



**Mental Illness and Drug Dependency (MIDD)
Oversight Committee (OC)
October 22, 2015
11:45 a.m.-12:15 p.m. networking lunch
12:15 p.m. – 1:45 p.m.
King County Chinook Building Rooms 121 & 123
Meeting Notes**

Members:

Dave Asher, Johanna Bender, Merrill Cousin, Susan Craighead, Claudia D'Allegri, Jennifer DeYoung, designee for Patty Hayes, Lea Ennis, Brigitte Folz, designee for Darcy Jaffe, Ashley Fontaine, Jaime Garcia, designee for Norman Johnson, Pat Godfrey, Shirley Havenga, Mike Heinisch, Betsy Jones, designee for Rhonda Berry, Leesa Manion, designee for Dan Satterberg, Ann McGettigan, Barb Miner, Katelyn Morgaine, designee for Nancy Dow, Alex O'Reilly, designee for Lynne Robinson, Adrienne Quinn, Jeff Sakuma, designee for Jeanette Blankenship, Wendy Soo Hoo, designee for Dave Upthegrove, Chelene Whiteaker, Lorinda Youngcourt

Other Attendees:

Steve Andryszewski, Bryan Baird, Kelli Carroll, Kimberly Cisson, Jaime Fajardo, Andrea LaFazia-Geraghty, Miranda Leskinen, Anne Meegan, Geoff Miller, Peggy Papsdorf, Kapena Pflum, Rose Quinby, Jorene Reiber, Kathleen Southwick, Laurie Sylla, Mary Taylor, Chris Verschuyt, Jim Vollendroff

1. Welcome and Introductions

Co-Chair Bender called the meeting to order, welcoming the committee; introductions were made by each person in attendance.

Co-Chair Bender provided the following reminders:

- King County is currently in the open call period for MIDD New Concept Papers as part of the MIDD renewal process. The deadline is Friday, October 30, 2015.
- A Community Conversations meeting is scheduled tonight in Bellevue at 7 p.m.
- Due to the holiday schedule, the next MIDD meeting is Thursday, December 10 from 12:15 p.m. to 2 p.m.

2. Approval of the August 27 and September 24, 2015 Minutes, Co-Chair Bender ~

Minutes were approved by consensus, no revisions.

3. Co-Chairs Report

- The Role of the OC
 - The OC is an advisory body to the Executive and Council
 - Responsible for ongoing oversight of the MIDD strategies, programs, and goals outlined in ord. 15949
 - Provides review and written recommendations to the Executive and the Council on the implementation and effectiveness of the MIDD (*done through our formal annual reports and via other formats, such as letters*)
 - Provide annual reports as required
 - Review and comment on emerging and evolving priorities

- Serve as a forum to promote coordination and collaboration
- Educate policymakers and stakeholders
- Coordinate with other related groups and efforts

The Ordinance also specifies the composition of the MIDD OC (lines 119-154). This is a body comprised of representatives from other systems, entities, and voices. Most members do not represent themselves, but groups and/or broader organizations.

- MIDD Oversight Committee Operating Rules

Ordinance 16077 required the OC to adopt operating rules. A subcommittee of the OC developed the initial rules in 2010; the rules were modified by the OC in August of 2011.

The operating rules echo Ordinance 16077 and outline the responsibilities of the OC, the decision making process, and role of committee members, among other matters.

To clarify a particular aspect of OC rules regarding requests for action (voting) on a matter that is not on an agenda, the OC follows Washington Open Public Meetings Act (OPMA) guidelines by making the agenda available several days prior to any meeting. This is done for public transparency and also so that members who represent other entities, groups, or organizations can consult with their membership regarding matters on the agenda calling for a vote. It is for these reasons the OC does not take action on items that have not been placed on an agenda for action.

Please note: as part of MIDD review and renewal activities, **the purpose, role, and composition of the MIDD OC will be reviewed, confirmed or proposed to be modified.**

Kelli shared that all voices are heard with or without a vote. There are robust discussions at meetings that are recorded in the minutes. It is important to recognize voices are heard irrespective of the voting process. When members speak, they are heard, and this is reflected in the minutes and with subsequent action.

To remind members, Co-Chair Bender called out the following from the operating rules:

- Section E, number four reads:
“Members will focus their comments on the specific agenda item under discussion, and respect the time allocated to each agenda item,” and
- Section F, number one reads:
“Agendas for each meeting will be published a minimum of three days in advance.”

OMPA rules supersede governing County documents.

Andrea LaFazia-Geraghty stated the operating rules have been circulated annually to members, without revisions, since 2011.

