed for onsite private individual utility service connections or private
1562	or public utilities if the disturbed area is not expanded and no hazardous substances,
1563	pesticides or fertilizers are applied.
1564	37. Allowed if the disturbed area is not expanded, clearing is limited to the
1565	maximum extent practical, and no hazardous substances, pesticides, or fertilizers are
1566	applied.
1567	38. Allowed if:
1568	a. conveying the surface water into the wetland <u>buffer</u> or ((aquatic area buffer))
1569	<u>riparian area</u> , and discharging into the wetland <u>buffer</u> or ((aquatic area buffer)) <u>riparian</u>
1570	area or at the wetland or aquatic area edge, has less adverse impact upon the wetland
1571	((or wetland buffer, aquatic area, or riparian area ((or wetland or aquatic area buffer))
1572	than if the surface water were discharged at the buffer(('s)) or riparian area edge and
1573	allowed to naturally drain through the buffer or riparian area;

1574	b. the volume of discharge is minimized through application of low impact
1575	development and water quality measures identified in the King County Surface Water
1576	Design Manual;
1577	c. the conveyance and outfall are installed with hand equipment where
1578	feasible;
1579	d. the outfall shall include bioengineering techniques where feasible; and
1580	e. the outfall is designed to minimize adverse impacts to critical areas.
1581	39. Allowed only if:
1582	a. there is no feasible alternative with less impact on the critical area and $((its))$
1583	associated buffer;
1584	b. to the maximum extent practical, the bridge or culvert is located to minimize
1585	impacts to the critical area and ((its)) associated buffer;
1586	c. the bridge or culvert is not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or
1587	spawning unless there is no other feasible crossing site;
1588	d. construction occurs during approved periods for in-stream work; and
1589	e. bridge piers or abutments for bridge crossings are not placed within the
1590	FEMA floodway, severe channel migration hazard area, or waterward of the ordinary
1591	high water mark.
1592	40. Allowed for an open, vegetated stormwater management conveyance system
1593	and outfall structure that simulates natural conditions if:
1594	a. fish habitat features necessary for feeding, cover, and reproduction are
1595	included when appropriate;

1596	b. vegetation is maintained and added adjacent to all open channels and ponds,
1597	if necessary to prevent erosion, filter out sediments, or shade the water; and
1598	c. bioengineering techniques are used to the maximum extent practical.
1599	41. Allowed for a closed, tightlined conveyance system and outfall structure if:
1600	a. necessary to avoid erosion of slopes; and
1601	b. bioengineering techniques are used to the maximum extent practical.
1602	42. Allowed in a severe channel migration hazard area, riparian area, or an
1603	((aquatic area buffer)) alluvial fan hazard area to prevent bank erosion only:
1604	a. if consistent with the Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines
1605	(Washington State Aquatic Habitat Guidelines Program, 2002) and if bioengineering
1606	techniques are used to the maximum extent practical, unless the applicant demonstrates
1607	that other methods provide equivalent structural stabilization and environmental function;
1608	b. based on a critical area((s)) report, the department determines that the new
1609	flood protection facility will not cause ((significant)) adverse impacts to upstream or
1610	downstream properties; and
1611	c. to prevent bank erosion for the protection of:
1612	(1) public roadways;
1613	(2) sole access routes in existence before February 16, 1995;
1614	(3) new primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, or accessory living
1615	quarters and residential accessory structures located outside the severe channel migration
1616	hazard area if:
1617	(a) the site is adjacent to or abutted by properties on both sides containing
1618	buildings or sole access routes protected by legal bank stabilization in existence before

1619	February 16, 1995. The buildings, sole access routes, or bank stabilization must be
1620	located no more than six hundred feet apart as measured parallel to the migrating
1621	channel; and
1622	(b) the new primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, accessory
1623	living quarters or residential accessory structures are located no closer to the aquatic area
1624	than existing primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, accessory living quarters,
1625	or residential accessory structures on abutting or adjacent properties; or
1626	(4) existing primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, accessory living
1627	quarters, or residential accessory structures if:
1628	(a) the structure was in existence before the adoption date of a King County
1629	Channel Migration Zone hazard map that applies to that channel, if such a map exists;
1630	(b) the structure is in imminent danger, as determined by a ((geologist,
1631	engineering geologist or geotechnical engineer)) geological professional;
1632	(c) the applicant has demonstrated that the existing structure is at risk, and
1633	the structure and supporting infrastructure cannot be relocated on the lot further from the
1634	source of channel migration; and
1635	(d) nonstructural measures are not feasible.
1636	43. Applies to lawfully established existing structures if:
1637	a. the height of the facility is not increased, unless the facility is being replaced
1638	in a new alignment that is landward of the previous alignment and enhances aquatic area
1639	habitat and process;

1640	b. the linear length of the facility is not increased, unless the facility is being
1641	replaced in a new alignment that is landward of the previous alignment and enhances
1642	aquatic area habitat and process;
1643	c. the footprint of the facility is not expanded waterward;
1644	d. consistent with the Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines
1645	(Washington State Aquatic Habitat Guidelines Program, 2002) and bioengineering
1646	techniques are used to the maximum extent practical;
1647	e. the site is restored with appropriate native vegetation, or climate-smart
1648	plants and erosion protection materials according to an approved mitigation plan; and
1649	f. based on a critical area((s)) report, the department determines that the
1650	maintenance, repair, replacement, or construction will not cause ((significant)) adverse
1651	impacts to upstream or downstream properties.
1652	44. Allowed in type N and O aquatic areas if done in the least impacting way at
1653	the least impacting time of year, in conformance with applicable best management
1654	practices, and all affected instream and ((buffer)) riparian area features are restored.
1655	45. Allowed in a type S or F water when such work is:
1656	a. included as part of a project to evaluate, restore, mitigate, or ((improve))
1657	enhance habitat((, and));
1658	b. sponsored or cosponsored by an Indian tribe, ((public)) government agency,
1659	nonprofit organization that has natural resource management as a function, or ((by a
1660	federally recognized tribe)) a higher education institution; and
1661	c. in compliance with the criteria of subsection D.49. of this section.

1662	46. Allowed ((as long as)) \underline{if} the trail is not constructed of impervious surfaces
1663	that will contribute to surface water run-off, unless the construction is necessary for soil
1664	stabilization or soil erosion prevention or unless the trail system is specifically designed
1665	and intended to be accessible to ((handicapped)) persons with disabilities.
1666	47. ((Not allowed in a wildlife habitat conservation area. Otherwise,
1667	a))Allowed only in ((the buffer)) a riparian area or wetland buffer, or for crossing a
1668	category II, III, or IV wetland or a type F, N, or O aquatic area((,)); or a wildlife habitat
1669	network, if:
1670	a. the trail surface is made of pervious materials, except that public
1671	multipurpose trails and public trails constructed for access by persons with disabilities
1672	may be made of impervious materials if they meet all the requirements in K.C.C. chapter
1673	9.12. A trail section that crosses a wetland or aquatic area shall be constructed as a raised
1674	boardwalk or bridge;
1675	b. to the maximum extent practical, buffers, riparian areas, and wildlife habitat
1676	network areas are expanded equal to the width of the trail corridor including disturbed
1677	areas;
1678	c. there is not another feasible location with less adverse impact on the critical
1679	area and ((its)) associated buffer;
1680	d. the trail is not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or spawning or
1681	by a species listed as endangered or threatened by the state or federal government unless
1682	the department determines that there is no other feasible crossing site;
1683	e. the trail width is minimized to the maximum extent practical and private
1684	foot trails are limited to three feet in width;

1685	f. the construction occurs during approved periods for instream work; ((and))
1686	g. the trail corridor will not change or diminish the overall aquatic area flow
1687	peaks, duration or volume or the flood storage capacity((-));
1688	h. the trail shall minimize impacts within a wetland buffer, riparian area, or
1689	wildlife habitat network by avoiding a route that parallels the wetland or aquatic area to
1690	the maximum extent practical;
1691	i. trail maintenance or expansion does not involve the use of herbicides,
1692	hazardous substances, sealants, or other liquid oily substances within aquatic areas,
1693	riparian areas, wetlands or associated buffers;
1694	<u>j.</u> the trail may be ((located across a critical area buffer)) <u>allowed to cross a</u>
1695	riparian area, wetland buffer, or wildlife habitat network for access to a viewing platform
1696	or to a permitted dock or pier; and
1697	((i. A)) <u>k.</u> a private viewing platform may be allowed if it is:
1698	(1) located upland from the wetland edge or the ordinary high water mark of
1699	an aquatic area;
1700	(2) located where it will not be detrimental to the functions of the wetland or
1701	aquatic area and will have the least adverse environmental impact on the critical area or
1702	((its)) associated buffer;
1703	(3) limited to fifty square feet in size;
1704	(4) constructed of materials that are nontoxic; and
1705	(5) on footings located outside of the wetland or aquatic area.
1706	48. Only if the maintenance:

1707	a. does not involve the use of herbicides or other hazardous substances except
1708	for the removal of noxious weeds or invasive vegetation;
1709	b. when salmonids are present, the maintenance is in compliance with ditch
1710	standards in public rule; and
1711	c. does not involve any expansion of the roadway, lawn, landscaping, ditch,
1712	culvert, engineered slope, or other improved area being maintained.
1713	49. Limited to alterations to create, restore, or enhance aquatic or wetland
1714	habitat forming processes or ((directly restore)) habitat functions and values, including
1715	access for construction, as follows:
1716	a. ((projects sponsored or cosponsored by a public agency that has natural
1717	resource management as a primary function or by a federally recognized tribe;
1718	b.)) creation, restoration, and enhancement plans shall be prepared by an
1719	((qualified biologist)) ecological professional; ((or
1720	c. conducted in accordance with an approved forest management plan, farm
1721	management plan or rural stewardship plan)) and
1722	b. the applicant provides an ecological critical area report that includes the
1723	following:
1724	(1) an evaluation of the anticipated net change in ecological functions from
1725	pre-project to post project;
1726	(2) a mitigation plan for impacts to critical areas and associated buffers,
1727	unless the applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the department that the proposed
1728	project will provide a net ecological benefit and increase in function over the existing
1729	conditions of the project area; and

1730	(3) a monitoring and reporting plan to demonstrate the gain of ecological
1731	function.
1732	50. Allowed in accordance with a scientific sampling permit issued by
1733	Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife or an incidental take permit issued
1734	under Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act.
1735	51. Allowed for the minimal clearing and grading, including site access,
1736	necessary to prepare critical area reports.
1737	52. The following are allowed if associated spoils are contained:
1738	a. data collection and research if carried out to the maximum extent practical
1739	by nonmechanical or hand-held equipment;
1740	b. survey monument placement;
1741	c. site exploration and gage installation if performed in accordance with state-
1742	approved sampling protocols and accomplished to the maximum extent practical by
1743	hand-held equipment ((and)); or
1744	d. similar work associated with an incidental take permit issued under Section
1745	10 of the Endangered Species Act or consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered
1746	Species Act.
1747	53. Limited to activities in continuous existence since January 1, 2005, with no
1748	expansion within the critical area or critical area buffer. "Continuous existence" includes
1749	cyclical operations and managed periods of soil restoration, enhancement or other fallow
1750	states not exceeding seven years and associated with these horticultural and agricultural
1751	activities.
1752	54. Allowed for expansion of existing or new agricultural activities where:

1753	a. the site is predominantly involved in the practice of ((agriculture))
1754	agricultural activities;
1755	b. there is no expansion into an area that:
1756	(1) has been cleared under a class I, II, III, IV-S, or nonconversion IV-G
1757	forest practice permit; or
1758	(2) is ((more than ten thousand square feet with tree cover at a uniform
1759	density more than ninety trees per acre and with the predominant mainstream diameter of
1760	the trees at least four inches diameter at breast height)) a wetland, wetland buffer, or
1761	riparian area that contains predominately native forest overstory, shrub, or herbaceous
1762	layer, not including areas that are actively managed as agricultural crops for pulpwood,
1763	Christmas trees, or ornamental nursery stock;
1764	c. the activities are in compliance with an approved farm management plan in
1765	accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051; and
1766	d. all best management practices associated with the activities specified in the
1767	farm management plan are installed and maintained.
1768	55. Only allowed in grazed or tilled wet meadows or ((their)) associated buffers
1769	if:
1770	a. the facilities are designed to the standards of an approved farm management
1771	plan or livestock management plan and in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051 ((or an
1772	approved livestock management plan in accordance with K.C.C. chapter 21A.30));
1773	b. there is not a feasible alternative location available on the site; and
1774	c. the facilities are located close to the outside edge of the buffer to the
1775	maximum extent practical.

1776	56. Only allowed in:
1777	a.(1) a severe channel migration hazard area located outside of the
1778	shoreline((s)) jurisdiction area;
1779	(2) grazed or tilled wet meadow or wet meadow buffer; or
1780	(3) ((aquatic area buffer)) grazed or tilled riparian area; and only if:
1781	b.(1) the applicant demonstrates that adverse impacts to the critical area and
1782	critical area buffers have been minimized;
1783	(2) there is ((not another)) no other feasible location available on the site that
1784	is located outside of the critical area or critical area buffer;
1785	(3) the farm pad, livestock manure storage facility, or livestock heavy use
1786	area is designed to the standards in an approved farm management plan in accordance
1787	with K.C.C. 21A.24.051; and
1788	(4) for proposals located in the severe channel migration hazard area, the
1789	farm pad, or livestock manure storage facility, or livestock heavy use area is located
1790	where it is least subject to risk from channel migration.
1791	57. Allowed for new agricultural drainage in compliance with an approved farm
1792	management plan in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051 and all best management
1793	practices associated with the activities specified in the farm management plan are
1794	installed and maintained.
1795	58. If the agricultural drainage is used by salmonids, maintenance shall ((be in
1796	compliance)) comply with an approved farm management plan in accordance with
1797	K.C.C. 21A.24.051.

1798	59. Allowed within existing landscaped areas or other previously disturbed
1799	areas.
1800	60. Allowed for residential utility service distribution lines to residential
1801	dwellings, including, but not limited to, well water conveyance, septic system
1802	conveyance, water service, sewer service, natural gas, electrical, cable, and telephone, if:
1803	a. there is no alternative location with less adverse impact on the critical area
1804	or the critical area buffer;
1805	b. the residential utility service distribution lines meet ($(all\ of)$) the following,
1806	to the maximum extent practical:
1807	(1) are not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or spawning or by a
1808	species listed as endangered or threatened by the state or federal government unless the
1809	department determines that there is no other feasible crossing site;
1810	(2) not located over a type S aquatic area;
1811	(3) paralleling the channel or following a down-valley route near the channel
1812	is avoided;
1813	(4) the width of clearing is minimized;
1814	(5) the removal of trees greater than twelve inches diameter at breast height is
1815	minimized;
1816	(6) an additional, contiguous, and undisturbed critical area buffer, equal in
1817	area to the disturbed critical area buffer area is provided to protect the critical area;
1818	(7) access for maintenance is at limited access points into the critical area
1819	buffer.
1820	(8) the construction occurs during approved periods for instream work;

1821	(9) bored, drilled, or other trenchless crossings are ((is)) encouraged, and
1822	shall be laterally constructed at least four feet below the maximum depth of scour for the
1823	base flood; and
1824	(10) open trenching across Type O or Type N aquatic areas is only used
1825	during low flow periods or only within aquatic areas when they are dry.
1826	61. Allowed if sponsored or cosponsored by the countywide flood control zone
1827	district, or the department of natural resources and parks and the department of local
1828	services, permitting division, determines that the project and its location:
1829	a. is the best flood risk reduction alternative practicable;
1830	b. is part of a comprehensive, long-term flood management strategy;
1831	c. is consistent with the King County Flood Management Plan policies;
1832	d. will have the least adverse impact on the ecological functions of the critical
1833	area or ((its)) associated buffer, including habitat for fish and wildlife that are identified
1834	for protection in the King County Comprehensive Plan; and
1835	e. has been subject to public notice in accordance with K.C.C. 20.44.060.
1836	62.a. Not allowed in wildlife habitat conservation areas;
1837	b. Only allowed if:
1838	(1) the project is sponsored or cosponsored by a public agency whose primary
1839	function deals with natural resources management;
1840	(2) the project is located on public land or on land that is owned by a
1841	nonprofit agency whose primary function deals with natural resources management;
1842	(3) there is not a feasible alternative location available on the site with less
1843	impact to the critical area or ((its)) associated buffer;

1844	(4) the aquatic area or wetland is not for salmonid rearing or spawning;
1845	(5) the project minimizes the footprint of structures and the number of access
1846	points to any critical areas; and
1847	(6) the project meets the following design criteria:
1848	(a) to the maximum extent practical size of platform shall not exceed one
1849	hundred square feet;
1850	(b) all construction materials for any structures, including the platform,
1851	pilings, exterior and interior walls, and roof, are constructed of nontoxic material, such as
1852	nontreated wood, vinyl-coated wood, nongalvanized steel, plastic, plastic wood,
1853	fiberglass, or cured concrete that the department determines will not have an adverse
1854	impact on water quality;
1855	(c) the exterior of any structures are sufficiently camouflaged using netting
1856	or equivalent to avoid any visual deterrent for wildlife species to the maximum extent
1857	practical. The camouflage shall be maintained to retain concealment effectiveness;
1858	(d) structures shall be located outside of the wetland or aquatic area
1859	landward of the $((\Theta))$ <u>o</u> rdinary $((H))$ <u>h</u> igh $((W))$ <u>w</u> ater $((M))$ <u>m</u> ark or open water
1860	component, $((f))$ if applicable (f) , to the maximum extent practical on the site;
1861	(e) construction occurs during approved periods for work inside the
1862	$((\Theta))\underline{o}$ rdinary $((H))\underline{h}$ igh $((W))\underline{w}$ ater $((M))\underline{m}$ ark;
1863	(f) construction associated with bird blinds shall not occur from March 1
1864	through August 31, in order to avoid disturbance to birds during the breeding, nesting,
1865	and rearing seasons;

1866	(g) to the maximum extent practical, provide accessibility for persons with
1867	physical disabilities in accordance with the International Building Code;
1868	(h) trail access is designed in accordance with public rules adopted by the
1869	department;
1870	(i) existing native vegetation within the critical area will remain undisturbed
1871	except as necessary to accommodate the proposal. Only minimal hand clearing of
1872	vegetation is allowed; and
1873	(j) disturbed bare ground areas around the structure must be ((replanted))
1874	revegetated with native vegetation or climate-smart plants approved by the department.
1875	63. Not allowed in the severe channel migration ((zone,)) <u>hazard area.</u>
1876	Otherwise allowed if there is no alternative location with less adverse impact on the
1877	critical area and buffer, and clearing is minimized to the maximum extent practical.
1878	64. Only structures wholly or partially supported by a tree and used as accessory
1879	living quarters or for play and similar uses described in K.C.C. 16.02.240.1, subject to the
1880	following:
1881	a. not allowed in wildlife habitat conservation areas, wetlands, aquatic areas, or
1882	severe channel migration hazard areas;
1883	b. the structure's floor area shall not exceed two hundred square feet, excluding
1884	a narrow access stairway or landing leading to the structure;
1885	c. the structure shall be located as far from ((the critical area)) wetlands or
1886	aquatic areas as practical, but in no case closer than seventy-five feet from ((the critical
1887	area)) a wetland or an aquatic area;

1888	d. only one tree-supported structure within a critical area buffer or riparian area
1889	is allowed on a lot;
1890	e. all construction materials for the structure, including the platform, pilings,
1891	exterior and interior walls, and roof, shall be constructed of nontoxic material, such as
1892	nontreated wood, vinyl-coated wood, nongalvanized steel, plastic, plastic wood,
1893	fiberglass, or cured concrete that the department determines will not have an adverse
1894	impact on water quality;
1895	f. to the maximum extent practical, the exterior of the structure shall be
1896	camouflaged with natural wood and earth tone colors to limit visual impacts to wildlife
1897	and visibility from the critical area. The camouflage shall be maintained to retain
1898	concealment effectiveness;
1899	g. the structure must not adversely impact the long-term health and viability of
1900	the tree. The evaluation shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
1901	(1) the quantity of supporting anchors and connection points to attach the tree
1902	house to the tree shall be the minimum necessary to adequately support the structure;
1903	(2) the attachments shall be constructed using the best available tree anchor
1904	bolt technology; and
1905	(3) an ((ISA)) <u>International Society of Arboriculture</u> Certified Arborist shall
1906	evaluate the tree proposed for placement of the tree house and shall submit a report
1907	discussing how the tree's long-term health and viability will not be negatively impacted
1908	by the tree house or associated infrastructure;
1909	h. exterior lighting shall meet the following criteria:

1910	(1) limited to the minimum quantity of lights necessary to meet the building
1911	code requirements to allow for safe exiting of the structure and stairway; and
1912	(2) exterior lights shall be fully shielded and shall direct light downward, in
1913	an attempt to minimize impacts to the nighttime environment;
1914	i. unless otherwise approved by the department, all external construction shall
1915	be limited to September 1 through March 1 in order to avoid disturbance to wildlife
1916	species during typical breeding, nesting, and rearing seasons;
1917	j. trail access to the structure shall be designed in accordance with trail
1918	standards under subsection D.47. of this section;
1919	k. to the maximum extent practical, existing native vegetation shall be left
1920	undisturbed. Only minimal hand clearing of vegetation is allowed; and
1921	1. vegetated areas within the critical area buffer or riparian area that are
1922	temporarily impacted by construction of the structure shall be restored by planting native
1923	vegetation or climate-smart plants according to a ((vegetation management)) mitigation
1924	plan approved by the department.
1925	65. Shoreline water dependent and shoreline water oriented uses are allowed in
1926	$((the aquatic area and aquatic area buffer of a)) ((T)) \underline{t}ype S$ aquatic area $\underline{and adjacent}$
1927	riparian area if consistent with K.C.C. chapter 21A.25, chapter 90.58 RCW, and the King
1928	County Comprehensive Plan.
1929	66. Only hydroelectric ((generating)) generation facilities meeting the
1930	requirements of K.C.C. 21A.08.100B.14., and only as follows:
1931	a. there is ((not another)) no other feasible location within the aquatic area with
1932	less adverse impact on the critical area and ((its)) associated buffer;

1933	b. the facility and corridor ((is)) are not located over habitat used for salmonid
1934	rearing or spawning or by a species listed as endangered or threatened by the state or
1935	federal government unless the department determines that there is no other feasible
1936	location;
1937	c. the facility is not located in Category I wetlands or Category II wetlands
1938	with a habitat score of (8) eight points or greater;
1939	d. the corridor width is minimized to the maximum extent practical;
1940	e. paralleling the channel or following a down-valley route within ((an aquatic
1941	area buffer)) riparian area is avoided to the maximum extent practical;
1942	f. the construction occurs during approved periods for instream work;
1943	g. the facility and corridor will not change or adversely impact the overall
1944	aquatic area flow peaks, duration or volume or the flood storage capacity;
1945	h. the facility and corridor ((is)) are not located within a severe channel
1946	migration hazard area;
1947	i. to the maximum extent practical, buildings will be located outside the
1948	wetland buffer or riparian area and away from the wetland or aquatic area ((or wetland));
1949	j. to the maximum extent practical, access for maintenance is at limited access
1950	points into the critical area or associated buffer rather than by a parallel maintenance
1951	road. If a parallel maintenance road is necessary, the following standards are met:
1952	(1) to the maximum extent practical the width of the maintenance road is
1953	minimized and in no event greater than fifteen feet; and
1954	(2) the location of the maintenance road is contiguous to the utility corridor
1955	on the side of the utility corridor farthest from the critical area;

1956	k. the facility does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public health, safety,
1957	or welfare on or off the development proposal site and is consistent with the general
1958	purposes of this chapter and the public interest; and
1959	l. the facility connects to or is an alteration to a public roadway, public trail, a
1960	utility corridor or utility facility, or other infrastructure owned or operated by a public
1961	utility.
1962	67. Only hydroelectric ((generating)) generation facilities meeting the
1963	requirements of K.C.C. 21A.08.100.B.14, and only as follows:
1964	a. there is $no((t - an))$ other feasible location with less adverse impact on the
1965	critical area and ((its)) associated buffer;
1966	b. the alterations will not subject the critical area to an increased risk of
1967	landslide or erosion;
1968	c. the corridor width is minimized to the maximum extent practical;
1969	d. vegetation removal is the minimum necessary to locate the utility or
1970	construct the corridor;
1971	e. the facility and corridor do not pose an unreasonable threat to the public
1972	health, safety, or welfare on or off the development proposal site and $((is))$ are consistent
1973	with the general purposes of this chapter, and the public interest and significant risk of
1974	personal injury $((is))$ are eliminated or minimized in the landslide hazard area; and
1975	f. the facility connects to or is an alteration to a public roadway, public trail, a
1976	utility corridor or utility facility or other infrastructure owned or operated by a public
1977	utility.

1978	68. Only for a single detached dwelling unit on a lake twenty acres or larger and
1979	only as follows:
1980	a. the heat exchanger must be a closed loop system that does not draw water
1981	from or discharge to the lake;
1982	b. the lake bed shall not be disturbed, except as required by the county or a
1983	state or federal agency to mitigate for impacts of the heat exchanger;
1984	c. the in-water portion of system is only allowed where water depth exceeds
1985	six feet; and
1986	d. system structural support for the heat exchanger piping shall be attached to
1987	an existing dock or pier or be attached to a new structure that meets the requirements of
1988	K.C.C. 21A.25.180.
1989	69. Only for maintenance of agricultural waterways if:
1990	a. the purpose of the maintenance project is to improve agricultural production
1991	on a site predominately engaged in the practice of agriculture;
1992	b. the maintenance project is conducted in compliance with a hydraulic project
1993	approval issued by the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife ((pursuant to))
1994	in accordance with chapter 77.55 RCW;
1995	c. the maintenance project complies with the King County agricultural
1996	drainage assistance program as agreed to by the Washington state Department of Fish and
1997	Wildlife, the department of local services, permitting division, and the department of
1998	natural resources and parks, and as reviewed by the Washington state Department of
1999	Ecology;

2000	d. the person performing the maintenance and the landowner have attended
2001	training provided by King County on the King County agricultural drainage assistance
2002	program and the best management practices required under that program; and
2003	e. the maintenance project complies with K.C.C. chapter 16.82.
2004	70. Only allowed within an alluvial fan hazard area, and overlapping critical
2005	areas if:
2006	a. in response to an emergency event where channel avulsion or migration is
2007	imminent or has occurred as a result of a pulse of in-channel sediment or debris
2008	deposition;
2009	b. to prevent an imminent threat to:
2010	(1) public roadways, utilities, and other infrastructure;
2011	(2) sole access driveways and roads;
2012	(3) primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, or accessory living
2013	quarters, and residential accessory structures;
2014	(4) agricultural activities structures necessary to store equipment, produce, or
2015	livestock;
2016	c. conducted under an approved emergency authorization request per K.C.C.
2017	<u>16.082.065;</u>
2018	d. the emergency work is completed within thrity days of receiving a written
2019	emergency authorization;
2020	e. the emergency work is the minimum necessary bank stabilization, sediment
2021	removal, or repair of existing infrastructure to mitigate the imminent threat;

2022	f. proposed in-stream work is minimized or results in the least adverse impact
2023	in the short term to the ecological functions and values of the critical areas present,
2024	including special consideration for fish or fish eggs in the project area;
2025	g. downstream sediment runoff and turbidity is minimized and does not exceed
2026	the impact of the emergency event;
2027	h. the applicant provides sufficient notice of work to the department for a
2028	department representative to be present at the site during work activities, if the
2029	department determines that observation is necessary;
2030	i. as part of the permitting process following the emergency authorization, the
2031	applicant proposes compensatory mitigation and additional alterations as necessary to:
2032	(1) mitigate any adverse ecological impacts of the emergency actions;
2033	(2) minimize the risk of alluvial fan hazards that could result in the necessity
2034	of future emergency actions to the maximum extent practical; and
2035	(3) minimize to the maximum extent practical the frequency and magnitude
2036	of future adverse ecological impacts that may result from future hazard mitigation
2037	activities; and
2038	j. nonemergency work required under the subsequent permit occurs during
2039	approved periods for in-stream work and conforms to all other standards in this chapter.
2040	SECTION 42. Ordinance 15051, Section 138, as amended, and K.C.C.
2041	21A.24.051 are hereby amended to read as follows:
2042	A. The alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 for agricultural activities are
2043	allowed to expand within ((the buffers of)) wetland((s)) buffers, ((aquatic areas)) riparian
2044	areas, and wildlife habitat conservation areas,) when an agricultural activity is currently

occurring on the site and the alteration is in compliance with an approved farm
management plan in accordance with this section or, for livestock activities, a farm
management plan in accordance with K.C.C. chapter 21A.30.

- B. This section does not modify any requirement that the property owner obtain permits for activities covered by the farm management plan.
- C. The department of natural resources and parks or its designee shall serve as the single point of contact for King County in providing information on farm management plans for purposes of this title. The department of natural resources and parks shall adopt a public rule governing the development of farm management plans. The rule may provide for different types of farms management plans related to different kinds of agricultural activities, including, but not limited to the best management practices for livestock management, livestock crossing, livestock heavy use areas, horticulture management, site development, farm pads, farm field access roads, and agricultural drainage.
- D. The property owner or applicant may develop the farm management plan as part of a program offered or approved by King County. A property owner or applicant seeking to use the process to allow alterations in critical area buffers shall develop a farm management plan based on the following goals, which are listed in order of priority:
- 1. To maintain the productive agricultural land base and economic viability of agriculture on the site;
- 2065 2. To maintain, restore, or enhance critical areas to the maximum extent practical in accordance with the site_specific goals of the landowner;

2067	3. To the maximum extent practical in accordance with the site_specific goals of
2068	the landowner, maintain, and enhance natural hydrologic systems on the site;
2069	4. To use federal, state, and local best management practices and best available
2070	science for farm management to achieve the goals of the farm management plan; and
2071	5. To monitor the effectiveness of best management practices and implement
2072	additional practices through adaptive management to achieve the goals of the farm
2073	management plan.
2074	E. If a part or all of the site is located within the shoreline jurisdiction, the farm
2075	management plan shall:
2076	1. Consider and be consistent with the goals of the shoreline management act
2077	and the policies of the King County shoreline master program;
2078	2. Consider the priorities of the King County shoreline protection and
2079	restoration plan; and
2080	3. Ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and critical area
2081	functional and values.
2082	F. ((The property owner or applicant may develop the farm management plan as
2083	part of a program offered or approved by King County.)) The plan shall include, but is
2084	not limited to, the following elements:
2085	1. A site inventory identifying critical areas, structures, cleared and forested
2086	areas, and other significant features on the site;
2087	2. Site-specific performance standards and best management practices to
2088	maintain, restore or enhance critical areas and ((their)) associated buffers, and maintain

and enhance native vegetation on the site including the best management practices for the
installation and maintenance of farm field access drives and agricultural drainages;

- 3. A plan for future changes to any existing structures or for any changes to the landscape that involve clearing or grading;
- 4. A plan for implementation of performance standards and best management practices;
- 5. A plan for monitoring the effectiveness of measures taken to protect critical areas and ((their)) associated buffers ((and to modify)). Modification to the farm management plan should occur if adverse impacts ((occur)) are identified.
- G. If applicable, a farm management plan shall include documentation of compliance with flood compensatory storage and flood conveyance in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.240.
- H. A farm management plan is not effective until approved by the county.

 Before approval, the county may conduct a site inspection, ((which may be through a program offered or approved by King County,)) to verify that the plan is ((reasonably)) likely to accomplish the goals in subsection D. of this section and consistent with subsection E. of this section.
- I. ((Once approved, a)) Activities carried out in compliance with the approved farm management plan shall be deemed in compliance with this chapter. In the event of a potential code enforcement action, ((the department of local services, permitting division, shall first inform the department of natural resources and parks of the activity. Before taking code enforcement action,)) the department of local services, permitting division, shall consult with the department of natural resources and parks and the King

2112	Conservation District to determine whether the activity is consistent with the farm
2113	management plan.

- 2114 <u>SECTION 43.</u> Ordinance 15051, Section 140, as amended, and K.C.C. 2115 21A.24.061 are hereby amended to read as follows:
 - A. The King County council recognizes that ((rural stewardship plans and)) farm management plans ((are key elements of this chapter that)) provide flexibility to natural resource land and rural area residents to establish and maintain a rural lifestyle that includes activities such as farming ((and forestry)), while maintaining and enhancing rural character and environmental quality.
 - B. The department of natural resources and parks and department of local services shall adopt public rules to implement K.C.C. 21A.24.045 and 21A.24.051 ((relating to rural stewardship plans and farm management plans)), consistent with the provisions of this section. The rules shall ((not compromise)) be consistent with the King Conservation District's mandates or standards for farm management planning.
 - C. County departments or approved agencies shall provide technical assistance and resources to landowners to assist them in preparing the plans. The technical assistance shall include, but is not limited to, web-based information, instructional manuals, and classroom workshops. When possible, ((the assistance shall be provided at little or no cost to landowners)) the cost of such assistance shall be minimal to the landowner. ((In addition, t))The department of natural resources and parks shall develop, in consultation as necessary with the department of local services, permitting division, and the King Conservation District, ((and make available to the public,)) model farm management((, forest management and rural stewardship)) plans illustrating examples of

plan application content, drawings, and site plans, ((to assist landowners in their
development of site-specific plans for their property)) and make available to the public

- D. The department of natural resources and parks ((is)) shall be the primary county agency responsible for ((rural stewardship plans and)) farm management plans that are filed with the county under this chapter. The department of natural resources and parks shall consult with the department of local services, permitting division, in carrying out ((its)) the responsibilities under this chapter relating to ((rural stewardship plans and)) farm management plans. The department of natural resources and parks, the department of local services, permitting division, and the King Conservation District may enter into agreements to carry out the provisions of this chapter relating to ((rural stewardship plans and)) farm management plans.
- E. The department of natural resources and parks and department of local services, permitting division, shall monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of ((rural stewardship and)) farm management plans in meeting the goals and objectives of those plans established in this chapter.
- SECTION 44. Ordinance 10870, Section 454, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.070 are hereby amended to read as follows:
- A. The director may approve <u>exceptions to allow</u> alterations to critical areas, critical area buffers, and critical area setbacks, except for flood hazard areas, <u>alluvial fan</u> <u>hazard areas</u>, and <u>severe channel hazard migration areas</u>, not otherwise allowed by this chapter as follows:
- 1. <u>For linear alterations</u>, ((E))except as otherwise provided in subsection A.2. of this section((, for linear alterations, the director may approve alterations to critical areas,

2158	critical area buffers and critical area setbacks only)) when all of the following criteria are
2159	met:
2160	a. there is no feasible alternative to the development proposal with less adverse
2161	impact on the critical area;
2162	b. the <u>development</u> proposal minimizes the adverse impact on critical areas to
2163	the maximum extent practical;
2164	c. the ((approval)) exception does not require the modification of a critical area
2165	development standard established by this chapter;
2166	d. the development proposal does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public
2167	health, safety, or welfare on or off the development proposal site and is consistent with
2168	the general purposes of this chapter and the public interest; and
2169	e. the linear alteration:
2170	(1) connects to or is an alteration to a public roadway, regional light rail
2171	transit line, public trail, a utility corridor or utility facility, or other public infrastructure
2172	owned or operated by a public utility; or
2173	(2) is required to overcome limitations due to gravity;
2174	2. In order to accommodate the siting of a regional light rail transit facility
2175	under RCW 36.70A.200, the director may approve alterations to critical areas, critical
2176	area buffers, and critical area setbacks not otherwise allowed by this chapter and may
2177	impose reasonable conditions to minimize the impact of the light rail transit facility on
2178	the critical area and ((its)) associated buffer; and
2179	3. For nonlinear alterations, except as otherwise provided in subsection A.3.h.
2180	of this section, the director may approve exceptions to allow alterations to critical areas

2181	except <u>aquatic areas</u> , <u>wildlife habitat conservation areas</u> , <u>and</u> wetlands, ((unless otherwise
2182	allowed under subsection A.3.h. of this section, aquatic areas and wildlife habitat
2183	conservation areas,)) and may approve alteration((s)) exceptions to critical area buffers
2184	and critical area setbacks, when all of the following criteria are met:
2185	a. there is no feasible alternative to the development proposal with less adverse
2186	impact on the critical area;
2187	b. the alteration is the minimum necessary to accommodate the development
2188	proposal;
2189	c. the ((approval)) exception does not require the modification of a critical area
2190	development standard established by this chapter;
2191	d. the development proposal does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public
2192	health, safety, or welfare on or off the development proposal site and is consistent with
2193	the general purposes of this chapter and the public interest;
2194	e. for dwelling units, no more than five thousand square feet or ten percent of
2195	the site, whichever is greater, may be disturbed by structures, ((building)) critical area
2196	setbacks, or other land alteration, including grading, utility installations, and maintained
2197	yard and landscaping, but not including the area used for a driveway or for an on-site
2198	sewage disposal system. When the site disturbance is within a critical area or associated
2199	buffer, the ((building)) critical area setback line shall be measured from the ((building
2200	footprint)) edge of the structure to the edge of the approved site disturbance;
2201	f. to the maximum extent practical, access is <u>designed and</u> located to have the
2202	least adverse impact on the critical area and critical area buffer;

2203	g. the critical area is not ((used as a)) <u>potential</u> salmonid spawning ((area))
2204	habitat; and
2205	h. the director may approve an alteration in a category II, III, and IV wetland
2206	for development of a public school facility.
2207	B. The director may approve a reasonable use exception to allow alterations to
2208	critical areas, critical area buffers, and critical area setbacks, except for flood hazard
2209	areas, alluvial fan hazard areas, and severe channel hazard migration hazard areas, if the
2210	application of this chapter would deny all reasonable use of the property as follow:
2211	1. If the critical area, critical area buffer, or critical area setback is outside of the
2212	shoreline jurisdiction, the applicant may apply for a reasonable use exception under this
2213	subsection without first having applied for an alteration exception under this section if the
2214	requested reasonable use exception includes relief from development standards for which
2215	an alteration exception cannot be granted under this section. The director shall determine
2216	that all $((of))$ the following criteria are met:
2217	a. there is no other reasonable use with less adverse impact on the critical area;
2218	b. development proposal does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public
2219	health, safety, or welfare on or off the development proposal site and is consistent with
2220	the general purposes of this chapter and the public interest;
2221	c. any authorized alteration to the critical area or critical area buffer is the
2222	minimum necessary to allow for reasonable use of the property; and
2223	d. for dwelling units, no more than five thousand square feet or ten percent of
2224	the site, whichever is greater, may be disturbed by structures, ((building)) critical area
2225	setbacks, or other land alteration, including grading, utility installations, and maintained

2226	<u>yard and</u> landscaping but not including the area used for a driveway or for an on-site
2227	sewage disposal system; and
2228	2. If the critical area, critical area buffer, or critical area setback is located
2229	within the shoreline jurisdiction, the request for a reasonable use exception shall be
2230	considered a request for a shoreline variance under K.C.C. 21A.44.090.
2231	C. For the purpose of this section:
2232	1. "Linear" alteration means infrastructure that supports development that is
2233	linear in nature and includes public and private roadways, public trails, private
2234	driveways, railroads, regional light rail transit, hydroelectric ((generating)) generation
2235	facilities, utility corridors, and utility facilities; and
2236	2. ((For purposes of subsections A. and B. of this section, a))Areas located
2237	((within the shoreline jurisdiction that are)) below the ordinary high water mark shall no
2238	be included in calculating the site area.
2239	D. $((Alteration e))$ Exceptions approved under this section shall meet the
2240	mitigation requirements of this chapter.
2241	E. An applicant for an ((alteration)) exception shall submit a critical area report,
2242	as required by K.C.C. 21A.24.110.
2243	F. An exception shall not be approved if the inability of the applicant to derive
2244	reasonable use of the property is the result of actions by the current or prior property
2245	owner.
2246	SECTION 45. Ordinance 10870, Section 456, as amended, and K.C.C.
2247	21A.24.090 are hereby amended to read as follows:

2248	((If a development proposal site contains or is within a critical area, the applicant
2249	shall submit an affidavit which declares whether)) An applicant for a permit for a
2250	development proposal or a critical area designation under K.C.C. 21A.24.500, as
2251	recodified by this ordinance, shall provide the following:
2252	A. <u>Disclosure of critical areas on the development proposal site or sites,</u>
2253	including mapped or identifiable critical areas within the distance equal to the largest
2254	potential required critical area buffer applicable to the development proposal; and
2255	B. An affidavit indicating whether:
2256	$\underline{1}$. The applicant has knowledge of any illegal alteration to $((any or all))$ the
2257	critical areas or associated buffers on the development proposal site or sites; and
2258	$((B_{-}))$ 2. The applicant previously has been found in violation of this chapter for
2259	any property in King County, in accordance with K.C.C. Title 23. If the applicant
2260	previously has been found in violation, the applicant ((shall)) must declare whether the
2261	violation has been corrected to the satisfaction of King County.
2262	SECTION 46. K.C.C. 21A.24.500, as amended by this ordinance, is hereby
2263	recodified as a new section in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 to follow K.C.C. 21A.24.090.
2264	SECTION 47. Ordinance 14187, Section 1, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.500
2265	are hereby amended to read as follows:
2266	A.1. A property owner or the property owner's agent may request a critical area
2267	designation for a site consisting of part or all of a ((site)) parcel, without seeking a permit
2268	for a development proposal, by filing with the department a written application for a
2269	critical area designation on a form provided by the department.

2270	<u>2.</u> ((If the request is for review of a portion of a site, t) The application shall
2271	include a map identifying ((the portion)) all areas of the ((site)) parcel for which the
2272	designation is sought. The designation shall not apply to any areas of the parcel other
2273	than those identified in the application and approved by the department.
2274	((2. The designation may include an evaluation or interpretation of the
2275	applicability of critical area buffers and other critical area standards to a future
2276	development proposal.))
2277	3. If deemed necessary by the department, supporting critical area reports,
2278	prepared in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.110, may be required.
2279	4. The review by the department shall evaluate, determine, and document the
2280	existence, location, and classification of the critical areas within the areas indicated on
2281	the application.
2282	B. ((In preparing the critical area designation, the department shall perform a
2283	critical area review to:
2284	1. Determine whether any critical area exists on the site and confirm its type,
2285	location, boundaries and classification;
2286	2. Determine whether a critical area report is required to identify and
2287	characterize the location, boundaries and classification of the critical area;
2288	3. Evaluate the critical area report, if required; and
2289	4. Document the existence, location and classification of any critical area.
2290	C. If required by the department, the applicant for a critical area designation shall
2291	prepare and submit to the department the critical area report required by subsection B.2.
2292	of this section. For sites zoned for single detached dwelling units involving wetlands or

2293	aquatic areas, the applicant may elect to have the department conduct the special study in
2294	accordance with K.C.C. Title 27;
2295	D.)) The department shall ((make the determination of)) issue a critical area
2296	designation in writing within one_hundred_twenty_days after the application for a critical
2297	area designation is complete, as provided in K.C.C. 20.20.050. The periods in K.C.C.
2298	20.20.100.A.1. through 5. are excluded from the one-hundred-twenty-day period. ((If the
2299	determination applies to less than an entire site, t)) The determination shall clearly
2300	identify the portion of the ((site)) parcel to which the determination applies.
2301	((E.1.)) <u>C.</u> The written determination made under this section is <u>final and</u>
2302	effective for five years from the date of issuance as to the existence, location,
2303	classification of any critical area ((and critical area buffers)) on the site, unless:
2304	((a.)) 1. $((t))$ There is a change in site conditions;
2305	((b.)) 2. New or additional information becomes available that conflicts with or
2306	invalidates information that formed the basis of the department's determination,
2307	including, but not limited to, discovery of an unpermitted critical area alteration, or the
2308	adoption of revised methods for critical area classification; or
2309	3. A county, state, or federal agency adopts critical area maps that conflict with
2310	the department's written determination.
2311	((2. As part of its review of a complete application for a permit or approval, the
2312	department shall establish whether the written determination is still effective.
2313	F. If the department designates critical areas on a site under this section, the
2314	applicant for a development proposal on that site shall submit proof that a critical area
2315	notice has been filed as required by K.C.C. 21A.24.170. Except as provided in this

subsection, the department's determination under this section is final. If the department	
relies on a critical area designation made under this section during its review of an	
application for a permit or other approval of a development proposal and the permit or	
other approval is subject to an administrative appeal, any appeal of the designation shall	
be consolidated with and is subject to the same appeal process as the underlying	
development proposal. If the King County hearing examiner makes the county's final	
decision with regard to the permit or other approval type for the underlying development	
proposal, the hearing examiner's decision constitutes the county's final decision on the	
designation. If the King County council, acting as a quasi-judicial body, makes the	
county's final decision with regard to the permit or other approval type for the underlying	
development proposal, the King County council's decision constitutes the county's final	
decision on the designation.))	
SECTION 48. Ordinance 10870, Section 457, as amended, and K.C.C.	
21A.24.100 are hereby amended to read as follows:	
A. ((Before any)) Prior to clearing, grading, or site preparation, the department	
shall perform a critical area review ((for any)) of development proposal permit	
applications or other request for permission to alter a site to determine ((whether there	
is)):	
1. The existence, location, and classification of ((A)) critical areas on the	
1. The existence, location, and classification of $((A))$ critical areas on the	

2338	3. A critical area or active breeding site of a protected species that has been
2339	mapped, identified within three hundred feet of the applicant's property or that is visible
2340	from the boundaries of the site)) The existence, location, and classification of critical
2341	areas within three hundred feet of the site that can be identified by use of available data
2342	and methods including, but not limited to, visual observations from right-of-way or
2343	property with right of entry, mapping, aerial imagery, critical area determinations for
2344	other permits, and available critical area reports;
2345	3. The boundaries of critical area buffers and critical area setbacks that apply to
2346	the site and area within three hundred feet of the site, consistent with the standards and
2347	regulations of this chapter; and
2348	4. Compliance with all other standards and regulations of this chapter.
2349	B. As part of the critical area review, the department shall review ((the critical
2350	area reports and determine)) whether:
2351	1. ((There has been an accurate identification of all critical areas)) Critical area
2352	designation or designations for the parcel are effective pursuant to K.C.C. 21A.24.500, as
2353	recodified by this ordinance;
2354	2. ((An alteration will occur to a critical area or a critical area buffer)) Critical
2355	area report or reports are required with the application under K.C.C. 21A.110;
2356	3. ((The development proposal is consistent with this chapter)) Critical areas,
2357	associated buffers, and critical area setbacks have been applied to the site and accurately
2358	identified, delineated, and classified within the application documents;

2359	4. ((The sequence)) Proposed alterations prioritize avoidance and minimization
2360	measures, followed by mitigation requirements in K.C.C. 21A.24.125 ((has been
2361	followed to avoid impacts to critical areas and critical area buffers)); ((and))
2362	5. <u>Proposed</u> ((M))mitigation measures required to compensate for unavoidable
2363	adverse impacts to critical areas ((is required and whether the mitigation and monitoring
2364	plans and bonding measures proposed by the applicant are sufficient to protect the
2365	general public health, safety and welfare,)) and associated buffers are in compliance with
2366	K.C.C. 21A.24.130 and all other applicable mitigation requirements of this chapter; and
2367	6. Proposed alterations are consistent with the goals, purposes, objectives, and
2368	requirements of this chapter.
2369	C. If a development proposal does not involve any site disturbance, clearing, or
2370	grading and only requires a permit or approval under K.C.C. chapter 16.04 or 17.04,
2371	critical area review is not required, unless the development proposal is located within a:
2372	1. Flood hazard area;
2373	2. Critical aquifer recharge area; or
2374	3. Landslide hazard area, steep slope hazard area, seismic hazard area, or coal
2375	mine hazard area and the proposed development will cause additional loads on the
2376	foundation, such as by expanding the habitable square footage of the structure or by
2377	adding or changing structural features that change the load bearing characteristics of the
2378	structure.
2379	D. The determinations made under critical area review of a development proposal
2380	permit application shall be consolidated with and subject to the same appeal process as
2381	the underlying development proposal, except for any determinations made under an

2382	effective critical area designation under K.C.C. 21A.24.500, as recodified by this
2383	ordinance, that are classified as an independent decision under K.C.C. 20.20.020.
2384	SECTION 49. Ordinance 10870, Section 458, as amended, and K.C.C.
2385	21A.24.110 are hereby amended to read as follows:
2386	A. An ((applicant)) application for a development proposal that requires critical
2387	area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.100 or K.C.C. 21A.24.500, as recodified by this
2388	ordinance, shall submit a critical area report at a level determined by the department to
2389	adequately evaluate the proposal and all probable impacts in accordance with the
2390	applicable critical area report criteria of this chapter.
2391	B. The applicant may combine a critical area report with ((any)) additional
2392	studies required by other laws and regulations.
2393	C. If the development proposal will affect only a part of the development
2394	proposal site, the department may limit the scope of the required critical area report to
2395	include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal.
2396	D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County
2397	Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program
2398	and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the
2399	alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be:
2400	a. $((A))$ <u>a</u> Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received
2401	concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine
2402	Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act;
2403	b. $((\mathbf{D}))$ documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan
2404	approved pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act;

2405	c. $((D))\underline{d}$ ocumentation that the activity fits within Section 4(d) of the
2406	Endangered Species Act;
2407	d. ((A))an assessment prepared in accordance with Regional Guidance for
2408	Floodplain Habitat Assessment and Mitigation, FEMA Region X, ((2010)) April 2011.
2409	The assessment shall determine if the project ((would)) adversely affects any ((one or
2410	more)) of the following:
2411	(1) the primary constituent elements identified when a species is listed as
2412	threatened or endangered;
2413	(2) Essential Fish Habitat designated by the National Marine Fisheries
2414	Service;
2415	(3) fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas;
2416	(4) vegetation communities and habitat structures;
2417	(5) water quality;
2418	(6) water quantity, including flood and low flow depths, volumes, and
2419	velocities;
2420	(7) the river or stream channel's natural planform pattern and migration
2421	process;
2422	(8) spawning substrate, if applicable; and
2423	(9) floodplain refugia, if applicable.
2424	2. The department $((must))$ <u>shall</u> require $((a))$ projects with adverse $((effects))$
2425	impacts on critical areas or associated buffers to ((comply with the impact)) prioritize
2426	avoidance((5)) and minimization ((and)) measures, followed by mitigation requirements
2427	of K.C.C. 21A.24.125 and 21A.24.130.

2428	NEW SECTION. SECTION 50. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24
2429	a new section to read as follows:
2430	A. An applicant for a development proposal that requires geological critical area
2431	review under K.C.C. 21A.24.100 shall submit a geological critical area report at a level
2432	of detail determined by the department to adequately evaluate the proposal and all
2433	probable impacts.
2434	B. The geological critical area report shall address all areas of the proposed
2435	development site and geologically hazardous areas within three hundred feet of the site or
2436	that have the potential to affect or be affected by the proposal.
2437	C. The geological critical area report shall be prepared, stamped, and signed by a
2438	geological professional.
2439	D. The geological critical area report shall contain, at a minimum, the following
2440	information:
2441	1. A description of site conditions that includes:
2442	a. existing development including structures, impervious surfaces, wells, drain
2443	fields, drain field reserve areas, roads, easements, and utilities on the site;
2444	b. the quantity and type of existing vegetation cover;
2445	c. a description of the ground surface inclination in percent gradient; and
2446	d. the location or evidence of any springs, seeps, or other expressions of
2447	groundwater.
2448	2. A evaluation of the geological conditions of the site that includes:

2449	a. references to mapped geological soil and bedrock units, seismic faults,
2450	landslides, alluvial fans, potential liquefaction zones, channel migration zones, and
2451	historical coal mines;
2452	b. available geotechnical reports, well logs, or other data sources within the
2453	vicinity of the site;
2454	c. geomorphological features of the site visible through site inspection, aerial
2455	photography, LIDAR imagery, or topographic contours;
2456	d. on-site soil explorations of sufficient location, distribution, and depth to
2457	adequately characterize the subsurface conditions of the site;
2458	e. engineering properties of the subsurface soils or bedrock or both on site and
2459	adjacent properties; and
2460	f. identification of all existing fill areas;
2461	3. A hazard analysis and finding of risks associated with relevant geologic
2462	hazards and the potential impacts to public safety, the hazard area, and the subject
2463	property including, but not limited to:
2464	a. slope stability;
2465	b. liquefaction hazard;
2466	c. debris runout;
2467	d. channel migration;
2468	e. erosion rates, slope recession rates, and potential impacts to existing or
2469	proposed development from waves or currents, stream meandering, or other erosional
2470	forces to determine the recommended solution for bank or shoreline stabilization; and
2471	f. additional information required by K.C.C. 21A.25.170, if applicable;

2472	4. An evaluation of proposed development which includes;
2473	a. location and description of proposed development activity;
2474	b. method of drainage and locations of all existing and proposed surface and
2475	subsurface drainage facilities and patterns, including infiltration testing or other
2476	geological review specifics as required by the King County Surface Water Design
2477	Manual;
2478	c. locations and methods for temporary and permanent erosion control;
2479	d. recommendations for structure siting limitations, including buffers and
2480	setbacks, in accordance with the development standards of geologically hazardous areas
2481	in this chapter;
2482	e. recommendations for engineering mitigation of hazards; and
2483	f. recommendations for vegetation management to mitigate hazards;
2484	5. A determination stating whether the proposed alterations minimize risks to
2485	people and development on and off the site in accordance with the development standards
2486	of this chapter, and rationale to support the determination based on the presented data,
2487	analysis, and scientific and engineering practice;
2488	6. A site plan that includes:
2489	a. the topography with vertical contour intervals of five feet or less unless the
2490	underlying project permit requires a smaller interval;
2491	b. significant geologic contacts, landslides, or downslope soil movement on
2492	and within three hundred feet of the site;
2493	c. all identified geologically hazardous areas, buffers, and critical area
2494	setbacks;

2495	d. exploration locations;
2496	e. locations of proposed development and clearing limits, if known, and
2497	f. transects of any provided cross-sections;
2498	7. All subsurface exploration logs, test logs and results, and other data sources
2499	used in the analyses, and
2500	8. Any other information determined by the department to be necessary to
2501	determine compliance with this chapter including, but not limited to, the use of LIDAR,
2502	technical reports, soil field or laboratory testing, studies or documents related to geologic
2503	hazards, and models for estimating how far landslide materials will travel.
2504	E. The department shall require a project with adverse impacts to prioritize
2505	avoidance and minimization measures, followed by mitigation requirements of K.C.C.
2506	21A.24.125 and 21A.24.130.
2507	NEW SECTION. SECTION 51. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24
2508	a new section to read as follows:
2509	A. An applicant for a development proposal that requires ecological critical area
2510	review under K.C.C. 21A.24.100 shall submit an ecological critical area report at a level
2511	of detail determined by the department to adequately evaluate the proposal for all
2512	probable impacts.
2513	B. The ecological critical area report shall address all areas of the proposed
2514	development site and ecological critical areas within three hundred feet of the site or that
2515	have the potential to affect or be affected by the proposal. Ecological critical areas
2516	include wetlands and all fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.

2517	C. The ecological critical area report shall be prepared and signed by an
2518	ecological professional.
2519	D. The ecological critical area report shall contain, at a minimum, the following
2520	information:
2521	1. A wetland, aquatic area, and riparian area delineation report that includes:
2522	a. identification of wetlands and delineation of associated boundaries in
2523	accordance with the approved federal wetland delineation manual and applicable regional
2524	supplement as set forth in WAC 173-22-035, including completed data forms and data
2525	point locations to demonstrate the presence or absence of wetland conditions;
2526	b. rating of wetlands in accordance with the Washington State Wetland Rating
2527	System for Western Washington Version 2.0, Washington state Department of Ecology
2528	publication number 23-06-009, published 2014 and updated 2023, including completed
2529	rating forms;
2530	c. identification of aquatic area locations, typing, and ordinary high water
2531	marks;
2532	d. identification of severe channel migration hazard areas and floodplains; and
2533	e. determination of applicable wetland buffers and riparian areas;
2534	2. A wildlife study and habitat assessment that identifies any known nests or
2535	breeding sites and potential habitat for any federal or state listed endangered, threatened,
2536	sensitive, or candidate species or King County species of local importance, and delineates
2537	any known wildlife habitat conservation areas and wildlife habitat networks;
2538	3. A description of existing onsite and adjacent site conditions that includes:

2539	a. existing development including, but not limited to, structures, roads,
2540	impervious surfaces, utilities, clearing, grading, and easements, and whether any existing
2541	development is not legally established;
2542	b. the extent and dominant species composition of existing vegetative cover;
2543	c. existing hydrologic characteristics of any wetland or aquatic areas, including
2544	sources of hydrology;
2545	d. functions and values of all critical areas found; and
2546	e. location, species, and diameter at breast height of trees three inches in
2547	diameter at breast height or larger within critical areas and associated buffers that are
2548	within the proposed clearing limits and within striking distance of the proposed
2549	development. The department may require tree locations to be surveyed;
2550	4. If unavoidable impacts to critical areas or buffers are proposed, an impact
2551	analysis that includes:
2552	a. demonstration that adverse impacts prioritized avoidance and minimization
2553	measures, followed by mitigation sequencing in K.C.C. 21A.24.125.
2554	b. calculation of square footage of direct permanent impacts to each critical
2555	area and buffer;
2556	c. calculation of square footage of temporary impacts to each critical area and
2557	associated buffer, and estimation of the time required for ecological functions to be
2558	restored;
2559	d. calculation of indirect impacts to wetlands in accordance with Wetland
2560	Mitigation in Washington State: Part 1 Agency Report and Guidance, Version 2

2561	Washington state Department of Ecology, publication number 21-06-003, published
2562	April 2021;
2563	e. description of vegetation, habitat, functions, and values of each critical area
2564	that will be lost or modified due to the proposed impacts;
2565	f. an analysis demonstrating that the hydrology of remaining wetlands on or
2566	adjacent to the site will not be substantially impacted by the project, using the wetland
2567	hydrology protection guidelines in the King County Surface Water Design Manual; and
2568	g. a tree risk assessment prepared by an arborist certified by the International
2569	Society of Arboriculture for any hazard trees proposed for removal within critical areas
2570	or associated buffers;
2571	5. A mitigation plan that includes:
2572	a. evaluation of on-site potential for enhancement, rehabilitation, restoration,
2573	or creation of critical areas and associated buffers for which mitigation is required;
2574	b. description of on-site mitigation activities proposed and justification that
2575	impacts will be adequately offset to ensure no net loss of critical area functions and
2576	values, including use of the credit-debit method as applicable for wetland impacts in
2577	accordance with Washington state Department of Ecology's Calculating Credits and
2578	Debits of Compensatory Mitigation in Wetlands of Western Washington: Final Report,
2579	publication 10-06-011, published 2010 and updated 2012, or subsequent updates;
2580	c. calculations of mitigation area required for permanent impacts or long-term
2581	temporary impacts, based on the ratios specified within K.C.C. chapter 21A.24;
2582	d. calculation of restoration area required for temporary impacts where
2583	ecological functions are expected to be restored within one year;

2584	e. depiction of proposed mitigation and restoration areas and example of
2585	planting plan, including irrigation if applicable;
2586	f. plan for installation of wildlife lighting;
2587	g. depiction of wildlife-passable fencing and permanent critical area signs at
2588	the edges of critical areas, associated buffers, and disturbed areas, as applicable, and
2589	h. justification for and description of any proposed off-site mitigation,
2590	including location, methods, quantities, and demonstration that equivalent or greater
2591	ecological functions will be achieved;
2592	6. A monitoring plan that includes:
2593	a. a monitoring schedule of five years, unless otherwise directed by the
2594	department;
2595	b. success criteria for mitigation activities to meet at the end of each
2596	monitoring year;
2597	c. monitoring methods sufficient to determine if success criteria are being met
2598	throughout the mitigation area;
2599	d. a maintenance plan to ensure success criteria are met; and
2600	e. a contingency plan if it is determined that mitigation fails to meet success
2601	criteria;
2602	7. A site plan depicting:
2603	a. mapped locations of all on-site critical areas and approximated locations of
2604	all off-site critical areas within three hundred feet of the site;
2605	b. widths of all buffers applied in accordance with K.C.C. chapter 21A.24;
2606	c. critical area setback lines applied in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.200;

2607	d. existing and proposed alterations, including but not limited to structures,
2608	impervious surfaces, utilities, clearing, nonnative landscaping, and grading; and
2609	e. all property lines, setbacks, and easements; and
2610	8. Additional information determined by the department to be necessary to
2611	comply with this chapter.
2612	SECTION 52. Ordinance 10870, Section 460, as amended, and K.C.C.
2613	21A.24.130 are hereby amended to read as follows:
2614	A. If mitigation is required under this chapter to compensate for adverse impacts,
2615	((unless otherwise provided, an applicant shall:
2616	1. Mitigate adverse impacts to:
2617	a. critical areas and their buffers; and
2618	b. the development proposal as a result of the proposed alterations on or near
2619	the critical areas; and
2620	2. Monitor the performance of any required mitigation)) the mitigation shall be
2621	designed to:
2622	1. Achieve no net loss of critical area ecological functions for the entire scope of
2623	the development; and
2624	2. Not create adverse impacts on other critical area functions.
2625	B. When mitigation measures are appropriate under the avoidance, minimization,
2626	and mitigation priority sequence in K.C.C. 21A.24.125, preferential consideration shall
2627	be given to measures that replace the impacted functions directly and in the immediate
2628	vicinity of the impact. The department may approve alternative mitigation within the
2629	watershed if the mitigation addresses limiting factors or identified critical needs for

2630	critical area resource conservation based on watershed or comprehensive resource
2631	management plans applicable to the area of impact. The department shall require
2632	appropriate safeguards, terms, or conditions as necessary to ensure no net loss of critical
2633	area ecological functions as conditions of approval for mitigation measures, which may
2634	include conservation easements, financial guarantees, and performance monitoring.
2635	C. The department shall not approve a development proposal until mitigation and
2636	monitoring plans are ((in place)) established ((to mitigate for alterations to critical areas
2637	and buffers)) and financial guarantees have been posted in accordance with K.C.C. Title
2638	<u>27A</u> .
2639	((C.)) <u>D.</u> Whenever mitigation is required, an applicant shall submit a critical
2640	area report ((that includes:
2641	1. An analysis of potential impacts;
2642	2. A mitigation plan that meets the specific mitigation requirements in this
2643	chapter for each critical area impacted; and
2644	3. A monitoring plan that includes:
2645	a. a demonstration of compliance with this title;
2646	b. a contingency plan in the event of a failure of mitigation or of unforeseen
2647	impacts if:
2648	(1) the department determines that failure of the mitigation would result in a
2649	significant impact on the critical area or buffer; or
2650	(2) the mitigation involves the creation of a wetland; and
2651	c. a monitoring schedule that may extend throughout the impact of the activity
2652	or, for hazard areas, for as long as the hazard exists.

2653	D.)) in accordance with this chapter.
2654	\underline{E} . Mitigation shall ((not)) be implemented ((until)) after the department approve
2655	((the)) <u>all applicable</u> mitigation and monitoring plans. The applicant shall notify the
2656	department when mitigation is installed and monitoring ((is)) $\underline{\text{has}}$ commenced and shall
2657	provide King County with reasonable access to the ((mitigation)) site for the purpose of
2658	inspections during ((any)) the monitoring period.
2659	$((E_{-}))$ <u>F.</u> If monitoring reveals a significant deviation from predicted impact or a
2660	failure of mitigation requirements, the applicant shall implement an approved
2661	contingency plan. The contingency plan constitutes new mitigation and is subject to all
2662	mitigation requirements, including a revised monitoring plan and revised financial
2663	guarantee requirements.
2664	SECTION 53. Ordinance 15051, Section 151, as amended, and K.C.C.
2665	21A.24.133 are hereby amended to read as follows:
2666	A. To the maximum extent practical, ((an applicant shall mitigate)) and after
2667	application of the mitigation sequencing measures in K.C.C. 21A.24.125, adverse
2668	impacts to a wetland or associated buffer, aquatic area, riparian area, wildlife habitat
2669	conservation area, or wildlife habitat network, shall be mitigated on or contiguous to the
2670	development site. The department may approve mitigation that is off-site ((the
2671	development site)) if an applicant demonstrates that:
2672	1. It is not practical to mitigate on or contiguous to the development proposal
2673	site; and
2674	2. The off-site mitigation will achieve equivalent or greater hydrological, water
2675	quality, and ((wetland or aquatic area)) habitat functions.

2676	B. When off-site mitigation is authorized, the department shall give priority to
2677	locations within the same drainage subbasin as the development proposal site that meet
2678	the following:
2679	1. Mitigation banking sites and resource mitigation reserves as authorized by
2680	this chapter;
2681	2. Private mitigation sites that are established in compliance with the
2682	requirements of this chapter and approved by the department; ((and)) or
2683	3. Public mitigation sites that have been ranked in a process ((that has been))
2684	supported by ecological assessments, including wetland and aquatic areas established as
2685	priorities for mitigation in King County ((basin plans or other)) watershed plans.
2686	C. The department ((may)) shall require documentation that the mitigation site
2687	has been permanently preserved from future development or alteration that would be
2688	inconsistent with the functions of the mitigation. The documentation may include, but is
2689	not limited to, a conservation easement or other agreement between the applicant and
2690	owner of the mitigation site. King County may enter into agreements or become a party
2691	to any easement or other agreement necessary to ensure that the site continues to exist in
2692	its mitigated condition.
2693	D. The department shall maintain a list of sites available for use for off-site
2694	mitigation projects.
2695	E.1. ((The department and the department of natural resources and parks have
2696	develop a program to allow the payment of a fee in lieu of providing mitigation on a

development site.)) The department may approve mitigation through the King County

<u>mitigation reserve program.</u> The program addresses:

2697

2698

2699	a. when the payment of a fee is allowed considering the availability of a site in
2700	geographic proximity with comparable hydrologic and biological functions and potential
2701	for future habitat fragmentation and degradation; and
2702	b. the use of the fees for mitigation on public or private sites that have been
2703	((ranked according to ecological criteria through one or more programs that have
2704	included a public process)) selected using a watershed approach, in accordance with the
2705	approved in-lieu fee program instrument.
2706	2. The in lieu fee mitigation program shall submit a report by May 1 in the first
2707	year of the biennial budget cycle, filed in the form of ((a paper original and)) an
2708	electronic copy with the clerk of the council, who shall retain the original and provide an
2709	electronic copy to all councilmembers, the council chief of staff and the lead staff for the
2710	transportation economy and environment committee or its successor. The report should
2711	address the following:
2712	a. information on the amount and source of revenues received by the program;
2713	b. a description and rationale for projects selected for funding;
2714	c. an accounting of budgeted and actual expenditures made; and
2715	d. the status of all projects approved in the previous five years, and anticipated
2716	completion date for those projects, if not yet complete.
2717	SECTION 54. Ordinance 10870, Section 464, as amended, and K.C.C.
2718	21A.24.170 are hereby amended to read as follows:
2719	A. Except as otherwise provided in subsection ((of)) C. of this section, the owner
2720	of any property containing critical areas or buffers on which a development proposal is
2721	submitted or any property on which mitigation is established as a result of development

2722	shall file a notice on title approved by King County with the records and licensing
2723	services division. The notice shall inform the public of:
2724	1. The presence of critical areas or buffers or mitigation sites on the property;
2725	2. The application of this chapter to the property; ((and))
2726	3. The possible existence of limitations on actions in or affecting the critical
2727	areas or buffers or the fact that mitigation sites may exist; and
2728	4. The existence of approved wetland buffer or riparian area averaging on the
2729	property.
2730	B. The applicant for a development proposal shall submit proof that the notice
2731	required by this section has been filed for public record before King County approves any
2732	development proposal for the property or, in the case of subdivisions, short subdivisions,
2733	and binding site plans, at or before recording of the subdivision, short subdivision, or
2734	binding site plan.
2735	C. The notice required under subsection A. of this section is not required if:
2736	1. The property is a public right-of-way or the site of a permanent public
2737	facility;
2738	2. The development proposal does not require ((sensitive)) critical area review
2739	under K.C.C. 21A.24.100.C; or
2740	3. The property only contains a critical aquifer recharge area or an erosion
2741	hazard area.
2742	D. Notices on title shall run with the land regardless of ownership, use, or land
2743	division. However, a property owner may apply to the county to have a notice on title
2744	removed or amended, under either of the following circumstances:

2745	1. The department, as part of a critical area review of a permit application,
2746	determines the information contained in an existing notice on title is no longer accurate
2747	because information about a critical area has changed, such as in its type or location; or
2748	2. An ordinance adopted an updated critical areas map indicating a
2749	reclassification or declassification of the critical area on the subject property.
2750	SECTION 55. Ordinance 10870, Section 465, as amended, and K.C.C.
2751	21A.24.180 are hereby amended to read as follows:
2752	A. ((The applicant)) Critical area tracts established under this section protect with
2753	the goal of enhancing critical area functions and values, including, but not limited to,
2754	providing fish and wildlife habitat, and protecting the public from geologic hazards and
2755	increased stormwater runoff. Development proposals for subdivisions, short
2756	subdivisions, or binding site plans shall establish and identify critical area tracts to
2757	delineate and protect ((those)) critical areas and <u>associated</u> buffers listed ((below in
2758	development proposals for subdivisions, short subdivisions or binding site plans)) in
2759	subsection A.1. through 7. of this section, and shall record the tracts on all documents of
2760	title of record for all affected lots:
2761	1. ((All-I))Landslide hazard areas and buffers that are one acre or more in size;
2762	2. ((All-s))Steep slope hazard areas and buffers that are one acre or more in size;
2763	3. $((All w))\underline{W}$ etlands and buffers; $((and))$
2764	4. ((All a))Aquatic areas ((and buffers));
2765	5. Riparian areas;
2766	6. Alluvial fan hazard areas that are one acre or more in size; and
2767	7. Wildlife habitat networks.

2/68	B. A critical area tract established under subsection A. of this section shall be
2769	either:
2770	$\underline{1}$. $((h))\underline{H}$ eld in an undivided interest by each owner of a building lot within the
2771	development with this ownership interest passing with the ownership of the lot((5)); or
2772	2. ((shall be h))Held by an incorporated homeowner's association or other legal
2773	entity that ensures the ownership, maintenance, and protection of the tract.
2774	C. ((The long-term management goals for critical area tracts established under
2775	subsection A. of this section are to protect and enhance critical area functions and values,
2776	including, but not limited to, providing fish and wildlife habitat and protecting the public
2777	from geologic hazards and increased stormwater runoff.)) The specific management
2778	strategy for each tract shall be clearly defined before preliminary approval of the
2779	subdivision or binding site plan.
2780	D. For an off-site critical area mitigation area, the applicant shall place the
2781	mitigation area in a critical area tract in accordance with subsection A. of this section, or
2782	in a perpetual conservation easement as approved by the director.
2783	<u>E.</u> In lieu of the requirements of subsections A. and D. of this section, the director
2784	may allow an applicant to include critical areas in resource tracts established under
2785	K.C.C. 21A.14.040.B.7. The resource tract management plan shall clearly state that the
2786	purpose of the resource portion is for resource management and the purpose of the
2787	designated critical areas is for critical area protection and enhancement and protecting the
2788	public from geologic hazards and increased stormwater runoff.
2789	$((E_{\cdot}))$ <u>F.</u> Site plans submitted as part of building permits, clearing, and grading
2790	permits, or other development permits shall include and delineate:

2791	1. $((All f))$ Flood hazard areas, as determined by King County in accordance
2792	with K.C.C. 21A.24.230;
2793	2. Landslide, volcanic, tsunami, coal mine, alluvial fan, and steep slope hazard
2794	areas;
2795	3. Aquatic areas, riparian areas, and wetlands;
2796	4. Wildlife habitat conservation areas and ((the)) wildlife habitat networks;
2797	5. <u>Critical area ((B))buffers</u> ; and
2798	6. ((Building)) Critical area setbacks as required by K.C.C. 21A.24.200.
2799	$((F_{\cdot}))$ <u>G.</u> If only a part of the development site has been mapped, the part of the
2800	site that has not been mapped shall be clearly identified and labeled on the site plans.
2801	SECTION 56. Ordinance 10870, Section 467, as amended, and K.C.C.
2802	21A.24.200 are hereby amended to read as follows:
2803	Unless otherwise provided, ((an applicant shall set buildings and other)) structures
2804	shall be set back a minimum distance of fifteen feet from the edges of all critical area
2805	buffers, or from the edges of all critical areas((, if no buffers are required)) where buffers
2806	are not required. ((When the)) If site disturbance is within a critical area or an associated
2807	buffer, the ((building)) critical area setback ((line)) shall be measured from the ((building
2808	footprint)) edge of the structure to the edge of the approved site disturbance. The
2809	following are allowed in the ((building)) critical area setback area:
2810	A. Landscaping;
2811	B. Uncovered decks less than eighteen inches above grade;
2812	C. Building overhangs if the overhangs do not extend more than eighteen inches
2813	into the setback area;

2814	D. Impervious ground surfaces, such as driveways and patios((, but the));
2815	however, improvements are required to meet any special drainage provisions specified in
2816	public rules adopted for ((the various)) critical areas and the King County Surface Water
2817	Design Manual;
2818	E. Utility service connections as long as the excavation for installation avoids
2819	impacts to the <u>critical area or associated</u> buffer; and
2820	F. Minor encroachments if adequate protection of the buffer will be maintained.
2821	SECTION 57. Ordinance 15051, Section 158, and K.C.C. 21A.24.205 are hereby
2822	amended to read as follows:
2823	Based upon a geological critical area report containing a coal mine hazard
2824	assessment ((prepared in accordance with this chapter)), the department shall classify
2825	coal mine hazard areas as follows:
2826	A. Declassified coal mine areas are those areas where the risk of catastrophic
2827	collapse is not significant and that the hazard assessment report has determined do not
2828	require special engineering or architectural recommendations to prevent significant risks
2829	of property damage. Declassified coal mine areas typically include, but are not limited
2830	to, areas underlain or directly affected by coal mines at depths of more than three hundred
2831	feet as measured from the surface;
2832	B. Moderate coal mine hazard areas are those areas that pose significant risks of
2833	property damage that can be mitigated by implementing special engineering or
2834	architectural recommendations. Moderate coal mine hazard areas typically include, but
2835	are not limited to, areas underlain or directly affected by abandoned coal mine workings
2836	from a depth of zero, which is the surface of the land, to three hundred feet or with

2837	overburden-cover-to-seam thickness ratios of less than ten to one depending on the
2838	inclination of the seam; and
2839	C. Severe coal mine hazard areas are those areas that pose a significant risk of
2840	catastrophic ground surface collapse. Severe coal mine hazard areas typically include,
2841	but are not limited to, areas characterized by unmitigated openings such as entries,
2842	portals, adits, mine shafts, air shafts, timber shafts, sinkholes, improperly filled sinkholes
2843	and other areas of past or significant probability for catastrophic ground surface collapse
2844	or areas characterized by $((,))$ overland surfaces underlain or directly affected by
2845	abandoned coal mine workings from a depth of zero, which is the surface of the land, to
2846	one hundred fifty feet.
2847	SECTION 58. Ordinance 11621, Section 75, as amended, and K.C.C.
2848	21A.24.275 are hereby amended to read as follows:
2849	The following development standards apply to development proposals and
2850	alterations on sites within channel migration zones that have been mapped and adopted
2851	by public rule:
2852	A. The development standards that apply to the ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian
2853	areas in K.C.C. 21A.24.365 also apply to the severe channel migration ((zone)) hazard
2854	areas and the portion of the moderate channel migration ((zone)) hazard areas that is
2855	within the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian areas. The more-restrictive standards apply
2856	where there is a conflict;
2857	B. Only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within a

severe channel migration hazard area; and

2858

2859	C. The following standards apply to development proposals and alterations
2860	within the moderate channel migration hazard area:
2861	1. Maintenance, repair, or expansion of any use or structure is allowed if the
2862	existing structure's footprint is not expanded towards any source of channel migration
2863	hazard, unless the applicant can demonstrate that the location is the least subject to risk;
2864	2. New primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units or accessory living
2865	quarters, and required infrastructure, are allowed if:
2866	a. the structure is located on a separate lot in existence on or before February
2867	16, 1995;
2868	b. a feasible alternative location outside of the channel migration hazard area is
2869	not available on-site; and
2870	c. to the maximum extent practical, the structure and supporting infrastructure
2871	is located the farthest distance from any source of channel migration hazard, unless the
2872	applicant can demonstrate that an alternative location is:
2873	(1) the least subject to risk; or
2874	(2) within the outer third of the moderate channel migration hazard area as
2875	measured perpendicular to the channel;
2876	3. New accessory structures are allowed if:
2877	a. a feasible alternative location is not available on-site; and
2878	b. to the maximum extent practical, the structure is located the farthest distance
2879	from the migrating channel; and
2880	4. The subdivision of property is allowed within the portion of a moderate
2881	channel migration hazard area located outside ((an aquatic area buffer)) a riparian area if:

2882	a. All lots contain five-thousand square feet or more of buildable land outside
2883	of the moderate channel migration hazard area;
2884	b. Access to all lots does not cross the moderate channel migration hazard
2885	area; and
2886	c. All infrastructure is located outside the moderate channel migration hazard
2887	area except that an on-site septic system is allowed in the moderate channel migration
2888	hazard area if:
2889	(1) a feasible alternative location is not available on-site; and
2890	(2) to the maximum extent practical, the septic system is located the farthest
2891	distance from the migrating channel.
2892	SECTION 59. Ordinance 10870, Section 475, as amended, and K.C.C.
2893	21A.24.280 are hereby amended to read as follows:
2894	The following development standards apply to development proposals and
2895	alterations on sites containing landslide hazard areas:
2896	A. Unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the
2897	alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within a landslide hazard area
2898	with a slope of forty percent or greater;
2899	B. <u>1.</u> A buffer is required from all edges of the landslide hazard area. To
2900	eliminate or minimize the risk of property damage or injury resulting from landslides
2901	caused in whole or part by the development, the department shall determine the size of
2902	the buffer based upon a geological critical area report ((prepared by a geotechnical
2903	engineer or geologist)).

2904	2. If a <u>geological</u> critical area report is not submitted to <u>or required by</u> the
2905	department, the minimum buffer ((is)) shall be fifty feet.
2906	3. If the landslide hazard area has a vertical rise of more than two-hundred feet,
2907	the department may increase the minimum ((building)) critical area setback in K. C. C.
2908	21A.24.200 to one-hundred feet.
2909	4. For development permits associated with single detached dwelling units only,
2910	the department may waive the geological critical area report requirement and authorize
2911	buffer reductions if the department determines that the reduction will adequately protect
2912	the proposed development and the critical area;
2913	C. Unless otherwise provided in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 or as a necessary part of an
2914	allowed alteration, removal of any vegetation from a landslide hazard area or buffer is
2915	prohibited;
2916	D. All alterations shall minimize disturbance to the landslide hazard area, slope,
2917	and vegetation unless necessary for slope stabilization; and
2918	E. Alterations in a landslide hazard area located on a slope less than forty percent
2919	are allowed if:
2920	1. The proposed alteration will not decrease slope stability on contiguous
2921	properties; and
2922	2. The risk of property damage or injury resulting from landsliding is eliminated
2923	or minimized.
2924	SECTION 60. Ordinance 10870, Section 476, as amended, and K.C.C.
2925	21A.24.290 are hereby amended to read as follows:

2926	The following development standards apply to development proposals and
2927	alterations on sites ((eontaining seismic hazard areas)) where potential seismic hazard
2928	areas are mapped:
2929	A. The department may approve alterations to <u>mapped</u> seismic hazard areas only
2930	if:
2931	1. The geological critical area report containing an evaluation of site-specific
2932	subsurface conditions shows that the proposed development site ((is not located in)) does
2933	not meet the definition of a seismic hazard area; or
2934	2. The applicant implements appropriate engineering design based on the best
2935	available engineering and geological practices that either eliminates or minimizes the risk
2936	of structural damage or injury resulting from seismically induced settlement or soil
2937	liquefaction; and
2938	B. The department may waive or reduce engineering study and design
2939	requirements for alterations in seismic hazard areas for:
2940	1. Mobile homes;
2941	2. Additions or alterations that do not increase occupancy or significantly affect
2942	the risk of structural damage or injury; and
2943	3. One_story buildings with less than two-thousand-five hundred((s)) square feet
2944	of floor area or roof area, whichever is greater, and that are not dwelling units or used as
2945	places of employment or public assembly.
2946	NEW SECTION. SECTION 61. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24
2947	a new section to read as follows:

2948	The following development standards apply to development proposals and
2949	alterations on sites containing alluvial fan hazard areas:
2950	A. Only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within
2951	alluvial fan hazard areas;
2952	B. A geological critical area report is required for development proposals that are
2953	on an alluvial fan or within fifty feet of an alluvial fan;
2954	C. Proposed alterations shall not increase the risk of inundation, sedimentation,
2955	channel migration, or erosion on adjacent properties;
2956	D. The risk of property damage or injury on the subject property from inundation,
2957	sedimentation, channel migration, or erosion as a result of a proposed alteration shall be
2958	eliminated or minimized; and
2959	E. The proposed alteration shall not increase the frequency or magnitude of
2960	sediment management activities or in-stream channel work that could impact fish habitat
2961	or passage.
2962	NEW SECTION. SECTION 62. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24
2963	a new section to read as follows:
2964	A. This section applies to development proposals on sites that are within tsunami
2965	hazard areas.
2966	B. New and substantially improved residential buildings within a tsunami hazard
2967	area shall be designed to provide protection from inundation and debris impact according
2968	to the projected hazard level. The projected hazard level shall be determined by the
2969	county based on Washington state Department of Natural Resources tsunami inundation

2970	maps, areas on the Flood Insurance Rate Maps indicated as Zones V1-V30, VE, or V, and
2971	relevant requirements of the building code in K.C.C. Title 16.

- C. Except for buildings that support water-oriented uses, new buildings shall be located on portions of the parcel or parcels under contiguous ownership that are not within the tsunami hazard area, if feasible. If no portion of the parcel or parcels under contiguous ownership are located outside the tsunami hazard area, or if the portion outside the tsunami hazard area is not feasible for new building purposes, new buildings shall be located as far from the tsunami hazard area as feasible.
- D. New critical facilities shall not be constructed in a tsunami hazard area if there is a feasible alternative location outside the tsunami hazard area that would serve the intended service area or service population. If allowed in the tsunami hazard area, the critical facility shall be designed to minimize the risk and danger to the public health and safety to the maximum extent practicable, which may include, but is not limited to, preparation of a tsunami evacuation plan.

<u>SECTION 63</u>. Ordinance 10870, Section 478, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.310 are hereby amended to read as follows:

The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites containing steep slope hazard areas:

- A. Except as provided in subsection D. of this section, unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within a steep slope hazard area or associated buffer;
- B. A buffer is required from all edges of the steep slope hazard area. To eliminate or minimize the risk of property damage or injury resulting from slope

instability, landsliding, or erosion caused in whole or part by the development, the department shall determine the size of the buffer based upon a <u>geological</u> critical area report prepared by a ((<u>geotechnical engineer or geologist</u>)) <u>geological professional</u>. The department of local services shall adopt a public rule to implement this subsection, including implementing the requirements for development and review of a <u>geological</u> critical area report.

