## JOINT NOTICES OF FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT AND NOTICES OF INTENT TO REQUEST RELEASE OF FUNDS 1/29/2025

King County Dept. of Community and Human Services Housing and Community Development Division 401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone Number (206) 263-9105

1/29/2025

These notices shall satisfy two separate but related procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the King County Department of Community and Human Services (DCHS), Housing and Community Development Division (HCDD).

## **REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS**

On or about 2/14/2025, King County DCHS/ HCDD, having completed an environmental review of the project described below, will submit a request to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the release of HOME funds under Title II of the National Affordable Housing Act (NAHA) of 1990 as amended AND for the release of funds under HOME-ARP Section 3205 of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (P.L. 117-2) ("ARP") AND for the release of funds under Section 8 of the Housing Act of 1937, as amended Project Based Vouchers which will be provided by HUD to the King County Housing Authority (KCHA) to undertake a project known as Burien Family Supportive Housing being proposed by Mercy Housing for the purpose of providing housing to low- and very low-income households. The total of HUD funding is approximately \$3,683,736. The overall total cost of the project at 12845 Ambaum Blvd SW, Burien, WA 98146 is \$58,048,463.

The proposed project will construct 90 units of affordable housing, and Mary's place will construct a group-home facility on a 4.23-acre parcel. The site is currently developed with a 2-story office building / group shelter and paved parking. The existing building will be demolished. Ground disturbance will occur as part of grading, utility installation, and building construction. Notifications under Section 106 and erosion control plans to prevent damage from ground disturbance have been developed. The project sponsor owns the property and operates programs from the current building. Lot lines will be reconfigured for ease of administration between the affordable housing and family shelter facilities.

## FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Environmental Review Record (ERR) documents the environmental review determinations for the proposed project described above. King County has determined a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Review Record (ERR). The ERR will be made available to the public electronically. The King County ERR can be accessed online at the following website: <a href="https://kingcounty.gov/en/dept/dchs/human-social-services/housing-homeless-services/funding-opportunities/environmental-review">https://kingcounty.gov/en/dept/dchs/human-social-services/housing-homeless-services/funding-opportunities/environmental-review</a>. Scroll to the bottom of page and open "2024 Environmental Assessments" menu to view ERR for this project. The Review Record may also be viewed as an attachment to these Notices below.

## **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the ERR to King County Community Development Project/Program Manager David Mecklenburg via email at <u>Community.Development@kingcounty.gov</u>. All comments received by 5:00 PM PDT February 13, 2025 will be considered by HCD prior to authorizing submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION**

HCDD certifies to HUD that Sunaree Marshall in her capacity as Director - Housing, Homelessness and Community Development Division, consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal Courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows King County use Program funds.

## **OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS**

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the King County's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases: (a) the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of King County; (b) King County has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58; (c) the grant recipient or other participants in the development process have committed funds, incurred costs or undertaken activities not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or (d) another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality. Objections must be prepared and submitted in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to: HUD Region X Community Planning and Development (CPD) office: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 909 First Avenue, Suite 310, Seattle, WA 98104 OR SEACPDRROF@hud.gov regarding HOME funds; AND the HUD Seattle Office of Public Housing at U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 909 First Ave., Suite 320 Seattle, WA 98104-1000 Seattlepublichousing@hud.gov regarding Project Based Vouchers. Potential objectors should contact HUD via email to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

*Sunaree Marshall – Acting Director —* King County Housing, Homelessness and Community Development Division



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

## Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

## **Project Information**

Project Name: Burien-Family-Housing

HEROS Number: 900000010402587

Project Location: 12845 Ambaum Blvd SW, Burien, WA 98146

## Additional Location Information:

The project will be located at 12845 Ambaum Blvd., in Burien, King County Washington. Figure 1 shows the site location, and Figure 2 shows the current site configuration. Photos of the current site conditions are attached, and Figure 3 shows the future site layout at completion of this project. The location is along a busy arterial street, heading upgrade from Ambaum. The Crest of the Hill is a hundred yards or so west from the site. The neighborhood west of the site is residential.

#### Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

For the Burien Family Housing Project, Mercy Housing will construct 90 units of affordable housing, and Mary's place will construct a group-home facility on a 4.23-acre parcel. The site is currently developed with a 2-story office building / group shelter and paved parking. The existing building will be demolished. See the attached Project Design Plan (Figure 3) for a depiction of the buildings. Ground disturbance will occur as part of grading, utility installation, and building construction. Notifications under Section 106 and erosion control plans to prevent damage from ground disturbance have been developed. The project sponsor owns the property and operates programs from the current building. Lot lines will be reconfigured for ease of administration between the affordable housing and family shelter facilities. King County Housing and Community Development Division is providing \$2,727,567 in HOME Funding and King County Housing Authority is assisting with finance of the project with 34 project based vouchers. The annual value of KCHA's contribution is \$956,160 (or \$28,122 per unit).

#### **Funding Information**

Grant Number	HUD Program	Program Name	
14.871	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program	\$956,160.00
M-21-DP-530200	Community Planning and	HOME American Rescue Plan	\$400,519.00
	Development (CPD)	(HOME-ARP)	
M-21/22/23-DC-	Community Planning and	HOME Program	\$2,327,057.00
53-0200	Development (CPD)		

#### Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$3,683,736.00

#### Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$58,048,463.00

#### Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition
Permits, reviews, and approvals	Federal Permits: No federal construction or
	operating permits are anticipated to be required
	for this project. State Permits: A construction
	stormwater permit will be required for this project.
	Local Permits: City of Burien Construction permits
	and certificates of occupancy will be required, as
	will utility connection permits and right-of-way
	construction permits for new driveway alignments
	and sidewalks.

#### **Project Mitigation Plan**

No necessity for mitigation was identified during the NEPA environmental assessment.

#### **Determination:**

X	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment			
	Finding of Significant Impact			
Preparer	Signature:	David Mecklenburg	Date: _	11/6/2024

Name / Title/ Organization:	David Mecklenburg / / KING COUNTY	
Certifying Officer Signature: _	Kristin Pula Kristin Pula (Nov 6, 2024 13:19 PST)	Date: 11/06/2024

Kristin Pula (Nov 6, 2024 13:19 PST) Certifying Officer Signature: \_

Name/ Title: Kristin Pula /Capital Programs Manager

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the activity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

# Burien-FSH-EA-SignaturePage-KP

Final Audit Report

2024-11-06

Created:	2024-11-06
Ву:	Dave Mecklenburg (dave.mecklenburg@kingcounty.gov)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAn9tHCDjIQ3wGDflQBPZ9iwDwDWJLBZk0

## "Burien-FSH-EA-SignaturePage-KP" History

- Document created by Dave Mecklenburg (dave.mecklenburg@kingcounty.gov) 2024-11-06 - 8:07:02 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Kristin Pula (kpula@kingcounty.gov) for signature 2024-11-06 8:07:12 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Kristin Pula (kpula@kingcounty.gov) 2024-11-06 - 9:19:01 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by Kristin Pula (kpula@kingcounty.gov) Signature Date: 2024-11-06 - 9:19:41 PM GMT - Time Source: server
- Agreement completed. 2024-11-06 - 9:19:41 PM GMT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

## Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

## Project Information

Project Name: Burien-Family-Housing

HEROS Number: 90000010402587

Responsible Entity (RE): KING COUNTY, 401 Fifth Avenue SEATTLE WA, 98104

**RE Preparer:** David Mecklenburg

State / Local Identifier:

Certifying Officer: Sunaree Marshall

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity): King County Housing

Authority Point of Contact: Kathleen Arledge

Consultant (if applicable): PBS Engineering and Environmental

Point of Contact: Susan Garland

Project Location: 12845 Ambaum Blvd SW, Burien, WA 98146

#### **Additional Location Information:**

The project will be located at 12845 Ambaum Blvd., in Burien, King County Washington. Figure 1 shows the site location, and Figure 2 shows the current site configuration. Photos of the current site conditions are attached, and Figure 3 shows the future site layout at completion of this project. The location is along a busy arterial street, heading upgrade from Ambaum. The Crest of the Hill is a hundred yards or so west from the site. The neighborhood west of the site is residential.

