Clean Water Plan

Making the Right Investments at the Right Time



MWPAAC Monthly Update

May 27, 2020



Clean Water Plan - Making the right investments at the right time

Clean Water Plan Activities

Over the next few decades our region will collectively spend billions of dollars on protecting water quality. King County needs to update its wastewater plan so that we make the right investments at the right time for the best water quality outcomes.

Briefing topics:

- SEPA EIS Scoping
- Regional Engagement
- Action Development
- MWPAAC Technical Taskforce Activities
 Activities

Clean Water Plan SEPA Review

- Environmental review of Clean Water Plan under SEPA is anticipated to be a nonproject, or Programmatic, Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the Plan.
- SEPA Scoping Underway
 - Comments accepted between May 20 and 5:00 PM on June 19, 2020.
 - Regional Engagement Activities include:
 - Online open house to provide details about the Clean Water Plan and the issues and actions being explored.
 - Mail/email distribution of informational content



Recent and Upcoming Regional Engagement Activities

- March 24 External Advisory Group Meeting (note: includes 2 MWPAAC Representatives)
- March 25 Webinar: Wastewater Treatment: Realities and Reinvestment (recorded and available online at: www.kingcounty.gov/cleanwaterplan)
- May 13 Tribal Government Briefing
- May 20 June 19 SEPA Scoping Online Open House (available at: www.kingcounty.gov/cleanwaterplan)
- June 11 External Advisory Group Meeting

Decision Areas and Key Questions

Treatment Plants

What treatment plant and wet weather facility investments should be made?

Pollution Source Control / Product Stewardship

Are there more efficient or effective methods to address pollutants of concern than wastewater treatment?

Stormwater and Combined
Sewer Overflows

What approach should be taken to address stormwater and combined sewer overflows in King County's system?

Wastewater Conveyance System

What are the best investments in collections systems to ensure sufficient capacity and improve system condition?

Asset Management, Resiliency, and Redundancy

What investments should be made to care for an aging regional wastewater system and protect the investments that have been made?

Legacy Pollution

What are the opportunities to address legacy pollution?

Resource Recovery

How should King County recover resources in wastewater?

Finance

How will regional water quality investments be financed?

Action Development

- Approximately 35 different actions in the decision areas
- Each action is a concept for future water quality investment for example wastewater treatment includes secondary, nutrient removal, advanced, and decentralized approaches to treatment
- Each action documented in an action description sheet identifying what the action is and the outcomes

	Implement a program to require (and potentially incentivize) new construings above XXX,000 square feet to include on-site building-scale implementation would be through negotiation of building code revision.		
		Potential Challenges and Risks	Resistance from building authorities to revising building codes
	building authorities to include this requirement. Building-scale on-site I technology selection would be at the discretion of the developer and combra package facility, localized ecological treatment (i.e., Living Machii less toilet technologies coupled with an on-site graywater reuse system operation, and maintenance of the on-site treatment system would be towner.		Resistance by private developers/owners to additional requirements
			Resistance by water providers to expansion of recycled water
			Stranded assets or poorly maintained systems creating a localized public health hazard
	This program would aim to alleviate capacity constraints on the treatme		
	conveyance systems, by diverting wastewater from the municipal sews buildings above the size threshold, particularly in areas that are project include densification and growth in the coming decades as well as nee expansions on the associated sewer and treatment systems.	Equity and Social Justice Opportunities	XXX equity and social justice opportunities to be integrated into the program.
		Duration and Timeline	A gradual implementation plan, which would allow the County to gather data to inform the implementation of a mandatory program, could consist of the following:
Key Components	XX new funding source within WTD.		20XX: Include requirement in preliminary engineering report for new
	X FTEs/year for program development, X FTEs/year for program mana		commercial construction (over XX,000 sf) to develop a water budget
	XX new on-site treatment systems each year (XXX by 2060)		calculation, investigating potential reuse source waters and demands
	XXX,XXX gallons of recycled water generated each year on average		within the proposed building
	XX impact to solids treatment requirements at centralized facilities		 20XX: Incentivize implementation of on-site treatment with sewer service connection rebates
Regulatory Considerations	XXX legislative changes to Washington Administrative Codes.		20XX: Implement mandatory on-site reuse program
	XXX legislative changes to King County Code.		-,
	XXX collaboration with Washington State Department of Health and W	Triggers	A.f. t. b. il. w. d. d. b. vvv
	State Department of Ecology.	rriggers	Action to be implemented when XXX occurs.
			Action to be delayed when XXX occurs.
			Action to be cancelled when XXX occurs.
Partnerships	Local building authorities in high growth areas. Cities of Seattle, Bellev Redmond, Auburn, and Issaquah would be expected partners. Coordii King County Public Health.		
		REFERENCES	
Potential Benefits and Co-Benefits	XXX flows and loads diverted from centralized wastewater treatment sy	Insert name and short description of source material and case studies.	King County, "West Point Treatment Plant Peak Flow and Wasteload Projection 2000, 20000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000
	XXX deferral of treatment plant capacity upgrades.		Projections, 2010 – 2060", 2018 2. King County, "South Plant Treatment Plant Peak Flow and Wasteload
			Projections, 2010 – 2060", 2018 3. King County, "Treatment Plant Flow and Loadings Study Summary Report"
			2019.
			 Puget Sound Regional Council Land Use Vision (version 2) Dataset San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Onsite Water Reuse fo

.29L

MWPAAC Clean Water Plan Technical Advisory Taskforce

- Membership: Jack Broyles, Mike Johnson, Josh Pantzke, Gary Schimek, Leslie Webster, Kyle Wong
- First Meeting April 23:
 - Overview of the Decision Areas
 - **▶** Future Taskforce Meeting Planning
- Second meeting May 21:
 - SEPA Scoping
 - Asset Management Actions
 - Treatment Plant Actions
- Third meeting June 5:
 - CSO/Stormwater Actions
 - Wastewater Conveyance Actions
 - Action Evaluation Methods

Clean Water Plan Planning Activities



Proposed MWPAAC Task Force Meetings

Activities to Date & Determine Future Meeting Topics (April)

SEPA Scoping & Actions of Interest

- Asset Mgmt
- WW Treatment (May)

Evaluation Method & Actions of Interest

- CSO/Stormwater
 - Conveyance (June)

Initial
Findings of
Action
Evaluation
(TBD)

2021 Topics TBD

Strategies
Tradeoffs

2021 Topics TBD

- Preferred Strategy
- Implementation Plan

2

Discussion and Questions

Steve Tolzman, Program Manager, 206.477.5459 or steve.tolzman@kingcounty.gov