4. **Investments for Children & Youth Presentation, Sheila Capestany, DCHS ~**

Ms. Capestany spoke to the following points:

Community conversations have been very specific around programs and services that the community would like to see implemented. Ms. Capestany has been meeting with lots of folks through community conversations, focus groups, and many stakeholder meetings. She has partnered with the Equity and Social Justice office, also doing community outreach. Next steps will be to take all the

information collected, identify themes that come through, and, if the Best Starts for Kids (BSK) levy passes, the County will work to form an appointed advisory board.

The BSK stretches from ages 0-24. Fifty percent of the investment will go to the 0-5 age group; 35% will go to the 5-24 age group; 10% to communities for opportunities that will wrap the community around kids as they grow; and, 5% will go toward evaluation to show outcomes.

5. MIDD Financial and Budget Update

- Mid-biennial budget update
Kapena Pflum, Budget Manager, Office of Performance, Strategy and Budget (PSB), reported a \$2.3 million supplemental budget was transmitted to the Council on October 12, 2015. Council plans to vote on all stand-alone budgets on December 14, 2015.
- Letter from the Executive
PSB staff worked with DCHS on a better process that is more standard and predictable. What was proposed was to tie together the deliberations about MIDD expenditures/requests to those same proposals and also the forecasts that come before that and thinks the County has a great process here to be more regular and predictable.

Comments were made expressing concerns about this protocol and how it doesn't include some groups, such as Criminal Justice, and to think of a way to include the third branch, giving it a voice to be fair.

Kelli Carroll clarified that the process was not only communicated in minutes, but the co-chairs outlined these items in detail in a letter to the Budget Office, the Executive, and the Council. MIDD members were copied on this letter, outlining the process, concerns, and alternative suggestions put forward. There was intentional communication to be transparent.

Adrienne Quinn added that out of the \$2.3 million that went into the supplemental budget, \$1.3 million was specifically for Criminal Justice.

- Financial Plan
Steve Andryszewski, Chief Financial Officer, Department of Community and Human Services, briefed the group on the current financial plan.

The first column consists of actual numbers for the 2013/2014 biennium. The second column (Adopted Budget) is the budget that was adopted last November by Council. The third column (Current Budget) is a new column (created as standard work around the County), which is the adopted budget with the addition of any supplemental appropriations fully authorized by the Council. The difference between the Adopted and Current budget columns will be an excess of \$9 million. The fourth column reflects where we were as of August 31, 2015. The fifth column represents the estimated budget that equals the current budget/spending for the biennium. Currently, the MIDD budget is projected to have a \$1.8 million undesignated fund balance.

This latest financial plan can be found here:

<http://www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/MHSA/MIDDPlan/MIDDCommittees/ArchivedMinutesandMeetingMaterials.aspx>

6. Engagement and Outreach Update

- Community Meetings update

Kelli Carroll highlighted the following discussion points:

- The first community conversation was well attended; the next meeting is tonight in Bellevue. Another community conversation meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, October 28 in Shoreline, with more meetings on the way. On deck: a meeting north of I-90, and one south of I-90 are in the developmental stages now.
- Two weeks ago, the first focus group was held with sexual assault and domestic violence survivor service organizations with about 25 people in attendance that included county staff. Great feedback was received that will be shared with the OC at a later date.
- Had a wonderful meeting with Council staff, personal and policy staff and received great ideas to have sub-regional focus group conversations, particularly with NE and SE King County areas. Kelli is working with Council offices and Sheila Capestany to gather feedback.
- Real Change, plans are underway to conduct a focus group with this group in November.
- Kelli thanked the OC for providing excellent contacts. She also gave a shout out to Mike Heinisch who has connected us with south King County.
- Lastly, invitations were sent out to all providers for a provider only focus group on November 5, at 3:30 p.m. in advance of the Legislative Forum at Town Hall in Seattle.

Jim Vollandroff reminded members the Legislative Forum is Thursday, November 5, at Seattle's Town Hall 1119 8th Avenue (at Seneca St.), from 6:30 p.m. to 9 p.m. All members were encouraged to attend and participate.

- Survey update

To date, there have been 49 responses to the survey. The survey will remain open for additional responses through the holidays.

7. Public Comment

No comments.

ADJOURNED at 1:30 p.m.

Next Meeting: December 10, 2015

King County Chinook Building, Rooms 121 & 123

401 5th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104

11:45 a.m.– 12:15 p.m. ~ Networking Lunch

12:15 p.m.– 1:45 p.m. ~ Meeting