## Direct Comments to:

## Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

For the Burien Family Housing Project, Mercy Housing will construct 90 units of affordable housing, and Mary's place will construct a group-home facility on a 4.23-acre parcel. The site is currently developed with a 2-story office building / group shelter and paved parking. The existing building will be demolished. See the attached Project Design Plan (Figure 3) for a depiction of the buildings. Ground disturbance will occur as part of grading, utility installation, and building construction. Notifications under Section 106 and erosion control plans to prevent damage from ground disturbance have been developed. The project sponsor owns the property and operates programs from the current building. Lot lines will be reconfigured for ease of administration between the affordable housing and family shelter facilities. King County Housing and Community Development Division is providing \$2,727,567 in HOME Funding and King County Housing Authority is assisting with finance of the project with 34 project based vouchers. The annual value of KCHA's contribution is \$956,160 (or \$28,122 per unit).

## Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Affordable housing and emergency shelters are in critically short supply in King County. This project will provide permanent housing to low-income families and individuals. The project will also construct a new and upgraded supportive family shelter facility. Supportive services are typically difficult to access; tying them in with housing helps to ensure connection between the families in need and the services they may be able to access.

## Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

Affordable housing and emergency shelters are in critically short supply in King County. This project will provide permanent housing to low-income families and individuals. The project will also construct a new and upgraded supportive family shelter facility. Supportive services are typically difficult to access; tying them in with housing helps to ensure connection between the families in need and the services they may be able to access. The U.S. Federal Housing Finance Agency reports that home purchase prices in King County have doubled in the last 10 years1. Median rents have risen by 50 percent. The King County Comprehensive Plan outlines the County's commitment to creating and preserving affordable housing for lower-income households. The plan specifies that lower-income housing will ideally be located by frequent transit, public services, and near places of employment. This project meets all of those goals. Far fewer new housing and community services projects have been constructed than needed to keep pace with the increase in population, with the result that lower-income residents are becoming displaced or unhoused as the cost of housing increases in King County. In the absence of this project, mixed use development by private developers is likely to continue. Private development typically

constructs market rate or luxury housing options as these projects typically have greater profit margins. 1. Federal Reserve Bank of Saint Louis. 2022. All Transactions House Price Index for King County, Washington.

https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/ATNHPIUS53033A 2. Department of Numbers,

2022. King County Washington Residential Rent and Rental Statistics.

https://www.deptofnumbers.com/rent/washington/king-county/

## Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

<u>Figure 3.pdf</u> <u>Figure 2.pdf</u> <u>Figure 1 Vicinity.pdf</u> <u>Photos.pdf</u>

## Determination:

✓	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

## Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

## Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
14.871	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program	\$956,160.00
M-21-DP-530200	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	HOME American Rescue Plan (HOME-ARP)	\$400,519.00
M-21/22/23-DC-53- 0200	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	HOME Program	\$2,327,057.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount: \$3,683,736.00

## Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$58,048,463.00 (5)]:

## Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

<b>Compliance Factors</b> : Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATIO	DNS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	□ Yes ☑ No	The nearest airport to the project site is SeaTac International Airport, which is located approximately 3 miles (15,840 feet) from the project site. There are no military airports within 15,000 feet or civilian airports within 2,500 feet. Figure 4 shows the location of the project with respect to airports in the area.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	□ Yes ☑ No	The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area, nor in a 500 Year/FFMRS Flood Plain. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORE	DERS, AND REGULATIO	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes 🗹 No	Burien is located in a former air quality maintenance area, the Seattle-Tacoma nonattainment air quality maintenance area for ozone and carbon monoxide. This area reached full attainment in 2016. Figure 6 shows the current and former air quality maintenance areas around Burien. The jpeg image is a

r		
		screen shot from NEPA Assist that
		provides concurrence. Other Sources
		include: air quality main page
		https://ecology.wa.gov/regulations-
		permits/plans-policies/areas-meeting-
		and-not-meeting-air-standards non-
		attainment and maintenance map:
		https://ecology.wa.gov/regulations-
		permits/plans-policies/state-
		implementation-plans/maintenance-
		sips The project is in compliance with
		the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	Per HUD Region X Environmental
Coastal Zone Management Act,		Website: Sept. 23, 2024: As of July 22,
sections 307(c) & (d)		2020, Washington State Department of
		Ecology notified HUD of the following:
		"Ecology has concluded that it is
		unnecessary for U.S. Department of
		Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
		to continue to send project information
		in order to receive Ecology's
		concurrence that the funding phase of
		the project is consistent with
		Washington's CZMP. Therefore, we are
		writing to inform you that HUD no
		longer needs to require applicants to
		send Ecology letters seeking our
		concurrence on projects for which HUD
		plans to release federal funding."
		Inclusion of the following statement in
		the Environmental Review Record is
		strongly encouraged to ensure projects
		are aware that CZM may still apply at
		the time of local and/or national
		permitting. "Concurrence from Dept. of
		Ecology for Coastal Zone Management
		is no longer required under a Part 58 or
		Part 50 Environmental Review in
		Washington State. However, at the time
		of project development, the activity
		may trigger review if it falls under other
		parts of the CZMA regulations for
		federal agency activities (Title 15 CFR
		Part 930, subpart C), or consistency for
		activities requiring a federal license or
		permit (Title 15 CFR Part 930, Subpart

		D) and will be subject to all enforceable
		policies of the Coastal Zone
		Management Program. It is during the
		local permitting process that a project
		might be subject to CZM and further
		review by the Dept of Ecology."
Contamination and Toxic	□ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Substances		follows: ASTM Phase I ESA, ASTM Phase
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		Il ESA. On-site or nearby toxic,
		hazardous, or radioactive substances
		that could affect the health and safety
		of project occupants or conflict with the
		intended use of the property were not
		found. Radon testing indicated radon
		levels below 4.0 pCi/L. The project is in
		compliance with contamination and
		toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	The United State Fish and Wildlife
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		Service (USFWS) issued an IPaC letter
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		for the project on June 15, 2024. The
402		following special-status species were
		listed as potentially occurring near the
		project location. * North American
		Wolverine * Marbled Murrelet *
		Yellow-billed Cuckoo * Northwestern
		Pond Turtle * Bull Trout * Monarch
		Butterfly USFWS Species: No suitable
		habitat of any of these species is located
		on or near the project area. Habitat
		requirements are summarized here and
		provided in detail in the attached
		species profiles. * North American
		Wolverines are a carnivorous species
		that requires large territories for
		hunting, and winter denning sites that
		are located beneath the snow pack. The
		project site is located in a densely
		developed location in the greater
		Seattle area. No wolverines are found
		on or near the project site's location. *
		The marbled murrelet is a seabird that
		relies on nesting sites near the ocean in
		mature and old growth conifer forests
		to breed. This project will repair and
		remodel an existing apartment complex
		within the developed town of Langley.

