

Prepared by Asha Venkataraman

SCAG 4.9.26 Meeting Minutes

When: 3:30-5 p.m. on April 9, 2026

Place: Chinook Building, Conference Room 115; Teams meeting

Board members present: Heather Smith, Andrew Haas, Erin Reinhart, Matt Corsi, Scott McClellan, David Selander

Guests: Asha Venkataraman, Katie Rogers, Lynda Petersen, Ann Gorman, Alex Altshuler, Chris Lampkin

Agenda:

1. Introductions

- Members and guests introduced themselves and their role in the CCC project
- No one expressed an interest in public comment

2. Plan for the meeting

- Reviewed agenda
- Discussed OPMA compliance

3. Review of draft charter proposal

- Asha Venkataraman walked through the draft charter document. Members and guests provided feedback on the following topics:
 - o Size and details of the fund including:
 - Clarity regarding the amount of funds under the purview of the group.
 - What is/is not included in the fund established by legislation? How will that be tracked separate from the funding King County is spending to open up the facility?
 - One-time vs. ongoing funds
 - o Definition of the term “concurrence”
 - o Additions to charter to fully reflect Open Public Meetings Act requirements.
 - o Scope of group decision-making authority, mechanisms to achieve consensus
 - o Potential to include anti-retaliation language
 - o Specificity in conflict-of-interest provisions

Topics raised requiring further discussion:

- Potential for advisory committee to continue on an ongoing basis past one year after opening of the CCC. Committee members urged that there needs to be community advisory board that continues after one year of operation focused on public safety around the facility.
- Desire for more information and transparency regarding entry and exit protocols and discharge planning for clients once the center is open and concern that if there is not strong plan for discharge afterwards, that people across King County could concentrate issues in Capitol and First Hill, which could be cause for concern for the community and clients.
- Plans to stabilize the environment and neighborhood and address the current public safety conditions in the neighborhood, associated open-air drug markets, gun violence, property crime, and disorder.
- How the Good Neighbor Agreement will be a tool for accountability for City of Seattle, King County, and the operator. Accountability means that it is a binding commitment with quantitative performance metrics, reporting requirements, and adaptive management responses for the various foreseeable public safety and disorder issues that may arise.
- Request for more information on a potential residential treatment facility at the Broadway site.
- Desire for coordination between the Seattle Police Department and King County Sheriff's Office to cover public safety issues associated with the facility.

4. Project updates

- Asha Venkataraman provided updates on each of the conditions in the Seattle letter of support
 - o Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) analysis: King County in the process of finalizing a contract with a consultant to conduct the analysis; will be meeting with the Seattle Police Department to talk about how to partner later this month.
 - o DCHS and Connections Health Solutions continue to conduct community engagement in the neighborhood.
 - o Good Neighbor Agreement/Policy – King County is working to get to agreement on roles and responsibilities first internally, then in collaboration with the City of Seattle. Then a process will begin engaging community members to get feedback. The Good Neighbor

Agreement should include clear roles and responsibilities for King County, City of Seattle, and any care providers operating at the facility.

- Launch planning: will likely begin later this year or early 2027.

5. Close out and next steps

- Asha Venkataraman will send a doodle to schedule monthly meetings and provide more information on member trainings and certifications