- 1. For new structures and substantial improvements to existing structures on sites where any portion of the steep slope hazard area extends into the coastal high hazard area or sea level rise risk area:
- a. The <u>geological</u> critical area report shall include an assessment of current and future risks of sea level rise conditions anticipated to occur over the next fifty years and a recommended buffer;
- b. If a <u>geological</u> critical area report is not submitted to the department, the minimum buffer shall be seventy-five feet;
 - 2. For all other development not identified in subsection B.1. of this section:
- a. If a <u>geological</u> critical area report is not submitted to the department, the minimum buffer shall be fifty feet; and
- b. For ((building)) permits ((for)) associated with single detached dwelling units only, the department may waive the ((special study)) geological critical area report requirement and authorize buffer reductions if the department determines that the reduction will adequately protect the proposed development and the geological critical area;

3015	C. Unless otherwise provided in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 or as a necessary part of an
3016	allowed alteration, removal of any vegetation from a steep slope hazard area or buffer is
3017	prohibited; and
3018	D. ((All a))Alterations ((are)) may be allowed in the following circumstances:
3019	1. Slopes ((which)) that are forty percent or steeper with a vertical elevation
3020	change of up to twenty feet, if no adverse impact will result from the exemption based on
3021	King County's review of and concurrence with a ((soils)) geological critical area report
3022	prepared by a ((geologist or geotechnical engineer)) geological professional; and
3023	2. The approved regrading of any slope ((which)) that was created through
3024	previous legal grading activities. Any slope ((which)) that remains forty percent or
3025	steeper following site development shall be subject to all requirements for steep slopes.
3026	SECTION 64. Ordinance 11481, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.311
3027	are hereby amended to read as follows:
3028	The map entitled King County Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas, included in
3029	Attachment H to Ordinance 17485, is hereby adopted as the designation of critical aquifer
3030	recharge areas in King County in accordance with RCW 36.70A.170, unless a
3031	designation is modified by notices of map amendment prepared according to K.C.C.
3032	21A.24.312, in which case the notice of map amendment shall supersede the map.
3033	SECTION 65. Ordinance 15051, Section 173, as amended, and K.C.C.
3034	21A.24.312 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3035	A. Upon application supported by a critical area((s)) report that includes a
3036	hydrogeologic site evaluation, the department, in consultation with the department of

3037	natural resources and parks, may determine that an area that is or is not classified as a
3038	critical aquifer recharge area on the map adopted under K.C.C. 21A.24.311:
3039	((A.)) 1. Does not meet the criteria for a critical aquifer recharge area and
3040	declassify that area if it is classified as a critical aquifer recharge area;
3041	$((B_{\cdot}))$ 2. Has the wrong critical aquifer recharge area classification and determine
3042	the correct classification; or
3043	((C.)) 3. Has not been classified as a critical aquifer recharge area and should be
3044	so classified based on the standards of K.C.C. 21A.24.313.
3045	B. Upon reclassification or declassification, a notice of map amendment for the
3046	affected parcel or parcels shall be sent from the department of natural resources and parks
3047	to the property owner, the property owner's representative, if applicable, and the county
3048	assessor.
3049	SECTION 66. Ordinance 15051, Section 174, as amended, and K.C.C.
3050	21A.24.313 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3051	Critical aquifer recharge areas are categorized as follows:
3052	A. Category I critical aquifer recharge areas include those mapped areas that
3053	King County has determined are:
3054	1. Highly susceptible to groundwater contamination and that are located within
3055	a sole source aquifer or a wellhead protection area; or
3056	2. In an area where hydrogeologic mapping or a numerical flow transport model
3057	in a Washington department of health approved wellhead protection plan demonstrate
3058	that the area is within the one-year time of travel to a wellhead for a Group A water
3059	system;

3060	B. Category II critical aquifer recharge areas include those mapped areas that
3061	King County has determined:
3062	1. Have a medium susceptibility to ground water contamination and are located
3063	in a sole source aquifer or a wellhead protection area; or
3064	2. Are highly susceptible to groundwater contamination and are not located in a
3065	sole source aquifer or wellhead protection area; and
3066	C. Category III critical aquifer recharge areas include those mapped areas that
3067	King County has determined have low susceptibility to groundwater contamination and
3068	are located over an aquifer underlying ((an island that is surrounded by saltwater))
3069	Vashon-Maury Island.
3070	SECTION 67. Ordinance 15051, Section 179, as amended, and K.C.C.
3071	21A.24.316 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3072	The following development standards apply to development proposals and
3073	alterations on sites containing critical aquifer recharge areas:
3074	A. Except as otherwise provided in subsection H. of this section, the following
3075	new development proposals and alterations are not allowed on a site located in a category
3076	I critical aquifer recharge area:
3077	1. Transmission pipelines carrying petroleum or petroleum products;
3078	2. Sand and gravel, and hard rock mining unless:
3079	a. the site has mineral zoning as of January 1, 2005; or
3080	b. mining is a permitted use on the site and the critical aquifer recharge area
3081	was mapped after the date a complete application for mineral extraction on the site was
3082	filed with the department;

3083	3. Mining of any type below the upper surface of the saturated ground water that
3084	could be used for potable water supply;
3085	4. Disposal of radioactive wastes, as defined in chapter 43.200 RCW;
3086	5. Hydrocarbon extraction;
3087	6. Commercial wood treatment facilities on permeable surfaces;
3088	7. Underground storage tanks, including tanks that are exempt from the
3089	requirements of chapter 173-360A WAC, with hazardous substances, as defined in
3090	chapter ((70.105)) 70A.300 RCW, that do not comply with standards of chapter 173-
3091	360A WAC and K.C.C. Title 17;
3092	8. Above-ground storage tanks for hazardous substances, as defined in chapter
3093	((70.105)) 70A.300 RCW, unless protected with primary and secondary containment
3094	areas and a spill protection plan;
3095	9. Golf courses;
3096	10. Cemeteries;
3097	11. Wrecking yards;
3098	12. Landfills for hazardous waste, municipal solid waste, or special waste, as
3099	defined in K.C.C. chapter 10.04; and
3100	13. On lots smaller than one acre, an on-site septic system, unless:
3101	a. the system is approved by the Washington state Department of Health and
3102	has been listed by the Washington $((S))$ state Department of Health as meeting treatment
3103	standard N as provided in ((WAC)) chapter 426-272A WAC; or

104	o. ((the Seattle-King County department or)) public health - Seattle & King
3105	County determines that the systems required under subsection A.13.a. of this section will
3106	not function on the site.
3107	B. Except as otherwise provided in subsection H. of this section, the following
3108	new development proposals and alterations are not allowed on a site located in a category
3109	II critical aquifer recharge area:
3110	1. Mining of any type below the upper surface of the saturated ground water that
3111	could be used for potable water supply;
3112	2. Disposal of radioactive wastes, as defined in chapter 43.200 RCW;
3113	3. Hydrocarbon extraction;
3114	4. Commercial wood treatment facilities located on permeable surfaces;
3115	5.a. <u>Underground storage tanks with hazardous substances</u> , as defined in chapter
3116	70.105 RCW, that do not comply with requirements of chapter 173-360A WAC and
3117	$\underline{\text{K.C.C. Title } 17,}$ (($\underline{\text{E}}$)) $\underline{\text{e}}$ xcept for a category II critical aquifer recharge area located over
3118	an aquifer underlying ((an island that is surrounded by saltwater, underground storage
3119	tanks with hazardous substances, as defined in chapter 70.105 RCW, that do not meet the
3120	requirements of chapter 173-360 WAC and K.C.C. Title 17)) Vashon-Maury Island; and
3121	b. For a category II critical aquifer recharge area located over an aquifer
3122	underlying ((an island that is surrounded by saltwater)) Vashon-Maury Island,
3123	underground storage tanks, including underground storage tanks exempt from the
3124	requirements of chapter 173-360A WAC, with hazardous substances, as defined in
3125	chapter 70.105 RCW, that do not comply with the standards in chapter 173-360A WAC
3126	and K.C.C. Title 17;

3127	6. Above-ground storage tanks for hazardous substances, as defined in chapter
3128	70.105 RCW, unless protected with primary and secondary containment areas and a spill
3129	protection plan;
3130	7. Wrecking yards;
3131	8. Landfills for hazardous waste, municipal solid waste, or special waste, as
3132	defined in K.C.C. chapter 10.04; and
3133	9. On lots smaller than one acre, an on-site septic systems, unless:
3134	a. the system is approved by the Washington state Department of Health and
3135	has been listed by the Washington state Department of Health as meeting treatment
3136	standard N as provided in ((WAC)) chapter 426-272A WAC; or
3137	b. ((the Seattle King County department of)) public health - Seattle & King
3138	County determines that the systems required under subsection B.9.a. of this section will
3139	not function on the site.
3140	C. Except as otherwise provided in subsection H. of this section, the following
3141	new development proposals and alterations are not allowed on a site located in a category
3142	III critical aquifer recharge area:
3143	1. Disposal of radioactive wastes, as defined in chapter 43.200 RCW;
3144	2. Hydrocarbon extraction;
3145	3. Commercial wood treatment facilities located on permeable surfaces;
3146	4. Underground storage tanks, including tanks exempt from the requirements of
3147	chapter 173-360 \underline{A} WAC, with hazardous substances, as defined in chapter ((70.105))
3148	70A.300 RCW, that do not comply with the requirements of chapter 173-360A WAC and
3149	K.C.C. Title 17;

3150	5. Above ground storage tanks for hazardous substances, as defined in chapter
3151	((70.105)) 70A.300 RCW, unless protected with primary and secondary containment
3152	areas and a spill protection plan;
3153	6. Wrecking yards; and
3154	7. Landfills for hazardous waste, municipal solid waste, or special waste, as
3155	defined in K.C.C. chapter 10.04.
3156	D. The following standards apply to development proposals and alterations that
3157	are substantial improvements on a site located in a critical aquifer recharge area:
3158	1. The owner of an underground storage tank, including a tank that is exempt
3159	from the requirements of chapter 173-360A WAC, in a category I or III critical aquifer
3160	recharge area or a category II critical aquifer recharge area located over an aquifer
3161	underlying Vashon-Maury Island shall either bring the tank into compliance with the
3162	standards of chapter 173 <u>-360A</u> WAC and K.C.C. Title 17 or properly decommission or
3163	remove the tank; and
3164	2. The owner of an underground storage tank in a category II critical aquifer
3165	recharge area not located on located over an aquifer underlying Vashon-Maury Island
3166	shall bring the tank into compliance with the standards of chapter 173-360 <u>A</u> WAC and
3167	K.C.C. Title 17 or shall properly decommission or remove the tank.
3168	E. In any critical aquifer recharge area, the property owner shall properly
3169	decommission an abandoned well.
3170	F. On a site located in a critical aquifer recharge area within the urban growth
3171	area, a development proposal for new residential development, including, but not limited
3172	to, a subdivision, short subdivision, or dwelling unit, shall incorporate best management

practices included in the King County Surface Water Design Manual into the site design
in order to infiltrate stormwater runoff to the maximum extent practical.

- G. For critical aquifer recharge areas on Vashon-Maury Island:
- 1. No new groundwater wells are permitted within a coastal high hazard area. A rainwater catchment system may be used as an alternative water supply source for a single ((family)) detached residence if the requirements of ((K.C.C.)) King County Board of Health Code BOH 13.04.070 are met;
- 2. All new groundwater wells within a sea level rise risk area shall include a surface seal that prevents risks of saltwater contamination caused by sea level rise conditions anticipated to occur over the next fifty years; and
- 3. The owner of a new well located within the sea level rise risk area shall test the well for chloride levels using testing protocols approved by the Washington state Department of Health. The owner shall report the results of the test to ((Seattle King County department of)) public health Seattle & King County and to the department of natural resources and parks. If the test results indicate saltwater intrusion is likely to occur, the department of natural resources and parks, in consultation with ((Seattle King County department of)) public health Seattle & King County, shall recommend appropriate measures in addition to the minimum requirements of this title to prevent saltwater intrusion.
- H. On a site greater than twenty acres, the department may approve a development proposal otherwise prohibited by subsections A., B., and C. of this section if the applicant demonstrates through a critical area report that the development proposal is located outside the critical aquifer recharge area and that the development proposal will

3196	not cause an \underline{n} ((significant)) adverse ((environmental)) impact to the critical aquifer
3197	recharge area.
3198	I. The provisions relating to underground storage tanks in subsections A. through
3199	D. of this section apply only when the proposed regulation of underground storage tanks
3200	has been submitted to and approved by the Washington state $((d))\underline{D}$ epartment of
3201	$((e))$ Ecology, in accordance with $((90.76.040))$ RCW $\underline{70A.355.030}$ and WAC $((173-360-100))$
3202	530)) <u>173-360-0130</u> .
3203	SECTION 68. Ordinance 15051, Section 183, as amended, and K.C.C.
3204	21A.24.318 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3205	A. Identification of wetlands and delineation of their boundaries shall be done in
3206	accordance with the approved federal wetland delineation manual and applicable regional
3207	supplement as set forth in WAC 173-22-035.
3208	B. Wetlands shall be rated into category I, category II, category III, and category
3209	IV based on the adopted Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western
3210	Washington, Washington state Department of Ecology publication number ((14-06-029))
3211	23-06-009, published October 2014 and updated July 2023.
3212	C. Wetland rating categories shall not recognize illegal modifications.
3213	SECTION 69. Ordinance 15051, Section 185, as amended, and K.C.C.
3214	21A.24.325 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3215	A. Except as otherwise provided in this section, buffers shall be provided from
3216	the wetland edge as follows:
3217	1. The buffers shown on the following table apply unless modified in
3218	accordance with subsections B., C., D., and E. of this section:

WETLAND CATEGORY AND INTENSITY OF IMPACT OF		OF	
CHARACTERISTICS	ADJACENT LAND USE		
	HIGH	MODERATE	LOW
	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
Category I			
Wetlands of High Conservation Value	((250)) <u>300</u>	((190)) <u>225</u>	((125)) <u>150</u>
	feet	feet	feet
Bog	((250)) <u>300</u>	((190)) <u>225</u>	((125)) <u>150</u>
	feet	feet	feet
Estuarine	((200)) <u>300</u>	((150)) <u>225</u>	((100)) <u>150</u>
	feet	feet	feet
Coastal Lagoon	((200))300	((150)) <u>225</u>	((100)) <u>150</u>
	feet	feet	feet
Forested Buffer width to be based on score for		score for	
	habitat functions or water quality		
	functions		
Habitat score from 8 to 9 points (high level	300 feet	225 feet	150 feet
of function)			
Habitat score from 6 to 7 points (moderate	150 feet	110 feet	75 feet
level of function)			
Category I wetlands not meeting any of the	100 feet	75 feet	50 feet
criteria above			

150 feet	110 feet	77.6
		75 feet
300 feet	225 feet	150 feet
150 feet	110 feet	75 feet
100 feet	75 feet	50 feet
300 feet	225 feet	150 feet
150 feet	110 feet	75 feet
80 feet	60 feet	40 feet
((50)) <u>60</u>	((4 0)) <u>45</u> feet	((25)) <u>35</u>
feet		feet
	150 feet 100 feet 300 feet 150 feet ((50))60	150 feet 110 feet 100 feet 75 feet 300 feet 225 feet 150 feet 110 feet 80 feet 60 feet ((50))60 ((40))45 feet

3222

2. For purposes of this subsection A., unless the director determines a lesser

3220 level of impact is appropriate based on information provided by the applicant, the

3221 intensity of impact of the adjacent land use is determined as follows:

a. High impact includes:

3223 (1) sites zoned commercial or industrial;

3224	(2) commercial, institutional, or industrial use on a site regardless of the				
3225	zoning classification;				
3226	(3) nonresidential use on a site zoned for residential use, such as				
3227	telecommunication towers and associated equipment;				
3228	(4) high-intensity active recreation use on a site regardless of zoning, such as				
3229	golf courses, ball fields, and similar use;				
3230	(5) all sites within the $((U))\underline{u}$ rban $((G))\underline{g}$ rowth $((A))\underline{a}$ rea; $((\Theta))$				
3231	(6) Residential zoning greater than one dwelling unit per acre;				
3232	(7) railroads; or				
3233	(8) federal and state highways, including on ramps and exits, state routes, and				
3234	other roads associated with high impact land uses;				
3235	b. Moderate impact includes:				
3236	(1) residential uses on sites zoned residential one dwelling unit per acre or				
3237	less;				
3238	(2) residential use on a site zoned rural area, agriculture, or forestry;				
3239	(3) agricultural uses without an approved farm management plan;				
3240	(4) forest service roads and roads associated with moderate impacts;				
3241	(5) utility corridors or right-of-way shared by several utilities, including				
3242	maintenance roads; or				
3243	(((5))) (6) moderate-intensity active recreation or open space use, such as				
3244	paved trails, parks with biking, jogging, and similar use; and				
3245	c. Low impact includes:				
3246	(1) forestry use on a site regardless of zoning classification;				

3247	(2) passive recreation uses, such as unpaved trails, nature viewing areas,
3248	fishing and camping areas, and other similar uses that do not require permanent
3249	structures, on a site regardless of zoning;
3250	(3) agricultural uses carried out in accordance with an approved farm
3251	management plan and in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.045.D.53. and K.C.C.
3252	21A.24.045.D.54.; or
3253	(4) utility corridors without a maintenance road and little or no vegetation
3254	maintenance.
3255	B. The department may approve a modification of the minimum buffer width
3256	required by this section by averaging the buffer width if:
3257	1. The department determines that:
3258	a. the buffer averaging will improve wetland protection if the wetland has
3259	significant differences in characteristics that effect habitat functions, such as a wetland
3260	with a forested component adjacent to a degraded emergent component or a "dual-rated"
3261	wetland with a Category I area adjacent to a lower-rated area; or
3262	b. averaging includes the corridors of a wetland complex; and
3263	2. The resulting buffer meets the following standards:
3264	a. the total area of the buffer after averaging is equivalent to or greater than the
3265	area of the buffer before averaging;
3266	b. the additional buffer is contiguous with the standard buffer;
3267	c. the buffer at its narrowest point is never less than ((either)) seventy-five
3268	percent of the required width ((or seventy-five feet for Category I and II, fifty feet for
3269	Category III, and twenty-five feet for Category IV, whichever is greater));

3270	d. the averaged buffer will not result in degradation of wetland functions and				
3271	values as demonstrated by an ecological critical area report ((from a qualified wetland				
3272	professional; and));				
3273	e. the buffer is increased adjacent to the higher functioning area of habitat or				
3274	more sensitive portion of the wetland and decreased adjacent to the lower-functioning or				
3275	less-sensitive portion ((as demonstrated by a critical area report from a qualified wetlar				
3276	professional)) <u>:</u>				
3277	f. buffer averaging cannot be combined with other buffer reductions or				
3278	modifications allowed in this title; and				
3279	g. indirect impacts are assessed and mitigated.				
3280	C. Wetland buffer widths shall also be subject to modifications under the				
3281	following special circumstances:				
3282	1. For wetlands containing documented habitat for endangered, threatened, or				
3283	species of local importance, the following shall apply:				
3284	a. the department shall establish the appropriate buffer, based on a habitat				
3285	assessment, to ensure that the buffer provides adequate protection for the sensitive				
3286	species; and				
3287	b. the department may apply the buffer reduction rules in subsection $C.((6))\underline{5}$.				
3288	of this section ((and)) or the buffer averaging rules in subsection B. of this section(($\frac{1}{5}$)).				
3289	2. For a wetland buffer that includes a steep slope hazard area or landslide				
3290	hazard area, the ((buffer)) width ((is)) shall be the greater of:				
3291	<u>a.</u> the buffer width required by the wetland's category in this section; or				

3292	b. the buffer width required by the wetland's category in this section, extended
3293	<u>upslope towards</u> the top of the <u>landslide or steep slope</u> hazard area, as measured
3294	perpendicular to topographic contours, up to a maximum total width of twice the wetland
3295	buffer width otherwise required;
3296	3. For a wetland complex located outside the $((U))\underline{u}$ rban $((G))\underline{g}$ rowth $((A))\underline{a}$ rea
3297	established by the King County Comprehensive Plan or located within the $((U))\underline{u}$ rban
3298	((G))growth $((A))$ area in a basin designated as "high" on the Basin and Shoreline
3299	Conditions Map, which is included as Attachment A to Ordinance 15051, the buffer
3300	width is determined as follows:
3301	a. the buffer width for each individual wetland in the complex is the same
3302	width as the buffer width required for the category of wetland;
3303	b. if the buffer of a wetland within the complex does not touch or overlap with
3304	at least one other wetland buffer in the complex, a corridor is required from the buffer of
3305	that wetland to one other wetland buffer in the complex considering the following
3306	factors:
3307	(1) the corridor is designed to support maintaining viable wildlife species that
3308	are commonly recognized to exclusively or partially use wetlands and wetland buffers
3309	during a critical life cycle stage, such as breeding, rearing, or feeding;
3310	(2) the corridor minimizes fragmentation of the wetlands;
3311	(3) higher category wetlands are connected through corridors before lower
3312	category wetlands; and
3313	(4) the corridor width is at least twenty-five percent of the length of the
3314	corridor, but no less than twenty-five feet in width; and

3315	(5) shorter corridors are preferred over longer corridors;
3316	c. wetlands in a complex that are connected by an aquatic area that flows
3317	between the wetlands are not required to be connected through a corridor;
3318	d. the department may exclude a wetland from the wetland complex if the
3319	applicant demonstrates that the wetland is unlikely to provide habitat for wildlife species
3320	that are commonly recognized to exclusively or partially use wetlands and wetland
3321	buffers during a critical life cycle stage, such as breeding, rearing, or feeding; and
3322	e. the alterations allowed in a wetland buffer in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are
3323	allowed in corridors subject to the same conditions and requirements as wetland buffers
3324	as long as the alteration is designed so as not to disrupt wildlife movement through the
3325	corridor;
3326	4. Where a legally established <u>public</u> roadway transects a wetland buffer, the
3327	department may approve a modification of the minimum required buffer width to the
3328	edge of the roadway if:
3329	<u>a.</u> the part of the buffer on the other side of the roadway sought to be reduced:
3330	((a.)) (1) does not provide additional protection of $((the proposed development))$
3331	or the)) wetland functions and values from the proposed development; and
3332	((b.)) (2) provides insignificant biological, geological, or hydrological buffer
3333	functions relating to the other portion of the buffer adjacent to the wetland; and
3334	b. the applicant provides a written evaluation that includes:
3335	(1) a description of the vegetative composition, hydrologic regime,
3336	topography, and development on both sides of the roadway;

3337	(2) an assessment of the functions that the buffer provides on the other side of
3338	the roadway for wildlife habitat, water quality, and water quantity; and
3339	(3) an analysis of how the roadway will fully disconnect the buffer from
3340	providing the assessed functions, and whether the disconnection will affect the entirety of
3341	the buffer; and
3342	5. ((If the site has an approved rural stewardship plan under K.C.C. 21A.24.055,
3343	the buffer widths shall be established under the rural stewardship plan and shall not
3344	exceed the standard for a low impact land use, unless the department determines that a
3345	larger buffer is necessary to achieve no net loss of wetland ecological function; and
3346	6. The buffer widths required for proposed land uses with)) For proposed
3347	dwelling units within the urban growth area that have high intensity impacts to wetlands,
3348	the buffer widths can be reduced to those required for moderate intensity impacts ((under
3349	the following conditions)) if:
3350	a. all the following measures to minimize impacts of the proposed land uses
3351	are applied:
3352	(1) plant an area of dense, native vegetation or climate-smart plants within the
3353	remaining buffer that is equal to or greater than the area by which the buffer was reduced;
3354	(2) install wildlife lighting and direct all light away from the wetland;
3355	(3) install wildlife passable fencing at the edge of the wetland buffer;
3356	(4) attach critical area signs to wildlife-passable fencing every fifty to
3357	seventy-five linear feet;
3358	(5) submit an integrated pest and weed management plan that prohibits the
3359	use of pesticides, herbicides, and fungicides in the wetland or associated buffer; and

3360	(6) demonstrate how each of the following meets the core requirements in the					
3361	King County Surface Water Design Manual, including:					
3362	(a) stormwater runoff;					
3363	(b) change in water regime; and					
3364	(c) erosion and dust control; and					
3365	\underline{b} . $((F))\underline{f}$	or wetlands that score moderate or high for habitat functions((, which				
3366	means six points or higher, the width of the buffer can be reduced if both of the following					
3367	criteria are met:					
3368	(1) A))	a relatively undisturbed vegetated corridor at least one-hundred feet				
3369	wide is protected between the wetland and ((any other Priority Habitats as defined by the					
3370	Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife in the priority habitat and species list))					
3371	a legally-protected, relatively undisturbed and vegetated area. The corridor must be					
3372	protected for the entire distance between the wetland and the ((priority habitat)) legally					
3373	protected vegetated area and ((legally)) recorded via a conservation easement; and					
3374	(2) Measures to minimize the impacts of different land uses on wetlands as					
3375	identified in subsection C.6.b. of this section are applied; and					
3376	b. For wetlands that score low for habitat, which means less than six points, the					
3377	buffer width can be reduced to that required for moderate intensity impacts by applying					
3378	measures to minimize impacts of the proposed land uses, as follows:					
3379	c. the pr	oposed project does not impact the reduced buffer.				
	((Disturbance	Measures to minimize impacts				
	Lights	Direct lights away from wetland.				

Noise	Locate activity that generates noise away from wetland. If				
rvoise	Locate activity that generates noise away from wettand. If				
	warranted, enhance existing buffer with native vegetation				
	plantings adjacent to noise source. For activities that generate				
	relatively continuous, potentially disruptive noise, such as certa				
	heavy industry or mining, establish an additional ten-foot heavily				
	vegetated buffer strip immediately adjacent to the outer wetland				
	buffer.				
Toxic runoff	Route all new untreated runoff away from wetland while				
	ensuring wetland is not dewatered. Establish covenants limiting				
	use of pesticides within 150 feet of wetland. Apply integrated				
	pest management.				
Stormwater	Retrofit stormwater detention and treatment for roads and				
runoff	existing adjacent development. Prevent channelized flow from				
	lawns that directly enters the buffer. Use low impact intensity				
	development techniques identified in the King County Surface				
	Water Design Manual.				
Change in	Infiltrate or treat, detain and disperse into buffer new runoff from				
water regime	impervious surfaces and new lawns.				
Pets and human	Use privacy fencing or plant dense vegetation to delineate buffer				
disturbance	edge and to discourage disturbance using vegetation appropriate				
	for the ecoregion. Place wetland and its buffer in a separate tract				
	or protect with a conservation easement.				

Dust	Use best management practices to control dust.))				
D. The department may approve a modification to the buffers established in					
subsection A. of this section if the wetland was created or its characterization was					
upgraded as p	part of a voluntary enhancement or restoration project.				
E. If t	the site is located within the shoreline jurisdiction, the department shall				
determine that a proposal to reduce wetland buffers under this section will result in no net					
loss of shoreli	ine ecological functions or wetland functions and values.				
SECT	YON 70. Ordinance 15051, Section 187, as amended, and K.C.C.				
21A.24.335 a	re hereby amended to read as follows:				
The fo	ollowing development standards apply to development proposals and				
alterations on	sites containing wetlands or their buffers:				
A. Ur	nless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the				
alterations ide	entified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed in wetlands and wetland buffers				
В. ((Т	The applicant)) Applicants may include climate-smart plants that have bee				
approved by I	King County in mitigation or restoration projects, but shall not otherwise				
introduce any	plant or wildlife that is not indigenous to the Puget Sound lowland into a				
wetland or we	etland buffer unless authorized by a state or federal permit or approval; an				
C. ((4	A category IV wetland less than two thousand five hundred square feet the				
is not part of	a wetland complex may be altered in accordance with an approved				
mitigation pla	an by relocating the wetland into a new wetland, with equivalent or greater				
functions, or i	into an existing wetland at the ratios specified in K.C.C. 21A.24.340 based				
on the type of	f mitigation measures proposed; and				

	type of	reestablishment	rehabilitation	reestablishment or	enhancement		
	Category and	Wetland	Wetland	1:1 Wetland	Wetland		
3420	loss of wetland area:						
3419	2. For ((alterations)) direct impacts to a wetland that result in permanent, direct						
3418	one-to-one on-site, and two-to-one off-site;						
3417	1. For ((alterations)) impacts to a wetland buffer, a ratio of ((one to one; and))						
3416	subsection E. of this section:						
3415	apply to mitigation measures for permanent alterations except as otherwise provided in						
3414	B. The following ratios of area of mitigation to area of ((alteration)) impacts						
3413	provided in the King County Surface Water Design Manual;						
3412	2. <u>Hydrological functions, such as</u> $((S))$ seasonal hydrological dynamics, as						
3411	functions; and						
3410	1. Habitat <u>functions such as</u> complexity, connectivity, and other biological						
3409	including, but not limited to:						
3408	A. Mitigation measures must achieve equivalent or greater wetland functions,						
3407	((associated with an alteration)) to a wetland or wetland buffer:						
3406	<u>chapter</u> , the following applies to mitigation to compensate for ((the)) adverse impacts						
3405	In addition to the requirements in ((K.C.C. 21A.24.125 and 21A.24.130)) this						
3404	21A.24.340 are hereby amended to read as follows:						
3403	SECTION 71. Ordinance 10870, Section 481, as amended, and K.C.C.						
3402	K.C.C. 21A.24.045 D.20. and D.52.						
3401	D:)) Alterations to category I wetlands containing bogs or fens are limited to						

wetland	or creation		wetland creation	only
			(R/C) and wetland	
			enhancement (E)	
Category IV	1.5:1	3:1	1:1 R/C and 2:1 E	6:1
Catarana	2.1	4.1	1.1 D/C - : .1	0.1
Category III	2:1	4:1	1:1 R/C and ((2))4:1 E	8:1
Category II estuarine	Case-by-case	((4)) <u>6</u> :1 rehabilitation of an estuarine wetland	Case-by-case	Case-by-case
All other Category II	3:1	((8)) <u>6</u> :1	1:1 R/C and ((4)) <u>8</u> :1 E	12:1
Category I forested	6:1	12:1	1:1 R/C and ((10)) <u>16</u> :1 E	Case-by-case
All other Category I	4:1	8:1	1:1 R/C and ((6)) <u>12</u> :1 E	Case-by-case
Category I wetlands of high	Not allowed	((6:1 rehabilitation of a wetland of high	((Case-by-case)) Not allowed	Case-by-case

conservation		conservation		
value		value)) Case-by-		
		case		
Category I	Not allowed	((6)) <u>8</u> :1	((Case-by-case))	Case-by-case
coastal lagoon		rehabilitation of	Not allowed	
		a coastal lagoon		
Category I bog	Not allowed	((6:1	((Case-by-case))	Case-by-case
		rehabilitation of	Not allowed	
		a bog)) Case-by-		
		case		
Category I	Case-by-case	((6)) <u>8</u> :1	Case-by-case	Case-by-case
estuarine		rehabilitation of		
		an estuarine		
		wetland		

3. For indirect impacts to a wetland, one half of the ratio of area of mitigation to area of impact required by subsection B.2. of this section.

C. The following ratios of area of mitigation to area of ((alteration)) impact apply to mitigation measures for temporary ((alterations)) impacts where wetlands will not be impacted by permanent fill material or removal of old growth or mature trees, as defined in the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife Priority Habitat and Species list, publication 2008 and updated 2023, but where impacted functions are expected to take longer than one year to be restored, except as otherwise provided in subsection E. of this section:

Wetlan	Permanent co	nversion of fo	rested and	Mitigation f	or temporal l	oss of
d	shrub wetlands into emergent wetlands			forested and shrub wetlands when		
categor					d wetlands wi	ll be
y				revegetated to forest or shrub		
				communities		
	Enhancement	Rehabilitati	Creation or	Enhanceme	Rehabilitati	Creation
		on	restoration	nt	on	or
						restoration
Categor	((6)) <u>8</u> :1	4.5:1	3:1	((3)) <u>4</u> :1	2:1	1.5:1
y I						
Categor	((3)) <u>6</u> :1	((2)) <u>3</u> :1	1.5:1	((1.5)) <u>3</u> :1	1 <u>.5</u> :1	.75:1
y II						
Categor	((2)) <u>4</u> :1	((1.5)) <u>2</u> :1	1:1	((1)) <u>2</u> :1	((.75)) <u>1</u> :1	.5:1
y III						
Categor	((1.5)) <u>3</u> :1	1 <u>.5</u> :1	.75:1	((Not	((Not	((Not
y IV				applicable))	applicable))	applicable
				1.5:1	<u>.75:1</u>)) <u>.25:1</u>

D. The department may increase the mitigation ratios provided in subsections B.

3431 and C. of this section under the following circumstances:

- 1. The department determines there is uncertainty as to the probable success of the proposed restoration or creation;
- 2. A significant period of time will elapse between the impact caused by the development proposal and the establishment of wetland functions at the mitigation site;

3430

3432

3436	3. The proposed mitigation will result in a lower category wetland or reduced
3437	functions relative to the wetland being impacted; $((\Theta f))$
3438	4. The proposed mitigation site is not within the same 10-digit hydrologic unit,
3439	as defined in the Federal Standards and Procedures for the National Watershed Boundary
3440	Dataset: United States Geological Survey, 2022, as the proposed impacts;
3441	5. The proposed mitigation site differs from the proposed impact area in
3442	hydrogeomorphic class, Cowardin system or class, or other fundamental habitat
3443	characteristics;
3444	6. The proposed impact site contains documented habitat for federal or state
3445	listed endangered, threatened, sensitive, or candidate species or King County species of
3446	local importance; or
3447	$\overline{2}$. The alteration causing the impact was ((an)) unauthorized ((impact)).
3448	E. ((The department may decrease the mitigation ratios provided in subsections
3449	B. and C. of this section under the following circumstances)) When the use of wetland
3450	mitigation bank or in lieu fee program credits is approved as off-site compensatory
3451	mitigation under K.C.C. 21A.24.133, wetland mitigation ratios are as follows:
3452	1. ((The applicant demonstrates by documentation submitted by a qualified
3453	wetland specialist that the proposed mitigation actions have a very high likelihood of
3454	success based on hydrologic data and prior experience;
3455	2. The applicant demonstrates by documentation by a qualified wetland
3456	specialist that the proposed actions for compensation will provide functions and values
3457	that are significantly greater than the wetland being impacted;

3458	3. The applicant demonstrates that the proposed actions for mitigation have
3459	been conducted in advance of the impact caused by the development proposal and that
3460	the actions are successful; or
3461	4. In wetlands where several wetland hydrogeomorphic classes, including, but
3462	not limited to depressional, slope, riverine and flow through, are found within one
3463	delineated boundary, the department may decrease the ratios if:
3464	a. impacts to the wetland are all within an area that has a different
3465	hydrogeomorphic class from the one used to establish the category;
3466	b. the category of the area with a different class is lower than that of the entire
3467	wetland; and
3468	c. the applicant provides adequate hydrologic and geomorphic data to establish
3469	that the boundary between the hydrogeomorphic classes lies outside of the footprint of
3470	the impacts.)) For use of wetland mitigation banks certified under Chapter 173-700
3471	WAC, the amount of compensatory mitigation required for impacts located in the bank
3472	service area shall be as follows:
3473	a. For direct impacts to wetlands and wetland buffers, the ratio shall be
3474	consistent with the approved mitigation banking instrument. If the ratio recommended in
3475	the mitigation banking instrument is less than one bank credit to one acre of direct
3476	permanent wetland impact, then a ratio of one bank credit to one wetland impact acre
3477	shall be used;
3478	b. For indirect wetland impacts, one half of the ratio recommended in the
3479	approved mitigation banking instrument; and

3480	c. For long-term temporary impacts, one quarter of the ratio recommended in
3481	the approved mitigation banking instrument;
3482	2. For use of the King County mitigation reserves program or a state or federally
3483	authorized in lieu fee program:
3484	a. For direct, indirect, and long-term temporary impacts to wetlands located in
3485	the in lieu fee service area, the amount of compensatory mitigation required shall be
3486	consistent with the in lieu fee program instrument and result in no net loss of wetland
3487	functions and values; and
3488	b. For impacts to wetland buffers, the ratio shall be one to one; and
3489	3. Compensatory mitigation for other approved off-site mitigation options shall
3490	be consistent with ratios in subsections B., C., and D. of this section.
3491	F. For temporary ((alterations)) impacts to a wetland or its buffer that are
3492	predominately woody vegetation, the department may require mitigation in addition to
3493	restoration of the altered wetland or buffer; and
3494	G. Mitigation of ((an alteration)) impacts to a buffer of a wetland that occurs
3495	along an aquatic area lake shoreline in accordance with an allowed alteration under this
3496	chapter shall include, but is not limited to, on-site revegetation, maintenance, and other
3497	restoration of the buffer or setback area to the maximum extent practical.
3498	SECTION 72. Ordinance 15051, Section 192, as amended, and K.C.C.
3499	21A.24.355 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3500	A. Aquatic areas are ((categorized or)) "typed" as follows:

3501	1. Type S waters include all aquatic areas inventoried as "shorelines of the state"
3502	under King County's $((S))$ shoreline $((M))$ master $((P))$ program $((K.C.C.)$ chapter 21A.25,
3503	in accordance with chapter 90.58 RCW));
3504	2. Type F waters include all segments of aquatic areas that are not type S waters
3505	and that contain fish or fish habitat, including waters diverted for use by a federal, state,
3506	or tribal fish hatchery from the point of diversion for one-thousand-five-hundred feet or
3507	the entire tributary if the tributary is highly significant for protection of downstream
3508	water quality((;)). Type F waters, which may be ephemeral, may be classified by:
3509	a. the presence of fish;
3510	b. a stream segment with a defined channel of two feet or greater bank full
3511	width and a gradient less than twenty percent; or
3512	c. any stream located within the floodplain of type S or F water.
3513	3. Type N waters include all segments of aquatic areas that are not type S or F
3514	waters and that are physically connected to type S or F waters by (($\frac{an\ above-ground}{a}$)) \underline{a}
3515	channel or piped system, stream, or wetland; and
3516	4. Type O waters include all segments of aquatic areas that are not type S, F, or
3517	N waters and that are not physically connected to type S, F, or N waters by a((n above-
3518	ground)) channel or piped system, ((pipe or culvert,)) stream, or wetland, and which
3519	infiltrate water into the ground.
3520	B. For the purposes of the water types in subsection A. of this section, ((an
3521	above ground)) a channel system is ((considered to be)) present if the ((one hundred
3522	year)) floodplains of both the contributing and receiving waters are connected.

3523	((C. The department may determine that an area upstream of a legal human-made
3524	barrier is not fish habitat considering the following factors:
3525	1. The human made barrier is located beneath public infrastructure that is
3526	unlikely to be replaced and it is not feasible to remove the barrier without removing the
3527	public infrastructure;
3528	2. The human-made barrier is in the Urban Growth Area established by the
3529	King County Comprehensive Plan and is located beneath one or more dwelling units and
3530	it is not feasible to remove the barrier without removing the dwelling unit;
3531	3. The human-made barrier is located in a subbasin that is not designated "high"
3532	on the Basin and Shoreline Conditions Map which is included as Attachment A to
3533	Ordinance 15051; or
3534	4. The human-made barrier is not identified for removal by a public agency or
3535	in an adopted watershed plan.))
3536	SECTION 73. Ordinance 15051, Section 193, as amended, and K.C.C.
3537	21A.24.358 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3538	A. ((Aquatic area buffers)) Riparian areas shall be measured as follows:
3539	1. From the ordinary high water mark of the adjacent aquatic area, or from the
3540	top of bank if the ordinary high water mark cannot be identified;
3541	2. If the <u>adjacent</u> aquatic area is located within a mapped severe channel
3542	migration <u>hazard</u> area, the ((aquatic area buffer)) <u>riparian area</u> width shall be ((the greater
3543	of the aquatic area buffer width as)) measured ((consistent with subsection A.1. of this
3544	section or)) from the outer edge of the severe channel migration hazard area; ((and))

3545	3. If the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area includes a steep slope hazard area
3546	or \underline{a} landslide hazard area, the (($\underline{aquatic area buffer}$)) $\underline{riparian area}$ width is the greater of
3547	((either))
3548	a. the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area width as required in this section ((or
3549	the top of the hazard area)); or
3550	b. the riparian area width as required in this section, extended upslope towards
3551	the top of the landslide or steep slope hazard area, as measured perpendicular to
3552	topographic contours, up to a maximum total width of twice the riparian area width
3553	otherwise required;
3554	4. If the adjacent aquatic area is located within an alluvial fan, the riparian area
3555	width is the greater of:
3556	a. the riparian area width as defined in this section; or
3557	b. the extent of the alluvial fan hazard area; and
3558	5. If the adjacent aquatic area is conveyed underground, the riparian area width
3559	is measured only from the above-ground portion of the aquatic area. This riparian area
3560	extends in all directions from the point at which the aquatic area enters or exits the
3561	underground conveyance system.
3562	B. Within the $((U))\underline{u}$ rban $((G))\underline{g}$ rowth $((A))\underline{a}$ rea, $((aquatic area buffers))$ $\underline{riparian}$
3563	area widths shall be as follows:
3564	((1. A type S or F aquatic area buffer is one-hundred-fifteen-feet;
3565	2. A type S or F aquatic area buffer in a basin or shoreline designated as "high"
3566	on the Basin and Shoreline Conditions Map is one-hundred-sixty-five-feet;
3567	3. A type N aquatic area buffer is sixty-five-feet; and

3568 4. A type O aquatic area buffer is twenty-five-feet.))

Adjacent Aquatic Area Type	Riparian Area Width
S or F in basin or shoreline designated as	200 feet
"high" on the Basin and Shoreline	
Conditions map	
All other S or F	<u>180 feet</u>
N	<u>100 feet</u>
<u>O</u>	<u>50 feet</u>

C. Outside the $((U))\underline{u}$ rban $((G))\underline{g}$ rowth $((A))\underline{a}$ rea, $((aquatic area buffers)) \underline{riparian}$

3570 <u>areas</u> shall be as follows:

3572

3574

3575

3576

3577

3578

3571 ((1. A type S or F aquatic area buffer is one hundred sixty five feet;

2. A type N aquatic area buffer is sixty-five-feet; and

3573 3. A type O aquatic area buffer is twenty five feet.))

Adjacent Aquatic Area Type	Riparian Area Width
S or F	<u>200 feet</u>
N	<u>100 feet</u>
<u>O</u>	<u>50 feet</u>

D. ((Within the Bear Creek drainage basin a type N aquatic area buffer in a designated regionally significant resource area is one-hundred-feet.

E.)) The department may approve a modification of ((buffer widths if)) a riparian area width required by this section by averaging the riparian area width, if the resulting riparian area meets the following standards:

3579	1.((a. The department determines that through buffer averaging the ecological
3580	structure and function of the resulting buffer is equivalent to or greater than the structure
3581	and function before averaging and meets the following standards:
3582	(1) the total area of the buffer is not reduced;
3583	(2) the buffer area is contiguous; and
3584	(3) averaging does not result in the reduction of the minimum buffer for the
3585	buffer area waterward of the top of the associated steep slopes or for a severe channel
3586	migration hazard area;
3587	b. the applicant demonstrates that the buffer cannot provide certain functions
3588	because of soils, geology or topography, in which case the department shall establish a
3589	buffers width that protects the remaining ecological functions that the buffer can provide;
3590	c. the site is zoned RA and is subject to an approved rural stewardship plan. In
3591	modifying the buffers, the department shall consider factors such as, the basin and
3592	shoreline condition, the location of the site within the basin and shoreline, the buffer
3593	condition and the amount of clearing;
3594	d. a legally established roadway transects an aquatic area buffer, the roadway
3595	edge closest to aquatic area shall be the extent of the buffer, if the part of the buffer on
3596	the other side of the roadway provides insignificant biological or hydrological function in
3597	relation to the portion of the buffer adjacent to the aquatic area; or
3598	e. the aquatic area is created or its type is changed as a result of enhancement
3599	or restoration projects that are not mitigation for a development proposal or alteration;
3600	and

3601	2. If the site is located within the shoreline jurisdiction, that no net loss of
3602	shoreline ecological functions will result when considering projects that combine reduced
3603	buffers and habitat restoration.)) The total land area amount of the riparian area after
3604	averaging is equivalent to or greater than the total land area amount of the riparian area
3605	before averaging;
3606	2. The additional riparian area is contiguous with the standard riparian area;
3607	3. The riparian area at its narrowest point is never less than seventy-five percent
3608	of the standard required width;
3609	4. The width of the riparian area is increased adjacent to the higher functioning
3610	habitat or more sensitive portion of the adjacent aquatic area, and decreased adjacent to
3611	the lower functioning or less sensitive portion, as demonstrated by an ecological critical
3612	area report from an ecological professional;
3613	5. No net loss of riparian area or adjacent aquatic area functions and values will
3614	occur as a result of the averaging, as demonstrated by an ecological critical area report
3615	from an ecological professional;
3616	6. Where the riparian area includes a steep slope, landslide, or alluvial fan
3617	hazard area, the width of the riparian area is not reduced waterward of the extent of the
3618	hazard areas;
3619	7. If the site is located within the shoreline jurisdiction, no net loss of shoreline
3620	ecological functions will result; and
3621	8. Riparian area averaging cannot be combined with any other riparian area
3622	width modifications.

3623	SECTION 74. Ordinance 15051, Section 195, as amended, and K.C.C.
3624	21A.24.365 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3625	The following development standards apply to development proposals and
3626	alterations on sites containing aquatic areas or ((their buffers)) riparian areas:
3627	A. Unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the
3628	alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed in aquatic areas and ((aquatic
3629	area buffers)) riparian areas;
3630	B. Grading for allowed alterations in ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian areas is
3631	only allowed from May 1 to October 1. This period may be modified when the
3632	department determines it is necessary along marine shorelines to protect critical forage
3633	fish and salmonid migration or as provided in K.C.C. 16.82.095;
3634	C. The moisture-holding capacity of the topsoil layer on all areas of the site not
3635	covered by impervious surfaces should be maintained by:
3636	1. Minimizing soil compaction, or
3637	2. Reestablishing natural soil structure and the capacity to infiltrate;
3638	D. New structures within a((n aquatic area buffer)) riparian area should be sited
3639	to avoid the creation of future hazard trees and to minimize the impact on groundwater
3640	movement; ((and))
3641	E. To the maximum extent practical:
3642	1. The soil duff layer should not be disturbed, but if disturbed, should be
3643	redistributed to other areas of the project site where feasible;

3644	2. A spatial connection should be provided between vegetation within and
3645	outside the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area to prevent creation of wind throw hazards
3646	and
3647	3. Hazard trees ((should be retained)) in ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian areas
3648	((and)) should be either topped or pushed over toward the aquatic area, and not taken out
3649	of the riparian area; ((and))
3650	F. Mitigation or restoration projects may include climate-smart plants approved
3651	by King County, but shall not otherwise introduce any plant or wildlife that is not
3652	indigenous to the Puget Sound lowland into an aquatic area or riparian area unless
3653	authorized by state or federal approval; and
3654	G. If a restoration, enhancement, or mitigation project proposes to place large
3655	\underline{wood} (($\underline{woody\ debris}$)) waterward of the ordinary high water mark of a ((\underline{T})) \underline{t} ype S
3656	aquatic area, the applicant shall consider the potential for recreational hazards in project
3657	design.
3658	SECTION 75. Ordinance 10870, Section 485, as amended, and K.C.C.
3659	21A.24.380 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3660	In addition to the requirements in ((K.C.C. 21A.24.130, 21A.24.125 and
3661	21A.24.133)) this chapter, the following applies to mitigation to compensate for the
3662	adverse impacts associated with an alteration to an aquatic area or ((aquatic area buffer))
3663	riparian area:
3664	A. Mitigation measures ((must)) shall achieve equivalent or greater aquatic or
3665	riparian area functions than prealteration conditions including, but not limited to:

3666	1. Habitat complexity, connectivity, and other biological, and ecological
3667	functions;
3668	2. Seasonal hydrological dynamics((5)) and water storage capacity ((and water
3669	quality)); ((and))
3670	3. ((Geomorphic and habitat processes and functions)) Shade and temperature
3671	control, pollution removal, water purification, and other water quality functions; and
3672	4. Natural erosion and sediment delivery, nutrient and detritus delivery, natural
3673	bank stabilization, sources and recruitment of large wood, cover for aquatic and terrestrial
3674	animals, terrestrial-based aquatic prey resources, and other geomorphic and habitat
3675	processes and functions;
3676	B. To the maximum extent practical, permanent ((alterations)) impacts that
3677	require mitigation such as restoration or enhancement of the altered aquatic area((;
3678	aquatic area buffer)) or riparian area, or another aquatic area or ((aquatic area buffer must
3679	eonsider)) riparian area, shall document in a mitigation plan how the following design
3680	factors((5)) have been considered as applicable to the function being mitigated:
3681	1. The natural channel or shoreline reach dimensions including its depth, width,
3682	length, and gradient;
3683	2. The horizontal alignment and sinuosity;
3684	3. The channel bed, <u>marine intertidal area</u> , sea bed, or lake bottom with identical
3685	or similar substrate and similar erosion and sediment transport dynamics;
3686	4. Bank ((and buffer)) configuration and erosion and sedimentation rates;
3687	((and))

3688	5. Similar <u>native</u> vegetation <u>or climate-smart plant</u> species diversity, size, and
3689	densities in the ((ehannel, sea bed or lake bottom and on the)) adjacent riparian ((bank or
3690	buffer)) area with similar configuration, spatial arrangement, and solar aspect;
3691	6. Similar slope and elevation; and
3692	7. Similar soil conditions, including moisture, saturation, and organic content;
3693	C. Mitigation to compensate for adverse impacts to aquatic areas shall meet the
3694	following standards:
3695	1. Not <u>located</u> upstream of a barrier to fish passage; <u>and</u>
3696	2. ((Is equal or greater in biological function; and
3697	3.)) To the maximum extent practical is:
3698	<u>a.</u> located on the site of the alteration or within one-half mile of the site and in
3699	the same aquatic area reach at a $((1:1))$ 2:1 ratio of area of mitigation to area of
3700	((alteration)) impact; or
3701	((4. Is)) b. if unable to be located on the site or within one-half mile of the site,
3702	located in the same aquatic area drainage subbasin or marine shoreline and attains the
3703	following ratios of area of functional mitigation to area of ((alteration)) impact:
3704	((a.)) (1) a 3:1 ratio for a type S or F aquatic area; and
3705	((b.)) (2) a 2:1 ratio for a type N or O aquatic area;
3706	D. For purposes of subsection C. of this section, $((a))$ mitigation $((measure))$ is in
3707	the same aquatic area reach if the length of aquatic area ((shoreline)) or adjacent riparian
3708	area meets the following criteria:
3709	1. Similar geomorphic conditions including slope, soil, aspect, and substrate;

3710	2. Similar processes including erosion and transport of sediment and ((woody
3711	debris)) large wood;
3712	3. Equivalent or better biological conditions, including presence of and habitat
3713	for invertebrates, fish, wildlife, and vegetation; and
3714	4. Equivalent or better biological functions, including fish and wildlife mating,
3715	reproduction, rearing, migration, and refuge; ((or)) and
3716	5. For tributary streams, a distance of no more than one-half mile from the main
3717	stem;
3718	E. <u>1.</u> ((The department may reduce the mitigation ratios in subsection C. of this
3719	section to 2:1 ratio for a type S or F aquatic area and 1.5:1 ratio for a type N or O aquatic
3720	area if the applicant provides a scientifically rigorous mitigation monitoring program that
3721	includes the following elements:
3722	1. Monitoring methods that ensure that the mitigation meets the approved
3723	performance standards identified by the department;
3724	2. Financing or funding guarantees for the duration of the monitoring program;
3725	and
3726	3. Experienced, qualified staff to perform the monitoring)) On-site mitigation in
3727	riparian areas shall use the ratios in subsection E.2. of this section, unless the department
3728	establishes an alternative mitigation ratio with supplemental actions in accordance with
3729	subsection F. of this section;
3730	2. Ratios of area of mitigation to area of impact for on-site mitigation for
3731	riparian areas:

3736

3737

3738

3739

3740

Adjacent Aquatic Area Type	On-site Compensatory Mitigation Ratio
Shoreline (S)	3:1
Fish bearing(F)	<u>3:1</u>
Non-fish bearing (N)	3:1
Other (O)	2:1

F.1. The department may approve an alternative on-site area mitigation ratio to subsection E. of this section when the following requirements of subsection F.2. of this section are met.

2. Required actions for alternative on-site riparian area mitigation ratio based on the type of vegetation impacted;

Adjacent Aquatic Area	Impacts to Woody	Impacts to Non-Woody
Type	<u>Vegetation</u>	Vegetation or
		unvegetated Areas
Shoreline (S)	2:1 ratio with at least one	1:1 ratio with at least two
Fish bearing (F)	primary action or three	secondary actions
Non-fish bearing (N)	secondary actions	
Other (O)	1:1 ratio with at least one	1:1 ratio with at least one
	primary action or two	secondary action
	secondary actions	

3. Primary actions:

a. placing large wood in adjacent aquatic areas, if not associated with shoreline stabilization or flood protection facilities;

b. removing a fish passage barrier, if not required by the development permit;

3741	c. removing an aquatic area transportation crossing, such as roads, bridges, or
3742	trails, and revegetating as appropriate. Utility crossings are not included under this
3743	action, unless the removal is part of an integrated transportation crossing removal project;
3744	d. removing shoreline armoring, revetments, or levees; or
3745	e. other similar actions as determined by the department.
3746	4. Secondary actions:
3747	a. planting native trees, climate-smart plants, and shrubs in areas of riparian
3748	area addition lacking native vegetation that are adjacent to and contiguous with existing
3749	riparian areas, within an area equal to one-half of the area of impact. This action cannot
3750	be applied where the riparian area addition requires enhancement to achieve equal
3751	function to the impact area;
3752	b. placing large wood in riparian areas or an adjacent floodplain;
3753	c. installing wildlife snags or similar wildlife nesting or rearing habitat;
3754	d. removing floodplain fill and replating with native vegetation or climate-
3755	smart plants as appropriate; or
3756	e. other similar actions as determined by the department;
3757	G.1. If unable to be located on or within one-half mile of the site, off-site
3758	mitigation for riparian areas in the same drainage subbasin, may be accepted by the
3759	department and shall be in a mitigation banking site, resource mitigation reserve, or
3760	conservation easement if on private property. Off-site mitigation shall use the ratios of
3761	area of mitigation to area of impact in subsection G.2. of this section.
3762	2. Off-site ratios of area of mitigation to area of impact of riparian areas:

Adjacent Aquatic Area Type	Off-Site Compensatory Mitigation
	Ratio
Shoreline (S)	4:1
Fish bearing (F)	4:1
Non-fish bearing (N)	4:1
Other (O)	3:1; and

- <u>H.</u> For rectifying an illegal alteration to any type of aquatic area or ((its buffer)) riparian area, mitigation measures ((must meet the following standards)) shall:
- 1. For an aquatic area, be ((L))located on the site of the illegal alteration at a ((1:1))4:1 ratio of area of mitigation to area of ((alteration)) impact; ((and))
- 2. For a riparian area, be located on the site of the illegal alteration at a 4:1 ratio of area of mitigation to area of impact for adjacent type S, F, and N aquatic areas, and 3:1 ratio for adjacent type O aquatic areas; and
- 3. To the maximum extent practical, replicates the natural prealteration configuration at its natural prealteration location including the factors in subsection B. of this section((; and
- G. The department may modify the requirements in this section if the applicant demonstrates that, with respect to each aquatic area function, greater functions can be obtained in the affected hydrologic unit that the department may determine to be the drainage subbasin through alternative mitigation measures.
- H. For temporary alterations to an aquatic area or its buffer that is predominately woody vegetation, the department may require mitigation in addition to restoration of the altered aquatic area or buffer)).

3780	SECTION 76. Ordinance 15051, Section 198, as amended, and K.C.C.
3781	21A.24.382 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3782	The following development standards apply to development proposals and
3783	alterations on sites containing wildlife habitat conservation areas:
3784	A. Unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the
3785	alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within a wildlife habitat
3786	conservation area;
3787	B. For a bald eagle:
3788	1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a four-hundred-foot
3789	radius from an active nest;
3790	2. Between March 15 and April 30, alterations are not allowed within eight
3791	hundred feet of the nest; and
3792	((2)) 3. Between January 1 and August 31, land clearing machinery, such as
3793	bulldozers, graders or other heavy equipment, may not be operated within eight hundred
3794	feet of the nest;
3795	C. For a great blue heron:
3796	1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with an eight-hundred-
3797	twenty-foot radius from the rookery. The department may increase the radius up to an
3798	additional one-hundred sixty-four feet if the department determines that the population of
3799	the rookery is declining; and
3800	2. Between January 1 and July 31, clearing or grading are not allowed within
3801	nine-hundred-twenty-four feet of the rookery;

3802	D. For a marbled murrelet, the wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a
3803	one-half-mile radius around an active nest;
3804	E. For a northern goshawk, the wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a
3805	one-thousand-five-hundred-foot radius around an active nest located outside of the urban
3806	growth area;
3807	F. For an osprey:
3808	1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a two-hundred-thirty-
3809	foot radius around an active nest; and
3810	2. Between April 1 and September 30, alterations are not allowed within six-
3811	hundred-sixty feet of the nest;
3812	G. For a peregrine falcon:
3813	1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area extending for a distance of
3814	one-thousand feet of an eyrie on a cliff face, the area immediately above the eyrie on the
3815	rim of the cliff, and the area immediately below the cliff;
3816	2. Between March 1 and June 30, land-clearing activities that result in loud
3817	noises, such as from blasting, chainsaws, or heavy machinery, are not allowed within
3818	one-half mile of the eyrie; and
3819	3. New power lines may not be constructed within one-thousand feet of the
3820	eyrie;
3821	H. For a spotted owl, the wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a
3822	three-thousand-seven-hundred-foot radius from an active nest;
3823	I. For a Townsend's big-eared bat:

3824	1. Between June 1 and October 1, the wildlife habitat conservation area is an
3825	area with a four-hundred-fifty-foot radius from the entrance to a cave or mine, located
3826	outside of the urban area, with an active nursery colony;
3827	2. Between November 1 and March 31, the wildlife habitat conservation area is
3828	an area with a four-hundred-fifty-foot radius around the entrance to a cave or mine
3829	located outside the urban growth area serving as a winter hibernacula;
3830	3. Between March 1 and November 30, a building, bridge, tunnel, or other
3831	structure used solely for day or night roosting may not be altered or destroyed;
3832	4. Between May 1 and September 15, the entrance into a cave or mine that is
3833	protected because of bat presence is protected from human entry; and
3834	5. A gate across the entrance to a cave or mine that is protected because of bat
3835	presence must be designed to allow bats to enter and exit the cave or mine;
3836	J. For a Vaux's swift:
3837	1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a three-hundred-foot
3838	radius around an active nest located outside of the urban growth areas;
3839	2. Between April 1 and October 31, clearing, grading, or outdoor construction is
3840	not allowed within four hundred feet of an active or potential nest tree. The applicant
3841	may use a species survey to demonstrate that the potential nest tree does not contain an
3842	active nest;
3843	K. The department shall require protection of an active breeding site of any
3844	federal or state listed endangered, threatened, sensitive, and candidate species or King
3845	County species of local importance ((not listed in subsections B. through J. of this
3846	section)). If the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife has adopted

3847	management recommendations for a species covered by this subsection, the department
3848	shall follow those management recommendations. If management recommendations
3849	have not been adopted, the department shall base protection decisions on best available
3850	science.
3851	SECTION 77. Ordinance 15051, Section 204, and K.C.C. 21A.24.388 are hereby
3852	amended to read as follows:
3853	In addition to the requirements in K.C.C. 21A.24.130, 21A.24.125 and
3854	21A.24.133, the following applies to mitigation to compensate for the adverse impacts
3855	associated with wildlife habitat conservation areas and wildlife habitat networks:
3856	A. Mitigation to compensate for the adverse impacts to a wildlife habitat
3857	conservation area ((must)) shall prevent disturbance of each protected species. On-site
3858	mitigation may include management practices, such as timing of the disturbance. Off-site
3859	mitigation is limited to sites that will enhance the wildlife habitat conservation area;
3860	B. Mitigation to compensate for the adverse impacts to the wildlife habitat
3861	network must achieve equivalent or greater biologic functions including, but not limited
3862	to, habitat complexity and connectivity functions. Specific mitigation requirements for
3863	impacts to the wildlife habitat network shall:
3864	1. Expand or enhance the wildlife network as close to the location of impact as
3865	feasible; and
3866	2. Attain the following ratios of area of mitigation to area of alteration:
3867	a. for mitigation on site:
3868	(1) 1.5:1 ratio for rectifying an illegal alteration to a wildlife habitat network;
3869	and

3870	(2) 1.5:1 ratio for enhancement or restoration; and
3871	b. for mitigation off-site:
3872	(1) 2:1 ratio for rectifying an illegal alteration to a wildlife habitat network;
3873	and
3874	(2) 3:1 ratio for enhancement or restoration;
3875	C. For temporary alterations, the department may require rectification,
3876	restoration, or enhancement of the altered wildlife habitat network;
3877	D. The department may increase the width of the wildlife habitat network to
3878	mitigate for risks to habitat functions;
3879	E. To the maximum extent practical, mitigation projects involving wildlife
3880	habitat network restoration should provide replication of the site's prealteration natural
3881	environment including:
3882	1. Soil type, conditions, and physical features;
3883	2. Vegetation diversity and density; and
3884	3. Biologic and habitat functions; and
3885	F. The department may modify the requirements in this section if the applicant
3886	demonstrates that greater wildlife habitat functions will be obtained in the same wildlife
3887	habitat conservation area or wildlife habitat network through alternative mitigation
3888	measures.
3889	SECTION 78. Ordinance 16958, Section 31, as amended, and K.C.C.
3890	21A.25.100 are hereby amended to read as follows:
3891	A. The shoreline use table in this section determines whether a specific use is
3892	allowed within each of the shoreline environments. The shoreline environment is located

on the vertical column and the specific use is located on the horizontal row of the table.
The specific uses are grouped by the shoreline use categories in WAC 173-26-241. The
specific uses are defined by those uses in K.C.C. chapter 21A.08. The table should be
interpreted as follows:

- 1. If the cell is blank in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use is prohibited in that shoreline environment;
- 2. If the letter "P" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use may be allowed within the shoreline environment;
- 3. If the letter "C" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use may be allowed within the shoreline environment subject to the shoreline conditional use review procedures specified in K.C.C. 21A.44.100;
- 4. If a number appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use may be allowed subject to the appropriate review process in this section, the general requirements of this chapter and the specific development conditions indicated with the corresponding number in subsection C. of this section. If more than one number appears after a letter, all numbers apply;
- 5. If more than one letter-number combination appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use is allowed in accordance with each letter-number combination;
- 6. A shoreline use may be allowed in the aquatic environment only if that shoreline use is allowed in the adjacent shoreland environment; and
- 7. This section does not authorize a land use that is not allowed by the underlying zoning, but may add additional restrictions or conditions or prohibit specific

3917

3918

3919

3920

3921

3922

land uses within the shoreline jurisdiction. When there is a conflict between the allowed land uses in K.C.C. chapter 21A.08 and shoreline uses in this section, preference for shoreline uses shall first be given to water-dependent uses, then to water related uses, and finally to water enjoyment uses. All uses in the shoreline jurisdiction shall comply with all relevant county code provisions and with the King County ((S))shoreline ((M))master ((P))program.

B. Shoreline uses.

	High	Resident	Rur	Conserva	Resour	Fores	Natur	Aqua
	Intensi	ial	al	ncy	ce	try	al	tic
	ty							
Agriculture								
Agriculture		P	P	P	P	P	P1	
(K.C.C.								
21A.08.090)								
Aquaculture (fish								
and wildlife								
management								
K.C.C.								
21A.08.090)								
Nonnative marine								
finfish aquaculture								
Commercial								

salmon net pens								
Noncommercial	P2							
native salmon net								
pens								
Native non-		C2	C2	C2				C2
salmonid finfish								
net pens								
Geoduck	C2							
aquaculture								
Aquaculture, not	P2							
otherwise listed								
Boating Facilities								
Marinas (K.C.C.	C3	C3	C3					СЗ
21A.08.040)								
Commercial								
Development								
General services	P4	P5	P5					
(K.C.C.								
21A.08.050)								
Business services,	P6							
except SIC								
Industry 1611,								

	1	T	1	ı	1	ı	ı	
automotive								
parking, and off-								
street required								
parking lot (K.C.C.								
21A.08.060)								
Retail (K.C.C.	P7	P8						
21A.08.070)								
Government								
Services								
Government	P9	P9	P9	P9	P9	P9	P9	C10
services except								
commuter parking								
lot, utility facility,								
and private								
stormwater								
management								
facility (K.C.C.								
21A.08.060)								
Forest Practices								
Forestry (K.C.C.		P11	P11	P11	P11	P11	C11	
21A.08.090)								
Industry								

Manufacturing	P12							
(K.C.C.								
21A.08.080)								
In-stream								
structural uses								
Hydroelectric	C13	C13	C13			C13		C13
generation facility,								
wastewater								
treatment facility,								
and municipal								
water production								
(K.C.C.								
21A.08.100)								
In-stream utility	P14	C14						
facilities (K.C.C.								
21A.08.060)								
In-stream								C15
transportation								
portion of SIC								
1611 highway and								
street construction								
(K.C.C.								

21A.08.060)								
In-stream fish and								C16
wildlife								
management,								
except aquaculture								
(K.C.C.								
21A.08.090)								
Mining								
Mineral uses					C17	C17		C17
(K.C.C.								
21A.08.090)								
Recreational								
Development								
Recreational and	P18	P19	P19	P20		P19	P21	С
cultural except for								
marinas and docks								
and piers (K.C.C.								
21A.08.040)								
Residential								
Development								
Single detached		P	P	P	P	C22	C22	
residences (K.C.C.								

21A.08.030) and						
adult family homes						
and community						
residential facility I						
(K.C.C.						
21A.08.xxx (((the						
new section created						
by)) <u>Ordinance</u>						
XXXXX (Proposed						
Ordinance 2024-						
<u>0440),</u> ((s)) <u>S</u> ection						
148 ((of Proposed						
Ordinance 2023-						
0442)))						
Houseplex,	P23	P		P		
townhouse,						
apartment,						
manufactured home						
community, cottage						
housing (K.C.C.						
21A.08.030)						
Congregate	P23	P				

residence and								
senior assisted								
housing (K.C.C.								
21A.08.030),								
community								
residential facility								
II and permanent								
supportive housing								
(K.C.C.								
21A.08.xxx (((the								
new section created								
by)) Ordinance								
XXXXX (Proposed								
Ordinance 2024-								
<u>0440)</u> , ((s)) <u>S</u> ection								
148 ((of Proposed								
Ordinance 2023-								
0442))))								
Accessory uses	P24	P24	P24	P24	P24	C22	C22	
(K.C.C.						and	and	
21A.08.030)						24	24	
Temporary lodging	P23	P27	P27	C27	C27			

(K.C.C.								
21A.08.030)								
Live-aboards	P28	P28	P28					P28
Transportation								
and parking								
Transportation	P29	P29	P29	C29	P29	P29	C29	C29
facilities								
Commuter parking								
lot (K.C.C.								
21A.08.060)								
Automotive								
parking (K.C.C.								
21A.08.060)								
Off-street required								
parking lot (K.C.C.								
21A.08.060)								
Utilities								
Utility facility	P26	C26						
(K.C.C.								
21A.08.060)								
Regional land uses								
Regional uses	P30							

except				
hydroelectric				
generation facility,				
wastewater				
treatment facility,				
and municipal				
water production				
(K.C.C.				
21A.08.100)				

3923 C. Development conditions:

- 1. In the ((N))natural shoreline environment, limited to low intensity agriculture, such as livestock use with an animal unit density of no more than one per two acres in the shoreline jurisdiction, seasonal hay mowing and related activities, and horticulture not to exceed twenty percent of the site area located within the shoreline jurisdiction.
- 2.a. The supporting infrastructure for aquaculture may be located landward of the aquaculture operation, subject to the limitations of K.C.C. Title 21A.
 - b. The aquaculture operation shall meet the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.110.
- c. In aquatic areas adjacent to the residential shoreline environment, net pen facilities shall be located no closer than one thousand five hundred feet from the ordinary high water mark of this environment, unless the department allows a specific lesser distance that it determines is appropriate based upon a visual impact analysis. Other types of floating culture facilities may be located within one thousand five hundred feet of the ordinary high water mark if supported by a visual impact analysis.

3931	d. In aquatic areas adjacent to the rural shorenne environment, het pen
3938	facilities shall be located no closer than one thousand five hundred feet from the ordinary
3939	high water mark of this environment, unless the department allows a specific lesser
3940	distance that it determines is appropriate based upon a visual impact analysis.
3941	e. In the natural shoreline environment and aquatic areas adjacent to the natural
3942	shoreline environment, commercial net pens are prohibited, and other aquaculture
3943	activities are limited to activities that do not require structures, facilities, or mechanized
3944	harvest practices and that will not alter the natural systems, features, or character of the
3945	site.
3946	f. Farm-raised geoduck aquaculture requires a shoreline substantial
3947	development permit if a specific project or practice causes substantial interference with
3948	normal public use of the surface waters.
3949	g. A conditional use permit is required for new commercial geoduck
3950	aquaculture only, consistent with WAC 173-26-241(3)(b). All subsequent cycles of
3951	planting and harvest shall not require a new conditional permit.
3952	3.a. New marinas are not allowed along the east shore of <u>Vashon-Maury</u> Island,
3953	from Piner Point to Point Robinson.
3954	b. Marinas shall meet the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.120.
3955	4. Water dependent general services land uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are
3956	allowed. Nonwater-dependent general services land uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are only

allowed on sites that are not contiguous with the ordinary high water mark or on sites that

do not have an easement that provides direct access to the water.

3957

3959	5.a. Water-dependent general services land uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are
3960	allowed.
3961	b. Nonwater-dependent general services land uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are
3962	only allowed as part of a shoreline mixed-use development that includes water-dependent
3963	uses.
3964	c. Nonwater-oriented general service((s)) land uses shall provide a
3965	((significant)) public benefit by ((helping to achieve)) achieving one or more of the
3966	following shoreline master program goals:
3967	(1) economic development for water-dependent uses;
3968	(2) public access;
3969	(3) water-oriented recreation;
3970	(4) conservation of critical areas, scenic vistas, aesthetics, or fish and wildlife
3971	habitat; ((and)) <u>or</u>
3972	(5) protection and restoration of historic properties.
3973	6. Water-dependent business services uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are allowed.
3974	Water-related business service((s)) uses are only allowed as part of a shoreline mixed-use
3975	development and only if they support a water-dependent use. The water-related business
3976	service((s)) uses shall comprise less than one-half of the square footage of the structures
3977	or the portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction.
3978	7.a. Water-dependent retail uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are allowed.
3979	b. Nonwater-dependent retail uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are only allowed as
3980	part of a shoreline mixed-use development if the nonwater-dependent retail use supports

3981	a water-dependent use. Nonwater-dependent uses shall comprise less than one-half of the
3982	square footage of the structures or the portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction.
3983	c. Nonwater-oriented retail uses shall provide a significant public benefit by
3984	helping to achieve one or more of the following shoreline master program goals:
3985	(1) economic development for water-dependent uses;
3986	(2) public access;
3987	(3) water-oriented recreation;
3988	(4) conservation of critical areas, scenic vistas, aesthetics, or fish and wildlife
3989	habitat; and
3990	(5) protection and restoration of historic properties.
3991	8. Water-dependent retail uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are allowed. Nonwater-
3992	dependent retail uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are only allowed if the retail use provides a
3993	significant public benefit by helping to achieve one or more of the following shoreline
3994	master program goals:
3995	a. economic development for water-dependent uses;
3996	b. public access;
3997	c. water-oriented recreation;
3998	d. conservation of critical areas, scenic vistas, aesthetics, or fish and wildlife
3999	habitat; and
4000	e. protection and restoration of historic properties.
4001	9.a. Water-dependent government services in K.C.C. 21A.08.060 are allowed.
4002	b. Nonwater-dependent government services in K.C.C. 21A.08.060 are only
4003	allowed as part of a shoreline mixed-use development if the nonwater-dependent

government use supports a water-dependent use. Nonwater-dependent uses shall comprise less than one-half of the square footage of the structures or the portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction. Only low-intensity water-dependent government services are allowed in the ((N)) natural environment.

- 10. The following standards apply to government services uses within the ((A))aquatic environment:
- a. Stormwater and sewage outfalls are allowed if upland treatment and infiltration to groundwater, streams, or wetlands is not feasible and there is no impact on critical saltwater habitats, salmon migratory habitat, and the nearshore zone. However, stormwater and sewage outfalls are not allowed in the Maury Island Aquatic Reserve, except from Piner Point to Point Robinson;
- b. Water intakes shall not be located near fish spawning, migratory, or rearing areas. Water intakes shall adhere to Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife fish screening criteria. To the maximum extent practical, intakes should be placed at least thirty feet below the ordinary high water mark;
- c. Desalinization facilities shall not be located near fish spawning, migratory, or rearing areas. Intakes should generally be placed deeper than thirty feet below the ordinary high water mark and shall adhere to Washington state Department Fish and Wildlife fish screening criteria. Discharge of desalination wastewater or concentrated mineral is not allowed in the Maury Island Aquatic Reserve, except that outside the Inner and Outer Harbormaster Harbor, discharge may be considered if there is no impact on critical saltwater habitats, salmon migratory habitat, and the nearshore zone;
 - d. ((C))<u>c</u>able crossings for telecommunications and power lines shall:

4027	(1) be routed around or drilled below aquatic critical habitat or species;
4028	(2) be installed in sites free of vegetation, as determined by physical or video
1029	seabed survey;
4030	(3) be buried, preferably using directional drilling, from the uplands to
4031	waterward of the deepest documented occurrence of native aquatic vegetation; and
4032	(4) use the best available technology;
4033	e. $((\Theta))$ oil, gas, water, and other pipelines shall meet the same standards as
1034	cable crossings and in addition:
4035	(1) pipelines shall be directionally drilled to depths of seventy feet or one half
1036	mile from the ordinary high water mark; and
4037	(2) use the best available technology for operation and maintenance;
4038	f. $((B))$ <u>b</u> reakwaters are not allowed within the Maury Island Aquatic Reserve
4039	or within the $((A))\underline{a}$ quatic environment adjacent to the $((C))\underline{c}$ onservancy and $((N))\underline{n}$ atural
4040	shorelines.
4041	11. In the $((N))$ <u>n</u> atural <u>shoreline</u> environment, limited to low intensity forest
1042	practices that conserve or enhance the health and diversity of the forest ecosystem or
1043	ecological and hydrologic functions conducted for the purpose of accomplishing specific
1044	ecological enhancement objectives. In all shoreline environments, forest practices shall
4045	meet the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.130.
1046	12. Manufacturing uses in the shoreline environment shall give preference first
4047	to water-dependent manufacturing uses and second to water-related manufacturing uses:
1048	a. $((N))$ nonwater-oriented manufacturing uses are allowed only:

1049	(1) as part of a shoreline mixed-use development that includes a water-
4050	dependent use, but only if the water-dependent use comprises over fifty percent of the
4051	floor area or portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction;
4052	(2) on sites where navigability is severely limited; or
4053	(3) on sites that are not contiguous with the ordinary high water mark or on
4054	sites that do not have an easement that provides direct access to the water; and
4055	(4) all nonwater-oriented manufacturing uses shall also provide a significant
4056	public benefit, such as ecological restoration, environmental clean-up, historic
4057	preservation, or water-dependent public education;
4058	b. public access is required for all manufacturing uses unless it would result in
4059	a public safety risk or is incompatible with the use;
4060	c. shall be located, designed, and constructed in a manner that ensures that
4061	there are no significant adverse impacts to other shoreline resources and values;
4062	d. restoration is required for all new manufacturing uses; and
4063	e. boat repair facilities are not allowed within the Maury Island Aquatic
4064	Reserve, except as follows:
4065	(1) engine repair or maintenance conducted within the engine space without
4066	vessel haul-out;
1067	(2) topside cleaning, detailing, and bright work;
4068	(3) electronics servicing and maintenance;
1069	(4) marine sanitation device servicing and maintenance that does not require
4070	haul-out;
4071	(5) vessel rigging; and

(6) minor repairs or modifications to the vessel's superstructure and hull
above the waterline that do not exceed twenty-five percent of the vessel's surface area
above the waterline.

- 13. The water-dependent in-stream portion of a hydroelectric generation facility, wastewater treatment facility, and municipal water production are allowed, including the upland supporting infrastructure, and shall provide for the protection and preservation, of ecosystem-wide processes, ecological functions, and cultural resources, including, but not limited to, fish and fish passage, wildlife and water resources, shoreline critical areas, hydrogeological processes, and natural scenic vistas.
- 14. New in-stream portions of utility facilities may be located within the shoreline jurisdiction if:
 - a. there is no feasible alternate location;
- b. provision is made to protect and preserve ecosystem-wide processes, ecological functions, and cultural resources, including, but not limited to, fish and fish passage, wildlife and water resources, shoreline critical areas, hydrogeological processes, and natural scenic vistas; and
 - c. the use complies with the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.260.
- 15. Limited to in-stream infrastructure, such as bridges, and shall consider the priorities of the King County Shoreline Protection and Restoration Plan when designing in-stream transportation facilities. In-stream structures shall provide for the protection and preservation of ecosystem-wide processes, ecological functions, and cultural resources, including, but not limited to, fish and fish passage, wildlife and water resources, shoreline critical areas, hydrogeological processes, and natural scenic vistas.

4095	16. Limited to hatchery and fish preserves.
1096	17. Mineral uses:
1097	a. shall meet the standards in K.C.C. chapter 21A.22;
1098	b. shall be dependent upon a shoreline location;
1099	c. shall avoid and mitigate adverse impacts to the shoreline environment
4100	during the course of mining and reclamation to achieve no net loss of shoreline ecological
4101	function. In determining whether there will be no net loss of shoreline ecological
4102	function, the evaluation may be based on the final reclamation required for the site.
4103	Preference shall be given to mining proposals that result in the creation, restoration, or
4104	enhancement of habitat for priority species;
4105	d. shall provide for reclamation of disturbed shoreline areas to achieve
4106	appropriate ecological functions consistent with the setting;
4107	e. may be allowed within the active channel of a river only as follows:
4108	(1) removal of specified quantities of sand and gravel or other materials at
4109	specific locations will not adversely affect the natural processes of gravel transportation
4110	for the river system as a whole;
4111	(2) the mining and any associated permitted activities will not have
4112	significant adverse impacts to habitat for priority species nor cause a net loss of
4113	ecological functions of the shoreline; and
4114	(3) if no review has been previously conducted under this subsection C.17.e.,
4115	before renewing, extending, or reauthorizing gravel bar and other in-channel mining
4116	operations in locations where they have previously been conducted, the department shall
4117	require compliance with this subsection C.17.e. If there has been prior review, the

4118	department shall review previous determinations comparable to the requirements of this
4119	section C.17.e. to ensure compliance with this subsection under current site conditions;
4120	and
4121	f. shall comply with K.C.C. 21A.25.190.
4122	18. Only water-dependent recreational uses are allowed, except for public parks
4123	and trails, in the $((H))\underline{h}igh\ ((I))\underline{i}ntensity\ \underline{shoreline}$ environment and shall meet the
4124	standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.140 for public access and K.C.C. 21A.25.150 for recreation.
4125	19. Water-dependent and water-enjoyment recreational uses are allowed in the
4126	$((\mathbf{R}))\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ esidential, $((\mathbf{R}))\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ ural, and $((\mathbf{F}))\underline{\mathbf{f}}$ or estry shoreline environments and shall meet the
4127	standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.140 for public access and K.C.C. 21A.25.150 for recreation.
4128	20. In the ((C))conservancy shoreline environment, only the following
4129	recreation uses are allowed and shall meet the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.140 for public
4130	access and K.C.C. 21A.25.150 for recreation:
4131	a. parks; and
4132	b. trails.
4133	21. In the $((N))$ <u>n</u> atural <u>shoreline</u> environment, only passive and low-impact
4134	recreational uses are allowed.
4135	22. Single detached residences shall be located outside of the ((aquatic area
4136	buffer)) riparian area and set back from the ordinary high water mark to the maximum
4137	extent practical.
4138	23. Only allowed as part of a water-dependent shoreline mixed-use development
4139	where water-dependent uses comprise more than half of the square footage of the
4140	structures on the portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction.

4141	24. Residential accessory uses shall meet the following standards:
4142	a. docks, piers, moorage, buoys, floats, or launching facilities shall comply
4143	with the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.180;
4144	b. residential accessory structures located within the ((aquatic area buffer))
4145	riparian area shall be limited to a total footprint of one-hundred fifty square feet; and
4146	c. accessory structures shall be sited to preserve visual access to the shoreline
4147	to the maximum extent practical.
4148	25. New highway and street construction is allowed only if there is no feasible
4149	alternate location. Only low-intensity transportation infrastructure is allowed in the
4150	((N)) <u>n</u> atural environment.
4151	26. Utility facilities are subject to the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.260.
4152	27. Only bed and breakfast guesthouses.
4153	28. Only in a marina.
4154	29. Transportation facilities are subject to the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.280.
4155	30. Only solid waste transfer stations and subject to K.C.C. 21A.25.260.
4156	SECTION 79. Ordinance 16985, Section 32, as amended, and K.C.C.
4157	21A.25.110 are hereby amended to read as follows:
4158	An applicant for an aquaculture facility shall use the sequential measures in
4159	K.C.C. 21A.25.080. The following standards apply to aquaculture:
4160	A. Unless the applicant demonstrates that the substrate modification will result in
4161	an increase in native habitat diversity, aquaculture that involves little or no substrate
4162	modification shall be given preference over aquaculture that involves substantial
4163	substrate modification and the degree of proposed substrate modification shall be limited

164	to the	maximum	extent	practical.

- B. The installation of submerged structures, intertidal structures and floating structures shall be limited to the maximum extent practical.
- C. Aquaculture proposals that involve substantial substrate modification or sedimentation through dredging, trenching, digging, mechanical clam harvesting, or other similar mechanisms, shall not be allowed in areas where the proposal would adversely impact critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitats.
- D. Aquaculture activities that after implementation of mitigation measures would have a significant adverse impact on natural, dynamic shoreline processes, or that would result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions shall be prohibited.
- E. Aquaculture should not be located in areas that will result in significant conflicts with navigation or other water-dependent uses.
- F. Aquaculture facilities shall be designed, located, and managed to prevent the spread of diseases to native aquatic life or the spread of new nonnative species.
- G. Aquaculture practices shall be designed to minimize use of artificial chemical substances and shall use chemical compounds that are least persistent and have the least impact on plants and animals. Herbicides and pesticides shall be used only in conformance with state and federal standards and to the minimum extent needed for the health of the aquaculture activity.
- H. Noncommercial native salmon net pen facilities that involve minimal supplemental feeding and limited use of chemicals or antibiotics as provided in subsection G. of this section may be located in King County marine waters if they are consistent with subsections S. and Y. of this section and are:

4187 1. Nativ	ve salmon net pens o	perated by Indian	tribes with treaty	fishing rights
---------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	----------------

- 2. For the limited penned cultivation of wild salmon stocks during a limited portion of their lifecycle to enhance restoration of native stocks; or
- 3. For rearing to adulthood in order to harvest eggs as part of a captive brood stock recovery program for endangered species.
- I. If uncertainty exists regarding potential impacts of a proposed aquaculture activity and for all experimental aquaculture activities, unless otherwise provided for, the department may require baseline and periodic operational monitoring by a county-approved consultant, at the applicant's expense, and shall continue until adequate information is available to determine the success of the project and the magnitude of any probable ((significant)) adverse environmental impacts. Permits for such activities shall include specific performance measures and provisions for adjustment or termination of the project at any time if monitoring indicates ((significant,)) adverse environmental impacts that cannot be adequately mitigated.
- J. Aquaculture developments approved on an experimental basis shall not exceed five acres in area, except land-based projects and anchorage for floating systems, and three years in duration. The department may issue a new permit to continue an experimental project as many times as it determines is necessary and appropriate.
- K. The department may require aquaculture operations to carry liability insurance in an amount commensurate with the risk of injury or damage to any person or property as a result of the project. Insurance requirements shall not be required to duplicate requirements of other agencies.
 - L. If aquaculture activities are authorized to use public facilities, such as boat

launches or docks, King County may require the applicant to pay a portion of the cost of maintenance and any required improvements commensurate with the use of those facilities.

- M. New aquatic species that are not previously cultivated in Washington state shall not be introduced into King County saltwaters or freshwaters without prior written approval of the Director of the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Director of the Washington state Department of Health. This prohibition does not apply to((\(\frac{1}{2}\))) Pacific, Olympia, Kumomoto, Belon, or Virginica oysters; Manila, Butter, or Littleneck clams; or Geoduck clams.
- N. Unless otherwise provided in the shoreline permit issued by the department, repeated introduction of an approved organism after harvest in the same location shall require approval by the county only at the time the initial aquaculture use permit is issued. Introduction, for purposes of this section, shall mean the placing of any aquatic organism in any area within the waters of King County regardless of whether it is a native or resident organism within the county and regardless of whether it is being transferred from within or without the waters of King County.
- O. For aquaculture projects, overwater structures shall be allowed only if necessary for the immediate and regular operation of the facility. Overwater structures shall be limited to the storage of necessary tools and apparatus in containers of not more than three feet in height, as measured from the surface of the raft or dock.
- P. Except for the sorting or culling of the cultured organism after harvest and the washing or removal of surface materials or organisms before or after harvest, no processing of any aquaculture product shall occur in or over the water unless specifically

approved by permit. All other processing and processing facilities shall be located
landward of the ordinary high water mark.

- Q. Aquaculture wastes shall be disposed of in a manner that will ensure strict compliance with all applicable governmental waste disposal standards, including, but not limited to, the Federal Clean Water Act, Section 401, and chapter 90.48 RCW, Water Pollution Control. No garbage, wastes, or debris shall be allowed to accumulate at the site of any aquaculture operation.
- R. Unless approved in writing by the National Marine Fisheries Service or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, predator control shall not involve the killing or harassment of birds or mammals. Approved controls include, but are not limited to, double netting for seals, overhead netting for birds, and three-foot high fencing or netting for otters. The use of other nonlethal, nonabusive predator control measures shall be contingent upon receipt of written approval from the National Marine Fisheries Service or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, as required.
- S. Finfish net pens and rafts shall meet the following criteria in addition to the other applicable regulations of this section:
- 1. Finfish net pens shall not be located in Quartermaster Harbor. For the purposes of this subsection, "Quartermaster Harbor" means the area of Puget Sound north of a straight line drawn from the southwest tip of Vashon-Maury Island, which is Piner Point, to the southeast tip of Vashon-Maury Island, which is Neill Point;
- 2. Finfish net pens shall meet, at a minimum, state approved administrative guidelines for the management of net pen cultures. In the event there is a conflict in requirements, the more restrictive requirement shall prevail;

3. Finfish net pens shall not occupy more than two surface acres of water area,
excluding booming and anchoring requirements. Anchors that minimize disturbance to
substrate, such as helical anchors, shall be employed. Such operations shall not use
chemicals or antibiotics;

- 4. Aquaculture proposals that include new or added net pens or rafts shall not be located closer than one nautical mile to any other aquaculture facility that includes net pens or rafts. The department may authorize a lesser distance if the applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the department that the proposal will be consistent with the environmental and aesthetic policies and objectives of this chapter and the shoreline master program. The applicant shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the department that the cumulative impacts of existing and proposed operations would not be contrary to the policies and regulations of the program;
- 5. Net cleaning activities shall be conducted on a frequent enough basis so as not to violate state water quality standards. When feasible, the cleaning of nets and other apparatus shall be accomplished by air drying, spray washing, or hand washing; and
- 6. In the event of a significant fish kill at the site of a net pen facility, the finfish aquaculture operator shall submit a timely report to public health Seattle & King County, environmental health division, and the department of local services, permitting division, stating the cause of death and shall detail remedial actions to be implemented to prevent reoccurrence.
- T. All floating and submerged aquaculture structures and facilities in navigable waters shall be marked in accordance with United States Coast Guard requirements.
 - U. The rights of treaty tribes to aquatic resources within their usual and

accustomed areas shall be addressed through direct coordination between the applica	ınt
and the affected <u>Indian</u> tribes through the permit review process.	

- V. Aquaculture structures and equipment shall be of sound construction and shall be so maintained. Abandoned or unsafe structures and equipment shall be removed or repaired promptly by the owner. Where any structure might constitute a potential hazard to the public in the future, the department shall require the posting of a bond commensurate with the cost of removal or repair. The department may abate an abandoned or unsafe structure in accordance with K.C.C. Title 23.
- W. Aquaculture shall not be approved where it will adversely impact eelgrass and macroalgae.
- X. Commercial salmon net pens and nonnative marine finfish aquaculture are prohibited.
 - Y. Finfish net pens shall be consistent with the applicable aquaculture regulations in this section and shall meet the following criteria and requirements:
 - 1. Each finfish net pen application shall provide a current, peer-reviewed science review of environmental issues related to finfish net pen aquaculture;
 - 2. The department shall only approve a finfish net pen application if the department determines the scientific review demonstrates:
 - a. that the project construction and activities will achieve no net loss of ecological function in a manner that has no ((significant)) adverse short-term impact and no documented adverse long-term impact to applicable elements of the environment, including, but not limited to, habitat for native salmonids, water quality, critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitat, eel grass beds, other aquaculture, other native species, the

+302	benunc community below the net pen or other environmental attributes; and
4303	b. that the finfish net pen does not involve significant risk of cumulative
1304	adverse effects, including, but not limited to, risk of interbreeding with wild salmon or
1305	reduction of genetic fitness of wild stocks, parasite or disease transmission, or other
4306	adverse effects on native species or threatened or endangered species and their habitats;
1307	3. The department's review shall:
4308	a. include an assessment of the risk to endangered species, non-endangered
1309	species, and other biota that could be affected by the finfish net pen; and
4310	b. evaluate and model water quality impacts utilizing current information,
4311	technology, and assessment models. The project proponent shall be financially
4312	responsible for this water quality assessment;
4313	4. Finfish net pens shall be designed, constructed and maintained to prevent
4314	escapement of fish in all foreseeable circumstances, including, but not limited to, tide,
4315	wind and wave events of record, floating and submerged debris, and tidal action;
4316	5. Finfish net pens shall not be located:
4317	a. within three hundred feet of an area containing eelgrass or a kelp bed;
4318	b. within one thousand five hundred feet of an ordinary high water mark; or
4319	c. in a designated Washington state Department of Natural Resources aquatic
4320	reserve;
4321	6. A finfish net pen may not be used to mitigate the impact of a development
1322	proposal; and
1323	7. For finfish net pens that are not noncommercial native salmon net pens, the
1324	conditional use permit for the net pen shall be renewed every five years. An updated

1325	scientific review shall be conducted as part of the renewal and shall include a new risk
1326	assessment and evaluation of the impact of the operation of the finfish net pen during the
1327	previous five years.
1328	Z. Geoduck aquaculture shall be consistent with WAC 173-26-241(3)(b).
1329	SECTION 80. Ordinance 3688, Section 415, as amended, and K.C.C.
1330	21A.25.150 are hereby amended to read as follows:
1331	Recreational development must meet the following standards:
1332	A. The recreational development must be permitted in the underlying zone;
1333	B. Recreational uses in the $((N))$ <u>n</u> atural <u>shoreline</u> environment must be water-
1334	oriented;
1335	C. Swimming areas shall be separated from boat launch areas and marinas, to the
1336	maximum extent practical;
1337	D. The development of underwater sites for sport diving shall not:
1338	1. Take place at depths of greater than eighty feet;
1339	2. Constitute a navigational hazard; and
1340	3. Be located in areas where the normal waterborne traffic would constitute a
1341	hazard to those people who may use such a site;
1342	E. The construction of swimming facilities, docks, piers, moorages, buoys, floats,
1343	and launching facilities below the ordinary high water mark shall be governed by the
1344	regulations relating to docks, piers, moorage, buoys, floats, or launching facility
1345	construction in K.C.C. 21A.25.180;
1346	F. Public boat launching facilities or marinas shall be governed by K.C.C.
1347	21A.25.120;

4348	G. Campgrounds in the $((N))$ <u>n</u> atural <u>shoreline</u> environment shall meet the
4349	following conditions:
4350	1. Campsites shall be located outside the shoreline jurisdiction if possible, and if
4351	not, be located outside of critical area((s)) buffers;
4352	2. Restrooms and parking shall be located outside the shoreline jurisdiction; and
4353	3. Removal of vegetation shall be limited to the maximum extent practical;
4354	H. Public contact with unique and fragile areas shall be permitted where it is
4355	possible without destroying the natural character of the area;
4356	I. Water viewing, nature study, recording, and viewing shall be accommodated
4357	by open space, platforms, benches or shelter, consistent with public safety and security;
4358	J. Public recreation shall be provided on county-owned lands consistent with this
4359	chapter unless the director determines public recreation is not compatible with other uses
4360	on the site or will create a public safety risk; and
4361	K. To the maximum extent practical, proposals for non water oriented active
4362	recreation facilities shall be located outside of the shoreline jurisdiction and shall not be
4363	permitted where the non water oriented active recreation facility would have an adverse
4364	impact on critical saltwater <u>or critical freshwater</u> habitats.
4365	SECTION 81. Ordinance 16985, Section 39, as amended, and K.C.C.
4366	21A.25.160 are hereby amended to read as follows:
4367	A. The shoreline modification table in this section determines whether a specific
4368	shoreline modification is allowed within each of the shoreline environments. The
4369	shoreline environment is located on the vertical column and the specific use is located on

the horizontal row of the table.	The specific n	nodifications are gro	ouped by the shor	eline
modification categories in WA	C 173-26-231.	The table should b	e interpreted as fo	ollows:

- 1. If the cell is blank in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification is prohibited in that shoreline environment;
- 2. If the letter "P" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification may be allowed within the shoreline environment;
- 3. If the letter "C" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification may be allowed within the shoreline environment subject to the shoreline conditional use review procedures specified in K.C.C. 21A.44.100;
- 4. If a number appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification may be allowed subject to the appropriate review process indicated in this section and the specific development conditions indicated with the corresponding number immediately following the table, and only if the underlying zoning allows the modification. If more than one number appears at the intersection of the column and row, both numbers apply;
- 5. If more than one letter-number combination appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification is allowed within that shoreline environment subject to different sets of limitations or conditions depending on the review process indicated by the letter, the specific development conditions indicated in the development condition with the corresponding number immediately following the table;
- 6. A shoreline modification may be allowed in the aquatic environment only if that shoreline modification is allowed in the adjacent shoreland environment; and

4393

4394

4395

4396

4397

7. This section does not authorize a shoreline modification that is not allowed by the underlying zoning, but may add additional restrictions or conditions or prohibit specific modifications within the shoreline jurisdiction. All shoreline modifications in the shoreline jurisdiction shall comply with all relevant county code provisions and with the King County shoreline master program.

B. Shoreline modifications.

	High	Resident	Rur	Conserv	Resour	Fores	Natu	Aqua
	Inten	ial	al	ancy	ce	try	ral	tic
	sity							
Shoreline								
stabilization								
Shoreline	P1	P1	P1	C1	P1	C1		P1
stabilization, not								C1
including flood								
protection facilities								
Flood protection	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2		P2	P2
facilities								
Piers and docks								
Docks, piers,	P3	Р3	P3	C3	СЗ	C3		P3
moorage, buoys,								C3
floats, or launching								
facilities								

Fill						1		
FIII								
Filling	P4	P4	P4	P4	P4	C4	C4	P4
	C4	C4	C4	C4	C4			C4
Breakwaters,								
jetties, groins, and								
weirs								
Breakwaters,	P5							
jetties, groins, and	C5							
weirs								
Dredging and								
dredge material								
disposal								
Excavation,	P6	P6	P6	P6	P6	C6	C6	P6
dredging, dredge	C6	C6	C6	C6	C6			C6
material disposal								
Shoreline habitat								
and natural								
systems								
enhancement								
projects								
Habitat and natural	P7							
systems								

enhancement								
projects								
Vegetation								
management								
Removal of	P8	P8	P8	P9	P8	P8	P9	P9
existing intact								
native vegetation								

C. Development conditions.

1. New and replacement shoreline stabilization, including bulkheads, shall meet the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.170;

2.a. Flood protection facilities shall be consistent with the standards in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24, goals, objectives, guiding principles, and policies of the 2024 King County Flood Management Plan, and the Integrated ((Stream)) Streambank Protection Guidelines (Washington state ((4))Departments of Fish and Wildlife, Ecology, and Transportation, 2003). New structural flood hazard protection measures are allowed in the shoreline jurisdiction only when the applicant demonstrates by a scientific and engineering analysis that the structural measures are necessary to protect existing development, that nonstructural measures are not feasible and that the impact on ecological functions and priority species and habitats can be successfully mitigated ((see as)) to assure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. New flood protection facilities designed as shoreline stabilization shall comply with the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.170.

4413	b. Relocation, replacement, or expansion of existing flood control facilities
4414	within the $((N))$ natural shoreline environment are allowed, subject to the requirements of
4415	the King $((e))$ County Flood $((Hazard))$ Management Plan and consistent with the
4416	Washington State Aquatic Guidelines Program's Integrated Streambank Protection
4417	Guidelines and bioengineering techniques used to the maximum extent practical. New
4418	facilities would only be allowed consistent with an approved watershed resources
4419	inventory area (WRIA) salmon recovery plan under chapter 77.85 RCW.
4420	3. Docks, piers, moorage, buoys, floats, or launching facilities shall comply with
4421	the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.180;
4422	4.a. Filling shall comply with the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.190.
4423	b. A shoreline conditional use permit is required to:
4424	(1) Place fill waterward of the ordinary high water mark for any use except
4425	ecological restoration or for the maintenance and repair of flood protection facilities; and
4426	(2) Dispose of dredged material within shorelands or wetlands within a
4427	channel migration zone;
4428	c. $((F))\underline{f}$ ill shall not be placed in critical saltwater <u>or critical freshwater</u> habitats
4429	except when all $((of))$ the following conditions are met:
4430	(1) the public's need for the proposal is clearly demonstrated and the proposal
4431	is consistent with protection of the public trust, as embodied in RCW 90.58.020;
4432	(2) avoidance of impacts to critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitats by
4433	an alternative alignment or location is not feasible or would result in unreasonable and
4434	disproportionate cost to accomplish the same general purpose;

1435	(3) the project including any required mitigation, will result in no net loss of
1436	ecological functions associated with critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitats; and
1437	(4) the project is consistent with the state's interest in resource protection and
1438	species recovery; and
1439	d. In a channel migration zone, any filling shall protect shoreline ecological
1440	functions, including channel migration.
1441	5.a. Breakwaters, jetties, groins, and weirs:
1442	(1) are only allowed where necessary to support water dependent uses, public
1443	access, approved shoreline stabilization, or other public uses, as determined by the
1444	director;
1445	(2) are not allowed in the Maury Island Aquatic Reserve except as part of a
1446	habitat restoration project or as an alternative to construction of a shoreline stabilization
1447	structure;
1448	(3) shall not intrude into or over critical saltwater <u>or critical freshwater</u>
1449	habitats except when all $((\Theta f))$ the following conditions are met:
1450	(a) the public's need for the structure is clearly demonstrated and the
4451	proposal is consistent with protection of the public trust, as embodied in RCW 90.58.020;
1452	(b) avoidance of impacts to critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitats
1453	by an alternative alignment or location is not feasible or would result in unreasonable and
1454	disproportionate cost to accomplish the same general purpose;
1455	(c) the project including any required mitigation, will result in no net loss of
1456	ecological functions associated with critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitats; and

4457	(d) the project is consistent with the state's interest in resource protection
4458	and species recovery.
4459	b. Groins are only allowed as part of a restoration project sponsored or
4460	cosponsored by a public agency that has natural resource management as a primary
4461	function.
4462	c. A conditional shoreline use permit is required, except for structures installed
4463	to protect or restore shoreline ecological functions.
4464	6. Excavation, dredging, and filling shall comply with the standards in K.C.C.
4465	21A.25.190. A shoreline conditional use permit is required to dispose of dredged
4466	material within shorelands, wetlands, or side channels within a channel migration zone.
4467	7.a. If the department determines the primary purpose is restoration of the
4468	natural character and ecological functions of the shoreline, a shoreline habitat, and natural
4469	systems enhancement project may include shoreline modification of vegetation, removal
4470	of nonnative or invasive plants, and shoreline stabilization, including the installation of
4471	large ((woody debris)) wood, dredging, and filling. Mitigation actions identified through
4472	biological assessments required by the National Marine Fisheries Services and applied to
4473	flood hazard mitigation projects may include shoreline modifications of vegetation,
4474	removal of nonnative or invasive plants, and shoreline stabilization, including the
4475	installation of large ((woody debris)) wood, dredging, and filling.
4476	b. Within the urban growth area, the county may grant relief from shoreline
4477	master program development standards and use regulations resulting from shoreline

restoration projects consistent with criteria and procedures in WAC 173-27-215.

4479	c. A restoration and enhancement plan shall be prepared by an ecological
4480	professional. The plan shall include a critical functional analysis that evaluates the
4481	existing conditions and the post-project ecological and increase in functions to be
4482	achieved by the project.
4483	d. An applicant for a shoreline habitat and natural systems enhancement
4484	project shall demonstrate that the proposed project will provide a net ecological benefit
4485	and increase in functions over the existing ecological and functional conditions of the
4486	habitat project area. If this requirement is satisfied, additional mitigation or restoration
4487	beyond the proposed habitat enhancement project itself may not be required. The
4488	applicant may be required to comply with the post-project monitoring and reporting
4489	conditions in K.C.C. 21A.24.130.
4490	8. Within the critical area and critical area buffer, vegetation removal is subject
4491	to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24.
4492	9. Except for forest practices conducted under K.C.C. 21A.25.130, existing
4493	native vegetation located outside of the critical area and critical area buffer shall be
4494	retained to the maximum extent practical. Within the critical area and critical area buffer
4495	vegetation removal is subject to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24.
4496	SECTION 82. Ordinance 16985, Section 46, as amended, and K.C.C.
4497	21A.25.210 are hereby amended to read as follows:
4498	The expansion of a dwelling unit or residential accessory structure located in the
4499	shoreline jurisdiction, if allowed under K.C.C. 21A.24.045, ((is subject to the following:

1500	A.)) shall require a shoreline variance $((I))if$ the proposed expansion will result in
1501	a total cumulative expansion of the dwelling unit and accessory structures of more than
1502	one thousand square feet((, a shoreline variance is required; and
1503	B. If the site has an approved rural stewardship plan under K.C.C. 21A.24.055,
1504	the expansion is not allowed)).
1505	SECTION 83. Ordinance 11168, Section 3 as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.30.045
1506	are hereby amended to read as follows:
1507	A. To achieve the maximum density allowances using a livestock management
1508	component of a farm management plan, the plan must meet the following criteria:
1509	1. The plan is developed as part of a program authorized or approved by King
1510	County. Certified Washington state Department of Ecology nutrient management plans
1511	that are consistent with all of the criteria of this section may substitute for a livestock
1512	management component of a farm management plan for commercial dairy farms.
1513	Commercial dairy farms that do not have approved nutrient management plans must meet
1514	the requirements of K.C.C 21A.30.060;
1515	2. The plan includes site-specific management measures for minimizing
1516	nonpoint pollution from agricultural activities and for managing wetland and aquatic
1517	areas including, but not limited to:
1518	a. livestock watering;
1519	b. grazing and pasture management;
1520	c. confinement area management;
1521	d. manure management; and

4522	e. exclusion of animals from aquatic areas ((and their buffers)), riparian areas,
4523	and wetlands and ((their)) associated buffers with the exception of grazed wet meadows.

- 3. The plan is implemented within a timeframe established in the plan and maintained so that nonpoint pollution attributable to livestock-keeping is minimized; and
- 4. A monitoring plan may be required as part of the livestock management component of a farm management plan to demonstrate that there is no significant impact to water quality and ((salmonid fisheries)) fish habitat. Monitoring results shall be available to the King County agriculture program.
- B. The livestock management component of a farm management plan shall, at a minimum:
- 1. ((Generally s))Seek to achieve a ((twenty five foot buffer of)): forty-foot-wide grazing area buffer including diverse, mature vegetation between grazing areas and the ordinary high water mark of all type S and F aquatic areas and the wetland edge of any category I, II, or III wetland with the exception of grazed wet meadows((, using buffer averaging where necessary to accommodate existing structures)); thirty-five-foot-wide grazing area buffer including diverse, mature vegetation between grazing areas and the ordinary high water mark of all type N aquatic areas; and twenty-foot-wide grazing area buffer including diverse, mature vegetation between grazing areas and the wetland edge of any category IV wetlands other than grazed wet meadows. The livestock management component of a farm management plan((s)) may vary the width of the grazing area buffer ((of an aquatic area or wetland)), and the time and duration of animal exclusion throughout the year, according to guidelines agreed upon by King County and the King Conservation District. The guidelines may support a different grazing area

4343	buffer width based on both the nature of the farm operation and the function and
4546	sensitivity of the aquatic area or wetland. The plan must include best management
4547	practices that avoid having manure accumulate in or within ten feet of type $((N - or))$ O
4548	waters. ((Forested lands being cleared)) Clearing of lands for grazing ((areas)) shall
4549	comply with the <u>riparian area widths and</u> critical area buffers <u>and all applicable</u>
4550	regulations in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24;
4551	2. ((Assure)) Ensure that drainage ditches on the site do not channel animal
4552	waste to aquatic areas and wetlands;
4553	3. Achieve an additional twenty((-foot buffer) feet of diverse, mature vegetation
4554	downslope of any confinement areas within two hundred feet of type $S((and))$, F , and N
4555	waters. This requirement may be waived for existing confinement areas on lots of two
4556	and one-half acres or less in size if:
4557	a. a minimum buffer of ((twenty-five)) forty feet of diverse, mature vegetation
4558	is achieved;
4559	b. manure within the confinement area is removed daily during the winter
4560	season from October 15 to April 15, and stored in accordance with K.C.C.
4561	21A.30.060.D.; and
4562	c. additional best management practices, as recommended by the King
4563	Conservation District, are implemented, and maintained; and
4564	4. Include a schedule for implementation.
4565	C. Any deviation from the manure management standards must be addressed in a
4566	livestock management component of a farm management plan.

1567	D. A copy of the final plans shall be submitted to the department of natural
4568	resources and parks within sixty days of completion.
4569	E. The farm management plan approved by the department of natural resources
4570	and parks may be appealed to the hearing examiner in accordance with K.C.C. 20.22.040
4571	and 20.22.080. Appeals may be filed only by the property owner or four members of the
4572	King County agriculture commission. Any farm management plan not appealed shall
4573	constitute prima facie evidence of compliance with the regulatory provisions of K.C.C.
1574	9.12.035.
4575	F. Properties operating subject to an existing livestock management farm plan
4576	developed based on the standards in effect before the effective date of this ordinance shall
4577	be deemed to have satisfied the standards of this section.
4578	SECTION 84. Ordinance 10870, Section 534, as amended, and K.C.C.
4579	21A.30.060 are hereby amended to read as follows:
4580	Property owners with farms containing either large livestock at densities greater
4581	than one animal unit per two acres, or small livestock at densities greater than five
4582	animals per acre, or both, are not required to follow $a((n))$ livestock management plan if
4583	the owners adhere to the management standards in subsections A. through G. of this
4584	section. This section applies only if farm practices do not result in violation of any
4585	federal, state, or local water quality standards.
4586	A. To minimize livestock access to aquatic areas, property owners shall utilize
4587	the following livestock watering options:

4588	1. The preferred option, which is a domestic water supply, stock watering pond,
4589	roof runoff collection system, or approved pumped supply from the aquatic areas so that
4590	livestock are not required to enter aquatic areas for their water supply.

- 2. Livestock access to type S((and)), F, and N waters((, including their buffers)) and riparian areas shall be limited to crossing and watering points that have been addressed by a crossing or watering point plan designed to Natural Resource Conservation Services or King Conservation District specifications that prevent free access along the length of the aquatic areas.
- a. Fencing shall be used as necessary to prevent livestock access to type S ((and)), F, and N waters.
- b. Bridges may be used, in accordance with K.C.C. chapter 21A.24, in lieu of crossings. Piers and abutments shall not be placed within the ordinary high water mark or top-of-bank, whichever is greater. Bridges shall be designed to allow free flow of flood waters and shall not diminish flood carrying capacity. These bridges may be placed without a county building permit, but the permit waiver shall not constitute any assumption of liability by the county with regard to such bridge or its placement. The waiver of county building permit requirements does not constitute a waiver from other required agency permits.
- B.1. Existing grazing areas not addressed by K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 shall maintain a ((vegetative buffer of fifty feet)) fifty-foot-wide grazing area buffer from the ((wetland)) edge of a category I, II((Θr)), III, or IV wetland, except those wetlands meeting the definition of grazed wet meadows, or the ordinary high water mark of a type $S((\Theta r))$, F, or N water, and a twenty-five-foot-wide grazing area buffer from the edge of a

4611	category IV wetland except those wetlands meeting the definition of grazed wet
4612	meadows.
4613	2. ((Forested 1))Lands being cleared for grazing areas shall comply with the
4614	riparian area widths and critical area buffers and the associated regulations in K.C.C.
4615	chapter 21A.24.
4616	3. The grazing area buffer may be reduced to twenty-five feet where a twenty-
4617	five-foot buffer of diverse, mature vegetation already exists. This buffer reduction may
4618	not be used when ((forested)) lands are being cleared for grazing areas.
4619	4. Fencing shall be used to establish and maintain the grazing area buffer unless
4620	the buffer is otherwise impenetrable to livestock.
4621	5. Fencing installed in accordance with the ((1990 Sensitive Area Ordinance
4622	before February 14, 1994)) standards in effect before January 1, 2005, at setbacks other
4623	than those specified in subsection $B.((1. and 2.))$ of this section shall be deemed to
4624	constitute compliance with those requirements.
4625	6. Grazing areas within two hundred feet of a type $S((\Theta r))$, F , or N water or
4626	category I, $II((\Theta r))$, III , or IV wetland shall not be plowed during the rainy season from
4627	October 1 through April 30.
4628	7. Grazing areas may extend to the property line, provided that type $S((\Theta r))$, F ,
4629	$\underline{\text{or N}}$ waters and category I, $\underline{\text{II}((\text{and}))}$, $\underline{\text{III}}$, $\underline{\text{or IV}}$ wetlands $\underline{\text{that are located}}$ adjacent to the
4630	property line are ((buffered)) protected in accordance with subsection B.1., 2., or 3. of
4631	this section.

1632	C.1. In addition to the buffers in subsection B. $((1. and 2.))$ of this section,
1633	confinement areas located within two hundred feet of any type $S((\Theta r))$, F , or N waters or
1634	category I, $II((\Theta F))_2$, III, or IV wetlands with the exception of grazed wet meadows shall:
1635	a. have a twenty-foot-wide vegetative filter strip downhill from the
1636	confinement area, consisting of heavy grasses or other ground cover with high stem
1637	density and that may also include tree cover;
1638	b. not be located in ((the buffer of)) a riparian area adjacent to any type $S((or))$.
1639	F, or N water or any wetland buffer required by the critical areas ordinance in effect at
1640	the time the confinement area is built, or within fifty feet of the wetland edge of any
1641	category I, $II((\Theta r))$, III , or IV wetland or the ordinary high water mark of any type $S((\Theta r))$,
1642	F, or N water. Fencing shall be used to establish and maintain the buffer except where
1643	existing natural vegetation is sufficient to exclude livestock from the buffer((. Existing
1644	confinement areas that do not meet these requirements shall be modified as necessary to
1645	provide the buffers specified in this section within five years of January 1, 2005, though
1646	the footprint of existing buildings need not be so modified)); and
1647	c. have roof drains of any buildings in the confinement area diverted away
1648	from the confinement area.
1649	2. Confinement areas may extend to the property line((5)) if aquatic areas and
1650	wetlands adjacent to the property line are buffered in accordance with $((K.C.C. this))$
1651	subsection C. of this section.
1652	D.1. Manure storage areas shall be managed as follows:
1653	a. Surface flows and roof runoff shall be diverted away from manure storage
1654	areas;

b. All manure stockpiled within two hundred feet uphill of any the ordinary
high water mark of a type $S(\Theta)$, F , or N water or the edge of a category I , $II(\Theta)$, III ,
or IV wetland shall either be covered in a manner that excludes precipitation and allows
free flow of air to minimize fire danger or be placed in an uncovered concrete bunker or
manure lagoon or held for pickup in a dumpster, vehicle, or other facility designed to
prevent leachate from reaching any aquatic area or wetland. Concrete bunkers shall be
monitored quarterly for the first two years after installation, then annually unless
problems were identified in the first two years, in which case quarterly monitoring shall
continue and appropriate adjustments shall be made;

- c. Manure shall not be stored in any ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area or wetland buffer, with the exception of grazed or tilled wet meadows unless there is no other alternative on the property. Manure shall be stored in a location that avoids having runoff from the manure enter aquatic areas or wetlands. Manure piles shall not be closer than one hundred feet uphill from:
 - (1) any wetland edge excluding grazed or tilled wet meadows;
 - (2) the ordinary high water mark of any aquatic area; or
- (3) any ditch to which the topography would generally direct runoff from the manure; and
- d. The location may be reduced to no closer than fifty feet if the manure pile is part of an active compost system that is located on an impervious surface to prevent contact with the soil and includes a leachate containment system.
- 2. Manure shall be spread on fields only during the growing season, and not on saturated or frozen fields.

4678	E. For purposes of this section, "buffer maintenance" means allowing vegetation
4679	in the grazing area buffer that provides shade for the aquatic area or acts as a filter for
4680	storm water entering the aquatic area, other than noxious weeds, to grow to its mature
4681	height, though grasses in the buffer may be mowed but not grazed. Grading in the buffer
4682	is allowed only for establishment of watering and crossing points, or for other activities
4683	permitted in accordance with K.C.C. chapter 21A.24, with the appropriate permits.
4684	F. Properties ((that have)) with existing fencing ((already)) installed at distances
4685	other than those specified in these standards, and for which livestock management farm
4686	plans have been developed based on the existing fencing locations, shall be deemed to be
4687	in compliance with the fencing requirements of these standards((. Properties with or
4688	without a livestock management component of a farm management plan that complied
4689	with the fencing requirements in effect before January 1, 2005, shall have five years from
4690	January 1, 2005, to meet the fencing requirements for aquatic areas that were exempt
4691	from fencing under ordinances in effect before January 1, 2005)), provided approved
4692	fencing is present for all type S and F aquatic areas.
4693	G. Buffer areas shall not be subject to public access, use, or dedication by reason
4694	of the establishment of such buffers.
4695	SECTION 85. Ordinance 15051, Section 228, and K.C.C. 21A.50.035 are hereby
4696	amended to read as follows:
4697	A. A person who alters a critical area or buffer in violation of law shall undertake
4698	corrective work in compliance with this chapter and K.C.C. ((chapter 23.08)) Title 23.
4699	When feasible, corrective work shall include restoration of the critical area and buffer.

Corrective work shall be subject to all permits or approvals required for the type of work

+/01	undertaken. In addition, the violator shan be subject to an fees associated with
4702	investigation of the violation and the need for corrective work.
4703	B. When a wetland or buffer is altered in violation of this title, restoration of the
4704	wetland and buffer shall comply with the restoration standards in K.C.C. 21A.24.340.
4705	C. When an aquatic area or ((buffer)) riparian area is altered in violation of this
4706	title, restoration of the ((stream and buffer)) aquatic area or riparian area shall comply
4707	with the restoration standards in K.C.C. 21A.24.380.
4708	D. All corrective work shall be completed within the time specified in the
4709	corrective work plan, but in no case later than one year from the date the corrective work
4710	plan is approved by the department, unless the director authorizes a longer period. The
4711	violator shall notify the department when restoration measures are installed and
4712	monitoring is commenced.
4713	E. Any failure to satisfy corrective work requirements established by law or
4714	condition including, but not limited to, the failure to provide a monitoring report within
4715	thirty days after it is due or comply with other provisions of an approved corrective work
4716	plan shall constitute a default, and the department may demand payment of any financial
4717	guarantees or require other action authorized by K.C.C. Title 27A or other applicable
4718	law.
4719	F. Reasonable access to the corrective work site shall be provided to King
4720	County for the purpose of inspections during any monitoring period.
4721	SECTION 86. The following are hereby repealed:
4722	A. Ordinance 15051, Section 139, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.055;
4723	B. Ordinance 17539, Section 47, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.072;

4724	C. Ordinance 15051, Section 152, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.137;
4725	D. Ordinance 10870, Section 461, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.140;
4726	E. Ordinance 11481, Sections 3 and 5, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.314;
4727	F. Ordinance 15051, Section 189, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.342; and
4728	G. Ordinance 15051, Section 234, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.550.
4729	SECTION 87.
4730	A. Attachment A to this ordinance is adopted as amendments to the 2024 King
4731	County Comprehensive Plan, as adopted in Ordinance XXXXX (Proposed Ordinance
4732	2024-0440).
4733	B. The elements of the 2024 King County Comprehensive Plan in Attachment A
4734	to this ordinance are hereby amended to read as set forth in this ordinance and are
4735	incorporated herein by this reference.
4736	C. The elements of the King County Shoreline Master Program in sections 41,
4737	42, 44, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 60, 63, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81,
4738	82, and 86 of this ordinance are hereby amended to read as set forth in this ordinance and
4739	are incorporated herein by this reference.
4740	SECTION 88. Ordinance 263, Article 2, Section 1, as amended, and K.C.C.
4741	20.12.010 are hereby amended to read as follows:
4742	Under the King County Charter, the state Constitution, and the Growth
4743	Management Act, chapter 36.70A RCW, King County adopted the 1994 King County
4744	Comprehensive Plan via Ordinance 11575 and declared it to be the Comprehensive Plan
4745	for King County until amended, repealed, or superseded. The Comprehensive Plan has
1716	been reviewed and amended multiple times since its adoption in 1994. Amendments to

4747	the 1994 Comprehensive Plan to-date are currently reflected in the 2024 King County
4748	Comprehensive Plan, as adopted in Ordinance XXXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2023-
4749	0440), and as amended by this ordinance. The Comprehensive Plan shall be the principal
4750	planning document for the orderly physical development of the county and shall be used
4751	to guide subarea plans, functional plans, provision of public facilities and services,
4752	review of proposed incorporations and annexations, development regulations, and land
4753	development decisions.
4754	<u>SECTION 89.</u> The executive shall submit sections 41, 42, 44, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59,
4755	60, 63, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, and 86 of this
4756	ordinance to the state Department of Ecology for its approval, as provided in RCW
4757	90.58.090.
4758	<u>SECTION 90.</u> Sections 41, 42, 44, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 60, 63, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71,
4759	72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, and 86 of this ordinance take effect within the
4760	shoreline jurisdiction fourteen days after the state Department of Ecology provides
4761	written notice of final action stating that the proposal is approved, in accordance with
4762	RCW 90.58.090. The executive shall provide the written notice of final action to the
4763	clerk of the council.
4764	SECTION 91. Severability . If any provision of this ordinance or its application



Critical Areas Comprehensive Plan Update

2025 Update to 2024 King County

Comprehensive Plan

 December 2024

12	in Chapte	er 5 Environment, on page 5-51, amend as lollows.
16		
17 18	((E-412	A small Category IV wetland that is less than 2,500 square feet and that is not part of a wetland complex may be altered to move functions to another wetland as part of an
19 20		approved mitigation plan that is consistent with E-413 and E-414.))



Dow Constantine
King County Executive
401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 800
Seattle, WA 98104-1818
206-263-9600 Fax 206-296-0194
TTY Relay: 711
www.kingcounty.gov

December 5, 2024

The Honorable Dave Upthegrove Chair, King County Council Room 1200 COURTHOUSE

Dear Councilmember Upthegrove:

This letter transmits a proposed Ordinance that would, if approved, update King County's critical areas regulations, which were last comprehensively updated in 2004. King County began this update in 2022, consulting with Tribes, state and federal agencies, and community partners. As directed under the Revised Code of Washington 36.70A.060, the proposed updates to key elements of the Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) would establish limits and requirements for development proposed to occur in and around critical areas.

Washington State's Growth Management Act (GMA) sets requirements for local governments when updating their critical areas regulations. Among these are requirements to use best available science (BAS) to protect the functions and values of critical areas and to give special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries. Where regulations depart from BAS, local governments must provide information and rationale to support the departures, assess the potential risks to critical areas functions and values, and use regulatory and non-regulatory actions to mitigate the risk.

In accordance with Washington Administrative Code 365-195-915, King County has documented our proposed departures from BAS. These departures will help King County to continue to meet the many, sometimes competing, planning goals of the GMA and the King County Comprehensive Plan, such as promoting equity, environmental protection, open space preservation, affordable housing, economic development, property rights, and agriculture.

In developing these proposed regulations, King County staff from the Department of Natural Resources and Parks and Department of Local Services conducted a review of state guidance documents, technical assistance tools, and scientific literature. Inclusion of BAS and an

The Honorable Dave Upthegrove December 5, 2024 Page 2

analysis of proposed departures is documented in the enclosed October 2024 Best Available Science Review and Updates to Critical Areas Protections report.

Key elements of the proposed Ordinance include:

- Increasing riparian area widths for all aquatic areas in rural and urban unincorporated King County.
- Enhancing protections for Category 1 (high conservation value) wetlands, estuarine wetlands, and coastal lagoons.
- Increasing the area required for mitigation when unavoidable impacts are proposed to wetlands, aquatic areas, and riparian areas.
- Establishing new alluvial fan standards that allow flood risk reduction actions in certain circumstances where material has been deposited by rivers.
- Allowing "climate-smart plants" to be used in mitigation and restoration projects, supporting climate resilience.
- Updating provisions for regulatory flexibility for agriculture such as farm field access drives, grazing, and farm-related structures, in portions of critical areas under certain conditions.

Please note that if the King County Council amends Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) regulations that depart from BAS, the legislative record should be updated or supplemented to identify the departures from BAS, assess risks to critical areas, and provide rationale for departures as required by RCW 36.70A.172 and Washington Administrative Code 365-195-915.

In December 2024, King County completed its statutorily required comprehensive plan update via Proposed Ordinance 2023-0440. Under the reasonable progress exception in RCW 36.70.130(7)(b), the County has until December 2025 to complete the associated updates to critical areas regulations.

State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) review of these proposals will be completed by the Executive branch in advance of final action by the King County Council, in coordination with Council central staff.

In 2008, the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a Biological Opinion (BiOp) that required changes to the implementation of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) to meet the requirements of the Endangered Species Act in the Puget Sound watershed. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) requires that King County demonstrate compliance with the BiOp in order to maintain eligibility of King County residents for the NFIP. Executive staff will stay in communication with FEMA Region 10 and Council staff about the process and schedule for the FEMA review of the CAO, including review of Council amendments, if any.

The Honorable Dave Upthegrove December 5, 2024 Page 3

Thank you for your careful consideration of these proposed critical areas policies and regulations. They will help to ensure that our region continues to manage growth effectively while protecting the environment and ensuring continued compliance with the GMA.

If your staff have questions, please contact Leon Richardson, Director, Department of Local Services, at 206-263-3332.

Sincerely,

for

Dow Constantine King County Executive

Brew Foodso

Enclosures

cc: King County Councilmembers

ATTN: Stephanie Cirkovich, Chief of Staff, King County Council

Melani Hay, Clerk of the Council

Karan Gill, Chief of Staff, Office of the Executive

Penny Lipsou, Council Relations Director, Office of the Executive

Leon Richardson, Director, Department of Local Services

John Taylor, Director, Department of Natural Resources and Parks

Lauren Smith, Director, Regional Planning, Office of Performance, Strategy, and

Budget

2023-2024 FISCAL NOTE

Ordinance: PO2024-XX	(XX				
Title: Proposed Ordinance Updat	ting King County's Critica	l Areas Regulations, 20)24		
Affected Agency and/or Agencies	s: Department of Loc	al Services (DLS)			
Note Prepared By: Robin Proebs	sting				
Date Prepared: 10/9/2	2024				
Note Reviewed By: Warren Ch	eney				
Date Reviewed: 10/31/2	2024				
Description of request:					
Proposed Ordinance updating Ki	ng County's critical areas	s regulations.			
Revenue to:		T -		2225	
Agency	Fund Code	Revenue Source	2023-2024	2025-2026	2027-2028
DLS Permitting	1340	n/a	0	0	0
TOTAL		1	0	0	0
		<u>, </u>			
Expenditures from:					
Agency	Fund Code	Department	2023-2024	2025-2026	2027-2028
DLS Permitting					2027-2028
DEST CHINKING	1340	DLS	0	0	0
DEST CHIRCHING	1340	DLS	0		
DEST CHINE	1340	DLS	0		
DESTERMENTS	1340	DLS	0		
TOTAL	1340	DLS	0		
	1340	DLS		0	0
	1340	DLS		0	0
TOTAL	1340	DLS		0	0
TOTAL	1340	DLS	0	0	0
TOTAL	1340	DLS	0	0	0
TOTAL	1340	DLS	0	0	0
TOTAL	1340	DLS	0	0	0
TOTAL	1340	DLS	0	0	0

Does this legislation require a budget supplemental? No

Notes and Assumptions:

There are no direct costs associated with this legislation, however, additional requirements may increase the time it takes to process permit applications. DLS Permitting will monitor impacts and may need to adjust staffing in a future budget process.

This proposed ordinance may also impact the cost of some King County capital projects.

Critical Areas Ordinance Review Matrix - LSLU 4/16/25

Note to reader: this matrix is organized topically, rather than the order it would appear in the proposed ordinance.

The table of contents is provided to help locate where topics are.

FINDINGS1	WETLANDS AND WETLAND BUFFERS 58
CRITICAL AREA CHAPTER PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY2	AQUATIC AREAS AND RIPARIAN AREAS70
GENERAL DEFINITIONS3	WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS81
GRADING CODE – PERMIT EXEMPTIONS FOR ALTERATIONS8	AGRICULTURAL ALLOWANCES – FARM MANAGEMENT PLANS – GRAZING AREA BUFFERS 84
CRITICAL AREAS ALLOWED ALTERATIONS15	RURAL STEWARDSHIP PLANS90
CRITICAL AREA ALTERATION AND REASONABLE USE EXECEPTIONS31	SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM97
CRITICAL AREA REVIEW AND REPORT REQUIREMENTS34	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA) EXEMPTIONS111
CRITICAL AREA MITIGATION39	VIOLATIONS111
NOTICE ON TITLE AND CRITICAL AREAS TRACTS42	CONSOLIDATED REVIEW 112
CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONES44	PLAN UPDATE, CODIFICATION, ECOLOGY APPROVAL, SEVERABILITY112
GEOLOGICALLY HAZARDOUS AREAS45	PROGRAMMATIC ACTIONS TO COMPLY WITH NO NET LOSS AND BEST AVAILABLE SCIENCE 114
CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS (CARAs)53	2024 KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE CRITICAL AREA POLICIES 116

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
FINDINGS			
SECTION 1. Findings: A. The Washington state Growth Management Act ("the GMA") requires counties to include the best available science ("BAS") in developing policies and development regulations to protect the functions and values of critical areas; give special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries; ensure no net loss of ecological functions and values; and consider critical areas regulations as part of the comprehensive plan review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.130. B. King County completed its statutorily required comprehensive plan update in December 2024 via Ordinance XXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2023-0440). Under the reasonable progress exception in RCW 36.70.130(7)(b), the county has until December 2025 to complete the associated updates to critical areas regulations. C. King County reviewed BAS and updated its critical areas policies and development regulations. The county's current BAS review builds on the county's 2004 BAS review and was informed by the GMA and state guidance documents, updated BAS for critical areas developed by state natural resources agencies, supplemental scientific literature, county experience in implementing critical area regulations since 2004, consideration of the county's unique land use context, and the need to meet sometimes competing GMA goals. D. The October 2024 Best Available Science Review and Updates to Critical Areas Protections report summarizes GMA requirements for review and inclusion of BAS in updates to Comprehensive Plan policies and critical areas regulations, describes tribal consultation and community engagement, details the approach and scope for BAS review, reviews Comprehensive Plan considerations, and identifies regulatory updates and non-regulatory actions to strengthen protection and ensure no net loss of critical areas functions and values. As required by GMA, where policies and development regulations depart from BAS, the report provides information and rationale to support	Findings	Provides context for the proposed regulatory changes in the ordinance.	 Policy Analysis: Generally, the Findings capture the requirements of the Growth Management Act, including critical areas protections, best available science, and meeting different policy goals. As Councilmembers are reviewing the transmittal and considering amendments to the Executive's transmittal, additional or different Findings may be needed. This proposed ordinance was transmitted prior to the adoption of the 2024 KCCP and does not reflect changes from that ordinance. This matrix focuses on substantive policy changes and does not call out any specific typographic/grammatical fixes, code citations, and engrossing, although Council staff have noted these.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
and 21A.24, and K.C.C. 17.04.010. For the purposes of RCW 70A.355.030, King County declares critical aquifer recharges areas to be environmentally sensitive areas. H. The adoption of this ordinance completes all the requirements of the update required under RCW 36.70A.130.			
CRITICAL AREA CHAPTER PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY			
K.C.C. 21A.24.010 - States purpose of chapter 21A.24 K.C.C. SECTION 39. Ordinance 10870, Section 448, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.010 are hereby amended to read as follows: The purpose of this chapter is to implement the goals and policies of the Growth Management Act, chapter 3670A RCW, Washington state Environmental Policy Act, chapter 43.21C RCW, and the King County Comprehensive Plan, which call for protection of the natural environment and the public health and safety by: A. Establishing development and alteration standards to protect functions and values of critical areas; B. Protecting members of the general public and public resources and facilities from injury, loss of life, property damage, or financial loss due to flooding, erosion, avalanche, landslides, tsunamis, alluvial fan hazards, seismic and volcanic events, soil subsidence, or steep slope failures; C. Protecting unique, fragile, and valuable elements of the environment including, but not limited to, fish and wildlife and their habitats, ((and)) while maintaining and promoting countywide native biodiversity; D. Requiring prioritization of avoidance and minimization measures, followed by mitigation of ((unavoidable)) adverse impacts to critical areas((, by regulating alterations in or near critical areas)) and associated buffers; E. Preventing cumulative adverse environmental impacts on water availability, water quality, ground water, wetlands, and aquatic areas; F. Measuring the quantity and quality of wetland and aquatic area resources and preventing overall net loss of wetland and aquatic area functions; G. Protecting the public trust as to navigable waters, aquatic resources, and fish and wildlife and their habitat; H. Meeting the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program and maintaining King County as an eligible community for federal flood insurance benefits; I. Alerting members of the public including, but not limited to, appraisers, owners, potential buyers, or lessees to the development limitations of critical areas; and	(1) Adds subtypes of critical areas (e.g., tsunamis, alluvial fan hazards). (2) Adds clarifying language, as used throughout the chapter, to state that avoidance and minimization measures are prioritized first before going straight to compensatory mitigation. (3) Technical corrections.	(1) - (2) Updated to ensure internal consistency within the CAO. (3) Formatting and grammatical corrections.	 Section Notes: This section describes the purpose of the critical area code in K.C.C. Chapter 21A.24 Policy Analysis: "prioritization of avoidance and minimization measures, followed by mitigation" refers to a concept known as "mitigation sequencing", as identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.130 and WAC 365-196-210. This terminology could be made consistenthroughout the code. Council may wish to add "riparian areas" to this subsection. The code could be updated to reflect state law, which requires "ensuring no net loss", rather than "preventing overall net loss." Aquatic area functions are not defined in code, whereas wetland function and streafunction are defined. Council may wish to replace the stream function definition, as i is not used anywhere in code, with a new definition for aquatic area functions. Council may wish to add "and values" afte "functions," consistent with requirements to protect both functions and values.
 K.C.C. 21A.24.020 - States applicability of chapter 21A.24 K.C.C. SECTION 40. Ordinance 10870, Section 449, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.020 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. This chapter applies to all land uses and activities in King County, and all persons within the county shall comply with this chapter. B. King County shall not approve any permit or otherwise issue any authorization to alter the condition of any land, water, or vegetation or to construct or alter any structure or improvement without first ensuring compliance with this chapter. C. Approval of a development proposal in accordance with this chapter does not discharge the obligation of the applicant to comply with this chapter. D. If an area or site contains more than one critical area or natural resource land use designation, all designations shall apply. E. When ((any other chapter)) another provision of the King County Code conflicts with this chapter or when the provisions of this chapter are in conflict, the provision that provides ((more)) greater environmental protection to ((environmentally)) critical areas shall apply unless specifically provided otherwise in this chapter or unless the provision conflicts with federal or state laws or regulations. 	Adds language stating that if an area contains more than one critical area or natural resource land use designation, all designations apply.	Provided for consistency with WAC 365-190-040(7) and (7)(a), the state codes that describe what local governments must regulate.	 Section Notes: Under state law, natural resource lands means agricultural, forest, and mineral resource lands which have long-term commercial significance. Policy Analysis: No issues identified. This provision is consistent with state law concerning overlapping designations.

Critical Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25				
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments	
((E.)) <u>F.</u> This chapter applies to all forest practices over which the county has jurisdiction under chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC.				
GENERAL DEFINITIONS				
NOTE: definitions for each critical area can be found under their specific critical area topic area				
SECTION 14. Ordinance 15051, Section 24. and K.C.C. 21A.06.254 are hereby amended to read as follows: Critical area: any area that is subject to natural hazards or a land feature that supports unique, fragile, or valuable natural resources including fish, wildlife, or other organisms or their habitats or such resources that carry, hold, or purify water in their natural state. "Critical area" includes the following areas: A. ((Aquatic-areas) B. Coal-mine hazard areas; C.) Critical aquifer recharge areas; ((D. Firosion hazard areas); F. Landshide hazard areas; F. Landshide hazard areas; H. Steep-slope hazard areas; H. Steep-slope hazard areas; J. Volcanic hazard areas; J. Polodplains; S. Special flood dazard areas, as shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Map; J. Zero-rise flood firinge; J. Zero-rise flood migration zones; F. Edm dowldlife habitat conservation areas, including; J. Aquatic areas; J. Najudife habitat conservation areas; and J. Wildlife habitat conservation areas; and J. Wildlife habitat conservation areas; J. Coal mine hazard areas; J. Coal mine hazard areas; J. Econom hazard areas; J. Landslide hazard areas; J. Steep slope hazard areas; J. Landslide hazard areas; J. Landslide hazard areas; J. Steep slope hazard areas; J. Colamin hazard areas; J. Steep slope hazard areas; J. Volcanic hazard areas; J. Wetlands(; K. Wildlife habitat conservation areas; and L. Wildlife habitat conservation areas	Reorders listed critical areas.	The list of critical areas in the definition is proposed to be reordered to align with the organization in Chapter 365-190 WAC to make it clear that all of the critical areas in the WAC are addressed by this definition.	Policy Analysis: A channel migration zone is an existing type of flood hazard area under K.C.C. 21A.06.475. This update includes them also as a geologically hazardous area. There appears to be no general geologically hazardous area regulations these areas would be subject to, if they are to be classified as geologically hazardous areas. This is a policy choice. Alluvial fan hazard areas are designated as a new critical area under this update, but are also classified as a landslide hazard area, subject to the development standards and allowed alterations of a landslide hazard area. Council may wish to consider clarifying the standards for alluvial fans so that conditions that apply to landslide hazard areas also clearly apply to alluvial fan hazard areas. Tsunami hazard areas are new critical areas under this update. Under state law, they are designated as seismic hazard areas. They are not required to be designated separately as a critical area under state law. This is a policy choice.	
K.C.C. 21A.06.122 - Defines "Buffer"	Updates definition of "buffer".	Streamlines definition and uses fewer words	Policy Analysis: • "Adjacent" could be removed, as it is	
SECTION 10. Ordinance 10870, Section 70, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.122 are hereby amended to read as follows: Buffer: a designated area adjacent and contiguous to a ((steep slope or landslide hazard area intended to protect slope stability,		to express the same	intended to mean the same thing as "contiguous"	

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
attenuation of surface water flows and landslide hazards or a designated area contiguous to and intended to protect and be an integral part of an aquatic area or wetland)) critical area that is intended to protect the functions and values of the critical area and reduce impacts from adjacent land uses.		meaning as the existing definition.	
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE K.C.C. 21A.06.400 Enhancement. Enhancement: for the purposes of critical area regulation, an action that improves the processes, structure and functions of ecosystems and habitats associated with critical areas or their buffers. (Ord. 15051 § 36, 2004: Ord. 10870 § 120, 1993).	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference.
K.C.C. 21A.06.750 - Defines "Mitigation" SECTION 26. Ordinance 10870, Section 190, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.750 are hereby amended to read as follows: Mitigation: an action taken to compensate for unavoidable adverse impacts to the environment resulting from a development activity or alteration after all appropriate and practicable avoidance and minimization measures have been accounted for and implemented.	Adds that mitigation sequencing is required.	Clarified to reflect that mitigation sequencing is also required both by the critical area code and SEPA, as well as to mirror the Department of Ecology definition of compensatory mitigation. The additions also align better with the SEPA definition of mitigation in WAC 197-11-768, which incorporates mitigation sequencing.	Policy Analysis: • This definition is generally consistent with how the State describes mitigation.
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 6. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Active nest: a nest or breeding site that is actively being used, built, or repaired by birds.	Adds a new section to K.C.C. Chapter 21A.06 with a definition of "Active nest."	There are existing critical area code standards regarding active nests, but no definition of this term.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 11. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Climate-smart plants: native plant species currently or prehistorically found within the surrounding ecoregion that are predicted to maintain their abundance under climate change, as identified by the department of natural resources and parks.	Adds a new section to K.C.C. Chapter 21A.06 with a definition of "Climate-smart plants."	Climate change impacts are making native plants vulnerable to disease and die-offs. King County is proposing to expand the list of allowable plant species for use in restoration and mitigation projects to improve the success rate of wetland restoration and mitigation work and to improve wetland resilience in	 Policy Analysis: Council may wish to make changes to this term for clarity. This could include: 1) calling these "climate-adaptive vegetation" to better reflect the fact that the plants are intended to address changing conditions from climate change and to use the word "vegetation," which is a defined term, 2) remove or modify "native plant species", to avoid overlap with "native vegetation", which is a defined term, below, 3) clarifying surrounding ecoregion, which is intended by Executive staff to mean the ecoregion that the site is in, and/or 4) revise the definition to first list that these plants are identified by DNRP followed the qualifier of where they are endemic.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
		the face of climate change. King County will produce a technical report in the second quarter of 2025 that lists King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks' (DNRP) approved "climate-smart plants" for inclusion in permitted projects.	
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE K.C.C. 21A.06.790 Native vegetation. Native vegetation: plant species indigenous to the Puget Sound region that reasonably could be expected to naturally occur on the site. (Ord. 15051 § 79, 2004; Ord. 10870 § 198, 1993).	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: • This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference.
 K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 13. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Commercial production of agricultural products: agriculture conducted by an operator who has done one or more of the following: A. Filed IRS Schedule F for a minimum of three years; B. Obtained and currently holds a United States Department of Agriculture Organic Certification producer certificate; or C. Enrolled, and remains in good standing, in the current use classification "Farm and agricultural land", under chapter 84.34 RCW. 	Adds a new section to K.C.C. Chapter 21A.06 with a definition of "Commercial production of agriculture products."	New definition of Commercial agriculture is proposed to be added to clarify which operations may receive certain allowances intended only for commercial operations (and not, for example, hobby farms). This definition formalizes existing internal guidance developed by DLS Permitting and the DNRP Agriculture and Forestry Team.	Policy Analysis: This definition is only used once in the code as a method to provide additional context in another definition, "agricultural activities". This would narrow the scope of commercial production, which would limit what would be considered an agricultural activity and has implications beyond the critical area regulations. This is a policy choice.
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE 21A.06.036 Agricultural activities. Agricultural activities: those agricultural uses and practices that pertain directly to the commercial production of agricultural products, including, but not limited to: A. Tilling, discing, planting, seeding, fertilization, composting and other soil amendments and harvesting; B. Grazing, animal mortality management and on-site animal waste storage, disposal and processing; C. Soil conservation practices including dust control, rotating and changing agricultural crops and allowing agricultural lands to lie fallow under local, state or federal conservation programs; D. Maintenance of farm and stock ponds, agricultural drainage, irrigation systems canals and flood control facilities;	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
E. Normal maintenance, operation and repair of existing serviceable equipment, structures, facilities or improved areas, including, but not limited to, fencing, farm access roads and parking; and F. Processing, promotion, sale, storage, packaging and distribution.			
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 15. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Critical freshwater habitat: portions of streams, rivers, wetlands, and lakes within shorelines of the state, and floodplains designated as shorelines of the state in the shoreline master program.	Adds a new section to K.C.C. Chapter 21A.06 with a definition of "Critical freshwater habitat."	Adds a definition for this term, which is used in proposed new code provisions.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 17. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Ecological professional: a person having a degree in ecology, wildlife biology, wetland biology, fisheries, botany, soil science, environmental science, natural resource management, or a closely related field, with a minimum of five years of professional experience related to the subject ecological field. Professional certification in a relevant ecological field can be substituted for two years of work experience.	Adds a new section to K.C.C. Chapter 21A.06 with a definition of "Ecological professional."	Consolidates and clarifies terms used in the existing code, including "qualified biologist," "ecologist," and "qualified wetland professional." One term, "ecological professional," replacing these, is now proposed for consistency.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.06.451 - Defines "Farm field access drive" SECTION 19. Ordinance 15051, Section 41, and K.C.C. 21A.06.451 are hereby amended to read as follows: Farm field access drive: a((n)) paved or impervious ((surface constructed to provide a fixed)) route or path used for moving livestock, produce, equipment, or supplies to and from farm fields, and farm structures for agricultural activities on a property that is within an Agricultural Production District, enrolled in the Farmland Preservation Program, or zoned A.	Updates definition to describe more specifically what a farm field drive access is.	The definition is proposed to be updated to align with BAS and intends to reduce risk of unmitigated riparian area impacts by limiting what and where a farm field access drive is.	 Policy Analysis: The restriction on where farm field access drives can be located (properties within an Agricultural Production District [APD], enrolled in the Farmland Preservation Program [FPP], or zoned A) is a regulation, rather than what defines a farm field access drive. As proposed, any path that would otherwise meet this definition but is outside of the APD, not enrolled in the FPP, or not zoned A would not be defined or may fall under another term. Council may wish to move the regulation into the standards section.
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 20. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas: areas that serve a critical role in sustaining needed habitats and species for the functional integrity of the ecosystem, and which, if altered, may reduce the likelihood that the species will persist over the long term. These areas may include, but are not limited to, rare or vulnerable ecological systems, communities, and habitat or habitat elements including seasonal ranges, breeding habitat, riparian areas, aquatic areas, wildlife habitat network, and areas with high population density or species richness. Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas do not include artificial water carrying features or constructs such as irrigation delivery systems, irrigation infrastructure, irrigation canals, or drainage ditches that lie within the boundaries of, and are maintained by, a port district or an irrigation district or company.	Adds a definition for "Fish and wildlife habitat conversation areas."	Added to mirror the terminology used in Chapter 365-190 WAC, which sets the guidelines for classifying critical areas.	Policy Analysis: • Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas are not regulated as their own critical area, and instead are regulated as 1) aquatic areas, 2) riparian areas, 3) wildlife habitat conservation areas, and 4) wildlife habitat networks. This definition does not entirely match the list of areas for consideration under WAC 365-190-130. Executive staff indicate that this was intentional in order to simplify the list, as the missing areas are protected under an existing critical area. The County is obligated to protect the areas

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
			in the WAC, regardless of whether they are listed; however, the Council may wish to identify these areas in this new definition for clarity.
Habitat: the locality, site and particular type of environment occupied by an organism at any stage in its life cycle.			Policy Analysis: • This definition is not consistent with the definition in the Comprehensive Plan: "Habitat is the area where wildlife normally lives and grows. Habitat components include food, water, cover (security, breeding, thermal) and space." Council may wish to consider whether the definitions could be aligned.
K.C.C. 21A.06.1331 - Recodification SECTION 24. K.C.C. 21A.06.1331, as amended by this ordinance, is hereby recodified as a new section in K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 to follow K.C.C. 21A.06.585.	Re-orders section.	Reorganized to reflect alphabetical ordering for clarity and readability.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.06.1331 - Defines "Tree, hazard". SECTION 25. Ordinance 15051, Section 107, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1331 are hereby amended to read as follows: ((Tree, hazard)) Hazard tree: any tree with a structural defect, combination of defects or disease resulting in structural defect that, under the normal range of environmental conditions at the site, will result in the loss of a major structural component of that tree in a manner that will: A. Damage a residential ((structure)) building or accessory structure, place of employment or public assembly or approved parking for a residential structure or accessory structure or place of employment or public assembly; B. Damage an approved road or utility facility; or C. Prevent emergency access ((in the case of medical hardship)).	Updates term to be "Hazard tree."	"Tree, hazard" changed to "Hazard tree" to make it easier to find in the code and to be consistent with the existing naming convention of "Significant tree".	Policy Analysis: Council may wish to consider removing "approved", as parking for the uses listed, a road, or utility facility. The public and users may face the same risk from a hazard tree, regardless of whether the portion of the facility was determined to be approved or not.
NEW SECTION. SECTION 27. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Notice of map amendment: a letter issued by the department of natural resources and parks indicating that the classification of a critical area has been changed from the classification shown on a critical areas map adopted by King County. The notice of map amendment may indicate that an area has been reclassified, declassified, or newly classified as a critical area.	Adds definition for "Notice of map amendment."	Added to complement proposed code standards that provide a pathway to document when a critical area has been reclassified or declassified. An example of this would be: If a site-specific study determines that a site mapped as being within a Category I Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) is actually within a different type of CARA, or not within a CARA at all, a notice of map amendment would	Policy Analysis: • Council may wish to identify which maps are adopted and subject to this definition for clarity.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
		memorialize this and provide an applicant with documentation of the changed CARA designation.	
NEW SECTION. SECTION 28. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Revegetation: the reestablishment of vegetation within an area that reflects historic natural conditions or native vegetation types that are well suited to thrive in the area.	Adds definition for "revegetation."	Clarifies what is meant when this term is used in code, which is that the ground must not be left bare after an alteration to protect from erosion.	Policy Analysis: Council may wish to consider whether to limit the definition of revegetation to native or historically native plants, as proposed. The requirement to plant native or historically native plants could be included as a standard throughout the code where appropriate, but limiting the definition could potentially conflict with the proposed inclusion of climate-smart plants and may also have unintended consequences for other portions of the code (such as the landscaping code or the grading code) that do not restrict the plants used.
 K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 31. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Special flood hazard area or area of special flood hazard: the land subject to inundation by the base flood. Special flood hazard areas (SFHA or area of special flood hazard) are designated on flood insurance rate maps with the letters "A" or "V" including AE, AO, AH, A1-99, and VE. 	Adds definition for "Special flood hazard area."	There are existing regulations for special flood hazard areas, but no definition of this term.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 32. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Species of local importance: a species designated by the county in the Comprehensive Plan to be of local concern due to their population status, sensitivity to habitat alteration, or that are game species.	Adds definition for "Species of local importance."	There are existing regulations for species of local importance, but no definition of this term.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 38. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Wildlife lighting: measures applied to exterior lighting to reduce impacts to wildlife.	Adds definition for "Wildlife lighting."	There are existing regulations for wildlife lighting, but no definition of this term.	Policy Analysis: • This definition could be clarified to state wildlife lighting is "exterior lighting designed and installed to reduce impacts to wildlife."
GRADING CODE - PERMIT EXEMPTIONS FOR ALTERATIONS			
K.C.C. 16.82.051 - Specifies activities that are allowed to be done without obtaining a clearing and grading permit. SECTION 2. Ordinance 15053, Section 3, as amended, and K.C.C. 16.82.051 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. For the purposes of this section, the definitions in K.C.C. 16.82.020 apply to the activities described in this section. If a term is not defined, then the definition in K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 shall apply ((to the activities described in this section, if the terms are not defined in K.C.C. 16.82.020)). Where definitions in K.C.C. 16.82.020 differ from the definitions in K.C.C. chapter 21A.06, the definitions in K.C.C. 16.82.020 shall control. B. The activities in subsection D. of this section are exempted from the requirement of obtaining a clearing or grading permit ((before undertaking forest practices or clearing or grading activities, as long as)), if those activities conducted in critical areas ((are in compliance)) comply with the standards in this chapter and in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24, and are legally established. Activities ((not	(1) Adds clarifying language about which definitions apply to this section and how the exceptions in the table are interpreted when there are multiple conditions	 (1) Provides clarity on whether multiple conditions, or just one condition, need to be met for a given activity. (2) Separates alluvial fan hazard areas from landslide hazard areas 	 Section Notes: This section describes the situations where a clearing and grading permit is or is not required for an activity in an area. Blank cells in the table indicate that a permit is required, and NP indicate that no permit is required when certain conditions are met. Policy Analysis:

requiring)) exempt from a clearing and grading permit are not exempt from other code requirements and may require other permits, including, but not limited to, a floodplain development permit.

- C. Clearing and grading permit requirement exemptions shall be interpreted as follows:
- 1. The use of "NP" in a cell means that no clearing or grading permit is required if the listed conditions and any applicable conditions in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 are met;
 - 2. A number in a cell means the numbered condition in subsection E. of this section applies, and:
 - a. where a series of numbers separated by commas are in a cell, each of the numbered conditions for that activity applies; and
- b. if more than one letter-number combination appears in a cell, the conditions of at least one letter-number combination((s)) shall be met for a given exemption to apply;
- 3. In cases where an activity may be included in more than one activity category, the most-specific description of the activity shall govern whether a permit is required;
- 4. For activities ((involving more than one critical area)) where one or more critical areas are present, compliance with the conditions applicable to each critical area is required; and
- 5. Clearing and grading permits are required when a cell in ((this)) the table in subsection D. of this section is empty and for activities not listed on the table.

D. Clearing and grading permit requirement exemptions.

"Wildlife area | Out of | Coal | Erosion | Flood | Channel | Landslide | Seismic. | ((

Code

					iliciii exeiii			1	T				r
"Wildlife area	Out of	Coal	Erosion		Channel	Landslide		((((Steep	Critical	Wetland		((
and network"	Critical	Mine	Hazard		Migration	& Steep	Volcanic,	Volcanic	Slope	Aquifer	and	Area and	Wildlife
column applies		Hazard	<u>Area</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>Hazard</u>	Slope	<u>and</u>	Hazard	Hazard	Recharge	Buffer	((Buffer))	Area
to both	and	<u>Area</u>			Area	Hazard	<u>Tsunami</u>))	and	Area		<u>Riparian</u>	and
Wildlife	Buffer					<u>Areas</u>	Hazard		Buffer))			<u>Area</u>	Buffer))
Habitat						and	Area		Alluvial				Wildlife
Conservation						Buffer			<u>Fan</u>				<u>Habitat</u>
Area and									Hazard				Area
Wildlife									Area				and
Habitat													Wildlife
Network.													Habitat
_													Network
ACTIVITY													
Grading and													
Clearing													
Grading	NP 1, 2	NP 1,	NP 1, 2				NP 1, 2	((NP 1,		NP 1, 2			
C		2						<u>2</u>))					
Clearing	NP 3	NP 3	NP 3	NP 3			NP 3	((NP 3))		NP 3	NP 4	NP 4	
C	NP 23							//			((NP	((NP	
	NP 24										23))	23))	
Covering of	NP 5	NP 5	NP 5	NP 5	NP 5	NP 5	NP 5	((NP 5))	NP 5	NP 5	NP 5	NP 5	NP 5
garbage								//					
Emergency	NP	NP 6	NP 6	NP 6	NP 6	NP 6	NP 6	((NP 6))	NP 6	NP 6	NP 6	NP 6	NP 6
tree removal								((= = = =))					
Hazard tree	NP	NP	NP	NP			NP	((NP		NP			
removal	25	25	25	25			25	25))		25			
Removal of	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	((NP))	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
noxious weeds	1.1	1.1	1.1	1 12		1,1	1,1	((1.12))	- 12	1,1	1.1	1.1	1,1
Removal of	NP 7	NP 7	NP 7	NP 7	NP 7		NP 7	((NP 7))	NP 8	NP 7	NP 8	NP 8	NP 8
invasive	111	,	,	,	111		111	((111 /))	111 0	111	111	111 0	111 0
vegetation													
Forest	NP 9	NP 9	NP 9	NP 9	NP 9	NP 9	NP 9	((NP 9))	NP 9	NP 9	NP 9	NP 9	NP 9
management	111 /	111 /	111 /	111 /	111 /	111)	111)	((111)))	111 /	111 /	111 /	111 /	111 /
activity													
Emergency	NP 10	NP 10	NP 10	NP 10	NP 10	NP 10	NP 10	((NP	NP 10	NP 10	NP 10	NP 10	NP 10
action	141 10	141 10	111 10	111 10	111 10	111 10	111 10	10))	141 10	111 10	111 10	111 10	141 10
Roads								10))					
roaus						l	l			l	1		

Executive's Proposed Change

activity. (2) Adds alluvial fan hazard areas to the

that apply to a given

- clearing and grading exemption table.
- (3) Combines the landslide hazard area and steep slope hazard area columns.
- (4) Combines seismic and volcanic hazard areas columns and adds tsunami hazard areas to same column.
- (5) Adds "NP 13" to newly merged "seismic, volcanic, and tsunami hazard areas" column.
- (6) Moves "Construction of farm field access drive" and "Maintenance of farm field access drive" activities to Agriculture section of table.
- (7) Adds "management" to term "farm plan".
- (8) Updates condition 16 regarding applicability of farm field access roads. Removes condition 16 from applicability to manure storage facilities and applies condition 17.
- (9) Technical corrections

Executive's Intent/Rationale

- because areaspecific standards based on BAS were developed as part of this update.
- (3) Consolidates areas into one column because identical standards apply to them.
- (4) Consolidates areas into one column because identical standards apply to them.
- (5) Condition 13 is also appropriate for these specific areas.
- (6) Re-organizes activities by topic, improving usability.
- (7) Revised to use term "farm management plan" for consistency and to align with term used in Farm Management Plan public rule.
- (8) Add clarity by providing more precise standards.
- (9) Formatting and grammatical corrections.

Policy Staff Comments

- The Council may wish to consider simplifying this section for ease of reading. This could be accomplished by modifying the table to incorporate the development conditions within the table, simplify the number of columns, or call out critical areas only when specific conditions apply.
- Alluvial fans hazard areas are proposed to also be a landslide hazard area, subject to the development standards and allowed alterations of a landslide hazard area. Council may wish to consider clarifying this section so that conditions that apply to landslide hazard areas also clearly apply to alluvial fan hazard areas.

- 4: Wetland buffers and riparian areas are typically protected from human activity. Whether to allow firewood cutting without a permit is a policy choice.
- Emergency tree removal: these typically do not occur on an immediate or emergency basis unless there is an immediate risk or danger present. Council may wish to add 6, which allows removal to prevent imminent danger to persons or structures, to areas outside of critical areas.

Code														Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
Grading within	NP 11	NP 11	NP 11	((NP	((NP	NP 11			NP 11							
the roadway Clearing within the roadway	NP	NP 12	NP 12	NP 12	NP 12	NP 12	NP 12	11)) ((NP 12))	11)) NP 12	NP	NP 12	NP 12	NP 12			
Maintenance of driveway or private access road	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	((NP 13))	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13							
Maintenance of bridge or culvert	NP 13, 14, 15	NP 13, 14, 15		NP 13, 14, 15		NP 13, 14, 15	NP 13, 14, 15	((NP 13, 14, 15))	NP 13, 14, 15	NP 13, 14, 15	NP 13, 14, 15	NP 13, 14, 15	NP 13, 14, 15			
((Construction of farm field access drive))	16))	((NP 16))	((NP 16))	((NP 16))	((NP 16))		((NP 16))	((NP 16))	((NP 16))	((NP 16))	((NP 16))	((NP-16))	16))			
((Maintenance of farm field access drive))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))	((NP 17))			
Utilities Construction or maintenance of utility corridors or facility within the right-of-	NP 18	NP 19	NP 19	NP 19	NP 19	NP 19	NP 19	((NP 19))	NP 19	NP 18	NP 19	NP 19	NP 19			
way Construction or maintenance of utility corridors or facility outside of the right-of- way	NP 27 NP 28		NP 1, 2, 3				NP 1, 2, 3	((NP 1, 2, 3))		NP 1, 2, 3						
Maintenance of existing surface water conveyance	NP 11	NP 11	NP 11	((NP 11))	NP 11	NP 11	NP 11	NP 11	NP 11							
Maintenance of existing surface water flow control and surface water quality treatment facility	NP 11	NP 11	NP 11	((NP ++))	NP 11	NP 11	NP 11	NP 11	NP 11							
Maintenance or repair of flood protection	NP 20	NP 20	NP 20	((NP 20))	NP 20	NP 20	NP 20	NP 20	NP 20							
facility Maintenance or repair of existing	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	((NP))	NP	NP	NP 11	NP 11	NP			

Code														Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
instream structure																
Recreation areas																
Maintenance of outdoor public park facility, trail, or publicly improved	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	((NP 13))	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13			
recreation area																 Drilling and testing for critical area reports or monitoring and data collection: The
Habitat and science projects																conditions for this alteration could be made consistent with the critical area alteration
Habitat ((restoration)) creation or enhancement	NP	NP 21	NP 21	NP 21	NP 21	NP 21	NP 21	((NP 21))	NP 21	NP	NP 21	NP 21	NP 21			table in K.C.C. 21A.24.045, which specifies the limited activities allowed to occur with scientific data collection.
project Drilling and testing for critical areas((s)) report or	NP 1, 2, 22	NP 1, 2, 22	NP 1, 2, 22	NP 22	NP 22	NP 22	NP 1, 2, 22	((NP 1, 2))	NP 22	NP 1, 2, 22	NP 22	NP 22	NP 22			2: It is unclear why this condition, which allows up to 2,000 square feet of new or replaced impervious surface would be allowed for critical area testing.
monitoring and data collection Agriculture																• 17: requires a farm management plan. Council may wish to add a reference or
Horticulture activity including tilling, discing, planting, seeding, harvesting,	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	((NP))	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP			align this standard with the requirements in K.C.C. 21A.24.045, which includes requirements such as preserving native vegetation and installation of best management practices for new or expanded activities.
preparing soil, rotating crops, and related																Construction of a private drive on a non- agricultural property would require a
activity Grazing livestock	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	((NP))	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP			clearing and grading permit, whereas a permit is not required for a farm field access
Construction and maintenance of livestock manure storage facility		NP ((16)) <u>17</u>	NP ((16)) <u>17</u>	NP ((16)) <u>17</u>	NP ((16)) <u>17</u>		NP ((16)) <u>17</u>	((NP 16))		NP ((16)) <u>17</u>	NP ((16)) 17	NP ((16))				drive. Allowing new livestock manure storage facilities and farm field access drives, without a clearing and grading permit, in a wetland, wetland buffer, aquatic area, or riparian area could potentially
Maintenance or replacement of agricultural drainage		NP 15		NP 15		NP 15	NP 15	((NP 15))		NP 15	NP 15	NP 15	NP 15			introduce pollutants, new impervious surface or pavement, or environmental degradation in these critical areas. Under Ecology's guidance, agricultural uses are
Maintenance of agricultural waterway	NP 26	NP 26	NP 26	NP 26	NP 26	NP 26	NP 26	((NP 26))	NP 26	NP 26	NP 26	NP 26	NP 26			considered to be "high impact." As proposed, these uses would only be
Maintenance of farm pond,	NP 15	NP 15	NP 15	NP 15	NP 15	NP 15	NP 15	((NP 15))	NP 15	NP 15	NP 15	NP 15	NP 15			allowed when an alternate location is not available to provide less adverse impact.

The code does not identify a minimum distance or buffer for these uses (for

wetland) leaving some discretion to

comparison, grazing area buffers limit how close animals can be to an aquatic area or

reviewers on how close these uses may be located. Whether or to require a clearing and grading permit, establish a maximum size exemption threshold, allow these uses in certain critical areas, or limit what portion of a critical area they could be located, are

Policy Staff Comments

policy choices.

Executive's

Intent/Rationale

Code														Executive's Propos Change
fish pond, livestock watering pond														
Construction of farm field access drive	<u>NP 16</u>	<u>NP 16</u>	<u>NP 16</u>	<u>NP 16</u>	<u>NP 16</u>	<u>NP 16</u>	<u>NP 16</u>	<u>NP 16</u>						
Maintenance of farm field access drive	NP 17	NP 17	NP 17	NP 17	<u>NP 17</u>	NP 17	NP 17	<u>NP 17</u>	NP 17	NP 17	NP 17	<u>NP 17</u>	<u>NP 17</u>	
Other														
cemetery grave in established and approved cemetery		NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	((NP))	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	
Maintenance of cemetery grave	NP	NP 13	NP 13	NP	NP 13	NP 13	NP	((NP))	NP 13	NP	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	
Maintenance of lawn, landscaping, and gardening for personal consumption	NP	NP 13	NP 13	NP	NP 13	NP 13	NP	((NP))	NP 13	NP	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	
of golf course	NP 13	NP 13		NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP <u>13</u>	((NP))	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	NP 13	
	cavation		ın five fe	eet in ve		th((,)) or file				al depth tl	nat, cumu	latively or	n a single	

- 2. Grading that produces less than two thousand square feet of new impervious surface on a single site added after January 1, 2005, or that produces less than two thousand square feet of replaced impervious surface or less than two thousand square feet of new plus replaced impervious surface after October 30, 2008. For purposes of this subsection E.2., "new impervious surface" and "replaced impervious surface" are defined in K.C.C. 9.04.020.
- 3. Cumulative clearing of less than seven thousand square feet on a single site since January 1, 2005, including, but not limited to, collection of firewood and removal of vegetation for fire safety. This exception shall not apply to development proposals:
 - a. regulated as a Class IV forest practice under chapter 76.09 RCW;
 - b. in a critical drainage areas established by administrative rules;
- c. subject to clearing limits included in property-specific development standards and special district overlays under K.C.C. chapter 21A.38; or
 - d. subject to urban growth area significant tree retention standards under K.C.C. 16.82.156 and K.C.C. Title 21A.
- 4. Not allowed within a wetland, aquatic area, or a tree containing an active nest. Otherwise, allowed for ((C))cutting firewood for personal use in accordance with a forest management plan ((or rural stewardship plan)) approved under K.C.C. Title 21A. For the purpose of this condition, personal use shall not include the sale or other commercial use of the firewood.
 - 5. Limited to material at any solid waste facility operated by King County.
 - 6. Allowed to prevent imminent danger to persons or structures.
- 7. Cumulative clearing of less than seven thousand square feet annually or conducted in accordance with an approved farm management plan((x, y)) or forest management plan((x, y)) or forest management plan((x, y)).
 - 8. Cumulative clearing on a single site since January 1, 2005, of less than seven thousand square feet and either:
 - a. conducted in accordance with a farm management plan((,)) or a forest management plan((,) or a rural stewardship plan)); or
 - b. limited to removal with hand labor.
 - 9. When conducted as a Class I, II, III, or IV-S forest practice as defined in chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC.
 - 10. If done in compliance with K.C.C. 16.82.065.

 4: Wetland buffers and riparian areas are typically protected from human activity. Whether to allow firewood cutting without a

permit is a policy choice.

Code	Executive's Proposed	Executive's	Policy Staff Comments
	Change	Intent/Rationale	. one, can commente
11. Only when conducted by or at the direction of a government agency in accordance with the regional road maintenance			
guidelines and K.C.C. 9.04.050, creates less than two thousand square feet of new impervious surface on a single site added after January			
1, 2005, and is not within or does not directly discharge to an aquatic area or wetland. For purposes of this subsection E.11., "new			
impervious surface" is defined in K.C.C. 9.04.020.			
12. Limited to clearing conducted by or at the direction of a government agency or by a private utility that does not involve:			
a. slope stabilization or vegetation removal on slopes; or			
b. ditches that are used by ((salmonids)) <u>fish</u> .			
13. In conjunction with normal and routine maintenance activities, if:			
a. there is no alteration of a ditch or aquatic area that is used by ((salmonids fish:)) fish;			
b. ((the structure, condition, or site maintained was constructed or created in accordance with law; and			
e.)) the maintenance does not expand the roadway, lawn, landscaping, ditch, culvert, or other improved area being maintained;			
and			
c. the maintenance does not involve the use of herbicides or other hazardous substances within critical areas or associated			
buffers, except for the removal of noxious weeds or invasive vegetation.			
14. If a culvert is used by ((salmonids)) <u>fish</u> or conveys water used by ((salmonids)) <u>fish</u> and there is no adopted farm			
management plan, the maintenance is limited to removal of sediment and debris from the culvert and ((its)) associated inlet, invert, and			
outlet and the stabilization of the area within three feet of the culvert where the maintenance disturbed or damaged the bank or bed and			
does not involve the excavation of a new sediment trap adjacent to the inlet.			
15. If used by salmonids, only in compliance with an adopted farm <u>management</u> plan in accordance with K.C.C. Title 21A and			
only if the maintenance activity is inspected by:			
a. The King Conservation District;			_
b. King County department of natural resources and parks;			• 16: This condition applies to when farm field
c. King County department of local services, permitting division; or			access drives are not required to obtain a
d. Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife.			grading permit. The criteria for this
16. Only on sites with agricultural activities uses if:			condition are similar, but not identical, to
<u>a.</u> consistent with an adopted farm <u>management</u> plan in accordance with K.C.C. Title 21A((-));			the criteria for farm field access drives in
 b. constructed using best management practices approved by the permitting division; c. access is not greater than fourteen feet wide; 			K.C.C. 21A.24.045. These could be made
d. an alternate location is not available to provide less adverse impact on critical areas and associated buffers;			consistent for clarity.
e. in compliance with the requirements for farmland dispersion within the King County Surface Water Design Manual;			
f. located where it is least subject to risk from channel migration;			• 16: Not requiring a grading permit for
g. a floodplain development permit is obtained for any action within the floodplain; and			constructing a farm field access drives is a
h. all other required state and federal permits have been obtained and actions comply with these permits.			policy choice. There are no limitations on
17. Only if consistent with an adopted farm management plan in compliance with K.C.C. Title 21A.			impervious surface, length, or area of a farm
18. In accordance with a right-of-way construction permit.			field access drive in regards to a permit
19. Only within the roadway in accordance with a right-of-way construction permit.			exemption. Farm field access drives are
20. When:			required to meet the King County Surface
a. conducted by a public agency;			Water Design Manual, which establishes
b. the height of the facility is not increased;			
c. the linear length of the facility is not increased;			requirements on surface water dispersion.
d. the footprint of the facility is not expanded waterward;			Farm management plans are created and
e. done in accordance with the Regional Road Maintenance Guidelines;			administered by KCD. DNRP reviews these
f. done in accordance with the adopted King County Flood Management Plan and the Integrated Streambank Protection			plans when there are certain benefits (such
Guidelines (Washington State Aquatic Habitat Guidelines Program, 2002); and			as PBRS) are sought. Council may wish to
g. monitoring is conducted for three years following maintenance or repair and an annual report is submitted to the department.			consider whether administration and
21. Only if:			monitoring through KCD would be sufficient
a. the activity is not part of a mitigation plan associated with another development proposal or is not corrective action			to ensure compliance with these standards.
associated with a violation((\div)), and			The Council may also wish to consider
((b. the activity is sponsored or cosponsored by a government agency that has natural resource management as its primary			whether the County should approve all farm
function and the activity is)) limited to((÷))			

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
(((1))) revegetation of the critical area and ((its)) associated buffer with native vegetation or climate-smart plants, or the			management plans in order to receive this
removal of noxious weeds or invasive vegetation using only hand labor; or			grading permit exemption.
b. the activity is sponsored or cosponsored by a government agency that has natural resource management as its primary			
function and limited to:			
(1) revegetation of the critical area and associated buffer with native vegetation or climate-smart plants, or the removal of			
noxious weeds or invasive vegetation;			
(2) placement of weirs, log controls, spawning gravel, ((woody debris)) <u>large wood</u> , and other specific ((salmonid)) <u>fish</u>			
habitat improvements; and (3) hand labor except:			
(a) the use of riding mower or light mechanical cultivating equipment and herbicides or biological control methods when			
prescribed by the King County noxious weed control board for the removal of noxious weeds or invasive vegetation; or			
(b) the use of helicopters or cranes if they have no contact with or otherwise disturb the critical area or ((its)) associated			
buffer.			
22. If done with hand equipment((and)), does not involve any clearing, and equipment is not left in the critical area or associated			
buffer when work is concluded.			
23. Limited to tree and vegetation clearing for the purposes of wildfire preparedness, except tree and vegetation clearing subject			
to K.C.C. 16.82.156, ((or)) K.C.C. Title 21A, or otherwise requiring a permit, including, but not limited to, alterations within critical			
areas, as follows:			
a. Within thirty feet of a residential structure containing habitable space, the following is allowed:			
(1) vegetation removal:			
(a) within fifteen feet of the furthest attached exterior point of a residential structure containing habitable space or a deck;			
(b) within ten feet of an installed above ground propane or liquefied petroleum gas tank; and			
(c) underneath a tree crown to provide up to ten feet of clearance from the ground to remove ladder fuels; and			
(2) removal and pruning of trees to provide:			
(a) ten feet of clearance from the ground to remove ladder fuels, as long as pruning does not exceed one-third of tree height;			
(b) fifteen feet of clearance over driveways for emergency vehicle access;			
(c) eighteen feet between tree crowns; and			
(d) ten feet between tree crowns and decks, chimneys, propane tanks, liquefied petroleum gas tanks, overhead communication cables, overhead electrical wires, or other structures; and			
b. All activities in subsection E.23.a. of this section are also allowed up to one hundred feet from a residential structure			
containing habitable space if such clearing is advised in a wildfire risk assessment conducted by a professional holding a wildfire risk			
assessment certification, or the activity is advised in a forest stewardship plan approved by the department of natural resources and parks			
that includes best management practices to reduce wildfire risk, except ((as follows:)) that			
$((\frac{1}{2}))$ removal and pruning of trees to provide clearance between tree crowns is limited to providing:			
$((\frac{1}{(2)}))$ (1) twelve feet between tree crowns($(\frac{1}{2})$) located more than thirty feet and up to sixty feet ($(\frac{1}{2})$) from a			
residential structure containing habitable space; and			
(((b))) (2) six feet between tree crowns $((, when))$ located more than sixty feet and up to one hundred feet $((of))$ from a			
residential structure containing habitable space.			
24. Limited to the removal of downed trees.			
25. Except on properties that are:			
a. subject to clearing limits included in property-specific development standards and special district overlays under K.C.C.			
chapter 21A.38; or			
b. subject to urban growth area significant tree retention standards under K.C.C. 16.82.156.			
26. Only if allowed under K.C.C. 21A.24.045.D.69. and if the maintenance activity is inspected by the:			
a. King Conservation District;			
b. department of natural resources and parks;c. department of local services, permitting division; or			
d. Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife.			
27. Pruning of trees to provide up to ten feet of clearance from overhead communication cables and electrical wire components			
of utility facilities, if:			
		<u> </u>	

		Sittle	it Area Ordinance Neview Platfix 4/10/25
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
a. ((neo)) all debris is ((left)) removed following the pruning activity; b. authorized by a right-of-way construction permit; c. pruning activities around overhead electrical facilities do not extend fifteen feet beyond the right-of-way; and d. any work is approved by the property owner. 28. Tree and vegetation clearing, except for overhead facilities in subsection E.27. of this section, and except for tree and vegetation clearing subject to K.C.C. 16.82.156 or K.C.C. Title 21A or otherwise requiring a permit, as follows: a. Up to thirty feet measured horizontally from the utility facility structure, the following is allowed: (1) vegetation removal: (a) within fifteen feet of the furthest attached exterior point of a structure; and (b) underneath a tree crown to provide up to ten feet of clearance from the ground to remove ladder fuels; (2) removal and pruning of trees to provide: (a) ten feet of clearance from the ground to remove ladder fuels, as long as pruning does not exceed one-third of tree height; (b) fifteen feet of clearance over driveways for emergency vehicle access; (c) eighteen feet between tree crowns; and (d) ten feet between tree crowns and structures; and (3) the screening function of any landscaping planted to provide screening in K.C.C. chapter 21A.16 is maintained; and b. All of the activities in subsection E.28.a. of this section are also allowed up to one hundred feet measured horizontally from the utility facility structure if such clearing activity is advised in a wildfire risk assessment conducted by a professional holding a wildfire risk assessment certification, or the activity is advised in a wildfire risks, except that removal and pruning of trees to provide clearance between tree crowns is limited to providing:			
(1) twelve feet between tree crowns, when more than thirty feet and up to sixty feet measured horizontally from a utility facility structure; and			
(2) six feet between tree crowns, when more than sixty feet and up to one hundred feet measured horizontally from a utility facility structure.			
CRITICAL AREAS ALLOWED ALTERATIONS			
	(4) A I I	(4) E 1 :	Q 11 M 1
K.C.C. 21A.24.045.A., B., and C Lists allowed alterations for the different types of critical areas. SECTION 41. Ordinance 15051, Section 137, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. Within the following ((seven)) critical areas and their buffers ((all)) alterations are allowed if the alteration complies with the development standards, and prioritizes impact avoidance and minimization measures, ((and)) followed by mitigation requirements and other applicable requirements established in this chapter: 1. Critical aquifer recharge areas; 2. Coal mine hazard areas; 3. Erosion hazard areas; 4. Flood hazard areas except in the severe channel migration hazard areas; 5. Landslide hazard areas under forty percent slope; 6. Seismic hazard areas; ((and)) 7. Tsunami hazard areas; and 8. Volcanic hazard areas B. Within the following ((seven)) critical areas and their buffers, unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the alterations ((m)) in the table in subsection C. of this section are allowed if the alteration complies with conditions in subsection D. of this section and the development standards, and prioritizes impact avoidance and minimization measures, ((and)) followed by mitigation requirements and other applicable requirements established in this chapter: 1. ((Severe channel migration hazard area)) Alluvial fan hazard areas; 2. ((Landslide hazard area over forty percent slope)) Aquatic areas; 3. ((Steep slope hazard area)) Landslide hazard areas over forty percent slope;	 (1) Adds new subtypes of critical areas designated in the CAO to the section, noting which alterations are allowed for which types of critical areas. (2) Moved some rows in the table to group similar activities together. (3) Alluvial fan hazard area column added to table, with BAS- informed conditions added for each activity. (4) Updated some 	(1) Ensures clarity regarding the standards that apply to each critical area subtype. (2) Improves reader ability to find the relevant allowed alteration by grouping similar activities together. (3) Adds standards for the Alluvial fan hazard area subtype. (4) Maintains internal consistency.	 Section Notes: This section identifies what alterations are permitted in specific critical areas. Generally, all alterations are allowed in critical areas listed under Subsection A. Under Subsection B., only specific alterations in the table are allowed in specific critical areas. Policy Analysis: Subsection A. and B. could be streamlined for clarity. Tsunami hazard areas are new critical areas under this update. Under state law, they are designated as seismic hazard areas. They are not required to be designated separately as a critical area under state law. This is a policy choice.
4. ((Wetland)) Riparian areas; 5. ((Aquatic area)) Severe channel migration hazard areas;	allowed alterations and conditions.		Alluvial fan hazard areas are proposed to also be a landslide hazard area, subject to

Code								Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
6. ((Wildlife habitat conserved) 7. ((Wildlife habitat network) 8. Wildlife habitat conserved) 9. Wildlife habitat network C. In the following table where the most specific description of the arelevant conditions specified for that compliance with the conditions applied A= ((alternation)) Alteration is allowed. Numbers indicate applicable development condition in subsection D. of this section.	whether the state of the state	y is include s. Where r in the given	d in more the than of critical are	han one activi ne numbered a applies. For	condition appear	rs for a listed acti	vity, each of the			the development standards and allowed alterations of a landslide hazard area. Council may wish to consider clarifying this section so that conditions that apply to landslide hazard areas (for both slopes under and over 40%) also clearly apply to alluvial fan hazard areas. • "Landslide hazard areas over 40% and buffer" and "steep slope hazard areas and buffer" have nearly identical conditions. These columns could be combined with any specific conditions to those areas narrowed. • 1 would allow a farm residence to be
<u>C4</u>					Hazard Areas					_
Structures Construction of new single detached dwelling unit				A 1	((A 2))					constructed in a grazed or tilled wet meadow, which is a type of wetland, subject to certain conditions. This is a policy choice
Construction of a new tree-				A 64	A 64	A 64				to allow these residences in wetlands.
supported structure				77 23.						
((Construction of nonresidential structure))				((A 3))	((A 3))	((A 3, 4))				• 5, 6, 7, 8. As written, would be difficult for an applicant to follow what types of
Maintenance or repair of existing structure	A 5	A 5, 6	A <u>5</u>	A	A	A 4				activities are allowed in each of these critical areas, as the conditions read as
Expansion or replacement of existing structure	A 5, 7	A 5, 6, 7	A 5, 7	A 7, 8	A 6, 7, 8	A 4, 7 <u>, 8</u>				having overlap and nuanced requirements.
Interior remodeling	A		A	A	A	A				Council may wish to clarify the conditions.
Construction of new dock or pier				A 9	A 9, 10, 11					10 and 11 could be combined into one
Maintenance, repair or replacement of dock or pier				A 12	A 10, 11	A 4				condition, as they only apply to the same areas.
Grading										
Grading		A 13, 15, 70	A 13		A 14	A 4, ((14))				• 12 is in the wetland and buffer column, but
Construction of new slope stabilization	A 15 <u>, 16</u>	<u>A 15, 16</u>	A 15 <u>, 16</u>	A 15 <u>, 16</u>	A 15 <u>, 16</u>	A 4, 15 <u>, 16</u>				the condition only applies to lakes.
Maintenance of existing slope stabilization	A <u>15,</u> 16	A 15, 16	A ((13)) 15, 16	A <u>16,</u> 17	A 16, 17	A 4				• 13, 15, 70: 70 limits work in alluvial fans to emergency situations. However, 13 allows
Mineral extraction	A		A							work to regrade and stabilize slopes from
Clearing										legal grading activity and 15 also appears
Clearing	A 18	<u>A 18</u>	A 18	A 18, 20	A 14, 18, 20	A 4, ((14,)) 18, 20				to be more permissive than 70. Executive staff indicate that deleting 13 and 15 would
Cutting firewood		<u>A 21</u>	A 21	A 21	A 21	A 4, 21				meet the Executive's intent.
Vegetation management	A 19	<u>A 19</u>	A 19	A 19	A 19	A 4, 19				_
((Removal of vegetation for fire safety)) Tree and vegetation	A 22	<u>A 22</u>	A 22	A 22	A 22	A 4, 22				If 14 is repealed as proposed, it should be removed in the table.
clearing for the purposes of										
wildfire preparedness										

Code							Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
Removal of noxious weeds or invasive vegetation	A 23	<u>A 23</u>	A 23	A 23	A 23	A 4, 23			Vegetation management is not a defined alteration and could fall under the activities
Forest Practices									listed under clearing ("the removal of
Forest management activity	A	<u>A</u>	A	A	A	A 25			vegetation or organic plant material by
Roads									physical, mechanical, chemical or other
Construction of new public road right-of-way structure on				A 26	A 26				similar means"). Executive staff state "It may technically involve some 'clearing' but
unimproved right-of-way									only what is necessary for the listed goal,
Construction of new road in a plat				A 26	A 26				and not just to create an area devoid of
Maintenance of public road right- of-way structure	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16	A 16, 27			vegetation." Council staff is unclear about the differences between these two
Expansion beyond public road right-of way structure	A	<u>A 26</u>	A	A 26	A 26				activities. The Council may wish to address
Repair, replacement, or modification within the roadway	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16	A 16, 27			the differences between these alterations for clarity.
Construction of driveway or private access road	A 28	A 28, 70	A 28	A 28	A 28	A 28			• 26: a new road through a wetland or its
((Construction of farm field access drive))	((A 29))		((A 29))	((A 29))	((A 29))	((A 29))			buffer, aquatic area, or riparian area for a new subdivision may alter a critical area
Maintenance of driveway, private	A	A 17	A	A 17	A 17	A 17, 27			and result in net loss of environmental
access road, ((farm field access	71	1117	11	71 17	711/	1111,21			function. Executive staff indicate that
drive)) or parking lot									"avoidance, minimization, and mitigation
Construction of a bridge or	A 39	A 39, 70	A 39	A 39	A 39	A 39			still must be applied, but linear access
culvert as part of a driveway or		1133,70	1137		1133	1109			impacts are generally limited in scope, and
private access road									the critical areas impacted are already
Bridges or culverts									close to an existing road (i.e. not pristine)."
Maintenance or repair of bridge or	A 16, 17	A 16, 17	A 16, 17	A 16, 17	A 16, 17	A 16, 17, 27			Given the priority on protecting critical
culvert			ĺ	ŕ					areas throughout the code, and the
Construction of a new bridge	A 16, 39	A 16, 39	A 16, 39	A 16, 39	A16, 39	A 4, 16, 39			limitations other alterations, Council may
Replacement of bridge or culvert	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16, 30	A 16, 27			wish to consider whether allowing new
Expansion of bridge or culvert	A 16, 17	<u>A 16,</u> 17, 31	A 16, 17	A 16, 17, 31	A <u>16,</u> 17, 31	A ((4)) <u>27</u>			roads for subdivisions in wetlands and their buffers, aquatic areas, and riparian areas is
Utilities and other infrastructure									appropriate to protect against no net loss without requiring a reasonable use or
Construction of new utility corridor or utility facility	A 32, 33	<u>A 32,</u> <u>33, 34</u>	A 32, 33, 34, 35	A 32, 34	A 32, 34	A <u>4,</u> 27, 32, 35			alteration exception.26: Within a moderate channel migration
Construction or maintenance of a hydroelectric ((generating)) generation facility	A 67	A 66, 67		A 66	A 66	A 4, 66			hazard area, access to lots in a subdivision cannot cross the moderate channel
Construction of a new residential utility service distribution line	A 32, 33	A 32, 33, 60	A 32, 33	A 32, 60	A 32, 60	A 27, 32, 60			migration hazard area (page 45 of this matrix). However, private roads for a plat are
Maintenance, repair or	A 32, 33	A 32, 33	A 32, 33	A 32, 34,	A 32, 34, 36	A 4, 32, 37			allowed in a severe channel migration
replacement of utility corridor or utility facility	1102,00		1102,00	36	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-1 ., 02, 07			hazard area, which is more susceptible to channel migration. This is a policy choice.
Construction of a new on-site sewage disposal system or well	((A 24))		((A 24))	A 63	A 63				• 34 and 35 were erroneously added to this
Maintenance or repair of existing well	A 37	<u>A 37</u>	A 37	A 37	A 37	A 4, 37			column and could be removed.

Code						
Maintenance, ((er)) repair, or replacement of existing on-site sewage disposal system	A <u>24</u>	A 24, 37	A <u>24</u>	A 24, 37	A <u>24</u> , 37	A 4, 24, 37
Construction of new surface water conveyance system	A 32, 33	A 32, 33, 38	A 32, 33	A 32, 38	A 32, 38	A 4
Construction, maintenance, or repair of in-water heat exchanger				A 68	A 68	
Maintenance, repair, or replacement of existing surface water conveyance system	A <u>32,</u> 33	A 16, 32, 33, 40, 41	A <u>32,</u> 33	A 16, 32, 38	A 16, <u>32, 38,</u> 40, 41	A 4, <u>32,</u> 37
Construction of new surface water flow control or surface water quality treatment facility		<u>A 32</u>		A 32	A 32	A 4, 32
Maintenance or repair of existing surface water flow control or surface water quality treatment	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16	A 4 <u>, 16</u>
facility Construction of new flood		A 16, 42		A 42	A 42	A 27, 42
protection facility Maintenance, repair, or	A 33, 43	A 33, 43	A 33, 43		A 43	A 27, 43
replacement of flood protection facility			ŕ			ĺ
Flood risk reduction gravel removal	A 61	<u>A 61</u>	A 61	A 61	A 61	A 61
Construction of new instream structure or instream work	A 16	<u>A 16</u>	A 16	A 16	A 16, 44, 45	A 4, 16, 44, 45
Maintenance or repair of existing instream structure	A 16	A 16	A	A	A	A 4
Recreation Construction of new trail	A 46	A 46, 47	A 46	A 47	A 47	A 4, 47
Maintenance of outdoor public park facility, trail, or publicly	A 48	<u>A 48</u>	A 48	A 48	A 48	A 4, 48
improved recreation area Habitat, education, and science						
projects Habitat restoration or	A 49	<u>A 49</u>	A 49	A 49	A 49	A 4, 49
enhancement project Scientific sampling for salmonids		A 50		A 50	A 50	A 50
Drilling and testing for critical area((s)) reports, or for monitoring and data collection within critical areas	A 51	A 51	A 51	A 51, 52	A 51, 52	A 4
Environmental education project	A 62	A 62	A 62	A 62	A 62	A 62
Agriculture Horticulture activity including tilling, discing, planting, seeding, harvesting, preparing soil, rotating	A 53	<u>A 53</u>	A 53	A 53, 54	A 53, 54	A 53, 54
crops, and related activity Grazing livestock	A 53	<u>A 53</u>	A 53	A 53, 54	A 53, 54	A 53, 54

Code							Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
Construction or maintenance of a commercial fish farm				A 53, 54	A 53, 54	A 53, 54			and to what extent they may be in a critical area is a policy choice.
Construction or maintenance of				A 53, 54,	A 53, 54,	A 53, 54			area is a policy enoice.
livestock manure storage facility				55	((55,)) 56				• 53: Generally speaking, activities in
Construction of a livestock heavy use area				A 53, 54, 55	A 53, 54, ((55,)) 56	A 53, 54			existence since 1/1/2005 would be
Construction or maintenance of a				A 56	A 56				permitted to continue with no expansion.
farm pad									54: New and expansion of livestock in
Construction of agricultural drainage				A 57	A 57	A 4, 57			these areas would be permitted on
Maintenance or replacement of	A 23, 58	A 23,	A 23, 58	A 23, 53,	A 23, 53, 54,	A 4, 23, 53,			properties predominately used for
agricultural drainage	1120,00	53, 54, 58	1120,00	54, 58	58	54, 58			agricultural activities, on areas without predominantly native vegetation, subject
Maintenance of agricultural waterway		<u>A 69</u>		A 69	A 69				to grazing area buffers (0 to 50 feet), a fari
Construction or maintenance of farm pond, fish pond, or livestock watering pond	((A 53))	A 53, 54	((A 53))	A 53, 54	A 53, 54	A 53, 54			requirements. Agricultural activities includes the growing of crops and livestock, but also includes activities suc
Construction or maintenance of	<u>A 29</u>	<u>A 29</u>	<u>A 29</u>	<u>A 29</u>	<u>A 29</u>	<u>A 29</u>			as processing, warehousing, or storage. It is unclear if this is consistent with the BA
<u>farm field access drive</u> Construction of nonresidential		A 2		Λ 2	A 2	A 2 4			report, which indicates that the full buffe
structure		<u>A 3</u>		<u>A 3</u>	<u>A 3</u>	<u>A 3, 4</u>			widths in K.C.C. 21A.24.358, ranging from
Other									50 to 200 feet, "would apply if new grazing
Shoreline water dependent or shoreline water oriented use					A 65				areas were proposed in critical areas that haven't previously been converted for
Excavation of cemetery graves in established and approved cemetery	A	A	A	A	A	A			agricultural use" (page 97, BAS). Executive staff indicate that the code is intended to support the GMA goals around agriculture.
Maintenance of cemetery graves	A	<u>A</u>	A	A	A	A			
Maintenance of lawn, landscaping, or garden for	A 59	<u>A 59</u>	A 59	A 59	A 59	A 59			55: Allows the construction of a livestock manure storage facility or livestock heavy
personal consumption Maintenance of golf course	A 17	A 17	A 17	A 17	A 17	A 4, 17			use area in grazed or tilled wet meadow
Wantenance of gon course	1117	1111	1117	11.17	71.17	717, 17			wetlands, provided a farm management plan is provided, there is no other alternative elsewhere on the site, and it is located as far away from the wetland as possible. There is no minimum buffer requirement.
									S6: Allows the construction of a livestock manure storage facility or livestock heavy use area in a severe channel migration hazard area outside of the shoreline jurisdiction or in a grazed or tilled riparian area, provided adverse impacts are minimized, there is no other feasible location, a farm management plan is

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
			provided, and it is located where it is least subject to channel migration.
K.C.C. 21A.24.045.D - Lists conditions for each of allowed alterations. D. The following alteration conditions apply: 1. Limited to farm residences in grazed or tilled wet meadows and subject to the limitations of subsection D.3. of this section. 2. ((Only allowed in a buffer of a labele that is twenty agrees or a lot that was created before January 1, 2005, if it is not been a seventy live percent of the lots obtaining the shoreline of the lots ere seventy live percent of the lots of the lots are civiling density of four dwelling units per across or more; b. the development proposal, including mitigation required by this chapter, will have the least adverse impact on the critical area buffer will remain undisturbed except as necessary-to-accommodate the development proposal and required building subsects; d. access-in located to have the least adverse impact on the critical area and critical area buffer; e. the site alteration is the minimum necessary to accommodate the development proposal and required building subsects; d. access-in located to have the least adverse impact on the critical area and critical area buffer; e. the site alteration is the minimum necessary to accommodate the development proposal and in no case in excess of five thousand square feet; 1. The alteration is no closer than: (1) on a site with a shoreline environment designation of high intensity or residential, the greater of twenty-five feet or the average of the setbacks on adjacent lots on either side of the subject property, as measured from the ordinary high water mark; and consulting the subsect of the subject property, as measured from the ordinary high water mark; and g. on a site with a shoreline environment designation of natural, the greater of me lundred feet a wareage of the setbacks on adjacent lots on either side of the subject property, as measured from the ordinary high water mark; and g. on the maximum extent practical, distrations are mitigated on the development proposal side by enhancing or restoring remaining	(1) Adds Alluvial fan hazard area to condition language where appropriate. (2) Updates allowance for expansion or replacement of existing structure to be in a critical area, buffer, or critical area setback, not just grazed wet meadows or critical area setbacks. (3) Provides more specific conditions under which tree and vegetation clearing for the purposes of wildfire preparedness is allowed. (4) Clarifies conditions under which construction or maintenance of farm field access drives is allowed. (5) Expands the construction of a new trail allowance to allow impervious materials for public trails constructed for access by persons with disabilities. Limits the construction of a new trail allowance to allow private trails to be a	(1) Added Alluvial fan hazard area standards specific to these areas instead of regulating them the same way as landslide hazard areas to provide critical area-appropriate standards. (2) "Grazed wet meadows" is proposed to be replaced with "critical area" to reflect that this is an existing allowed alteration for all critical areas, as shown in the allowed alterations table and to align with current practice. (3) Tree and vegetation clearing for the purposes of wildfire preparedness updated to provide more specific conditions than "best management practices," as used in existing code. Updated, more specific conditions provide clarity to code users and align standards with policy intent.	Section Notes: • The numbers in this section correspond to the table above. These numbers are conditions that limit, restrict, or place conditions on alterations in specific critical areas. Policy Analysis: see following pages. The location of the policy analysis in this column align with the location of the condition. • 3: Changing "agriculture", which is limited to growing crops, livestock, or agricultural products, to "agricultural activities" would expand the types of uses. Agricultural activities include other activities like storage, distribution, processing. This is a policy choice.
one thousand square feet or two percent of the severe channel migration hazard area on the site. 4. No clearing, external construction, or other disturbance in a wildlife habitat conservation area is allowed during breeding seasons established under K.C.C. 21A.24.382.	maximum of three feet wide. Requires that trail routes	(4) Farm field access drive conditions are proposed to be	

Critical Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25 Code **Executive's Proposed** Executive's **Policy Staff Comments** Change Intent/Rationale a. the landslide hazard, steep slope hazard, or alluvial fan hazard poses little ($(\Theta + 1)$) to no risk of injury; minimize impacts to updated as part of b. the hazard risks ((of landsliding is)) from landslides, steep slopes, or alluvial fans are low; and riparian areas and Riparian BAS review • 7: The allowance to expand or replace c. there is no((t-an)) expansion of the structure. wildlife habitat to reduce ambiguity existing buildings would be broadened from 6. Within an alluvial fan hazard area or severe channel migration hazard area allowed for: networks. Limits the about where this only grazed wet meadows or the critical a. existing legally established primary structures if: methods by which condition applies as area setback outside a severe channel (1) there is not an increase of the footprint of any existing structure; and trails may be well as limit migration zone to any critical area, (2) there is not a substantial improvement as defined in K.C.C. 21A.06.1270; and maintained in how/when the associated buffer, or critical area setback, b. existing legally established accessory structures if: County allows an aquatic areas, subject to conditions. This would provide (1) additions to the footprint will not make the total footprint of all existing structures more than one((-)) thousand square riparian areas, or unmitigated impact more flexibility to property owners to make feet: and wetlands or their to riparian areas and changes on their property but would (2) there is no((t an)) expansion of the footprint towards any source of alluvial fan hazard or channel migration hazard, unless buffers. other critical areas. support the ongoing use of structures in the applicant demonstrates that the location is less subject to risk and has less impact on the critical area. (6) Expands the types (5) New trail conditions critical areas and buffers. This is a policy 7. Allowed only in ((grazed wet meadows or the)) a critical area, associated buffer, or ((building)) critical area setback outside a of organizations that updated to choice. severe channel migration hazard area if: a. the expansion or replacement does not increase the footprint of a nonresidential structure; may do acknowledge that public trails are construction of new • 7.c.: A structure that receives an alteration b.(1) for a legally established dwelling unit, the expansion or replacement, including any expansion of a legally established accessory structure allowed under this subsection B.7.b., does not increase the footprint of the dwelling unit and all other structures by instream structure commonly located exception, variance, reasonable use more than one thousand square feet, not including any expansion of a drainfield made necessary by the expansion of the dwelling unit. To in riparian areas for or instream work. exception, or buffer averaging would not be the maximum extent practical, the replacement or expansion of a drainfield in the buffer should be located within areas of existing lawn or (7) Allows use of water access, visual allowed to be replaced or expanded. landscaping, unless another location will have a lesser impact on the critical area and ((its)) associated buffer; climate-smart enjoyment, and o Council may wish to consider if there (2) for a structure accessory to a dwelling unit, the expansion or replacement is located on or adjacent to existing impervious plants for connection to other are instances where small expansions surface areas and does not result in a cumulative increase in the footprint of the accessory structure and the dwelling unit by more than one revegetation where recreational or replacements may be appropriate, thousand square feet; native vegetation is amenities. These such as those that do not change a (3) the location of the expansion has the least adverse impact on the critical area; and allowed. conditions were building footprint, those less than a (4) a comparable area of degraded buffer area shall be enhanced through removal of nonnative plants and replacement with (8) Adds conditions for also updated to certain size, and/or those located away native vegetation or climate-smart plants in accordance with an approved ((landscaping)) mitigation plan; acknowledge the 1) construction of from a critical area or buffer. c. the structure was not established as the result of an alteration exception, variance, buffer averaging or reasonable use driveway or private County's exception; access road, 2) responsibility to o Council may wish to consider if there is d. to the maximum extent practical, the expansion or replacement is not located closer to the critical area or within the relic of a construction of a provide equitable a policy difference for replacing channel that can be connected to an aquatic area; and bridge or culvert as access in addition structures in critical areas or buffers e. The expansion of a residential structure in ((the buffer of)) a riparian area adjacent to a ((\mp)) type S aquatic area that extends part of a driveway or to protecting critical that were permitted with or without towards the ordinary high water mark requires a shoreline variance if: private access road, areas. Private trail (1) the expansion is within thirty-five feet of the ordinary high water mark; or buffer averaging. If a buffer width was (2) the expansion is between thirty-five and fifty feet of the ordinary high water mark and the area of the expansion extending and 3) grading when limit applied for increased in the future and an existing towards the ordinary high water mark is greater than three hundred square feet. proposed in an clarity and structure fell within that new buffer, that 8. Allowed upon another portion of an existing impervious surface outside a severe channel migration hazard area if: alluvial fan hazard consistency with structure 1) could be replaced or a. except as otherwise allowed under subsection D.7. of this section, the structure is not located closer to the critical area; shoreline code. area. expanded subject to conditions if it b. except as otherwise allowed under subsection D.7. of this section, the existing impervious surface within the critical area or (9) Changes references Public trails do not previously did not have buffer averaging, buffer is not expanded; and of "aquatic area have a specific limit. or 2) prohibited from replacement or c. the degraded buffer area is enhanced through removal of nonnative plants and replacement with native vegetation or climatebuffers" to "riparian (6) Expanding the types expansion if it was established using smart plants in accordance with an approved ((landscaping)) mitigation plan. areas." of organizations buffer averaging. 9. Limited to piers or seasonal floating docks in a category II, III, or IV wetland or ((its)) associated buffer or along a lake (10) Removes allowed to conduct shoreline or ((its buffer)) the adjacent riparian area where: instream work was reference to rural • 9 could be clarified to say that the condition a. the vegetation where the alteration is proposed does not consist of dominant native wetland herbaceous or woody vegetation stewardship plans. intended to itself only applies to lake shorelines, not

- that piers and docks are allowed only in the lake shoreline.
- 10 could be modified to also prohibit hazardous substances and toxic materials.

- six feet in width or greater and the lack of this vegetation is not the result of any violation of law;
 - b. the wetland or lake shoreline is not a salmonid spawning area;
 - c. hazardous substances or toxic materials are not used; and
 - d. if located in a freshwater lake, the pier or dock conforms to the standards for docks under K.C.C. 21A.25.180.
 - 10. Allowed on type N or O aquatic areas if hazardous substances or toxic materials are not used.

LSLU Meeting Materials April 16, 20**25** Page 290

(11) Removes

(12) Removes

Condition 2.

Condition 14.

facilitate restoration

work that will result

ecological function.

in a gain in

Code	Free articula Proposada Free articula			
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments	
11. Allowed on type S or F aquatic areas outside of the severe channel migration hazard area if in compliance with K.C.C.	(13) Technical	(7) Allowing the use of	_	
21A.25.180.	corrections.	climate-smart	• 14: The proposed ordinance clarifies that	
12. When located on a lake, must be in compliance with K.C.C. 21A.25.180.		plants for site	the severe channel migration hazard area	
13. Limited to regrading and stabilizing of a slope formed as a result of a legal grading activity.		restoration and	would be included as part of the riparian	
14. ((The following are allowed in the severe channel migration hazard area if conducted more than one hundred sixty-five feet		mitigation	area. Removing this grading allowance in	
from the ordinary high water mark in the rural area and natural resource lands and one-hundred fifteen feet from the ordinary high water		encourages the	the severe channel migration hazard area	
mark in the urban area:		long-term success	would be consistent with the grading	
a. grading of up to fifty cubic yards on lot less than five acres; and		of these projects.	limitations in riparian area. This is a policy	
b. clearing of up to one-thousand square feet or up to a cumulative thirty-five percent of the severe channel migration hazard		(8) Adding conditions	choice.	
area.)) Repealed.		for construction	Choice.	
15. Only where erosion or landsliding threatens a <u>primary</u> structure, utility facility, roadway, driveway, <u>or</u> public trails, ((aquatic		within alluvial fan		
area or wetland if,)) and to the maximum extent practical, stabilization work does not disturb the slope and its vegetative cover and any				
associated critical areas.		hazard areas		
16. Allowed ((when)) for projects performed by, at the direction of, or authorized by a government agency in accordance with		establishes a		
regional road construction and maintenance guidelines.		permitting pathway		
17. Allowed ((when)) for projects not performed under the direction of a government agency only if:		for emergency work		
a. the maintenance or expansion does not involve the use of herbicides, hazardous substances, sealants, or other liquid oily		in alluvial fan hazard		
substances in aquatic areas, <u>riparian areas</u> , wetlands, or ((their)) <u>associated</u> buffers; and		areas and sets		
b. when maintenance, expansion, or replacement of bridges or culverts involves water used by salmonids:		standards to protect		
(1) the work ((is in compliance)) complies with ditch standards in public rule; and		ecological functions		
(2) the maintenance of culverts is limited to removal of sediment and debris from the culvert and its inlet, invert and outlet		and values.		
and the stabilization of the disturbed or damaged bank or channel immediately adjacent to the culvert and shall not involve the excavation		Currently, there is		
of a new sediment trap adjacent to the inlet.		no permitting		
18. Allowed for the removal of hazard trees and vegetation as necessary for surveying or testing purposes.		pathway for this		
19. The limited trimming, pruning, or removal of vegetation under a vegetation management plan approved by the department:				
a. in steep slope and landslide hazard areas, for the making and maintenance of view corridors; and		type of work,		
b. in all critical areas for habitat enhancement, invasive species control, or forest management activities.		meaning that		
20. Harvesting of plants and plant materials, such as plugs, stakes, seeds, or fruits, for restoration and enhancement projects is		property owners		
allowed.		cannot legally do		
21. Cutting of firewood is subject to the following:		this work and the		
a. not allowed within a wildlife habitat conservation area, wetland, aquatic area, steep slope hazard area, or on a tree containing		impacts of any work		
an active nest ((cutting firewood is not allowed));		done in violation of		
b. within a wildlife habitat network, cutting shall be in accordance with a management plan approved under K.C.C.		code go		
21A.24.386; and		unmitigated.		
c. when firewood is for personal use, cutting is allowed within ((a)) critical areas and critical area buffers((, cutting shall be for		(9) Changes are	22: Tree and vegetation clearing for the	
personal use and)) when done in accordance with an approved forest management plan ((or rural stewardship plan)).		proposed	purposes of wildfire preparedness would	
22. ((Allowed only in buffers if in accordance with best management practices approved by the King County fire marshal)) Not		throughout the code	be allowed in wetland buffers and riparian	
allowed in wetlands, aquatic areas, wildlife habitat conservation areas, or severe channel migration hazard areas. Otherwise, allowed in		to change term or	areas (outside of severe channel migration	
critical areas and associated buffers within the wildland urban interface if limited to the activities listed in K.C.C. 16.82.051.E.23.		reference to	,	
23. Allowed as follows:		"aquatic area	hazard areas). Council may wish to	
a. if conducted in accordance with an approved forest management plan($(\frac{1}{2})$) or farm management plan($(\frac{1}{2})$ or farm management plan($(\frac{1}{2})$) or		· ·	consider how to meet wildfire protection	
plan)); or		buffers" to "riparian	goals and environmental protection goals.	
b. without an approved forest management plan($(\frac{1}{2})$) or farm management plan($(\frac{1}{2})$ or farm management plan($(\frac{1}{2})$), only if:		areas," where		
(1) removal is undertaken with hand labor, including hand-held mechanical tools, unless the King County noxious weed		appropriate,		
control board otherwise prescribes the use of riding mowers, light mechanical cultivating equipment, or herbicides or biological control		consistent with		
methods;		current terminology.		
(2) the area is stabilized to avoid regrowth or regeneration of noxious weeds;		(10) References to rural		
(3) the cleared area is revegetated with native vegetation or climate-smart plants and stabilized against erosion; and		stewardship plans		
		are proposed to be		
(4) herbicide use is in accordance with federal and state $law((\frac{1}{2}))_{\underline{i}}$				

Officat Area Offinalice Review Platfix 4/10/25			
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
24. Allowed to repair or replace existing on site wastewater disposal systems in accordance with the applicable public health		code section	
standards within Marine Recovery Areas adopted by ((the P))public ((H))health – Seattle & King County and:		allowing rural	
a. there is no alternative location available with less impact on the critical area;		stewardship plans	
b. impacts to the critical area are minimized to the maximum extent practicable;		(K.C.C. 21A.24.055)	
c. the alterations will not subject the critical area to increased risk of landslide or erosion;		is proposed to be	
d. vegetation removal is the minimum necessary to accommodate the septic system; and		repealed in this	
e. significant risk of personal injury is eliminated or minimized in the landslide hazard area.		ordinance.	
25. Only if in compliance with published Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife and Washington state Department		(11) Condition 2 is	
of Natural Resources Management standards for the species. If there are no published Washington state standards, only if in compliance		proposed for	
with management standards determined by the county to be consistent with best available science.		removal because it	
26. Allowed only if:		is an obsolete	
a. there is no((t)) ((an))other feasible location with less adverse impact on the critical area and ((its)) associated buffer;		provision held over	
b. the corridor is not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or spawning or by a species listed as endangered or		from the Sensitive	
threatened by the state or federal government unless the department determines that there is no other feasible crossing site((-)):		Area Ordinance	
c. the corridor width is minimized to the maximum extent practical;		from the early	
d. the construction occurs during approved periods for instream work;		1990s, before there	
e. the corridor will not change or diminish the overall aquatic area flow peaks, duration, or volume or the flood storage		· ·	
capacity; and f. no new public right-of-way is established within a severe channel migration hazard area.		were much more	
27. To the maximum extent practical, during breeding season established under K.C.C. 21A.24.382, land clearing machinery		protective	
such as bulldozers, graders, or other heavy equipment are not operated within a wildlife habitat conservation area.		requirements in	
28. Allowed only if:		both the CAO and	• 29: As noted in the grading table (page 11),
a. an alternative access is not available;		the SMP. At the time,	a grading permit is not required to
b. impact to the critical area is minimized to the maximum extent practical including the use of walls to limit the amount of cut		it was intended to	construct a farm field access drive if it is
and fill necessary;		provide better	constructed in compliance with a farm
c. the risk associated with landslide and erosion is minimized;		protection along	field access drive. Executive staff state
d. access is located where it is least subject to risk from channel migration; and		some	"The King Conservation District (KCD)
e. construction occurs during approved periods for instream work.		shoreline/lakefront	works with farmland owners/operators to
29. Allowed ((\text{O}))only on sites currently involved in the practice of agricultural activities if:		properties before	understand management objectives and
a. in compliance with a farm management plan in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051, and constructed using best		we had aquatic area	then creates a plan that supports those
management practices approved by the permitting division;		buffers along lakes.	objectives while minimizing potential
b. there is no other feasible location with less adverse impact on critical areas and associated buffers;		Current buffer	impacts to critical areas and critical area
c. in compliance with the farmland dispersion requirements of the King County Surface Water Design Manual;		requirements	buffers. If plans will provide
d. access is located where it is least subject to risk from channel migration;		require much more	landowners/operators with certain
e. a floodplain development permit is obtained for any action within the floodplain; and		protections now.	benefits (e.g., regulatory flexibility, PBRS
f. all other required state and federal permits have been obtained and actions comply with such permits.		(12) Condition 14 is	credit for agricultural land), the plans are
30. Allowed only if:		proposed for	reviewed and approved by DNRP.
a. the new construction or replacement is made fish passable in accordance with the most recent Washington state Department		removal because	Implementation is generally KCD's
of Fish and Wildlife manuals or with the National Marine and Fisheries Services guidelines for federally listed salmonid species; and		now that riparian	responsibility, but if the
b. the site is restored with appropriate native vegetation or climate-smart plants in accordance with an approved mitigation		areas extend	landowner/operator received cost-share
<u>plan</u> .		beyond the edge of	support from DNRP, then DNRP ensures
31. Allowed if necessary to bring the bridge or culvert up to current standards and if:		severe CMZs (rather	the practices were implemented as
a. there is no((t an)) other feasible alternative available with less impact on the aquatic area and ((its buffer)) adjacent riparian		than overlapping	designed." Council may wish to consider
area; and		with severe CMZs),	whether administration and monitoring
b. to the maximum extent practical, the bridge or culvert is located to minimize impacts to the aquatic area and ((its buffers))		a change made to	through KCD would be sufficient to ensure
adjacent riparian area.		better align with	compliance with these standards. The
32. Allowed in an existing roadway if conducted consistent with the regional road maintenance guidelines.		BAS, this condition	Council may also wish to consider
33. Allowed outside the roadway if: a. the alterations will not subject the critical area to an increased risk ((af)) from landslide, allowing fan, or erosion bezards:		describes an area	whether the County should approve all
a. the alterations will not subject the critical area to an increased risk ((of)) from landslide, alluvial fan, or erosion hazards;		that no longer exists	farm management plans.
b. vegetation removal is the minimum necessary to locate the utility or construct the corridor; and		That he tonger exists	iaiiii ilialiageillelli pialis.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
c. significant risk of personal injury is eliminated or minimized in the landslide or alluvial fan hazard area. 34. Limited to the pipelines, cables, wires, and support structures of utility facilities within utility corridors if: a. there is no alternative location with less adverse impact on the critical area buffer; b. new utility corridors meet ((alt-of)) the following to the maximum extent practical: (1) are not located over habitat used for sulmonide tearing or spawing or by a species listed as endangered or threatened by the state or federal government unless the department determines that there is no other feasible crossing site; (2) the mean annual flow rate is less than twenty vebic fect per second; and (3) paralleling the channel or following a down-valley route near the channel is avoided; c. to the maximum extent practical utility corridors are located so that: (1) the width is the minimized; (2) the removal of frees greater than twelve inches diameter at breast height is minimized; (3) an additional, contiguous, and undisturbed critical area buffer, equal in area to the disturbed critical area buffer area including any allowed maintenance roads, is provided to protect the critical area buffer, equal in area to the disturbed critical area buffer area including any allowed maintenance roads, is provided to protect the critical area. (1) to the maximum extent practical, access for maintenance is at limited access points into the critical area buffer rather than by a parallel maintenance road. If a parallel maintenance road is minimized access points into the critical area buffer area including any allowed maintenance road. If a parallel maintenance road, is the construction of the maintenance road is minimized and in no event greater than fifteen feet; and (2) the location of the maintenance road is contiguous to the utility corridor on the side of the utility corridor farthest from the critical area. c. the utility corridor or facility will not adversely impact the overall critical area by		under the proposed code. (13) Correcting formatting and grammatical errors.	

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments	
b. to the maximum extent practical, the bridge or culvert is located to minimize impacts to the critical area and ((its))				
associated buffer;				
c. the bridge or culvert is not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or spawning unless there is no other feasible				
crossing site;				
d. construction occurs during approved periods for in-stream work; and				
e. bridge piers or abutments for bridge crossings are not placed within the FEMA floodway, severe channel migration hazard				
area, or waterward of the ordinary high water mark.				
40. Allowed for an open, vegetated stormwater management conveyance system and outfall structure that simulates natural				
conditions if:				
a. fish habitat features necessary for feeding, cover, and reproduction are included when appropriate;				
b. vegetation is maintained and added adjacent to all open channels and ponds, if necessary to prevent erosion, filter out				
sediments, or shade the water; and				
c. bioengineering techniques are used to the maximum extent practical.				
41. Allowed for a closed, tightlined conveyance system and outfall structure if:				
a. necessary to avoid erosion of slopes; and				
b. bioengineering techniques are used to the maximum extent practical.				
42. Allowed in a severe channel migration hazard area, riparian area, or an ((aquatic area buffer)) alluvial fan hazard area to				
prevent bank erosion only:				
a. if consistent with the Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines (Washington State Aquatic Habitat Guidelines Program,				
2002) and if bioengineering techniques are used to the maximum extent practical, unless the applicant demonstrates that other methods				
provide equivalent structural stabilization and environmental function;				
b. based on a critical area((s)) report, the department determines that the new flood protection facility will not cause				
((significant)) adverse impacts to upstream or downstream properties; and				
c. to prevent bank erosion for the protection of:				
(1) public roadways;				
(2) sole access routes in existence before February 16, 1995;				
(3) new primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, or accessory living quarters and residential accessory structures				
located outside the severe channel migration hazard area if:				
(a) the site is adjacent to or abutted by properties on both sides containing buildings or sole access routes protected by legal				
bank stabilization in existence before February 16, 1995. The buildings, sole access routes, or bank stabilization must be located no more				
than six hundred feet apart as measured parallel to the migrating channel; and				
(b) the new primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, accessory living quarters or residential accessory structures are				
located no closer to the aquatic area than existing primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, accessory living quarters, or				
residential accessory structures on abutting or adjacent properties; or				
(4) existing primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, accessory living quarters, or residential accessory structures if:			43: "Applies to lawfully established" could	
(a) the structure was in existence before the adoption date of a King County Channel Migration Zone hazard map that			be changed to "Allowed for legally	
applies to that channel, if such a map exists;			established" for clarity/consistency.	
(b) the structure is in imminent danger, as determined by a ((geologist, engineering geologist or geotechnical engineer))				
geological professional;				
(c) the applicant has demonstrated that the existing structure is at risk, and the structure and supporting infrastructure cannot be releasted on the let further from the source of channel migration, and				
be relocated on the lot further from the source of channel migration; and (d) nonstructural measures are not feasible.				
43. Applies to lawfully established existing structures if:				
a. the height of the facility is not increased, unless the facility is being replaced in a new alignment that is landward of the				
previous alignment and enhances aquatic area habitat and process; h. the linear length of the facility is not increased upless the facility is being replaced in a new elignment that is landward of				
b. the linear length of the facility is not increased, unless the facility is being replaced in a new alignment that is landward of				
the previous alignment and enhances aquatic area habitat and process; c. the footprint of the facility is not expanded waterward;				
d. consistent with the Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines (Washington State Aquatic Habitat Guidelines Program,				
2002) and bioengineering techniques are used to the maximum extent practical;				
2002) and blochgineering techniques are used to the maximum extent practical;				

		Chile	cat Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
e. the site is restored with appropriate native vegetation, or climate-smart plants and erosion protection materials according to			
an approved mitigation plan; and			
f. based on a critical area((s)) report, the department determines that the maintenance, repair, replacement, or construction will			
not cause ((significant)) adverse impacts to upstream or downstream properties.			
44. Allowed in type N and O aquatic areas if done in the least impacting way at the least impacting time of year, in conformance			
with applicable best management practices, and all affected instream and ((buffer)) riparian area features are restored.			
45. Allowed in a type S or F water when such work is:			
a. included as part of a project to evaluate, restore, mitigate, or ((improve)) enhance habitat((, and));			
b. sponsored or cosponsored by <u>an Indian tribe</u> , ((public)) government agency, nonprofit organization that has natural resource			
management as a function, or ((by a federally recognized tribe)) a higher education institution; and			
c. in compliance with the criteria of subsection D.49. of this section.			
46. Allowed ((as long as)) if the trail is not constructed of impervious surfaces that will contribute to surface water run-off,			
unless the construction is necessary for soil stabilization or soil erosion prevention or unless the trail system is specifically designed and			
intended to be accessible to ((handicapped)) persons with disabilities.			
47. ((Not allowed in a wildlife habitat conservation area. Otherwise, a)) Allowed only in ((the buffer)) a riparian area or wetland			
buffer, or for crossing a category II, III, or IV wetland or a type F, N, or O aquatic area((,,)); or a wildlife habitat network, if:			
a. the trail surface is made of pervious materials, except that public multipurpose trails and public trails constructed for access			
by persons with disabilities may be made of impervious materials if they meet all the requirements in K.C.C. chapter 9.12. A trail section			
that crosses a wetland or aquatic area shall be constructed as a raised boardwalk or bridge;			
b. to the maximum extent practical, buffers, riparian areas, and wildlife habitat network areas are expanded equal to the width			
of the trail corridor including disturbed areas;			
c. there is not another feasible location with less adverse impact on the critical area and ((its)) associated buffer;			
d. the trail is not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or spawning or by a species listed as endangered or threatened			
by the state or federal government unless the department determines that there is no other feasible crossing site;			
e. the trail width is minimized to the maximum extent practical and private foot trails are limited to three feet in width;			
f. the construction occurs during approved periods for instream work; ((and))			
g. the trail corridor will not change or diminish the overall aquatic area flow peaks, duration or volume or the flood storage			
capacity $(\overline{\cdot})$:			
h. the trail shall minimize impacts within a wetland buffer, riparian area, or wildlife habitat network by avoiding a route that			
parallels the wetland or aquatic area to the maximum extent practical;			
i. trail maintenance or expansion does not involve the use of herbicides, hazardous substances, sealants, or other liquid oily			
substances within aquatic areas, riparian areas, wetlands or associated buffers;			
j. the trail may be ((located across a critical area buffer)) allowed to cross a riparian area, wetland buffer, or wildlife habitat			
network for access to a viewing platform or to a permitted dock or pier; and ((i. A)) k. a private viewing platform may be allowed if it is:			
(1) located upland from the wetland edge or the ordinary high water mark of an aquatic area;			
(1) located upland from the wetland edge of the ordinary fight water mark of an aquatic area, (2) located where it will not be detrimental to the functions of the wetland or aquatic area and will have the least adverse			
environmental impact on the critical area or ((its)) associated buffer;			
(3) limited to fifty square feet in size;			
(4) constructed of materials that are nontoxic; and			
(5) on footings located outside of the wetland or aquatic area.			
48. Only if the maintenance:			
a. does not involve the use of herbicides or other hazardous substances except for the removal of noxious weeds or invasive			
vegetation;			
b. when salmonids are present, the maintenance is in compliance with ditch standards in public rule; and			
c. does not involve any expansion of the roadway, lawn, landscaping, ditch, culvert, engineered slope, or other improved area			
being maintained.			_
49. Limited to alterations to <u>create</u> , restore, <u>or enhance</u> aquatic or <u>wetland</u> habitat forming processes or ((directly restore))			 Proposed changes to 49 limit habitat
habitat functions and values, including access for construction, as follows:			enhancement projects from those that
			affect any habitat to only those that affect
		1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
a. ((projects sponsored or cosponsored by a public agency that has natural resource management as a primary function or by a federally recognized tribe; b.)) creation, restoration, and enhancement plans shall be prepared by an ((qualified biologist)) ecological professional; ((or e. conducted in accordance with an approved forest management plan, farm management plan or rural stewardship plan)) and b. the applicant provides an ecological critical area report that includes the following: (1) an evaluation of the anticipated net change in ecological functions from pre-project to post project; (2) a mitigation plan for impacts to critical areas and associated buffers, unless the applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the department that the proposed project will provide a net ecological benefit and increase in function over the existing conditions of the project area; and			aquatic or wetland habitats. Council may wish to consider moving and revising this language into a subsection as criteria to state "alterations proposed within aquatic areas, riparian areas, wetlands, and associated buffers will not result in adverse impacts to aquatic or wetland functions and values."
(3) a monitoring and reporting plan to demonstrate the gain of ecological function. 50. Allowed in accordance with a scientific sampling permit issued by Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife or an incidental take permit issued under Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act. 51. Allowed for the minimal clearing and grading, including site access, necessary to prepare critical area reports. 52. The following are allowed if associated spoils are contained: a. data collection and research if carried out to the maximum extent practical by nonmechanical or hand-held equipment; b. survey monument placement; c. site exploration and gage installation if performed in accordance with state-approved sampling protocols and accomplished to the maximum extent practical by hand-held equipment ((and)); or d. similar work associated with an incidental take permit issued under Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act or consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act.			Under the <u>shoreline modification table</u> (page 107) in K.C.C. 21A.25.160, "habitat and natural systems enhancement projects" are subject to certain standards that differ from these standards. Because there is overlap between critical areas and the shoreline jurisdiction, Council may wish to make these standards consistent where applicable.
53. Limited to activities in continuous existence since January 1, 2005, with no expansion within the critical area or critical area buffer. "Continuous existence" includes cyclical operations and managed periods of soil restoration, enhancement or other fallow states not exceeding seven years and associated with these horticultural and agricultural activities. 54. Allowed for expansion of existing or new agricultural activities where: a. the site is predominantly involved in the practice of ((agriculture)) agricultural activities; b. there is no expansion into an area that:			• 53: As written, this condition appears to limit agricultural activities to only those established <i>since</i> January 1, 2005, not those in continuous existence <i>prior</i> . This could be clarified.
(1) has been cleared under a class I, II, III, IV-S, or nonconversion IV-G forest practice permit; or (2) is ((more than ten thousand square feet with tree cover at a uniform density more than ninety trees per acre and with the predominant mainstream diameter of the trees at least four inches diameter at breast height)) a wetland, wetland buffer, or riparian area that contains predominately native forest overstory, shrub, or herbaceous layer, not including areas that are actively managed as agricultural crops for pulpwood, Christmas trees, or ornamental nursery stock; c. the activities are in compliance with an approved farm management plan in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051; and d. all best management practices associated with the activities specified in the farm management plan are installed and maintained. 55. Only allowed in grazed or tilled wet meadows or ((their)) associated buffers if:			Changing "agriculture", which is limited to growing crops, livestock, or agricultural products, to "agricultural activities" would expand the types of uses. Agricultural activities include other activities like storage, distribution, processing. This is a policy choice.
a. the facilities are designed to the standards of an approved farm management plan or livestock management plan and in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051 ((or an approved livestock management plan in accordance with K.C.C. chapter 21A.30)); b. there is not a feasible alternative location available on the site; and c. the facilities are located close to the outside edge of the buffer to the maximum extent practical.			54: Council may wish to include "aquatic area" to this list to clarify that livestock is not permitted in aquatic areas.
56. Only allowed in: a.(1) a severe channel migration hazard area located outside of the shoreline((s)) jurisdiction area; (2) grazed or tilled wet meadow or wet meadow buffer; or (3) ((aquatic area buffer)) grazed or tilled riparian area; and only if: b.(1) the applicant demonstrates that adverse impacts to the critical area and critical area buffers have been minimized; (2) there is ((not another)) no other feasible location available on the site that is located outside of the critical area or critical area buffer; (3) the farm pad, livestock manure storage facility, or livestock heavy use area is designed to the standards in an approved farm management plan in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051; and (4) for proposals located in the severe channel migration hazard area, the farm pad, or livestock manure storage facility, or livestock heavy use area is located where it is least subject to risk from channel migration.			54: As proposed, new or expanded agricultural activities would not be limited to 10,000 sf in treed areas, and instead would not be allowed in wetland, wetland buffers, or riparian areas that contain native forestry overstory, shrub, or herbaceous layer. Executive staff indicate that this change would result in greater protections, as the existing code would allow clearing of native shrubs or

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
57. Allowed for new agricultural drainage in compliance with an approved farm management plan in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051 and all best management practices associated with the activities specified in the farm management plan are installed and maintained. 58. If the agricultural drainage is used by salmonids, maintenance shall ((be in compliance)) comply with an approved farm management plan in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051. 59. Allowed within existing landscaped areas or other previously disturbed areas. 60. Allowed for residential utility service distribution lines to residential dwellings, including, but not limited to, well water conveyance, septic system conveyance, water service, sewer service, natural gas, electrical, cable, and telephone, if: a. there is no alternative location with less adverse impact on the critical area or the critical area buffer; b. the residential utility service distribution lines meet ((all-of)) the following, to the maximum extent practical: (1) are not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or spawning or by a species listed as endangered or threatened by the state or federal government unless the department determines that there is no other feasible crossing site; (2) not located over a type S aquatic area; (3) paralleling the channel or following a down-valley route near the channel is avoided; (4) the width of clearing is minimized; (6) an additional, contiguous, and undisturbed critical area buffer, equal in area to the disturbed critical area buffer area is provided to protect the critical area; (7) access for maintenance is at limited access points into the critical area buffer. (8) the construction occurs during approved periods for instream work; (9) bored, drilled, or other trenchless crossings are ((ifi)) encouraged, and shall be laterally constructed at least four feet below the maximum depth of scour for the base flood; and (10) open trenching across Type O or Type N aquatic areas is only used during low flow periods or only within			herbaceous species without a farm management plan or grading permit. This change would connect protection to specific critical areas, consistent with the County's BAS, and limit new expansion into these critical areas. However, Counci may note that these changes still would allow some livestock activities in critical areas that do not meet the native vegetation criteria, which represents a high-risk approach. This is a policy choice
61. Allowed if sponsored or cosponsored by the countywide flood control zone district, or the department of natural resources and parks and the department of local services, permitting division, determines that the project and its location: a. is the best flood risk reduction alternative practicable; b. is part of a comprehensive, long-term flood management strategy; c. is consistent with the King County Flood Management Plan policies; d. will have the least adverse impact on the ecological functions of the critical area or ((its)) associated buffer, including habitat for fish and wildlife that are identified for protection in the King County Comprehensive Plan; and e. has been subject to public notice in accordance with K.C.C. 20.44.060. 62.a. Not allowed in wildlife habitat conservation areas; b. Only allowed if:			
 (1) the project is sponsored or cosponsored by a public agency whose primary function deals with natural resources management; (2) the project is located on public land or on land that is owned by a nonprofit agency whose primary function deals with natural resources management; (3) there is not a feasible alternative location available on the site with less impact to the critical area or ((its)) associated 			
buffer; (4) the aquatic area or wetland is not for salmonid rearing or spawning; (5) the project minimizes the footprint of structures and the number of access points to any critical areas; and (6) the project meets the following design criteria: (a) to the maximum extent practical size of platform shall not exceed one hundred square feet; (b) all construction materials for any structures, including the platform, pilings, exterior and interior walls, and roof, are constructed of nontoxic material, such as nontreated wood, vinyl-coated wood, nongalvanized steel, plastic, plastic wood, fiberglass, or cured concrete that the department determines will not have an adverse impact on water quality; (c) the exterior of any structures are sufficiently camouflaged using netting or equivalent to avoid any visual deterrent for wildlife species to the maximum extent practical. The camouflage shall be maintained to retain concealment effectiveness;			

Code	Executive's Proposed	Executive's	Policy Staff Comments
	Change	Intent/Rationale	
(d) structures shall be located outside of the wetland or aquatic area landward of the $((\Theta))$ ordinary $((H))$ high $((W))$ water			
$((\underline{\mathbf{M}}))\underline{\mathbf{m}}$ ark or open water component. $((\underline{\mathbf{M}}))\underline{\mathbf{m}}$ to the maximum extent practical on the site;			
(e) construction occurs during approved periods for work inside the $((\Theta))$ ordinary $((H))$ high $((W))$ water $((M))$ mark;			
(f) construction associated with bird blinds shall not occur from March 1 through August 31, in order to avoid disturbance to			
birds during the breeding, nesting, and rearing seasons;			
(g) to the maximum extent practical, provide accessibility for persons with physical disabilities in accordance with the			
International Building Code;			
(h) trail access is designed in accordance with public rules adopted by the department;			
(i) existing native vegetation within the critical area will remain undisturbed except as necessary to accommodate the			
proposal. Only minimal hand clearing of vegetation is allowed; and			
(j) disturbed bare ground areas around the structure must be ((replanted)) revegetated with native vegetation or climate-			
smart plants approved by the department.			
63. Not allowed in the severe channel migration ((zone,)) hazard area. Otherwise allowed if there is no alternative location with			
less adverse impact on the critical area and buffer, and clearing is minimized to the maximum extent practical.			
64. Only structures wholly or partially supported by a tree and used as accessory living quarters or for play and similar uses			
described in K.C.C. 16.02.240.1, subject to the following:			
a. not allowed in wildlife habitat conservation areas, wetlands, aquatic areas, or severe channel migration hazard areas;			
b. the structure's floor area shall not exceed two hundred square feet, excluding a narrow access stairway or landing leading to			
the structure;			
c. the structure shall be located as far from ((the critical area)) wetlands or aquatic areas as practical, but in no case closer than			
seventy-five feet from ((the critical area)) a wetland or an aquatic area;			
d. only one tree-supported structure within a critical area buffer or riparian area is allowed on a lot;			
e. all construction materials for the structure, including the platform, pilings, exterior and interior walls, and roof, shall be			
constructed of nontoxic material, such as nontreated wood, vinyl-coated wood, nongalvanized steel, plastic, plastic wood, fiberglass, or			
cured concrete that the department determines will not have an adverse impact on water quality;			
f. to the maximum extent practical, the exterior of the structure shall be camouflaged with natural wood and earth tone colors			
to limit visual impacts to wildlife and visibility from the critical area. The camouflage shall be maintained to retain concealment			
effectiveness;			
g. the structure must not adversely impact the long-term health and viability of the tree. The evaluation shall include, but not			
be limited to, the following:			
(1) the quantity of supporting anchors and connection points to attach the tree house to the tree shall be the minimum			
necessary to adequately support the structure;			
(2) the attachments shall be constructed using the best available tree anchor bolt technology; and			
(3) an ((ISA)) <u>International Society of Arboriculture</u> Certified Arborist shall evaluate the tree proposed for placement of the			
tree house and shall submit a report discussing how the tree's long-term health and viability will not be negatively impacted by the tree			
house or associated infrastructure;			
h. exterior lighting shall meet the following criteria:			
(1) limited to the minimum quantity of lights necessary to meet the building code requirements to allow for safe exiting of the			
structure and stairway; and			
(2) exterior lights shall be fully shielded and shall direct light downward, in an attempt to minimize impacts to the nighttime			
environment;			
i. unless otherwise approved by the department, all external construction shall be limited to September 1 through March 1 in			
order to avoid disturbance to wildlife species during typical breeding, nesting, and rearing seasons;			
j. trail access to the structure shall be designed in accordance with trail standards under subsection D.47. of this section;			
k. to the maximum extent practical, existing native vegetation shall be left undisturbed. Only minimal hand clearing of			
vegetation is allowed; and			
1. vegetated areas within the critical area buffer or riparian area that are temporarily impacted by construction of the structure			
shall be restored by planting native vegetation or climate-smart plants according to a ((vegetation management)) mitigation plan approved			
by the department.			

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
65. Shoreline water dependent and shoreline water oriented uses are allowed in ((the aquatic area and aquatic area buffer of a))			
((T))type S aquatic area and adjacent riparian area if consistent with K.C.C. chapter 21A.25, chapter 90.58 RCW, and the King County			
Comprehensive Plan.			
66. Only hydroelectric ((generating)) generation facilities meeting the requirements of K.C.C. 21A.08.100B.14., and only as			
follows:			
a. there is ((not another)) no other feasible location within the aquatic area with less adverse impact on the critical area and			
((its)) associated buffer;			
b. the facility and corridor ((is)) are not located over habitat used for salmonid rearing or spawning or by a species listed as			
endangered or threatened by the state or federal government unless the department determines that there is no other feasible location;			
c. the facility is not located in Category I wetlands or Category II wetlands with a habitat score of $((8))$ eight points or greater;			
d. the corridor width is minimized to the maximum extent practical;			
e. paralleling the channel or following a down-valley route within ((an aquatic area buffer)) riparian area is avoided to the			
maximum extent practical;			
f. the construction occurs during approved periods for instream work;			
g. the facility and corridor will not change or adversely impact the overall aquatic area flow peaks, duration or volume or the			
flood storage capacity;			
h. the facility and corridor ((is)) are not located within a severe channel migration hazard area;			
i. to the maximum extent practical, buildings will be located outside the wetland buffer or riparian area and away from the			
wetland or aquatic area ((or wetland));			
j. to the maximum extent practical, access for maintenance is at limited access points into the critical area or associated buffer			
rather than by a parallel maintenance road. If a parallel maintenance road is necessary, the following standards are met:			
(1) to the maximum extent practical the width of the maintenance road is minimized and in no event greater than fifteen feet;			
and (2) the least one of the continuous and its continuous to the continuous the city of the continuous that of the continuous the city of the continuous that continuous the city of the city of the continuous that continuous the city of			
(2) the location of the maintenance road is contiguous to the utility corridor on the side of the utility corridor farthest from the			
critical area;			
k. the facility does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public health, safety, or welfare on or off the development proposal			
site and is consistent with the general purposes of this chapter and the public interest; and 1. the facility connects to or is an alteration to a public roadway, public trail, a utility corridor or utility facility, or other			
infrastructure owned or operated by a public utility.			
67. Only hydroelectric ((generating)) generation facilities meeting the requirements of K.C.C. 21A.08.100.B.14, and only as			
follows:			
a. there is no((t an))other feasible location with less adverse impact on the critical area and ((its)) <u>associated</u> buffer;			
b. the alterations will not subject the critical area to an increased risk of landslide or erosion;			
c. the corridor width is minimized to the maximum extent practical;			
d. vegetation removal is the minimum necessary to locate the utility or construct the corridor;			
e. the facility and corridor do not pose an unreasonable threat to the public health, safety, or welfare on or off the development			
proposal site and ((is)) are consistent with the general purposes of this chapter, and the public interest and significant risk of personal			
injury ((is)) are eliminated or minimized in the landslide hazard area; and			
f. the facility connects to or is an alteration to a public roadway, public trail, a utility corridor or utility facility or other			
infrastructure owned or operated by a public utility.			
68. Only for a single detached dwelling unit on a lake twenty acres or larger and only as follows:			
a. the heat exchanger must be a closed loop system that does not draw water from or discharge to the lake;			
b. the lake bed shall not be disturbed, except as required by the county or a state or federal agency to mitigate for impacts of			
the heat exchanger;			
c. the in-water portion of system is only allowed where water depth exceeds six feet; and			
d. system structural support for the heat exchanger piping shall be attached to an existing dock or pier or be attached to a new			
structure that meets the requirements of K.C.C. 21A.25.180.			
69. Only for maintenance of agricultural waterways if:			
a. the purpose of the maintenance project is to improve agricultural production on a site predominately engaged in the practice			
of agriculture;			

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
b. the maintenance project is conducted in compliance with a hydraulic project approval issued by the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife ((pursuant to)) in accordance with chapter 77.55 RCW; c. the maintenance project complies with the King County agricultural drainage assistance program as agreed to by the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife, the department of local services, permitting division, and the department of natural resources and parks, and as reviewed by the Washington state Department of Ecology; d. the person performing the maintenance and the landowner have attended training provided by King County on the King County agricultural drainage assistance program and the best management practices required under that program; and e. the maintenance project complies with K.C.C. chapter 16.82. 70. Only allowed within an alluvial fan hazard area, and overlapping critical areas if: a. in response to an emergency event where channel avulsion or migration is imminent or has occurred as a result of a pulse of in-channel sediment or debris deposition; b. to prevent an imminent threat to; (1) public roadways, utilities, and other infrastructure; (2) sole access driveways and roads; (3) primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units, or accessory living quarters, and residential accessory structures; (4) agricultural activities structures necessary to store equipment, produce, or livestock; e. conducted under an approved emergency authorization request per K.C.C. 16.082.065; d. the emergency work is the minimum necessary bank stabilization, sediment removal, or repair of existing infrastructure to mitigate the imminent threat; f. proposed in-stream work is minimized or results in the least adverse impact in the short term to the ecological functions and values of the critical areas present, including special consideration for fish or fish or gish eggs in the project area; g. downstream sediment runoff and turbidity is minimized and does not exceed the impact of the emergency event;			
CRITICAL AREA ALTERATION AND REASONABLE USE EXECEPTIONS		'	
K.C.C. 21A.24.070 - Sets standards for Critical Area Alteration Exceptions and Reasonable Use Exceptions. SECTION 44. Ordinance 10870, Section 454, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.070 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. The director may approve exceptions to allow alterations to critical areas buffers, and critical area setbacks, except for flood hazard areas, alluvial fan hazard areas, and severe channel hazard migration areas, not otherwise allowed by this chapter as follows: 1. For linear alterations, ((E))except as otherwise provided in subsection A.2. of this section((, for linear alterations, the director may approve alterations to critical areas, critical area buffers and critical area setbacks only)) when all of the following criteria are met: a. there is no feasible alternative to the development proposal with less adverse impact on the critical area; b. the development proposal minimizes the adverse impact on critical areas to the maximum extent practical; c. the ((approval)) exception does not require the modification of a critical area development standard established by this chapter; d. the development proposal does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public health, safety, or welfare on or off the development proposal site and is consistent with the general purposes of this chapter and the public interest; and	(1) Technical corrections. (2) Clarifies that reasonable use exceptions are not allowed within alluvial fan hazard areas and severe channel hazard migration hazard areas. (3) Clarifies that a reasonable use exception cannot be	 (1) Updated wording to clarify existing intent. (2) Updated wording to clarify existing intent. (3) Aligns code with case law and ensures the code functions as intended. 	Section Notes: • This section provides an alternative for property owners to make alterations on their property that would otherwise not comply with the critical area regulations. The two methods are a 1) critical area alteration exception (CAAE), and 2) a reasonable use exception (RUE). RUEs are for instances where the critical area regulations would deprive a property of any reasonable use and requires a higher set of standards to meet. CAAEs are more limited in scope, allowing for flexibility for highly constrained sites where reasonable use is not

Code	Executive's Proposed	Executive's	Policy Staff Comments
	Change	Intent/Rationale	
e. the linear alteration:	obtained if the		completely eliminated. Executive staff
(1) connects to or is an alteration to a public roadway, regional light rail transit line, public trail, a utility corridor or utility	inability of the		indicate that on average, there have been
facility, or other public infrastructure owned or operated by a public utility; or	applicant to derive		fewer than 10 CAAE and RUE applications
(2) is required to overcome limitations due to gravity;	reasonable use of		combined per year since 2015.
2. In order to accommodate the siting of a regional light rail transit facility under RCW 36.70A.200, the director may approve	the property is the		Sombined per your emos 2010.
alterations to critical areas, critical area buffers, and critical area setbacks not otherwise allowed by this chapter and may impose	result of actions by		Policy Analysis:
reasonable conditions to minimize the impact of the light rail transit facility on the critical area and ((its)) associated buffer; and	_		
3. For nonlinear alterations, except as otherwise provided in subsection A.3.h. of this section, the director may approve	the current or prior		It is possible that the changes to the critical
exceptions to allow alterations to critical areas except aquatic areas, wildlife habitat conservation areas, and wetlands, ((unless otherwise	property owner.		area regulations in this proposed ordinance
allowed under subsection A.3.h. of this section, aquatic areas and wildlife habitat conservation areas,)) and may approve alteration((s))			would increase the number of CAAEs or
exceptions to critical area buffers and critical area setbacks, when all of the following criteria are met:			RUEs due to increased buffers, new critical
a. there is no feasible alternative to the development proposal with less adverse impact on the critical area;			areas, and changes to allowed alterations or
b. the alteration is the minimum necessary to accommodate the development proposal;			development standards. This is a policy
c. the ((approval)) <u>exception</u> does not require the modification of a critical area development standard established by this			choice.
chapter;			
d. the development proposal does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public health, safety, or welfare on or off the			As proposed, a property owner would not be
development proposal site and is consistent with the general purposes of this chapter and the public interest;			able to obtain an exception for development
e. for dwelling units, no more than five thousand square feet or ten percent of the site, whichever is greater, may be disturbed			in an alluvial fan hazard area and severe
by structures, ((building)) critical area setbacks, or other land alteration, including grading, utility installations, and maintained yard and			
landscaping, but not including the area used for a driveway or for an on-site sewage disposal system. When the site disturbance is within			channel migration hazard area. Executive
a critical area or associated buffer, the ((building)) critical area setback line shall be measured from the ((building footprint)) edge of the			staff indicate that this is existing exclusion
structure to the edge of the approved site disturbance;			and that building in these areas poses an
f. to the maximum extent practical, access is <u>designed and</u> located to have the least adverse impact on the critical area and			unreasonable threat to public health, safety,
critical area buffer;			and welfare. This is a policy choice.
g. the critical area is not ((used as a)) potential salmonid spawning ((area)) habitat; and			
h. the director may approve an alteration in a category II, III, and IV wetland for development of a public school facility.			 King County currently allows private
B. The director may approve a reasonable use exception to allow alterations to critical areas, critical area buffers, and critical area			property owners to modify critical areas and
setbacks, except for flood hazard areas, alluvial fan hazard areas, and severe channel hazard migration hazard areas, if the application of			buffers through a CAAE or a RUE. RUEs
this chapter would deny all reasonable use of the property as follow:			must demonstrate that reasonable use of
1. If the critical area, critical area buffer, or critical area setback is outside of the shoreline jurisdiction, the applicant may apply			the property would be denied, whereas
for a reasonable use exception under this subsection without first having applied for an alteration exception under this section if the			CAAEs are subject to other criteria such as
requested reasonable use exception includes relief from development standards for which an alteration exception cannot be granted under			feasibility and the minimum necessary.
this section. The director shall determine that all ((of)) the following criteria are met:			
a. there is no other reasonable use with less adverse impact on the critical area;			Council may wish to consider whether two
			sets of standards for allowing deviations
b. development proposal does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public health, safety, or welfare on or off the development			from critical areas is necessary. Council
proposal site and is consistent with the general purposes of this chapter and the public interest; c. any authorized alteration to the critical area or critical area buffer is the minimum necessary to allow for reasonable use of			may also wish to clarify what a "critical area
			development standard" refers to. These are
the property; and			policy choices.
d. for dwelling units, no more than five thousand square feet or ten percent of the site, whichever is greater, may be disturbed			
by structures, ((building)) critical area setbacks, or other land alteration, including grading, utility installations, and maintained yard and			The code provides a higher level of
landscaping but not including the area used for a driveway or for an on-site sewage disposal system; and			protection to salmonid spawning habitats
2. If the critical area, critical area buffer, or critical area setback is located within the shoreline jurisdiction, the request for a			rather than all fish spawning habitats. This
reasonable use exception shall be considered a request for a shoreline variance under K.C.C. 21A.44.090.			is a policy choice.
C. For the purpose of this section:			is a policy choice.
1. "Linear" alteration means infrastructure that supports development that is linear in nature and includes public and private			• Mhothor to allow an alteration for any subli-
roadways, public trails, private driveways, railroads, regional light rail transit, hydroelectric ((generating)) generation facilities, utility			Whether to allow an alteration for any public
corridors, and utility facilities; and			school facility in a Category II, III, or IV
2. ((For purposes of subsections A. and B. of this section, a)) Areas located ((within the shoreline jurisdiction that are)) below			wetland without dimensional limitation is a
the ordinary high water mark shall not be included in calculating the site area.			policy choice. Executive staff state that this
D. ((Alteration e)) Exceptions approved under this section shall meet the mitigation requirements of this chapter.			code is "supported by policy E-109, which

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
E. An applicant for an ((alteration)) exception shall submit a critical area report, as required by K.C.C. 21A.24.110. F. An exception shall not be approved if the inability of the applicant to derive reasonable use of the property is the result of actions by the current or prior property owner.			speaks to allowing the efficient provision of public services by exempting minor activities from critical area regulations, under certain conditions." It appears that this provision has not been utilized but is to "be included in the code to allow a school to impact a wetland by using a CAAE instead of a RUE, so an applicant wouldn't have to prove that there is no other reasonable use of the site than a school." The Council may wish to consider whether limitations, such as size, scale, or location, should be added for public school facilities in wetlands. • Subsection F. clarifies that a property owner cannot create a need for an exception, which can occur by creating a constrained site. This is consistent with existing practice and the Department of Commerce's guidance. • This section could be restructured for clarity and ease of reading. There are two types of exceptions identified in this section
			with specific allowances within each exception type.
K.C.C. 21A.24.072 Alteration exception – alternative. A. As an alternative to an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, during review of an application for a single detached dwelling unit, the director may approve an alteration to a wetland buffer, aquatic area buffer, steep slope hazard area and associated buffer, landslide hazard area and associated buffer and critical area setback as follows: 1. There is no feasible alternative to the development proposal with less adverse impact on the critical area; 2. The alteration is the minimum necessary to accommodate residential use of the property; 3. The approval does not require the modification of a critical area development standard established by this chapter; 4. The development proposal does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public health, safety or welfare on or off the development proposal site and is consistent with the general purposes of this chapter and the public interest; 5. No more than five thousand square feet or ten percent of the site, whichever is greater, are disturbed by structures, building setbacks or other land alteration, including grading, utility installations and landscaping, but not including the area used for a driveway or for an on-site sewage disposal system. For purposes of this section, areas located within the shoreline jurisdiction that are below the ordinary high water mark shall not be included in calculating the site area; 6. The applicant submits an approved rural stewardship plan or forest stewardship plan prepared in accordance with this chapter that addresses the development proposal and the proposed use of the property; and 7. The proposal complies with K.C.C. 21A.24.125 and 21A.24.130. B. The applicant for the waiver of the alteration exception process shall submit any critical areas studies, alternatives analysis and other documents requested by the department following a preapplication review meeting. C. Within fourteen calendar days after the department determines the application under this secti	Repealed.	This section is duplicative to other sections in the code and pertains to processes that are addressed in K.C.C. Chapter 20.20 land use application procedures.	Section Notes: • This section was intended to provide an alternative to a critical area exception or reasonable use exception in K.C.C. 21A.24.070 for single detached residences. Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.

Critical Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25			
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
D. The department shall allow twenty-one calendar days for comment before making a decision on the request under this section. The department's decision shall be mailed to the applicant and to any other person who requests a copy. The decision shall state the reasons for the decision and, if approved, shall include any required mitigation or conditions. (Ord. 19146 § 67, 2020: Ord. 17539 § 47, 2013).			
CRITICAL AREA REVIEW AND REPORT REQUIREMENTS			
K.C.C. 21A.24.090 - Sets requirements for the disclosure of critical areas by permit applicants. SECTION 45. Ordinance 10870, Section 456, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.090 are hereby amended to read as follows: ((If a development proposal site contains or is within a critical area, the applicant shall submit an affidavit which declares whether)) An applicant for a permit for a development proposal or a critical area designation under K.C.C. 21A.24.500, as recodified by this ordinance, shall provide the following: A. Disclosure of critical areas on the development proposal site or sites, including mapped or identifiable critical areas within the distance equal to the largest potential required critical area buffer applicable to the development proposal; and B. An affidavit indicating whether: 1. The applicant has knowledge of any illegal alteration to ((any or all)) the critical areas or associated buffers on the development proposal site or sites; and ((B-)) 2. The applicant previously has been found in violation of this chapter for any property in King County, in accordance with K.C.C. Title 23. If the applicant previously has been found in violation, the applicant ((shall)) must declare whether the violation has been corrected to the satisfaction of King County.	(1) Requires applicants for permits and critical area designations to disclose the presence of critical areas and buffers on the development proposal site.	(1) Clarifies that the applicant is the party responsible for providing complete, accurate information about critical areas on a given property.	Section Notes: This section describes when an applicant is required to disclose a critical area on a property. Policy Analysis: No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.24.500 - Sets critical area designation standards. SECTION 46. K.C.C. 21A.24.500, as amended by this ordinance, is hereby recodified as a new section in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 to follow K.C.C. 21A.24.090.	(1) Reordered to be located after the code section on requirements for the disclosure of critical areas by permit applicants.	(1) Improves code usability by grouping similar topics together, keeping related information in one place.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.24.500 - Sets critical area designation standards. SECTION 47. Ordinance 14187, Section 1, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.500 are hereby amended to read as follows: A.1. A property owner or the property owner's agent may request a critical area designation for a site consisting of part or all of a ((site)) parcel, without seeking a permit for a development proposal, by filing with the department a written application for a critical area designation on a form provided by the department. 2. ((If the request is for review of a portion of a site, t) The application shall include a map identifying ((the portion)) all areas of the ((site)) parcel for which the designation is sought. The designation shall not apply to any areas of the parcel other than those identified in the application and approved by the department. ((2. The designation may include an evaluation or interpretation of the applicability of critical area buffers and other critical area standards to a future development proposal.)) 3. If deemed necessary by the department, supporting critical area reports, prepared in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.110, may be required. 4. The review by the department shall evaluate, determine, and document the existence, location, and classification of the critical areas within the areas indicated on the application. B. ((In preparing the critical area designation, the department shall perform a critical area review to: 1. Determine whether any critical area exists on the site and confirm its type, location, boundaries and classification of the critical area: 3. Evaluate the critical area report, if required; and 4. Document the existence, location and classification of any critical area.	(1) States that critical area designations (CADs) apply only to areas of the parcel identified and approved by the department and not elsewhere. (2) Updates applicability of written determination to only critical areas, not critical area buffers. (3) Removes section describing appeal procedures.	(1) Clarifies that just because a portion of a parcel is not addressed by a CAD does not mean that the County has verified that critical areas are not present on that portion. (2) Clarifies that the CAD determination applies to the existence, location, and classification of critical areas on a site. Existing code was unclear whether buffers were part of CAD determination. Because buffer	 Section Notes: This section establishes the scope and applicability of a critical area designation. Policy Analysis: Executive staff indicate that DLS' current practice is to limit critical area designations to one parcel, rather than a development site and that each parcel requires its own designation, and the proposed changes reflect that practice. This is a policy choice. This provision appears to be part of the critical area regulations prior to 2004 (previously called sensitive areas) and references to these special studies were repealed in 2004. Executive staff indicate that there are no resources to develop reports for property owners and this is not a current practice for DLS.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
C. If required by the department, the applicant for a critical area designation shall prepare and submit to the department the critical area report required by subsection B.2. of this section. For sitez zoned for single detached dwelling units involving wetlands or aquatic areas, the applicant may elect to have the department conduct the special study in accordance with K.C.C. Title 27. B-)) The department shall ((make the determination of)) issue a critical area designation in writing within one-hundred-twenty-day safer the application for a critical area designation is complete, as provided in K.C.C. 20.20.050. The periods in K.C.C. 20.20.100.A.1. through 5. are excluded from the one-hundred-twenty-day period. ((if the determination applies to less than an entire site, t))The determination shall clearly identify the portion of the ((site)) parcel to which the determination applies. ((if the determination shall clearly identify the portion of the ((site)) parcel to which the determination applies to less than an entire site, t))The determination shall clearly identify it is conditions; ((if the determination shall clearly identify it is conditions; ((if the determination is clearly identify the complete application for a permit or invalidates information that formed the basis of the department's determination, including, but not limited to, discovery of an unpermitted critical area alteration, or the adoption of revised methods for critical area classification; or 3. A. County, state, or federal agency adopts critical area maps that conflict with the department's written determination. ((2. As part of its review of a complete application for a permit or approval, the department shall establish whether the written determination is still effective. F. If the department designates critical area on a site under this section, the applicant for a development proposal on that site shall submit proof that a critical area notice has been filed as required by K.C.C. 21A.24.170. Except as provided in this subsection,		widths are defined in code relative to the scope of a development proposal, and may be altered with a development proposal, and a CAD is not associated with a development proposal, this avoids the code conflicts that could occur if buffer locations were vested prior to review of a development proposal. (3) CADs are now a Type 1 decision in K.C.C. 20.20.020; so, the appeal period and process for a CAD is provided under Land Use Petition Act (LUPA), and this section is no longer needed to explain the appeal process.	 Changes to permitting timelines were made under Ordinance 19872 to comply with SB 5290. Council may wish to remove this text for consistency with that ordinance. The County adopts maps for some critical areas including critical aquifer recharge areas, wildlife habitat networks, channel migration zones, and flood hazard areas. These maps serve as sources of information during the critical area determination and review processes. Because not all critical area maps are officially adopted (such as wetlands), Council may wish to clarify which maps are included here to avoid potential conflicts regarding which maps take precedent over a written determination. Executive staff indicate that this provision does not address the preparation of determinations and that this intent is established in K.C.C. 21A.24.100. No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.24.100 - Sets critical area review standards. SECTION 48. Ordinance 10870, Section 457, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.100 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. ((Before any)) Prior to clearing, grading, or site preparation, the department shall perform a critical area review ((for any)) of development proposal permit applications or other request for permission to alter a site to determine ((whether there is)): 1. The existence, location, and classification of ((A)) critical areas on the ((development proposal site)) parcel; 2. ((An active breeding site of a protected species on the development proposal site; or 3. A critical area or active breeding site of a protected species that has been mapped, identified within three hundred feet of the applicant's property or that is visible from the boundaries of the site)) The existence, location, and classification of critical areas within three hundred feet of the site that can be identified by use of available data and methods including, but not limited to, visual observations from right-of-way or property with right of entry, mapping, aerial imagery, critical area determinations for other permits, and available critical area reports; 3. The boundaries of critical area buffers and critical area setbacks that apply to the site and area within three hundred feet of the site, consistent with the standards and regulations of this chapter; and 4. Compliance with all other standards and regulations of this chapter. B. As part of the critical area review, the department shall review ((the critical area reports and determine)) whether:	 (1) Provides examples of how off-site critical area information may be obtained. (2) Adds cross- references to code and streamlines code language. (3) Adds explanation of how appeals of critical area reviews function. 	 (1) Improves code usability by helping users to understand how to meet code requirements. (2) Supports internal consistency of code and reduces unnecessary wordiness. (3) Because critical area reviews can be a component of either a development 	 Section Notes: This section establishes DLS' process for conducting a critical area review. Executive staff indicate that subsection A. describes how the process is performed and subsection B. describes when the review occurs and what it entails. Policy Analysis: This section could be restructured for clarity and ease of reading. Alluvial fan hazard areas are not included in this list. These critical areas may pose a potential hazard and should be reviewed for

Code	Executive's Proposed	Executive's	Policy Staff Comments
	Change	Intent/Rationale	
1. ((There has been an accurate identification of all critical areas)) Critical area designation or designations for the parcel are		proposal or a critical	consistency with the code. Council may
effective pursuant to K.C.C. 21A.24.500, as recodified by this ordinance;		area designation,	wish to add this type of critical area to the
2. ((An alteration will occur to a critical area or a critical area buffer)) Critical area report or reports are required with the		this section	list.
application under K.C.C. 21A.110;		proposes to clarify	
3. ((The development proposal is consistent with this chapter)) Critical areas, associated buffers, and critical area setbacks have		that the critical area	• K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new
been applied to the site and accurately identified, delineated, and classified within the application documents;		review is part of the	sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the
4. ((The sequence)) Proposed alterations prioritize avoidance and minimization measures, followed by mitigation requirements		appeal of the	proposed ordinance reference each other
in K.C.C. 21A.24.125 ((has been followed to avoid impacts to critical areas and critical area buffers)); ((and))		underlying approval.	with phrasing such as "critical area report or
5. <u>Proposed</u> ((M))mitigation measures required to compensate for unavoidable adverse impacts to critical areas ((is required and		undertying approvat.	reports are required with the application
whether the mitigation and monitoring plans and bonding measures proposed by the applicant are sufficient to protect the general public			
health, safety and welfare,)) and associated buffers are in compliance with K.C.C. 21A.24.130 and all other applicable mitigation			under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx.". However, none of
requirements of this chapter; and			the sections clearly identify a threshold of
6. Proposed alterations are consistent with the goals, purposes, objectives, and requirements of this chapter.			when critical area reports are actually
C. If a development proposal does not involve any site disturbance, clearing, or grading and only requires a permit or approval			required. Council may wish to clarify this
under K.C.C. chapter 16.04 or 17.04, critical area review is not required, unless the development proposal is located within a:			language or provide a minimum threshold
1. Flood hazard area;			for applicants, allowing flexibility for DLS to
2. Critical aquifer recharge area; or			waive or require a report where site
3. Landslide hazard area, steep slope hazard area, seismic hazard area, or coal mine hazard area and the proposed development			conditions warrant.
will cause additional loads on the foundation, such as by expanding the habitable square footage of the structure or by adding or changing			
structural features that change the load bearing characteristics of the structure.			
D. The determinations made under critical area review of a development proposal permit application shall be consolidated with			
and subject to the same appeal process as the underlying development proposal, except for any determinations made under an effective			
critical area designation under K.C.C. 21A.24.500, as recodified by this ordinance, that are classified as an independent decision under			
<u>K.C.C. 20.20.020.</u>			
K.C.C. 21A.24.110 - Sets critical area report standards.	(1) Updates document	(1) Updates document	Section Notes:
·	version of Regional	version to be the	This section describes the size and scale of
SECTION 49. Ordinance 10870, Section 458, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.110 are hereby amended to read as follows:	Guidance for	current, correct	critical area reports.
A. An ((applicant)) application for a development proposal that requires critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.100 or K.C.C.	Floodplain Habitat	version.	
21A.24.500, as recodified by this ordinance, shall submit a critical area report at a level determined by the department to adequately	-	10.0.0	
evaluate the proposal and all probable impacts in accordance with the applicable critical area report criteria of this chapter.	Assessment and	(2) Requires mitigation	Policy Analysis:
B. The applicant may combine a critical area report with ((any)) additional studies required by other laws and regulations.	Assessment and	(2) Requires mitigation	Policy Analysis:
C. If the development proposal will affect only a part of the development proposal site, the department may limit the scope of the	Mitigation, FEMA	sequencing in	• K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new
	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the
	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code.	sequencing in	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal.	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided,	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C.
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be:	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act;	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act; b. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan approved pursuant to Section 10 of the	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act; b. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan approved pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act;	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council may wish to clarify this language or provide
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act; b. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan approved pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act; c. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within Section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act;	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council may wish to clarify this language or provide a minimum threshold for applicants,
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act; b. ((B))documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan approved pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act; c. ((B))documentation that the activity fits within Section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act; d. ((A))an assessment prepared in accordance with Regional Guidance for Floodplain Habitat Assessment and Mitigation,	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council may wish to clarify this language or provide a minimum threshold for applicants, allowing flexibility for DLS to waive or
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act; b. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan approved pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act; c. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within Section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act; d. ((A))an assessment prepared in accordance with Regional Guidance for Floodplain Habitat Assessment and Mitigation, FEMA Region X, ((2010)) April 2011. The assessment shall determine if the project ((would)) adversely affects any ((one or more)) of	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council may wish to clarify this language or provide a minimum threshold for applicants, allowing flexibility for DLS to waive or require a report where site conditions
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act; b. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan approved pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act; c. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within Section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act; d. ((A))an assessment prepared in accordance with Regional Guidance for Floodplain Habitat Assessment and Mitigation, FEMA Region X, ((2010)) April 2011. The assessment shall determine if the project ((would)) adversely affects any ((one or more)) of the following:	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council may wish to clarify this language or provide a minimum threshold for applicants, allowing flexibility for DLS to waive or require a report where site conditions warrant.
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act; b. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan approved pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act; c. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within Section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act; d. ((A))an assessment prepared in accordance with Regional Guidance for Floodplain Habitat Assessment and Mitigation, FEMA Region X, ((2010)) April 2011. The assessment shall determine if the project ((would)) adversely affects any ((one or more)) of the following: (1) the primary constituent elements identified when a species is listed as threatened or endangered;	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	 K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council may wish to clarify this language or provide a minimum threshold for applicants, allowing flexibility for DLS to waive or require a report where site conditions warrant. Under Subsection D.1., a property only
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act; b. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan approved pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act; c. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within Section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act; d. ((A))an assessment prepared in accordance with Regional Guidance for Floodplain Habitat Assessment and Mitigation, FEMA Region X, ((2010)) April 2011. The assessment shall determine if the project ((would)) adversely affects any ((one or more)) of the following: (1) the primary constituent elements identified when a species is listed as threatened or endangered; (2) Essential Fish Habitat designated by the National Marine Fisheries Service;	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	 K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council may wish to clarify this language or provide a minimum threshold for applicants, allowing flexibility for DLS to waive or require a report where site conditions warrant. Under Subsection D.1., a property only needs to provide one assessment, rather
required critical area report to include only that part of the site that is affected by the development proposal. D.1. Floodplain development that was not assessed through the King County Programmatic Habitat Assessment prepared for the National Flood Insurance program and the Endangered Species Act shall include an assessment of the impact of the alteration on water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat. The assessment shall be: a. ((A))a Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment that has received concurrence from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act; b. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within a Habitat Conservation Plan approved pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act; c. ((D))documentation that the activity fits within Section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act; d. ((A))an assessment prepared in accordance with Regional Guidance for Floodplain Habitat Assessment and Mitigation, FEMA Region X, ((2010)) April 2011. The assessment shall determine if the project ((would)) adversely affects any ((one or more)) of the following: (1) the primary constituent elements identified when a species is listed as threatened or endangered; (2) Essential Fish Habitat designated by the National Marine Fisheries Service; (3) fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas;	Mitigation, FEMA Region X referenced in code. (2) Clarifies that the impacts that must be avoided, minimized, and mitigated are to critical areas and	sequencing in accordance with	 K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires a critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council may wish to clarify this language or provide a minimum threshold for applicants, allowing flexibility for DLS to waive or require a report where site conditions warrant. Under Subsection D.1., a property only

Chucat Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16				
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments	
(7) the river or stream channel's natural planform pattern and migration process;				
(8) spawning substrate, if applicable; and			It is unclear why mitigation sequencing	
(9) floodplain refugia, if applicable.			requirements are under the critical area	
2. The department ((must)) shall require ((a)) projects with adverse ((effects)) impacts on critical areas or associated buffers to			report requirements. Council may wish to	
((comply with the impact)) prioritize avoidance((5)) and minimization ((and)) measures, followed by mitigation requirements of K.C.C.			consider revising this subsection to be an	
21A.24.125 and 21A.24.130.			analysis that is a report submittal	
			requirement or remove the subsection.	
K.C.C. 21A.24.XXX - New Section	Establishes criteria for	Provides clearer, more	Section Notes:	
	geological critical area	explicit requirements	This new section would provide the	
NEW SECTION. SECTION 50. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 a new section to read as follows:	reports.	for reports, reducing	submittal requirements for a geological	
A. An applicant for a development proposal that requires geological critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.100 shall submit a		the risk of important	critical area report.	
geological critical area report at a level of detail determined by the department to adequately evaluate the proposal and all probable		information being		
impacts.		omitted from reports	Policy Analysis:	
B. The geological critical area report shall address all areas of the proposed development site and geologically hazardous areas within three hundred feet of the site or that have the potential to affect or be affected by the proposal.		because the	K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new	
C. The geological critical area report shall be prepared, stamped, and signed by a geological professional.		requirements were	sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the	
D. The geological critical area report shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:		unclear.	proposed ordinance reference each other	
1. A description of site conditions that includes:			with phrasing such as " <mark>An application for a</mark>	
a. existing development including structures, impervious surfaces, wells, drain fields, drain field reserve areas, roads,			development proposal that requires	
easements, and utilities on the site;			geological critical area review under K.C.C.	
b. the quantity and type of existing vegetation cover;			21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections	
c. a description of the ground surface inclination in percent gradient; and			clearly identify a threshold of when critical	
d. the location or evidence of any springs, seeps, or other expressions of groundwater.			area reports are actually required. Council	
2. A evaluation of the geological conditions of the site that includes:			may wish to clarify this language or provide	
a. references to mapped geological soil and bedrock units, seismic faults, landslides, alluvial fans, potential liquefaction zones,			a minimum threshold for applicants,	
channel migration zones, and historical coal mines;			allowing flexibility for DLS to waive or	
b. available geotechnical reports, well logs, or other data sources within the vicinity of the site;			require report where site conditions	
c. geomorphological features of the site visible through site inspection, aerial photography, LIDAR imagery, or topographic			warrant.	
contours;				
d. on-site soil explorations of sufficient location, distribution, and depth to adequately characterize the subsurface conditions of the site;			It is unclear why mitigation sequencing	
e. engineering properties of the subsurface soils or bedrock or both on site and adjacent properties; and			requirements are under the critical area	
f. identification of all existing fill areas;			report requirements. Council may wish to	
3. A hazard analysis and finding of risks associated with relevant geologic hazards and the potential impacts to public safety, the			consider revising this subsection to be an	
hazard area, and the subject property including, but not limited to:			analysis that is a report submittal	
a. slope stability;			requirement or remove the subsection.	
b. liquefaction hazard;				
c. debris runout;				
d. channel migration;				
e. erosion rates, slope recession rates, and potential impacts to existing or proposed development from waves or currents,				
stream meandering, or other erosional forces to determine the recommended solution for bank or shoreline stabilization; and				
f. additional information required by K.C.C. 21A.25.170, if applicable;				
4. An evaluation of proposed development which includes;				
a. location and description of proposed development activity;				
b. method of drainage and locations of all existing and proposed surface and subsurface drainage facilities and patterns, including infiltration testing or other geological review specifics as required by the King County Surface Water Design Manual;				
c. locations and methods for temporary and permanent erosion control;				
d. recommendations for structure siting limitations, including buffers and setbacks, in accordance with the development				

Critical Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25			
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
e. recommendations for engineering mitigation of hazards; and f. recommendations for vegetation management to mitigate hazards; 5. A determination stating whether the proposed alterations minimize risks to people and development on and off the site in accordance with the development standards of this chapter, and rationale to support the determination based on the presented data, analysis, and scientific and engineering practice; 6. A site plan that includes: a. the topography with vertical contour intervals of five feet or less unless the underlying project permit requires a smaller interval; b. significant geologic contacts, landslides, or downslope soil movement on and within three hundred feet of the site; c. all identified geologically hazardous areas, bullers, and critical area setbacks; d. exploration locations; c. locations of proposed development and clearing limits, if known, and f. transects of any provided cross-sections; 7. All subsurface exploration logs, test logs and results, and other data sources used in the analyses, and 8. Any other information determined by the department to be necessary to determine compliance with this chapter including, but not limited to, the use of LIDAR, technical reports, soil field or laboratory testing, studies or documents related to geologic hazards, and models for estimating how far landslide materials will travel. E. The department shall require a project with adverse inspacts to prioritize avoidance and minimization measures, followed by mitigation requirements of K.C.C. 21A.24.125 and 21A.24.130. K.C.C. 21A.24.XXX. New Section NEW SECTION SECTION 51. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 a new section to read as follows: A. An applicant for a development proposal that requires ecological critical area report at a level of detail determined by the department to adequately evaluate the proposal for all probable impacts. B. The ecological critical area report shall laddress all areas of the proposed development site and ecological critical areas wi	Establishes criteria for ecological critical area reports.	Provides clearer, more explicit requirements for reports, reducing the risk of important information being omitted from reports because the requirements were unclear.	Section Notes: This new section would provide the submittal requirements for an ecological critical area report. Policy Analysis: This section could be restructured for clarity. This could include clarifying what items are expected to be shown on a site plan or a report, using consistent terminology, and streamlining. K.C.C. 21A.24.100, 21A.24.110, and the new sections under Sections 50 and 51 of the proposed ordinance reference each other with phrasing such as "An application for a development proposal that requires ecological area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.xxx". However, none of the sections clearly identify a threshold of when critical area reports are actually required. Council may wish to clarify this language or provide a minimum threshold for applicants, allowing flexibility for DLS to waive or require report where site conditions warrant.
			least 3" diameter at breast height (DBH)

	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
e. location, species, and diameter at breast height of frees three inches in diameter at breast height or larger within critical areas and associated buffers that are within the proposed clearing limits and within striking distance of the proposed development. The department may require tree locations to be surveyed; 4. If unavoidable impacts to critical areas or buffers are proposed, an impact analysis that includes: a. demonstration that adverse impacts prioritized avoidance and minimization measures, followed by mitigation sequencing in K.C.C. 21A.24.125. b. calculation of square footage of temporary impacts to each critical area and buffer; c. calculation of square footage of temporary impacts to each critical area and susceided buffer, and estimation of the time required for ecological limitions to be restored; d. calculation of implication in the state Department of Leology, publication number 21-06-003, published April 2019. Report and Guidance, Version 2 Washington state Department of Leology, publication number 21-06-003, published April 2019. Expect and Guidance, Version 2 Washington state Department of Leology, publication number 21-06-003, published April 2019. Expect and Guidance, Version 2 Washington state Department of Leology, publication number 21-06-003, published April 2019. Expect and Guidance, Version 2 Washington state Department of Leology, publication number 21-06-003, published April 2019. Expect and Guidance, Version 2 Washington state Department of Leology, publication and the location of the propect using the wetland hydrology protection guidelines in the King County Surface Water Design Manual; and g. a tree risk assessment prepared by an arborist certified by the International Society of Arboriculture for any hazard trees proposed for removal within critical areas or associated buffers; 5. A mitigation is required; b. description of on-site potential for enhancement, rehabilitation, restoration, or creation of critical areas and associated buffers for which mitigation i			within a clearing limit or within striking distance to be identified. This could encompass young trees (for example, the landscaping code specifies 1.75" DBH for new plantings). This would ensure that the largest number of trees are identified within a clearing limit or an area close to proposed development; however, this could require more extensive documentation. Executive staff indicate that native trees can reach 15 feet tall with a 3" DBH typically at 5 years old for trees such as cottonwoods and 10-20 years old for conifers. Executive staff indicate that 3" DBH was chosen based on the US Army Corps of Engineers methodology and WSDOT uses 4" DBH as their minimum. This is a policy choice. • Council may wish to clarify "proposed development." Executive staff indicate that this would be based on the definition of hazard tree and include proposed structures and access routes, however this connection is not clear and this standard does not apply to hazard trees. This could be revised to either say structures and roads, or include a specified number instead of striking distance. • "Nonnative" could be removed so that a site plan shows all landscaping, whether it is native or nonnative.