No old growth or mature stands of
conifers are located near the project
site and no such locations will be
impacted by the repairs planned for the
existing apartment complex. No
murrelets are likely to be found on or
near the project site. * The yellow-
billed cuckoo has not been known to
breed in Washington State since 1940,
and WDFW describes it as a "very rare
migrant". Only four sightings in Western
Washington were recorded between
1974 and 2016. WDFW reports that the
species' preferred habitat is forested
riparian corridors with willow and
cottonwood. The project is not located
near or in such a habitat, and so is
unlikely to have any effect on yellow-
billed cuckoos. * Critical Habitat for
the bull trout has been designated in
Puget Sound, which is located east and
north of the project site. Stormwater
from this project will discharge to a
municipal stormwater pond system.
Best management practices will be used
during construction to ensure that no
sediment has the potential to enter
stormwater discharge. No impacts to
bull trout or their habitat are
anticipated. * The monarch butterfly is
a migratory species that relies on
certain host plant species (milkweed,
Asclepias spp.) for food in the caterpillar
stage. In Washington, almost all
monarchs migrate to the east side of
the State for summer breeding. Very
few reportedly are found west of the
Cascade Mountains. As the project
involves rehabilitation of a western
Washington property with minimal
existing native plants, there is no
suitable habitat at the project area for
monarch butterflies. * The
Northwestern pond turtle is a recently
proposed threatened species. In
Washington State there are only six

		non-captive populations: two naturally
		occurring populations in the Columbia
		Gorge, and four reintroduced
		populations (USFWS, 2023). None of the
		reintroduced populations are located in
		King County. New reintroduction sites
		are required to be located more than
		one-quarter mile from roadways.
		Treated stormwater from this project
		will eventually be discharged into two
		infiltration ponds in Burien. These are
		both adjacent to busy roadways. There
		are no current populations of
		Northwestern pond turtles in the area,
		and this site is ineligible for
		-
		reintroduction of a turtle population in
		the future. No impacts to Northwestern
		pond turtles will occur from this project.
		NOAA Fisheries Species King County
		notified NOAA fisheries of the project
		on June 17, 2024 .Upon review of the
		Action Form Submitted, NMFS replied
		""[] as treatment has been included
		before discharging to the municipal
		system, we consider all requirements of
		the parent programmatic to be satisfied,
		and no additional conservation
		recommendations are offered."
		Details for the response may be found
		in: WAHUDBurienSH Project
		NMFSWCRO-2020-00512-7457.pdf
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	□ Yes ☑ No	One location with potential above-
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part		ground storage tanks was identified
51 Subpart C		within one mile of the project site. The
		Salmon Creek Sewage Treatment plant
		is located approximately 2500 feet
		northwest. Because the above-ground
		tanks at this location hold water in
		various stages of treatment, it does not
		pose an explosives hazard to the project
		site. See the attached map and photos.
		The Separation Distance from the
		project is acceptable. The project is in
		compliance with explosive and
		flammable hazard requirements.

	1	
Farmlands Protection	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	The project site is within a developed
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		urban location in Burien. Farmland
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		subject to FPPA requirements does not
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		have to be currently used for cropland.
		USDA/NRCS regulations contained at 7
		CFR Part 658.2 define "committed to
		urban development" as land with a
		density of 30 structures per 40-acre
		area; lands identified as "urbanized
		area" (UA) on the Census Bureau Map
		which is the dataset for the NEPA Assist
		map included as attachment. TigerWEB
		map added for concurrence. The
		project is in compliance with the
		Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is located in Zone X
Executive Order 11988, particularly		outside of any 500 year floodplains per
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		FEMA, and is therefore not in a FFRMS
		floodplain. The attached figure shows
		the location of the project site with
		respect to mapped flood hazard areas.
		The project is in compliance with HUD
		floodplain guidelines. The project is in
		compliance with Executive Orders
		11988 and 13690.
Historic Preservation	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation there
National Historic Preservation Act of		are No Historic Properties Affected
1966, particularly sections 106 and		because there are no historic properties
110; 36 CFR Part 800		present. As mentioned above, the SHPO
110, 50 CFR Part 800		has designated the building as non-
		historic and the project will not affect
		historic resources. Tribal consultation
		was in concurrence with SHPO
		Determination. The project is in
	□ Yes ☑ No	compliance with Section 106.
Noise Abatement and Control		The U.S. Department of Transportation
Noise Control Act of 1972, as		models noise levels from roadways,
amended by the Quiet Communities		railroads, and airports on its National
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		Transportation Noise Map. The attached
В		figure shows this data. The HUD DNL
		Calculator for total noise is at 63 dB. The
		project site is in compliance with HUD
		noise guidelines. The project is in
		compliance with HUD's Noise
		regulation.

Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149 Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	□ Yes ☑ No	The attached figure shows the location of the project with respect to sole source aquifers. The nearest sole source aquifer is located approximately 5 miles to the west, and across from Puget Sound. This project will have no impacts on sole source aquifers. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The project will not impact on- or off- site wetlands. No wetlands are located in or near the project's location. The attached figure shows wetlands mapped in the area by the national wetland inventory. No indications of wetlands were identified during previous Phase I site visits. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	□ Yes ☑ No	No nominated or designated wild and scenic rivers are located near the project site. The nearest Wild and Scenic river reach is the Middle Fork Snoqualmie River, which is located more than 30 miles east of the project site. Figure 12 shows the location of the project site with respect to Wild and Scenic Rivers. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.
HUD HO		ITAL STANDARDS
	ENVIRONMENTAL J	USTICE
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	□ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified during this NEPA review. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EJScreen for this vicinity indicates that there are environmental justice populations in the neighborhood. 68% of the population are persons of color, versus 33% statewide and 40% nationally. Households with limited English make up 11% of the community, versus 4% state wide and 5% nationally. Low income households make up 27% of the local population vs 23% statewide, and 30% nationally. The

Project assists low-moderate income
households by increasing affordable
housing and is not placing low-
moderate income people in an at-risk
environment. Because no adverse
environmental impacts were identified
in the project's total environmental
review, the project is in compliance with
Executive Order 12898.

## Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 & 1508.27]

**Impact Codes**: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation

(4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation	
Assessment Factor	Code			
	LAND DEVELOPMENT			
Assessment Factor Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	2 2	LAND DEVELOPMENTKing County adopted its currentComprehensive Plan in 2020. Chapter 4 ofthe Comprehensive Plan lays out the goalsand policies of King County with regard toHousing and Human Services. Policy H-102applies directly to the proposed project:H-102 King County shall work withjurisdictions, the private sector, state andfederal governments, other public fundersof housing, other public agencies such asthe Housing Authorities, regional agenciessuch as the Puget Sound Regional Council,intermediary housing organizations, andthe non-profit sector, to encourage a widerange of housing and to reduce barriers tothe development and preservation of a		
		wide range of housing, at an appropriate size and scale, that: a. Provides housing		
		choices for people of all income levels, particularly in areas with existing or planned high-capacity and frequent public transportation access where it is safe and		

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		convenient to walk, bicycle, and take public	
		transportation to work and other key	
		destinations such as educational facilities,	
		shopping and health care; b. Meets the	
		needs of a diverse population, especially	
		families and individuals who have very-low	
		to moderate incomes, older adults, people	
		of color, children and vulnerable adults	
		(including victims and survivors of domestic	
		violence, human trafficking, and	
		commercial sexual exploitation), people	
		with developmental disabilities, people	
		with behavioral, physical, cognitive and/or functional disabilities, and people who are	
		experiencing homelessness; c. Supports	
		economic growth; d. Supports King	
		County's Equity and Social Justice Initiative	
		and Health and Human Services	
		Transformation Plan goals, for an equitable	
		and rational distribution of low-income and	
		high-quality affordable housing, including	
		mixed-income housing, throughout the	
		county. The proposed project complies	
		with the current King County	
		Comprehensive Plan for low-income	
		housing development as summarized above	
		and in the subsequent specific goals and	
		policies listed in the Comprehensive Plan.	
		Private housing development is ongoing in	
		the area for both single-family and	
		multifamily projects, but the majority of	
		these focus on the upper price end of the	
		housing market. The proposed project will meet the King County goal of facilitating a	
		range of housing options to accommodate	
		all income levels of its residents, and to	
		ensure equity in provision of services.	
Soil Suitability /	2	Soils on the project site are mapped as	
Slope/ Erosion /	-	Urban Land-Alderwood complex, 5 to 12	
Drainage and Storm		percent slopes. This soil type is made up of	
Water Runoff		very gravelly sandy loam, which is well	
		drained and has no construction limitations	
		listed. The project site is located on a	
		moderate slope, the building locations are	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
	=	relatively flat, and erosion is not anticipated to be significant. Best management practices during construction and stormwater management plus vegetated landscape features during operation will ensure that erosion does not impact the soil stability. See the attached Technical Information Report for a detailed analysis of project drainage and stormwater analysis. All stormwater falling on impervious surfaces will be managed for both volume and quality, no adverse impacts are anticipated. The project will also improve co-mingled stormwater quality from up-slope properties by installing Contech Stormwater Filters in the municipal line on 130th Street. Per the site plan, there will be no encroachment by the project upon the east-slope facing Ambaum	
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site- Generated Noise	1	Blvd. No new hazards or nuisances such as noise are anticipated from the proposed project. Operations will be multi-family residential in nature. The existing building on the site was constructed in the 1950s and is 70 years old. It will be replaced by a new structure that meets modern seismic, fire, and energy codes. Any potential hazardous building materials, such as lead paint, asbestos-containing building materials, PCB-light ballasts, or lead-containing water pipes will be removed and properly handled and disposed. This will result in a safer housing facility than the one currently on site.	
		SOCIOECONOMIC	
Employment and Income Patterns	2	Short term employment associated with the project will include architectural, engineering, planning, permitting and other development professionals. Construction of the project will create short term jobs for graders, builders, trades, deliveries, and materials suppliers. Long-term employment directly associated with the	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		project site will increase slightly as a result of the proposed project. Following construction, the building will provide onsite employment for family shelter staff, residential property management, and property maintenance staff. Indirect employment and income patterns will also likely improve as a result of the project. This affordable housing will be located in a mixed commercial use area and adjacent to frequent public transportation. This will provide opportunities for the residents to	
		affordably commute to where they work or	
		to find employment close to their homes.	
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	The project is not anticipated to have an adverse impact on the demographic character of the neighborhood as the property is in an existing mixed-use neighborhood. The proposed development will provide affordable housing to low- income people. No residents will be permanently displaced as a result of the project as the project site property is vacant. Although the current building containing a multi-person shelter will be demolished, a new family shelter facility is proposed for construction as well as 90 units of affordable housing. A central goal of this project is to prevent the displacement of lower-income populations from urban King County as regional housing costs continue to rise.	
Environmental Justice EA Factor	2	The neighborhood around the project site has low income populations, people of color, limited English households, and those with less than high school educations at much higher rates than the state averages. No adverse impacts were identified during the environmental assessment. Because there were no adverse impacts and several likely positive impacts, there is no disproportionate adverse impact on environmental justice populations.	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	2	The project site is part of the Highline School district. Several Catholic schools are also located within a mile of the project. Hazel Valley Elementary School is located approximately one quarter mile to the east. Cascade Middle School and Evergreen Highschool are located less than one mile to the north, and school bus service is available. Bilingual and biliterate programs are offered, as well as an online academy. While the number of students in these schools is likely to slightly increase as the number of family shelter and long-term housing residents with children will rise from the project, this increase will be relatively small. There are approximately 17,500 students served by this school district. The vicinity within approximately two miles of the project site also offers a large variety of houses of worship, a public library, a performing arts center, and a community center.	
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	2	No commercial facilities are included in the proposed project. Supermarkets, services, laundromats, automotive businesses, and restaurants are located throughout the area on commercial street such as Ambaum Blvd	
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	1	The project will include a new family shelter facility as well as long-term affordable housing units, which will assist families struggling with housing. The project does not include health care facilities on site. Burien is well served for health care and social services. The nearest hospital is St. Anne's, located approximately 2.5 miles southeast of the project site. Ambulance service is available. There are also four urgent care facilities in Burien, as well as many private doctor's offices. The Navos Health and Wellness center is located just a few blocks south of the project site and offers assistance for mental illness, addiction, and behavioral health.	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		HealthPoint and the White Center Community Development Association are nearing completion of the White Center HUB located a mile north of the facility which will also be able to serve a manifold of health care and social service needs for low-moderate income families. The Family shelter planned on site will help connect residents with social services and housing placement. In addition, the City of Burien human services office has multi-lingual	
		support available to connect residents with	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	support services that they need. Garbage collection and recycling will be provided at the redeveloped site. Waste Management Northwest will provide these services. No unusual types or volume of waste will be generated by construction or operations of the proposed project. All construction wastes generated during the building process will be handled and disposed properly according to Washington Department of Ecology and OSHA regulations.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The project site is on a previously developed parcel within an existing urban neighborhood. Following construction, only ordinary domestic sanitary wastes are anticipated to be generated on the property. The project will utilize the Burien municipal sanitary and stormwater systems following construction. No impacts from the project to the capacity of these systems is anticipated.	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The site is served by municipal water provided by Burien public utilities. The Water is sourced from Seattle Public Utilities, which treats it for public distribution. This project is not anticipated to impact the capacity of the water system, which currently serves approximately 1,200,000 households. Water-efficient landscaping and appliances will be incorporated into the project design.	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		-
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	2	No increased burden on public safety is anticipated as a result of this residential project. Public safety services are available nearby and response times are anticipated to be good when services are needed The nearest police station is located approximately 1.5 miles south, and the nearest fire station is just one mile to the south. 911 emergency services are available to dispatch first responders in the event of	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	a safety, fire, or health emergency. Parks, open spaces, and recreation opportunities are available close to the project site and accessible easily from nearby transit. The Salmon Creek Ravine Park is a very large natural area that offers trails and is located just two blocks from the project site. Jacob Ambaum park, located a few blocks north of the site, is developed with playgrounds and picnic areas. Several sports playing fields are located within a mile of the project. Seahurst Park and Beaches are within a half mile to the west of the site. Burien offers recreation programs for arts, sports, wellness, and afterschool and has activities geared to children, teens, adults, and seniors.	
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	2	Transportation in the project area is administered by King County and is primarily provided by bus. The H express bus line stops on Ambaum Road near the project site and provides frequent service (15 minute intervals) to downtown Seattle to the north and the Burien Transit Center to the south. Connections to bus and light rail lines throughout the region can be made from the Burien Transit Center or Seattle. Dial-A-Ride Transit offers services on defined routes that include wheelchair life and can pick up and drop off passengers at requested locations within specific distances of these routes. <b>NATURAL FEATURES</b>	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	•	U
Unique Natural	2	There are no unique natural features or	
Features /Water		water resources to be impacted by the	
Resources		proposed redevelopment activity. There are	
		no sole source aquifers located in this part	
		of King County. The property is within a	
		largely developed mixed-use area.	
Vegetation / Wildlife	2	Currently, the majority of the site is	
(Introduction,		vegetated by lawn grasses, with mature	
Modification,		trees at the north end of the property. Tree	
Removal, Disruption,		species include douglas fir, maple, and	
etc.)		alder, with an understory of Himalayan	
,		blackberry. Small wildlife species such as	
		squirrels, coyotes, racoons, and songbirds	
		are likely to use the area. No special-status	
		animal or plant species are known to occur	
		on the property. As shown on the attached	
		Project Design Plan, some trees at the	
		north end of the property will be removed	
		to construct the new family shelter	
		building. Additional trees will be planted to	
		ensure the benefits to stormwater and	
		canopy cover. No significant impacts to	
		vegetation or wildlife are anticipated from	
		this project.	
Other Factors 1	2	No additional factors pertinent to this	
		Environmental Assessment have been	
		identified.	
Other Factors 2			
	T	CLIMATE AND ENERGY	ſ
Climate Change	2	This project will construct affordable and	
		emergency housing closely near public	
		transportation, education, and job	
		opportunities. While there will be short	
		term energy use to construct the facility, it	
		is designed to be energy efficient during	
		operation, does not expand the urban	
		footprint, and will not generate significant	
		new vehicle trips. No measurable impacts	
		to climate change are anticipated from this	
		project.	
Energy Efficiency	2	The proposed buildings are designed to	
		meet the 2018 Washington State Energy	
		code, which provides modern standards for	
		insulation and efficient for building	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		operations. Appliances and lighting	
		throughout the building will be energy-	
		efficient. When constructed, the project	
		will have an increased energy use	
		compared to the current operations, as the	
		number of residents will increase. This use	
		is unlikely to have an impact on the local or	
		regional energy supply.	

## Supporting documentation

EJScreen Community Report(1).pdf

## Additional Studies Performed:

AHBL. 2022. Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, Mary's Place, Burien.
AHBL. 2024. Technical Information Report. Mercy Housing and Mary's Place. February.
Aspect Consulting. 2018a. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Former Lakeside
Milan Recovery Center. March 27. Aspect Consulting. 2018b. Phase II Environmental
Site Assessment, 12845 Ambaum Avenue, Burien, WA. April 10. GeoEngineers. 2022.
Geotechnical Engineering Services. Mary's Place Burien. July 14. PBS. 2022. Phase I
Environmental Site Assessment, Mary's Place Burien. May.

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

## Photos.pdf

## List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

1. King County, 2020. 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan, Updated July 24, 2020. https://kingcounty.gov/depts/executive/performance-strategy-budget/regionalplanning/king-county-comprehensive-plan/CurrentAdoptedPlan.aspx 2. Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2022. Web Soil Survey, Urban Land-Alderwood Complex. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx 3. State Building Code Council, 2018. 2018 Washington State Energy Code (effective February 1, 2021) https://www.sbcc.wa.gov/state-codes-regulations-guidelines/state-buildingcode/energy-code 4. King County Water District 20, 2022. 2022 Annual Water Quality Report.

https://www.kcwd20.com/\_files/ugd/1787a6\_ac7d996ee0e744d08d3b8997b2d8148 0.pdf 5. King County Public Health Division of Emergency Services,2020. Overview of the MedicOne EMS System. https://kingcounty.gov/depts/health/emergencymedical-services/~/media/depts/ health/emergency-medical-

services/documents/EMSAdvisoryTaskForceOverview.ashx 6. King County Transit Metro System. 2022 System Map. https://kingcounty.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=3e239c9048 604de8a1c73b72679bc82e

## List of Permits Obtained:

Federal Permits: No federal construction or operating permits are anticipated to be required for this project. State Permits: A construction stormwater permit will be required for this project. Local Permits: City of Burien Construction permits and certificates of occupancy will be required, as will utility connection permits and right-of-way construction permits for new driveway alignments and sidewalks.

## Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Since Mary's Place acquired the property in 2018 and began operating the existing shelter, they have conducted quarterly outreach with the community to be good neighbors and keep them apprised of their plans for the shelter and larger site. In mid-2021, Mary's Place informed members of the community of their intentions to seek an affordable housing development partner to construct new affordable housing on a portion of the property. Since Mercy Housing Northwest was selected as a development partner in late 2021, the two organizations have conducted joint outreach with members of the community. To date, two neighborhood meetings were held in 2022, with another in late 2023 and additional meetings planned throughout the pre-development phase of the project to keep neighbors aware of the project scope and timeline. Additionally, understanding the importance of meaningful and inclusionary community participation in the development process, Mercy Housing and Mary's Place created a special Burien Family Design Focus Group, comprised of neighbors, staff with lived experience from the Mary's Place Burien shelter, service providers and community stakeholders to help ensure that the project's design and programs meet a real need in the community. The Design Focus Group is structured to ensure that a wide variety of perspectives are represented, including people of color, people with lived experience, and people who serve those with disabilities so that their input can be implemented in the design and programming of the development. This group continues to meet at various points in the predevelopment and development process to provide reactions and feedback to the project design to ensure that as the design progresses the development team takes diverse insights under consideration. To date, this group has met three times, with 4 subsequent meetings anticipated prior to the completion of construction. We have also held a Community Open House that was publicized in the well-read B-town Blog and through our Design Focus Group (representing multiple communities and groups in Burien) members, where the community could see and learn about project

plans and talk to the developers and architects. Feedback received and being considered by the design team includes having safe outdoor spaces for children to play, public art, community gardens/landscaping, and a community room for resident programming. This Environmental Assessment and proposed finding of No Significant Impact will be publicized by posting a public notice in the Seattle Time newspaper. The public will have a minimum of 15 days for review and comment on the EA prior to submittal to HUD. Any substantive comments would be addressed, as appropriate, in revised final documents. Following submittal to HUD and request for release of funds, HUD will administer a 15-day public comment period. Any substantive comments received would be addressed as determined by HUD.

## Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

Private residential development is ongoing in Burien and the City has re-zoned several areas to allow for additional housing within its geographical constraints. The addition of this and other residential developments near and in the City will have a beneficial economic and socio-economic impact for populations in the area, as affordable housing near transit and available jobs is in short supply. The existing geographical constraints prevent sprawl and limit any impacts to nearby natural resources. No negative cumulative impacts associated with this project were identified during the NEPA analysis.

## Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

No other locations were considered for this project. The project was selected for this location to help address the lack of high-quality, affordable, family-sized housing in the City of Burien; the site is near the RapidRide H bus line and close to numerous local amenities in a well-established neighborhood. Further, the project, in its proximity to the adjacent shelter which will be developed by Mary's Place, will allow for a pathway to stability for families in shelter that are exiting homelessness. In 2018, Mary's Place purchased the property and converted the existing building to a shelter facility to serve up to 70 families or roughly 200 guests on any given night. Mercy Housing Northwest and Mary's Place are expanding our long-term partnership to redevelop the property into a master planned campus providing for a range of housing options for families and their children. The cost-savings for this established location ruled out acquisition and development of similar properties.