Code	Executive's Proposed	Executive's	Policy Staff Comments
	Change	Intent/Rationale	
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE K.C.C. 21A.24.125 - Avoiding impacts to critical areas.	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: This existing code section describes mitigation sequencing, which is a set of
A. An applicant for a development proposal or alteration, shall apply the following sequential measures, which appear in order of priority, to avoid impacts to critical areas and critical area buffers:			measures that must be taken to avoid impacts to a critical area.
 Avoiding the impact or hazard by not taking a certain action; Minimizing the impact or hazard by: 			Policy Analysis:
 a. limiting the degree or magnitude of the action with appropriate technology; or b. taking affirmative steps, such as project redesign, relocation or timing; 			This section could be updated to name this "mitigation sequencing" and cross
3. Rectifying the impact to critical areas by repairing, rehabilitating or restoring the affected critical area or its buffer;4. Minimizing or eliminating the hazard by restoring or stabilizing the hazard area through engineered or other methods;			references could be updated throughout the code for consistency and to reduce
5. Reducing or eliminating the impact or hazard over time by preservation or maintenance operations during the life of the development proposal or alteration;			unnecessary duplication.
6. Compensating for the adverse impact by enhancing critical areas and their buffers or creating substitute critical areas and their buffers; and			
7. Monitoring the impact, hazard or success of required mitigation and taking remedial action.			
B. The specific mitigation requirements of this chapter for each critical area or requirements determined through the			
resource mitigation reserves program apply when compensation for adverse impacts is required by the sequence in subsection A. of this section.			
K.C.C. 21A.24.130 - Mitigation requirements for adverse impacts to critical areas.	(1) Adds that no net	(1) Updated to align with current version	Section Notes:
SECTION 52. Ordinance 10870, Section 460, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.130 are hereby amended to read as follows:	loss of ecological function must be	of WAC 365-196-	This section describes mitigation requirements when alterations impact
A. If mitigation is required under this chapter to compensate for adverse impacts, ((unless otherwise provided, an applicant shall:	achieved by	830.	critical areas.
1. Mitigate adverse impacts to:	mitigation.	(2) Requirements for	
a. critical areas and their buffers; and	(2) Removes	critical area reports	Policy Analysis:
b. the development proposal as a result of the proposed alterations on or near the critical areas; and	requirements for	are proposed to be	This sentence could be streamlined to state
 2. Monitor the performance of any required mitigation)) the mitigation shall be designed to: 1. Achieve no net loss of critical area ecological functions for the entire scope of the development; and 	critical area reports.	moved to Sections	that "Mitigation under this chapter shall be
2. Not create adverse impacts on other critical area functions.		50 and 51 and	designed to:"
B. When mitigation measures are appropriate under the avoidance, minimization, and mitigation priority sequence in K.C.C.		expanded.	
21A.24.125, preferential consideration shall be given to measures that replace the impacted functions directly and in the immediate			• This section combines mitigation
vicinity of the impact. The department may approve alternative mitigation within the watershed if the mitigation addresses limiting			sequencing, on-site mitigation, off-site
factors or identified critical needs for critical area resource conservation based on watershed or comprehensive resource management			mitigation criteria, and departmental
plans applicable to the area of impact. The department shall require appropriate safeguards, terms, or conditions as necessary to ensure no			requirements into one paragraph. This
net loss of critical area ecological functions as conditions of approval for mitigation measures, which may include conservation easements, financial guarantees, and performance monitoring.			section could benefit from being broken into several sections and including cross
<u>C.</u> The department shall not approve a development proposal until mitigation and monitoring plans are ((in place)) established			references to other sections.
((to mitigate for alterations to critical areas and buffers)) and financial guarantees have been posted in accordance with K.C.C. Title 27A.			references to other sections.
((C.)) D. Whenever mitigation is required, an applicant shall submit a critical area report ((that includes:			Executive staff indicate that comprehensive
1. An analysis of potential impacts;			resource management plans refer to wildlife
2. A mitigation plan that meets the specific mitigation requirements in this chapter for each critical area impacted; and			or species management plans, water
3. A monitoring plan that includes:			quality plans, and other similar plans that
a. a demonstration of compliance with this title;			identify management priorities that can
b. a contingency plan in the event of a failure of mitigation or of unforeseen impacts if:			supported by certain mitigation actions.
(1) the department determines that failure of the mitigation would result in a significant impact on the critical area or buffer; or			Council may wish to add a definition or provide examples in code.
(2) the mitigation involves the creation of a wetland; and			p. 1

Code	Executive's Proposed	Executive's	Policy Staff Comments
	Change	Intent/Rationale	
c. a monitoring schedule that may extend throughout the impact of the activity or, for hazard areas, for as long as the hazard			
exists.			
D.)) in accordance with this chapter.			
E. Mitigation shall ((not)) be implemented ((until)) after the department approves ((the)) all applicable mitigation and monitoring			
plans. The applicant shall notify the department when mitigation is installed and monitoring ((is)) has commenced and shall provide King			
County with reasonable access to the ((mitigation)) site for the purpose of inspections during ((any)) the monitoring period.			
$((E_{-}))$ <u>F.</u> If monitoring reveals a significant deviation from predicted impact or a failure of mitigation requirements, the applicant			
shall implement an approved contingency plan. The contingency plan constitutes new mitigation and is subject to all mitigation			
requirements, including a revised monitoring plan and revised financial guarantee requirements.			
K.C.C. 21A.24.133 - Offsite mitigation standards.	Replaces reference to	Clarifying update;	Section Notes:
	a DNRP program to	instead of describing	 This section describes off-site mitigation
SECTION 53. Ordinance 15051, Section 151, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.133 are hereby amended to read as follows:	allow payment of a fee	the program, the code	requirements when allowed in lieu of on-
A. To the maximum extent practical, ((an applicant shall mitigate)) and after application of the mitigation sequencing measures in	in lieu of providing	proposes to name it.	site mitigation.
K.C.C. 21A.24.125, adverse impacts to a wetland or associated buffer, aquatic area, riparian area, wildlife habitat conservation area, or	mitigation on site with		
wildlife habitat network, shall be mitigated on or contiguous to the development site. The department may approve mitigation that is off-	the King County		For off-site mitigation projects, sites that are
<u>site</u> ((the development site)) if an applicant demonstrates that:	mitigation reserve		within the same subbasin are preferred, as
1. It is not practical to mitigate on or contiguous to the development proposal site; and	program.		they can provide similar ecological, habitat,
2. The off-site mitigation will achieve equivalent or greater hydrological, water quality, and ((wetland or aquatic area)) habitat			and hydrological features as the area
functions.			impacted.
B. When off-site mitigation is authorized, the department shall give priority to locations within the same drainage subbasin as the			P. C. C.
development proposal site that meet the following:			There are different agencies and
1. Mitigation banking sites and resource mitigation reserves as authorized by this chapter;			organizations that operate mitigation
2. Private mitigation sites that are established in compliance with the requirements of this chapter and approved by the			programs. Applicants may pay in-lieu fees
department; ((and)) or 3. Public mitigation sites that have been ranked in a process ((that has been))-supported by ecological assessments, including			to offset unavoidable impacts. King County
wetland and aquatic areas established as priorities for mitigation in King County ((basin plans or other)) watershed plans.			manages the mitigation reserve program,
C. The department ((may)) shall require documentation that the mitigation site has been permanently preserved from future			which mitigates wetlands and their buffers,
development or alteration that would be inconsistent with the functions of the mitigation. The documentation may include, but is not			aquatic areas, and riparian areas through
limited to, a conservation easement or other agreement between the applicant and owner of the mitigation site. King County may enter			restoration, establishment, enhancement,
into agreements or become a party to any easement or other agreement necessary to ensure that the site continues to exist in its mitigated			and preservation.
condition.			and preservation.
D. The department shall maintain a list of sites available for use for off-site mitigation projects.			Off-site mitigation standards for specific
E.1. ((The department and the department of natural resources and parks have develop a program to allow the payment of a fee in			critical areas can be found in the following
lieu of providing mitigation on a development site.)) The department may approve mitigation through the King County mitigation reserve			sections:
program. The program addresses:			
a. when the payment of a fee is allowed considering the availability of a site in geographic proximity with comparable			 K.C.C. 21A.24.340 (page 67) – wetlands
hydrologic and biological functions and potential for future habitat fragmentation and degradation; and			1/ 0 0 0 11 0 1 000 / =="
b. the use of the fees for mitigation on public or private sites that have been ((ranked according to ecological criteria through			,
one or more programs that have included a public process)) selected using a watershed approach, in accordance with the approved in-lieu			areas and riparian areas o K.C.C. 21A.24.386 (page 82) – wildlife
fee program instrument.			o <u>K.C.C. 21A.24.386 (page 82)</u> – wildlife habitat network
2. The in lieu fee mitigation program shall submit a report by May 1 in the first year of the biennial budget cycle, filed in the			Habitat Hetwork
form of ((a paper original and)) an electronic copy with the clerk of the council, who shall retain the original and provide an electronic			Policy Analysis:
copy to all councilmembers, the council chief of staff and the lead staff for the transportation economy and environment committee or its successor. The report should address the following:			Policy Analysis:
a. information on the amount and source of revenues received by the program;			• This section repeats the language from
b. a description and rationale for projects selected for funding;			K.C.C. 21A.24.130 (the row immediately
c. an accounting of budgeted and actual expenditures made; and			above) and could be streamlined.
d. the status of all projects approved in the previous five years, and anticipated completion date for those projects, if not yet			
complete.			

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
21A.24.137 - Approval of mitigation through mitigation reserves program. The department may approve mitigation to compensate for the adverse impacts of a development proposal to critical areas through [and through use of an in-lieu fee program]*. [or in-lieu fee program]* the King County mitigation reserves program. (Ord. 17539 § 49, 2013: Ord. 17254 § 5, 2012: Ord. 15051 § 152, 2004).	Repealed.	This section is proposed to be incorporated with K.C.C. 21A.24.133.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
21A.24.140 - Financial guarantees. Financial guarantees shall be required consistent with the provisions of Title 27A. (Ord. 12020 § 54, 1995: Ord. 10870 § 461, 1993).	Repealed.	Requirements for financial guarantees have been expanded in K.C.C. 21A.24.130, making this section unnecessary.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
NOTICE ON TITLE AND CRITICAL AREAS TRACTS			
K.C.C. 21A.24.170 - Requirements for Notice of Title on properties which mitigation has been established. SECTION 54. Ordinance 10870, Section 464, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.170 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. Except as otherwise provided in subsection ((ef)) C. of this section, the owner of any property containing critical areas or buffers on which a development proposal is submitted or any property on which mitigation is established as a result of development shall file a notice on title approved by King County with the records and licensing services division. The notice shall inform the public of: 1. The presence of critical areas or buffers or mitigation sites on the property; 2. The application of this chapter to the property; ((and4)) 3. The possible existence of limitations on actions in or affecting the critical areas or buffers or the fact that mitigation sites may exist; and 4. The existence of approved wetland buffer or riparian area averaging on the property. B. The applicant for a development proposal shall submit proof that the notice required by this section has been filed for public record before King County approves any development proposal for the property or, in the case of subdivisions, short subdivisions, and binding site plans, at or before recording of the subdivision, short subdivision, or binding site plan. C. The notice required under subsection A. of this section is not required if: 1. The property is a public right-of-way or the site of a permanent public facility; 2. The development proposal does not require ((sensitive)) critical area review under K.C.C. 21A.24.100.C; or 3. The property only contains a critical aquifer recharge area or an erosion hazard area. D. Notices on title shall run with the land regardless of ownership, use, or land division. However, a property owner may apply to the county to have a notice on title removed or amended, under either of the following circumstances: 1. The department, as part of a critical area review of a permit app	(1) Adds the requirement for approved wetland buffers or riparian area averaging information to be included on notices on title. (2) Sets standards by which the department may remove or amend information on notices on title.	 (1) Added to allow prospective property buyers to know if the buffers or riparian areas have been modified from their standard application, as it affects where they may be able to alter the property. (2) This section is proposed to provide a process for landowners to amend or update an existing notice on title. 	 This section describes when a notice on title must be recorded on a property. Policy Analysis: The Council may also wish to add "buffer reductions" to this list, as they may affect a property's ability to redevelop in the future. As drafted, it appears that a critical area review must be part of a permit application to determine that information on a notice on title is no longer accurate. Executive staff indicate that property owners could submit for a critical area determination to revise or remove a notice on title. Council may wish to clarify this provision. The County adopts maps for some critical areas including critical aquifer recharge areas, wildlife habitat networks, channel migration zones, and flood hazard areas. These maps serve as sources of information during the critical area determination and review processes. Because not all critical area maps are officially adopted (such as wetlands), Council may wish to clarify which maps are included here to avoid conflict on which maps take precedent over a written determination.
K.C.C. 21A.24.180 - Criteria for establishing critical area tracts.	(1) Adds Riparian	(1) Riparian areas are	Section Notes:
SECTION 55. Ordinance 10870, Section 465, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.180 are hereby amended to read as follows:	areas, alluvial fan hazard areas that are one acre or	proposed to be added to reflect the update in	This section describes what critical areas must be placed in a tract when a

	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
A. ((Fhe-applicant)) Critical area tracts established under this section protect with the goal of enhancing critical area functions and values, including, but not limited to, providing fish and wildlife habitat, and protecting the public from geologic hazards and increased stormwater runoff. Development proposals for subdivisions, so binding site plans shall establish and identive critical area area tracts to delineate and protect ((those)) critical areas and associated buffers listed ((thelow-in-development proposals for subdivisions, short subdivisions or banding site plans)) in subsection A.1, through 7. of this section, and shall record the tracts on all documents of title of record for all affected lots: 1. ((AlH-1))Agnida fabrard areas and buffers that are one acre or more in size; 2. ((AlH-9)Secpos bpc hazard areas and buffers that are one acre or more in size; 3. ((AlH-9)Aguntic areas ((and-d)) 4. ((AlH-9)Aguntic areas ((and-d)) 7. Wildlife habitat and therefore); 8. Riporation areas; 9. Alluvial fina hazard areas that are one acre or more in size; and 7. Wildlife habitat and divided interest by each owner of a building lot within the development with this ownership interest passing with the ownership of the lot((i)); or 2. ((shiffled habitat and divided interest by each owner of a building lot within the development with this ownership interest passing with the ownership of the lot((i)); or 2. ((shiffled habitat and protecting the public from geologic-hazards and increased-stormwater-tunoff).) The specific management strategy for each tract shall be clearly defined and protecting the complex of the subdivision or binding site plan. 2. (Shiffle habitat and protecting the public from geologic-hazards and and protecting the public from geologic-hazards and increased stormwater strates, the applicant to include and character and protecting the public from geologic hazards and increased stormwater strates and protecting the public from geologic hazards and increased stormwater strates are protection and t	more in size, and wildlife habitat networks to the list of areas that must be protected by critical area tracts. 2) Requires off-site critical area mitigation areas to either be placed in a critical area tract or perpetual conservation easement.	terminology to "riparian area" from "aquatic area buffer," which were already included in this list. Alluvial fan hazard areas are proposed to be added to reflect that they are defined as a type of Geologically Hazardous Area now, and no longer under the umbrella of Landslide Hazard Areas, which were already included in this list. Given their unsuitability for new buildable lots, they should be included in this list of areas that should be kept in a tract. Wildlife habitat networks are proposed to be added to match current practice and existing intent. (2) Ensures long-term protection of mitigation and provides options for protecting off-site mitigation areas that reflect their varying circumstances, e.g., ownership by private individual, conservation group, public property etc.	subdivision, short subdivision, or binding site plan occurs. • Critical area tracts are only required when certain critical areas are present on land that is being divided (subdivision, short subdivision, binding site plans). Commercial developments or single detached dwelling units may be required to record an easement consistent with other sections of code, but separate tracts are not required. Policy Analysis: • Landslide, steep slope, and alluvial fan hazard areas and their buffers are only required to be placed in a tract when the area is 1 acre or larger. This is a policy choice. • Riparian areas are proposed to be increased in other sections of this proposed ordinance through wider buffer widths and the inclusion of the severe channel migration hazard area. Subdivisions, short subdivisions, and binding site plans on sites with riparian areas are likely to have more of the site placed in a tract under the proposed ordinance. This is a policy choice. • Wildlife habitat networks are added as a critical area that would require a tract. Existing code allows wildlife habitat networks to be in a setback area or in a tract. This is a policy choice. • "Resource tract management plan" is not a term used elsewhere in code. It refers to farm management or forest stewardship plans. Council may wish to clarify this. • Subsection F. discusses site plan requirements that are not part of a critical area tract and is repeated in other sections. Council may wish to remove this subsection or simplify it to only those relevant to a tract.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
K.C.C. 21A.24.200 - Establishes building setbacks from critical area buffers. SECTION 56. Ordinance 10870, Section 467, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.200 are hereby amended to read as follows: Unless otherwise provided, ((an-applicant-shall set-buildings and other)) structures shall be set back a minimum distance of fifteen feet from the edges of all critical area buffers, or from the edges of all critical area suffers, or from the edges of all critical area suffers are required) where buffers are not required. ((When the)) If site disturbance is within a critical area area or an associated buffer, the ((building)) critical area setback ((line)) shall be measured from the ((building)) critical area setback area: A. Landscaping; B. Uncovered decks less than eighteen inches above grade; C. Building overhangs if the overhangs do not extend more than eighteen inches into the setback area; D. Impervious ground surfaces, such as driveways and patios((-but-the)); however, improvements are required to meet any special drainage provisions specified in public rules adopted for ((the various)) critical areas and the King County Surface Water Design Manual; E. Utility service connections as long as the excavation for installation avoids impacts to the critical area or associated buffer; and F. Minor eneroachments if adequate protection of the buffer will be maintained.	(1) Changes the term "building setback" to "critical area setback." (2) Specifies that uncovered decks within critical area setback may only be less than 18 inches above grade.	(1) Updating the term to "critical area setback" reduces the risk of confusion with the setbacks required by zoning standards in K.C.C. Chapter 21A.12, to which different standards apply. (2) Uncovered deck standard proposed to be revised for consistency with zoning requirements regarding encroachment into setbacks, and to allow for additional area to build and maintain "uncovered decks less than eighteen inches." Low decks function more like a patio (already allowed by subsection D. of this section) but high decks typically require a construction/maintenance perimeter like a	Critical area setbacks are required in addition to buffers. This serves as an area where some site improvements can occur as well as an area for maintenance of structures without a property owner having to enter a buffer to complete the work. How the County chooses to apply a critical area setback, its width (currently 15 feet), and what is permitted in the setback is a policy choice.
CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONES		house would.	
 K.C.C. 21A.06.181E Channel migration hazard area, moderate. Channel migration hazard area, moderate: a portion of the channel migration zone, as shown on King County's Channel Migration Zone maps, that lies between the severe channel migration hazard area and the outer boundaries of the channel migration zone. (Ord. 15051 § 17, 2004). K.C.C. 21A.06.181G Channel migration hazard area, severe. Channel migration hazard area, severe: a portion of the channel migration zone, as shown on King County's Channel Migration Zone maps, in which there is a higher level of channel migration hazard due to a high likelihood of continued, progressive bank erosion, rapid shifting of channel location or other imminent channel changes. (Ord. 17485 § 14, 2012: Ord. 15051 § 18, 2004). 	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	 Section Notes: This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference. Channel migration zones can either be classified as "moderate" or "severe".

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
 K.C.C. 21A.06.182 Channel migration zone. Channel migration zone: the area along a river channel within which the channel can be reasonably predicted, based on best available science, to migrate over time as a result of natural and normally occurring hydrological and related processes when considered with the characteristics of the river and its surroundings, as follows: A. In areas located outside King County's shoreline jurisdiction, channel migration zones are as shown on King County's Channel Migration Zone maps. In those areas, "channel migration zone" means the corridor that includes the present channel, the severe channel migration hazard area and the moderate channel migration hazard area; B. In areas located in King County's shoreline jurisdiction, the channel migration zone include: 1. Areas shown on King County's Channel Migration zone maps, including both the severe channel migration hazard area and the moderate channel migration hazard area; and 2. Areas not shown on King County's Channel Migration Zone maps but located within the floodplain. (Ord. 17485 § 15, 2012: Ord. 16985 § 130, 2010: Ord. 15051 § 19, 2004: Ord. 11621 § 20, 1994). 			 Channel migration zones are classified as both a flood hazard area and a geologically hazardous area. DNRP and DLS issued <u>public rules</u> for channel migration designation and mapping.
K.C.C. 21A.24.275 - Establishes standards for channel migration zones.	Replaces term "aquatic area buffer" with	Technical change to use consistent	Section Notes: • This section establishes specific standards
SECTION 58. Ordinance 11621, Section 75, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.275 are hereby amended to read as follows: The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites within channel migration zones that have been mapped and adopted by public rule: A. The development standards that apply to the ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian areas in K.C.C. 21A.24.365 also apply to the severe channel migration ((zone)) hazard areas and the portion of the moderate channel migration ((zone)) hazard areas that is within the ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian areas. The more-restrictive standards apply where there is a conflict; B. Only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within a severe channel migration hazard area; and C. The following standards apply to development proposals and alterations within the moderate channel migration hazard area: 1. Maintenance, repair, or expansion of any use or structure is allowed if the existing structure's footprint is not expanded towards any source of channel migration hazard, unless the applicant can demonstrate that the location is the least subject to risk; 2. New primary dwelling units, accessory dwelling units or accessory living quarters, and required infrastructure, are allowed if: a. the structure is located on a separate lot in existence on or before February 16, 1995; b. a feasible alternative location outside of the channel migration hazard area is not available on-site; and c. to the maximum extent practical, the structure and supporting infrastructure is located the farthest distance from any source of channel migration hazard, unless the applicant can demonstrate that an alternative location is: (1) the least subject to risk; or (2) within the outer third of the moderate channel migration hazard area as measured perpendicular to the channel; 3. New accessory structures are allowed if: a. a feasible alternative location is not available on-site; and b. to the maximum extent practical, the structure is loc	"riparian area."	terminology throughout code.	in channel migration zones. Policy Analysis: "separate lot" could be changed to "legally established lot" for clarity. "buildable land" could be changed to "net buildable area" for clarity. Access to lots in a subdivision cannot cross the moderate channel migration hazard area. However, private roads are allowed in a severe channel migration hazard area, which is more susceptible to channel migration in the next 25 to 50 years. Council may wish to consider whether the same protections should be applied to severe channel migration hazard areas. This is a policy choice.
GEOLOGICALLY HAZARDOUS AREAS			
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 21. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Geologically hazardous areas: areas susceptible to erosion, sliding, earthquake, or other geological events. Areas classified as geologically hazardous areas include one or more of the following:	Adds definition for "Geologically hazardous area."	Added to mirror the terminology used in Chapter 365-190 WAC, which sets the guidelines for	Policy Analysis: • Alluvial fan and tsunami hazard areas are new critical areas under this update. Under state law, they are designated as a landslide hazard area and seismic hazard area,

	Officat Area Offinance Neview Matrix 4/10/25				
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments		
 A. Alluvial fan hazard areas; B. Channel migration zones; C. Coal mine hazard areas; D. Erosion hazard areas; E. Landslide hazard areas; F. Seismic hazard areas; G. Steep slope hazard areas; H. Tsunami hazard areas; and I. Volcanic hazard areas. 		classifying critical areas.	respectively. They are not required to be designated separately as a critical area under state law. This is a policy choice.		
 NEW SECTION. SECTION 7. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Alluvial fan: a fan-shaped deposit of sediment and organic debris formed where a stream flows or has flowed out of an upland onto a flat plain or valley floor due to a sudden change in sediment transport capacity, such as a significant change in slope or confinement. 	Adds a new section to K.C.C. Chapter 21A.06 with a definition of "Alluvial fan."	There are existing and proposed code standards regulating alluvial fans, but no definition of this term.	No issues identified.		
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 8. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Alluvial fan hazard area: any area on an alluvial fan that is susceptible to natural hazards such as episodic inundation, sedimentation, and erosional impacts. Alluvial fan hazard areas are a type of geological hazard area.	Adds a new section to K.C.C. Chapter 21A.06 with a definition of "Alluvial fan hazard area."	There are proposed code standards regulating alluvial fans, but no definition of this term.	 Policy Analysis: Alluvial fan hazard areas are designated as a new critical area under this update, but are also classified as a landslide hazard area, subject to the development standards and allowed alterations of a landslide hazard area. Council may wish to clarify how both sets of regulations apply to alluvial fan hazard areas by: 1) separating landslide hazard areas from alluvial fan hazard areas, which could be accomplished by adding any landslide hazard regulation into the alluvial fan hazard regulations and modifying any necessary sections, 2) clarifying cross references between both hazard areas in the definitions and regulations, or 3) deleting alluvial fans as a separate critical area, classifying them as a landslide hazard area, and moving any proposed regulations under the appropriate critical area. 		
K.C.C. 21A.06.200 - Defines "Coal mine hazard area" SECTION 12. Ordinance 10870, Section 80, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.200 are hereby amended to read as follows: Coal mine hazard area: an area directly underlain, adjacent to, or ((directly)) affected by operative or abandoned subsurface coal mine workings such as adits, tunnels, drifts, or air shafts.	Updates definition to specify the locations where this definition applies and provides examples.	Updated to align with WAC 365-190-030(12) - Definition of mine hazard area.	No issues identified.		
 K.C.C. 21A.06.415 - Defines "Erosion hazard area" SECTION 18. Ordinance 10870, Section 123, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.415 are hereby amended to read as follows: Erosion hazard area: ((an)) a geologically hazardous area underlain by soils that ((is)) are subject to severe erosion when disturbed. ((These)) Such soils include, but are not limited to, those classified as having a severe to very severe erosion hazard according 	(1) Updates definition to note that erosion hazard areas are a type of geologic hazard area and to	(1) Clarifies language to reflect existing intent.(2) The definition is updated to clarify	This sentence could be revised to more clearly differentiate how erosion hazard areas are classified, either through soil surveys or instances of specific soil types.		

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
to the United States Department of Agriculture ((Soil)) Natural Resources Conservation Service, the 1990 Snoqualmie Pass Area Soil Survey, the 1973 King County Soils Survey or any subsequent revisions or addition by or to these sources such as any occurrence of River Wash ("Rh") or Coastal Beaches ("Cb") and any of the following when they occur on slopes inclined at fifteen percent or more: A. The Alderwood gravely sandy loam ("AgD"); B. The Alderwood and Kitsap soils ("AkF"); C. The Beausite gravely sandy loam ("BeD" and "BeF"); D. The Kitsap silt loam ("KpD"); E. The Ovall gravely loam ("OvD" and "OvF"); F. The Ragnar fine sandy loam ("RaD"); and G. The Ragnar-Indianola Association ("RdE").	make technical	the relationship between erosion hazard areas and geologic hazard areas. (3) The USDA Natural Resource Conversation Service agency name is updated to reflect its current name.	
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE K.C.C. 21A.06.680 - Landslide hazard area. Landslide hazard area: an area subject to severe risk of landslide, such as: A. An area with a combination of: 1. Slopes steeper than fifteen percent of inclination; 2. Impermeable soils, such as silt and clay, frequently interbedded with granular soils, such as sand and gravel; and 3. Springs or ground water seepage; B. An area that has shown movement during the Holocene epoch, which is from ten thousand years ago to the present, or that is underlain by mass wastage debris from that epoch; C. Any area potentially unstable as a result of rapid stream incision, stream bank erosion or undercutting by wave action; D. An area that shows evidence of or is at risk from snow avalanches; or E. An area located on an alluvial fan, presently or potentially subject to inundation by debris flows or deposition of stream-transported sediments.	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Policy Analysis: • Alluvial fan hazard area is a new critical area under this update. This definition includes alluvial fan hazard areas as a landslide hazard, with slightly different terminology. This would mean that alluvial fan hazard areas are also subject to their own regulations as well as the landslide hazard area regulations. Refer to the alluvial fan hazard area definition (page 46) for a discussion on policy options. How to address alluvial fans is a policy choice – see the alluvial fan definition for discussion.
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE K.C.C. 21A.06.1045 Seismic hazard area. Seismic hazard area: an area subject to severe risk of earthquake damage from seismically induced settlement or lateral spreading as a result of soil liquefaction in an area underlain by cohesionless soils of low density and usually in association with a shallow groundwater table. (Ord. 15051 § 95, 2004: Ord. 10870 § 249, 1993).	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: • This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference.
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE K.C.C. 21A.06.1230 Steep slope hazard area. Steep slope hazard area: an area on a slope of forty percent inclination or more within a vertical elevation change of at least ten feet. For the purpose of this definition, a slope is delineated by establishing its toe and top and is measured by averaging the inclination over at least ten feet of vertical relief. Also for the purpose of this definition: A. The "toe" of a slope means a distinct topographic break in slope that separates slopes inclined at less than forty percent from slopes inclined at forty percent or more. Where no distinct break exists, the "toe" of a slope is the lower most limit of the area where the ground surface drops ten feet or more vertically within a horizontal distance of twenty five feet; and B. The "top" of a slope is a distinct topographic break in slope that separates slopes inclined at less than forty percent from slopes inclined at forty percent or more. Where no distinct break exists, the "top" of a slope is the upper-most limit of the area where the ground surface drops ten feet or more vertically within a horizontal distance of twenty-five feet. (Ord. 15051 § 101, 2004: Ord. 10870 § 286, 1993).	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: • This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 34. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Tsunami hazard area: a geologically hazardous area susceptible to flooding, inundation, debris impact, or mass wasting as the result of a tsunami in areas including, but not limited to, those areas shown on the Washington Geological Survey Digital Data Series 22, version 2.0, December 2023, and V1-V30, VE, or V zones shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Maps.	Adds definition for "Tsunami hazard area."	The CAO Update scope included adding standards for tsunami hazard areas, identified by BAS as needing protection. This definition is added to make clear where protective standards should apply and is responsive to changes made to WAC 365-190-030 since the County's last CAO update.	 Policy Analysis: This definition could be clarified to: Identify what "those areas" refers to on the Geological Survey. The tsunami hazard area standards in Section 62 of the ordinance identifies maps for designating these areas but does not reference the same maps. That section could be modified to refer to this definition for consistency. The latest version as of March 2025 is October 2024, version 2.1.
K.C.C. 21A.06.1370 - Defines "Volcanic hazard area" SECTION 35. Ordinance 10870, Section 314, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1370 are hereby amended to read as follows: Volcanic hazard area: ((an)) a geologically hazardous area subject to pyroclastic flows, lava flows, debris avalanche, or an inundation by debris flows, mudflows, lahars, or related flooding resulting from volcanic activity on Mount Rainier, delineated based on recurrence of an event equal in magnitude to the prehistoric Electron mudflow.	(1) Notes that Volcanic hazard areas are types of Geologically hazardous areas. (2) Adds additional descriptions of what is included in a Volcanic hazard area, such as areas subject to pyroclastic flows, lava flows, debris avalanche, or an inundation by debris flows.	Language expanded for consistency with WAC 365-190-030 and WAC 365-190-120(8)(a).	No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 22. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Geological professional: a geotechnical engineer or geologist, licensed in Washington state and experience in analyzing geologic, hydrologic, and ground water flow systems, as well as, preparing reports for the relevant geological subdisciplines.	Adds definition for "Geological professional".	Added to explain the relationship between the terms "geotechnical engineer" and "geologist", which are currently used and defined in existing code.	No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 16. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Debris flow: a moving mass of rock fragments, soil, and mud, with more than half of the particles being larger than sand size.	Adds a new section to K.C.C. Chapter 21A.06 with a definition of "Debris flow."	Adds a definition for this term, which is used in existing and proposed code provisions.	No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.24.205 - Establishes standards for coal mine hazard areas. SECTION 57. Ordinance 15051, Section 158, and K.C.C. 21A.24.205 are hereby amended to read as follows:	Updates terminology to specify that a geological critical area	Technical change to use consistent	Policy Analysis:

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
Based upon a geological critical area report containing a coal mine hazard assessment ((prepared in accordance with this ehapter)), the department shall classify coal mine hazard areas as follows: A. Declassified coal mine areas are those areas where the risk of catastrophic collapse is not significant and that the hazard assessment report has determined do not require special engineering or architectural recommendations to prevent significant risks of property damage. Declassified coal mine areas typically include, but are not limited to, areas underlain or directly affected by coal mines at depths of more than three hundred feet as measured from the surface; B. Moderate coal mine hazard areas are those areas that pose significant risks of property damage that can be mitigated by implementing special engineering or architectural recommendations. Moderate coal mine hazard areas typically include, but are not limited to, areas underlain or directly affected by abandoned coal mine workings from a depth of zero, which is the surface of the land, to three hundred feet or with overburden-cover-to-seam thickness ratios of less than ten to one depending on the inclination of the seam; and C. Severe coal mine hazard areas are those areas that pose a significant risk of catastrophic ground surface collapse. Severe coal mine hazard areas typically include, but are not limited to, areas characterized by unmitigated openings such as entries, portals, adits, mine shafts, air shafts, timber shafts, sinkholes, improperly filled sinkholes, and other areas of past or significant probability for catastrophic ground surface collapse; or areas characterized by((,))overland surfaces underlain or directly affected by abandoned coal mine workings from a depth of zero, which is the surface of the land, to one hundred fifty feet.	report is the basis on which the department classifies coal mines.	terminology throughout code.	This section and K.C.C. 21A.24.210 could be recodified to be located with other geologically hazardous area standards.
K.C.C. 21A.24.280 - Establishes standards for landslide hazard areas. SECTION 59. Ordinance 10870, Section 475, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.280 are hereby amended to read as follows: The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites containing landslide hazard areas: A. Unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within a landslide hazard area with a slope of forty percent or greater; B.L. A buffer is required from all edges of the landslide hazard area. To eliminate or minimize the risk of property damage or injury resulting from landslides caused in whole or part by the development, the department shall determine the size of the buffer based upon a geological critical area report ((prepared by a geotechnical engineer or geological)). 2. If a geological critical area area has a vertical rise of more than two-hundred feet, the department may increase the minimum ((building)) critical area setback in K. C. C. 21A.24.200 to one-hundred feet, 4. For development permits associated with single detached dwelling units only, the department may waive the geological critical area report requirement and authorize buffer reductions if the department determines that the reduction will adequately protect the proposed development and the critical area. C. Unless otherwise provided in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 or as a necessary part of an allowed alteration, removal of any vegetation from a landslide hazard area or buffer is prohibited; D. All alterations shall minimize disturbance to the landslide hazard area, slope, and vegetation unless necessary for slope stabilization; and E. Alterations in a landslide hazard area located on a slope less than forty percent are allowed if: 1. The proposed alteration will not decrease slope stability on contiguous properties; and 2. The risk of property damage or injury resulting from landsliding is eliminated or minimized.	(1) Updates terminology to specify that a geological critical area report is needed to determine the size of a required buffer. (2) Adds option for the department to waive the requirement for a geological critical area report for single detached dwelling units.	(1) Technical change to use consistent terminology throughout code. (2) The option to waive a geological critical area report would align the code with current practice and provide clarity on department authority to alter buffers without requiring a report when it can conclude the alteration will provide adequate protection without a report as a relief to property owners.	 Policy Analysis: It is unclear why the 100-foot width is a critical area setback instead of a buffer. Critical area setbacks typically allow some landscaping and other activities, whereas buffers are preserved as native vegetation. This code is existing language and when asked, Executive staff were not familiar with the original rationale for this language. This is a policy choice. Executive staff indicate that this waiver of the critical area report is consistent with the steep slope hazard area waiver and would provide relief for minor residential projects. Executive staff indicate that a waiver could be used in areas with no evidence of ongoing instability and on slope heights less than 50 feet. Staff would review publicly available data to determine that a buffer reduction is appropriate. The code does not set a maximum reduction, meaning that buffers could be 0 feet; however, Executive staff indicate that DLS has only provided minor reductions supported by soil and slope data. Whether to prescribe additional parameters is a policy choice. These standards could be applied to all alterations in a landslide hazard area, regardless of whether slopes are less than 40 percent. This is a policy choice.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
K.C.C. 21A.24.290 - Establishes standards for seismic hazard areas. SECTION 60. Ordinance 10870, Section 476, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.290 are hereby amended to read as follows: The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites ((containing seismic hazard areas)) where potential seismic hazard areas are mapped: A. The department may approve alterations to mapped seismic hazard areas only if: 1. The geological critical area report containing an evaluation of site-specific subsurface conditions shows that the proposed development site ((is-not located in)) does not meet the definition of a seismic hazard area; or 2. The applicant implements appropriate engineering design based on the best available engineering and geological practices that either eliminates or minimizes the risk of structural damage or injury resulting from seismically induced settlement or soil liquefaction; and B. The department may waive or reduce engineering study and design requirements for alterations in seismic hazard areas for: 1. Mobile homes; 2. Additions or alterations that do not increase occupancy or significantly affect the risk of structural damage or injury; and 3. One-story buildings with less than two-thousand-five hundred((s)) square feet of floor area or roof area, whichever is greater, and that are not dwelling units or used as places of employment or public assembly.	(1) Updates terminology to specify that a geological critical area report is needed to verify that a site does not meet the definition of a seismic hazard area. (2) Updates section to apply to mapped seismic hazard areas.	(1) Technical change to use consistent terminology throughout code. (2) Corrects logic error in code, which previously said that alterations to seismic hazard areas could be approved if site-specific study showed that the site was not located in a seismic hazard area. Updates section to clarify distinction between mapped seismic hazard areas, and areas confirmed to qualify as seismic hazard areas after site-specific investigation.	Section Notes: The last update to the potential seismic hazard area was in 1990. The state developed countywide mapping identifying liquefaction susceptibility and earthquake hazard site class maps; however, these are not intended to be used at scales greater than the source data, which is at a countywide scale rather than at a parcel scale. Policy Analysis: This phrase is duplicative to the sentence to the added language above ("where potential seismic hazard areas are mapped") and could be deleted.
K.C.C. 21A.24.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 61. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 a new section to read as follows: The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites containing alluvial fan hazard areas: A. Only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within alluvial fan hazard areas; B. A geological critical area report is required for development proposals that are on an alluvial fan or within fifty feet of an alluvial fan; C. Proposed alterations shall not increase the risk of inundation, sedimentation, channel migration, or erosion on adjacent properties; D. The risk of property damage or injury on the subject property from inundation, sedimentation, channel migration, or erosion as a result of a proposed alteration shall be eliminated or minimized; and E. The proposed alteration shall not increase the frequency or magnitude of sediment management activities or in-stream channel work that could impact fish habitat or passage.	Adds standards for alluvial fan hazard areas (AFHA).	Adds new section on AFHAs to enable regulation to protect public health and safety as required by WAC 365-196-830. AFHAs are currently regulated under the umbrella of landslide hazard areas; the new standards are proposed to be modeled on the format of other geologically hazard area code sections and are tailored to the specific risks of AFHAs, using the best available science.	 This section would establish new standards for alluvial fan hazard areas. Policy Analysis: Under the proposed ordinance, alluvial fan hazard areas are called out separately as a critical area but are also a type of landslide hazard area. This would mean that they are required to meet both the alluvial fan and landslide hazard area requirements. Under state law, it is designated as a landslide hazard area, and it is not required to be designated as a separate critical area. Council may wish to create clarity between these regulations, such as 1) creating cross-references to clarify that alluvial fan hazard areas must also meet the standards for landslide hazard areas, 2) adding landslide hazard regulations into this section, or 3) consolidating this section into the landslide hazard area standards.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
			Geological critical area report is required when a development is in an alluvial fan or within 50 feet of an alluvial fan. This number is carried over from the landslide hazard area regulations. Council may wish to consider whether this number is appropriate, if it should be widened, or if language should be added to provide DLS the discretion to expand the width.
NEW SECTION. SECTION 62. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 a new section to read as follows: A. This section applies to development proposals on sites that are within tsunami hazard areas. B. New and substantially improved residential buildings within a tsunami hazard area shall be designed to provide protection from inundation and debris impact according to the projected hazard level. The projected hazard level shall be determined by the county based on Washington state Department of Natural Resources tsunami inundation maps, areas on the Flood Insurance Rate Maps indicated as Zones V1-V30, VE, or V, and relevant requirements of the building code in K.C.C. Title 16. C. Except for buildings that support water-oriented uses, new buildings shall be located on portions of the parcel or parcels under contiguous ownership are located outside the tsunami hazard area, or if the portion outside the tsunami hazard area is not feasible for new building purposes, new buildings shall be located as far from the tsunami hazard area as feasible. D. New critical facilities shall not be constructed in a tsunami hazard area is free is a feasible alternative location outside the tsunami hazard area that would serve the intended service area or service population. If allowed in the tsunami hazard area, the critical facility shall be designed to minimize the risk and danger to the public health and safety to the maximum extent practicable, which may include, but is not limited to, preparation of a tsunami evacuation plan.	Adds standards for tsunami hazard areas.	Tsunami hazard area standards are proposed to be added code in order to align with changes made to WAC 365-190-030 since the County's last CAO update. The proposed tsunami hazard area standards incorporate the Department of Commerce guidance by promoting an avoidance-first approach and by requiring development to be outside of harm's way if feasible, before it can be allowed in the tsunami hazard area. Tsunamis arrive unpredictably and can be extremely forceful, and engineering is limited in its ability to mitigate harm. Therefore, local governments typically regulate by promoting avoidance as the first and preferred option. New critical facilities also are required to take an avoidance first approach, but these have the added	 This section would establish new standards for tsunami hazard areas. Tsunami hazard areas are identified on the flood insurance rate maps or tsunami inundation maps. Policy Analysis: Tsunami hazard area is a new critical area under this update. with specific alteration allowances. Inclusion of these new critical areas is a policy choice. The definition of tsunami hazard area identifies maps for designating these areas but does not use the same set of maps. This sentence could be deleted, as it is covered under the definition, or it should be updated to be consistent. This building code reference refers to DNR maps adopted in the state building code. Council could consider clarify what "relevant requirements" refers to for applicants. Whether new critical facilities (such as schools, personal care facilities, bridges, or storage of hazardous waste) can be built inside a tsunami hazard area is a policy call. Executive staff indicate that a tsunami evacuation plan would be developed by the applicant, similar to a fire evacuation plan.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
		requirement of being designed to minimize risk and danger to public health and safety. These types of facilities are required to meet a higher standard because of their unique role in providing services.	
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE K.C.C. 21A.24.300 Volcanic hazard areas - development standards and alterations. The following development standards apply to development proposal and alterations on sites containing volcanic hazard areas: A. Within volcanic hazard areas located along the White river upstream from Mud Mountain dam: 1. Critical facilities, apartments, townhouses or commercial structures are not allowed; 2. all new lots created by subdivision, short subdivision or binding site plan shall designate building areas and building setbacks outside of the volcanic hazard area; and 3. The notice of critical areas required under this chapter is required for new single detached dwellings on existing lots; B. Within volcanic hazard areas located along the White river downstream from Mud Mountain dam and the Green and Duwamish rivers, the department shall evaluate development proposals for critical facilities for risk of inundation or flooding resulting from mudflows originating on Mount Rainier. The applicant shall design critical facilities to withstand, without damage, the effects of mudflows equal in magnitude to the prehistoric Electron mudflow; and C. This section does not apply until King County has refined the mapping of volcanic hazard areas in cooperation with the United State Geological Survey and adopted volcanic hazard area maps by public rule. (Ord. 17539 § 55, 2013: Ord. 15051 § 169, 2004: Ord. 10870 § 477, 1993).	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: • This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference.
K.C.C. 21A.24.310 - Establishes standards for steep slope hazard areas. SECTION 63. Ordinance 10870, Section 478, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.310 are hereby amended to read as follows: The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites containing steep slope hazard areas: A. Except as provided in subsection D. of this section, unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within a steep slope hazard area or associated buffer; B. A buffer is required from all edges of the steep slope hazard area. To eliminate or minimize the risk of property damage or injury resulting from slope instability, landsliding, or erosion caused in whole or part by the development, the department shall determine the size of the buffer based upon a geological critical area report prepared by a ((geotechnical engineer or geologist)) geological professional. The department of local services shall adopt a public rule to implement this subsection, including implementing the requirements for development and review of a geological critical area report. 1. For new structures and substantial improvements to existing structures on sites where any portion of the steep slope hazard area extends into the coastal high hazard area or sea level rise risk area: a. The geological critical area report shall include an assessment of current and future risks of sea level rise conditions anticipated to occur over the next fifty years and a recommended buffer; b. If a geological critical area report is not submitted to the department, the minimum buffer shall be seventy-five feet; 2. For all other development not identified in subsection B.1. of this section: a. If a geological critical area report is not submitted to the department, the minimum buffer shall be fifty feet; and b. For ((building)) permits ((for)) associated with single detached dwelling units only, the department may waive the ((special study)) geological crit	(1) Updates terminology to specify that a geological critical area report is needed to determine buffer size. (2) Clarifies that this code section applies to associated buffers, not just steep slope hazard areas.	(1) Technical change to use consistent terminology throughout code. (2) Maintains internal consistency with K.C.C. 21A.24.045, which lists allowed alterations to steep slope hazard areas and buffers, and with internal practice.	 Section Notes: This section establishes standards for steep slope hazard areas. Steep slopes are areas with 40% or more grade with a vertical height of at least 10 feet. Policy Analysis: Where there is overlap, this section could be made consistent with the language in K.C.C. 21A.24.280, which concerns standards for landslide hazard areas. The public rule is in progress. Executive staff indicate that DLS intends to provide guidance documents for addressing each geologically hazardous area and that this information may be adopted by public rule. DLS currently implements standard practices that may be incorporated into the public rule. These standards are typically

Code	Executive's Proposed	Executive's	Policy Staff Comments
	Change	Intent/Rationale	
C. Unless otherwise provided in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 or as a necessary part of an allowed alteration, removal of any vegetation from a steep slope hazard area or buffer is prohibited; and D. ((AH a))Alterations ((see) may be allowed in the following circumstances: 1. Slopes ((which)) that are forty percent or steeper with a vertical elevation change of up to twenty feet, if no adverse impact will result from the exemption based on King County's review of and concurrence with a ((soils)) geological critical area report prepared by a ((seeloligist or geotechnical engineer)) geological professional; and 2. The approved regrading of any slope ((which)) that was created through previous legal grading activities. Any slope ((which)) that remains forty percent or steeper following site development shall be subject to all requirements for steep slopes.			codified by other jurisdictions but are not codified by the County. It is a policy choice whether to require the implementation through a public rule, or to do so through the code. • Executive staff indicate that 50 years is a reasonable timeframe for assessing risk, given the confidence in sea level projections through the middle of the century. Executive staff also indicate that work is underway to complete a coastal hazards vulnerability assessment based on sea level rise projections on a 50+ year time horizon. • Subsection D. allows other alterations besides those identified in Subsections A. and C. Council may wish to restructure this section for clarity, so alterations are in one location. • Executive staff indicate that this waiver of the critical area report provides relief for minor residential projects. Executive staff indicate that a waiver could be used in areas with no evidence of ongoing instability and on slope heights less than 50 feet. Staff would review publicly available data to determine that a buffer reduction is appropriate. The code does not set a maximum reduction, meaning that buffers could be 0 feet; however, Executive staff indicate that DLS has only provided minor reductions supported by soil and slope data. Whether to include specific considerations or criteria for reductions are a policy choice.
CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS (CARAS)			
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: • Critical aquifer recharge areas (CARAs) are
K.C.C. 21A.06.253C Critical aquifer recharge area. Critical aquifer recharge area: an area designated on the critical aquifer recharge area map adopted by K.C.C. 21A.24.311 that has a high susceptibility to ground water contamination or an area of medium susceptibility to ground water contamination that is located within a sole source aquifer or within an area approved in accordance with chapter 246-290 WAC as a wellhead protection area for a municipal or district drinking water system, or an area			areas within a sole source aquifer or a wellhead protection area for potable water systems and are susceptible to groundwater contamination.
over a sole source aquifer and located on an island surrounded by saltwater. Susceptibility to ground water contamination occurs where there is a combination of permeable soils, permeable subsurface geology and ground water close to the ground surface.			Policy Analysis:

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
			The definition could be updated in the proposed ordinance to change "an island surrounded by saltwater" to "Vashon-Maury Island" for clarity and consistency throughout the code.
K.C.C. 21A.24.311 - Adopts King County's Critical Aquifer Recharge Area map. SECTION 64. Ordinance 11481, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.311 are hereby amended to read as follows: The map entitled King County Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas, included in Attachment H to Ordinance 17485, is hereby adopted as the designation of critical aquifer recharge areas in King County in accordance with RCW 36.70A.170, unless a designation is modified by notices of map amendment prepared according to K.C.C. 21A.24.312, in which case the notice of map amendment shall supersede the map.	Adds that the CARA map is superseded by approved notices of map amendments prepared according to K.C.C. 21A.24.312.	Because the adopted CARA map is not updated after the issuance of every notice of map amendment (which are based on field-verified information), this code proposed update clarifies that the latest available information on CARAs be used, incorporating notices of map amendment. This ensures the latest, most accurate available information is used on the official adopted map.	 Section Notes: Ordinance 17485 was the 2012 update to the critical area regulations, which adopted the most current CARA map. Executive staff state that they anticipate updating the maps in the 2026-2027 biennium, subject to funding and staffing. Notice of map amendments would provide more granular site-specific information, which would be more accurate than an area-wide map. The BAS report indicates that the County's existing methodology from 2004 for delineating CARAs matches Ecology guidance and that no updates are proposed (page 156). Policy Analysis: It may be clearer to state "as modified by notices of map amendments in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.312."
K.C.C. 21A.24.312 - Establishes processes for classifying, reclassifying, and declassifying areas on CARA maps if a site-specific study concludes a different classification is appropriate for the site. SECTION 65. Ordinance 15051, Section 173, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.312 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. Upon application supported by a critical area((s)) report that includes a hydrogeologic site evaluation, the department, in consultation with the department of natural resources and parks, may determine that an area that is or is not classified as a critical aquifer recharge area on the map adopted under K.C.C. 21A.24.311: ((A-)) 1. Does not meet the criteria for a critical aquifer recharge area and declassify that area if it is classified as a critical aquifer recharge area; ((B-)) 2. Has the wrong critical aquifer recharge area classification and determine the correct classification; or ((C-)) 3. Has not been classified as a critical aquifer recharge area and should be so classified based on the standards of K.C.C. 21A.24.313. B. Upon reclassification or declassification, a notice of map amendment for the affected parcel or parcels shall be sent from the department of natural resources and parks to the property owner, the property owner's representative, if applicable, and the county assessor.	Adds a provision requiring that a notice of map amendment for the affected parcels be sent by DNRP to the property owner.	Ensures that reclassification information is conveyed to the correct parties, so that the latest, most accurate available information is used.	 Section Notes: This section describes how a CARA designation could be changed on a site. Policy Analysis: Subsection A. does not fully connect with A.1. through A.3. The portion highlighted in blue could be deleted, or additional text could be added for clarity.
 K.C.C. 21A.24.313 - Describes the categorization of CARAs. SECTION 66. Ordinance 15051, Section 174, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.313 are hereby amended to read as follows: Critical aquifer recharge areas are categorized as follows: 	Replaces the term "an island that is surrounded by	Clarifies the geography that is intended to be addressed in this code section.	Section Notes: • There are three categories of CARAs based on groundwater contamination

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
A. Category I critical aquifer recharge areas include those mapped areas that King County has determined are: 1. Highly susceptible to groundwater contamination and that are located within a sole source aquifer or a wellhead protection area; or 2. In an area where hydrogeologic mapping or a numerical flow transport model in a Washington department of health approved wellhead protection plan demonstrate that the area is within the one-year time of travel to a wellhead for a Group A water system; B. Category II critical aquifer recharge areas include those mapped areas that King County has determined: 1. Have a medium susceptibility to ground water contamination and are not located in a sole source aquifer or a wellhead protection area; or 2. Are highly susceptible to groundwater contamination and are not located in a sole source aquifer or wellhead protection area; and C. Category III critical aquifer recharge areas include those mapped areas that King County has determined have low susceptibility to groundwater contamination and are located over an aquifer underlying ((an-island-that-is-surrounded-by-saltwater)) Vashon-Maury Island.	saltwater" with "Vashon-Maury Island."		susceptibility and location over an aquifer or wellhead protection area. This section describes the different categories of CARAs. Policy Analysis: The County is required by state law to classify CARAS based on aquifer vulnerability. Vulnerability is the combination of hydrogeological susceptibility to contamination and the contamination loading potential. Vulnerability can be classified as "high" or "low." The CARA classifications in this proposed ordinance are not updated to include aquifer vulnerability designations. At the time of the public review draft in March 2024, the Executive proposed changes to this code section that would classify CARA categories by the vulnerability levels shown on the adopted CARA maps. Council staff noted that adopted CARA maps did not actually identify vulnerability, which may pose issues for implementation. Executive staff indicated that CARA maps are anticipated to be updated, but they are not part of this proposed ordinance. The BAS report states "King County is making minor changes to the K.C.C. to clarify that CARA is classified according to aquifer vulnerability as required by the state. Updates to the K.C.C. are not expected to meaningfully change critical area protections for CARA or effect County permit processing. Updates clarify that CARA is classified according to aquifer vulnerability, bringing the K.C.C. up to date with state requirements. The BAS report continues to support the County's methodology for delineating CARA" (page 158). Council staff note that this proposed ordinance does not actually include these changes and is not consistent with the BAS
			report. County protections for CARAs are

Critical Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/ 16/2:				
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments	
			not anticipated to be substantially affected without the update. This is a policy choice.	
21A.24.314 - Critical aquifer recharge areas - King County Code provisions adopted - Washington state underground tank provisions implemented. To protect critical aquifer recharge areas, in accordance with chapter 36.70A RCW, the following provisions of the King County Code are determined to protect critical aquifer recharge areas: K.C.C. chapters 9.04, 9.12, 16.82, 21A.06, 21A.16, 21A.22 and 21A.24 and K.C.C. 17.04.010. For the purposes of RCW 90.76.040, King County declares critical aquifer recharge areas to be environmentally sensitive areas. (Ord. 16852 § 2, 2010: Ord. 15051 § 176, 2004: Ord. 11481 §§ 3, 5, 1994. Formerly K.C.C. 20.70.030).	Repealed.	Proposed for removal because this section is more appropriate as a finding rather than codified. This section has been added as a finding in this ordinance.	Section Notes: This section is proposed for repeal. This information is now in a finding of this proposed ordinance (which itself may not be needed). Policy Analysis. No issues identified.	
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE K.C.C. 21A.24.315 Board of Health regulations adopted. The following Titles of the Code of King County Board of Health are hereby adopted in accordance with RCW 36.70A.060 to protect critical aquifer recharge areas: Title 10 "King County Solid Waste Regulations", Title 12 "King County Public Water System Rules and Regulations", and Title 13 "On-Site Sewage Disposal Systems." (Ord. 15051 § 177, 2004: Ord. 11481 § 4, 1994. Formerly K.C.C. 20.70.040).	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: • This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference.	
K.C.C. 21A.24.316 - Establishes development standards for CARAs. SECTION 67. Ordinance 15051, Section 179, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.316 are hereby amended to read as follows: The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites containing critical aquifer recharge areas: A. Except as otherwise provided in subsection H. of this section, the following new development proposals and alterations are not allowed on a site located in a category I critical aquifer recharge area: 1. Transmission pipelines carrying petroleum or petroleum products; 2. Sand and gravel, and hard rock mining unless: a. the site has mineral zoning as of January 1, 2005; or b. mining is a permitted use on the site and the critical aquifer recharge area was mapped after the date a complete application for mineral extraction on the site was filed with the department; 3. Mining of any type below the upper surface of the saturated ground water that could be used for potable water supply; 4. Disposal of radioactive wastes, as defined in chapter 43.200 RCW; 5. Hydrocarbon extraction; 6. Commercial wood treatment facilities on permeable surfaces; 7. Underground storage tanks, including tanks that are exempt from the requirements of chapter 173-360A WAC, with hazardous substances, as defined in chapter ((70.105)) 70A.300 RCW, that do not comply with standards of chapter 173-360A WAC and K.C.C. Title 17; 8. Above-ground storage tanks for hazardous substances, as defined in chapter ((70.105)) 70A.300 RCW, unless protected with primary and secondary containment areas and a spill protection plan; 9. Golf courses; 10. Cemeteries; 11. Wrecking yards; 12. Landfills for hazardous waste, municipal solid waste, or special waste, as defined in K.C.C. chapter 10.04; and 13. On lots smaller than one acre, an on-site septic system, unless: a. the system is approved by the Washington state Department of Health and has been listed by the Washington ((§))state Department of Health as meeting treatment stan	(1) Updates WAC sections cited by the code. (2) Updates the name of "public health – Seattle & King County." (3) Replaces the term "an island that is surrounded by saltwater" with "Vashon-Maury Island."	(1) WAC sections cited in this K.C.C. section have been recodified; this code update cites the correct WAC sections. (2) Updated to use the current, correct agency name. (3) Clarifies the geography that is intended to be addressed in this code section.	Section Notes: This section describes what activities are allowed in CARAs. Policy Analysis: Mining is not identified as an allowed use in K.C.C. 21A.08. This could be changed to mineral extraction.	

			cat Area Ordinance Neview Matrix 4/10/25
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
B. Except as otherwise provided in subsection H. of this section, the following new development proposals and alterations are not			
allowed on a site located in a category II critical aquifer recharge area:			
1. Mining of any type below the upper surface of the saturated ground water that could be used for potable water supply;			
2. Disposal of radioactive wastes, as defined in chapter 43.200 RCW;			
3. Hydrocarbon extraction;			
4. Commercial wood treatment facilities located on permeable surfaces;			This RCW does not exist, and the code
5.a. Underground storage tanks with hazardous substances, as defined in chapter 70.105 RCW, that do not comply with			
requirements of chapter 173-360A WAC and K.C.C. Title 17, ((\(\xi\))) except for a category II critical aquifer recharge area located over an			should be updated to chapter 70A.300
aquifer underlying ((an island that is surrounded by saltwater, underground storage tanks with hazardous substances, as defined in chapter			RCW.
70.105 RCW, that do not meet the requirements of chapter 173-360 WAC and K.C.C. Title 17)) Vashon-Maury Island; and			
b. For a category II critical aquifer recharge area located over an aquifer underlying ((an island that is surrounded by			
saltwater)) Vashon-Maury Island, underground storage tanks, including underground storage tanks exempt from the requirements of			
chapter 173-360A WAC, with hazardous substances, as defined in chapter 70.105 RCW, that do not comply with the standards in chapter			
173-360 <u>A</u> WAC and K.C.C. Title 17;			
6. Above-ground storage tanks for hazardous substances, as defined in chapter 70.105 RCW, unless protected with primary and			
secondary containment areas and a spill protection plan;			
7. Wrecking yards;			
8. Landfills for hazardous waste, municipal solid waste, or special waste, as defined in K.C.C. chapter 10.04; and			
9. On lots smaller than one acre, an on-site septic systems, unless:			
a. the system is approved by the Washington state Department of Health and has been listed by the Washington state			
Department of Health as meeting treatment standard N as provided in ((WAC)) chapter 426-272A WAC; or			
b. ((the Seattle-King County department of)) public health - Seattle & King County determines that the systems required under			This RCW does not exist, and the code
subsection B.9.a. of this section will not function on the site.			should be updated to chapter 70A.384
C. Except as otherwise provided in subsection H. of this section, the following new development proposals and alterations are not			RCW.
allowed on a site located in a category III critical aquifer recharge area:			Nov.
1. Disposal of radioactive wastes, as defined in chapter 43.200 RCW;			
2. Hydrocarbon extraction;			
3. Commercial wood treatment facilities located on permeable surfaces;			
4. Underground storage tanks, including tanks exempt from the requirements of chapter 173-360 <u>A</u> WAC, with hazardous			
substances, as defined in chapter ((70.105)) $\underline{70A.300}$ RCW, that do not comply with the requirements of chapter $173-360\underline{A}$ WAC and			
K.C.C. Title 17;			
5. Above ground storage tanks for hazardous substances, as defined in chapter ((70.105)) 70A.300 RCW, unless protected with			
primary and secondary containment areas and a spill protection plan;			
6. Wrecking yards; and			
7. Landfills for hazardous waste, municipal solid waste, or special waste, as defined in K.C.C. chapter 10.04.			
D. The following standards apply to development proposals and alterations that are substantial improvements on a site located in			
a critical aquifer recharge area:			
1. The owner of an underground storage tank, including a tank that is exempt from the requirements of chapter 173 <u>-360A</u> WAC,			
in a category I or III critical aquifer recharge area or a category II critical aquifer recharge area located over an aquifer underlying Vashon-Maury Island shall either bring the tank into compliance with the standards of chapter 173-360A WAC and K.C.C. Title 17 or properly			
decommission or remove the tank; and			
2. The owner of an underground storage tank in a category II critical aquifer recharge area not located on located over an aquifer			
underlying Vashon-Maury Island shall bring the tank into compliance with the standards of chapter 173-360 <u>A</u> WAC and K.C.C. Title 17			
or shall properly decommission or remove the tank.			
E. In any critical aquifer recharge area, the property owner shall properly decommission an abandoned well.			
F. On a site located in a critical aquifer recharge area within the urban growth area, a development proposal for new residential			
development, including, but not limited to, a subdivision, short subdivision, or dwelling unit, shall incorporate best management practices			
included in the King County Surface Water Design Manual into the site design in order to infiltrate stormwater runoff to the maximum			
extent practical.			
G. For critical aquifer recharge areas on Vashon-Maury Island:			
G. 1 of officeal aquifor feetialize areas off vasifori-iviatity Island.			

Critical Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25					
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments		
1. No new groundwater wells are permitted within a coastal high hazard area. A rainwater catchment system may be used as an alternative water supply source for a single ((family)) detached residence if the requirements of ((K.C.C.)) King County Board of Health Code BOH 13.04.070 are met; 2. All new groundwater wells within a sea level rise risk area shall include a surface seal that prevents risks of saltwater contamination caused by sea level rise conditions anticipated to occur over the next fifty years; and 3. The owner of a new well located within the sea level rise risk area shall test the well for chloride levels using testing protocols approved by the Washington state Department of Health. The owner shall report the results of the test to ((Seattle King County department of)) public health — Seattle & King County and to the department of natural resources and parks. If the test results indicate saltwater intrusion is likely to occur, the department of natural resources and parks, in consultation with ((Seattle King County department of)) public health — Seattle & King County, shall recommend appropriate measures in addition to the minimum requirements of this title to prevent saltwater intrusion. H. On a site greater than twenty acres, the department may approve a development proposal otherwise prohibited by subsections A., B., and C. of this section if the applicant demonstrates through a critical area report that the development proposal is located outside the critical aquifer recharge area and that the development proposal will not cause an ((significant)) adverse ((environmental)) impact to the critical aquifer recharge area. I. The provisions relating to underground storage tanks in subsections A. through D. of this section apply only when the proposed regulation of underground storage tanks has been submitted to and approved by the Washington state ((d))Department of ((e))Ecology, in accordance with ((90.76.040)) RCW 70A.355.030 and WAC ((173.360.530)) 173-360-0130.			 Executive staff indicate that 50 years is a typical timeframe for assessing sea level rise. This is a policy choice. As written, the code language does not indicate what happens after recommendations are made. Executive staff indicate that a property owner is required to take the appropriate measures, maintain the system, and place a notice on title. Council may wish to clarify the owner responsibilities. This RCW does not exist, and the code should be updated to WAC 173-360A-0130. 		
WETLANDS AND WETLAND BUFFERS					
K.C.C. 21A.06.1391 Wetland. Wetland: A. An area that is inundated or saturated by ground or surface water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. B. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs and similar areas. Wetlands may include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from nonwetland areas created to mitigate conversion of wetlands. C. Wetlands do not include those artificially created wetlands intentionally created from nonwetlands sites, including, but not limited to: 1. Surface water conveyances for drainage or irrigation; 2. Grass-lined swales; 3. Canals; 4. [A]* flow control facilities or wetponds; 5. Wastewater treatment facilities; 6. Farm ponds; 7. Landscape amenities; or 9. Those wetlands created after July 1, 1990, that were unintentionally created as a result of the construction of a road, street or highway.	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: • These sections are not part of the proposed ordinance – they are included in this matrix for reference.		
 K.C.C. 21A.06.1392 Wetland complex. Wetland complex: a grouping of two or more wetlands, not including grazed wet meadows, that meet the following criteria: A. Each wetland included in the complex is within five hundred feet of the delineated edge of at least one other wetland in the complex; B. The complex includes at least: 1. one wetland classified category I or II; 2. three wetlands classified category III; or 3. four wetlands classified category IV; C. The area between each wetland and at least one other wetland in the complex is predominately vegetated with shrubs and trees; and 					

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
D. There are not any barriers to migration or dispersal of amphibian, reptile or mammal species that are commonly recognized to exclusively or partially use wetlands and wetland buffers during a critical life cycle stage, such as breeding, rearing or feeding. (Ord. 15051 § 112, 2004).			
K.C.C. 21A.06.1393 Wetland creation. Wetland creation: For purposes of wetland mitigation, the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics present to develop a wetland on an upland or deepwater site, where a wetland did not previously exist. Activities to create a wetland typically involve excavation of upland soils to elevations that will produce a wetland hydroperiod, create hydric soils and support the growth of hydrophytic plant species. Wetland creation results in a gain in wetland acres. (Ord. 15051 § 113, 2004).			
K.C.C. 21A.06.1395 Wetland edge. Wetland edge: the line delineating the outer edge of a wetland, consistent with the wetland delineation manual required by RCW 90.58.380. (Ord. 19034 § 22, 2019: Ord. 15051 § 114, 2004: Ord. 11977 § 1, 1995: Ord. 10870 § 319, 1993).			
K.C.C. 21A.06.1397 Wetland enhancement. Wetland enhancement: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a wetland site to heighten, intensify or improve specific functions or to change the growth state or composition of the vegetation present. Enhancement is undertaken for specified purposes such as water quality improvement, flood water retention or wildlife habitat. Wetland enhancement activities typically consist of planting vegetation, controlling nonnative or invasive species, modifying site elevations or the proportion of open water to influence hydroperiods or some combination of these. Wetland enhancement results in a change in some wetland functions and can lead to a decline in other wetland functions, but does not result in a gain in wetland acres. (Ord. 15051 § 115, 2004).			
K.C.C. 21A.06.1400 Wetland, forested. Wetland, forested: a wetland that is dominated by mature woody vegetation or a wetland vegetation class that is characterized by woody vegetation at least twenty feet tall. (Ord. 15051 § 116, 2004: Ord. 10870 § 320, 1993).			
K.C.C. 21A.06.1414 Wetland reestablishment. Wetland reestablishment: For purposes of wetland mitigation, the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former wetland. Activities to reestablish a wetland include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. Wetland reestablishment results in a gain in wetland acres. (Ord. 15051 § 120, 2004).			
K.C.C. 21A.06.1416 Wetland rehabilitation. Wetland rehabilitation: For purposes of wetland mitigation, the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of repairing natural or historic functions of a degraded wetland. Activities to rehabilitate a wetland include breaching a dike to reconnect wetlands to a floodplain or return tidal influence to a wetland. Wetland rehabilitation results in a gain in wetland function but does not result in a gain in wetland acres. (Ord. 15051 § 121, 2004).			
K.C.C. 21A.06.1418 Wetland vegetation class. Wetland vegetation class: a wetland community classified by its vegetation including aquatic bed, emergent, forested and shrub-scrub. To constitute a separate wetland vegetation class, the vegetation must be at least partially rooted within the wetland and must occupy the uppermost stratum of a contiguous area or comprise at least thirty percent areal coverage of the entire wetland. (Ord. 15051 § 122, 2004).			
K.C.C. 21A.06.1405 - Defines "Wetland functions" SECTION 36. Ordinance 10870, Section 321, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1405 are hereby amended to read as follows: Wetland functions: ((natural processes performed by wetlands including functions which are important in facilitating food chain production, providing habitat for nesting, rearing and resting sites for aquatic, terrestrial and avian species, maintaining the availability and quality of water, acting as recharge and discharge areas for groundwater aquifers and moderating surface and storm water flows, as	Updates definition to provide a different description and examples of wetland functions.	Revised definition for consistency with relevant state guidance in Wetlands in Washington State Volume 1.	Policy Analysis: • This definition does not exactly match the definition in the Glossary in the Comprehensive Plan. Council may wish to consider making this definition consistent with the one in the Comprehensive Plan.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
well as performing other functions including, but not limited to, those set forth in 33 CFR 320.4(b)(2), 1988)) the physical, biological, chemical, and geologic interactions among different components of the environment that occur within a wetland. Wetland functions include, but are not limited to, functions that improve water quality, functions that change the water regime in a watershed such as flood storage, and functions that provide habitat for plants and animals.			• In the KCCP: "Wetland Functions: The physical, biological, chemical, and geologic interactions among different components of the environment that occur within a wetland. Wetlands perform functions that are grouped into three categories: functions that improve water quality, functions that change the water regime in a watershed such as flood storage, and functions that provide habitat for plants and animals."
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 37. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Wetland values: wetland processes, characteristics, or attributes that are considered to benefit society.	Adds definition for "Wetland values."	Added for clarity. Current code uses the term "wetland functions and values." "Wetland functions" is defined, but "wetland values" was not.	 Policy Analysis: This definition does not match the definition in the Glossary in the Comprehensive Plan. Council may wish to consider making this definition consistent with the one in the Comprehensive Plan. In the KCCP: "Wetland Values: Values are estimates, usually subjective, of the worth, merit, quality, or importance of wetland attributes that are valuable and beneficial to society. Values vary by watershed or human community. Education, research, aesthetics, and recreation are examples of other wetland attributes that may be considered values in that they are beneficial to society."
K.C.C. 21A.24.318 - Sets standards for how wetlands are delineated and typed. SECTION 68. Ordinance 15051, Section 183, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.318 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. Identification of wetlands and delineation of their boundaries shall be done in accordance with the approved federal wetland delineation manual and applicable regional supplement as set forth in WAC 173-22-035. B. Wetlands shall be rated into category I, category III, and category IV based on the adopted Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington, Washington state Department of Ecology publication number ((14-06-029)) 23-06-009, published October 2014 and updated July 2023. C. Wetland rating categories shall not recognize illegal modifications.	Updated to refer to a more recent version of the relevant state Department of Ecology publication.	Updated to use the current, correct publication for determining wetland delineation and type.	 Section Notes: Wetland boundaries are delineated using the federal wetland delineation manual and regional supplements. Wetlands are rated (Category I through IV) using the Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington. Policy Analysis: No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.24.325.A.1 Sets standards for determining the correct buffer size for wetlands. SECTION 69. Ordinance 15051, Section 185, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.325 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. Except as otherwise provided in this section, buffers shall be provided from the wetland edge as follows: 1. The buffers shown on the following table apply unless modified in accordance with subsections B., C., D., and E. of this section:	(1) Updates buffer sizes. (2) Adds railroads and highways as examples of high impact uses, for the purpose of	(1) – (3) Buffer sizes and impact of land uses have been updated to include the best available science.	Section Notes: • Wetlands are rated from Category I (highest quality) to Category IV (lowest quality). Buffers are determined based on the wetland category and the intensity of the adjacent land use. Buffers provide protection for wetlands by preventing or

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
			estimate that of Category I wetlands, fewer than 100 are bog wetlands, fewer than 10 are estuarine, fewer than 5 are coastal lagoon, and approximately 200 are wetlands of high conservation value.
			No changes are proposed to Category I wetlands without special characteristics, Category II wetlands, and Category III wetlands. It appears that these widths are based on Ecology's assumptions that the buffers are fully vegetated. See discussion above on assumptions. This is a policy choice.
			Buffer widths for Category I wetlands with special characteristics and IV wetlands are larger than Ecology guidance, which could account for the native vegetation assumption. Council may wish to consider whether to allow buffers that are lower, but match Ecology's guidance, in exchange for planting native vegetation.
			Buffers for Category IV wetlands are proposed to increase between 20% and 40%. The BAS report indicates that the widths "address Ecology's recommendationthat wetland buffers with limited native vegetation be planted with native species or widened. This change better aligns King County with Ecology guidance for a moderate-risk approach to wetland management without introducing the need for permit applicants to plant and maintain Category IV wetland buffers with
			native plant species." (page 48). The BAS report and Ecology guidance do not discuss how much the buffer should be increased and it is unclear whether the proposed increased widths are sufficient to address the assumptions. Executive staff roughly estimate that a quarter of wetlands in unincorporated King County would be classified as Category IV.
K.C.C. 21A.24.325.A.2. through D - Sets standards for determining the correct buffer size for wetlands.			Industrial uses are not defined in the zoning code. Executive staff indicate that there

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
2. For purposes of this subsection A., unless the director determines a lesser level of impact is appropriate based on information provided by the applicant, the intensity of impact of the adjacent land use is determined as follows: a. High impact includes: (1) sites zoned commercial or industrial; (2) commercial, institutional, or industrial use on a site regardless of the zoning classification; (3) nonresidential use on a site zoned for residential use, such as telecommunication towers and associated equipment; (4) high-intensity active recreation use on a site regardless of zoning, such as golf courses, ball fields, and similar use; (5) all sites within the ((U))urban ((G))growth ((A))area; ((er)) (6) Residential zoning greater than one dwelling unit per acre; (7) railroads; or (8) federal and state highways, including on ramps and exits, state routes, and other roads associated with high impact land uses: b. Moderate impact includes: (1) residential use on a site zoned residential one dwelling unit per acre or less; (2) residential use on a site zoned rural area, agriculture, or forestry; (3) agricultural uses without an approved farm management plan; (4) forest carrier and ond roads associated with readerate impacts.			historically has not been an issue in determining what uses fall under which categories. Work Plan Action Item 7 of the Comprehensive Plan directs the Executive to review industrial uses as part of the midpoint update to the KCCP, which will be adopted in 2029. Whether to wait for the midpoint update is a policy choice. • Executive staff indicate that RA zoning would be classified as "a site zoned for residential use" where RA zoning allows residential uses. This could be clarified. • Cellular towers are identified in Ecology's guidance of "low impost" where RD S had
(4) forest service roads and roads associated with moderate impacts; (5) utility corridors or right-of-way shared by several utilities, including maintenance roads; or (((5))) (6) moderate-intensity active recreation or open space use, such as paved trails, parks with biking, jogging, and similar use; and c. Low impact includes: (1) forestry use on a site regardless of zoning classification; (2) possive regrection uses such as upproved trails, nature viewing areas, fishing and comming areas, and other similar uses			 guidance as "low impact," whereas DLS has previously administered these as "high impact." This is a policy choice. Council may wish to consider using "sports fields", which is a defined term in the zoning
(2) passive recreation uses, such as unpaved trails, nature viewing areas, fishing and camping areas, and other similar uses that do not require permanent structures, on a site regardless of zoning; (3) agricultural uses carried out in accordance with an approved farm management plan and in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.045.D.53. and K.C.C. 21A.24.045.D.54.; or (4) utility corridors without a maintenance road and little or no vegetation maintenance. B. The department may approve a modification of the minimum buffer width required by this section by averaging the buffer width if: 1. The department determines that:			 All sites within the UGA would include passive recreation parks and natural areas. Ecology guidance appears to designate these as moderate or low intensity uses. This is a policy choice.
a. the buffer averaging will improve wetland protection if the wetland has significant differences in characteristics that effect habitat functions, such as a wetland with a forested component adjacent to a degraded emergent component or a "dual-rated" wetland with a Category I area adjacent to a lower-rated area; or b. averaging includes the corridors of a wetland complex; and			 The proposed changes match Ecology's guidance.
2. The resulting buffer meets the following standards: a. the total area of the buffer after averaging is equivalent to or greater than the area of the buffer before averaging; b. the additional buffer is contiguous with the standard buffer; c. the buffer at its narrowest point is never less than ((either)) seventy-five percent of the required width ((or seventy-five feet for Category I and II, fifty feet for Category III, and twenty-five feet for Category IV, whichever is greater)); d. the averaged buffer will not result in degradation of wetland functions and values as demonstrated by an ecological critical area report ((from a qualified wetland professional; and)); e. the buffer is increased adjacent to the higher functioning area of habitat or more sensitive portion of the wetland and			• Ecology's guidance identifies agricultural uses as either a high impact (dairies, nurseries, greenhouses, crops requiring annual tilling, etc.) or moderate impact (orchards, hay fields, light or rotational grazing, etc.). Identifying these uses as a lower impact a policy choice.
decreased adjacent to the lower-functioning or less-sensitive portion ((as demonstrated by a critical area report from a qualified wetland professional)); f. buffer averaging cannot be combined with other buffer reductions or modifications allowed in this title; and g. indirect impacts are assessed and mitigated. C. Wetland buffer widths shall also be subject to modifications under the following special circumstances: 1. For wetlands containing documented habitat for endangered, threatened, or species of local importance, the following shall apply:			 As proposed, the smallest width with buffer averaging would decrease for wetlands with lower habitat scores and adjacent lower intensity land uses. This could provide additional development flexibility for properties encumbered with wetland buffers. The proposal maintains the 75%

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
a. the department shall establish the appropriate buffer, based on a habitat assessment, to ensure that the buffer provides adequate protection for the sensitive species; and b. the department may apply the buffer reduction rules in subsection C.((6))5. of this section ((and)) or the buffer averaging rules in subsection B. of this section((j)). 2. For a wetland buffer that includes a steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area, the ((buffer)) width ((is)) shall be the greater of: a. the buffer width required by the wetland's category in this section; or b. the buffer width required by the wetland's category in this section, extended upslope towards the top of the landslide or steep slope hazard area, as measured perpendicular to topographic contours, up to a maximum total width of twice the wetland buffer width otherwise required: 3. For a wetland complex located outside the ((U))urban ((G))growth ((A))area established by the King County Comprehensive Plan or located within the ((U))urban ((G))growth ((A))area in a basin designated as "high" on the Basin and Shoreline Conditions Map, which is included as Attachment A to Ordinance 15051, the buffer width is determined as follows: a. the buffer width for each individual wetland in the complex is the same width as the buffer width required for the category of wetland; b. if the buffer of a wetland within the complex does not touch or overlap with at least one other wetland buffer in the complex, a corridor is required from the buffer of that wetland to one other wetland buffer in the complex considering the following factors: (1) the corridor is designed to support maintaining viable wildlife species that are commonly recognized to exclusively or partially use wetlands and wetland buffers during a critical life cycle stage, such as breeding, rearing, or feeding; (2) the corridor minimizes fragmentation of the wetlands; (3) higher category wetlands are connected through corridors before lower category wetlands; and (4) the corridor width is at least twenty			required width, which is consistent with Ecology's guidance. This is a policy choice. Removing an allowance for combining buffer averaging with other reductions is consistent with Ecology's guidance. This is a policy choice. The previous code did not have an upper limit of the buffer width for steep slopes, which could lead to wide buffers that are hundreds or thousands of feet long. Executive staff indicate that slopes provide large wood and sediment to wetlands and aquatic areas, most frequently through small slope instability, rather than large infrequent events, meaning that the ecological benefit is diminished over longer distances. The buffer width limit is a policy choice.
(5) shorter corridors are preferred over longer corridors; c. wetlands in a complex that are connected by an aquatic area that flows between the wetlands are not required to be connected through a corridor; d. the department may exclude a wetland from the wetland complex if the applicant demonstrates that the wetland is unlikely to provide habitat for wildlife species that are commonly recognized to exclusively or partially use wetlands and wetland buffers during a critical life cycle stage, such as breeding, rearing, or feeding; and e. the alterations allowed in a wetland buffer in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed in corridors subject to the same conditions and requirements as wetland buffers as long as the alteration is designed so as not to disrupt wildlife movement through the corridor; 4. Where a legally established public roadway transects a wetland buffer, the department may approve a modification of the minimum required buffer width to the edge of the roadway if; a. the part of the buffer on the other side of the roadway sought to be reduced: ((a-)) (1) does not provide additional protection of ((the proposed development or the)) wetland functions and values from the proposed development; and ((b-)) (2) provides insignificant biological, geological, or hydrological buffer functions relating to the other portion of the buffer adjacent to the wetland; and b. the applicant provides a written evaluation that includes; (1) a description of the vegetative composition, hydrologic regime, topography, and development on both sides of the roadway: (2) an assessment of the functions that the buffer provides on the other side of the roadway for wildlife habitat, water quality, and water quantity; and (3) an analysis of how the roadway will fully disconnect the buffer from providing the assessed functions, and whether the disconnection will affect the entirety of the buffer; and 5. ((If the site has an approved rural stewardship plan under K.C.C. 21A.24.055, the buffer widths shall be established under the rural stewa			 Basins designated as "high" on the Basin and Shoreline Condition Map are a relatively small portion of areas inside the UGA. Executive staff indicate that these are higher quality habitats and corridors, warranting grater protections. Whether to have different buffers for "high" basins is a policy choice. This provision is intended to say that each wetland maintains its own categorical buffers. Council may wish to streamline this provision for clarity. This provision applies to an existing roadway, rather than new or future roadways. Council may wish to make the criteria present tense to address how current conditions affect the buffer. This provision would allow residential developments in the urban growth area to go from a "high" intensity impact buffer to a "moderate" intensity impact buffer. Ecology guidance provides an option to reduce buffer widths for land uses with high

Code			Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
high intensity impacts conditions)) if: a. all the form (1) plant at the area by which the (2) install (3) install (4) attach (5) submit wetland or associated (6) demonstrated (6) demonstrated (6) chan (c) erosing (a) storm (b) chan (c) erosing (c) eros	wildlife lighting and direct all light away from the wetland; wildlife passable fencing at the edge of the wetland buffer; critical area signs to wildlife-passable fencing every fifty to seventy-five line it an integrated pest and weed management plan that prohibits the use of pest buffer; and instrate how each of the following meets the core requirements in the King Conwater runoff; ge in water regime; and on and dust control; and wetlands that score moderate or high for habitat functions((, which means site if both of the following criteria are met: relatively undisturbed vegetated corridor at least one-hundred feet wide is provided by the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife in the tively undisturbed and vegetated area. The corridor must be protected for the tat) legally protected vegetated area and ((legally)) recorded via a conservatives to minimize the impacts of different land uses on wetlands as identified lands that score low for habitat, which means less than six points, the buffer pacts by applying measures to minimize impacts of the proposed land uses, osed project does not impact the reduced buffer. Measures to minimize impacts Direct lights away from wetland.	d: hing buffer that is equal to or greater than hear feet; tricides, herbicides, and fungicides in the County Surface Water Design Manual, ix points or higher, the width of the rotected between the wetland and ((any priority habitat and species list)) and he entire distance between the wetland tion easement; and hin subsection C.6.b. of this section are width can be reduced to that required for			intensity impacts provided certain conditions are met. The guidance recommends widths based on the assumption that buffers are well vegetated with native species (see discussion above) or increased above recommended widths. • The BAS report does not indicate whether partially planting a buffer would be sufficient to meet the native vegetation assumption to warrant a reduction that meets Ecology's guidance and BAS. Council may wish to consider whether to expand this planting requirement to the entire width of the reduced buffer as a trade-off for more developable area. This is a policy choice. • Other development, such as commercial uses or those in the rural area, were not proposed to be included in the buffer width reduction allowance, which would be allowed under Ecology's guidance if the buffers are fully vegetated. This is a policy choice. • Council may wish to clarify whether mixeduse developments could qualify under the lower buffer provisions for housing.
Noise Toxic runoff Stormwater runoff Change in water	Locate activity that generates noise away from wetland. If warranted, enhance existing buffer with native vegetation plantings adjacent to noise source. For activities that generate relatively continuous, potentially disruptive noise, such as certain heavy industry or mining, establish an additional ten foot heavily vegetated buffer strip immediately adjacent to the outer wetland buffer. Route all new untreated runoff away from wetland while ensuring wetland is not dewatered. Establish covenants limiting use of pesticides within 150 feet of wetland. Apply integrated pest management. Retrofit stormwater detention and treatment for roads and existing adjacent development. Prevent channelized flow from lawns that directly enters the buffer. Use low impact intensity development techniques identified in the King County Surface Water Design Manual. Infiltrate or treat, detain and disperse into buffer new runoff from impervious surfaces and new lawns.				tower burier provisions for nousing.
Pets and human disturbance	Use privacy fencing or plant dense vegetation to delineate buffer edge and to discourage disturbance using vegetation appropriate for the ecoregion. Place wetland and its buffer in a separate tract or protect with a conservation easement. Use best management practices to control dust.))				

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
D. The department may approve a modification to the buffers established in subsection A. of this section if the wetland was created or its characterization was upgraded as part of a voluntary enhancement or restoration project. E. If the site is located within the shoreline jurisdiction, the department shall determine that a proposal to reduce wetland buffers under this section will result in no net loss of shoreline ecological functions or wetland functions and values.			
K.C.C. 21A.24.335 - Development standards for proposals and alterations for sites with wetlands and associated buffers present. SECTION 70. Ordinance 15051, Section 187, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.335 are hereby amended to read as follows: The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites containing wetlands or their buffers: A. Unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed in wetlands and wetland buffers: B. ((The applicant) Applicants may include climate-smart plants that have been approved by King County in mitigation or restoration projects, but shall not otherwise introduce any plant or wildlife that is not indigenous to the Puget Sound lowland into any wetland or wetland buffer unless authorized by a state or federal permit or approval; and C. ((A catagory IV wetland less than two-thousand five-hundred square feet that is not part of a wetland complex may be altered in accordance with an approved mitigation plan by relocating the wetland into a new wetland, with equivalent or greater functions, or into an existing wetland at the ratios specified in K.C.C. 21A.24.30 based on the type of mitigation measures proposed; and B-)) Alterations to category I wetlands containing bogs or fens are limited to K.C.C. 21A.24.045 D.20. and D.52.	(1) Adds climate smart plants to approved list for mitigation or restoration projects. (2) Removes alteration allowance for category IV wetlands less than 2,500 square feet.	impacts are making native plants vulnerable to disease and dieoffs. King County is expanding the list of allowable plant species for use in restoration and mitigation projects to improve the success rate of wetland restoration and mitigation work and to improve wetland resilience in the face of climate change. King County will produce a technical report in early 2024 that lists DNRP's approved "climatesmart plants" for inclusion in permitted projects. (2) BAS is clear that small wetlands especially in urban areas are important. The research indicates that a broader approach to protecting wildlife such as mammals, birds, and amphibians is needed, as buffers alone may not prevent the populations of many species from	 This section establishes specific standards in wetlands and their buffers. Policy Analysis: This section could be clarified, as prioritizing native vegetation in the Puget Sound region. Climate-smart plants are broader than those in the Puget Sound lowland. This proposed change would remove an allowance to alter Category IV wetlands less than 2,500 sf in size. BAS and Ecology guidance does not support blanket allowances for alterations of wetlands, regardless of size and rating. Executive staff estimate that a very small proportion (1%) of wetlands currently fall under this provision. Affected properties may be able to pursue a reasonable use exception if the property is unbuildable. This is a policy choice.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
		declining. Wetlands located in urban areas are generally less common and are more impacted by adjacent light and noise pollution, often contain nonnative and invasive plant species, and lack intact vegetated corridors or habitat patches connecting adjacent habitat. Conserving wetland habitats, habitat patches, and vegetated corridors networks in urban areas and throughout the watershed is critical for certain species and provides refuge from drought and increasing temperatures due to climate change.	
SECTION 71. Ordinance 10870, Section 481, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.340 are hereby amended to read as follows: In addition to the requirements in ((K.C.C. 21A.24.125 and 21A.24.130)) this chapter, the following applies to mitigation to compensate for ((the)) adverse impacts ((associated with an alteration)) to a wetland or wetland buffer: A. Mitigation measures must achieve equivalent or greater wetland functions, including, but not limited to: 1. Habitat functions such as complexity, connectivity₂ and other biological functions; and 2. Hydrological functions, such as ((S))seasonal hydrological dynamics, as provided in the King County Surface Water Design Manual; B. The following ratios of area of mitigation to area of ((alteration)) impacts apply to mitigation measures for permanent alterations except as otherwise provided in subsection E. of this section: 1. For ((alterations)) impacts to a wetland buffer, a ratio of ((ene to one; and)) one-to-one on-site, and two-to-one off-site; 2. For ((alterations)) direct impacts to a wetland that result in permanent, direct loss of wetland area: Category and type wetland reestablishment or creation Category IV 1.5:1 3:1 1:1 R/C and 2:1 E 6:1 Category III 2:1 4:1 1:1 R/C and ((2))4:1 E 8:1	 (1) Updates wetland impact mitigation ratios. (2) Adds table for mitigation ratios for temporary impacts to wetlands. (3) Updates and adds details to the standards that apply to off-site compensatory mitigation. 	(1) – (2) Updated to match Department of Ecology mitigation guidance. (3) Proposed changes provide predictability and transparency for how mitigation bank and in-lieu fee credits are calculated, consistent with Department of Ecology guidance.	 When unavoidable impacts occur in wetlands or their buffers, mitigation is required to compensate for impacts. Mitigation is required when alterations cause unavoidable impacts. It is expressed as a ratio of mitigation area to impact area. The ratio of mitigation is based on the category of wetland impacted and type of proposed mitigation: Reestablishment: restores processes and functions to an area that was formerly a wetland. Creation: develop a wetland on an upland where a wetland did not previously exist.

consistency.

Code								Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
Category II estua	rine Case-by-case		:1 rehabilitati uarine wetlan		case	Case-by-case				 Rehabilitation: improves or repairs the environmental processes and
All other Category II	3:1	((8)) <u>6</u>	:1	1:1 R/C :	and ((4)) <u>8</u> :1 E	12:1				functions of a wetland. • Enhancement: improve specific
_										wetland function(s). Enhancement
Category I forest		12:1			and ((10)) <u>16</u> :1 E	Case-by-case	_			results in a net loss of wetland area.
All other Categor		8:1	rehabilitation		and ((6)) <u>12</u> :1 E	Case-by-case				results in a fiet toss of wettand area.
Category I wetlan of high conservat value		wetlar conser	renaomation nd of high rvation value) by-case		'-case)) <u>Not allowed</u>	Case-by-case				Policy Analysis: • "wetland values" could be added as a
Category I coastal lagoon	Not allowed	((6)) <u>8</u>	:1 rehabilitati stal lagoon	on of ((Case b	v-case)) Not allowed	Case-by-case				consideration for mitigation measures. This is a policy choice.
Category I bog	Not allowed	((6:1 1	rehabilitation Case-by-case	' '	(case)) Not allowed	Case-by-case				Category I forested wetlands may take more
Category I estuar		an est	:1 rehabilitati uarine wetlan	d		Case-by-case				than 100 years to establish. <u>Ecology</u> <u>guidance</u> recommends higher ratios for this
this section. C. The fol ((alterations)) impa defined in the Wasl	lowing ratios of an acts where wetland hington state Department	ea of mitigati s will not be in artment of Fisl	ion to area or impacted by h and Wildli	f ((alteration)) <u>ir</u> permanent fill r fe Priority Habi	itigation to area of in npact apply to mitigate naterial or removal of at and Species list, p	ntion measures for to of old growth or mat oublication 2008 and	emporary ure trees, as d updated 2023, but			type of wetland, including 1:1 R/C and 20:1 E for wetland reestablishment or creation and wetland enhancement. This is a policy choice.
where impacted fur	nctions are expecte	ed to take long	ger than one	year to be restor	ed, except as otherw	vise provided in sub	section E. of this			Proposed changes to mitigation ratios are
category sh	ermanent conversinrub wetlands into	emergent wet	lands v		nporal loss of forested e impacted wetlands communities Rehabilitation					highlighted in pink. These ratios align with the recommended ratios in Ecology guidance. In instances where the mitigation is listed as "case-by-case" or "not allowed",
Category I (((6)) <u>8</u> :1 4.5:1		storation ((3)) <u>4</u> :1	2:1	restoration 1.5:1	_			wetlands with these special characteristics
	$\frac{3)}{6:1}$ $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$			$(\frac{3}{1.5})$ 3:1	1.5:1	.75:1				are considered unique, rare, and/or
	$\frac{(-)}{2}$ $\frac{(-)}{4:1}$ $\frac{(-)}{2}$			(1)) <u>2</u> :1	((.75)) <u>1</u> :1	.5:1	_			irreplaceable. Impacts could create a net
	1.5)) <u>3</u> :1		5:1	(Not applicable))	((Not applicable)) .75:1	((Not applicable))				loss no matter what compensation is proposed or must be considered in
D. The dep circumstances:	partment may incr	ease the mitig			ections B. and C. of		he following			conjunction with specific mitigation proposals.
1. The de	•		•		success of the proposition by the development					The existing code does not address
wetland functions a	•			puss suusse	of me development	Proposar and and on				mitigation for indirect impacts. Indirect
			a lower cate	gory wetland or	reduced functions re	elative to the wetlan	d being impacted;			impacts are those that have adverse effects
((or))					ogic unit, as defined					on wetlands that results in a reduction of wetland function but are outside the
Procedures for the	National Watershe	d Boundary I	Dataset: Uni	ted States Geolo	gical Survey, 2022,	as the proposed imp	acts;			footprint of direct impact. Adding this
other fundamental 1			om the prop	osea impact area	in hydrogeomorphi	c class, Cowardin s	ysiem or class, or			provision is consistent with Ecology
			cumented hal	bitat for federal	or state listed endang	gered, threatened, se	ensitive, or			guidance, which supports mitigating
candidate species o										indirect impacts at half of the amount of
<u>7.</u> The alt	teration causing th	e impact was	((an)) unaut	horized ((impac	e)). osections B. and C. (of this section under	the following			direct impacts. This is a policy choice.
	hen the use of wet	land mitigatio	on bank or in	lieu fee progran	n credits is approved					 This mitigation could be changed to "creation or reestablishment" for

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
1. ((The applicant demonstrates by documentation submitted by a qualified wetland specialist-that the proposed mitigation netions have a very high likelihood of success based on hydrologic data and prior experience. 2. The applicant demonstrates by documentation by a qualified wetland specialist that the proposed actions for compensation will provide functions and values that are significantly greater than the wetland being impacted. 3. The applicant demonstrates that the proposed actions for mitigation have been conducted in advance of the impact caused by the development proposed and that the actions are successful; or 4. In wetlands where several wetland hydrogeomorphic classes, including, but not limited to depressional, slope, riverine and flow through, are found within one delineated boundary; the department may decrease the ratios if a miscellar to the wetland are all within an area that has a different hydrogeomorphic class from the one used to establish that one category; b. the category of the area with a different class-is lower than that of the entire wetland; and c-the applicant provides adequate hydrologic and geomorphic data to establish that the boundary between the hydrogeomorphic classes, less untaids of the fourprint of the impacts). For use of wetland mitigation banks certified under Chapter 173-700 WAC, the amount of compensatory mitigation required for impacts located in the bank service area shall be as follows: a. For direct impacts to wetlands and wetland buffers, the ratio shall be consistent with the approved mitigation banking instrument. If the ratio recommended in the approved mitigation banking instrument, and c. If it has ratio of one bank receit to one wetland impact acres shall be used: b. For indirect wetland impacts, one half of the ratio recommended in the approved mitigation banking instrument; 2. For use of the King County mitigation received for recommended in the approved mitigation banking instrument; 2. For use of the King County mitigation received for a wetland			 Executive staff indicate that this ratio should be based off of forested Category I wetlands, and therefore the ratio should be 6:1. Ecology guidance states that most agencies accept one-half of the recommended ratios for permanent conversions. The changes highlighted in green identify changes in ratios. These are consistent with this guidance. The proposed ordinance includes new criteria for when mitigation ratios can be increased. These changes would support replacing functions to the area closest to where the impacts occur and where there is a similar ecosystem type. The proposed ordinance would remove options for mitigation ratio reductions and replace it with established ratios. Executive staff indicate that the existing language was written when mitigation banking was newly implemented and has been rarely used since. Executive staff also indicate that the proposed changes would provide greater transparency and create consistency with Ecology guidance. In practice, wetland mitigation banks are wetland restoration projects that are constructed prior to wetland impacts for the primary purpose of creating and selling mitigation credit for future projects. In-lieu fee programs sell mitigation credits to fund future wetland restoration projects. Both types may be publicly owned or nonprofit and undergo review by tribal, state, and federal agencies. The County operates the only approved in-lieu fee program in King County. The mitigation ratios can differ based on the instrument. The proposed language adds new language to reflect these existing programs.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
21A.24.342 - Wetlands - agreement to modify mitigation ratios. A. The department may enter into an agreement with an applicant to establish mitigation ratios to compensate for the adverse impacts to wetlands of the applicant's development proposals that differ from the ratios required by K.C.C. 21A.24.340.B. The agreement shall require that the applicant: 1. Demonstrate with scientifically-valid data that the program implemented by the applicant has achieved long-term success in reducing the risk of failure and temporal loss of function of the applicant's wetland mitigation projects; and 2. Implement a scientifically rigorous mitigation, monitoring and adaptive management program that includes the following elements: a. a mitigation planning process that requires mitigation plans to be prepared and signed by a qualified wetland specialist. The mitigation planning process shall use the guidelines contained in Washington State Department of Ecology - U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Publication 04-06-013b "Guidance on Wetland Mitigation in Washington State" or an alternative approach acceptable to the department; b. construction oversight by a qualified wetland specialist; c. postconstruction monitoring and reporting by experienced and qualified personnel using scientifically rigorous and accepted methodologies to assess whether the mitigation has been installed and whether it meets the approved goals, objectives and performance standards identified in the mitigation plan. Maintenance to facilitate the achievement of the approved goals, objectives and performance standards identified in the mitigation and trash and debris removal; e. financing or funding guarantees for the duration of the mitigation and monitoring program. At a minimum, funding guarantees must be in place until mitigation activities have met the established performance standards and adve been approved by the department; and f. an adaptive management program that requires the evaluation and adjustment of remedial actions contained within the co		During BAS wetland review, it was determined that the requirements of this section did not meet no net loss requirements.	 When unavoidable impacts occur in wetlands or their buffers, mitigation is required to compensate for impacts. This mitigation is specified in K.C.C. 21A.24.340, where ratios for identified by type of wetland impacted and type of mitigation proposed. This code section would have allowed reductions to the ratios in K.C.C. 21A.24.340 based on the criteria listed here, but the PO proposes repeal of this language. Executive staff indicated that this provision applies to mitigation within banks or the mitigation reserves program and was replaced with more specific code language in the relevant code sections. The County takes a moderate-risk approach to wetlands and their buffers. Under the County's BAS report and Ecology's guidance, minimum mitigation ratios established in K.C.C. 21A.24.340 should not be further reduced to avoid no net loss. Policy Analysis: No issues identified.
AQUATIC AREAS AND RIPARIAN AREAS			
K.C.C. 21A.06.072C - Defines "Aquatic areas" SECTION 9. Ordinance 15051, Section 7, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.072C are hereby amended to read as follows: A. Aquatic areas: 1. Nonwetland water features including: all shorelines of the state, rivers, streams, marine waters, and bodies of open water, such as lakes, ponds, and reservoirs; 2. Impoundments, such as reservoirs or ponds, if any portion of the contributing water is from a nonwetland water feature listed in subsection A.1. of this section; ((and)) 3. Above-ground open water conveyance systems, such as ditches, if any portion of the contributing water is: a. used by fish; or b. from either a wetland or a ((nonwetland)) water feature listed in subsection A.1. or A.2. of this section, or both; and 4. Portions of the water features in subsections A.1, A.2, and A.3 of this section that are conveyed underground in pipes or culverts. B. "Aquatic areas" does not include water features where the source of contributing water is entirely artificial, including, but not limited to, ground water wells, and any irrigation delivery systems, irrigation infrastructure, irrigation canals, or drainage ditches that lie within the boundaries of, and are maintained by a port district or an irrigation district or company.	Clarifies what is included in the definition, which includes piped areas.	Clarifies that aquatic areas do not cease to be jurisdictional where they are diverted underground.	 Council may wish to streamline this definition for improved clarity. An option could be: "Aquatic areas include: Water features, such as shorelines of the state, rivers, streams, marine waters, lakes, ponds, and other bodies of open water; Impoundments, which includes bodies of water collected in a reservoirs, dams, or through natural disturbance events, if any portion of the contributing water is from a nonwetland water; Aboveground or open water conveyance system, such as ditches, if any portion of the contributing water is used by fish, from a wetland, water feature, or impoundment; and

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
			4. Any portion of water in subsection A.1, A.2., or A.3. of this section that are conveyed in pipes or culverts."
			Council may wish to repeal the definition of "impoundment" ("a body of water collected in a reservoir, pond or dam or collected as a consequence of natural disturbance events") and include the description in this definition. "Impoundment" is used differently elsewhere in the code to refer to livestock manure facilities and animal control.
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE K.C.C. 21A.06.578 Habitat, fish. Habitat, fish: habitat that is used by anadromous or resident salmonids at any life stage at any time of the year including potential habitat likely to be used by anadromous or resident salmonids. "Fish habitat" includes habitat that is upstream of, or landward of, human-made barriers that could be accessible to, and could be used by, fish upon removal of the barriers. This includes off-channel habitat, flood refuges, tidal flats, tidal channels, streams and wetlands.	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	This definition of fish habitat narrowly applies to habitat used by salmonids, rather than to all species of fish. Because "fish habitat" is used in typing aquatic areas, follows the state's system (see discussion on page 72), this definition should be made consistent with the state's. Executive staff indicate that the intent was to apply this definition to all fish species and that this was inadvertently omitted from the proposed ordinance. Council may wish to revise this definition to reflect the Executive's intent.
NEW SECTION. SECTION 29. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows: Riparian area: a designated area contiguous to an aquatic area that provides fish and wildlife habitat, protects adjacent aquatic fish and wildlife species and habitat, and protects water quality. Riparian areas reduce impacts from adjacent land uses through various physical, chemical, or biological processes.	Adds definition for "Riparian area."	Added to define areas previously called aquatic area buffers; this term was updated to reflect the terminology recommended by guidance document from WDFW.	 Section Notes: "Riparian area" replaces "aquatic area buffer" throughout the code. Executive staff indicated that this because these areas also provide important functions and habitat, not just as a buffer to protect aquatic areas. Policy Analysis: Council may wish to make the purpose of riparian areas consistent with the purpose in the "buffer" definition ("intended to protect the functions and values of aquatic areas through and reduce impacts from adjacent land uses").
K.C.C. 21A.06.1015 - Defines "Salmonid" SECTION 30. Ordinance 10870, Section 243, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1015 are hereby amended to read as follows: Salmonid: a fish native to the Puget Sound region that is a member of the fish family Salmonidae, including((, but not limited to)): A. Chinook, coho, chum, sockeye, and pink salmon; B. Rainbow, steelhead, and cutthroat ((salmon, which are also known as)) trout;	Clarifies that salmonids are fish native to the Puget Sound region, and updates the list of salmonid species listed.	Clarifies how to determine what "native" salmonids are by listing the applicable geography (i.e. the Puget Sound region). The specific species	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
C. ((Brown trout; D. Brook, b))Bull trout, which is ((also known as)) a type of char, and Dolly Varden char; ((E.)) D. Kokanee; ((and F.)) E. Pygmy whitefish; and F. Mountain whitefish.		included in the definition are proposed to be updated accordingly.	
K.C.C. 21A.06.1240 - Defines "Stream" SECTION 33. Ordinance 10870, Section 288, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.06.1240 are hereby amended to read as follows: Stream: an aquatic area where surface water produces a channel, not including a wholly artificial channel((;)) unless ((;4)) the artificial channel is: A. Used by ((selmonids)) fish; or B. Used to convey a stream or wetland that occurred naturally before construction of the artificial channel.	(1) Adds language to clarify that subordinate phrases refer to artificial channels only. (2) Changes use of "salmonid" to "fish." (3) Expands definition to include artificial channels used to convey a wetland that occurred naturally before construction of the artificial channel.	(1) Adds language to clarify existing intent. (2) Changes are proposed throughout the code to change "salmonid" to "fish," where appropriate, to be consistent with King County's current protection of all fish species listed by WDFW, WA DNR and King County species of local importance, not just salmonids. (3) Removes potential area of confusion in code; the term is proposed to be updated to address scenarios where wetlands have been converted to streams through past practices. This code change would clarify that those areas function and are classified as streams now and are not considered a wholly artificial channel.	Policy Analysis: No issues identified.
K.C.C. 21A.24.355 - Aquatic area typing.	(1) Adds classification criteria Type F	(1) Provides more clarity and	Section Notes: • The State uses a <u>water typing system</u> that
SECTION 72. Ordinance 15051, Section 192, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.355 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. Aquatic areas are ((eategorized or)) "typed" as follows: 1. Type S waters include all aquatic areas inventoried as "shorelines of the state" under King County's ((S))shoreline ((M))master ((P))program((, K.C.C. chapter 21A.25, in accordance with chapter 90.58 RCW));	waters. (2) Clarifies definition of above ground and channeled water	specificity about how Type F waters are classified.	 The State uses a <u>water typing system</u> that classifies aquatic areas as Type S (shoreline), F (fish bearing), Np (non-fish-bearing, perennial), and Ns (non-fish-bearing, seasonal).

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
2. Type F waters include all segments of aquatic areas that are not type S waters and that contain fish or fish habitat, including waters divered for use by a federal, state, or tribal fish hatchery from the point of diversion for one-thousand-five-hundred feet or the entire tributary if the tributary is highly significant for protection of downstream water quality((+)). Type F waters, which may be sphement, may be classified by: a. the presence of fish: b. a stream segment with a defined channel of two feet or greater bank full width and a gradient less than twenty percent; or e. any stream located within the floodplain of type S or F water. 3. Type N waters include all segments of aquatic areas that are not type S or F waters and that are physically connected to type S or F waters (in above groundly) a channel or piped systems, tream, or wetland; and 4. Type O waters include all segments of aquatic areas that are not type S, F, or N waters and that are not physically connected to type S, T, or N waters water into the ground. B. For the purposes of the water types in subsection A, of this section. ((an-above-ground)) a channel system is ((considered-to-be)) present if the ((one-hundred-year) floodplains of both the contributing and receiving waters are connected. ((C) The department may determine that an area upstream of a legal human-made barrier is not fish habitat considering the following factors: 3. The human-made barrier is located beneath public infrastructure that is unlikely to be replaced and it is not feasible to remove the barrier without removing the public infrastructure. 3. The human-made barrier is located beneath public infrastructure that is unlikely to be replaced and it is not feasible to remove the barrier without removing the dwelling unit; 3. The human-made barrier is located beneath public infrastructure with the protection of the public infrastructure in the sum of the public infrastructure water in the public infrastructure water in the public infrastructure water in the publi	system for type N waters. (3) Removes subsection "C" regarding "human made barriers." (4) Technical corrections.	(2) For Type N waters, the 'above ground' part of the definition previously caused confusion among applicants, who would be led to think that if the channel were in a culvert (which is underground) that it wouldn't qualify as a type N. This was not the intent of the definition. Thus the 'above ground' part is proposed for deletion as it didn't help and clarified that type N can be piped. (3) This language was out of date. It did not match how the co-managers (WDFW and Indian Tribes) interpret fish habitat or state level fish passage requirements. The language does not match BAS as to what is fish habitat and is instead based on a financial rationale as a reason to not do something. In addition, it does not match the County's fish passage program classification of fish habitat. (4) Correcting formatting and	Policy Analysis: The state classification for Type F water include this criterion along with other criteria that are not included in this description. Council may wish to make the criteria consistent with the state's, which includes: Lakes, ponds, or impoundments with a surface area of 0.5 acres or greater at seasonal low water Water diverted for use by more than 10 residential or camping units or a public accommodation facility serving more than 10 people Water in a campground with more than 10 camping units Riverine ponds, wall-based channels, and other channel features used by fish for off-channel habitat For Type F waters, the proposed changes shown in yellow align with the state's classification. The changes would mean that waters that meet certain dimensional characteristics (width and gradient) are assumed to have potential fish presence and be designated as such. The presence of upstream fish passage barriers would no longer disqualify a stream from being classified as a Type F water. The Type N and Type O waters deviate from the State's definitions, which uses Np and Ns and a different set of criteria. The County's BAS report references state guidance but does not address that the County and state use different systems for lower typed aquatic areas. It is not clear how the state's system of water typing and guidance would translate to these specific types. It may be clearer to use the state's terminology.

K.C.C. 21A.24.358 - Riparian areas measurement methods and process.

- SECTION 73. Ordinance 15051, Section 193, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.358 are hereby amended to read as follows:
- A. ((Aquatic area buffers)) Riparian areas shall be measured as follows:

Code

- 1. From the ordinary high water mark of the adjacent aquatic area, or from the top of bank if the ordinary high water mark cannot be identified;
- 2. If the adjacent aquatic area is located within a mapped severe channel migration hazard area, the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area width shall be ((the greater of the aquatic area buffer width as)) measured ((consistent with subsection A.1. of this section or)) from the outer edge of the severe channel migration hazard area; ((and))
- 3. If the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area includes a steep slope hazard area or a landslide hazard area, the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area width is the greater of ((either))
 - a. the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area width as required in this section ((or the top of the hazard area)); or
- b. the riparian area width as required in this section, extended upslope towards the top of the landslide or steep slope hazard area, as measured perpendicular to topographic contours, up to a maximum total width of twice the riparian area width otherwise required;
 - 4. If the adjacent aquatic area is located within an alluvial fan, the riparian area width is the greater of:
 - a. the riparian area width as defined in this section; or
 - b. the extent of the alluvial fan hazard area; and
- 5. If the adjacent aquatic area is conveyed underground, the riparian area width is measured only from the above-ground portion of the aquatic area. This riparian area extends in all directions from the point at which the aquatic area enters or exits the underground conveyance system.
 - B. Within the $((U))\underline{u}$ rban $((G))\underline{a}$ rowth $((A))\underline{a}$ rea, ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian area widths shall be as follows:
 - ((1. A type S or F aquatic area buffer is one hundred-fifteen-feet;
- 2. A type S or F aquatic area buffer in a basin or shoreline designated as "high" on the Basin and Shoreline Conditions Map is one-hundred-sixty-five-feet;
 - 3. A type N aquatic area buffer is sixty-five-feet; and
 - 4. A type O aquatic area buffer is twenty-five-feet.))

" IT type o adams area surrer is the	<i>cy</i> 11, 6 1666.))
Adjacent Aquatic Area Type	Riparian Area Width
S or F in basin or shoreline designated as	200 feet
"high" on the Basin and Shoreline Conditions	
map	
All other S or F	180 feet
<u>N</u>	100 feet
0	50 feet

- C. Outside the ((U))urban ((G))growth ((A))area, ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian areas shall be as follows:
- ((1. A type S or F aquatic area buffer is one-hundred-sixty-five-feet;
- 2. A type N aquatic area buffer is sixty-five-feet; and
- 3. A type O aquatic area buffer is twenty-five-feet.))

Adjacent Aquatic Area Type	Riparian Area Width
S or F	200 feet
<u>N</u>	100 feet
<u>0</u>	50 feet

- D. ((Within the Bear Creek drainage basin a type N aquatic area buffer in a designated regionally significant resource area is onehundred-feet.
- E.)) The department may approve a modification of ((buffer widths if)) a riparian area width required by this section by averaging the riparian area width, if the resulting riparian area meets the following standards:
- 1.((a. The department determines that through buffer averaging the ecological structure and function of the resulting buffer is equivalent to or greater than the structure and function before averaging and meets the following standards:
 - (1) the total area of the buffer is not reduced;
 - (2) the buffer area is contiguous; and

Executive's Proposed Change

- (1) Changes references to "aquatic area buffers" to "riparian areas."
- (2) Clarifies that riparian areas are measured from the ordinary high water mark of the adjacent aquatic area.
- (3) Updated to provide **Channel Migration** Zones with riparian area coverage for extended protection as a critical area.
- (4) Clarifies method of measurement of riparian areas in steep slope and landslide hazard areas.
- (5) Limits the riparian area size when the buffer includes landslide or steep slope hazard areas.
- (6) Clarifies that a riparian area from an aquatic area outside of the fan doesn't extend all the way across the fan.
- (7) Clarifies that aquatic area jurisdiction doesn't end where it goes underground, and that the riparian areas are not only measured from above-ground portions but also extend all the way around the pipe

Executive's Intent/Rationale

(1) Changes are

- proposed throughout the code to change term or reference to "aquatic area buffers" to "riparian areas," where appropriate. consistent with current terminology. (2) Since the term
- "aquatic area buffer" is being changed to "riparian area," this language is being added clarify how and where riparian areas are measured in relation to aquatic areas.
- clarification, as prior language could be read two different ways. The code now matches 2004 BAS documentation and intent as well as more closely aligns with current riparian BAS.

(3) This provides

(4) Resolves the potential issue of having an extremely large extended riparian area where an aquatic area was below a large slope, which would trigger the need for a critical areas alteration exception. This would add a great deal of

Policy Staff Comments

Section Notes:

- Under this Proposed Ordinance, "aquatic area buffers" are replaced with "riparian areas". Executive staff indicated that this because these areas also provide important functions and habitat, not just as a buffer to protect aquatic areas.
- This section establishes the riparian area widths based on the adjacent aquatic area and location inside or outside the UGA.

Policy Analysis: • Under the existing code, it is unclear whether the riparian area would 1) end at the outer edge of the severe channel migration hazard area, or whether 2) it would be measured beginning from the outer edge of the severe channel migration hazard area. The BAS report indicates that DLS currently measures it under the first option; however, it appears that the original 2004 BAS intended it to be measured using the second option. The proposed changes would clarify this to state that the riparian area would be measured beginning from the outer edge of the severe channel migration hazard area. This would have the effect of increasing the riparian area in areas where there are severe channel migration hazard areas. The BAS report indicates that riparian areas should be measured starting from the outside edge of all channel migration zones, which would include both the severe and moderate areas, to comply with BAS (pages 103-104). The proposed changes would depart from BAS: "Because most of King County's mapped CMZs have wide severe CMZ hazard areas and relatively narrower moderate CMZ hazard areas, the overall risk of not extending riparian-area protections to the moderate CMZ hazard area is low within the near-to-moderate time frames" (page 108) and "In the long term, there is high confidence that there is moderate risk that riparian areas adjacent to migrating channels will not be fully protected" (page

LSLU Meeting Materials April 16, 20**25** Page 343

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
(3) averaging does not result in the reduction of the minimum buffer for the buffer aren waterward of the top of the associated steep alone for the authority of the applicant demonstrates that the buffer cannot provide certain functions because of soils, geology or topography, in which see the department shall establish a buffer, width that protects the remaining esological functions that the buffer can provide. 6. the site is zoned RA and is subject to an approved rural stewardship plan. In modifying the buffers, the department shall emodate factors used us, the basin and shareline condition, the boation of the site within the basin and shareline, the buffer condition and the amount of cleaning. d. a legally established road way transects an equation to the portion of the buffer adjacent to the aquatic area; or e. the aquatic area; is created or its type is changed as a result of enhancement or restoration projects that are not mitigation for a development proposal or alteration; and 2. If the site is located within the shoreline jurisdiction, that no net loss of shoreline acological function in relation to the option of the policy of the site of located within the shoreline jurisdiction, that no net loss of shoreline acological functions will result when considering projects that combine reduced buffers and habitat restoration.) The total land area amount of the riparian area is contiguous with the standard riparian area; 2. The additional riparian area is contiguous with the standard riparian area. 3. The riparian area as its narrowest point is never less than seventy-five percent of the standard required width; 4. The with of the riparian area is increased adjacent to the higher functioning habitat or more sensitive portion of the adjacent aguatic area and devertesed adjacent to the lower functioning or less sensitive portion as demonstrated by an ecological professional. 5. No net loss of riparian area or adjacent aquatic area functions and values will be with of the riparian area is not reduced buf	entrance, not just laterally. (8) Converts aquatic area type and corresponding riparian area width to a table. (9) Updates riparian area widths for applicable aquatic area types inside and outside the Urban Growth Area. (10) Removes specific reference to Bear Creek drainage basin. (11) Added analogous riparian area averaging section. (12) Clarifies how riparian area averaging must be implemented spatially.	process without improving environmental outcomes. This change removes potential procedural hurdles for areas distant from riparian areas while maintaining ecological protection. (5) This resolves the potential problem of having a riparian area that is located below a large slope having an extremely large extended buffer, consistent with management direction. Also see K.C.C. 21A.24.325. (6) This is informed by BAS review for alluvial fans, to establish development standards including requirements for overlapping critical area buffers. New conditions on AFHAs are proposed to be added to enable new regulation to protect public health and safety as required by WAC 365-196-830. (7) These changes bring the code into alignment with current practice. (8) Converted to a table for ease of use by	 108). The County employs nonregulatory measures "that offer protections to riparian areas and aquatic areas" that "reduce and mitigate the various risks," discussed on page 98 of the BAS report. A summary of nonregulatory measures is at the end of this matrix. Councilmembers may wish to consider the proposed riparian area widths, risks of riparian area protections, and meeting other GMA priorities. The previous code did not have an upper limit of the buffer width associated with steep slopes, which could lead to wide buffers that are hundreds or thousands of feet long. Executive staff indicate that slopes provide large wood and sediment to wetlands and aquatic areas, most frequently through small slope instability, rather than large infrequent events, meaning that the ecological benefit is diminished over longer distances. The buffer width limit is a policy choice. The State's guidance for riparian widths focuses on estimating 200-year site potential tree height (SPTH), which is the average maximum height of the tallest dominate tree species 200 years or older in a given area. Different riparian area segments may have different tree species, leading to variation in SPTH across the county. The County's BAS report (page 78) analyzes widths and SPTH for King County. The report indicates that 84% of stream miles in unincorporated King County have a SPTH between 180 and 215 feet. Although the BAS indicates that there are several variables that factor into widths, a riparian width of 200 feet was determined in the report to be representative. Guidance from WDFW indicates that a minimum width of at least 100 feet, which provides a majority of pollution removal and water quality benefits.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
Code			The BAS report indicates that designating widths by aquatic area types may no longer be appropriate, as both non-fish-bearing and fish-bearing streams can support unique communities of wildlife and ecosystem functions. Executive staff indicate that the County's existing system of designating riparian area widths by adjacent aquatic area and its location inside or outside the UGA was intended to meet environmental protection and other GMA considerations. Approximately 2% of the 5,500 stream miles in unincorporated King County are inside the UGA, 74% are in a Forest Production District, and 24% are in the remaining Rural Area. The riparian area width for each stream type is increased, with Type S and F riparian areas aligning with BAS. Type N and O riparian areas would be increased to 100 feet and 50 feet respectively, to support greater water quality and pollution removal. Type N riparian areas depart from BAS, as the science indicates that non-fish-bearing streams provide ecological functions that should be protected on the same degree as fish-bearing streams. Type O riparian areas depart from the BAS, as the science indicates that 100 feet is necessary to sufficiently support water quality and pollution removal benefits. Increased buffers may reduce housing capacity and development potential on properties. Using the riparian width system and increasing widths are policy choices.
			Executive staff indicate that only a small portion of aquatic areas inside the UGA have basin or shoreline designated as "high" on the Basin and Shoreline Conditions Map. This distinction was made during the 2004 update and was intended to mitigate the risk of some smaller widths in urban areas by providing protection in higher quality

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
		(12) Adds measures to ensure internal code consistency and prevent net loss of ecological functions and values.	subbasins. With the widths increasing for all streams, maintaining a different width for these riparian areas is a policy choice. • This language is no longer necessary, as the minimum buffer is now proposed at 100 feet for all Type N adjacent riparian areas. No issues identified with the proposed removal.
			Buffer averaging standards are proposed to be replaced – the major changes to these standards are shown in orange. Buffers can no longer be reduced on the basis of not providing certain functions, nor can they be reduced based on a rural stewardship plan, which is proposed to be repealed. The largest reduction is 25% and can only occur when the buffer is reduced in a lower functioning habitat and increased in a higher functioning habitat. Averaging cannot be used with other code reductions. These changes are consistent with Ecology's guidance. This is a policy choice.
K.C.C. 21A.24.365 - Development standards for proposals and alterations for sites with aquatic areas and riparian areas present. SECTION 74. Ordinance 15051, Section 195, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.365 are hereby amended to read as follows: The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites containing aquatic areas or ((their buffers)) riparian areas: A. Unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed in aquatic areas and ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian areas; B. Grading for allowed alterations in ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian areas is only allowed from May 1 to October 1. This period may be modified when the department determines it is necessary along marine shorelines to protect critical forage fish and salmonid migration or as provided in K.C.C. 16.82.095; C. The moisture-holding capacity of the topsoil layer on all areas of the site not covered by impervious surfaces should be maintained by: 1. Minimizing soil compaction, or 2. Reestablishing natural soil structure and the capacity to infiltrate; D. New structures within a((a aquatic area buffer)) riparian area should be sited to avoid the creation of future hazard trees and to minimize the impact on groundwater movement; ((and)) E. To the maximum extent practical: 1. The soil duff layer should not be disturbed, but if disturbed, should be redistributed to other areas of the project site where feasible; 2. A spatial connection should be provided between vegetation within and outside the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area to prevent creation of wind throw hazards; and 3. Hazard trees ((should be retained)) in ((aquatic area buffers)) riparian areas ((and)) should be either topped or pushed over toward the aquatic area, and not taken out of the riparian area; ((and))	(1) Changes references of "aquatic area buffers" to "riparian areas." (2) Clarifies that hazard trees that are topped or pushed over are not to be removed from riparian areas. (3) Allows use of climate-smart plants for revegetation where native vegetation is allowed. (4) Updates term "woody debris" to "large wood."	(1) Changes are proposed throughout the code to change term or reference of "aquatic area buffers" to "riparian areas," where appropriate, consistent with current terminology. (2) Keeping large wood in riparian areas is consistent with BAS and supports ecological function. (3) Allowing the use of climate-smart plants for site restoration and mitigation encourages the	Section Notes: This section addresses specific construction/alteration standards for aquatic areas and riparian areas. Policy Analysis: Work periods may be modified under the grading code in K.C.C. 16.82.095 for any shoreline, rather than just marine shorelines. Council may wish to revise this section for clarity. The code includes provisions for removing hazard trees, including topping or pushing over the tree towards an aquatic area. Although there are provisions to protect vegetation and limit clearing in other portions of the code, the code could also support keeping large wood in riparian areas when removal of nonhazardous trees is proposed as well as minimizing vegetation disturbance. This is a policy choice.

Code	Executive's Proposed	Executive's	Policy Staff Comments
	Change	Intent/Rationale	
F. Mitigation or restoration projects may include climate-smart plants approved by King County, but shall not otherwise introduce any plant or wildlife that is not indigenous to the Puget Sound lowland into an aquatic area or riparian area unless authorized by state or federal approval; and G. If a restoration, enhancement, or mitigation project proposes to place large wood ((woody debris)) waterward of the ordinary high water mark of a ((\(\frac{\text{T}}{\text{T}}\))type S aquatic area, the applicant shall consider the potential for recreational hazards in project design.		long-term success of these projects. (4) Changes are proposed throughout the code to change term or reference to "woody debris" to "large wood," where appropriate, consistent with current terminology.	This section could be clarified, as prioritizing native vegetation in the Puget Sound region. Climate-smart plants are broader than those in the Puget Sound lowland.
K.C.C. 21A.24.380 - Riparian area mitigation ratios. SECTION 75. Ordinance 10870, Section 485, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.380 are hereby amended to read as follows: In addition to the requirements in ((K-C.C.21A.24.130, 21A.24.125 and 21A.24.133)) this chapter, the following applies to mitigation to compensate for the adverse impacts associated with an alteration to an aquatic area or ((aquatic-area-buffer)) riparian area: A. Mitigation measures ((muest)) shall achieve equivalent or greater aquatic or riparian area functions than prealteration conditions including, but not limited to: 1. Habitat complexity, connectivity, and other biological, and ecological functions; 2. Seasonal hydrological dynamics((s)) and water storage capacity ((and water-quality); ((and)) 3. ((Geomorphic and habitat processes and functions)) Shade and temperature control, pollution removal, water purification, and other water quality functions; and 4. Natural crossion and sediment delivery, nutrient and detritus delivery, natural bank stabilization, sources and recruitment of large wood, cover for aquatic and terrestrial animals, terrestrial-based aquatic prey resources, and other geomorphic and habitat processes and functions; B. To the maximum extent practical, permanent ((alterations)) impacts that require mitigation such as restoration or enhancement of the altered aquatic area((-aquatic-area-buffer)) or riparian area, or another aquatic area or ((aquatic-area-buffer must-consider)) riparian area, shall document in a mitigation plan how the following design factors((s)) have been considered as applicable to the function being mitigated: 1. The natural channel or shoreline reach dimensions including its depth, width, length, and gradient; 2. The horizontal alignment and sinuosity; 3. The channel bed, marine intertical area, sea bed, or lake bottom with identical or similar substrate and similar erosion and sediment transport dynamics; 4. Bank ((end-buffer)) configuration and crossion and sedimentation rates; ((and)) 5. Sim	(1) Replaces "geomorphic and habitat processes and functions" with specific examples and adds other clarifying wording changes. (2) Adds provision to allow alternatives to on-site riparian area mitigation under certain conditions.	(1) Clarifies existing intent by using more accessible language. (2) Provides flexibility in achieving riparian area compensatory mitigation requirements by providing reduced area-based ratios paired with required primary or secondary actions. The additional actions help to offset the lower area-based mitigation requirements since the actions are known to directly benefit riparian and aquatic area functions.	 The County's BAS report indicates the use of mitigation to achieve no net loss generally comes with a high risk of failure, and that the changes proposed are supported by BAS. The report states "Previous King County riparian-area mitigation ratios do not align with BAS because they do not account for temporal loss and uncertainty in mitigation success (risk of failure). King County's updates for riparian-area mitigation ratios are in better alignment with BAS because they are within the range of mitigation ratios in BAS that account for replacement of lost or impacted area, temporal loss, and uncertainty in mitigation success. Increasing riparian-area mitigation ratios will help support mitigation success in achieving equivalent or greater functions. Additionally, having different mitigation ratios based on aquatic-area types helps to align mitigation with expected functions likely achieved for each aquatic-area type" (page 115) Policy Analysis: This subsection could be clarified to be comparable to nearby relatively undisturbed areas for degraded areas. On-site mitigation is proposed to increase from 1:1 to 2:1. Mitigation should have a ratio higher than 1:1 (discussed above), with BAS indicating that ratios below 3:1 are

		Critic	cal Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
D. For purposes of subsection C. of this section, ((a)) mitigation ((measure)) is in the same aquatic area reach if the length of aquatic area ((shoreline)) or adjacent riparian area meets the following criteria: 1. Similar geomorphic conditions including slope, soil, aspect, and substrate; 2. Similar processes including erosion and transport of sediment and ((woody debris)) large wood; 3. Equivalent or better biological conditions, including presence of and habitat for invertebrates, fish, wildlife, and vegetation; and 4. Equivalent or better biological functions, including fish and wildlife mating, reproduction, rearing, migration, and refuge; ((or)) and 5. For tributary streams, a distance of no more than one-half mile from the main stem; E.1. ((The department may reduce the mitigation ratios in subsection C. of this section to 2:1 ratio for a type S or F aquatic area and 1.5:1 ratio for a type N or O aquatic area if the applicant provides a scientifically rigorous mitigation monitoring program that includes the following elements: 1. Monitoring methods that ensure that the mitigation meets the approved performance standards identified by the department; 2. Financing or funding guarantees for the duration of the monitoring program; and 3. Experienced, qualified staff to perform the monitoring)) On-site mitigation in riparian areas shall use the ratios in subsection E.2 of this section; 2. Ratios of area of mitigation to area of impact for on-site mitigation for riparian areas:	Change	Intent/Rationale	support mitigation success (page 112). Council may wish to consider whether these ratios are appropriate. • With the proposed changes, off-site mitigation and on-site mitigation have the same ratios for Type N and Type O aquatic areas. This change may no longer incentivize applicants from completing mitigation on-site, which is typically preferred over off-site. The BAS report states "Higher off-site mitigation ratios than onsite ratios align with BAS because there is even greater uncertainty with off-site mitigation, as areas may or may not provide similar functions and conditions as on-site areas." Council may wish to consider
Adjacent Aquatic Area Type Shoreline (S) Fish bearing (F) Other (O) F.1. The department may approve an alternative on-site area mitigation ratio to subsection E. of this section when the following requirements of subsection F.2. of this section are met. 2. Required actions for alternative on-site riparian area mitigation ratio based on the type of vegetation impacted; Adjacent Aquatic Area Type Impacts to Woody Vegetation Vegetation or unvegetated			whether to increase these ratios consistent with BAS. • The proposed changes would no longer allow ratio reductions for off-site mitigation. Executive staff indicate that these existing provisions do not meet BAS and allows a net loss of ecological function. Removal of this reduction is a policy choice.
Shoreline (S) Shoreline (S) Fish bearing (F) Non-fish bearing (N) Other (O) 1:1 ratio with at least one primary action or three secondary actions 3. Primary actions: a. placing large wood in adjacent aquatic areas, if not associated with shoreline stabilization or flood protection facilities; b. removing a fish passage barrier, if not required by the development permit; c. removing an aquatic area transportation crossing, such as roads, bridges, or trails, and revegetating as appropriate. Utility crossings are not included under this action, unless the removal is part of an integrated transportation crossing removal project;			Riparian area mitigation ratios are increased from 1:1 to either 3:1 or 2:1. These mitigation ratios are higher than aquatic area mitigation ratios. Executive staff indicate that the ratios account for lost or impacted areas, the time lag between the impact and mitigation maturity, and uncertainty in mitigation success. Establishing higher baseline standards for riparian areas is a policy choice.
d. removing shoreline armoring, revetments, or levees; or e. other similar actions as determined by the department. 4. Secondary actions: a. planting native trees, climate-smart plants, and shrubs in areas of riparian area addition lacking native vegetation that are adjacent to and contiguous with existing riparian areas, within an area equal to one-half of the area of impact. This action cannot be applied where the riparian area addition requires enhancement to achieve equal function to the impact area; b. placing large wood in riparian areas or an adjacent floodplain; c. installing wildlife snags or similar wildlife nesting or rearing habitat; d. removing floodplain fill and replating with native vegetation or climate-smart plants as appropriate; or e. other similar actions as determined by the department;			The proposed ordinance includes a new provision for alterative ratios based on the type of vegetation impacted. This system would lower the mitigation requirement by 33% to 66% of the standard with certain actions. The BAS report indicates that ratios below 3:1 alone are inadequate due to their risk of success and temporal lag. However, the BAS report indicates that "Including"

				cal Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25
Code		Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
G.1. If unable to be located on or	within one-half mile of the site, off-site mitigation for riparian areas in the same	<u>Irainage</u>		alternative on-site mitigation ratios with
subbasin, may be accepted by the departm	nent and shall be in a mitigation banking site, resource mitigation reserve, or conse	ervation		required supplemental actions will improve
	mitigation shall use the ratios of area of mitigation to area of impact in subsection	G.2. of this		mitigation success because supplemental
section.				actions provide functions that can be
	gation to area of impact of riparian areas:			realized in the near term and are equivalent
Adjacent Aquatic Area Type	Off-Site Compensatory Mitigation Ratio			to or greater than those being impacted.
Shoreline (S)	4:1			Increased mitigation requirements align
Fish bearing (F)	4:1			with BAS and will help King County meet the
Non-fish bearing (N)	4:1			GMA no net loss requirement for ecological
Other (O)	3:1; and	((functions" (page 117). Whether to allow
the following standards)) shall:	tion to any type of aquatic area or ((its buffer)) riparian area, mitigation measures	((must meet		alternative mitigation and to what degree
, , <u>—</u>	ocated on the site of the illegal alteration at a ((1:1))4:1 ratio of area of mitigation	to area of		are policy choices.
((alteration)) impact; ((and))	scaled on the site of the megal alteration at a ((1.1))1.1 ratio of area of initigation	to area or		
	on the site of the illegal alteration at a 4:1 ratio of area of mitigation to area of in	apact for		 Council may wish to consider allowing a
	nd 3:1 ratio for adjacent type O aquatic areas; and	<u>.puet 161</u>		primary action as an option in addition to
	tical, replicates the natural prealteration configuration at its natural prealteration lo	ocation		secondary actions.
including the factors in subsection B. of the				
G. The department may modify t	he requirements in this section if the applicant demonstrates that, with respect to	ach aquatic		 Council may wish to expand this action to
	tained in the affected hydrologic unit that the department may determine to be the	drainage		allow enhancement that goes above and
subbasin through alternative mitigation m				beyond the "equal function" standard for
	n aquatic area or its buffer that is predominately woody vegetation, the departmen	t may require		those projects that achieves greater
mitigation in addition to restoration of the	e altered aquatic area or buffer)).			function than the impact area. Language
				could be revised to state: "Planting native or
				climate-smart trees and shrubs in a riparian
				area addition that is equal to one-half of the
				area of impact, contiguous with existing
				riparian areas, and in a manner that
				achieves equal or greater function than the
				impacted area."
				Off-site riparian area mitigation ratios are
				higher than aquatic area mitigation ratios.
				Executive staff indicate that mitigation
				ratios are higher to account for the temporal
				lag for vegetation to grow and provide
				riparian functions. Establishing higher
				baseline standards for riparian areas is a
				policy choice.
				The mitigation ratio for illegal alterations
				was raised to 3:1 for Type O riparian areas
				and 4:1 for all other aquatic and riparian
				areas. Executive staff indicate that this
				would address not using typical best
				management practices and serving as a
				disincentive. The specific ratio for illegal
				aloniochtivo. The opcome ratio for ittogat

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
			 Subsection G. was removed due to confusion with code interpretation. Proposed changes would include alternative mitigation approaches. Subsection H. is proposed to be removed. Temporary impacts would not be explicitly called out for mitigation as it is for wetland buffers. Executive staff indicate that this would be covered in a critical area report and this code, and that this Subsection H. is inappropriate to describe alterations as temporary and may not meet no net loss. Council may wish to consider whether to include code requirements for mitigation for temporary impacts. Overall, Council may wish to consider restructuring and streamlining for ease of reading.
WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS			reduing.
 K.C.C. 21A.06.1422 Wildlife. Wildlife: birds, fish and animals, that are not domesticated and are considered to be wild. (Ord. 15051 § 123, 2004). K.C.C. 21A.06.1423 Wildlife habitat conservation area. Wildlife habitat conservation area: an area for a species whose habitat the King County Comprehensive Plan requires the county to protect that includes an active breeding site and the area surrounding the breeding site that is necessary to protect breeding activity. (Ord. 15051 § 124, 2004). K.C.C. 21A.06.1424 Wildlife habitat network. Wildlife habitat network: the official wildlife habitat network defined and mapped in the King County Comprehensive Plan that links wildlife habitat with critical areas, critical area buffers, priority habitats, trails, parks, open space and other areas to provide for wildlife movement and alleviate habitat fragmentation. (Ord. 15051 § 125, 2004). K.C.C. 21A.06.1425 Wildlife shelter. Wildlife shelter: a facility for the temporary housing of sick, wounded or displaced wildlife. (Ord. 10870 § 325, 1993). 	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: • This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference.
K.C.C. 21A.24.382 – Development standards for proposals and alterations for sites with wildlife habitat conservation areas present. SECTION 76. Ordinance 15051, Section 198, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.382 are hereby amended to read as follows: The following development standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites containing wildlife habitat conservation areas: A. Unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are allowed within a wildlife habitat conservation area;	Corrects numbering error and removes duplicative language.	Technical and clarifying changes consistent with existing intent.	Section Notes: • This section identifies alteration limitations near species, such as specifying what constitutes a wildlife habitat conservation area around specific species and seasonal construction restrictions. Policy Analysis:

Code	Executive's Proposed	Executive's	Policy Staff Comments
	Change	Intent/Rationale	
B. For a bald eagle:			The list of protected species was updated
1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a four-hundred-foot radius from an active nest;			under the 2024 KCCP update. This list can
2. Between March 15 and April 30, alterations are not allowed within eight hundred feet of the nest; and			be found in Chapter 5.
((2)) 3. Between January 1 and August 31, land clearing machinery, such as bulldozers, graders or other heavy equipment, may			
not be operated within eight hundred feet of the nest;			Executive staff indicate that the species-
C. For a great blue heron:			specific standards in this section are
1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with an eight-hundred-twenty-foot radius from the rookery. The department			maintained under this proposed ordinance,
may increase the radius up to an additional one-hundred sixty-four feet if the department determines that the population of the rookery is			as the <u>BAS report</u> did not indicate a reason
declining; and 2. Petrycon January 1 and July 21. clearing or grading are not allowed within nine hundred twenty four feet of the real control.			to modify the standards in this section.
2. Between January 1 and July 31, clearing or grading are not allowed within nine-hundred-twenty-four feet of the rookery; D. For a marbled murrelet, the wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a one-half-mile radius around an active nest;			Executive staff also note that requiring a
E. For a northern goshawk, the wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a one-thousand-five-hundred-foot radius around			minimum protective radius provides for
an active nest located outside of the urban growth area;			more consistent application of appropriate
F. For an osprey:			standards.
1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a two-hundred-thirty-foot radius around an active nest; and			otaniaa.
2. Between April 1 and September 30, alterations are not allowed within six-hundred-sixty feet of the nest;			Protected species includes those listed by
G. For a peregrine falcon:			the federal or state government as
1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area extending for a distance of one-thousand feet of an eyrie on a cliff face, the			
area immediately above the eyrie on the rim of the cliff, and the area immediately below the cliff;			endangered, threatened, sensitive or
2. Between March 1 and June 30, land-clearing activities that result in loud noises, such as from blasting, chainsaws, or heavy			candidate species, and those listed in the
machinery, are not allowed within one-half mile of the eyrie; and			County's "species of local importance." Thi
3. New power lines may not be constructed within one-thousand feet of the eyrie;			species of local importance list was
H. For a spotted owl, the wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a three-thousand-seven-hundred-foot radius from an			updated in the Comprehensive Plan in
active nest;			2024. According to Executive staff, if
I. For a Townsend's big-eared bat:			substantial clearing is proposed during
1. Between June 1 and October 1, the wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a four-hundred-fifty-foot radius from the			breeding season in an area that potentially
entrance to a cave or mine, located outside of the urban area, with an active nursery colony;			contains protected species, a survey to
2. Between November 1 and March 31, the wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a four-hundred-fifty-foot radius			identify potential species would need to be
around the entrance to a cave or mine located outside the urban growth area serving as a winter hibernacula;			conducted and protections put in place.
3. Between March 1 and November 30, a building, bridge, tunnel, or other structure used solely for day or night roosting may			Protections may vary based on the species
not be altered or destroyed;			
4. Between May 1 and September 15, the entrance into a cave or mine that is protected because of bat presence is protected from			
human entry; and			
5. A gate across the entrance to a cave or mine that is protected because of bat presence must be designed to allow bats to enter			
and exit the cave or mine;			
J. For a Vaux's swift:			
1. The wildlife habitat conservation area is an area with a three-hundred-foot radius around an active nest located outside of the			
urban growth areas;			
2. Between April 1 and October 31, clearing, grading, or outdoor construction is not allowed within four hundred feet of an			
active or potential nest tree. The applicant may use a species survey to demonstrate that the potential nest tree does not contain an active			
nest;			
K. The department shall require protection of an active breeding site of any federal or state listed endangered, threatened,			
sensitive, and candidate species or King County species of local importance ((not listed in subsections B. through J. of this section)). If			
the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife has adopted management recommendations for a species covered by this			
subsection, the department shall follow those management recommendations. If management recommendations have not been adopted, the department shall base protection decisions on best available science.			
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE	Not included in	Not included in	Policy Analysis:
K.C.C. 21A.24.385 Wildlife habitat networks - applicability.	proposed ordinance	proposed ordinance	References to urban planned developments
			should be deleted, as they were repealed in
			the 2024KCCP update.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments	
The department shall make certain that segments of the wildlife habitat network are set aside and protected along the designated				
wildlife habitat network adopted by the King County Comprehensive Plan as follows:			The critical area tracts section does not	
A. This section applies to the following development proposals on parcels that include a segment of the designated wildlife			include fully contained communities.	
habitat network:				
1. All urban planned developments, fully contained communities, binding site plans, subdivisions and short subdivisions;			Placing wildlife habitat networks in a tract	
and			for subdivisions, short subdivisions, and	
2. All development proposals on individual lots unless a segment of the wildlife habitat network in full compliance with			binding site plans is a policy choice.	
K.C.C. 21A.24.386 already exists in a tract, easement or setback area, and a notice of the existence of the segment has been				
recorded;			Placing wildlife habitat networks in a	
B. Segments of the wildlife habitat network must be identified and protected in one of the following ways:			setback instead of an easement is a policy	
1. In urban planned developments, fully contained communities, binding site plans, subdivisions and short subdivisions,			choice.	
native vegetation is placed in a contiguous permanent open-space tract with all developable lots sited on the remaining portion of				
the project site, or the lots are designed so that required setback areas can form a contiguous setback covering the network				
segments; or				
2. For individual lots, the network is placed in a county-approved setback area. To the maximum extent practical, existing				
native vegetation is included in the network. The notice required by K.C.C. 21A.27.170 is required; and				
C. All wildlife habitat network tracts or setback areas must meet the design standards in K.C.C. 21A.24.386. (Ord. 15051 §				
201, 2004: Ord. 13694 § 90, 1999: Ord. 11621 § 52, 1994. Formerly K.C.C. 21A.14.260).				
NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE	Not included in	Not included in	Policy Analysis:	
K.C.C. 21A.24.386 Wildlife habitat networks - development standards and alterations.	proposed ordinance	proposed ordinance	roposed ordinance proposed ordinance • Referer	References to urban planned developments
·			should be deleted, as they were repealed in	
The following standards apply to development proposals and alterations on sites containing wildlife habitat network:			the 2024 KCCP update.	
A. Unless allowed as an alteration exception under K.C.C. 21A.24.070, only the alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 are				
allowed in the wildlife habitat network;				
B. The wildlife habitat network is sited to meet the following conditions:				
1. The network forms one contiguous tract or setback area that enters and exits the property where the network crosses the				
property boundary;				
2. To the maximum extent practical, the network maintains a width of three-hundred feet. The network width shall not be less				
than one-hundred-fifty feet at any point; and				
3. The network is contiguous with and includes critical areas and their buffers;				
4. To the maximum extent practical, the network connects isolated critical areas or habitat; and				
5. To the maximum extent practical, the network connects with wildlife habitat network segments, open space tracts or				
wooded areas on adjacent properties, if present;				
C. The wildlife habitat network tract must be permanently marked in accordance with this chapter;				
D. An applicant proposing recreation, forestry or any other use compatible with preserving and enhancing the habitat value of				
the wildlife habitat network located within the site must have an approved management plan. The applicant shall include and record				
the approved management plan for a binding site plan or subdivision with the covenants, conditions and restrictions (CCRs), if any.				
Clearing within the wildlife habitat network in a tract or tracts is limited to that allowed by an approved management plan;				
E. If the wildlife habitat network is contained in a setback area, a management plan is not required. Clearing is not allowed				
within a wildlife habitat network within a setback area on individual lots, unless the property owner has an approved management				
plan;				
F. In urban planned developments, fully contained communities, binding site plans, subdivisions and short subdivisions a				
homeowners association or other entity capable of long term maintenance and operation shall monitor and assure compliance with				
any approved management plan;				
G. Segments of the wildlife habitat network set aside in tracts, conservation easements or setback area must comply with				
K.C.C. 16.82.150;				

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
H. The department may credit a permanent open space tract containing the wildlife habitat network toward the other applicable requirements such as surface water management and the recreation space requirement of K.C.C. 21A.14.180, if the proposed uses within the tract are compatible with preserving and enhancing the wildlife habitat value. Restrictions on other uses within the wildlife habitat network tract shall be clearly identified in the management plan; I. The director may waive or reduce these standards for public facilities such as schools, fire stations, parks and road projects. (Ord. 15051 § 203, 2004: Ord. 11621 § 53, 1994. Formerly K.C.C. 21A.14.386). K.C.C. 21A.24.388 - Wildlife habitat conservation area mitigation ratios.	Increases mitigation ratio for illegal	The ratio is proposed to be increased to reduce	Section Notes: • This section addresses mitigation ratios to
SECTION 77. Ordinance 15051, Section 204, and K.C.C. 21A.24.388 are hereby amended to read as follows: In addition to the requirements in K.C.C. 21A.24.130, 21A.24.125 and 21A.24.133, the following applies to mitigation to compensate for the adverse impacts associated with wildlife habitat conservation areas and wildlife habitat networks: A. Mitigation to compensate for the adverse impacts to a wildlife habitat conservation area (funset)) shall prevent disturbance of each protected species. On-site mitigation may include management practices, such as timing of the disturbance. Off-site mitigation is limited to sites that will enhance the wildlife habitat conservation area. B. Mitigation to compensate for the adverse impacts to the wildlife habitat network must achieve equivalent or greater biologic functions including, but not limited to, habitat complexity and connectivity functions. Specific mitigation requirements for impacts to the wildlife habitat network shall: 1. Expand or enhance the wildlife network as close to the location of impact as feasible; and 2. Attain the following ratios of area of mitigation to area of alteration: a. for mitigation on site: (1) 1.5:1 ratio for rectifying an illegal alteration to a wildlife habitat network; and (2) 1.5:1 ratio for rectifying an illegal alteration to a wildlife habitat network; and (2) 3:1 ratio for rectifying an illegal alteration to a wildlife habitat network; and (2) 3:1 ratio for rectifying an illegal alteration to a wildlife habitat network; and (2) 3:1 ratio for rectifying an illegal alteration to a wildlife habitat network; and (3) 3:1 ratio for enhancement or restoration; C. For temporary alterations, the department may require rectification, restoration, or enhancement of the altered wildlife habitat network: D. The department may increase the width of the wildlife habitat network to mitigate for risks to habitat functions; E. To the maximum extent practical, mitigation projects involving wildlife habitat network restoration should prov	alterations made within a wildlife habitat network from 1:1 to 1.5:1.	the risk that mitigation will be insufficient to provide no net loss of ecological function.	compensate for adverse impacts to wildlife habitat conservation areas and wildlife habitat networks. Policy Analysis: Executive staff indicate that "sites that will enhance the wildlife habitat conservation area" refers to enhancing/benefiting the species or habitat that was impacted. Given that this sentence concerns mitigation in a different location than the original wildlife habitat conservation area, it may be clearer to state that the off-site mitigation will enhance the habitat of the species impacted. This ratio is recommended by WDFW and supported by Executive staff to address uncertain success rates from mitigation. Temporary alterations do not have a prescribed ratio. Executive staff indicate that a 1:1 restoration ratio would be a baseline from following mitigation sequencing standards and DLS could require enhancement beyond the baseline, depending on the nature of the impact. This is a policy choice. Council may wish to change "alterations" to "impacts" and "rectification" to "rehabilitation" for clarity.
AGRICULTURAL ALLOWANCES – FARM MANAGEMENT PLANS – GRAZING AREA BUFFERS			
K.C.C. 21A.06.XXX - New Section NEW SECTION. SECTION 23. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 21A.06 a new section to read as follows:	Adds definition for "grazing area buffer."	The existing K.C.C. uses the word "buffer" ambiguously,	Policy Analysis: • Please refer to the analysis for K.C.C. 21A.24.051 (page 85) and K.C.C. 21A.30
Grazing area buffer: a designated area contiguous to a wetland or aquatic area from which grazing livestock are excluded.		sometimes meaning critical area buffers,	(page 86) for standards related to grazing area buffers.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
		sometimes meaning grazing area buffers. This definition is proposed to be added to define grazing area buffers. Additionally, code standards are proposed to be clarified to say which apply to critical area buffers or riparian areas and which apply to grazing areas.	No issues identified.
 K.C.C. 21A.06.700 Livestock, large. Livestock, large: cattle, horses, and other livestock generally weighing over 500 pounds. (Ord. 10870 § 180, 1993). K.C.C. 21A.06.705 Livestock, small. Livestock, small: hogs, excluding pigs weighing under 120 lbs. and standing 20 inches or less at the shoulder which are kept as household pets or small animals, sheep, goats, miniature horses, llamas, alpaca and other livestock generally weighing under 500 pounds. (Ord. 10870 § 181, 1993). K.C.C. 21A.06.707 Livestock heavy use area. Livestock heavy use area: an enclosure, typically constructed with footing material, such as gravel, used to keep grazing livestock off pasture from late fall through early spring or when pastures are grazed down to reduce soil erosion, protect water quality and improve pasture productivity, aesthetics and livestock health. (Ord. 17539 § 21, 2013). K.C.C. 21A.06.708 Livestock manure storage facility. Livestock manure storage facility: an impoundment made by constructing an embankment, pit or structure for the purpose of temporarily storing manure, liquid or slurry manure, agricultural wastewater or other organic agricultural waste before agronomic use to facilitate nutrient management and protect water quality. (Ord. 17539 § 22, 2013). 	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Section Notes: This section is not part of the proposed ordinance – it is included in this matrix for reference.
K.C.C. 21A.24.051 Agricultural activities development standards. SECTION 42. Ordinance 15051, Section 138, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.051 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. The alterations identified in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 for agricultural activities are allowed to expand within ((the buffers of)) wetland((s)) buffers, ((aquatic areas)) riparian areas, and wildlife habitat conservation areas,) when an agricultural activity is currently occurring on the site and the alteration is in compliance with an approved farm management plan in accordance with this section or, for livestock activities, a farm management plan in accordance with K.C.C. chapter 21A.30. B. This section does not modify any requirement that the property owner obtain permits for activities covered by the farm management plan. C. The department of natural resources and parks or its designee shall serve as the single point of contact for King County in providing information on farm management plans for purposes of this title. The department of natural resources and parks shall adopt a public rule governing the development of farm management plans. The rule may provide for different types of farms management plans related to different kinds of agricultural activities, including, but not limited to the best management practices for livestock management, livestock crossing, livestock heavy use areas, horticulture management, site development, farm pads, farm field access roads, and agricultural drainage.	Technical changes regarding alterations and/or expansion within critical areas for agricultural activity uses with an approved farm management plan.	Changes to remove redundancy, improve clarity, and make consistent use of current terminology. Reorders existing content for more logical flow. Existing intent is retained.	 Section Notes: Farm management plans are required to be consistent with this section. Where livestock is farmed, farm management plans must also be consistent with K.C.C. 21A.30.045 (page 86). Farm management plans are created by the King Conservation District (KCD) and farm operators to meet individual farm management objectives and minimize impacts to critical areas. If the plans provide certain benefits, such as regulatory

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
D. The property owner or applicant may develop the farm management plan as part of a program offered or approved by King County. A property owner or applicant seeking to use the process to allow alterations in critical area buffers shall develop a farm management plan based on the following goals, which are listed in order of priority: 1. To maintain the productive agricultural land base and economic viability of agriculture on the site; 2. To maintain, restore, or enhance critical areas to the maximum extent practical in accordance with the site-specific goals of the landowner; 3. To the maximum extent practical in accordance with the site-specific goals of the landowner, maintain, and enhance natural hydrologic systems on the site; 4. To use federal, state, and local best management practices and best available science for farm management to achieve the goals of the farm management plan; and 5. To monitor the effectiveness of best management practices and implement additional practices through adaptive management to achieve the goals of the farm management plan. E. If a part or all of the site is located within the shoreline jurisdiction, the farm management plan shall: 1. Consider and be consistent with the goals of the shoreline management act and the policies of the King County shoreline master program; 2. Consider the priorities of the King County shoreline protection and restoration plan; and 3. Ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and critical area functional and values. F. ((The property-owner or applicant may develop the farm management plan as part of a program offered or approved by King County).) The plan shall include, but is not limited to, the following elements: 1. A site inventory identifying critical areas, structures, cleared and forested areas, and other significant features on the site; 2. Site-specific performance standards and best management practices to maintain, restore or enhance critical areas and ((their)) associated buffers, and maintain and enhance native veg			flexibility or PBRS credit, they are reviewed and approved by DNRP. Policy Analysis: The County has a public rule dating to 2005. The BAS report recommends an update to this public rule to clarify the review and approval process, ensure use of the most current best management practices, and support application of a monitoring and adaptive management framework. The BAS report indicates that the Executive plans to update the rule following the adoption of this proposed ordinance. Whether to defer the requirements of a Farm Plan to a public rule process, rather than adopted through ordinance adopted by the Council, is a policy choice. Whether to have KCD, a separate government from the County, implement and enforce the plans, is also a policy choice.
K.C.C. 21A.30.045 - Farm management plan requirements.	(1) Changes references of "aquatic area	(1) Changes are proposed	Section Notes: • This section addresses the livestock
SECTION 83. Ordinance 11168, Section 3 as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.30.045 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. To achieve the maximum density allowances using a livestock management component of a farm management plan, the plan must meet the following criteria: 1. The plan is developed as part of a program authorized or approved by King County. Certified Washington state Department of Ecology nutrient management plans that are consistent with all of the criteria of this section may substitute for a livestock management component of a farm management plan for commercial dairy farms. Commercial dairy farms that do not have approved nutrient management plans must meet the requirements of K.C.C 21A.30.060; 2. The plan includes site-specific management measures for minimizing nonpoint pollution from agricultural activities and for managing wetland and aquatic areas including, but not limited to: a. livestock watering; b. grazing and pasture management;	buffers" to "riparian areas." (2) Changes term "salmonid" to "fish." (3) Updates standards for grazing area buffer including diverse, mature vegetation for type S and F aquatic areas	throughout the code to change term or reference from "aquatic area buffers" to "riparian areas," where appropriate, consistent with current terminology.	management component of a farm management plan. Policy Analysis: Wetland buffers and riparian areas could be added to this subsection, as these are also areas that should be protected and are addressed in Subsection A.2.e.

<u>~</u>

Code

- c. confinement area management;
- d. manure management; and
- e. exclusion of animals from aquatic areas ((and their buffers)), riparian areas, and wetlands and ((their)) associated buffers with the exception of grazed wet meadows.
- 3. The plan is implemented within a timeframe established in the plan and maintained so that nonpoint pollution attributable to livestock-keeping is minimized; and
- 4. A monitoring plan may be required as part of the livestock management component of a farm management plan to demonstrate that there is no significant impact to water quality and ((salmonid fisheries)) fish habitat. Monitoring results shall be available to the King County agriculture program.
 - B. The livestock management component of a farm management plan shall, at a minimum:
- 1. ((Generally s)) Seek to achieve a ((twenty five foot buffer of)): forty-foot-wide grazing area buffer including diverse, mature vegetation between grazing areas and the ordinary high water mark of all type S and F aquatic areas and the wetland edge of any category I, II, or III wetland with the exception of grazed wet meadows((, using buffer averaging where necessary to accommodate existing structures)); thirty-five-foot-wide grazing area buffer including diverse, mature vegetation between grazing areas and the ordinary high water mark of all type N aquatic areas; and twenty-foot-wide grazing area buffer including diverse, mature vegetation between grazing areas and the wetland edge of any category IV wetlands other than grazed wet meadows. The livestock management component of a farm management plan((s)) may vary the width of the grazing area buffer ((of an aquatic area or wetland)), and the time and duration of animal exclusion throughout the year, according to guidelines agreed upon by King County and the King Conservation District. The guidelines may support a different grazing area buffer width based on both the nature of the farm operation and the function and sensitivity of the aquatic area or wetland. The plan must include best management practices that avoid having manure accumulate in or within ten feet of type ((Nor)) O waters. ((Forested lands being cleared)) Clearing of lands for grazing ((areas)) shall comply with the riparian area widths and critical area buffers and all applicable regulations in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24;
 - 2. ((Assure)) Ensure that drainage ditches on the site do not channel animal waste to aquatic areas and wetlands;
- 3. Achieve an additional twenty((-foot buffer) feet of diverse, mature vegetation downslope of any confinement areas within two hundred feet of type S((and)), F, and N waters. This requirement may be waived for existing confinement areas on lots of two and one-half acres or less in size if:
 - a. a minimum buffer of ((twenty five)) forty feet of diverse, mature vegetation is achieved;
- b. manure within the confinement area is removed daily during the winter season from October 15 to April 15, and stored in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.30.060.D.; and
- c. additional best management practices, as recommended by the King Conservation District, are implemented, and maintained; and
 - 4. Include a schedule for implementation.
- C. Any deviation from the manure management standards must be addressed in a livestock management component of a farm management plan.
 - D. A copy of the final plans shall be submitted to the department of natural resources and parks within sixty days of completion.
- E. The farm management plan approved by the department of natural resources and parks may be appealed to the hearing examiner in accordance with K.C.C. 20.22.040 and 20.22.080. Appeals may be filed only by the property owner or four members of the King County agriculture commission. Any farm management plan not appealed shall constitute prima facie evidence of compliance with the regulatory provisions of K.C.C. 9.12.035.
- F. Properties operating subject to an existing livestock management farm plan developed based on the standards in effect before the effective date of this ordinance shall be deemed to have satisfied the standards of this section.

Executive's Proposed Executive's Change Intent/Rationale

- category I, II, or III
 wetlands except
 grazed wet
 meadows from 25
 feet or 40 feet,
 which can be
 modified by the
 livestock
 management
 component of a
 farm management
 plan.
- (4) Updates the term "buffer" to "grazing area buffer."
- (5) Adds a standard for grazing area buffers including diverse, mature vegetation of 35 feet for type N aquatic areas, which can be modified by the livestock management component of a farm management plan.
- (6) Adds a standard for grazing area buffers including diverse, mature vegetation of 20 feet for category IV wetlands other than grazed wet meadows, which can be modified by the livestock management component of a farm management plan.
- (7) Adds provision
 stating that
 properties operating
 subject to an

(2) Changes are proposed throughout the code to change "salmonid" to "fish," where appropriate, to be consistent with King County's current protection of all fish species listed by WDFW, WA DNR and King

County species of

local importance,

not just salmonids.

- (3) The existing code uses the term "buffer" ambiguously; the term "grazing area buffer" is proposed to be used where the code sets standards for the distance from a feature that grazing is excluded. This is different from critical area buffers, in which additional restrictions apply.
- (4) (6) Increased grazing area buffer width provides greater protection of wetland buffer and riparian area functions while limiting the reduction of the amount of farmable lands. (7) Clarifies when the proposed standards in this section would apply.

Policy Staff Comments

- Animals are allowed to graze in these critical areas outside of the grazing area buffer. Council may wish to clarify this by including "except as otherwise allowed under this title".
- The grazing area buffers (the minimum distance between a critical area and a grazing area) are proposed to increase between 15 and 50 feet for most areas, depending on the type or category of wetland or aquatic area and whether a farm management plan (FMP) is provided. The table below shows a simplified summary of the major changes.

Туре	FMP	Existing	Proposed		
RIPARIAN AREA					
SorF	Yes	25 ft	40 ft		
SorF	No	50 ft	50 ft		
N	Yes	0 ft	35 ft		
N	No	0 ft	50 ft		
0	Y/N	0 ft	0 ft		
WETLAND B	UFFER				
I, II, or III	Yes	25 ft	50 ft		
I, II, or III	No	50 ft	50 ft		
IV	Yes	0 ft	20 ft		
IV	No	0 ft	25 ft		

• Although the buffers are proposed to increase in this proposed ordinance, they are significantly below the buffer widths recommended to achieve no net loss and therefore are depart from the County's BAS. The **BAS** report states: "King County has high confidence that there is high risk to riparian-area functions over the long term because the areas between 50 feet and SPTH (approximately 200 feet) will lack protections" (page 96). The BAS report indicates that buffer widths are intended to protect agricultural lands, consistent with GMA goals. The BAS also indicate that "King County employs a broad array of nonregulatory measures to protect critical areas and reduce risk to critical area functions and values" (page 96). A summary of nonregulatory measures is at the end of this matrix. Maintaining the

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
	existing livestock management farm plan have met the standards in this section.		 Program and buffer widths for livestock is a policy choice. The code identifies buffers as a goal, where plans should "seek to achieve" these buffers. Executive staff indicate that the changes "clarify the minimum benchmark to be applied to riparian areas." The language is intended to reflect that the King Conservation District and the landowner develop the plan, which is tailored to the site and the County cannot require restoration for past development impacts, while also acknowledging mature vegetation may take substantial effort to achieve. As proposed, this language would not require a minimum buffer, and instead would establish a target that could be lower or higher than the widths identified. This is not described in the BAS report in this manner; instead the BAS report treats them as a minimum requirement. It may be clearer to add back in language that the 20 feet is a buffer that is in addition
			to the existing grazing area buffer.
K.C.C. 21A.30.060 - Animal regulations – Livestock management standards. SECTION 84. Ordinance 10870, Section 534, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.30.060 are hereby amended to read as follows: Property owners with farms containing either large livestock at densities greater than one animal unit per two acres, or small livestock at densities greater than five animals per acre, or both, are not required to follow a((a)) livestock management plan if the owners adhere to the management standards in subsections A. through G. of this section. This section applies only if farm practices do not result in violation of any federal, state, or local water quality standards. A. To minimize livestock access to aquatic areas, property owners shall utilize the following livestock watering options: 1. The preferred option, which is a domestic water supply, stock watering pond, roof runoff collection system, or approved pumped supply from the aquatic areas so that livestock are not required to enter aquatic areas for their water supply. 2. Livestock access to type S((and)), F, and N waters((, including their buffers)) and riparian areas shall be limited to crossing and watering points that have been addressed by a crossing or watering point plan designed to Natural Resource Conservation Services or King Conservation District specifications that prevent free access along the length of the aquatic areas. a. Fencing shall be used as necessary to prevent livestock access to type S ((and)), F, and N waters. b. Bridges may be used, in accordance with K.C.C. chapter 21A.24, in lieu of crossings. Piers and abutments shall not be placed within the ordinary high water mark or top-of-bank, whichever is greater. Bridges shall be designed to allow free flow of flood waters and shall not diminish flood carrying capacity. These bridges may be placed without a county building permit, but the permit waiver shall not constitute any assumption of liability by the county with regard to such bridge or its placement. The waiver of county building pe	(1) Adds type N waters to aquatic area types to which livestock access standards apply. (2) Adds category IV wetlands to the wetland categories to which grazing area buffer standards apply. (3) Updates the term "vegetative buffer" to "grazing area buffer." (4) Clarifies that properties with existing fencing installed at distances other than those	(1) Type N waters flow into fish bearing streams and are proposed to be added to provide greater protection of riparian area functions while limiting the reduction of the amount of farmable land. (2) Category IV wetlands should be protected similarly to other wetland types from the impacts of livestock due to their importance for wildlife and water	 This section addresses livestock on farms without a farm management plan. Policy Analysis: Although the buffers are proposed to increase in this proposed ordinance, they are significantly below the buffer widths recommended to achieve no net loss and therefore are depart from the County's BAS. The BAS report states: "King County has high confidence that there is high risk to riparian-area functions over the long term because the areas between 50 feet and SPTH (approximately 200 feet) will lack protections" (page 96). The BAS report indicates that buffer widths are intended to protect agricultural lands, consistent with GMA goals. The BAS also indicate that "King County employs a broad array of nonregulatory measures to protect critical

	Critical Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/29		
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
definition of grazed wet meadows. or the ordinary high water mark of a type S((ee)), F, or N water, and a twenty-five-foot-wide grazing area buffer from the edge of a category IV wetland except those wetlands meeting the definition of grazed wet meadows. 2. ((Ferested-H)) Lands being cleared for grazing areas shall comply with the riparian area widths and critical area buffers and the associated regulations in K.C.C. chapter 21A.24. 3. The grazing area buffer may be reduced to twenty-five feet where a twenty-five-foot buffer of diverse, mature vegetation already exists. This buffer reduction may not be used when ((five-steady)) lands are being cleared for grazing areas. 4. Fencing shall be used to establish and maintain the grazing area buffer unless the buffer is otherwise impenetrable to livestock. 5. Fencing installed in accordance with the ((1900 Sensitive-Area Ordinance before February-14-1094)) standards in effect before January 1, 2005, at setbacks other than those specified in subsection B.((1-and-2-)) of this section shall be deemed to constitute compliance with those requirements. 6. Grazing areas may extend to the property line, provided that type S((er)), F, or N waters and category 1, III(ear)), III, or IV wetlands that are located adjacent to the property line provided that type S((er)), F, or N waters and category 1, III(ear)), III, or IV wetlands that are located adjacent to the property line provided that type S((er)), F, or N waters and category 1, III(ear), III or IV wetlands that are located adjacent to the property line provided that type S((er)), F, or N water and category 1, III(ear), III or IV wetlands with the exception of grazed wet meadows shall: a. have a twenty-foot-wide vegetative filter strip downhill from the confinement areas tocated within two hundred feet of any type S((er)), F, or N water or any wetland buffer required by the critical areas ordinance in effect at the time way also include tree cover. b. not be located in ((the buffers)) a riparian area adjacent to any	specified in this section for which livestock management farm plans have been developed are considered compliant if approved fencing is present for all type S and F aquatic areas.	quality, although they are proposed to have a smaller grazing area buffer than the other categories. (3) The existing code uses the term "buffer" ambiguously; the term "grazing area buffer" is proposed to be used where the code sets standards for the distance from a feature that grazing is excluded. This is different from critical area buffers, in which additional restrictions apply. (4) Establishes when the proposed standards in this section would apply.	areas and reduce risk to critical area functions and values" (page 96). A summary of nonregulatory measures is at the end of this matrix. Maintaining the program and buffer widths for livestock proposed by the ordinance is a policy choice. "Existing grazing areas not addressed by K.C.C. chapter 21A.24" is intended to cover those areas without a farm plan. Council may wish to clarify this. The proposed ordinance includes two grazing area buffer widths for Category IV wetlands. Executive staff indicate that the 25-foot grazing area buffer was intended. Council may wish to clarify the buffer width for a Category IV wetland. Grazed wet meadows would not be subject to a buffer, which is a policy choice. Grazed wet meadows are defined as "an emergent wetland that has grasses, sedges, rushes or other herbaceous vegetation as its predominant vegetation and has been previously converted to agricultural activities. " This existing provision would allow buffers to be reduced to 25 feet if "diverse, mature vegetation already exists" on properties without a farm management plan. This buffer would be lower than the buffers identified for properties with a farm management plan, meaning that the provisions would disincentivize property owners from obtaining a farm management plan. This provision could be removed to be consistent with the increased buffers proposed. It is unclear how these provisions would be applied within the shoreline jurisdiction. Council may wish to consider how address these standards in the shoreline jurisdiction.

			al Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
F. Properties ((that have)) with existing fencing ((already)) installed at distances other than those specified in these standards, and for which livestock management farm plans have been developed based on the existing fencing locations, shall be deemed to be in compliance with the fencing requirements of these standards((. Properties with or without a livestock management component of a farm management plan that complied with the fencing requirements in effect before January 1, 2005, shall have five years from January 1, 2005, to meet the fencing requirements for aquatic areas that were exempt from fencing under ordinances in effect before January 1, 2005)), provided approved fencing is present for all type S and F aquatic areas. G. Buffer areas shall not be subject to public access, use, or dedication by reason of the establishment of such buffers.			
RURAL STEWARDSHIP PLANS			
21A.24.055 - Rural stewardship plans. A. On a site zoned RA, the department may approve a modification of the minimum buffer widths for aquatic areas, wetlands and wildlife habitat conservation areas and maximum clearing restrictions through a rural stewardship plan for single family detached residential development in accordance with this section. B. The property owner or applicant shall develop the rural stewardship plan as part of a rural stewardship program offered or approved by King County and has the option of incorporating appropriate components of a county-approved farm management or a county-approved forest stewardship plan. C. In its evaluation of any proposed modification of the minimum buffer widths for aquatic areas, wetlands and wildlife habitat conservation areas and maximum clearing restrictions, the department shall consider the following factors: 1. The existing condition of the drainage basin or marine shoreline as designated on the Basin and Shoreline Conditions Map; 2. The existing condition of wetland and aquatic area buffers; 3. The existing condition of wetland functions based on the adopted Washington State Wetland Rating System for Westem Washington, Washington state Department of Ecology publication number 14-06-029, published October 2014; 4. The location of the site in the drainage basin; 5. The percentage of impervious surfaces and clearing on the site; and 6. Any existing development on the site that was approved as a result of a variance or alteration exception that allowed development within a critical area or critical area buffer. If the existing development was approved through a variance or alteration exception, the rural stewardship plan shall demonstrate that the plan will result in enhancing the functions and values of critical areas located on the site as if the development approved through the variance or alteration exception had not occurred. D. A rural stewardship plan does not modify the requirement for permits for activities covered by the rural stewardshi		The County is not currently approving or administering rural stewardship plans. Some code flexibilities offered by Rural Stewardship Plans, such as buffer averaging, are still available in the proposed code.	 Section Notes: This proposed repeal would eliminate rural stewardship plans, which is a voluntary plan where RA property owners could reduce buffers, enroll in the public benefit rating system (PBRS), or receive technical assistance in exchange for implementing site-specific best management practices. Executive staff indicate that the rural stewardship program was infrequently used and did not have a dedicated staff team to support the program. Policy Analysis: Buffer reductions, such as those allowed under this code section, depart from BAS, as buffer reductions could result in net loss of environmental functions. The BAS report indicates that buffer widths are intended to protect agricultural lands, consistent with GMA goals. The BAS also indicate that "King County employs a broad array of nonregulatory measures to protect critical areas and reduce risk to critical area functions and values" (page 96). A summary of nonregulatory measures is at
7. To minimize the impacts of development over time by implementing best management practices and meeting performance standards during the life of the development; and 8. To monitor the effectiveness of the stewardship practices and implement additional practices through adaptive management to maintain, restore or enhance critical area functions when necessary. F. If a part or all of the site is located within the shoreline jurisdiction, the rural stewardship plan shall: 1. Consider and be consistent with the goals of the Shoreline Management Act and the policies of the King County Shoreline Master Program; 2. Consider the priorities of the King County Shoreline Protection and Restoration Plan; and 3. Ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. G. A rural stewardship plan may include, but is not limited to, the following elements: 1. Critical areas designation under K.C.C. 21A.24.500;			 The removal of rural stewardship plans would remove allowances for reduced buffers, alternative review of impacts, and potential property tax reductions under the PBRS program under the rural stewardship element. Eliminating the program is a policy choice.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
3. Location of wetlands and aquatic areas and their buffers, and wildlife habitat; 4. Analysis of impacts of planned changes to any existing structures, for other changes to the site that involve clearing or grading or for new development; 5. Site-specific best management practices that mitigate impacts of development and that protect and enhance the ecological values and functions of the site; 6. A schedule for implementation of the elements of the rural stewardship plan; and 7. A plan for monitoring the effectiveness of measures approved under the rural stewardship plan and to modify if adverse impacts occur. H. A rural stewardship plan may be developed as part of a program offered or approved by King County and shall include a site inspection by the county to verify that the plan is reasonably likely to accomplish the goals in subsection E. of this section to protect water quality, reduce flooding and erosion, maintain, restore or enhance the function and value of critical areas and their buffers and maintain or enhance native vegetation on the site of this section. I. A property owner who completes a rural stewardship plan that is approved by the county may be eligible for tax benefits under the public benefit rating system in accordance with K.C.C. 20.36.100. J. If a property owner withdraws from the rural stewardship plan, in addition to any applicable penalties under the public benefit rating system, the following apply: 1. Mitigation is required for any structures constructed in critical area buffers under the rural stewardship plan; and 2. The property owner shall apply for buffer averaging or an alteration exception, as appropriate, to permit any structure or use that has been established under the rural stewardship plan and that would not otherwise be permitted under this chapter. K. A rural stewardship plan is not effective until approved by the county. Before approval, the county may conduct a site inspection, which may be through a program offered or approved by King County, to verify that th			
K.C.C. 21A.24.061 - Public rules for rural stewardship and farm management plans. SECTION 43. Ordinance 15051, Section 140, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.24.061 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. The King County council recognizes that ((rural stewardship plans and)) farm management plans ((are key elements of this chapter that)) provide flexibility to natural resource land and rural area residents to establish and maintain a rural lifestyle that includes activities such as farming ((and forestry)), while maintaining and enhancing rural character and environmental quality. B. The department of natural resources and parks and department of local services shall adopt public rules to implement K.C.C. 21A.24.045 and 21A.24.051 ((relating to rural stewardship plans and farm management plans)), consistent with the provisions of this section. The rules shall ((not compromise)) be consistent with the King Conservation District's mandates or standards for farm management planning. C. County departments or approved agencies shall provide technical assistance and resources to landowners to assist them in preparing the plans. The technical assistance shall include, but is not limited to, web-based information, instructional manuals, and classroom workshops. When possible, ((the assistance shall be provided at little or no cost to landowners)) the cost of such assistance shall be minimal to the landowner. ((In addition, t))The department of natural resources and parks shall develop, in consultation as necessary with the department of local services, permitting division, and the King Conservation District, ((and make available to the public.)) model farm management ((,forest management and rural stewardship)) plans illustrating examples of plan application content, drawings, and site plans, ((to assist landowners in their development of site specific plans for their property)) and make available to the public. D. The department of natural resources and parks ((is)) shall be the primary county agency responsible for	(1) Removes references to rural stewardship plans. (2) Technical corrections.	(1) References to rural stewardship plan are proposed to be removed as code section allowing rural stewardship plans (K.C.C. 21A.24.055) is proposed to be repealed in this ordinance. (2) Correcting formatting and grammatical errors.	Policy Analysis: ● No issues identified. If the Council chooses to keep rural stewardship plans (as discussed in the row above), this section should be updated.

Critical Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25			
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
permitting division, and the King Conservation District may enter into agreements to carry out the provisions of this chapter relating to ((rural stewardship plans and)) farm management plans. E. The department of natural resources and parks and department of local services, permitting division, shall monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of ((rural stewardship and)) farm management plans in meeting the goals and objectives of those plans established in this chapter. NOT INCLUDED IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE 16.82.154 Clearing - modification of limits through farm management and rural stewardship plans.	Not included in proposed ordinance	Not included in proposed ordinance	Policy Analysis: • If the Council chooses to repeal rural
The clearing limits of K.C.C. 16.82.150 and 16.82.152 may be modified through a farm management plan or rural stewardship plan approved in accordance with K.C.C. 21A.24.051 and 21A.24.055. (Ord. 15053 § 16, 2004).			stewardship plans, K.C.C. 16.82.154 should be updated to reflect the repeal.
K.C.C. 20.36.100 - Sets definitions, eligibility, and standards for the County's Public Benefit Rating System program. SECTION 4. Ordinance 10511, Section 7, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.36.100 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. The definitions in this section apply throughout this section, as well as in K.C.C. 20.36.040 and K.C.C. 20.36.190, unless the context clearly requires otherwise. B. To be eligible for open space classification under the public benefit rating system, a property shall contain one or more qualifying open space resources and have at least five points as determined under this section. The department shall review each application and recommend award of credit for current use of the property. In making the recommendation, the department shall utilize the point system described in subsections C. and D. of this section. C. The following open space resources are each eligible for the points indicated: 1. Active trail linkage - fifteen or twenty-five points. "Active trail linkage" means land in private ownership through which the owner agrees to allow public passage for active transportation, as defined in K.C.C. 14.01.xxx (((the new section created by)) Ordinance XXXXX ((Troposed Ordinance 2023-0440). ((6)))Section 21 ((of-this-ordinance))), for the purpose of providing a connection between trails within the county's regional trails system and local or regional attractions or points of interest, for trail users including equestrians, pedestrians, bicyclists, and other users. "Local or regional attractions or points of interest, for trail users including equestrians, pedestrians, bicyclists, and other users." Local or regional attractions or points of interest, for trail users including equestrians, pedestrians, bicyclists, and other users. "Local or regional attractions or points of interest, for trail users including equestrians, pedestrians, bicyclists, and other users including equestrians, or similar destinations. The linkage shall be open to passage by the general public across. To	(1) Removes the opportunity to obtain Public Benefit Rating System points for implementing a rural stewardship plan. (2) Changes term "salmonid" to "fish". (3) Changes term "significance" to "importance". (4) Removes reference to the rural stewardship plan. (5) Technical corrections	(1) The section of code allowing rural stewardship plans (K.C.C. 21A.24.055) is proposed to be repealed in this ordinance. (2) Changes are proposed throughout the code to change "salmonid" to "fish", where appropriate, to be consistent with King County's current protection of all fish species listed by the Washington Dept. of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), the Washington Department of Natural Resources (WA DNR) and King County species of local importance, not just salmonids. (3) Changes are proposed throughout the code to change phrase "species of local significance" to "species of local importance", consistent with King	 Section Notes: The public benefit rating system (PBRS) is a current use taxation program that allows reduced property taxes for the preservation of open space on private property. Properties receive reductions based on the type and quantity of open space present. An eligible property would receive a 50% reduction or greater, depending on how many points are awarded. Policy Analysis: The proposed ordinance would remove "rural stewardship plans" as an eligible open space resource qualifying for PBRS. Under the current rural stewardship option, properties must be: RA, A, or F; at least one acre in size; have a native plant community (or be in the process of planting); and have implemented rural stewardship plan. A rural stewardship plan would include identifying critical areas, structures, and significant features, implementing site-specific best management practices, a schedule for implementation, and a plan for monitoring. Executive staff indicate that the rural stewardship program was infrequently used and did not have a dedicated staff team to support the program. Removing the rural stewardship plan option in the PBRS program is a policy choice. Councilmembers may wish to consider whether to eliminate the rural stewardship

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
4. Ecological enhancement land – eighteen points. "Ecological enhancement land" means open space lands undergoing recovery of significantly degraded or lost ecological function or processes. The following requirements shall be mere: a. A jurisdiction, natural resource agency, or appropriate organization has committed to sponsoring the ecological enhancement project, with secured funding in place before the application's public hearing; b. The ecological enhancement project shall include at lead removing significant human-made structures, alterations, or impediments such as shoreline armoring, roads, culverts, and wetland fill that are detrimental to significant wildlife or salmonid habitat. The intent of the removal shall be to reestablish natural function or processes to the project area and shall be to restablish hastural function or processes to the project and elastication of work to be done, site map of the project area, and specific timeline for the enhancement activities to be completed. The enhancement plan for the proposed project. The approved enhancement plan line and project area, and specific timeline for the enhancement activities to be completed. The enhancement plan is subject to approval by the department; and department; and enhancement project and shall include photographs to document the success. Land receiving credit for this category may not receive credit for the (reard-stewardship-land-ori) resource restoration ((extergories)) enlogory: 5. Equestrian-pedestrian-bicycle trail linkage - thirty-five points. "Equestrian-pedestrian-bicycle trail linkage in private ownership that the property owner allows the public to use as an off-mad trail linkage for equestrian, predestrian, or other active transportation, as defined in K.C.C. 14.01.xxx ((the new-section-created-by)) Ordinance XXXXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2023-0440) ((es))Section 21 ((ext-line-adainance)), uses, or that provides a trail link from a public right-of-way to a trail system. Use of motorized vehicles is prolibited on trails re		County Comprehensive Plan terminology. (4) References to rural stewardship plan are proposed to be removed as code section allowing rural stewardship plans (K.C.C. 21A.24.055) is proposed to be repealed in this ordinance. (5) Corrections made to formatting and grammar.	provision entirely as proposed by the Executive, replace the option with similar criteria that would not require the establishment of a plan, or add other options to support properties that may have increased buffers under other critical areas changes in this proposed ordinance.

		Citt	cal Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
in the jurisdiction in which the property is located. A property shall have a plant community in which native plants are dominant and			
provide a significant buffer for a designated landmark or archaeological site listed on the county or other certified local government list or			
register of historic places or landmarks. "Significant buffer" means land and plant communities that provide physical, visual, noise, or			
other barriers and separation from adverse effects to the historic resources due to adjacent land use;			
9. Historic landmark or archeological site: designated site – five points. "Historic landmark or archaeological site: designated			
site" means land that constitutes or contains a historic landmark designated by King County or other certified local government program			
in the jurisdiction in which the property is located. Historic landmarks include buildings, structures, districts, or sites of significance in			
the county's historic or prehistoric heritage, such as Native American settlements, trails, pioneer settlements, farmsteads, roads, industrial			
works, bridges, burial sites, prehistoric and historic archaeological sites, or traditional cultural properties. A property shall be listed on a			
county or other certified local government list or register of historic places or landmarks for which there is local regulatory protection.			
Eligible property may include property that contributes to the historic character within designated historic districts, as defined by the			
historic preservation officer of King County or other certified local government jurisdiction. The King County historic preservation			
officer shall make the determination on eligibility;			
10. Historic landmark or archeological site: eligible site - three points. "Historic landmark or archaeological site: eligible site"			
means land that constitutes or contains a historic property that has the potential of being designated by a certified local government			
jurisdiction, including buildings, structures, districts, or sites of significance in the county's historic or prehistoric heritage, such as Native			
American settlements, pioneer settlements, farmsteads, roads, industrial works, bridges, burial sites, prehistoric and historic archaeological			
sites, or traditional cultural properties. To be eligible, the historic preservation officer of King County or other certified local government			
program in the jurisdiction in which the property is located shall determine the property meets the jurisdiction's criteria for designation and			
listing on the county or other local register of historic places or landmarks for which there is local regulatory protection. Eligible property			
may include contributing property within designated historic districts. Property listed in the state or national Registers of Historic Places			
may qualify under this category;			
11. Public recreation area - five points. "Public recreation area" means land devoted to providing active or passive recreation			
use or that complements or substitutes for recreation facilities characteristically provided by public agencies. Use of motorized vehicles is			
prohibited, except for golf carts on golf courses, for maintenance, or for medical, public safety, or police emergencies. The facilities shall			
be open to the general public or to specific public user groups, such as youth, seniors, or people with disabilities. A property shall be			
identified by the responsible agency within whose jurisdiction the property is located as meeting the definition of public recreation area.			
The property owner shall use any best practices defined in K.C.C. chapter 21A.06. If a fee is charged for use, it shall be comparable to the			
fee charged by a similar public facility;			
12. Rural open space - five points. "Rural open space" means an area of ten or more contiguous acres of open space located			
outside of the urban growth area as identified in the King County Comprehensive Plan that:			
a. has a plant community in which native plants are dominant; or			
b. is former open farmland, woodlots, scrublands, or other lands that are in the process of being replanted with native			
vegetation and for which the property owner is implementing an approved farm management, ecological enhancement, forest stewardship,			
((rural stewardship,)) or resource restoration plan acceptable to the department;			
13. ((Rural stewardship land - five points. "Rural stewardship land" means land zoned RA (rural area), A (agricultural), or F			
(forest), that has an implemented rural stewardship plan under K.C.C. chapter 21A.24 acceptable to the department. On RA-zoned			
properties, the approved rural stewardship plan shall meet the goals and standards of K.C.C. 21A.24.055. On A- and F-zoned properties,			
credit for this category is allowed if the plan meets the goals of K.C.C. 21A.24.055. A rural stewardship plan includes, but is not limited			
to, identification of critical areas, location of structures and significant features, site-specific best management practices, a schedule for			
implementation, and a plan for monitoring as provided in K.C.C. 21A.24.055. To be eligible as rural stewardship land, the open space			
shall be at least one acre and feature a plant community in which native plants are dominant or be in the process of native vegetation			
restoration, reforestation, or enhancement. Land receiving credit for this category may not receive credit for the ecological enhancement			
land, resource restoration, or forest stewardship land categories;			
14.)) Scenic resource, viewpoint, or view corridor – five points.			
a. "Scenic resource" means an area of natural or recognized cultural features visually significant to the aesthetic character of			
the county. The site shall be significant to the identity of the local area, be visible to a significant number of the general public from			
public rights-of-way, be of sufficient size to substantially preserve the scenic resource value, and enroll at least ten acres of open space.			

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
b. A "viewpoint" means a property that provides a view of an area visually significant to the aesthetic character of the county.			
A site shall provide a view of a scenic natural or recognized cultural resource in King County or other visually significant area, allow			
unlimited public access, and be identified by a permanent sign readily visible from a road or other public right-of-way.			
c. A "view corridor" means a property that contributes to the aesthetics of a recognized view corridor critical to maintaining a			
public view of a visually significant scenic natural or recognized cultural resource. The site shall contain at least one acre of open space			
that contributes to a view corridor visible to the public and that provides views of a scenic natural resource area or recognized cultural			
resource significant to the local area. The site shall have ((a)) significant cultural areas and contain significant inventoried or designated			
historic properties, as determined by the King County historic preservation officer or officer of another certified local government			
program in the jurisdiction in which the property is located in. Eligibility is subject to determination by the department or applicable			
jurisdiction;			
((15.)) 14. Significant plant or ecological site - five points. "Significant plant or ecological site" means an area that meets the			
criteria for Element Occurrence established under the Washington Natural Heritage Program authorized by chapter 79.70 RCW. An			
Element Occurrence is a particular, on-the-ground observation of a rare species or ecosystem. An eligible site shall be listed as an			
Element Occurrence by the Washington Natural Heritage Program or be identified as a property that meets the criteria for an Element			
Occurrence. The identification shall be confirmed by a qualified expert acceptable to the department. The department shall notify the			
Washington Natural Heritage Program of any verified Element Occurrence on an enrolling property. Commercial nurseries, arboretums,			
or other maintained garden sites with native or nonnative plantings are ineligible for this category;			
((16.)) <u>15.</u> Significant wildlife or ((salmonid)) <u>fish</u> habitat - five points.			
a. "Significant wildlife or ((salmonid)) <u>fish</u> habitat" means:			
(1) an area used by animal species listed as endangered, threatened, sensitive, or candidate by the Washington state			
Department of Fish and Wildlife or Department of Natural Resources or used by species of local ((significance)) importance that are listed			
by the King County Comprehensive Plan or a local jurisdiction;			
(2) an area where the species listed in subsection $C.((16.))15a.(1)$ of this section are potentially found with sufficient			
frequency for critical ecological processes, such as reproduction, nesting, rearing, wintering, feeding, or resting, to occur;			
(3) a site that meets the criteria for priority habitats as defined by the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife and			
that is so listed by the King County Comprehensive Plan or by the local jurisdiction in which the property is located; or			
(4) a site that meets criteria for a wildlife habitat conservation area as defined by the department or a local jurisdiction.			
b. To be eligible, the department, by its own determination or by expert determination acceptable to the department, shall			
verify that qualified species are present on the property or that the land fulfills the functions described in subsection $C.((16))15$.a. of this			
section. To receive credit for ((salmonid)) fish habitat, the owner shall provide a buffer at least fifteen percent greater in width than			
required by any applicable regulation. Property consisting mainly of disturbed or fragmented open space determined by the department as			
having minimal wildlife habitat significance is ineligible;			
((17.)) 16. Special animal site - three points. "Special animal site" means a site that includes a wildlife habitat network			
identified by the King County Comprehensive Plan or individual jurisdictions through the Growth Management Act, chapter 36.70A			
RCW, or a biodiversity area and corridor identified by the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife's priority habitats and			
species project as of the date of the application as identified by King County or local or state jurisdiction or by expert verification			
acceptable to the department or local jurisdiction. Property consisting mainly of disturbed or fragmented open space determined by the			
department to have minimal wildlife habitat significance is ineligible for this category;			
((18.))17. Surface water quality buffer – five, eight, or ten total points. "Surface water quality buffer" means an undisturbed			
area that has a plant community in which native plants are dominant abutting a lake, pond, stream, shoreline, wetland, or marine waters on			
or abutting the property, that provides buffers beyond that required by any applicable regulation. To receive five points, the buffer shall			
be at least fifty percent wider than the buffer required by any applicable regulation. To receive eight points, the buffer shall be at least two			
times the required width. To receive ten points, the buffer shall be at least three times the required width. The qualifying buffer shall be			
longer than twenty-five feet and shall be preserved from clearing or maintenance, unless this area is part of a department-approved			
ecological enhancement, farm management, forest stewardship, ((rural stewardship,)) or resource restoration plan. Grazing use by			
livestock on such land is prohibited;			
((19.)) 18. Urban open space - five points.			
a. "Urban open space" means land located within the boundaries of a city or within the urban growth area that has a plant			
community in which native plants are dominant and that under the applicable zoning is eligible for more-intensive development or use.			
The enrolling area shall be at least one acre, or be at least one-half acre if the land meets one of the following criteria:			

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
(1) the land conserves and enhances natural or scenic resources;			
(2) the land protects streams or water supply;			
(3) the land promotes conservation of soils, wetlands, beaches, or tidal marshes;			
(4) the land enhances the value to the public of adjacent parks, forests, wildlife preserves, nature reservations or sanctuaries,			
or other open space;			
(5) the land enhances recreation opportunities for the general public; or			
(6) the land preserves visual quality along highways, roads, and streets or scenic vistas.			
b. Owners of noncontiguous properties that together meet the minimum acreage requirement may jointly apply under this			
category if each property is closer than seventy-five feet to one other property in the application and if each property contains an enrolling			
open space area at least as large as the minimum zoned lot size; and			
((20.)) 19. Watershed protection area - five points. "Watershed protection area" means property contributing to the forest cover			
that provides run-off reduction and groundwater protection. The property shall consist of contiguous native forest or be in the process of			
reforestation. The enrolling forested area shall consist of additional forest cover beyond that required by county or applicable local			
government regulation and shall be at least one acre or sixty-five percent of the property acreage, whichever is greater. If reforestation or			
improvements to the forest health are necessary, the property owner shall provide and implement an ecological enhancement, a forest			
stewardship, or resource restoration((, or rural stewardship)) plan that addresses this need and is acceptable to the department.			
D. Property qualifying for an open space category in subsection C. of this section may receive credit for additional points as			
follows:			
1. Conservation easement or historic preservation easement - eighteen points. "Conservation easement or historic preservation			
easement" means land on which an easement is voluntarily placed that restricts, in perpetuity, further potential development or other uses			
of the property. The easement is subject to approval by the department and shall be recorded with the King County recorder's office or its			
successor. The easement shall be conveyed to the county or to an organization acceptable to the department, such as a land trust or conservancy. Historic preservation easements are subject to approval by the historic preservation officer of King County or of the local			
government jurisdiction in which the property is located. An easement required by zoning, subdivision conditions, or other land use			
regulation is not eligible unless an additional substantive easement area is provided beyond that otherwise required;			
2. Contiguous parcels under separate ownership - two points.			
a. "Contiguous parcels under separate ownership" means at least two or more parcels under different ownership where either:			
(1) the enrolling parcels and open space acreage abut each other without a significant human-made barrier separating them; or			
(1) the enrolling parcels and open space dereage deat each other without a significant human-made (2) the enrolling parcels do not abut each other, but abut a publicly owned open space, without a significant human-made			
barrier separating the publicly owned open space and the open space portion of the parcels seeking open space classification.			
b. Award of this category requires a single application by multiple owners and parcels with identical qualifying public benefit			
rating system resources. Only a single application fee is required.			
c. Contiguous parcels of land with the same qualifying public benefit rating system resources are eligible for treatment as a			
single parcel if open space classification is sought under the same application except as otherwise prohibited by the farm and agricultural			
conservation land category. Each parcel need not meet the minimum acreage requirements for a resource category so long as the total			
area of all enrolling land combined meets any required minimum acreage requirements. The owners of each parcel included in the			
application shall agree to identical terms and conditions for enrollment in the program.			
d. Individual parcels or portions of parcels may be withdrawn or removed from open space classification, consistent with all			
applicable rules and regulations. The continued eligibility of all parcels and associated acreage remaining in open space classification			
accepted under the same application is dependent upon the continued qualification for a resource category or categories.			
e. Points are awarded for each participating owner above one owner and accrue to all owners of a single application. The			
withdrawal or removal of all enrolled acreage associated with an owner results in the loss of two points for each remaining owner;			
3. Easement and access – thirty-five points. "Easement and access" means that the property has at least one qualifying open			
space resource, unlimited public access or limited public access due to resource sensitivity, and a conservation easement or historic			
preservation easement in perpetuity in a form and with conditions acceptable to the department. A property shall only be eligible in this			
category if it receives credit for an open space category and for the conservation easement or historic easement in perpetuity category.			
The owner shall agree to allow public access to the portion of the property designated for public access in the easement. An easement			
required by zoning, subdivision conditions, or other land use regulation is not eligible, unless there is additional easement area beyond that			
required. Credit for this category may not overlap with the equestrian-pedestrian-bicycle trail linkage;			

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
4. Public access - points depend on type and frequency of access allowed. "Public access" means the general public is allowed access on an ongoing basis for uses such as recreation, education, or training. Access shall be allowed on the portion of the property that is designated for public access. The landowner may impose reasonable restrictions on access, such as limiting use to daylight hours, agreed to by the department. No physical barriers may limit reasonable public access on negatively affect an open space resource. A property owner shall demonstrate that the property is open to public access and is used by the public. Award of public access points for historic ((properties)) properties is subject to approval by the historic preservation officer of in fixing County or a certified officer of another local government jurisdiction in which the property is located. The property owner may be required to furnish and maintain signage according to county specifications. a. Unlimited public access - five points. Year-round access by the general public is allowed without special arrangements with the property owner. b. Limited public access ((because of)) due to resource sensitivity - five points. Access may be reasonably limited by the property owner due to the sensitive nature of the resource, with access provided only to appropriate user groups. The access allowed should generally be for an educational, scientific, or research purpose and may require special arrangements with the owner. c. Seasonally limited public access - three points. Access by the public is allowed only for part of the year due to due to seasonal conditions, as mutually agreed to by the landowner and the department. d. Environmental education access - three points. The landowner enters into an agreement with a school, with an organization with 26 U.S.C. Sec. 501(c)(3) tax status, or, with the agreement of the department, with another community organization that allows membership by the general public to provide environmental education t			
SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM	D	W 0 0 0 4 4 0 4 0 5 5 1	
K.C.C. 20.12.200 - Lists the portions of the King County Comprehensive Plan and King County Code that constitute the County's Shoreline Master Program. SECTION 3. Ordinance 3692, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.200 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. The King County shoreline master program consists of the following elements, enacted on or before the date of enactment of ((Ordinance XXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2023-0440))) this ordinance: 1. The King County Comprehensive Plan chapter six; 2. K.C.C. chapter 21A.25; 3. The following sections of K.C.C. chapter 21A.24: a. K.C.C. 21A.24.045; b. K.C.C. 21A.24.051; c. ((K.C.C. 21A.24.051; c. ((K.C.C. 21A.24.055; d.)) K.C.C. 21A.24.070.A., B.2., C.2., D., and E.; ((e-)) d. K.C.C. 21A.24.125; ((f-)) e. K.C.C. 21A.24.130;	Removes K.C.C. 21A.24.055 from the list.	K.C.C. 21A.24.055 is proposed to be repealed in this ordinance.	 Section Notes: This section provides a list of code sections that comprise the Shoreline Master Program. Policy Analysis: Council staff continues to work with Executive staff on how the farm management plan and livestock management section apply within the shoreline jurisdiction.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
((g.)) £, K.C.C. 21A.24.133; ((h.)) g, K.C.C. 21A.24.200; ((j.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.210; ((j.)) 2, K.C.C. 21A.24.220; ((k.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.280; ((m.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.280; ((m.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.280; ((m.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.310; ((p.)) 2, K.C.C. 21A.24.310; ((p.)) 2, K.C.C. 21A.24.316; ((p.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.316; ((p.)) 2, K.C.C. 21A.24.315; ((p.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.315; ((h.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.325; ((w.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.355; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.355; ((v.)) 2, K.C.C. 21A.24.355; ((v.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.355; ((v.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 4, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 5, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 6, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 7, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 8, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 8, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 9, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 2, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 4, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 5, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 6, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 7, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 8, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 9, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 2, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 4, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 5, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 6, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 7, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 8, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 9, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 9, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 2, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 4, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 4, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 3, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 4, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 5, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 6, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 6, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 7, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 8, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 8, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 8, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 9, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 9, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C. 21A.24.380; ((v.)) 1, K.C.C.			
K.C.C. 21A.25.100 - Shoreline use allowances within each shoreline environment. SECTION 78. Ordinance 16958, Section 31, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.25.100 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. The shoreline use table in this section determines whether a specific use is allowed within each of the shoreline environments. The shoreline environment is located on the vertical column and the specific use is located on the horizontal row of the table. The specific uses are grouped by the shoreline use categories in WAC 173-26-241. The specific uses are defined by those uses in K.C.C. chapter 21A.08. The table should be interpreted as follows: 1. If the cell is blank in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use is prohibited in that shoreline environment; 2. If the letter "P" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use may be allowed within the shoreline environment;	(1) Technical corrections (2) Changes references of "aquatic area buffers" to "riparian areas."	 (1) Corrects various grammatical, formatting, and errors. (2) Changes are proposed throughout the code to change term or reference to 	 Section Notes: This section identifies what uses are allowed, conditional, or not allowed within each shoreline environmental designation in the shoreline jurisdiction. Policy Analysis: Although residential development is identified as allowed in some shoreline

Code										Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
3. If the letter "C" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use may be allowed within the shoreline environment subject to the shoreline conditional use review procedures specified in K.C.C. 21A.44.100; 4. If a number appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use may be allowed subject to the appropriate review process in this section, the general requirements of this chapter and the specific development conditions indicated with the corresponding number in subsection C. of this section. If more than one number appears after a letter, all numbers apply; 5. If more than one letter-number combination appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use is allowed in accordance with each letter-number combination; 6. A shoreline use may be allowed in the aquatic environment only if that shoreline use is allowed in the adjacent shoreland environment; and 7. This section does not authorize a land use that is not allowed by the underlying zoning, but may add additional restrictions or conditions or prohibit specific land uses within the shoreline jurisdiction. When there is a conflict between the allowed land uses in K.C.C. chapter 21A.08 and shoreline uses in this section, preference for shoreline uses shall first be given to water-dependent uses, then to water related uses, and finally to water enjoyment uses. All uses in the shoreline jurisdiction shall comply with all relevant county code provisions and with the King County ((S))shoreline ((M))master ((P))program. B. Shoreline uses.										Change	"aquatic area buffers" to "riparian areas," where appropriate, consistent with current terminology.	environments, it is not allowed in riparian areas under the critical area alterations table in K.C.C. 21A.24.045 (page 15). There is extensive, but not necessarily complete, overlap between Type S riparian areas and the shoreline jurisdiction. Increases to the riparian area widths under K.C.C. 21A.24.358 (page 73) could mean that residential properties are entirely with a riparian area. New residential development is allowed in some shoreline environmental designations but not allowed in riparian area (page 15). Increases to riparian area
B. Shoreime uses.	High	Residential	Rural	Conservancy	Resource	Forestry	Natural	Aquatic				widths may result in more situations of reasonable use exceptions, critical area alteration exceptions, or denial of residential development. Reasonable use exceptions are processed as a shoreline variance in the shoreline jurisdiction. A shoreline variance requires approval by the Department of Ecology.
A	Intensity			<u> </u>			1		1			
Agriculture		D	D	p	p	D	D1		4			
Agriculture (K.C.C. 21A.08.090)		Ι Υ	P	<u> </u>	P	P	P1		_			
Aquaculture (fish and wildlife												
Monnative marine finfish												
aquaculture												
Commercial salmon net pens												Department of Ecology.
Noncommercial native salmon net	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2	_		Howto address	How to address residential development
pens	ΓΖ	r2	Γ2	Γ2	ГД	F Z	Γ2	ΓZ				that is both in the shoreline jurisdiction and subject to the critical area regulations is a policy choice.
Native non-salmonid finfish net		C2	C2	C2				C2				
pens		C2	C2	C2				C2				
Geoduck aquaculture	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2				
Aquaculture, not otherwise listed	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2				
Boating Facilities	12	12	12	12	1 2	12	12	12	_			
Marinas (K.C.C. 21A.08.040)	C3	C3	C3					С3	_			
Commercial Development				1					1			
General services (K.C.C. 21A.08.050)	P4	P5	P5									
Business services, except SIC Industry 1611, automotive parking, and off-street required parking lot (K.C.C. 21A.08.060)	P6											
	P7	P8										
Government Services												
Government services except commuter parking lot, utility facility, and private stormwater management facility (K.C.C. 21A.08.060)	P9	Р9	P9	P9	P9	P9	P9	C10				
Forest Practices												
Forestry (K.C.C. 21A.08.090)		P11	P11	P11	P11	P11	C11					
J (ł	<u> </u>	1	1	1	i .	1	_		1	

Code										Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
									1		- Intelle Mationale	
Manufacturing (K.C.C. 21A.08.080)	P12											
In-stream structural uses									1			
Hydroelectric generation facility, wastewater treatment facility, and municipal water production (K.C.C. 21A.08.100)	C13	C13	C13			C13		C13				
In-stream utility facilities (K.C.C. 21A.08.060)	P14	P14	P14	P14	P14	P14	P14	C14				
In-stream transportation portion of SIC 1611 highway and street construction (K.C.C. 21A.08.060)								C15				
In-stream fish and wildlife management, except aquaculture (K.C.C. 21A.08.090)								C16				
Mining												
Mineral uses (K.C.C. 21A.08.090)					C17	C17		C17				
Recreational Development												
Recreational and cultural except	P18	P19	P19	P20		P19	P21	С				
for marinas and docks and piers (K.C.C. 21A.08.040)												
Residential Development												
Single detached residences (K.C.C. 21A.08.030) and adult		P	P	P	P	C22	C22					
family homes and community residential facility I (K.C.C. 21A.08.xxx (((the new section created by)) Ordinance XXXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2024-0440), ((s))Section 148 ((of Proposed Ordinance 2023-0442)))												
Houseplex, townhouse, apartment, manufactured home community, cottage housing (K.C.C. 21A.08.030)	P23	P			P							
Congregate residence and senior assisted housing (K.C.C. 21A.08.030), community residential facility II and permanent supportive housing (K.C.C. 21A.08.xxx (((the new	P23	P										
section created by)) Ordinance XXXXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2024-0440), ((s))Section 148 ((of Proposed Ordinance 2023- 0442))))	DO (Po t	70:	DO 4	DO :		Gaa					
Accessory uses (K.C.C. 21A.08.030)	P24	P24	P24	P24	P24	C22 and 24	C22 and 24					
Temporary lodging (K.C.C.	P23	P27	P27	C27	C27							

de													
										Executive's Change	Proposed	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
21A.08.030)													
Live-aboards	P28	P28	P28					P28					
Transportation and parking	_	_											
Transportation facilities	P29	P29	P29	C29	P29	P29	C29	C29					
Commuter parking lot (K.C.C.													
21A.08.060)													
Automotive parking (K.C.C.													
21A.08.060)													
Off-street required parking lot													
(K.C.C. 21A.08.060)													
Utilities													
Utility facility (K.C.C.	P26	P26	P26	P26	P26	P26	P26	C26					
21A.08.060)													
Regional land uses													
Regional uses except hydroelectric	P30												
generation facility, wastewater													
treatment facility, and municipal													
water production (K.C.C.													
21A.08.100)													
C. Development conditions:													
2.a. The supporting infrastruitations of K.C.C. Title 21A.b. The aquaculture operation			-		-	aculture oper	ration, sub	ject to the					
c. In aquatic areas adjacent						shall be loc	ated no cl	oser than on					
	to the resi				Jen memmes	bilail oc loc	ated Ho er						
usand five hundred feet from the or	dinary hig	h water mark	c of this e	nvironment_i									
busand five hundred feet from the or					inless the de	partment all	ows a spe	cific lesser d	stance				
t it determines is appropriate based	upon a vis	ual impact a	nalysis. C	Other types of	unless the de floating cul	partment all ture facilitie	ows a spe	cific lesser d	stance				
t it determines is appropriate based	upon a vis nary high v	ual impact a vater mark if	nalysis. C supporte	Other types of d by a visual	unless the de floating cul impact analy	partment all ture facilitie /sis.	ows a spe	cific lesser d	stance n one				
t it determines is appropriate based susand five hundred feet of the ordin d. In aquatic areas adjacent	upon a vis nary high v to the rura	ual impact a vater mark if al shoreline e	nalysis. C supported nvironme	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa	inless the de floating cul- impact analy icilities shall	partment all ture facilitie sis. be located r	ows a spects may be less on the closer to	cific lesser d located with han one thou	stance n one sand				
t it determines is appropriate based outsand five hundred feet of the ordinal d. In aquatic areas adjacent the hundred feet from the ordinary high	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water m	ual impact an vater mark if al shoreline e aark of this en	nalysis. C supported nvironmen vironmen	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa	inless the de floating cul- impact analy icilities shall	partment all ture facilitie sis. be located r	ows a spects may be less on the closer to	cific lesser d located with han one thou	stance n one sand				
t it determines is appropriate based usand five hundred feet of the ordin d. In aquatic areas adjacente hundred feet from the ordinary his ermines is appropriate based upon e. In the natural shoreline e	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water m a visual im nvironmer	ual impact an vater mark if al shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquation	nalysis. C supported nvironmen vironmen c areas ad	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa nt, unless the jacent to the	inless the de floating cul impact analy icilities shall department	partment all ture facilitie ysis. be located r allows a spe	ows a spense may be in closer the cific lessenment, con	cific lesser delocated with the han one thour distance the homeonic in the control of the homeonic in the control of the homeonic in the control of the cont	stance n one sand t it				
tit determines is appropriate based usand five hundred feet of the ordin d. In aquatic areas adjacent hundred feet from the ordinary high ermines is appropriate based upon a e. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water m a visual im environmer activities ar	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysisht and aquatione limited to a	nalysis. C supported nvironments. c areas ad activities	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa nt, unless the jacent to the that do not re	Inless the de floating cul impact analy icilities shall department natural shore quire structu	partment all ture facilitie ysis. be located r allows a spe	ows a spense may be in closer the cific lessenment, con	cific lesser delocated with the han one thour distance the homeonic in the control of the homeonic in the control of the homeonic in the control of the cont	stance n one sand t it				
t it determines is appropriate based busand five hundred feet of the ordinal d. In aquatic areas adjacente hundred feet from the ordinary his ermines is appropriate based upon a e. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture actices and that will not alter the natural shoreline of the same and the same actices.	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water m a visual im environmer activities ar ural systen	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquatione limited to ans, features, of	nalysis. C supported nvironment vironment. c areas ad activities or charact	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa nt, unless the jacent to the that do not re er of the site.	inless the defiloating cultimpact analysticilities shall department anatural shore quire structu	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located rallows a spe eline environares, facilitie	ows a spense may be into closer the cific lessenment, conserved as, or mechanical conserved as the conserved	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the handred harvest anized harvest	stance n one sand t it pens				
tit determines is appropriate based busand five hundred feet of the ordinal data and a discentile hundred feet from the ordinary his termines is appropriate based upon a centre in the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a discress and that will not alter the natural from the feet for the feet for the feet for the feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water ma a visual im- environment activities ar ural systen naculture re	ual impact an vater mark if al shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis ant and aquation re limited to a ans, features, of equires a sho	nalysis. C supported nvironment careas ad activities or charact reline sub	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa nt, unless the jacent to the that do not re er of the site.	inless the defiloating cultimpact analysticilities shall department anatural shore quire structu	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located rallows a spe eline environares, facilitie	ows a spense may be into closer the cific lessenment, conserved as, or mechanical conserved as the conserved	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the handred harvest anized harvest	stance n one sand t it pens				
tit determines is appropriate based busand five hundred feet of the ordinal d. In aquatic areas adjacent the hundred feet from the ordinary his termines is appropriate based upon a c. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a protices and that will not alter the natural f. Farm-raised geoduck aquestantial interference with normal proposed from the ordinary has been supported by the control of the ordinary has been supported by the ordinary his based on the ordinary his based of the ordinary	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water m a visual im environmer activities ar ural systen naculture re ublic use o	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquations, features, of equires a shoft the surface	nalysis. C supported nvironments. c areas ad activities or charact reline sub waters.	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa nt, unless the jacent to the that do not re er of the site.	Inless the de floating cul impact analy icilities shall department natural shore quire structu	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located rallows a spe eline environares, facilitie mit if a speci	ows a spense may be in a closer the cific lessenment, comes, or mechanic project	cific lesser delocated with the han one thought distance the handred harvest or practice	stance n one sand t it pens st				
at it determines is appropriate based busand five hundred feet of the ordinal d. In aquatic areas adjacent to the hundred feet from the ordinary his termines is appropriate based upon a c. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a actices and that will not alter the natural f. Farm-raised geoduck aquestantial interference with normal programmer of the prohibited.	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water ma visual im environmer activities ar ural systen naculture re ublic use o	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquatione limited to ans, features, equires a shoft the surface d for new conditions.	nalysis. C supporter nvironment. c areas adjactivities or charact reline sub- waters.	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa nt, unless the jacent to the that do not re er of the site. stantial deve-	Inless the de floating cul impact analy icilities shall department natural shore quire structu lopment perr aculture only	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located rallows a spe eline environares, facilitie mit if a speci	ows a spens may be in a closer the cific lessenment, comes, or mechanic project	cific lesser delocated with the han one thour distance the handle handle handle delocated harvest or practice	stance n one sand t it pens st				
out it determines is appropriate based busand five hundred feet of the ordinate d. In aquatic areas adjacent to the hundred feet from the ordinary his termines is appropriate based upon a c. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a actices and that will not alter the nate of a feature for a feature for a conditional use permit is subsequent cycles of planting and	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water many visual im- environment activities are ural system naculture re- ublic use of t is required harvest sha	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquations, features, of the surface d for new contall not require	nalysis. C supported nvironment. c areas adjustivities or charact reline sub- waters. mmercial e a new co	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa nt, unless the jacent to the jacent to the that do not re er of the site estantial deve geoduck aque onditional pe	inless the defiloating cultimpact analysticilities shall department anatural shore quire structulopment perraculture onlyrmit.	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located r allows a spe eline environ ures, facilitie mit if a speci	ows a spense may be in closer the cific lessenment, comes, or mechanisc project with WA	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the numercial nethanized harvet or practice	stance n one sand t it pens st				
t it determines is appropriate based usand five hundred feet of the ordin d. In aquatic areas adjacente hundred feet from the ordinary his ermines is appropriate based upon e. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture actices and that will not alter the natural f. Farm-raised geoduck aquestantial interference with normal permits g. A conditional use permits subsequent cycles of planting and 3.a. New marinas are not all	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water many visual im- environment activities are ural system aculture re- ublic use of the required harvest shapowed along	ual impact an vater mark if al shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquation re limited to a ans, features, of equires a sho of the surface d for new con all not require g the east sho	nalysis. Of supported nvironmen vironmen careas ad activities for charact reline subwaters. In mercial ea new core of Vas	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa nt, unless the jacent to the jacent to the that do not re er of the site estantial deve geoduck aque onditional pe	inless the defiloating cultimpact analysticilities shall department anatural shore quire structulopment perraculture onlyrmit.	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located rallows a spe eline environares, facilitie mit if a speci	ows a spense may be in closer the cific lessenment, comes, or mechanisc project with WA	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the numercial nethanized harvet or practice	stance n one sand t it pens st				
t it determines is appropriate based usand five hundred feet of the ordinal defende hundred feet from the ordinary his ermines is appropriate based upon a centre in the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture actices and that will not alter the natural shoreline of the feature of the feature in the feature in the natural shoreline of the feature in the natural shoreline of the feature in the natural shoreline of the feature in the featur	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water m a visual im environmer activities ar ural systen naculture re ublic use o t is required harvest sha owed along tandards in	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquations, features, dequires a should for new conditional and require generated the surface of the conditional and require generated the surface of the cast should be surfaced for new conditional and require generated the surface of the cast should be surfaced to the cast should be surfaced to the surfaced the surfaced the surfaced the surfaced to the surfaced the su	nalysis. C supported nvironments. C areas adjustivities for charact reline subwaters. In mercial e a new core of Vas25.120.	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fa nt, unless the jacent to the that do not re er of the site. estantial deve geoduck aque onditional pe	Inless the definition of floating cultures analysticilities shall department anatural shore quire structure structure only mit.	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located rallows a spe eline environares, facilitie mit if a specient y, consistent Piner Point t	ows a spens may be a more closer to cific lessentent, comes, or mechanic project with WA to Point Research to Point Rese	cific lesser delocated with the han one thour distance the hamercial nethanized harvet or practice C 173-26-24 obbinson.	stance n one sand t it bens st causes				
t it determines is appropriate based usand five hundred feet of the ordin d. In aquatic areas adjacent hundred feet from the ordinary his ermines is appropriate based upon a e. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture actices and that will not alter the natural interference with normal programmes. A conditional use permissubsequent cycles of planting and 3.a. New marinas are not all b. Marinas shall meet the suspense of the ordinary of t	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water ma a visual im environmer activities ar ural system naculture re ublic use o t is required harvest sha owed along tandards in services lar	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquations, features, dequires a shof the surface d for new corall not require the east shof the straight the east shof the surface at K.C.C. 21A and uses in K.	nalysis. C supported nvironments. C areas adjustivities for charactereline subwaters. mmercial e a new copre of Vas25.120. C.C. 21A	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fant, unless the jacent to the that do not refer of the site. It is stantial development and penditional pen	Inless the defiloating cultimpact analysicilities shall department anatural shore quire structure only mit. Island, from It llowed. Northernolds and the part of	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located r allows a spe eline environ ares, facilitie mit if a speci y, consistent Piner Point t	ows a spension of the control of the	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the namercial nethanized harvet or practice C 173-26-24 pobinson.	stance n one sand t it bens st causes 1(3)(b).				
at it determines is appropriate based usand five hundred feet of the ordinate hundred feet from the ordinary his emines is appropriate based upon a e. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture actices and that will not alter the natural interference with normal properties. A conditional use permit subsequent cycles of planting and 3.a. New marinas are not all b. Marinas shall meet the set in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are only all	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water many visual im- environment activities are ural system naculture re- ublic use of this required harvest shape owed along tandards in services landowed on services	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquations, features, of the surface d for new contail not require the east should be a the contained the surface of the	nalysis. C supported nvironments. C areas adjustivities for charactereline subwaters. mmercial e a new copre of Vas25.120. C.C. 21A	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fant, unless the jacent to the that do not refer of the site. It is stantial development and penditional pen	Inless the defiloating cultimpact analysicilities shall department anatural shore quire structure only mit. Island, from It llowed. Northernolds and the part of	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located r allows a spe eline environ ares, facilitie mit if a speci y, consistent Piner Point t	ows a spension of the control of the	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the namercial nethanized harvet or practice C 173-26-24 pobinson.	stance n one sand t it bens st causes 1(3)(b).				
it determines is appropriate based usand five hundred feet of the ordinated. In aquatic areas adjacents hundred feet from the ordinary his ermines is appropriate based upon a control of the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a cities and that will not alter the natural shoreline of the stantial interference with normal properties. A conditional use permit subsequent cycles of planting and 3.a. New marinas are not all b. Marinas shall meet the second of the stantial interference with normal properties. Water dependent general as in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are only all the seasement that provides direct access.	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water many visual im- environment activities are ural system acculture re- ublic use of the is required harvest shallowed along tandards in services land lowed on s to the wa	ual impact an vater mark if al shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquations, features, or equires a should find the surface of for new corall not require the east should be a K.C.C. 21A and uses in K. ites that are utter.	nalysis. C supported nvironment in a areas ad activities for charact reline subwaters. In a new copie of Vas. 25.120. C.C. 21A not contiguate a new copie of vas. 25.120.	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fant, unless the jacent to the stant do not refer of the site. It is stantial development and the stantial development in the stant is stant and the stant is stant is stant in the stant is stant in the stant in	Inless the defiloating cultimpact analysicilities shall department attral shore quire structure only mit. Island, from It llowed. Note ordinary his	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located r allows a spe eline environ ares, facilitie mit if a speci y, consistent Piner Point t	ows a spension of the control of the	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the namercial nethanized harvet or practice C 173-26-24 pobinson.	stance n one sand t it bens st causes 1(3)(b).				
t it determines is appropriate based busand five hundred feet of the ordinate de hundred feet from the ordinary his ermines is appropriate based upon a c. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a ctices and that will not alter the natural shoreline of prohibited. The prohibited and other aquaculture a ctices and that will not alter the natural stantial interference with normal proposition of planting and and an experimental subsequent cycles of planting and and b. Marinas shall meet the series in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are only all easement that provides direct access an experimental stantial description.	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water many visual im- environment activities are ural system aculture re- ublic use of the required harvest shape owed along tandards in services land lowed on s to the wants	ual impact an vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquations, features, dequires a should find the surface do for new contail not require the east should be a K.C.C. 21A and uses in K. ites that are after.	nalysis. C supported nvironments. c areas ad activities for charact reline sub- waters. mmercial e a new copre of <u>Vas</u> 25.120. C.C. 21A not contig	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fant, unless the jacent to the stant do not refer of the site. It is stantial development and geoduck aquenditional person. Maury I a.08.050 are a guous with the A.08.050 are	inless the defiloating cultimpact analysicilities shall department anatural shore quire structure only mit. Illowed. Note ordinary his callowed.	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located rallows a spe eline environares, facilitie mit if a specially, consistent Piner Point tanwater-dependent	ows a spens may be in a closer the cific lessen ment, consider the cific project with WA to Point Rendent general with or on s	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the numercial nethanized harvest or practice C 173-26-24 pobinson.	stance in one sand trit bens st causes 1(3)(b).				
at it determines is appropriate based busand five hundred feet of the ordinate durant durant feet from the ordinary histermines is appropriate based upon a ce. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a actices and that will not alter the natural shoreline of the feet from the ordinary histermines is appropriate based upon a ce. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a feetices and that will not alter the natural shoreline of the feeting and stantial interference with normal properties of planting and 3.a. New marinas are not all b. Marinas shall meet the see in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are only all easement that provides direct access 5.a. Water-dependent general b. Nonwater-dependent general	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water many visual im- environmer activities are ural system naculture re- ublic use of the is required harvest shaped along tandards in services land lowed on services at services neral services	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquations, features, dequires a short the surface d for new corall not require the east short K.C.C. 21A and uses in K. ites that are unter.	nalysis. C supported nvironments. c areas ad activities for charact reline sub- waters. mmercial e a new copre of <u>Vas</u> 25.120. C.C. 21A not contig	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fant, unless the jacent to the stant do not refer of the site. It is stantial development and geoduck aquenditional person. Maury I a.08.050 are a guous with the A.08.050 are	inless the defiloating cultimpact analysicilities shall department anatural shore quire structure only mit. Illowed. Note ordinary his callowed.	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located rallows a spe eline environares, facilitie mit if a specially, consistent Piner Point tanwater-dependent	ows a spens may be in a closer the cific lessen ment, consider the cific project with WA to Point Rendent general with or on s	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the numercial nethanized harvest or practice C 173-26-24 pobinson.	stance in one sand trit bens st causes 1(3)(b).				
tit determines is appropriate based busand five hundred feet of the ordinate de hundred feet from the ordinary his termines is appropriate based upon a c. In the natural shoreline of prohibited, and other aquaculture a actices and that will not alter the natural shoreline of the feature of	upon a vis nary high v to the rura gh water many visual im- environment a visual im- environment activities are ural system acculture re- ublic use of the is required harvest shaped owed along tandards in services land lowed on services and services meral services meral services meral services	ual impact any vater mark if all shoreline en ark of this en pact analysis at and aquation elimited to ans, features, or equires a short the surface d for new contail not require the east short K.C.C. 21A and uses in K. ites that are noted.	nalysis. C supported nvironment of a areas adjustivities for charactereline subwaters. mmercial e a new core of Vas. 25.120. C.C. 21A not contiguis. K.C.C. 21 in K.C.C.	Other types of d by a visual ent, net pen fant, unless the jacent to the stant do not refer of the site. It is stantial development of the stantial development of the site. Stantial development of the s	inless the defiloating cultimpact analysicilities shall department anatural shore quire structure only mit. Illowed. Note ordinary his allowed.	partment all- ture facilitie ysis. be located rallows a specifie environ ares, facilitie mit if a specify, consistent Piner Point to nwater-dependent water man	ows a species may be in closer the cific lesses ament, comes, or mechanistic project with WA to Point Remark or on second a short of	cific lesser delocated within han one thour distance the numercial nethanized harvet or practice C 173-26-24 pobinson. The practice of the pr	stance in one sand it it beens stances. It (3)(b).				

		Critical Area Ordinance Review Matr				
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments			
(1) economic development for water-dependent uses;						
(2) public access;						
(3) water-oriented recreation;						
(4) conservation of critical areas, scenic vistas, aesthetics, or fish and wildlife habitat; ((and)) or						
(5) protection and restoration of historic properties.						
6. Water-dependent business services uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are allowed. Water-related business service((s)) uses are only						
allowed as part of a shoreline mixed-use development and only if they support a water-dependent use. The water-related business						
service((s)) uses shall comprise less than one-half of the square footage of the structures or the portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction.						
7.a. Water-dependent retail uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are allowed.						
b. Nonwater-dependent retail uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are only allowed as part of a shoreline mixed-use development if the						
nonwater-dependent retail use supports a water-dependent use. Nonwater-dependent uses shall comprise less than one-half of the square						
footage of the structures or the portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction.						
c. Nonwater-oriented retail uses shall provide a significant public benefit by helping to achieve one or more of the following						
shoreline master program goals:						
(1) economic development for water-dependent uses;						
(2) public access;						
(3) water-oriented recreation;						
(4) conservation of critical areas, scenic vistas, aesthetics, or fish and wildlife habitat; and						
(5) protection and restoration of historic properties.						
8. Water-dependent retail uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are allowed. Nonwater-dependent retail uses in K.C.C. 21A.08.050 are						
only allowed if the retail use provides a significant public benefit by helping to achieve one or more of the following shoreline master						
program goals:						
a. economic development for water-dependent uses;						
b. public access;						
c. water-oriented recreation;						
d. conservation of critical areas, scenic vistas, aesthetics, or fish and wildlife habitat; and						
e. protection and restoration of historic properties.						
9.a. Water-dependent government services in K.C.C. 21A.08.060 are allowed.						
b. Nonwater-dependent government services in K.C.C. 21A.08.060 are only allowed as part of a shoreline mixed-use						
development if the nonwater-dependent government use supports a water-dependent use. Nonwater-dependent uses shall comprise less						
than one-half of the square footage of the structures or the portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction. Only low-intensity water-						
dependent government services are allowed in the $((N))$ natural environment.						
10. The following standards apply to government services uses within the $((A))$ aquatic environment:						
a. Stormwater and sewage outfalls are allowed if upland treatment and infiltration to groundwater, streams, or wetlands is not feasible and there is no impact on critical saltwater habitats, salmon migratory habitat, and the nearshore zone. However, stormwater and						
sewage outfalls are not allowed in the Maury Island Aquatic Reserve, except from Piner Point to Point Robinson;						
b. Water intakes shall not be located near fish spawning, migratory, or rearing areas. Water intakes shall adhere to Washington						
state Department of Fish and Wildlife fish screening criteria. To the maximum extent practical, intakes should be placed at least thirty						
feet below the ordinary high water mark;						
c. Desalinization facilities shall not be located near fish spawning, migratory, or rearing areas. Intakes should generally be						
placed deeper than thirty feet below the ordinary high water mark and shall adhere to Washington state Department Fish and Wildlife fish						
screening criteria. Discharge of desalination wastewater or concentrated mineral is not allowed in the Maury Island Aquatic Reserve,						
except that outside the Inner and Outer Harbormaster Harbor, discharge may be considered if there is no impact on critical saltwater						
habitats, salmon migratory habitat, and the nearshore zone;						
d. ((C))cable crossings for telecommunications and power lines shall:						
(1) be routed around or drilled below aquatic critical habitat or species;						
(2) be installed in sites free of vegetation, as determined by physical or video seabed survey;						
(3) be buried, preferably using directional drilling, from the uplands to waterward of the deepest documented occurrence of						
native aquatic vegetation; and						

		Crit	ical Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
(4) use the best available technology;			
e. $((\Theta))\underline{o}$ il, gas, water, and other pipelines shall meet the same standards as cable crossings and in addition:			
(1) pipelines shall be directionally drilled to depths of seventy feet or one half mile from the ordinary high water mark; and			
(2) use the best available technology for operation and maintenance;			
f. $((B))$ breakwaters are not allowed within the Maury Island Aquatic Reserve or within the $((A))$ aquatic environment adjacent			
to the $((C))$ conservancy and $((N))$ natural shorelines.			
11. In the $((N))$ natural shoreline environment, limited to low intensity forest practices that conserve or enhance the health and			
diversity of the forest ecosystem or ecological and hydrologic functions conducted for the purpose of accomplishing specific ecological			
enhancement objectives. In all shoreline environments, forest practices shall meet the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.130.			
12. Manufacturing uses in the shoreline environment shall give preference first to water-dependent manufacturing uses and			
second to water-related manufacturing uses:			
a. ((N))nonwater-oriented manufacturing uses are allowed only:			
(1) as part of a shoreline mixed-use development that includes a water-dependent use, but only if the water-dependent use			
comprises over fifty percent of the floor area or portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction;			
(2) on sites where navigability is severely limited; or			
(3) on sites that are not contiguous with the ordinary high water mark or on sites that do not have an easement that provides			
direct access to the water; and			
(4) all nonwater-oriented manufacturing uses shall also provide a significant public benefit, such as ecological restoration,			
environmental clean-up, historic preservation, or water-dependent public education;			
b. public access is required for all manufacturing uses unless it would result in a public safety risk or is incompatible with the			
use;			
c. shall be located, designed, and constructed in a manner that ensures that there are no significant adverse impacts to other			
shoreline resources and values;			
d. restoration is required for all new manufacturing uses; and			
e. boat repair facilities are not allowed within the Maury Island Aquatic Reserve, except as follows:			
(1) engine repair or maintenance conducted within the engine space without vessel haul-out;			
(2) topside cleaning, detailing, and bright work;			
(3) electronics servicing and maintenance;			
(4) marine sanitation device servicing and maintenance that does not require haul-out;			
(5) vessel rigging; and			
(6) minor repairs or modifications to the vessel's superstructure and hull above the waterline that do not exceed twenty-five			
percent of the vessel's surface area above the waterline.			
13. The water-dependent in-stream portion of a hydroelectric generation facility, wastewater treatment facility, and municipal			
water production are allowed, including the upland supporting infrastructure, and shall provide for the protection and preservation, of			
ecosystem-wide processes, ecological functions, and cultural resources, including, but not limited to, fish and fish passage, wildlife and			
water resources, shoreline critical areas, hydrogeological processes, and natural scenic vistas.			
14. New in-stream portions of utility facilities may be located within the shoreline jurisdiction if:			
a. there is no feasible alternate location;			
b. provision is made to protect and preserve ecosystem-wide processes, ecological functions, and cultural resources, including,			
but not limited to, fish and fish passage, wildlife and water resources, shoreline critical areas, hydrogeological processes, and natural			
scenic vistas; and			
c. the use complies with the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.260.			
15. Limited to in-stream infrastructure, such as bridges, and shall consider the priorities of the King County Shoreline Protection			
and Restoration Plan when designing in-stream transportation facilities. In-stream structures shall provide for the protection and			
preservation of ecosystem-wide processes, ecological functions, and cultural resources, including, but not limited to, fish and fish passage,			
wildlife and water resources, shoreline critical areas, hydrogeological processes, and natural scenic vistas.			
16. Limited to hatchery and fish preserves.			
17. Mineral uses:			
a. shall meet the standards in K.C.C. chapter 21A.22;			
b. shall be dependent upon a shoreline location;			

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
c. shall avoid and mitigate adverse impacts to the shoreline environment during the course of mining and reclamation to			
achieve no net loss of shoreline ecological function. In determining whether there will be no net loss of shoreline ecological function, the			
evaluation may be based on the final reclamation required for the site. Preference shall be given to mining proposals that result in the			
creation, restoration, or enhancement of habitat for priority species;			
d. shall provide for reclamation of disturbed shoreline areas to achieve appropriate ecological functions consistent with the			
setting;			
e. may be allowed within the active channel of a river only as follows:			
(1) removal of specified quantities of sand and gravel or other materials at specific locations will not adversely affect the			
natural processes of gravel transportation for the river system as a whole;			
(2) the mining and any associated permitted activities will not have significant adverse impacts to habitat for priority species			
nor cause a net loss of ecological functions of the shoreline; and			
(3) if no review has been previously conducted under this subsection C.17.e., before renewing, extending, or reauthorizing			
gravel bar and other in-channel mining operations in locations where they have previously been conducted, the department shall require			
compliance with this subsection C.17.e. If there has been prior review, the department shall review previous determinations comparable			
to the requirements of this section C.17.e. to ensure compliance with this subsection under current site conditions; and			
f. shall comply with K.C.C. 21A.25.190.			
18. Only water-dependent recreational uses are allowed, except for public parks and trails, in the ((H))high ((I))intensity			
shoreline environment and shall meet the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.140 for public access and K.C.C. 21A.25.150 for recreation.			
19. Water-dependent and water-enjoyment recreational uses are allowed in the $((R))$ residential, $((R))$ rural, and $((F))$ forestry			
shoreline environments and shall meet the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.140 for public access and K.C.C. 21A.25.150 for recreation.			
20. In the ((C))conservancy shoreline environment, only the following recreation uses are allowed and shall meet the standards			
in K.C.C. 21A.25.140 for public access and K.C.C. 21A.25.150 for recreation:			
a. parks; and			
b. trails.			
21. In the $((N))$ natural shoreline environment, only passive and low-impact recreational uses are allowed.			
22. Single detached residences shall be located outside of the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area and set back from the ordinary			
high water mark to the maximum extent practical.			
23. Only allowed as part of a water-dependent shoreline mixed-use development where water-dependent uses comprise more			
than half of the square footage of the structures on the portion of the site within the shoreline jurisdiction.			
24. Residential accessory uses shall meet the following standards:			
a. docks, piers, moorage, buoys, floats, or launching facilities shall comply with the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.180;			
b. residential accessory structures located within the ((aquatic area buffer)) riparian area shall be limited to a total footprint of			
one-hundred fifty square feet; and			
c. accessory structures shall be sited to preserve visual access to the shoreline to the maximum extent practical.			
25. New highway and street construction is allowed only if there is no feasible alternate location. Only low-intensity			
transportation infrastructure is allowed in the $((N))$ natural environment.			
26. Utility facilities are subject to the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.260.			
27. Only bed and breakfast guesthouses.			
28. Only in a marina.			
29. Transportation facilities are subject to the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.280.			
30. Only solid waste transfer stations and subject to K.C.C. 21A.25.260.			
K.C.C. 21A.25.110 - Development regulations for aquaculture facilities in shoreline environments.	(1) Adds "critical	(1) Update aligns with	Policy Analysis:
- Commence of advantage in an analysis and an analysis and an	freshwater" term,	Shoreline	No issues identified.
SECTION 70 Ordinance 16085 Section 22 as amanded and V.C.C. 21A 25 110 are hareby amanded to read as follows:	where applicable,	Management Act	
SECTION 79. Ordinance 16985, Section 32, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.25.110 are hereby amended to read as follows: An applicant for an aquaculture facility shall use the sequential measures in K.C.C. 21A.25.080. The following standards apply to	alongside "critical	(SMA) guidance.	
	saltwater" term.	(2) Corrects various	
aquaculture: A. Unless the applicant demonstrates that the substrate modification will result in an increase in native habitat diversity,	(2) Technical	` '	
aquaculture that involves little or no substrate modification shall be given preference over aquaculture that involves substantial substrate	` '	grammatical,	
modification and the degree of proposed substrate modification shall be limited to the maximum extent practical.	corrections.	formatting, and	
B. The installation of submerged structures, intertidal structures and floating structures shall be limited to the maximum extent		errors.	
b. The instantation of submerged structures, intertidal structures and nothing structures shall be infinited to the maximum extent	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

		Critica	l Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
practical.	(3) Adds "Indian" to	(3) Updates to use	
C. Aquaculture proposals that involve substantial substrate modification or sedimentation through dredging, trenching, digging,	tribes.	current terminology.	
mechanical clam harvesting, or other similar mechanisms, shall not be allowed in areas where the proposal would adversely impact			
critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitats.			
D. Aquaculture activities that after implementation of mitigation measures would have a significant adverse impact on natural,			
dynamic shoreline processes, or that would result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions shall be prohibited.			
E. Aquaculture should not be located in areas that will result in significant conflicts with navigation or other water-dependent			
uses.			
F. Aquaculture facilities shall be designed, located, and managed to prevent the spread of diseases to native aquatic life or the			
spread of new nonnative species.			
G. Aquaculture practices shall be designed to minimize use of artificial chemical substances and shall use chemical compounds			
that are least persistent and have the least impact on plants and animals. Herbicides and pesticides shall be used only in conformance with state and federal standards and to the minimum extent needed for the health of the aquaculture activity.			
H. Noncommercial native salmon net pen facilities that involve minimal supplemental feeding and limited use of chemicals or			
antibiotics as provided in subsection G. of this section may be located in King County marine waters if they are consistent with			
subsections S. and Y. of this section and are:			
1. Native salmon net pens operated by <u>Indian</u> tribes with treaty fishing rights;			
2. For the limited penned cultivation of wild salmon stocks during a limited portion of their lifecycle to enhance restoration of			
native stocks; or			
3. For rearing to adulthood in order to harvest eggs as part of a captive brood stock recovery program for endangered species.			
I. If uncertainty exists regarding potential impacts of a proposed aquaculture activity and for all experimental aquaculture			
activities, unless otherwise provided for, the department may require baseline and periodic operational monitoring by a county-approved			
consultant, at the applicant's expense, and shall continue until adequate information is available to determine the success of the project and			
the magnitude of any probable ((significant)) adverse environmental impacts. Permits for such activities shall include specific			
performance measures and provisions for adjustment or termination of the project at any time if monitoring indicates ((significant,))			
adverse environmental impacts that cannot be adequately mitigated.			
J. Aquaculture developments approved on an experimental basis shall not exceed five acres in area, except land-based projects			
and anchorage for floating systems, and three years in duration. The department may issue a new permit to continue an experimental			
project as many times as it determines is necessary and appropriate.			
K. The department may require aquaculture operations to carry liability insurance in an amount commensurate with the risk of			
injury or damage to any person or property as a result of the project. Insurance requirements shall not be required to duplicate			
requirements of other agencies.			
L. If aquaculture activities are authorized to use public facilities, such as boat launches or docks, King County may require the applicant to pay a portion of the cost of maintenance and any required improvements commensurate with the use of those facilities.			
M. New aquatic species that are not previously cultivated in Washington state shall not be introduced into King County			
saltwaters or freshwaters without prior written approval of the Director of the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife and the			
Director of the Washington state Department of Health. This prohibition does not apply to((±)) Pacific, Olympia, Kumomoto, Belon, or			
Virginica oysters; Manila, Butter, or Littleneck clams; or Geoduck clams.			
N. Unless otherwise provided in the shoreline permit issued by the department, repeated introduction of an approved organism			
after harvest in the same location shall require approval by the county only at the time the initial aquaculture use permit is issued.			
Introduction, for purposes of this section, shall mean the placing of any aquatic organism in any area within the waters of King County			
regardless of whether it is a native or resident organism within the county and regardless of whether it is being transferred from within or			
without the waters of King County.			
O. For aquaculture projects, overwater structures shall be allowed only if necessary for the immediate and regular operation of			
the facility. Overwater structures shall be limited to the storage of necessary tools and apparatus in containers of not more than three feet			
in height, as measured from the surface of the raft or dock.			
P. Except for the sorting or culling of the cultured organism after harvest and the washing or removal of surface materials or			
organisms before or after harvest, no processing of any aquaculture product shall occur in or over the water unless specifically approved			
by permit. All other processing and processing facilities shall be located landward of the ordinary high water mark.			
Q. Aquaculture wastes shall be disposed of in a manner that will ensure strict compliance with all applicable governmental waste			

		Criti	cal Area Ordinance Review Matrix 4/16/25
Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
disposal standards, including, but not limited to, the Federal Clean Water Act, Section 401, and chapter 90.48 RCW, Water Pollution			
Control. No garbage, wastes, or debris shall be allowed to accumulate at the site of any aquaculture operation.			
R. Unless approved in writing by the National Marine Fisheries Service or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, predator control			
shall not involve the killing or harassment of birds or mammals. Approved controls include, but are not limited to, double netting for			
seals, overhead netting for birds, and three-foot high fencing or netting for otters. The use of other nonlethal, nonabusive predator control			
measures shall be contingent upon receipt of written approval from the National Marine Fisheries Service or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife			
Service, as required.			
S. Finfish net pens and rafts shall meet the following criteria in addition to the other applicable regulations of this section: 1. Finfish net pens shall not be located in Quartermaster Harbor. For the purposes of this subsection, "Quartermaster Harbor"			
means the area of Puget Sound north of a straight line drawn from the southwest tip of Vashon-Maury Island, which is Piner Point, to the			
southeast tip of Vashon-Maury Island, which is Neill Point;			
2. Finfish net pens shall meet, at a minimum, state approved administrative guidelines for the management of net pen cultures.			
In the event there is a conflict in requirements, the more restrictive requirement shall prevail;			
3. Finfish net pens shall not occupy more than two surface acres of water area, excluding booming and anchoring requirements.			
Anchors that minimize disturbance to substrate, such as helical anchors, shall be employed. Such operations shall not use chemicals or			
antibiotics;			
4. Aquaculture proposals that include new or added net pens or rafts shall not be located closer than one nautical mile to any			
other aquaculture facility that includes net pens or rafts. The department may authorize a lesser distance if the applicant demonstrates to			
the satisfaction of the department that the proposal will be consistent with the environmental and aesthetic policies and objectives of this			
chapter and the shoreline master program. The applicant shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the department that the cumulative			
impacts of existing and proposed operations would not be contrary to the policies and regulations of the program;			
5. Net cleaning activities shall be conducted on a frequent enough basis so as not to violate state water quality standards. When			
feasible, the cleaning of nets and other apparatus shall be accomplished by air drying, spray washing, or hand washing; and			
6. In the event of a significant fish kill at the site of a net pen facility, the finfish aquaculture operator shall submit a timely			
report to public health – Seattle & King County, environmental health division, and the department of local services, permitting division,			
stating the cause of death and shall detail remedial actions to be implemented to prevent reoccurrence.			
T. All floating and submerged aquaculture structures and facilities in navigable waters shall be marked in accordance with United			
States Coast Guard requirements.			
U. The rights of treaty tribes to aquatic resources within their usual and accustomed areas shall be addressed through direct			
coordination between the applicant and the affected <u>Indian</u> tribes through the permit review process.			
V. Aquaculture structures and equipment shall be of sound construction and shall be so maintained. Abandoned or unsafe			
structures and equipment shall be removed or repaired promptly by the owner. Where any structure might constitute a potential hazard to			
the public in the future, the department shall require the posting of a bond commensurate with the cost of removal or repair. The			
department may abate an abandoned or unsafe structure in accordance with K.C.C. Title 23.			
W. Aquaculture shall not be approved where it will adversely impact eelgrass and macroalgae.			
X. Commercial salmon net pens and nonnative marine finfish aquaculture are prohibited.			
Y. Finfish net pens shall be consistent with the applicable aquaculture regulations in this section and shall meet the following			
criteria and requirements:			
1. Each finfish net pen application shall provide a current, peer-reviewed science review of environmental issues related to			
finfish net pen aquaculture;			
2. The department shall only approve a finfish net pen application if the department determines the scientific review			
demonstrates: a. that the project construction and activities will achieve no net loss of ecological function in a manner that has no			
((significant)) adverse short-term impact and no documented adverse long-term impact to applicable elements of the environment,			
including, but not limited to, habitat for native salmonids, water quality, <u>critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitat</u> , eel grass beds,			
other aquaculture, other native species, the benthic community below the net pen or other environmental attributes; and			
b. that the finfish net pen does not involve significant risk of cumulative adverse effects, including, but not limited to, risk of			
interbreeding with wild salmon or reduction of genetic fitness of wild stocks, parasite or disease transmission, or other adverse effects on			
native species or threatened or endangered species and their habitats;			
3. The department's review shall:			
C. The department of the control of	1	1	

Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
(1) Adds "critical freshwater" term, where applicable, alongside "critical saltwater" term. (2) Technical corrections.	(1) Update aligns with SMA guidance. (2) Various grammatical, formatting, and correction of existing code errors.	Policy Analysis: No issues identified.
(1) Adds "critical freshwater" term, where applicable, alongside "critical	(1) Update aligns with SMA guidance.(2) Corrects various grammatical,	Section Notes: • This section identifies what modifications are allowed, conditionally allowed, or not allowed within each shoreline
	(1) Adds "critical freshwater" term, where applicable, alongside "critical saltwater" term. (2) Technical corrections.	(1) Adds "critical freshwater" term, where applicable, alongside "critical corrections. (1) Adds "critical freshwater" term. (2) Technical corrections. (1) Update aligns with SMA guidance. (2) Various grammatical, formatting, and correction of existing code errors. (1) Update aligns with SMA guidance. (2) Corrects various grammatical,

Policy Staff Comments

row of the table	The specific modifications are grouped by the shoreline modification categories in WAC 173-26-231.	The table should
		The table bhould
be interpreted as	follows:	
oc interpreted as	ionows.	

- 1. If the cell is blank in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification is prohibited in that shoreline environment;
- 2. If the letter "P" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification may be allowed within the shoreline environment;
- 3. If the letter "C" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification may be allowed within the shoreline environment subject to the shoreline conditional use review procedures specified in K.C.C. 21A.44.100;
- 4. If a number appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification may be allowed subject to the appropriate review process indicated in this section and the specific development conditions indicated with the corresponding number immediately following the table, and only if the underlying zoning allows the modification. If more than one number appears at the intersection of the column and row, both numbers apply;
- 5. If more than one letter-number combination appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the modification is allowed within that shoreline environment subject to different sets of limitations or conditions depending on the review process indicated by the letter, the specific development conditions indicated in the development condition with the corresponding number immediately following the table:
- 6. A shoreline modification may be allowed in the aquatic environment only if that shoreline modification is allowed in the adjacent shoreland environment; and
- 7. This section does not authorize a shoreline modification that is not allowed by the underlying zoning, but may add additional restrictions or conditions or prohibit specific modifications within the shoreline jurisdiction. All shoreline modifications in the shoreline jurisdiction shall comply with all relevant county code provisions and with the King County shoreline master program.

B. Shoreline modifications.

Code

	High Intensity	Residential	Rural	Conservancy	Resource	Forestry	Natural	Aquatic
Shoreline								
stabilization								
Shoreline	P1	P1	P1	C1	P1	C1		P1
stabilization, not								C1
including flood								
protection facilities								
Flood protection	P2	P2	P2	P2	P2		P2	P2
facilities								
Piers and docks								
Docks, piers,	P3	P3	P3	C3	C3	C3		P3
moorage, buoys,								C3
floats, or launching								
facilities								
Fill								
Filling	P4	P4	P4	P4	P4	C4	C4	P4
-	C4	C4	C4	C4	C4			C4
Breakwaters,								
jetties, groins, and								
weirs								
Breakwaters,	P5	P5	P5	P5	P5	P5	P5	P5
jetties, groins, and	C5	C5	C5	C5	C5	C5	C5	C5
weirs								
Dredging and								
dredge material								
disposal								

Change Intent/Rationale

- (2) Technical corrections
- (3) Updates term "woody debris" to "large wood."

Executive's Proposed

Executive's

formatting, and errors.

(3) Changes are proposed throughout the code to change term or reference to "woody debris" to "large wood", where appropriate, consistent with current terminology.

environmental designation in the shoreline jurisdiction.

Policy Analysis:

- Condition 7 could be reorganized for clarity. Under the critical area alteration table (page 18) in K.C.C. 21A.24.045.D.49., "habitat restoration and enhancement projects" are subject to certain standards that differ from these standards. Because there is overlap between critical areas and the shoreline jurisdiction, Council may wish to make these standards consistent where applicable.
- The proposed code does not include what components should be included in a restoration and enhancement plan. Council may wish to clarify these requirements.

Excavation,										Executive's Propos Change	ed Executive's Intent/Ratio	nale	Policy Staff Comments
	P6	P6	P6	P6	P6	C6	C6	P6	1				
dredging, dredge material disposal	C6	C6	C6	C6	C6			C6					
Shoreline habitat													
and natural													
systems													
enhancement													
projects Habitat and natural	P7	P7	P7	P7	P7	P7	P7	P7	-				
systems	1 /	1 /	1 /	1 /	1 /	1 /	1 /	1 /					
enhancement													
projects													
Vegetation													
management Removal of	P8	P8	P8	P9	P8	P8	P9	P9	-				
existing intact	Po	Po	Po	P9	Po	Po	19	P9					
native vegetation													
C. Development condi	tions.	•	•	•		•	•		4				
1. New and replacement				_				,					
2.a. Flood protection									ng				
s, and policies of the 20									1				
es (Washington state ((n measures are allowed													
tructural measures are													
gical functions and pric									1				
1.0 . 37 0	d protection	0 111 1			B ((22 m.	ij) io assurc	no net loss	s of shoreline					
of functions. New floor	- P10100110	n facilities d	esigned as	shoreline stab					.				
70.	•				ilization shall	comply wit	h the stand	lards in K.C.O					
70.b. Relocation, replace	cement, or	expansion of	f existing flo	ood control fa	ilization shall cilities within	comply with the $((N))$ na	h the stand tural <u>shore</u>	lards in K.C.C	nent are				
70. b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirem	cement, or one	expansion of King ((e)) <u>C</u>	f existing floo County Floo	ood control fa d ((Hazard)) N	ilization shall cilities within Management 1	comply with the $((N))$ na Plan and cor	h the stand utural <u>shore</u> nsistent wit	lards in K.C.C eline environr th the Washin	nent are gton				
70.b. Relocation, replace	cement, or one nents of the am's Integr	expansion of E King ((e))Crated Streaml	f existing flocunty Floobank Protec	ood control fa d ((Hazard)) M tion Guidelin	ilization shall ecilities within Management less and bioeng	the ((N))na Plan and corineering tech	h the stand atural <u>shore</u> asistent wit hniques us	lards in K.C.C eline environr th the Washin ted to the max	nent are gton imum				
70. b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77.	cement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW.	expansion of e King ((e)) <u>C</u> rated Streaml y be allowed	f existing flocunty Floobank Protections	ood control fa d ((Hazard)) Nation Guideling with an appro	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioengoved watershee	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources	tural shore nsistent wit hniques us inventory a	eline environr th the Washin and to the max area (WRIA)	nent are gton imum				
70. b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. 3. Docks, piers, moor	eement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW.	expansion of e King ((e)) <u>C</u> rated Streaml y be allowed s, floats, or la	f existing flocounty Floobank Protect consistent	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideline with an appro	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioengoved watershee	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources	tural shore nsistent wit hniques us inventory a	eline environr th the Washin and to the max area (WRIA)	nent are gton imum				
70. b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. 3. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comp	cement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW. Tage, buoys ply with the	expansion of e King ((e))C rated Streamby be allowed s, floats, or la e standards i	f existing flocounty Floobank Protect consistent nunching facent K.C.C. 2	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideline with an appro	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioengoved watershee	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources	tural shore nsistent wit hniques us inventory a	eline environr th the Washin and to the max area (WRIA)	nent are gton imum				
70. b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progradatical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. 3. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comple. A shoreline condi	ement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW. Tage, buoys ply with the tional use p	expansion of e King ((e))C rated Streaml y be allowed s, floats, or la e standards i permit is req	f existing flocunty Floobank Protect consistent nunching factor K.C.C. 22 uired to:	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideling with an appropriate shall colors.	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioengoved watersheet omply with the	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources	tural shore sistent with hniques us inventory a in K.C.C.	lards in K.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C	nent are gton imum salmon				
70. b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. 3. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comp	ement, or onents of the am's Integral would only as RCW. Tage, buoys ply with the tional use ply ward of the	expansion of e King ((e))C rated Streaml y be allowed s, floats, or la e standards i permit is req	f existing flocunty Floobank Protect consistent nunching factor K.C.C. 22 uired to:	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideling with an appropriate shall colors.	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioengoved watersheet omply with the	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources	tural shore sistent with hniques us inventory a in K.C.C.	lards in K.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C	nent are gton imum salmon				
 b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall complete to A shoreline condiction (1) Place fill water flood protection facilities (2) Dispose of dred 	cement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW. Tage, buoys ply with the tional use ply ward of the ties; and alged material seconds.	expansion of exing ((e))Crated Streamly be allowed s, floats, or late standards in permit is requested ordinary highlights.	f existing flocation of the following factors of the factors of the following factors of the following factors of the factors of th	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideline with an appropriate shall colors. I A.25.190.	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioeng wed watershed omply with the except ecolonin a channel of the control of the cont	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources e standards	tural shore nsistent with hniques us inventory a in K.C.C.	eline environr th the Washin the to the max area (WRIA) 21A.25.180; r the mainten	nent are gton imum salmon				
70. b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. 3. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comple. A shoreline condication of the protection facilities of the protection facilities are placed.	cement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW. Tage, buoys ply with the tional use ply ward of the ties; and alged material seconds.	expansion of exing ((e))Crated Streamly be allowed s, floats, or late standards in permit is requested ordinary highlights.	f existing flocation of the following factors of the factors of the following factors of the following factors of the factors of th	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideline with an appropriate shall colors. I A.25.190.	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioeng wed watershed omply with the except ecolonin a channel of the colonin a channel of the	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources e standards	tural shore nsistent with hniques us inventory a in K.C.C.	eline environr th the Washin the to the max area (WRIA) 21A.25.180; r the mainten	nent are gton imum salmon				
b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Programatical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comple. A shoreline condiction of the following protection facilities (2) Dispose of dreduction (1) Place fill shall not be subject to the following protection facilities (2) Dispose of dreduction facilities (3) Dispose of dreduction facilities (4) Dispose of dreduction facilities (5) Dispose of dreduction facilities (6) Dispose of dreduction facilities (7) Dispose of dreduction facilities (8) Dispose of d	ement, or onents of the am's Integral would only as RCW. The age, buoys ply with the tional use placed in a ged material on placed in the complex of the com	expansion of Exing ((e))Crated Streamly be allowed so the standards in the permit is required ordinary highlights and the critical salts.	f existing flocunty Floobank Protect consistent nunching factor K.C.C. 2 uired to: gh water materials or created and so create	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideline with an approbabilities shall collaborate for any usawetlands with titical freshwat	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioeng oved watershed omply with the except ecolonin a channel of the habitats ex	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources in e standards ogical restor migration zo cept when a	th the standard tural shore assistent with the hiques us inventory as in K.C.C. attoo or for the high (of) the	lards in K.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C	nent are gton imum salmon				
b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comple. A shoreline condiction of the flood protection facilities (2) Dispose of dreduction of the condiction	ement, or onents of the am's Integral would only as RCW. The age, buoys ply with the tional use placed in the plac	expansion of Exing ((e))Crated Streamly be allowed so the standards in the permit is required ordinary highlights and the critical salts.	f existing flocunty Floobank Protect consistent nunching factor K.C.C. 2 uired to: gh water materials or created and so create	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideline with an approbabilities shall collaborate for any usawetlands with titical freshwat	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioeng oved watershed omply with the except ecolonin a channel of the habitats ex	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources in e standards ogical restor migration zo cept when a	th the standard tural shore assistent with the hiques us inventory as in K.C.C. attoo or for the high (of) the	lards in K.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C	nent are gton imum salmon				
b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comple. A shoreline condiction (1) Place fill water flood protection facilities (2) Dispose of dreduction of the condiction (F) fill shall not be the first properties of the condiction of the condiction of the condiction of the fill the public's need in RCW 90.58.020	ement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW. Tage, buoys ply with the tional use placed in the placed in the placed in the property of the propert	expansion of exing ((e))Crated Streamly be allowed as, floats, or late standards in permit is requested ordinary high allowed as the critical sale aroposal is clearly as the critical sale aroposal aroposal is clearly as the critical sale aroposal aropo	f existing flocation of the following factors of the factors of the following factors of the following factors of the factors of th	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideline with an appropriate shall colors and for any use wetlands with itical freshward and the strated and the strategy are strategy as the strategy as the strategy as the strategy are strategy as the strategy as the strategy are strategy as the st	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioeng oved watershed omply with the except ecolonin a channel ster habitats extended to the proposal is	the ((N))na Plan and cor incering tech d resources e standards ogical restor migration zo cept when a	tural shore sistent with hiques us inventory a in K.C.C. ation or for one; all ((ef)) the with protect	eline environment the Washington to the maxarea (WRIA) 21A.25.180; The mainten the mainten the mainten the mainten the mainten the following contion of the put	nent are gton imum salmon				
b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comple. A shoreline condiction of the flood protection facilities (2) Dispose of dreduction of the condiction	cement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW. Tage, buoys ply with the tional use placed in the placed in the placed in the placed in the placets to create the placets the placets to create the placets to create the placets th	expansion of exing ((e))Crated Streamly be allowed as, floats, or late standards in permit is required ordinary high all within short critical saltwards altwards alt	f existing flocularly Flood bank Protect consistent nunching factors in K.C.C. 20 uired to: I gh water material water or critical demonstration or critical to consistent or critical demonstration or	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideline with an appropriate shall colors and for any use wetlands with a strated and thal freshwater I al	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioeng oved watershed omply with the except ecolonin a channel atter habitats extended to the proposal is the habitats by an except except ecolonic terminal channel atterminates the proposal is the pro	the ((N))na Plan and corineering tech diresources are standards begical restormigration zo cept when a consistent we alternative	tural shore asistent with hiriques us inventory a in K.C.C. ation or for one; all ((of)) the with protect alignment	eline environment the Washington to the maxarea (WRIA) 21A.25.180; The mainten the mainten the mainten the mainten the mainten the following contion of the put	nent are gton imum salmon				
b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comple. A shoreline condiction of the flood protection facilities (2) Dispose of dreduction of the condiction of the flood protection facilities (2) Dispose of dreduction (1) the public's need in RCW 90.58.020 (2) avoidance of in the project in the ground result in unreasition of the project includes the subject to the requirementation of the project includes the project includes the requirementation of the project includes the requirementation of the project includes the project incl	ement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW. The age, buoys ply with the tional use placed in the plac	expansion of exing ((e))Crated Streamly be allowed as, floats, or late standards in permit is required within short critical salt aroposal is clearly and disproporrequired mitical mi	f existing flocularly Flood bank Protect consistent nunching factors in K.C.C. 22 uired to: gh water mater or critical demonstrationate cost	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideling with an appropriate shall colors and for any use wetlands with a freshwater late and the al freshwater late accomplish	ilization shall acilities within Management I les and bioeng oved watershed omply with the except ecolonin a channel of the proposal is thabitats by an the same get	the ((N))na Plan and cor ineering tech d resources in e standards ogical restor migration zo cept when a consistent we alternative neral purpos	th the standartural shore assistent with hinques us inventory as in K.C.C. attion or for one; all ((of)) the with protect alignment se;	lards in K.C.C. eline environr th the Washin the to the max area (WRIA) 21A.25.180; If the mainten the following contion of the put or location is	nent are gton imum salmon ance and onditions olic trust, not				
b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall complete to the condication of the condication	ement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW. Tage, buoys ply with the tional use placed in the placed	expansion of exing ((e))Crated Streamly be allowed as, floats, or late extandards in permit is required within short critical salt and disproporrequired miting.	f existing flocularly Flood bank Protect consistent nunching factors in K.C.C. 2 uired to: gh water mater or critical tionate cost gation, will	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideline with an appropriate shall colors and the strated and the strated and the strated and the strated accomplising the sult in no national freshwater in the sult in no national freshwater is strated and the strategy is strated and the strategy is strated and the strategy is strategy in the strategy is strategy in the strategy is strategy in the strategy in the strategy is strategy in the strategy in the strategy in the strategy is strategy in the strategy in the strategy in the strategy is strategy in the strategy in the strategy in the strategy in the strategy is strategy in the strategy in	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioeng wed watershed omply with the except ecolonin a channel atternation habitats by an habitats by an habitats of ecolonic ecolo	the ((N))na Plan and cor incering tech diresources in e standards e standards e standards e standards e standards consistent we alternative neral purpos logical func	th the standard three standard shore in the	lards in K.C.C. eline environr th the Washin the to the max area (WRIA) 21A.25.180; If the mainten the following contion of the put or location is	nent are gton imum salmon ance and onditions olic trust, not				
b. Relocation, replace subject to the requirementatic Guidelines Progractical. New facilities plan under chapter 77. Docks, piers, moor 4.a. Filling shall comple. A shoreline condiction of the flood protection facilities (2) Dispose of dreduction of the condiction of the flood protection facilities (2) Dispose of dreduction (1) the public's need in RCW 90.58.020 (2) avoidance of in the project in the ground result in unreasition of the project includes the subject to the requirementation of the project includes the project includes the requirementation of the project includes the requirementation of the project includes the project incl	cement, or onents of the am's Integral would only 85 RCW. Tage, buoys ply with the tional use placed in the property of the pr	expansion of exing ((e))Crated Streamly be allowed as, floats, or late standards in permit is required miting the critical saltward disproporrequired miting ith the state's	f existing flocularly Flood bank Protect consistent nunching factors in K.C.C. 20 uired to: gh water mater or critical tionate cost gation, will so interest in	ood control fad ((Hazard)) Nation Guideling with an appropriate shall colors and for any use wetlands with a freshwater of the accomplisher result in no national freshwater of the accomplisher shall result in no national freshwater of the accomplisher shall result in no national freshwater of the accomplisher result in national freshwater o	ilization shall acilities within Management less and bioeng oved watershed omply with the except ecolonin a channel atternation habitats by an habitats by an habitats by an habitats of ecolocic ection and specific proposal is the same general so and specific proposal is the same general so of ecolocic ection and specific proposal is the same general so of ecolocic ection and specific proposal is the same general so of ecolocic ection and specific proposal is the same general so of ecolocic ection and specific proposal is the same general so of ecolocic ection and specific proposal is the same general so of ecolocic proposal so of ecol	the ((N))na Plan and corineering tech diresources in estandards estandards estandards engical restormigration zo cept when a consistent we alternative meral purpose logical functive eccies recove	th the standard tural shore insistent with hiriques us inventory a in K.C.C. atton or for one; all ((of)) the with protect alignment se; tions associately; and	lards in K.C.C. eline environment the Washington to the maxarea (WRIA) 21A.25.180; or the maintent e following contion of the purior location is eliated with critical environments.	nent are gton imum salmon ance and onditions olic trust, not				

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
(1) are only allowed where necessary to support water dependent uses, public access, approved shoreline stabilization, or other public uses, as determined by the director; (2) are not allowed in the Maury Island Aquatic Reserve except as part of a habitat restoration project or as an alternative to construction of a shoreline stabilization structure; (3) shall not intrude into or over critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitats except when all ((ef)) the following conditions are met: (a) the public's need for the structure is clearly demonstrated and the proposal is consistent with protection of the public trust, as embodied in RCW 90.58.020; (b) avoidance of impacts to critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitats by an alternative alignment or location is not feasible or would result in unreasonable and disproportionate cost to accomplish the same general purpose; (c) the project including any required mitigation, will result in no net loss of ecological functions associated with critical saltwater or critical freshwater habitats; and (d) the project is consistent with the state's interest in resource protection and species recovery. b. Groins are only allowed as part of a restoration project sponsored or cosponsored by a public agency that has natural resource management as a primary function. c. A conditional shoreline use permit is required, except for structures installed to protect or restore shoreline ecological functions. 6. Excavation, dredging, and filling shall comply with the standards in K.C.C. 21A.25.190. A shoreline conditional use permit is required to dispose of dredged material within shorelands, wetlands, or side channels within a channel migration zone. 7 a. If the department determines the primary purpose is restoration of the natural character and ecological functions of the shoreline, a shoreline habitat, and natural systems enhancement project may include shoreline modification of vegetation, removal of nonnative or invasive plants, and shoreline stabilization, inc			
and critical area buffer shall be retained to the maximum extent practical. Within the critical area and critical area buffer, vegetation removal is subject to K.C.C. chapter 21A.24.	(A) T. I I	(4)	
K.C.C. 21A.25.210 - Regulations for expansion of dwelling units or residential accessory structure within the shoreline jurisdiction. SECTION 82. Ordinance 16985, Section 46, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.25.210 are hereby amended to read as follows: The expansion of a dwelling unit or residential accessory structure located in the shoreline jurisdiction, if allowed under K.C.C. 21A.24.045, ((is subject to the following: A-)) shall require a shoreline variance ((I))if the proposed expansion will result in a total cumulative expansion of the dwelling unit and accessory structures of more than one thousand square feet((, a shoreline variance is required; and B. If the site has an approved rural stewardship plan under K.C.C. 21A.24.055, the expansion is not allowed)).	(1) Technical corrections.(2) Removes reference to the rural stewardship plan.	 (1) Updated wording to clarify existing intent. (2) References to rural stewardship plan are proposed to be removed as code section allowing rural stewardship plans (K.C.C. 21A.24.055) is 	 Section Notes: The existing code requires a shoreline variance for expansions exceeding 1,000 sf for dwelling units and residential structures, which include upper story additions that do not increase the building footprint. Policy Analysis: Maintaining the restrictions for the expansion of dwelling units or residential

	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
		proposed to be repealed in this ordinance.	accessory structures in the shoreline jurisdiction is a policy choice.
			The proposed ordinance would remove the reference to rural stewardship plans, as the program is proposed for repeal. This is a policy choice.
STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA) EXEMPTIONS			
SECTION 5. Ordinance 6949, Section 6, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.44.040 are hereby amended to read as follows: A. King County adopts the standards and procedures specified in WAC 197-11-300 through 197-11-390 and 197-11-800 through 197-11-890 for determining categorical exemptions and making threshold determinations subject to the following: 1. The following exempt threshold levels are hereby established in accordance with WAC 197-11-800(1)(c) for the exemptions	(1) Adds alluvial fan hazard areas to the list of areas in which limited fill and excavation is exempt. (2) Removes aquatic areas and wetlands to the list of areas in which limited fill and excavation is exempt.	(1) Alluvial fan hazard areas are a type of landslide hazard area according to WAC 365-190-120, but they are regulated as a separate type of geologically hazardous area in the K.C.C. By naming them in this section, the proposed code keeps the same intent and standards as existing code. (2) Aquatic areas and wetlands are proposed for removal to maintain alignment with WAC 197-11-800, the state code that describes what local governments must regulate.	 Section Notes: WAC 197-11-800 lists the types of projects, based on different thresholds, that are exempt from SEPA review. Under state law, the County may raise some of the thresholds for certain types of construction or alterations. Policy Analysis: Under state law, the grading necessary for the activities in A.1.a. through d. are exempt. The Council may wish to add language to clarify that grading for these activities to be consistent with state law. Exempting fill or excavation of up to 100 cubic yards in a steep slope, landslide, or alluvial fan hazard area is a policy choice. The existing code provides a larger exemption for code violations than for permitted projects in landslide, steep slope, and alluvial fan hazard areas. Providing a greater exemption for code violations is a policy choice. The existing code doesn't explicitly recognize the limitations on these exemptions (such as for lands covered by water). The reference to the WAC does cover this, but the Code could be more explicit.
VIOLATIONS			·
	(1) Updates code cross-reference.	(1) Technical change. (2) Changes are	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
SECTION 85. Ordinance 15051, Section 228, and K.C.C. 21A.50.035 are hereby amended to read as follows:	0.000 101010100.	proposed	- 110 lood of idontified.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
A. A person who alters a critical area or buffer in violation of law shall undertake corrective work in compliance with this chapter and K.C.C. ((ehapter 23.08)) Title 23. When feasible, corrective work shall include restoration of the critical area and buffer. Corrective work shall be subject to all permits or approvals required for the type of work undertaken. In addition, the violator shall be subject to all fees associated with investigation of the violation and the need for corrective work. B. When a wetland or buffer is altered in violation of this title, restoration of the wetland and buffer shall comply with the restoration standards in K.C.C. 21A.24.340. C. When an aquatic area or ((buffer)) riparian area is altered in violation of this title, restoration of the ((stream and buffer)) aquatic area or riparian area shall comply with the restoration standards in K.C.C. 21A.24.380. D. All corrective work shall be completed within the time specified in the corrective work plan, but in no case later than one year from the date the corrective work plan is approved by the department, unless the director authorizes a longer period. The violator shall notify the department when restoration measures are installed and monitoring is commenced. E. Any failure to satisfy corrective work requirements established by law or condition including, but not limited to, the failure to provide a monitoring report within thirty days after it is due or comply with other provisions of an approved corrective work plan shall constitute a default, and the department may demand payment of any financial guarantees or require other action authorized by K.C.C. Title 27A or other applicable law. F. Reasonable access to the corrective work site shall be provided to King County for the purpose of inspections during any monitoring period.	(2) Changes references of "aquatic area buffers" to "riparian areas."	throughout the code to change term or reference to "aquatic area buffers" to "riparian areas," where appropriate, consistent with current terminology.	
CONSOLIDATED REVIEW			
A. A development proposal shall be deemed to comply with this chapter and the department shall not require additional critical areas, fire or drainage review of a development proposal for a single-family residential development that is consistent with the conditions established by the department in its review of the development proposal if the applicant meets all of the following requirements: 1. The applicant provides to the department a critical areas report prepared by a preferred consultant, as provided in K.C.C. Title 27, for the critical areas on the development proposal site; 2. The department has issued a critical areas designation under K.C.C. 21A.24.500. If applicable, the designation shall be issued before septic system design, application and approval; 3. The development proposal qualifies for simplified drainage review and does not require targeted drainage review under K.C.C. chapter 9.04; 4. The development proposal does not require an alteration exception or reasonable use exception under this chapter, a variance from road standards under K.C.C. Title 14 or a drainage adjustment under K.C.C. chapter 9.04; and 5. The development proposal locates structures, on-site septic drainfield areas, the well location, and other impervious surfaces, including but not limited to driveways, within the areas identified by the department. B. If an applicant indicates on a form approved by the department that a development proposal for a single family residence will be proposed for review under this section, the department shall identify a development footprint on the property where the applicant may clear and place structures and other impervious surfaces in order to meet the requirements of this chapter and K.C.C. chapters 9.04 and 16.82. At the time of development permit application, the department shall screen the proposal for compliance with the conditions established by the department under this section, set the conditions of permit approval and, if required, establish the mitigation financial guaran		This section is rarely, if ever used, and there are no resources to implement it. There is a risk that administration of these procedures could cause a lack of clarity regarding vesting.	 Section Notes: This section allows for the consolidation of reviews for site-related elements, which would include critical areas, fire, and/or drainage review. As written, it would not include other elements such as grading or clearing, zoning, or building review, which are also critical portions of the review process. Policy Analysis: It is unclear how effective this section would be in permitting review if it had been implemented. Executive staff indicate that this section is infrequently used. Maintaining this code section may affect application vesting, which is a constantly evolving legal landscape. Repealing this section is a policy choice.
PLAN UPDATE, CODIFICATION, ECOLOGY APPROVAL, SEVERABILITY	'		
SECTION 87. A. Attachment A to this ordinance is adopted as amendments to the 2024 King County Comprehensive Plan, as adopted in Ordinance XXXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2024-0440). B. The elements of the 2024 King County Comprehensive Plan in Attachment A to this ordinance are hereby amended to read as set forth in this ordinance and are incorporated herein by this reference.	Adopts portions of the ordinance and its attachments as amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and Shoreline Master Program (SMP).	Establishes the various elements of amendments to the proposed 2024 update to the Comprehensive Plan as proposed in this ordinance.	Policy Analysis: • This section will be updated prior to council action to include any codes adopted in K.C.C. 20.12.200 as part of the SMP.