## No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

If the project is not constructed, the project site property will likely remain in its current group housing use for the next several years. No long-term affordable housing units would be constructed and the group shelter facility would remain in an older, less energy efficient building that was not originally designed for this purpose. Any hazardous building materials present in the current building would likely remain.

## Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

This project will redevelop the site of an existing group home to provide long-term affordable housing and a new family shelter facility that has improved safety, efficiency, and will be better able to provide supportive services. No adverse environmental or socioeconomic impacts are anticipated from the project. It conforms to local land use plans, helps to meet City and County housing goals, and is easily accessible to transit, parks, schools, and employment. New stormwater management facilities will be constructed as part of the project, and their long-term maintenance requirements will be recorded with the county as part of the property deed. No Significant Impacts were identified by this NEPA Environmental Assessment.

## Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments	Mitigation	Complete
Authority,		on	Plan	
or Factor		Completed		
		Measures		

## Project Mitigation Plan

No necessity for mitigation was identified during the NEPA environmental assessment.

## Supporting documentation on completed measures

## **APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities**

## **Airport Hazards**

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

**1.** To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

The nearest airport to the project site is SeaTac International Airport, which is located approximately 3 miles (15,840 feet) from the project site. There are no military airports within 15,000 feet or civilian airports within 2,500 feet. Figure 4 shows the location of the project with respect to airports in the area.

## Supporting documentation

## Figure 4.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- Yes
- ✓ No

## **Coastal Barrier Resources**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

# This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

## **Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

## Supporting documentation

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

## **Flood Insurance**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

# 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or</u> <u>acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property</u>?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

## 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

## Figure 5.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

## Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMAdesignated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area, nor in a 500 Year/FFMRS Flood Plain. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

## Supporting documentation

FIRM-53033C0955G.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

## Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

# **1.** Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

- ✓ Yes
  - No

## Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

# 2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

 ✓ No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

Burien is located in a former air quality maintenance area, the Seattle-Tacoma nonattainment air quality maintenance area for ozone and carbon monoxide. This area reached full attainment in 2016. Figure 6 shows the current and former air quality maintenance areas around Burien. The jpeg image is a screen shot from NEPA Assist that provides concurrence. Other Sources include: air quality main page https://ecology.wa.gov/regulations-permits/plans-policies/areas-meeting-and-not-

meeting-air-standards non-attainment and maintenance map:

https://ecology.wa.gov/regulations-permits/plans-policies/state-implementationplans/maintenance-sips The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

## Supporting documentation

<u>NEPA-Assist-BFSH-Attainment.jpg</u> <u>Figure 6.pdf</u>

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

## **Coastal Zone Management Act**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

# 1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

Per HUD Region X Environmental Website: Sept. 23, 2024: As of July 22, 2020, Washington State Department of Ecology notified HUD of the following: "Ecology has concluded that it is unnecessary for U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to continue to send project information in order to receive Ecology's concurrence that the funding phase of the project is consistent with Washington's CZMP. Therefore, we are writing to inform you that HUD no longer needs to require applicants to send Ecology letters seeking our concurrence on projects for which HUD plans to release federal funding." Inclusion of the following statement in the Environmental Review Record is strongly encouraged to ensure projects are aware that CZM may still apply at the time of local and/or national permitting. "Concurrence from Dept. of Ecology for Coastal Zone Management is no longer required under a Part 58 or Part 50 Environmental Review in Washington State. However, at the time of project development, the activity may trigger review if it falls under other parts of the CZMA regulations for federal agency activities (Title 15 CFR Part 930, subpart C), or consistency for activities requiring a federal license or permit (Title 15 CFR Part 930, Subpart D) and will be subject to all enforceable policies

of the Coastal Zone Management Program. It is during the local permitting process that a project might be subject to CZM and further review by the Dept of Ecology."

## Supporting documentation

Figure 7.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

## **Contamination and Toxic Substances**

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulations	
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR	
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		58.5(i)(2)	
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		24 CFR 50.3(i)	
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,			
where a hazard could affect the health and safety			
of the occupants or conflict with the intended			
utilization of the property.			
Reference			
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/site-contamination			

- 1. How was site contamination evaluated?\* Select all that apply.
  - ✓ ASTM Phase I ESA
  - ✓ ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening.

None of the above

\* HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site. For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

# 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances\* (excluding radon) found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination\*\* and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

✓ No

Explain:

No. See summary and uploaded Phase I and II ESA's.

Yes

\* This question covers the presence of radioactive substances excluding radon. Radon is addressed in the Radon Exempt Question.

\*\* Utilize EPA's Enviromapper, NEPAssist, or state/tribal databases to identify nearby dumps, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and industrial sites, including EPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state-equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. Additional supporting documentation may include other inspections and reports.

# 3. Evaluate the building(s) for radon. Do all buildings meet any of the exemptions\* from having to consider radon in the contamination analysis listed in CPD Notice <u>CPD-23-103</u>?

Yes

Explain:

🗸 No

\* Notes:

• Buildings with no enclosed areas having ground contact.

• Buildings containing crawlspaces, utility tunnels, or parking garages would not be exempt, however buildings built on piers would be exempt, provided that there is open air between the lowest floor of the building and the ground.

• Buildings that are not residential and will not be occupied for more than 4 hours per day.

• Buildings with existing radon mitigation systems - document radon levels are below 4 pCi/L with test results dated within two years of submitting the application for HUD assistance and document the system includes an ongoing maintenance plan that includes periodic testing to ensure the system continues to meet the current EPA recommended levels. If the project does not require an application, document test results dated within two years of the date the environmental review is certified. Refer to program office guidance to ensure compliance with program requirements.

• Buildings tested within five years of the submission of application for HUD assistance: test results document indoor radon levels are below current the EPA's recommended action levels of 4.0 pCi/L. For buildings with test data older than five years, any new environmental review must include a consideration of radon using one of the methods in Section A below.

# 4. Is the proposed project new construction or substantial rehabilitation where testing will be conducted but cannot yet occur because building construction has not been completed?

Yes

Compliance with this section is conditioned on post-construction testing being conducted, followed by mitigation, if needed. Radon test results, along with any needed mitigation plan, must be uploaded to the mitigation section within this screen.

✓ No

5. Was radon testing or a scientific data review conducted that provided a radon concentration level in pCi/L?

- ✓ Yes
  - No

If no testing was conducted and a review of science-based data offered a lack of science-based data for the project site, then document and upload the steps taken to look for documented test results and science-based data as well as the basis for the conclusion that testing would be infeasible or impracticable.

Explain:

File Upload:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

Non-radon contamination was found in a previous question.

#### 6. How was radon data collected?

All buildings involved were tested for radon

✓ A review of science-based data was conducted

Enter the Radon concentration value, in pCi/L, derived from the review of science-based data:

0.8

Provide the documentation\* used to derive this value:

The CDC Tracking Network State by County procedure shows that the map for King County is at .8 pCi/L level. Further work by consultant shows lower Radon testing levels.

File Upload:

RAdon-CDC-Map.jpg Radon-Testing-Disparity-report-for-Washington-2022-08-14.pdf zone 3 radon.pdf radon(1).png

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

Radon concentration value is greater than or equal to 4.0 pCi/L and/or non-radon contamination was found in a previous question. Continue to Mitigation.

\* For example, if you conducted radon testing then provide a testing report (such as an ANSI/AARST report or DIY test) if applicable (note: DIY tests are not eligible for use in multifamily buildings), or documentation of the test results. If you conducted a scientific data review, then describe and cite the maps and data used and include copies of all supporting documentation. Ensure that the best available data is utilized, if conducting a scientific data review.

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA, ASTM Phase II ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. Radon testing indicated radon levels below 4.0 pCi/L. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

2022 Phase I letter-update-to-2024.pdf 2022 Phase I ESA.pdf

2018 Phase I ESA.pdf RBMS Final Report 2018-03-29.pdf 2018 Phase II ESA.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

## **Endangered Species**

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

# **1.** Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

 ✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

#### 2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

✓ Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

# 3. What effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat?

✓ No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat. in the action area.

> Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation should include a species list and explanation of your conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate

May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.

Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat.

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.

Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

✓ No mitigation is necessary.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

No special-status species mitigation is required because none occur or are likely to occur in the future within the project area, and because stormwater from this project will not discharge to waters supporting migratory fish. See NMFS

Screen Correspondence for more details.

Compliance Determination

**Summary** 

The United State Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) issued an IPaC letter for the project on June 15, 2024. The following special-status species were listed as potentially occurring near the project location. \* North American Wolverine \* Marbled Murrelet \* Yellow-billed Cuckoo \* Northwestern Pond Turtle \* Bull Trout \* Monarch Butterfly USFWS Species: No suitable habitat of any of these species is located on or near the project area. Habitat requirements are summarized here and provided in detail in the attached species profiles. \* North American Wolverines are a carnivorous species that requires large territories for hunting, and winter denning sites that are located beneath the snow pack. The project site is located in a densely developed location in the greater Seattle area. No wolverines are found on or near the project site's location. \* The marbled murrelet is a seabird that relies on nesting sites near the ocean in mature and old growth conifer forests to breed. This project will repair and remodel an existing apartment complex within the developed town of Langley. No old growth or mature stands of conifers are located near the project site and no such locations will be impacted by the repairs planned for the existing apartment complex. No murrelets are likely to be found on or near the project site. \* The yellow-billed cuckoo has not been known to breed in Washington State since 1940, and WDFW describes it as a "very rare migrant". Only four sightings in Western Washington were recorded between 1974 and 2016. WDFW reports that the species' preferred habitat is forested riparian corridors with willow and cottonwood. The project is not located near or in such a habitat, and so is unlikely to have any effect on vellow-billed cuckoos. \* Critical Habitat for the bull trout has been designated in Puget Sound, which is located east and north of the project site. Stormwater from this project will discharge to a municipal stormwater pond system. Best management practices will be used during construction to ensure that no sediment has the potential to enter stormwater discharge. No impacts to bull trout or their habitat are anticipated. \* The monarch butterfly is a migratory species that relies on certain host plant species (milkweed, Asclepias spp.) for food in the caterpillar stage. In Washington, almost all monarchs migrate to the east side of the State for summer breeding. Very few reportedly are found west of the Cascade Mountains. As the project involves rehabilitation of a western Washington property with minimal existing native plants, there is no suitable habitat at the project area for monarch butterflies. \* The Northwestern pond turtle is a recently proposed threatened species. In Washington State there are only six non-captive populations: two naturally occurring populations in the Columbia Gorge, and four reintroduced populations (USFWS, 2023). None of the reintroduced populations are located in King County. New reintroduction sites are required to be located more than one-quarter mile from roadways. Treated stormwater from this project will eventually be discharged into two infiltration ponds in Burien. These are both adjacent to busy roadways. There are no current populations of Northwestern pond turtles in the area, and this site is ineligible for reintroduction of a turtle population in the future. No impacts to Northwestern pond turtles will occur from this project. NOAA Fisheries Species King

County notified NOAA fisheries of the project on June 17, 2024 .Upon review of the Action Form Submitted, NMFS replied ""[...] as treatment has been included before discharging to the municipal system, we consider all requirements of the parent programmatic to be satisfied, and no additional conservation recommendations are offered." Details for the response may be found in: WAHUDBurienSH Project NMFSWCRO-2020-00512-7457.pdf

## Supporting documentation

Burien-FSH-NMFS-WA-ActionForm.docx WAHUDBurienSH Project NMFSWCRO-2020-00512-7457.pdf planting plan.pdf Storm maintenance plan.pdf EFH Mapper - Reporting Page.pdf species profiles.pdf Burien-SWDMP-2020-10-19.pdf MarysPlace MercyHouse TIR.pdf WPT PHVA FINAL 26Sept2013 0.pdf Species List Washington Fish And Wildlife Office.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

## **Explosive and Flammable Hazards**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

**1.** Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

✓ Yes

3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are NOT covered under the regulation include:

• Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR

• Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer "No." For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer "Yes."

No

✓ Yes

# 4. Based on the analysis, is the proposed HUD-assisted project located at or beyond the required separation distance from all covered tanks?

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

One location with potential above-ground storage tanks was identified within one mile of the project site. The Salmon Creek Sewage Treatment plant is located approximately 2500 feet northwest. Because the above-ground tanks at this location hold water in various stages of treatment, it does not pose an explosives hazard to the project site. See the attached map and photos. The Separation Distance from the project is acceptable. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

Tank Photos.pdf Figure 8.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

## **Farmlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

The project site is within a developed urban location in Burien. There is no agricultural activity located on or nearby the project site. No farmland will be impacted by the proposed project.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

The project site is within a developed urban location in Burien. Farmland subject to FPPA requirements does not have to be currently used for cropland. USDA/NRCS regulations contained at 7 CFR Part 658.2 define "committed to urban development" as land with a density of 30 structures per 40-acre area; lands identified as "urbanized area" (UA) on the Census Bureau Map which is the dataset for the NEPA Assist map included as attachment. TigerWEB map added for concurrence. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

## Supporting documentation

## NEPA-Assist-BFSH-UrbanizedArea.jpg

TigerWEB-UrbanizedArea-BurienFSH.jpg

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

## Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,	* Executive Order 13690	
requires Federal activities to	* 42 USC 4001-4128	
avoid impacts to floodplains	* 42 USC 5154a	
and to avoid direct and	* only applies to screen 2047	
indirect support of floodplain	and not 2046	
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Does this project meet an exemption at 24 CFR 55.12 from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?

Yes

(a) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b).

(b) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19.

(c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:

(1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and

(2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:

(i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);

(ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and

(iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.

(d) An action involving a repossession, receivership, foreclosure, or similar acquisition of property to protect or enforce HUD's financial interests under previously approved loans, grants, mortgage insurance,

or other HUD assistance.

(e) Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions.

(f) A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland.

(g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland .

(h) Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers, or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies).

(i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Describe:

✓ No

2. Does the project include a Critical Action? Examples of Critical Actions include projects involving hospitals, fire and police stations, nursing homes, hazardous chemical storage, storage of valuable records, and utility plants.