Code	Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
C. The elements of the King County Shoreline Master Program in sections 41, 42, 44, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 60, 63, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, and 86 of this ordinance are hereby amended to read as set forth in this ordinance and are incorporated herein by this reference.			
K.C.C. 20.12.010 - Codifies adoption of the 2024 Comprehensive Plan. SECTION 88. Ordinance 263, Article 2, Section 1, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.010 are hereby amended to read as follows: Under the King County Charter, the state Constitution, and the Growth Management Act, chapter 36.70A RCW, King County adopted the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan via Ordinance 11575 and declared it to be the Comprehensive Plan for King County until amended, repealed, or superseded. The Comprehensive Plan has been reviewed and amended multiple times since its adoption in 1994. Amendments to the 1994 Comprehensive Plan to-date are currently reflected in the 2024 King County Comprehensive Plan, as adopted in Ordinance XXXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2023-0440), and as amended by this ordinance. The Comprehensive Plan shall be the principal planning document for the orderly physical development of the county and shall be used to guide subarea plans, functional plans, provision of public facilities and services, review of proposed incorporations and annexations, development regulations, and land development decisions.	Reflects amendments to the 2024 Comprehensive Plan.		Policy Analysis: ● No issues identified.
SECTION 89. The executive shall submit sections 41, 42, 44, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 60, 63, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, and 86 of this ordinance to the state Department of Ecology for its approval, as provided in RCW 90.58.090.	Directs the Executive to submit ordinance sections that are part of the SMP to the Department of Ecology.	These elements of this proposed ordinance amend elements of the SMP as adopted in K.C.C. 20.12.200. As such, these changes are required to be reviewed and approved by the Department of Ecology.	 Section Notes: Code sections that are part of the SMP (see K.C.C. 20.12.200 for the list of sections) must be approved by Ecology. Policy Analysis: This section will be updated prior to council action to include any codes adopted in K.C.C. 20.12.200 as part of the SMP.
SECTION 90. Sections 41, 42, 44, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 60, 63, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, and 86 of this ordinance take effect within the shoreline jurisdiction fourteen days after the state Department of Ecology provides written notice of final action stating that the proposal is approved, in accordance with RCW 90.58.090. The executive shall provide the written notice of final action to the clerk of the council.	Notes that ordinance sections that are part of the King County shoreline master program take effect within the shoreline jurisdiction fourteen days after the state Department of Ecology provides written notice of final action stating that the proposal is approved.	These elements of this proposed ordinance amend elements of the SMP as adopted in K.C.C. 20.12.200. As such, these changes are required to be reviewed and approved by the Department of Ecology.	 Section Notes: Code sections that are part of the SMP (see K.C.C. 20.12.200 for the list of sections) are not effective until 14 days after Ecology action. Policy Analysis: This section will be updated prior to council action to include any codes adopted in K.C.C. 20.12.200 as part of the SMP.
SECTION 91. Severability. If any provision of this ordinance or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the ordinance or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.	Standard King County severability language.	Added to clarify that if part of the ordinance were found to be invalid, there remaining portion of the ordinance would not be affected.	Policy Analysis: • No issues identified.
In Chapter 5 Environment, on page 5-51, amend as follows:	Substantive change. Deletion of policy.	BAS is clear that small wetlands especially in urban areas are	This proposed change would remove an allowance to alter Category IV wetlands less than 2,500 sf in size. BAS and Ecology

Code		Executive's Proposed Change	Executive's Intent/Rationale	Policy Staff Comments
(E-412	A small Category IV wetland that is less than 2,500 square feet and that is not part of a wetland complex may be altered to		important. The	guidance does not support blanket
	move functions to another wetland as part of an approved mitigation plan that is consistent with E-413 and E-414.))		research indicates that	allowances for alterations of wetlands,
			a broader approach to	regardless of size and rating. Executive staff
			protecting wildlife such	estimate that a very small proportion (1%)
			as mammals, birds,	of wetlands currently fall under this
			and amphibians is	provision. Affected properties may be able
			needed, as buffers	to pursue a reasonable use exception or
			alone may not prevent	critical area alteration exception if the
			the populations of	property is unbuildable. This is a policy
			many species from	choice.
			declining. Wetlands	
			located in urban areas	
			are generally less	
			common and are more	
			impacted by adjacent	
			light and noise	
			pollution, often contain	
			non-native and invasive	
			plant species, and lack	
			intact vegetated	
			corridors or habitat	
			patches connecting	
			adjacent habitat.	
			Conserving wetland	
			habitats, habitat	
			patches, and vegetated	
			corridors networks in	
			urban areas and	
			throughout the	
			watershed is critical for	
			certain species and	
			provides refuge from	
			drought and increasing	
			temperatures due to	
			climate change.	

PROGRAMMATIC ACTIONS TO COMPLY WITH NO NET LOSS AND BEST AVAILABLE SCIENCE

In addition to the regulatory updates in the Proposed Ordinance, the BAS Report identifies multiple nonregulatory activities that the County undertakes that help to meet the no net loss requirements of the GMA.

This includes the following activities, some of which are funded through multiple sources of revenue:

- <u>Property acquisition</u> (fee title, easement, or other interest) and property donations for conservation purposes. This includes conservation future tax (CFT) acquisitions, transfer of development right (TDR) program, public benefit ratings system (PBRS) program, and home buyouts in frequently flooded areas.
- <u>Salmon recovery</u> efforts, including salmon recovery efforts through the Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) forums; the Snoqualmie Valley Fish, Farm Flood work; County salmon habitat restoration projects (which includes habitat project effectiveness monitoring for select projects); and King County's Fish Passage Restoration Program.
- <u>Habitat restoration.</u> This includes the "3 million trees" initiative, Parks Levy funding for riparian habitat (in the Executive's transmitted 2026-2031 Parks Levy Proposed Ordinance it falls under "multi-benefit river corridor projects"), and King County's Small Habitat Restoration Program.

- Operational Guidance for Farms and Foresters. This includes Farm Management Plans, Fencing and Livestock Best Management Practices cost-sharing, Forest Stewardship Plans
- <u>Coordination</u> with other agencies, such as King Conservation District.
- Monitoring and Adaptive Management. Monitoring and adaptive management is the subject of a proviso on the 2025 Annual Budget that is expected to be transmitted to the Council in June 2025.
- <u>Updated information</u>, such as the 2024 update to stream and wetland mapping and future classification, permitting division bulletins that describe critical area requirements, ongoing channel migration zone delineation and mapping, an upcoming Wildlife Habitat Climate Gradient Analysis, ongoing flood mapping, and upcoming alluvial fan mapping.

There are also several planning level activities described in the BAS Report that are also stated to contribute to no net loss. This includes strategies and policies within the Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan, 30-Year Forest Plan, Strategic Climate Action Plan, and Flood Management Plan.

Finally, several County agencies are dedicated to conservation and restoration activities that are stated to contribute to no net loss. Agencies identified in the BAS Report include the urban forestry program, code enforcement, the groundwater monitoring program, and basin stewards.

	OUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE CRITICAL AREA POLICIES dopted 2024 KCCP update (Ordinance 19881)		wn in legislative mark-up for reference, to compare the 2016 KCCP (as amended) with the 2024 hese changes have already been adopted under Ordinance 19881.
CHAPTER 3 - RU	JRAL AREA & NATURAL RESOURCE LANDS		
R-((306)) <u>307</u>	 A residential density of one home per 10 acres shall be applied in the Rural Area where: a. The lands are adjacent to or within one-quarter mile of ((designated Agricultural Production Districts, the Forest Production District or legally approved long-term mineral resource extraction sites)) Natural Resource Lands; ((or)) b. The lands contain moderate or significant ((environmentally constrained)) critical areas; ((as defined by county ordinance, policy or federal or state law, or regionally significant resource areas or substantial critical habitat as determined by legislatively approved basin plans or Watershed Resource Inventory Area Plans; and)) or c. ((The predominant lot size is greater than or equal to 10 acres in size)) A residential density of one home per five acres would harm or diminish the surrounding area, burden infrastructure, increase development pressure, or be inconsistent with the development patterns promoted by the Comprehensive Plan. 	((R-308)) <u>R-308</u>	 A residential density of one home per five acres shall be applied in the Rural Area where: a. The lands are more than one-quarter mile away from Natural Resource Lands; b. The lands ((is)) are physically suitable for development with minimal ((environmentally sensitive features)) critical areas ((or critical habitat as determined by legislatively adopted watershed based plans)); and ((b. Development can be supported by rural services; c. The land does not meet the criteria in this plan for lower density designations; and d. The predominant lot size is less than 10 acres.)) c. This residential density would not harm or diminish the surrounding area, burden infrastructure, increase development pressure, or be inconsistent with the development patterns promoted by the Comprehensive Plan.
R-325	Golf facilities ((shall be permitted)) may be allowed as a conditional use in the RA-2.5 and RA-5 zones and when located outside of Rural Forest Focus Areas((, Regionally Significant Resource Areas and Locally Significant Resource Areas, as a conditional use, in the RA-2.5 and RA-5 zones)).	R-740	King County should adopt regulations that do not require permits for vegetation management in areas outside of critical areas and their buffers if implementing approved best management practices for wildfire risk reduction or as included within an approved forest stewardship plan that includes wildfire best management practices.
CHAPTER 5 - EN	VIRONMENT		
((E-112a)) <u>E-105</u>	The protection of lands where development would pose hazards to health <u>and safety</u> , property, important ecological functions, or environmental quality shall be achieved through acquisition, enhancement, incentive programs, and appropriate regulations. The following critical areas <u>and their buffers</u> are particularly susceptible and shall be protected in King County:	((E-417)) <u>E-106</u>	King County should take precautionary action informed by best available science where there is ((a significant)) risk of damage to the environment. Precautionary action should be coupled with monitoring and adaptive management.
	 a. ((Floodways of 100-year floodplains; b. Slopes with a grade of 40% or more or landslide hazards that cannot be mitigated; c. Wetlands and their protective buffers; d. Aquatic areas, including streams, lakes, marine shorelines and their protective buffers; e. Channel migration hazard areas; f. Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas; g. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas; and 	((E-104)) <u>E-107</u>	Development of environmental regulations, restoration and mitigation projects, and incentive and stewardship programs should be coordinated with <u>Indian tribes</u> , local jurisdictions, federal and state agencies, ((tribes ,)) special interest groups, and residents when conserving and restoring the natural environment consistent with Urban Growth Area, Rural Area, and ((designated)) Natural Resource Lands goals.
	h. Volcanic hazard areas)) Critical aquifer recharge areas; b. Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas; c. Frequently flooded areas, regulated as flood hazard areas; d. Geologically hazardous areas; and e. Wetlands.	((E-422)) <u>E-108</u>	King County's land use and park planning((-,)) <u>and</u> regulatory((-,)) and operational functions related to environmental protection, public safety, and equity should be closely coordinated across departments and with other applicable agencies and organizations to achieve an ecosystem-based approach.
E-109	King County should promote the efficient provision of utilities and public services by exempting minor activities from ((its)) critical areas regulations((7)) if the agency ((has an approved)) develops a best	((E-107	Regulations to prevent unmitigated significant adverse environmental impacts should be based on the importance and sensitivity of the resource.
	management practices plan ((approved by King County, and the plan ensures that proposed projects that may affect habitat of listed species be carried out in a manner that protects the resource or mitigates adverse impacts)) that: a. Is based on best available science; b. Accounts for no net loss of ecological functions; and c. Ensures projects that may affect habitats of endangered, threatened, sensitive, or candidate species, or species of local importance, are carried out in a manner that protects the resource and mitigates adverse impacts.	E-108)) <u>E-110</u>	King County may exercise its substantive authority under the State Environmental Policy Act to condition or deny proposed actions ((in order)) to mitigate associated individual or cumulative impacts such as significant habitat modification or degradation that ((may actually kill, injure or harm listed threatened or endangered species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including)) impairs breeding, feeding, spawning, rearing, migrating, ((or)) sheltering, or other essential behavior patterns for endangered, threatened, sensitive, or candidate species, or species and habitats of local importance.
to d	g County should collaborate with the scientific community, state and federal agencies, and other jurisdictions develop detailed, science-based estimates of the magnitude and timing of climate change impacts on air peratures and heat waves, rainfall patterns and severe weather, river flooding, sea level rise, fish and wildlife, ocean acidification in King County.	((E223))	<u>E-226</u> King County shall consider projected impacts of climate change on habitat for salmon and other wildlife when developing long-range conservation plans and prioritizing habitat protection and restoration actions.

E-215bbb)) <u>E-229</u>	King County shall assess the best available sea level rise projections ((two years)) prior to each ((eight)) 10-year update((-,)) and shall ((incorporate the projections into)) update relevant risk assessments and policies in the Comprehensive Plan, where appropriate.	((E-402)) <u>E-302</u>	In the Urban Growth Area, King County shall strive to maintain a quality environment that includes fish and wildlife habitats that support the greatest diversity of native species consistent with Growth Management Act-mandated population density objectives.
		E-303	((In areas o)) Outside the Urban Growth Area, the ((c)) County should strive to maintain, protect, and recover ecological processes, native landscapes, ecosystems, and habitats that can support viable populations of native species. This should be accomplished through coordinated conservation planning and collaborative implementation.
((E-403)) <u>E-304</u>	King County should develop a biodiversity conservation framework and conservation strategy to achieve the goals of maintaining and recovering native biodiversity. ((This framework should be coordinated with the Washington Biodiversity Conservation Strategy where applicable.)) King County should collaborate with other governments and private and nonprofit organizations on the creation and implementation of this strategy.	((E-404	King County should collaborate with other governments and private and non-profit organizations to establish a bioinventory, an assessment and monitoring program, and a database of species currently using King County to provide baseline and continuing information on wildlife population trends in the county.
((E-405)) <u>E-305</u>	King County should evaluate a range of projected future climate scenarios based on best available science to help ensure that <u>biodiversity</u> conservation efforts are able to meet their objectives in a changing climate.	E-413)) <u>E-306</u>	King County's efforts to restore and maintain biodiversity should place priority on protecting and restoring ecological processes that create and sustain habitats and species diversity <u>and support climate change resilience</u> .
E-411)) <u>E-307</u>	King County should ((conduct an analysis to identify areas critical for functional habitat connectivity. This assessment should be coordinated with state and federal mapping efforts as appropriate)) map habitat connectivity corridors and biodiversity areas to protect fish and wildlife populations in a changing climate. Areas identified by this analysis ((as being critical for functional habitat connectivity)) should be prioritized by King County, and in collaboration with Indian tribes, the state, cities, and other landowners, for land conservation and restoration actions and programs.	((E-418)) <u>E-312</u>	King County should assess <u>different land types</u> , <u>habitats</u> , <u>and resources for:</u> <u>a.</u> ((the)) <u>Their</u> relative scarcity and sensitivity; <u>b.</u> ((of different land types, habitats and resources, the)) <u>their</u> role ((of these land types, habitats and resources)) in supporting <u>endangered</u> , threatened, sensitive, <u>or candidate</u> species, <u>or species of local importance</u> ; and <u>c.</u> ((and the level of threat to these land types, habitats, and resources in terms of habitat modifications that would likely)) the degree to which habitat alterations would reduce these populations ((of sensitive species)).
((E-419)) <u>E-313</u>	King County should give special consideration to protection of rare, endemic, and keystone species when identifying and prioritizing land areas for protection through acquisition, conservation easements, and incentive programs.	((E-420))	<u>E-314</u> King County should incorporate climate change projections into new species protection plans and shall revise older species protection plans when feasible or when conducting ((eight)) <u>10</u> -year updates to incorporate projected impacts from climate change.
((E-432)) <u>E-316</u>	King County shall designate <u>and protect</u> the following areas as Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas: a. Areas with which federal- or state-listed endangered, threatened, <u>candidate</u> , or sensitive species have	((E-433	King County should map Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas. King County shall protect Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas through measures such as regulations, incentives, capital projects or purchase, as appropriate.
	a primary association; b. Habitats of Local Importance and ((H))habitats for Species of Local Importance, including Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas; c. Wildlife habitat networks designated by the ((\(\tau\))\(\sigma\) ounty; d. Aquatic Areas e. Commercial and recreational shellfish areas; ((\(\frac{\theta}{\theta}\))f. Kelp and eelgrass beds; ((f))g. Herring, smelt, and sand lance spawning areas;	E-425)) <u>E-317</u>	King County may increase the size of riparian areas, buffers, and critical area setbacks ((∓))to protect ((or improve)) adjacent wetland((s)), ((and)) aquatic area, and riparian habitat((s)), native vegetated areas that connect wetlands complexes, and species of local importance and their habitats. ((stream and wetland buffer requirements may be increased to protect King County species of Local Importance and their habitats, as appropriate.)) Whenever possible, density transfers, clustering, ((and)) buffer averaging, and other mechanisms should be ((allowed)) utilized in order to protect and/or enhance ecological functions.
	((g)) <u>h</u> . Riparian ((corridors)) <u>areas</u> ; and ((h)) <u>i</u> . State aquatic reserves.	((E-475	To improve adjacent wetlands and aquatic habitat, areas of native vegetation that connect wetland complexes should be protected. Whenever effective, incentive programs such as buffer averaging, density credit transfers, or appropriate non-regulatory mechanisms shall be used for this purpose.
((E-416)) <u>E-318</u>	King County should use a mixture of information on historical, current, and projected future conditions to provide context for managing public hazards and protecting and restoring habitat.	((E-423))	E-319 ((New d))Development, erosion control projects, and critical area mitigation and restoration should((, where possible,)) incorporate native plant communities ((into the site plan)), both through the preservation of existing ((native)) plants and addition of new native plants. The introduction of nonnative and invasive species should be avoided.
((E-424)) <u>E-320</u>	King County shall steward public lands well and shall integrate fish and wildlife habitat considerations into capital improvement projects whenever feasible. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas should be protected and, where possible, enhanced as part of capital improvement projects.	((E-410)) <u>E-321</u>	Habitat networks for threatened, endangered and Species of Local Importance((, as listed in this chapter,)) shall be designated and mapped. Fish and wildlife habitat areas and ((H))habitat networks for other priority species ((in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands)) should be identified, designated, and mapped using ecoregion ((information about the county and its)) and resource((s and)) data. Mapping should be coordinated with state and federal ecosystem mapping efforts as appropriate.

E-412)) <u>E-322</u>	King County should work with <u>Indian tribes</u> , adjacent jurisdictions, state and federal governments, ((tribes;)) and landowners to identify and protect habitat networks at jurisdictional and property <u>boundaries</u> during development of land use <u>and environmental</u> plans((; Water Resource Inventory Area salmon recovery plans, and site development reviews to identify and protect habitat networks at jurisdictional and property boundaries)).	((E-499m)) <u>E-326</u>	King County ((will)) shall monitor and evaluate programs and regulations to determine their effectiveness in contributing to Endangered Species Act listed species conservation and recovery, and ((will)) shall update and enhance programs and plans as necessary. King County should amend regulations, plans and best management practices to enhance their effectiveness in protecting and restoring ((salmonid)) habitat, using a variety of resources, including best available science as defined in Chapter 365-195 Washington Administrative Code ((365-195-905 through 365-195-925)).
((E-434)) <u>E-327</u>	Habitats for species that have been identified as endangered, threatened, or sensitive by the state or federal government shall not be <u>degraded or</u> reduced <u>in size</u> and ((should)) <u>shall</u> be ((conserved)) <u>preserved and protected</u> .	((E-499p	King County shall, in cooperation with the cities, ensure a no net loss of housing capacity that preserves the ability to accommodate adopted growth targets, while pursuing compliance with Endangered Species Act requirements. To achieve this goal, densities shall be increased on buildable lands, consistent with H-110.
((E-435)) <u>E-328</u>	King County designates the following to be Species of Local Importance: a. Salmonids and other anadromous <u>or freshwater</u> fish - Kokanee salmon, Sockeye/red salmon, Chum salmon, Coho/silver salmon, Pink salmon, <u>Chinook salmon</u> , Coastal resident/searun cutthroat trout,	((E-436))	E-329 King County shall protect Species of Local Importance through measures such as regulations, incentives, capital projects, or purchase, as appropriate.
	Rainbow trout, steelhead trout, bull trout, Pygmy whitefish, Dolly Varden, Western river lamprey, ((and)) Pacific lamprey, and Olympic mudminnow; b. Native Freshwater Mussels - Western pearlshell mussel, Oregon ((and western)) floater, and western ridge mussel; c. Shellfish - Dungeness crab, Pandalid shrimp, ((Geoduck)) Butter clam, Littleneck clam, and ((Pacific)) Olympia oyster; d. Marine Fish - White sturgeon((7)): Pacific herring((7)): Longfin smelt((7)): Surfsmelt((7)): Lingcod, Pacific cod: Pacific sand lance((7)): Yelloweye, Brown, Copper, Bocaccio, Canary, and Quillback Rockfish: English sole((7)): and Southern ((R))rock sole; e. Birds - Marbled Murrelet: Western grebe, Caspian Tern, Pigeon Guillemot, Pelagic Cormorant, American bittern, Great blue heron, Common Loon, Western High Arctic Brant, Harlequin duck,	((E-437)) <u>E-330</u>	King County shall designate the following to be Habitats of Local Importance: a. Caves; b. Cliffs; c. ((Talus)) <u>Herbaceous balds</u> ; d. Old((=)) <u>growth forest</u> ; e. ((Sphagnum-dominated peat bogs; and)) <u>Oregon white oak woodlands</u> ; f. Snag-rich areas; g. <u>Sphagnum-dominated peat bogs</u> ; h. <u>Talus</u> ; and i. Westside prairie.
	Bufflehead, Wood duck, Hooded merganser, Barrow's goldeneye, Common goldeneye, Cinnamon teal, Tundra swan, Trumpeter swan, Surf scoter, White-winged scoter, Black scoter, Bald Eagle, Golden Eagle, Peregrine Falcon, Northern Goshawk, Osprey, Spotted owl, Western screech-owl, Sooty grouse, Pacific coast ((B))band-tailed pigeon, Belted kingfisher, ((Hairy woodpecker,)) Olive-sided flycatcher, Western meadowlark, Cassin's finch, Oregon Vesper Sparrow, Red-eyed Vireo, Purple Martin, Vaux's Swift, ((and)) Purple finch, Yellow-billed Cuckoo, Black-backed	((E-438))	E-331 King County should review federal and state candidate listings for information about candidate species that are under consideration for listing as an endangered or threatened species and found in King County. King County shall protect habitat for candidate species, as listed by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife or a federal agency. Information regarding candidate species should be used to inform King County's long-term wildlife conservation and planning efforts.
	Woodpecker, American three-toed woodpecker, Hairy woodpecker, Pileated woodpecker, and the following bird concentrations: i. Waterfowl Concentrations (Anatidae excluding Canada Geese in urban areas); and ii. Western Washington nonbreeding concentrations of plovers (Charadriidae), sandpipers; f. Mammals - American marten, ((mink, Columbian black-tailed deer,)) Wolverine, Fisher, Gray wolf,	((E-439)) <u>E-332</u>	King County shall review fish and wildlife surveys and assessments with local application to King County and consider additional habitat protections where warranted. Habitat protection should be accomplished through incentives, cooperative planning, education, habitat acquisition, habitat restoration, or other appropriate actions based on best available science.
	Cascade red fox, Douglas squirrel, Northern flying squirrel, Townsend's chipmunk, Hoary marmot, Roosevelt ((E))elk ((in their historic range)), mountain goat, Pika, Townsend's big-eared bat, roosting concentrations of Big-brown bat, Pallid bats, and Myotis bats, Killer whale (Orca), Gray whale, Dall's and Harbor porpoise, Harbor seal, Stellar sea lions, and concentrations of California sea lions; g. Amphibians - Red-legged frog, Larch Mountain salamander, Oregon spotted frog, and Western	((E-440)) <u>E-333</u>	King County should regularly review the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's list of Priority Species and other scientific information on species of local importance, and evaluate whether any species should be added to or deleted from the lists in $((p))Policies E-((435))328$ and $E-((437))330$. Any additions or deletions $((should))$ may be made through the annual update.
	toad; h. Reptiles - Western fence lizard <u>and Northwestern pond turtle;</u> i. Rare Plants - ((bristly sedge; Canadian St. John's-wort; clubmoss cassiope; Oregon goldenaster; toothed wood fern; Vancouver ground-cone; and white-top aster)) <u>Tall bugbane, Triangular-lobed</u>	((E-441)) <u>E-334</u>	Development proposals shall be assessed for the presence of King County Species of Local Importance. A comprehensive assessment should follow a standard procedure or guidelines and shall occur one time during the development review process.
	moonwort, Western moonwort, Stalked moonwort, Harvest brodiaea Alaska harebell, Few-flowered sedge, Long-styled sedge, Clubmoss mountain-heather, Golden paintbrush, Weak thistle, Spleenwort-leaved goldthread, Tree clubmoss, Spotted Joe-pye weed, Kamchatka fritillary, Swamp gentian, Oregon goldenweed, Large St. Johns'-wort, Pacific peavine, Water lobelia, Northern bog clubmoss, One-cone clubmoss, White meconella, Branched montia, Old field blue toadflax, Brewer's cliffbrake, Whitebark pine, Choriso's bog-orchid, Columbia white-topped aster, and Flat-leaved bladderwort; and j. ((High-quality ecological communities - Douglas-fir - Pacific Madrone / Salal; Douglas-fir - Western	((E-442)) <u>E-335</u>	King County should conserve and restore salmonid habitats by ensuring that land use and facility plans (transportation, water, sewer, electricity, gas) include riparian and stream habitat conservation measures developed by the ((c))County, ((cities,)) Indian tribes, cities, service providers, and state and federal agencies. Project review of development proposals within basins that contain hatcheries and other artificial propagation facilities that are managed to protect the abundance, productivity, genetic diversity, and spatial distribution of native salmon and provide harvest opportunities should consider significant adverse impacts to those facilities.
	Hemlock / Swordfern; Forested Sphagnum Bog PTN, Low Elevation Freshwater Wetland PTN, North Pacific Herbaceous Bald and Bluff, Red Alder Forest; Western Hemlock - (Western Redcedar) / Bog Labrador-tea / Sphagnum Spp.; Western Hemlock - (Western Redcedar) / Devil's-club / Swordfern; Western Hemlock - (Western Redcedar) / Sphagnum Spp.; Western Hemlock / Swordfern - Foamflower; Western Redcedar- Western Hemlock / Skunkcabbage; and Willow Spp. Shrubland [Provisional]).)) Other invertebrates - Blue gray taildropper, Hatch's click beetle, Beller's ground beetle, Pacific clubtail, Western bumblebee, Johnson's hairstreak, and Valley silverspot.	((E-499l)) <u>E-339</u>	King County should seek to support Water Resource Inventory Area salmon recovery plan goals of maintaining intact natural landscapes through: a. ((Retaining low density land use designations such as Agriculture, Forestry and Rural Area designations; b.)) Promoting Current Use Taxation and other incentives; ((c.)) b. Promoting stewardship programs including development and implementation of Forest

			Plans((;)) <u>and</u> Farm Plans((, and Rural Stewardship Plans)); <u>and</u> ((d. Promoting the use of Low Impact Development methods; and e.)) <u>c.</u> Acquiring property or conservation easements in areas of high ecological importance with unique or otherwise significant habitat values.
E-429)) <u>E-350</u>	King County should provide incentives for ((private)) landowners who are seeking to remove invasive plants and noxious weeds ((and replace them with native plants)), such as providing technical assistance or access to ((appropriate)) native or climate-smart plants.	((E-470	King County shall use current manuals and guidance from state and federal governmental agencies and departments to identify, delineate, and categorize wetlands and to establish mitigation requirements for wetlands.
E-471	King County will apply the current scientifically accepted methodology for wetland mitigation based on technical criteria and field indicators. Where appropriate, King County should rely on publications and recommendations from state and federal agencies to ensure King County-approved mitigation will be accepted by state and federal agencies with jurisdiction.	E-472)) <u>E-404</u>	King County shall ((communicate and coordinate with other jurisdictions and tribes to)) encourage the establishment of uniform countywide wetlands policies that provide protection of both regionally and locally highly((-))_rated wetlands.
((E-473)) <u>E-405</u>	King County(('s overall goal for the protection of wetlands is)) shall protect wetlands to achieve no net loss of wetland functions and values within each drainage basin. Acquisition, enhancement, regulations, and incentive programs shall be used ((independently or in combination with one another)) to protect and enhance wetland((s)) functions and values. Watershed management plans, including Water Resource	((E-474	Development adjacent to wetlands shall be sited such that wetland functions and values are protected, an adequate buffer around the wetlands is provided, and significant adverse impacts to wetlands are prevented.))
	Inventory Area plans, should be used to coordinate and inform priorities for acquisition, enhancement, regulations, and incentive programs within unincorporated King County to achieve the goal of no net loss of wetland functions and values within each drainage basin.	((E-476)) <u>E-406</u>	King County should identify upland areas of native vegetation that connect wetlands to upland habitats and that connect upland habitats to each other. The ((c))County should seek protection of these areas through acquisition, stewardship plans, and incentive programs such as the Public Benefit Rating System and the Transfer of Development Rights Program.
((E-477)) <u>E-407</u>	The unique hydrologic cycles, soil and water chemistries, and vegetation communities of bogs and fens shall be protected through the use of incentives, acquisition, best management practices, and implementation of the King County Surface Water Design Manual to control and/or treat stormwater within the wetland watershed.	((E-478)) <u>E-408</u>	Public access to wetlands for <u>traditional cultural</u> , scientific, <u>and</u> recreational((, and traditional cultural use is desirable, providing that)) <u>use should be encouraged, if:</u> <u>a.</u> ((p))Public access trails are carefully sited((,)); <u>b.</u> ((sensitive habitats and species are protected,)) <u>Protection is provided for critical areas;</u> and <u>c.</u> ((h))Hydrologic continuity is maintained.
((E-479)) <u>E-409</u>	Regulatory approaches for protecting wetland functions and values, including the application of wetland buffers and the siting of off-site compensatory mitigation, should consider intensity of surrounding land uses and basin conditions. King County shall continue to review and evaluate wetland research and implement changes in its wetland protection programs based on such information.	((E-480)) <u>E-410</u>	Enhancement or restoration of degraded wetlands may be allowed to ((maintain or)) improve wetland functions and values, provided that all wetland functions are evaluated in a wetland management plan, and adequate monitoring, code enforcement, and evaluation is provided and assured by responsible parties. The enhancement or ((R))restoration ((or enhancement)) must result in a net improvement to the functions and values of the wetland system. Within available resources, King County should provide technical assistance to small property owners as an incentive to encourage the enhancement or restoration ((or enhancement)) of degraded wetlands.
((E-481)) <u>E-411</u>	Provided all wetland functions are evaluated, impact avoidance and minimization sequencing is followed, affected ((significant)) functions are appropriately mitigated, and mitigation sites are adequately monitored, alterations to wetlands may be allowed to: a. Accomplish a public agency or utility development; b. Provide necessary crossings for utilities, stormwater tightlines and roads; or c. Allow constitutionally mandated "reasonable use" of the property.	((E-482)) <u>E-412</u>	A small Category IV wetland that is less than 2,500 square feet and that is not part of a wetland complex may be altered to move functions to another wetland as part of an approved mitigation plan that is consistent with E-((483))413 and E-((484))414.
((E-483)) <u>E-413</u>	Wetland impacts ((should)) shall be avoided if possible, and minimized in all cases. Applicants shall demonstrate that impacts are unavoidable due to circumstances outside of the applicant's control, and not for the profit or convenience of development. Where impacts cannot be avoided, they should be mitigated on site if the proposed mitigation is ((feasible)) practical, ecologically appropriate, and likely to continue providing equivalent or better biological functions in perpetuity. ((Where on-site mitigation is not possible)	((E-484))	<u>E-414</u> Mitigation projects should contribute to an existing wetland system or restore an area that was historically a wetland. Mitigation should only create new wetlands after site monitoring indicates that hydrologic conditions exist to support a new wetland. Mitigation sites should be strategically located to reduce habitat fragmentation or to restore and enhance area-specific functions within a watershed.
	or appropriate,)) When it is demonstrated that there are not enough opportunities available for on-site mitigation, King County may approve off-site mitigation.	((E-485)) <u>E-415</u>	Land used for wetland mitigation should be preserved in perpetuity. Monitoring and maintenance in conformance with King County standards should be provided or paid for by the project proponent until the success of the site is established. Long-term stewardship should occur at mitigation sites to ensure sites continue to provide desired functions and values.
((E-486)) <u>E-416</u>	King County, in partnership with other governmental entities and interested parties, should encourage the development and use of wetland mitigation banks through which functioning wetlands or aquatic areas are enhanced, restored, or created prior to the impacting of existing wetlands or aquatic areas. ((The county shall encourage establishment of such banks by established government entities as well as by private, entrepreneurial enterprises.	E-487)) <u>E-417</u>	King County should continue to implement and encourage use of its Mitigation Reserves Program to provide a fee-based option for permit applicants to mitigate for unavoidable impacts of permitted development on wetland and aquatic area functions and values. The fee structure shall be based on the full costs of land acquisition, site selection, design, construction, and long((=))term maintenance and monitoring. Mitigation projects implemented through the Mitigation Reserves Program should occur within a watershed context.

//E 400\\ E 410	Kan Carata da alda a ancianda ancianda a farancean acida da alba alba Michaela	E 410	When in a least a time in the state of the s
((E-488)) <u>E-418</u>	King County should be a regional service provider of compensatory mitigation through the Mitigation Reserves Program by working with local cities, other counties, and state agencies to establish partnerships for implementation of inter((=))jurisdictional in-lieu fee mitigation.	<u>E-419</u>	When implementing in-lieu fee mitigation projects, King County should consider the ecological context of the impacted wetland, and the impacted wetland's acreage, functions, and values. In-lieu fee mitigation should result in no net loss, and if possible, in an increase in overall wetland functions and values within the watershed in which the impacted site is located.
((E-489)) <u>E-420</u>	Wetland mitigation projects should avoid impacts to and prevent loss of farmable land within Agricultural Production Districts. Creation of wetland mitigation banks ((are)) shall not be allowed in the Agricultural Production Districts when the purpose is to compensate for wetland impacts from development outside the Agricultural Production Districts.	((E-498a)) <u>E-421</u>	The existing flood storage and conveyance functions and ecological values of floodplains, wetlands, and riparian ((corridors)) <u>areas</u> shall be protected, and should((, where possible,)) be <u>restored and</u> enhanced ((or restored)) <u>through integrated actions that provide multiple benefits, such as preservation of open space and adjacent low-density development.</u>
((E-499)) <u>E-422</u>	((Rivers and streams are inherently dangerous.)) King County should coordinate across ((τ)) \underline{C} ounty departments and with other agencies and organizations to promote public awareness of the dynamics and dangers of river and stream systems and the need for personal responsibility when living near or recreating in or on rivers and streams.	((E-499a)) <u>E-423</u>	When King County places large wood in rivers and streams for habitat restoration or enhancement, it should do so in a manner that minimizes danger to the public.
((E-499b))	<u>E-424</u> River and stream channels, stream outlets, headwater areas, riparian ((corridors)) <u>areas</u> , and areas where dynamic ecological processes are present should be preserved, protected, and enhanced for their hydraulic, hydrologic, ecologic, and aesthetic functions, including their functions in providing large wood to salmonid-bearing streams. ((<u>Management of</u>)) <u>Actions taken along</u> river and stream channels should	((E-499c)) <u>E-425</u>	To protect or improve adjacent wetlands and aquatic habitat, the designation of buffers for aquatic areas, including rivers and streams, should take into account watershed-scale actions to mitigate the impacts of upland development on flooding, erosion, and habitat.
	((consider other beneficial uses of these water bodies, including recreation)) provide multiple benefits, including resiliency to climate change. Flood risk reduction actions should benefit all communities, especially frontline communities, consistent with equity and racial and social justice goals and the policies of the King County Flood Management Plan or successor plans.	((E-499d)) <u>E-426</u>	King County shall continue to monitor and assess river and stream flows, water and sediment quality, physical habitats, and biotic resources in rivers and streams. Assessment shall identify trends and describe impacts on human health and safety, aquatic life, and wildlife habitat.
	or the raing doubly i look management i lands duscesser plane.	((E-499e)) <u>E-427</u>	To maintain and restore stream health, sources of uncontrolled stormwater flows contributing to peak flows in small streams should be managed using on-site structural or non-structural flow control techniques.
E-499f)) <u>E-428</u>	King County should improve the management of alluvial fans ((by developing and clarifying definitions of alluvial fans,)) through mapping the locations of existing alluvial fans and areas at risk of alluvial fan hazards, and through developing appropriate management strategies such as development standards and mitigation requirements. Strategies should: a. Address potential conflicts between adjacent landowners and natural alluvial fan hazards and processes; b. Take climate change into account;	((R-671)) <u>E-429</u>	King County should ((use pilot or demonstration projects and multi-agency collaboration to develop a new suite of practices that will)) provide regulatory options ((for landowners whose existing)) to protect existing residences and agricultural operations that are ((affected)) threatened by alluvial fan ((deposits)) hazards. These options should provide ((timely and cost-effective)) emergency relief from debris and ((the associated)) stream flow changes ((to the watercourse along with protection of intact)) and consider longterm multi-benefit solutions that protect and restore fish habitat ((and restoration of degraded fish habitat within these areas)).
	 <u>C.</u> ((p))Protect intact habitat ((and)); <u>d.</u> ((r))Restore degraded habitat((r)); and <u>e.</u> ((r))Reduce threats to public safety((, and accommodate)) for existing land uses. ((Findings from Alluvial Fan Management Pilot Projects should inform management strategies for alluvial fans.)) 	((E-499qq)) <u>E-43(</u>	King County shall implement a comprehensive local floodplain management program that, consistent with the King County Flood Management Plan or successor plans: protects lives((,7)); minimizes damage and disruption to infrastructure and critical facilities((,7)); preserves and restores natural floodplain functions((,7)); uses integrated approaches to provide multiple benefits; is resilient to climate change; supports floodplain management actions that benefit frontline communities; and ensures that new development does not put people in harm's way or cause adverse flooding impacts elsewhere((, consistent with the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan)).
((E-499qqq)) <u>E-4:</u>	King County shall continue to exceed the federal minimum standards stipulated by the National Flood Insurance Program for unincorporated areas to better protect public safety, reduce the risk of flood and channel migration hazards to existing public and private property, and prevent new at-risk development.	((E-499r)) <u>E-432</u>	King County's floodplain land use and floodplain management activities shall be carried out in accordance with policies, programs, and projects detailed in the King County Flood ((Hazard)) Management Plan or successor plans.
E-499ii)) <u>E-433</u>	King County should support((s)) the coexistence of beavers and people in rural King County. ((King County should prepare a beaver management strategy to guide a program on issues such as where and how beavers and humans can co-exist with or without engineered solutions and where beavers should be excluded or removed.	((E-499i)) <u>E-444</u>	King County should work with landowners, other jurisdictions, the state Department of Health, sewer districts, and the Puget Sound Partnership to proactively address failing septic systems with a priority in ((environmentally sensitive areas, including)) areas where on-site septic could pose an increased public health risk, critical areas and their buffers, and constrained shoreline environments.
((E-501	Grading and construction activities shall implement erosion control best management practices and other development controls as necessary to reduce sediment and pollution discharge from construction sites to minimal levels.	E-502)) <u>E-601</u>	Land uses ((permitted)) allowed in Erosion Hazard Areas shall minimize soil disturbance and should maximize retention and replacement of native vegetative cover.
((E-503)) <u>E-602</u>	Slopes with a grade of 40((%)) <u>percent</u> or more shall not be developed unless the risks and adverse impacts associated with such development can be reduced to a non-significant level. ((No-disturbance zones shall be designated where basin plans identify the need to prevent erosion damages in areas that are extremely	E-504	King County should protect native plant communities by encouraging management and control of nonnative invasive plants, including aquatic plants. Environmentally sound methods of vegetation control should be used to control noxious weeds.

Compared to protein product. Property scapped attermeter splitting may be a lowed within disagrated and intermeter and intermediate and protein protein and protein protein and protein protein and protein protein protein and protein prot				
Page County you have the been presented programmer, except from page to make the processor with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of such the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of such the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of such the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of such the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the page of the foreign control with \$1.00 are supplied to the page of the			E-506	
instructive general understanding of landhildes and their associated hazards. This may include making information analysis of a public excitate and providing outcomes and includence. (16-5596) 2-506. King County shall consider lands and related flooding hazards in the content of hazard countries. (16-5596) 2-507. King County shall consider lands and related flooding hazards in the content of hazard countries. (16-5596) 2-508. King County shall consider lands and related flooding hazards in the content of hazard countries. (16-5596) 2-509. King County shall consider lands and related flooding hazards in the content of hazard countries. (16-5596) 2-509. King County shall consider lands are shall be a content of the lands	E-507	King County's continued basin planning and stewardship programs, King County may adopt vegetation retention goals for specific drainage basins. These goals should be consistent with R-334, as applicable. The county should adopt incentives and regulations to attain these goals, and the county should monitor	((E-507a)) <u>E-603</u>	the ((map and)) inventory and map of known and potential landslide hazards ((areas in unincorporated King County that is based upon the best available information)) using best available science and current
Communication, operational physical data and energy responses. Communication, upperature in the first historic data and an operature in selection from the first historic data and an operature in additional physical and properties are incompared and properties are energeicy planning and moviement appropriate development standards. (E-54%) E-022 (Fing County shall require all development proposals potentially subject to coal principles to assess the risk to coalty residents t	E-507b)) <u>E-604</u>	to improve the general understanding of landslides and their associated hazards. This may include making information available on a public website and providing outreach and assistance to current and prospective	((E-508)) <u>E-605</u>	development are eliminated or minimized so that they are at a non-significant level. Development proposed in areas affected by landslide hazards shall be adequately reviewed and mitigated as needed to eliminate or minimize risk to the development as well as to ensure the development does not increase
### Stand Your with local governments to assess the risk to county relations and to implement appropriate development and programs and advantages. ### Stand County shall require all development proposals potentially subject to coal mine heareds to assess the mine-related heards, noticing risks to structure, improvement, occupant, and public health and safety. ### Stand County shall require all development proposals potentially subject to coal mine heared season to mine-related heards, noticing risks to structure, improvement, occupant, and public health and safety. ### Stand County shall require all findedness proposing new development in cost mine heared areas to discuss the proposal includes appropriate mittered to the proposal includes appropriate mittered to the proposal potential in the first cost in the based variety of the discussion of	((E-508a)) <u>E-606</u>		E-509	
Ministry	((E-510)) <u>E-607</u>	shall work with local governments to assess the risk to county residents from lahars and to implement	((E-511)) <u>E-608</u>	Mining, Reclamation, and Enforcement to return lands to their highest productive use by safely minimizing
CHAPTER 6 - SHORELINES Sing County should provide options for property-specific technical assistance and tailored applications of shoreline management regulations through flural Stewardship Plans for single family realdential sizes in the uphand areas of the fount, Conservancy and Nature flowering through the state of the Shoreline cooling is processed and flurations. CHAPTER 12 - IMPLEMENTATION (H-90+) 2-201 Ring County shall; a Monitor ((Inno-benchmark)), measure, usesses, and report on the progress of the (County-wide Planning Policies, and (Internation)) of the strategies	((E-512)) <u>E-609</u>		((E-513)) <u>E-610</u>	mitigation for identified, mine-related hazards using best available engineering practices and if the
King County should provide options for property specific technical assistance and tailored applications of storeine management regulations through Rural Stewardship Plans for single family residented uses in the upland areas of the Rural, Conservancy and Naturel Storeine Environments. Rural Stowardship Plans must be consistent with the goals of the Shareline Management Act and King County Shareline Protection and Restoration Plan, and ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological processes and functions. CHAPTER 12 - IMPLEMENTATION	((E-514	document the potential hazard on the title of the parcel or parcels being developed. This notice may		
shoreline management regulations through Rural Stewardship Plans for single family residential uses in the upland areas of the Rural, Conservancy and Natural Shoreline Environments. Rural Stewardship Plans must be consistent with the goals of the Shoreline Management Act and King County Shoreline Protection and Restoration Plan, and ensure normal toss of shoreline ecological processes and functions. CHAPTER 12-IMPLEMENTATION King County shall: a. Monitor (and benchmark), measure, assess, and report on the progress of the ((Countywide Planning Policies and King County)) Comprehensive Plan toward achieving ((their)) its objectives, inclusive of those relating to growth targets, the environment, development patterns, housing needs, the economy, transportation, ((and)) the provision of public services, and health and social equity outcomes of residents(si): b. Use results of such monitoring, measurement, assessment, and reporting to ((encourage)) identify implementation actions and inform policy revisions, as appropriate, to achieve the planning objectives found within the Growth Management Act, Countywide Planning Policies, and ((King County)) Comprehensive Plan(si)); and c. Incorporate data on critical areas and other constrained lands into analysis on developable capacity to accommodate growth targets and housing needs. King County shall develop, as a part of the buildable lands analysis, a zoning yield and housing production monitoring program to determine whether housing tapacity is being lost in the context of compliance with the Endingered Species Act, and shall propose revisions to the Countywide Planning Policies to implement such a program;)	CHAPTER 6 - SH	IORELINES		
((H-90+)) L201 King County shall: a. Monitor ((and benchmark)), measure, assess, and report on the progress of the ((Countywide Planning Policies and King County)) Comprehensive Plan toward achieving ((their)) its objectives, inclusive of those relating to growth targets, the environment, development patterns, housing needs, the economy, transportation, ((and)) the provision of public services, and health and social equity outcomes of residents(1): b. Use results of such monitoring, measurement, assessment, and reporting to ((encourage)) identify implementation actions and inform policy revisions, as appropriate, to achieve the planning objectives found within the Growth Management Act, Countywide Planning Policies, and ((King County)) Comprehensive Plan((1)); and c. Incorporate data on critical areas and housing needs. ((H-505) King County shall develop, as a part of the buildable lands analysis, a zoning yield and housing production monitoring program to determine whether housing capacity is being lost in the context of compliance with the Endangered Species Act, and shall propose revisions to the Countywide Planning Policies to implement such a program.)	S-607	shoreline management regulations through Rural Stewardship Plans for single family residential uses in the upland areas of the Rural, Conservancy and Natural Shoreline Environments. Rural Stewardship Plans must be consistent with the goals of the Shoreline Management Act and King County Shoreline Protection and		
a. Monitor (land benchmark)), measure, assess, and report on the progress of the ((Countywide Planning Policies and King County)) Comprehensive Plan toward achieving ((their)) its objectives, inclusive of those relating to growth targets, the environment, development patterns, housing needs, the economy, transportation, ((and!)) the provision of public services, and health and social equity outcomes of residents(r)); b. Use results of such monitoring measurement, assessment, and reporting to ((encourage)) identify implementation actions and inform policy revisions, as appropriate, to achieve the planning objectives found within the Growth Management Act, Countywide Planning Policies, and ((King County)) Comprehensive Plan((r)); and c. Incorporate data on critical areas and other constrained lands into analysis on developable capacity to accommodate growth targets and housing needs. ((H-505 King County shall develop, as a part of the buildable lands analysis, a zoning yield and housing production monitoring programs to determine whether housing capacity is being lost in the context of compliance with the Endangered Species Act, and shall propose revisions to the Countywide Planning Policies to implement such a program:))	CHAPTER 12 - I	MPLEMENTATION		
monitoring program to determine whether housing capacity is being lost in the context of compliance with the Endangered Species Act, and shall propose revisions to the Countywide Planning Policies to implement such a program.))		 a. Monitor ((and benchmark)), measure, assess, and report on the progress of the ((Countywide Planning Policies and King County)) Comprehensive Plan toward achieving ((their)) its objectives, inclusive of those relating to growth targets, the environment, development patterns, housing needs, the economy, transportation, ((and)) the provision of public services, and health and social equity outcomes of residents((:)); b. Use results of such monitoring, measurement, assessment, and reporting to ((encourage)) identify implementation actions and inform policy revisions, as appropriate, to achieve the planning objectives found within the Growth Management Act. Countywide Planning Policies, and ((King County)) Comprehensive Plan((:)); and c. Incorporate data on critical areas and other constrained lands into analysis on developable capacity to accommodate growth targets and housing needs. 	((E-708)) <u>I-202</u>	 <u>a. Evaluate the</u> effectiveness ((monitoring of critical areas)) of County policies, regulations, and programs in achieving no net loss of critical areas functions and values; and <u>b.</u> ((use monitoring data to i))Inform ((the)) future ((review and updates of its critical areas policies and
GLOSSARY	((1-505	monitoring program to determine whether housing capacity is being lost in the context of compliance with the Endangered Species Act, and shall propose revisions to the Countywide Planning Policies to implement		
	GLOSSARY			

Adaptive management

Adaptive management ((is a systematic approach for continually improving management policies and practices by learning from the outcomes of operational programs)) means modifying management actions based on ongoing monitoring and data analysis.

((Channel migration hazard area, moderate

A portion of the channel migration zone, as shown on King County's Channel Migration Zone maps, which lies between the severe channel migration hazard area and the outer boundaries of the channel migration zone.

Channel migration hazard area, severe

A portion of the channel migration zone, as shown on King County's Channel Migration Zone maps, which includes the present channel. The total width of the severe channel migration hazard area equals one hundred years times the average annual channel migration rate, plus the present channel width. The average annual channel migration rate as determined in the technical report is the basis for each Channel Migration Zone map.))

Channel Migration Zone

Channel migration zones are those areas along a river channel within which the channel(((s))) can be reasonably predicted, based on best available science, to migrate over time as a result of natural and normally occurring hydrological and related processes when considered with the characteristics of the river and its surroundings. In areas located in King County's shoreline jurisdiction, the channel migration zone includes areas shown on King County's Channel Migration zone maps, including both the severe channel migration hazard area and the moderate channel migration hazard area, and areas not shown on King County's Channel Migration Zone maps but located within the floodplain.

Critical Habitat

Critical habitat includes specific areas that possess physical or biological features, which are essential to the conservation of a listed species.

Buffer

A buffer, when referring to critical areas, is a designated area <u>adjacent and</u> contiguous to a ((steep slope or landslide hazard area intended to protect slope stability, attenuation of surface water flows and landslide hazards, or a designated area contiguous to and)) <u>critical area that is</u> intended to protect ((and be an integral part of an aquatic area or wetland)) <u>the functions and values of the critical area and reduce impacts from adjacent land uses</u>.

Critical Areas

((The Growth Management Act requires cities and counties to designate, where appropriate, critical areas which include:
1) wetlands, 2) areas with a critical recharging effect on aquifers used for potable water, 3) fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, 4) frequently flooded areas, and 5) geologically hazardous areas.)) Any area that is subject to natural hazards or a land feature that supports unique, fragile, or valuable natural resources including fish, wildlife, or other organisms or their habitats or such resources that carry, hold, or purify water in their natural state. "Critical area" includes the following areas:

- a. Critical aquifer recharge areas;
- b. Frequently flooded areas, regulated as Flood Hazard Areas;
 - 1. Floodplain;
 - 2. Special flood hazard area, as shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Maps;
 - 3. Zero-rise flood fringe;
 - 4. Zero-rise floodway;
 - 5. FEMA floodway; and
 - 6. Channel migration zones;
- c. Fish and wildlife habitat conversation areas:
 - 1. Aquatic areas;
 - 2. Riparian areas;
 - 3. Wildlife habitat conservation areas; and
 - 4. Wildlife habitat networks:
- d. Geologically hazardous areas:
 - 1. Alluvial fan hazard areas;
 - 2. Channel migration zones;
 - 3. Coal mine hazard areas;
 - 4. Erosion hazard areas:
 - 5. Landslide hazard areas;
 - 6. Seismic hazard areas;7. Steep slope hazard areas;
 - 8. Tsunami hazard area; and
 - 9. Volcanic hazard areas; and
- e. Wetlands.

Ecological Function

An ecological function refers to physical, chemical, and biological processes or attributes at some level of biological organization. For example, the ecological functions of wetlands include food chain support, water quality maintenance, flood storage, and wildlife habitat.

Ecoregions

Ecoregions are land areas that contain a geographically unique set of species, communities, and environmental conditions.

Ecosystem

Ecosystem means the complex of a community of organisms and its environment functioning as an ecological unit.

Endangered Species

Endangered species means any species which is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range and which has been designated as such in regulations issued by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the state of Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Endangered Species Act (((ESA)))

The Endangered Species Act is an act that was adopted by the U.S. Congress in 1973 to provide a means to conserve ecosystems upon which endangered and threatened species depend and to provide a program for the conservation for such endangered and threatened species.

((Endemic Species

Endemic species (or habitats or ecosystems) are those that are native to, and occur only in, a particular area or locale. Endemic species generally have very specific adaptive requirements that are linked to particular habitat or ecosystems that are rare (e.g., Townsend's big-eared bats and caves). Even small changes in the ecosystem are likely to cause localized extinctions of these species.))

Enhancement

For the purposes of critical area regulations, ((E))enhancement means ((to increase or)) an action that improves ((one or more of the functions, attributes, or values that an ecosystem or environmental feature possesses)) the processes,

((Environmentally Sensitive Areas

Environmentally sensitive areas include: coal mines; areas that are prone to erosion, floods, landslides, or seismic activity; steep slopes; volcanic hazard areas; and streams, wetlands and protection buffers.))

structures, and functions of ecosystems and habitats associated with critical areas or their buffers. (See Chapter 5((:)), Environment).

FEMA floodway

A FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) floodway is the channel of the stream ((and that portion of the adjoining floodplain that is necessary to contain and discharge the 100-year flood flow without increasing the base flood elevation more than one foot)) or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be reserved to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height at any point. The FEMA floodway is delineated on the Flood Insurance Rate Map, as well as on, but not limited to, King County Surface Water Design Manual major floodway studies.

((Floodway, zero-rise

Floodway zero-rise is the channel of a stream and that portion of the adjoining floodplain that is necessary to contain and discharge the base flood flow without any measurable increase in base flood elevation.

- A. For the purpose of this definition, measurable increase in base flood elevation means a calculated upward rise in the base flood elevation, equal to or greater than 0.01 foot, resulting from a comparison of existing conditions and changed conditions directly attributable to alterations of the topography or any other flow obstructions in the floodplain.

 Zero-rise floodway is broader than that of the FEMA floodway but always includes the FEMA floodway.
- B. Zero-rise floodway includes the entire floodplain unless a critical areas report demonstrates otherwise.

Landslide Hazard Areas

An area subject to severe risk of landslide, such as:

- ((A.)) <u>a.</u> An area with a combination of:
 - 1. Slopes steeper than fifteen percent of inclination;
 - 2. Impermeable soils, such as silt and clay, frequently interbedded with granular soils, such as sand and gravel; and
 - 3. Springs or ground water seepage;
- ((B.)) <u>b.</u> An area that has shown movement during the Holocene epoch, which is from ten thousand years ago to the present, or that is underlain by mass wastage debris from that epoch;
- ((C.)) <u>c.</u> Any area potentially unstable as a result of rapid stream incision, stream bank erosion or undercutting by wave action;
- ((D.)) <u>d.</u> An area that shows evidence of or is at risk from snow avalanches; or

((E:)) e. An area located on an alluvial fan, presently or potentially subject to inundation by debris flows or deposition of stream-transported sediments.

Priority habitat

Priority habitat means a habitat type with unique or significant value to one or more species. An area classified and mapped as priority habitat must have one or more of the following attributes:

- Comparatively high fish or wildlife density;
- · Comparatively high fish or wildlife species diversity;
- Fish spawning habitat;
- Important wildlife habitat;
- Important fish or wildlife seasonal range;
- Important fish or wildlife movement corridor;
- Rearing and foraging habitat;
- Important marine mammal haul-out;
- Refugia habitat;
- Limited availability;
- High vulnerability to habitat alteration;
- Unique or dependent species; or
- Shellfish bed.

A priority habitat may be described by a unique vegetation type or by a dominant plant species that is of primary importance to fish and wildlife (such as oak woodlands or eelgrass meadows). A priority habitat may also be described by a successional stage (such as old growth and mature forests). Alternatively, a priority habitat may consist of a specific habitat element (such as a consolidated marine/estuarine shoreline, talus slopes, caves, snags) of key value to fish and wildlife. A priority habitat may contain priority and/or nonpriority fish and wildlife. [Washington Administrative Code

((Flood Hazard Management Plan

The King County Flood Hazard Management Plan contains policies and program and project recommendations that guide floodplain use and development throughout King County.))

Floodplain

Floodplain is the total area subject to inundation by the 100-year flood.

Habitat Network

Habitat network is a system of fish and wildlife habitat ((where connections between)) that link large habitat blocks and open spaces with critical areas and their buffers, priority habitats, trails, parks, and other areas that ((are necessary for the support of)) provide for fish and wildlife through their life cycles and alleviate habitat fragmentation.

((Keystone Species

Keystone species are those that exert a significant effect on the structure of the biological community of which they are a part. These species often prevent dominance by a single species and thereby maintain diversity in the community.))

((Locally Significant Resource Areas (LSRAs)

LSRAs Locally Significant Resource Areas contribute to the aquatic resources within a specific basin, when compared to aquatic and terrestrial systems of similar size and structure elsewhere in the basin. They also provide wetland and stream habitat that is important for wildlife and salmonid diversity and abundance within the basin. (See Chapter 5: Environment)))

((Mitigation Bank

A mitigation bank is a property approved by the appropriate county, state and federal agencies for restoration, creation, or enhancement to compensate for adverse impacts to wetlands caused by the development of public agency facilities and utility facilities.

Mitigation Payment System

This impact fee system establishes a requirement that new growth and development pay a proportionate share of the cost of needed transportation improvements. The mitigation payment system is authorized by state growth management legislation. (See Chapter 8: Transportation)))

Priority Species

Priority species means species requiring protective measures and/or management guidelines to ensure their persistence at genetically viable population levels. Priority species are those that meet any of the criteria listed below.

- (((a))) <u>a.</u> Criterion 1. State-listed or state-proposed species. State-listed species are those native fish and wildlife species legally designated as endangered (Washington Administrative Code ((232-12-014)) 220-610-010), threatened (Washington Administrative Code ((232-12-011)) 220-200-100), or sensitive (Washington Administrative Code ((232-12-011)) 220-200-100). State-proposed species are those fish and wildlife species that will be reviewed by the ((d))Department of ((f))Eish and ((w))Wildlife (((POL-M-6001))) for possible listing as endangered, threatened, or sensitive according to the process and criteria defined in Washington Administrative Code ((232-12-297)) 220-610-110.
- (((b))) b. Criterion 2. Vulnerable aggregations. Vulnerable aggregations include those species or groups of animals susceptible to significant population declines, within a specific area or statewide, by virtue of their inclination to congregate. Examples include heron colonies, seabird concentrations, and marine mammal congregations.
- (((c))) c. Criterion 3. Species of recreational, commercial, and/or <u>Indian</u> tribal importance. Native and nonnative fish, shellfish, and wildlife species of recreational or commercial importance and recognized species used for tribal ceremonial and subsistence purposes that are vulnerable to habitat loss or degradation.
- (((d))) <u>d.</u> Criterion 4. Species listed under the federal Endangered Species Act as either proposed, threatened, or endangered.

173-26-020 (((24))]

Rare **Ecosystems**, **Habitats**, and **Species**

Rare <u>ecosystems</u>, <u>habitats</u>, <u>and</u> species((, <u>habitats</u>, <u>and ecosystems</u>)) are those that are few in number or are poorly represented in an area. Rare species often lack the capability to resist changes in environmental conditions or lack the resilience to recover after a change. Species, habitats, and ecosystems may be rare because of the following reasons: changing natural conditions have reduced their range, abundance, or distribution; they depend on specific environmental conditions that are not commonly represented in this area (species or habitats at the edge of their range or occurring as relicts); and anthropogenic (human-related) actions have caused habitat loss or severe decreases in range or abundance.

Riparian Area

((Pertains to the banks of rivers and streams, and also sometimes wetlands, tidewater and lakes.)) A designated area contiguous to an aquatic area such as a stream, lake, estuary, or marine waters, that provides fish and wildlife habitat, protects adjacent aquatic fish and wildlife species and habitat, and protects water quality. Riparian areas reduce impacts from adjacent land uses through various physical, chemical, or biological processes.

((Sensitive Areas Map Folio

The folio displays the location of environmentally sensitive areas in unincorporated King County. It identifies sensitive areas for wetlands, streams, flood hazards, erosion hazards, landslide hazards, seismic hazards, and coal mine hazards.))

Species of Local Importance

Species of local importance include priority species as identified by the state of Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife; bird species whose populations in King County are known to have declined significantly over the past 150 years; anadromous salmonids; and aquatic species whose populations are particularly vulnerable to changes in water quality and water quantity.

Wetland

The term wetland means those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. Wetlands do not include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from nonwetland sites, including, but not limited to, irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, landscape amenities, or those wetlands created after July 1, 1990 that were unintentionally created as a result of the construction of a road, street, or highway. Wetlands shall include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from nonwetland areas to mitigate conversion of wetlands.

Wetland Functions

((Functions refer to the ecological (physical, chemical and biological) workings or attributes of a wetland regardless of their importance to society. Food chain support or the transport and transformation of chemicals in ecosystems are examples of wetland functions. Water quality maintenance, flood storage, and wildlife habitat are examples of ecological functions to which society attributes a value.)) The physical, biological, chemical, and geologic interactions among different components of the environment that occur within a wetland. Wetlands perform functions that are grouped into three categories: functions that improve water quality, functions that change the water regime in a watershed such as flood storage, and functions that provide habitat for plants and animals. (See Chapter 5((:)), Environment)

((Regionally Significant Resource Area (RSRA)

RSRAs are those portions of watersheds that contribute to the resource base of the entire Puget Sound region by virtue of exceptional species and habitat diversity and abundance when compared to aquatic and terrestrial systems of similar size and structure elsewhere in the region. may also support rare, threatened or endangered species or communities. (See Chapter 5: Environment)))

Salmonid

A member of the fish family Salmonidae. In King County, salmonid species include, but are not limited to, Chinook, Coho, chum, sockeye, and pink salmon; cutthroat, <u>steelhead</u>, and rainbow((, and brown)) trout ((and steelhead)); Dolly Varden <u>char</u>, ((brook)) trout, <u>which is a type of char</u>; ((, char,)) kokanee: ((, and)) <u>pygmy</u> whitefish; and mountain whitefish.

Sensitive Species

Sensitive species means any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or significant portion of its range and which has been designated as such in regulations issued by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife.

((Unique Wetland

The term unique wetland refers to bogs and fens, which have unusual and sensitive water chemistries.))

((Wetland Banking

Wetland banking is a process that allows certain wetlands to be developed if the development is accompanied by the restoration or creation of wetlands in other areas. (See Chapter 5: Environment)))

Wetland Values

Values are estimates, usually subjective, of the worth, merit, quality, or importance of wetland attributes that are valuable and beneficial to society. Values vary by watershed or human community. Education, research, aesthetics, and recreation are examples of other wetland attributes that may be considered values in that they are beneficial to society.

2025 Comprehensive Plan Update & Critical Areas Regulations Update

Proposed Ordinance 2024-0408

King County Council Review and Adoption Schedule As of April 2, 2025 - subject to change

Date	Event
December 5, 2024	Executive Recommended Plan Transmitted
January 7, 2025	Referral to Local Services and Land Use (LSLU) Committee
	LSLU Committee - Executive Staff Briefing
February 19	- Executive Staff Brief Proposed Ordinance
9:30am Council Chambers	- Council staff review schedule and process
Council Chambers	Opportunity for Public Comment - Remote and In-Person
April 16	LSLU Committee - Briefing 1
9:30am	- Council staff brief analysis
Council Chambers	
April 23	Opportunity for Public Comment - Remote and In-Person Amendment requests for Striking Amendment due
End of day	Amendment requests for striking Amendment due
May 8	Substantive direction deadline for Striking Amendment
End of day	
June 17	Striking Amendment released
End of day	
	LSLU Committee - Briefing 2
June 18	- Council staff brief analysis - Follow up
9:30am	- Briefing on striking amendment
Council Chambers	On northwester South in Commont. Bornots and In Bornous
June 23	Opportunity for Public Comment - Remote and In-Person Line amendment direction due
End of day	Line amendment direction due
July 11	Public Line Amendments released
End of day	
j	Local Services and Land Use Committee
July 16	- Review and consideration of striking and line amendments
9:30am	- Vote on striking amendment, line amendments, and Committee
Council Chambers	recommendation
	Opportunity for Public Comment - Remote and In-Person
July 23	Council amendment concept deadline for SEPA and public hearing notice
End of day	Councilmember amendment requests for Striking Amendment due
August 20	Substantive direction deadline on Striking Amendment
End of day	9
September 19	Public Hearing Notice Issued
September 22	Striking Amendment distributed to Councilmembers
End of day	ŭ
September 29	Line amendment direction due
End of day	
October 17	Public amendments released
End of day	

Color key:

Gray: Executive actions
Blue: Public Hearing or Action dates

Date	Event
	Public Hearing and vote at full Council
October 21 1:30pm Council Chambers	 Consideration of amendments Vote on final adoption of proposed 2025 Comprehensive Plan Update
	Opportunity for Public Testimony - Remote and In-Person

For more information on the Council's Review of the 2025 Comprehensive Plan update, please visit the website: https://kingcounty.gov/en/dept/council/governance-leadership/county-council/useful-links/comprehensive-plan/2025.

Evaluation of different riparian area widths on number of intersecting parcels and changes to housing capacity within Urban Unincorporated King County.

March 26, 2025

PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW

The Executive has proposed updates to the Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO). Council Central Staff requested additional information and analysis of potential development impacts of proposed updates to riparian area widths in Urban Unincorporated King County (UUKC), including comparison of current widths for type Shoreline (S) and Fish Bearing (F) streams (115 feet), the Executive Proposal to increase riparian area widths for Type S and F streams in UUKC to 180 feet, and the 200 foot width generally indicated for riparian area widths in the updated BAS Review. (The 200-foot width is proposed outside the Urban Growth Area but is not proposed for UUKC).

Based on a meeting with Council Central Staff, Executive Staff committed to doing additional GIS analysis to determine the numbers of parcels in UUKC that would be newly impacted by the proposed increase in riparian area widths, and to break out the results by subarea planning geographies. A key caveat is that actual impacts on development capacity can only be determined through the permitting process at a property scale, considering zoning, the presence of other critical areas on the property, as well as code allowances for buffer averaging and provisions for Reasonable Use Exceptions that are required by law. Further, it's important to note that this analysis is using current, proposed, and BAS-indicated riparian area widths for Type S and F streams where the widest width is applied; Executive staff made this conservative assumption for the analysis because Types S and F are the most prevalent stream types. In actual application of the code, if streams were determined to be Type N or O during the critical areas review, smaller riparian area widths would apply. In other words, this analysis will tend to **overestimate** the number of parcels newly impacted.

RESULTS

Based on the GIS analysis, the following table includes the numbers and percentages of parcels that would be newly impacted by an increase in riparian areas widths from 115 feet to 180 feet, or to 200 feet:

Table 1. Number of Parcels in Urban Unincorporated KC Intersected by Existing, Proposed, and Best Available Science-Suggested Riparian Areas Widths

Community Service Area (CSA) or Potential Annexation Area (PAA)	Stream miles	115 ft riparian (Existing)	180 ft riparian (Proposed)	200 ft riparian (BAS suggested)	Additional # of parcels affected by increasing from 115 ft to 180 ft	Additional # of parcels affected by increasing from 115 ft to 200 ft	Additional # of parcels affected by increasing from 180 ft to 200 feet
Bear Creek/Sammamish Area CSA	7.2	74	150	186	76	112	36
East Federal Way PAA	16.3	880	1,197	1,314	317	434	117
East Renton PAA	8.1	386	521	558	135	172	37
Fairwood PAA	12.7	658	904	994	246	336	90
Greater Maple Valley/Cedar River CSA	0.1	7	9	12	2	5	3
North Highline PAA	4.8	188	244	266	56	78	22
Other Urban UKC PAAs	22.8	523	733	818	210	295	85
SE King County CSA	3.3	125	137	143	12	18	6
Skyway West Hill PAA	4.3	336	484	557	148	221	73
Snoqualmie Valley NE King County CSA	15.4	508	572	602	64	94	30
Grand Total	95.0	3.685	4.951	5.450	1.266	1.765	499

For reference, 1,266 parcels newly impacted by increasing the riparian area under the Executive Proposed riparian-area width in UUKC from 115 feet to 180 feet represents 3.4 percent of the 37,178 parcels in the area analyzed.

Additionally, Executive Staff committed to provide additional analysis of changes in riparian area widths on housing capacity and to put in context overall housing capacity and housing targets for the urban unincorporated area, consistent with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan land capacity analysis, to estimate the residential development capacity potentially affected by the previously specified riparian buffer widths.

Table 2. Potential Housing Unit Capacity in Urban Unincorporated KC Impacted by Current, Proposed, and Best Available Science-Suggested Riparian Area Widths

				Capacity potentially			
		Capacity potentially	Capacity potentially	affected by 200 ft	in housing units by	Potential decrease in housing units by	in housing units by
Community Service Area (CSA) or	Stream	affected by 115 ft riparian	affected by 180 ft riparian	riparian area (BAS		increasing riparian widths from 115ft to	
Potential Annexation Area (PAA)	miles	агеа	агеа	suggested)	180 ft	200 ft	200 feet
Bear Creek/Sammamish Area CSA	7.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
East Federal Way PAA	16.3	1,726	1,963	2,003	237	277	40
East Renton PAA	8.1	721	800	817	79	96	17
Fairwood PAA	12.7	704	887	894	183	190	7
Greater Maple Valley/Cedar River CSA	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Highline PAA	4.8	188	230	233	42	45	3
Other Urban UKC PAAs	22.8	708	845	903	137	195	58
SE King County CSA	3.3	53	53	53	0	0	0
Skyway West Hill PAA	4.3	781	939	950	158	169	11
Snoqualmie Valley NE King County CSA	15.4	45	46	46	1	1	Ö
Grand Total	95.0	4,926	5,763	5,899	837	973	136

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- 1. These are high-level, conservative estimates that likely overstate the impact to residential capacity.
- 2. The *existing* riparian area width for Type S and F streams of 115 feet impacts 3,685 parcels. Increasing the width to 180 feet (Executive Proposal) is conservatively estimated to newly impact an additional 1,266 parcels. Increasing to 200 feet would newly impact and estimated 1,765 parcels (difference of 499 from 180 feet). This analysis applies to a total of 37,178 parcels in the UUKC.
- 3. Varying the riparian area width has a relatively small estimated impact on residential capacity (see Table 2). The *existing* riparian width of 115 feet affects 4,926 units of residential capacity. Increasing to the 180-foot riparian area width could affect 837more units of residential capacity. Increasing to the 200-foot riparian area width could affect an additional 136 units of capacity.
- 4. Overall, the riparian area's estimated impact on UUKC residential capacity overall (regardless of riparian width) will not significantly affect King County's ability to accommodate planned growth in UUKC. The land capacity analysis from the 2024 Comprehensive Plan estimated approximately 29,000 units of residential capacity in UUKC, sufficient to accommodate the projected 5,412 units of housing growth 2019-2044.

OTHER FACTORS AFFECTING DEVELOPMENT

Being newly impacted by a riparian area width has the practical effect of triggering additional critical areas review for permits for new development, redevelopment, and remodels. Additionally, depending on how much of the property is constrained by the riparian area, it could limit the ability to achieve zoned density, though in some cases the presence of other critical areas (e.g. wetlands, frequently flooded areas, steep slopes, landslide hazard areas) may already effectively limit the potential to meet zoned density. It's not uncommon for development proposals to have more than one critical area and critical areas permit conditions applied to the permit. For the period from

2019 to 2021, 40 percent of development permits included a critical areas condition. Nine percent of permits had conditions related to both riparian areas and wetlands. Below is a table from Best Available Science (BAS) Report, p. 203, summarizing percentages of permit applications from 2019-2021 for which critical areas conditions were applied for all critical areas, riparian areas, and/or wetlands.

Table B.1 Critical Areas screening trends and housing development.

	Count	Percent
Processed Housing Permits (2019-2021)	933	100%
Any Critical Area Condition on Permit	370	40%
Riparian Area <u>or</u> Wetland Buffer Condition	270	29%
Wetland Buffer Condition	197	21%
Riparian Area Condition	158	17%
Riparian Area <u>and</u> Wetland	85	9%

ADDITIONAL INFORMTION ON METHODOLOGY

The first analysis evaluates how many parcels within UUKC are intersected by a 115ft, 180ft and 200 ft riparian buffer. "Intersected" means any part of a parcel touching any part of a riparian area; so, there will be a range of how much of a parcel's area intersects, from almost none, to an entire parcel. For ease of analysis, Executive Staff assumed all urban streams were Type F/S streams. This will slightly **overstate** the potential impact of number of parcels and housing capacity.

The second analysis uses the data from the first analysis to evaluate how development potential would be affected.

Both Analysis 1 and 2 focused on UUKC to be consistent with: housing targets, which only apply to the Urban Growth Area (UGA); allocated housing needs in the Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs), which only apply to the UGA; housing capacity analysis in the Comp Plan, which only applies to the UGA, and Growth Management Act, VISION, CPP, and Comp Plan requirements to focus development in the UGA.

Analysis 1: Number of parcels affected by riparian buffers

Process:

- 1. Generate stream buffers of 115', 180' and 200' using GIS data for <u>double banked major</u> <u>rivers</u> and the most current streams layer.
- 2. Select all parcels in UUKC where any portion of the parcel intersects the riparian buffers.
- 3. Generate tallies using subarea planning geographies (urban Potential Annexation Areas and the urban portions of applicable rural Community Service Areas). Other urban unincorporated areas not in a subarea planning geography were also included to address the remainder of UUKC. Two rural Community Service Area subarea planning geographies were not included in the capacity analysis because they do not have urban unincorporated areas: Four Creeks/Tiger Mountain, and Vashon/Maury Island.

Parameters: Excludes public parcels, golf courses, tracts, greenbelts, and Rights-of-Way consistent with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan land capacity analysis.

Analysis 2: Capacity Analysis

Process:

- 1. Obtain the parcel size and number of existing units on affected parcels (i.e. parcels intersecting a riparian area).
- 2. Overlay the affected parcels with current zoning.
- 3. Multiply each parcel's area by the base density for each zone. For each parcel, calculate potential number of units by rounding to the nearest whole number.
- 4. Compare the existing units to maximum units (under zoning). Where a non-vacant parcel has a maximum / existing >= 2, consider this parcel as "redevelopable." Subtract the number of existing units from the potential number of units to assign net capacity.
- 5. Sum the net capacity of affected vacant and redevelopable parcels by the same geographies in Analysis 1.

Sources of existing number of units and zoning allowance:

- Existing number of units: Assessor's extracts for residential, condo, and apartment buildings.
- The following base zoned densities were applied in non-residential zones:
 - o CB -48 units/ac
 - I 0 units/ac
 - o NB 8 units/ac
 - o UR 1 unit / 5 acres
- Mobile Home (Present Use): 1 unit
- Mobile Home Park (Present Use): zero capacity

Caveats:

- Parcels are considered redevelopable regardless of improvement value. Some vacant parcels may have low development viability due to other constraints, e.g. presence of steep slopes, wetlands, etc.
- Excludes parcels in current use taxation, consistent with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan land capacity analysis.