Yes

Describe:

✓ No

**3.** Determine the extent of the FFRMS floodplain and provide mapping documentation in support of that determination

10/07/2024 18:12

Page 46 of 62

The extent of the FFRMS floodplain can be determined using a Climate Informed Science Approach (CISA), 0.2 percent flood approach (0.2 PFA), or freeboard value approach (FVA). For projects in areas without available CISA data or without FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), Flood Insurance Studies (FISs) or Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs), use the best available information<sup>1</sup> to determine flood elevation. Include documentation and an explanation of why this is the best available information<sup>2</sup> for the site. Note that newly constructed and substantially improved<sup>3</sup> structures must be elevated to the FFRMS floodplain regardless of the approach chosen to determine the floodplain.

Select one of the following three options:

CISA for non-critical actions. If using a local tool, data, or resources, ensure that the FFRMS elevation is higher than would have been determined using the 0.2 PFA or the FVA.

✓ 0.2-PFA. Where FEMA has defined the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that FEMA has designated as within the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain.

FVA. If neither CISA nor 0.2-PFA is available, for non-critical actions, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that results from adding two feet to the base flood elevation as established by the effective FIRM or FIS or if available — a FEMA-provided preliminary or pending FIRM or FIS or advisory base flood elevations, whether regulatory or informational in nature. However, an interim or preliminary FEMA map cannot be used if it is lower than the current FIRM or FIS.

<sup>1</sup> Sources which merit investigation include the files and studies of other federal agencies, such as the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Soil Conservation Service and the U.S. Geological Survey. These agencies have prepared flood hazard studies for several thousand localities and, through their technical assistance programs, hydrologic studies, soil surveys, and other investigations have collected or developed other floodplain information for numerous sites and areas. States and communities are also sources of information on past flood 'experiences within their boundaries and are particularly knowledgeable about areas subject to high-risk flood hazards such as alluvial fans, high velocity flows, mudflows and mudslides, ice jams, subsidence and liquefaction.

<sup>2</sup> If you are using best available information, select the FVA option below and provide supporting documentation in the screen summary. Contact your local environmental officer with additional compliance questions.

<sup>3</sup> Substantial improvement means any repair or improvement of a structure which costs at least 50 percent of the market value of the structure before repair or improvement or results in an increase of more than 20 percent of the number of dwelling units. The full definition can be found at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(12).

5. Does your project occur in the FFRMS floodplain?

Yes

✓ No

#### Screen Summary

### **Compliance Determination**

The project site is located in Zone X outside of any 500 year floodplains per FEMA, and is therefore not in a FFRMS floodplain. The attached figure shows the location of the project site with respect to mapped flood hazard areas. The project is in compliance with HUD floodplain guidelines. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.

## Supporting documentation

FIRM-53033C0955G(1).pdf Figure 5(1).pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic Properties" <u>https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF</u> <u>R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36- vol3-part800.pdf</u>

#### Threshold

## Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

 ✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

## Step 1 – Initiate Consultation

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

✓ Confederated tribes of the Warm Response Period Elapsed Springs

✓ Duwamish Tribe Completed ✓ Muckleshoot Tribe **Response Period Elapsed** ✓ Puyallup Tribe **Response Period Elapsed** ✓ Snoqualmie Indian Tribe Completed ✓ Stillaguamish Tribe **Response Period Elapsed** ✓ Suquamish Tribe Completed ✓ Tulalip Tribe **Response Period Elapsed** ✓ Yakama Nation **Response Period Elapsed** 

Other Consulting Parties

#### Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

All tribes listed in the TDAT list for this location were notified regarding the project. The County supplements this with Tribes not included in TDAT reports. The Duwamish (non-federal, but recognized by KC Government) requested notification in case of discovery of cultural resources. This is a standard specification we include in any County-Federal pass through project that involves ground disturbance. UDP shall be in construction docs, was sent to tribes and is attached below. No other substantive comments.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

#### Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes No

#### Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

 Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below: The attached figure shows the APE.

# In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination

below.

Address / Location / District	National Register Status	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive Information
12845 SW Ambaum Blvd,	Not Eligible	Yes	✓ Not Sensitive
Burien			

### Additional Notes:

One structure is located in the APE. DAHP made a determination in January 2021 that this structure is not an eligible historic resource.

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

Yes

✓ No

## Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (<u>36 CFR 800.5</u>)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on <u>direct and indirect effects</u>.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

#### Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

### <u>Screen Summary</u>

### **Compliance Determination**

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. As mentioned above, the SHPO has designated the building as non-historic and the project will not affect historic resources. Tribal consultation was in concurrence with SHPO Determination. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

## Supporting documentation

Burien-FSH-StormDrainagePlan.pdf TDATPintPage-6-18-2024.pdf DAHP Letter No Historic Properties Affected.pdf planting plan(1).pdf Burien-FH-Unanticipated Discovery Plan.pdf Burien-FH-WISAARD-Record.pdf When-to-Consult-with-Tribes-Under-Section-106-Checklist.pdf Duwamish--BFSH-Tribal Comments(1).msg Duwamish--BFSH-Tribal Comments1.msg Snoqualmie--BFSH-Tribal Comments(1).msg Snoqualmie--BFSH-Tribal Comments2.msg Suguamish--BFSH-Tribal Comments.msg Suquamish-BFSH-Tribal Comments2.msg Stillaguamish-BFSH-Tribal Comments.msg Tulalip-BFSH-Tribal Comments.msg Yakama-BFSH-Tribal Comments.msg Muckleshoot-BFSH-Tribal Comments.msg WarmSprings-BFSH-Tribal Comments.msg Puyallup-BFSH-Tribal Comments.msg inventory.pdf Figure 9.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

## **Noise Abatement and Control**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

## 1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

✓ New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

# 4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

#### Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

✓ Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

#### 5. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the

 ✓ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here: 63

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 63

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

#### Screen Summary

#### Compliance Determination

The U.S. Department of Transportation models noise levels from roadways, railroads, and airports on its National Transportation Noise Map. The attached figure shows this data. The HUD DNL Calculator for total noise is at 63 dB. The project site is in compliance with HUD noise guidelines. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

#### Supporting documentation

DNL Calculator - Burien.pdf traffic counts.pdf Figure 10.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

## **Sole Source Aquifers**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

✓ No

## 2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

The attached figure shows the location of the project with respect to sole source aquifers. The nearest sole source aquifer is located approximately 5 miles to the west, and across from Puget Sound. This project will have no impacts on sole source aquifers. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

## Supporting documentation

## Figure 11.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

## **Wetlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

✓ Yes

2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

#### <u>Screen Summary</u> Compliance Determination

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. No wetlands are located in or near the project's location. The attached figure shows wetlands mapped in the area by the national wetland inventory. No indications of wetlands were identified during previous Phase I site visits. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

#### Supporting documentation

### Figure 12.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

## 1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

## ✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

No nominated or designated wild and scenic rivers are located near the project site. The nearest Wild and Scenic river reach is the Middle Fork Snoqualmie River, which is located more than 30 miles east of the project site. Figure 12 shows the location of the project site with respect to Wild and Scenic Rivers. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

## Supporting documentation

## Figure 13.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

## **Environmental Justice**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

**1.** Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

No adverse environmental impacts were identified during this NEPA review. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EJScreen for this vicinity indicates that there are environmental justice populations in the neighborhood. 68% of the population are persons of color, versus 33% statewide and 40% nationally. Households with limited English make up 11% of the community, versus 4% state wide and 5% nationally. Low income households make up 27% of the local population vs 23% statewide, and 30% nationally. The Project assists low-moderate income households by increasing affordable housing and is not placing low-moderate income people in an at-risk environment. Because no adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review, the project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

#### **Supporting documentation**

EJScreen Community Report.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes