Asset Management Update – The Life of an Asset

MWPAAC Rates & Finance and Engineering & Planning Subcommittees

January 5, 2023



Overview

- Goal
- Challenge
- Lifecycle Continuum Phases
- Portfolio Management Introduction
- Project Request Process
- Project Evaluation Process
- Portfolio Inventory
- Individual Project Cost Estimating and Forecasting
- Asset Management (AM) Portfolio Category Forecast Development



The Goal

Asset Management

111212

4

- Manage over 87,000 assets including treatment plants, pumps and motors, pipelines and storage tanks, pump and regulator stations, offices and shop buildings, vehicles and technology, software and databases.
- Address the backlog of work and fund the highest priority items.
- Coordinate maintenance activities with affected groups to promote efficiency (maintenance, operations, etc.).
- Identify opportunities to improve energy efficiency resulting in cost savings (heat and biogas system improvement, etc.).

South Plant 1965

West Point 1966





Otigans, deputeries and Hele copleges pather is related for delector of the West Food Technood Read on July 20, 1966.

The Challenge

WTD owns and maintains about \$5 billion in assets, including:

- ✓ 5 Treatment Plants
- ✓ 4 Combined Sewer Overflow Treatment Plants
- ✓ 400 Miles of Conveyance Pipe
- ✓ 43 Pump Stations
- ✓ 2,398 Pumps
- ✓ 2,413 Motors
- ✓ 863 Variable Frequency Drives
- ✓ 67 Engines
- ✓ 112 Blowers
- ✓ 162 Air handling units
- ✓ 7 Turbines
- ✓ 15 Boilers





Lifecycle Continuum Phases

Asset Life-Cycle



- Capital Improvement Planning and Finance Phase – Plan, Prioritize and Fund Capital Projects
- Project Delivery Phase Design, Deliver and Commission Infrastructure, Produce AM Deliverables
- Operate / Maintain Phase Beneficial Use, Collect Risk Data, Analyze Lifecycle Data, Submit Project Requests



Operate / Maintain Phase

- Operate and maintain assets to meet Level of Service targets
- Source of lifecycle cost data
- Majority of New Project Request Forms (NPRF)
- Source of asset condition information
- Reliability Engineering Maintenance Best Practice (MBP)
- Work order planning and scheduling
- Key Performance Indicators (KPI)



Operate / Maintain Phase – Building the Case

Raw Sewage Pump Replacement

2015 Raw Sewage Pump Replacement South Plant

Replacement Case Study: RSP #1, #4, #6

- Age: Installed 1965
- Criticality: High
- Documented Lifecyle Maintenance and Condition Monitoring: End of useful Life
- Parts Availability: Obsolete
- Energy Efficiency: Replacement with new technology and VFD Drives: Savings \$150,000/year
- Reliability: Improved
- Performance: Improved / Operability improved with elimination of Eddy Current Clutch
- Opportunity: Puget Sound Energy Grant Funded \$894,970





Operate / Maintain Phase – Building the Case

Raw Sewage Pump Replacement

2023-2024 Raw Sewage Pump Replacement South Plant

Replacement Case Study: RSP #3

- Age: Oldest in operation, Manufactured in 1972
- Criticality: High
- Documented Lifecyle Maintenance and Condition Monitoring: End of useful Life
- Parts Availability: Obsolete
- Energy Efficiency: Replacement with new technology and VFD Drives, Savings to be evaluated
- Reliability: Improved
- Performance: Improved / Operability improved with elimination of Eddy Current Clutch
- Opportunity: Grants being sought



Capital Improvement Planning and Finance Phase

- Intake NPRFs
- Prioritize requested projects
- Formulate projects
- Balance portfolio
- Collaborate with Finance on biennial budget requests
- Manage long-term capital forecast





Capital Improvement Planning and Finance Phase

Objective -

- Manage infrastructure risk
- Prioritize, fund and staff the right projects at the right time for the right reasons

Delivery Opportunities -

- Lifecycle replacement programs
- Performance upgrades
- Energy efficiency program
- Connect to larger projects
- Coordinate with Conveyance System
 Improvement (CSI) Program





Project Delivery Phase

- Fund Projects
- Staff project teams
- Design and deliver projects
- Collaborate with Operations to commission infrastructure
- Produce asset management deliverables
- Project Oversight Boards





Portfolio Management

- In 2018, King County WTD implemented the Portfolio Management process to prioritize its capital program
 - Centralized management of multiple portfolio categories to achieve strategic objectives
 - AM Category aligned with Sewer Rate goal: Advance the most critical asset management projects
- WTD maintains inventories of projects in all categories, forms basis of Capital Improvement Program (CIP) forecasts
- Projects enter inventory through a project request process



Asset Management

- Plants and

Conveyance



Capacity

Improvements



Operational Enhancements



Regulatory



Resiliency

Resource Recovery





12

South Plant Raw Sewage Pump #3 Request <u>Portfolio Management</u> (vms-pro.com)







运 🦉 King County	🔗 Crystal Fleet
Request New Project	
	_
* Project Name:	
* Project Type:	
If Project is greater than \$2.5m choose one of these	
Standalone Capitat Project Program (Roll-Up)	
If Project is less than \$2.5m choose this	
Subproject	
Create Project	



🗄 🚺 King County					\land Crystal Fleet
South Plant Raw Sewage Pump #3 Replacement				Estimated Total Cost Dur See PRISM () See PI	ation Portfolio Status Approved
Saved 2 years ago					
PRISM Project Details Conceptual Model B	Evaluation				
Project Summary - 1139064					
Project Name South Plant Raw Sewage Pump #3 Replacement	Project Type Standalone Capital Project		Portfolio Category Asset Management - Plants	Estimated Project Duration	36 Months
Est. Construction Costs	Est. Non-Construction Costs	\$2,471,000 -	Est. Contingency Costs	Est. Total Project Costs	\$9,418,000.00
Project Location(s)SOUTH TP OVERALL					<i>~~</i>))
Objective				Fast Track	
Project Objective Replace equipment at the end of life and provide safe, reliable, and	d energy-efficient raw sewage pumping at South Plant.			Does this need to be fast tracked? No	

亘 💱 King County	🙁 Crystal Fleet
Potential Consequences	Scope Information
Consequences of Non-Approval	Formulation?
New RSPs 1, 4, and 6 were recently installed to replace aging assets, to convert from a clutch drive design to a variable speed drive, and to reduce overall pumping energy use. RSP4 is estimated to run 6,348-hrs/year (assuming its available 365 days per year) to minimize overall pumping energy. These heavy runtime hours will degrade the asset sooner, require more maintenance per year, and likely reduce RSP4's efficiency sooner. The "Classic" RSPs 2, 3 and 5 are available to run instead of RSP4, but they are quite inefficient at most plant flows, and each has a lower flow capacity than RSP4.	Is this an Assessment/Evaluation/Study?
Electricity use will increase when RSP4 is not available, making it harder to meet energy reduction goals. Electricity costs will also increase but not drastically (e.g., estimated \$1000/week). Replacing RSP3 with an energy efficient RSP will replace an aging asset, increase overall capacity, and provide reliability in minimizing energy use at the influent pump station for years to come.	Originating Section Operations Section - East, South Plant
Preliminary Scope Statement	Sponsor Tyler Brenton
What do you think the solution is? Replace existing RSP3 motor, drive and pump (installed 1971) due to aging asset and lowered capacity, and to provide redundancy for the new RSP4 so energy reduction goals can reliably be achieved. This project will provide a second, energy-efficient, 100-mgd raw sewage pump (it would be redundant to new RSP4) to replace an aging asset with reduced capacity, and to assure energy	3PMO Crystal Fleet
reduction goals are reliably achieved. Demo existing equipment, install new MCC section, Variable Frequency drive, motor and pump.Pump went into service 1971, will fit in existing location, no size increase needed, no barriers.	Requester Alex Cole
Are there other feasible solutions? TBD	Enter Related Projects PfM and/or PRISM IDs
3 Is this a study to determine alternatives?	Asset(s)
	Asset Number(s) MTR131045, P131045
	1.4



💱 King County	😣 Crystal Flee
What is the basic need for the project? This asset is at end of-life, has the lowest capacity of the four "100-mgd pumps" and is the least energy efficient RSP at SP. Equipment is no longer efficient, and at the end of life. Life to-date	Planning and Schedule Information
Costs: 119K (labor), 103K (material). Are there any uncertain and/or relatively risky aspects of this project request? No	Timeline Desired Start Date 2021-01-01 Desired End Date
What do you think the problem is? When did this happen? Old RSP/equipment	2024-01-01 Deadlines
Are there any permit/initiative/code implications if the project is not completed (related to the problem, not to the solution)?	 Internal Operational, RSP#4 has shown significant wear in two years of almost constant operation, installing #3 will cut down use of #4 by 50%.
5 What are the safety implications? No	Scheduling Constraints Yes construction should begin in the spring and be completed in one dry season (2022)
6 Do you think this is a system/operational problem, or is this a problem with some specific piece(s) of equipment? Can the problem be solved via operational changes? If so, why haven't these changes been pursued? Equipment	
7 Will there be any service disruptions or other impacts resulting from asset failure?	Attachments
B What is the deadline to begin or complete the project? Describe why this is the necessary date of completion and the rationale for how long until it becomes a critical issue.	cost info and timing info settimate
Begin no later than 2023.	

Department of Natural Resources and Parks Wastewater Treatment Division

King County

💱 King County	A Crystal
8 What is the deadline to begin or complete the project? Describe why this is the necessary date of completion and the rationale for how long until it becomes a critical issue. Begin no later than 2023.	estimate L Add Attachments
Would this project provide any benefits that are aligned with the other portfolio categories? If so, which categories? Resource Recovery Capacity Improvements	Help Documents and FAQ
Is there anything else that should be known about this project request? NPRF# 1731 Original Requestor - Wohlfert, Mike Compliance: Provides Pumping Capacity a good cost estimate is needed and,	 PfM Category Placemat Strategic Opportunity Indicators Asset Management - Conveyance Asset Management - Plants Capacity Improvements Operational Enhancements
Asset Management - Plants Preliminary Criteria Evaluation	Regulatory Image: Constraint of the second secon
Organizational Impacts Imp High Rationale this is if another pump were to fail	Resource Recovery
Condition of Asset Treatment Plants & Pump Stations 8.6 Very Poor Condition Rationale pump is nearing end of life, installed in "71"	



Ξ 📢 King County
Asset Obsolescence
8 High
Rationale
doesn't pump at capacity because it's old
Asset Criticality Treatment Plants & Pump Stations
7.1 Probable and Vital Importance
Rationale
n any other pump is down for mannenance of repair criticalaty would be fighter
Preliminary Criteria Evaluation Score
7.7 Based off of your criteria ranking, this project is well aligned with the designated portfolio category and is likely to perform competitively when evaluated against similar projects and
considered for funding.
History Add Note
O 2020-12-02 10:32 am: Project was included in Project Evaluation Portfolio Project Evaluation #5 - 2021-2022 Biennium which was closed on 2020-12-02.
O 2020-06-23 02:23 pm: Integration job jcN1kfcxXiDN19kNrHFRpY Approved this project.
O 2019-10-03 10:20 am: Crystal Fleet Approved this project pending funding.
0 2019-09-12 03:59 pm: Jacquelynn Roswell submitted this project for Sponsor Acceptance "this item needs a good cost estimate and more work on the narrative"
• 2019-06-03 04:45 pm: Tyler Brenton submitted this project for 3PMO Validation.
o 2019-05-30 04:46 pm: Alex Cole submitted this project for Sponsor Validation.
O 2019-05-30 04:42 pm: Alex Cole created this project.



Project Evaluation Process

🗉 🦉 King County		8 Crystal Flee			
South Plant Raw Sewage Pump #3 Ro	Iacement Estimated Total Cost Duration OVERALL See PRISM ① See PRISM ①	Portfolio Status Approved			
Saved 2 years ago					
PRISM Project Details Conceptual Model Evaluation Category Criteria Evaluation					
Criteria	Group Aggregate Rating	Last Validated 🗢			
Organizational Impacts	The poor condition of pump 3 is leading to an overreliance on pump 4, which is reducing it's life. Additionally pump 3 is a less efficient pump that is driving up energy use. Pump 3 can only be used effectively at full speed, is reducing redundancy.	which 2019-10-08			
Condition of Asset Treatment Plants & Pump Stations	7.5 Pump is showing signs of significant wear and is only operating at 80% capacity.	2019-10-08			
Asset Obsolescence	8.6 Pump does not have vendor support and replacement parts cannot be sourced.	2019-10-08			
Asset Criticality Treatment Plants & Pump Stations	Asset failure is not probable. 7.2 Asset is slightly less critical due to available redundancy of other pumps, but at wet weather if this pump has failed SP would near full capacity. Pump is required for wet weather flows.	2019-10-08			



Project Cost Estimating and Forecasting

A	В	C	D	E	F	
Estimate - AACEI Class 5						
Project Nan				Date:		
Location:				Estimator:		
Description				Version:		
	DIRECT: SUBTOTAL CO	DNSTRUCTIO	DN CC	DSTS		
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Item Cost	_
2			<u> </u>		\$	-
			<u> </u>		*	-
4					\$	_
5					\$	-
6			<u> </u>		\$	-
7					\$	-
8					\$	-
9					\$	-
10					\$	-
		Subtotal L	onstr	uction Costs	*	-
	Allowance f	or Indetermina	tes (De	sign Allowance)	\$	-
			5	itreet Use Permit	\$	-
	ESTIMATED PROBABLE (COST OF CL	WST/	RUCTION BID	\$	-
	DIRECT: SUBTOTAL ADDITIO	NAL CONST	RUCT	ION COSTS		
Mitigation Construction Contracts			uction Contracts	\$	-	
Construction Change Order Allowance				\$	-	
Material Pricing Uncertainty Allowance				\$	-	
	Subtota	Primary Loi	TSING	tion Amount	5	-
	Construction Sales Tax				*	-
		Outside	- Anor	sned Equipment	\$	_
	Subtatal Ki	Contributi	n ha	Canstruction	*	_
		IFR CAPITA	CHA	BGES	•	
		KC/WTE) Direct	Implementation	\$	-
	Mise. Capital Cost:			sc. Capital Costs	\$	-
	TOTAL DI	RECT CONS	TRUC	TION COSTS	*	-
	INDIRECT: NON-CON	STRUCTION	COS	TS		
		Design and C	onstru	ction Consulting	\$	-
		Oth	er Cor	sulting Services	\$	-
Permitting & Other Agency Suppor			\$	-		
- Right-of-Way			\$	-		
Misc. Service & Materials			\$	-		
	Non-WTD Support				\$	-
	WTD Staff Labo			witU Staff Labor	\$	-
	Sat	notal Non-L	onstr Decision	uction Losts	*	_
	Project Contingency			loitistics	*	-
initiatives				*	-	
	IDIAL WEARELT.		TAL	non coord	¢	-
		10	IAL P	ROJECT COST	Ş	-





AM Category Forecast Development

2022 Sewer Rate AM Category



How Each Layer is Estimated

Layer	Forecast Type	Potential Variability
Ongoing Priority – low cost, routine	Individual subproject forecasts + historical spending averages	Low
Existing AM Projects – highest priority, currently in delivery	Individual project cost and schedule forecasts	Moderate – cost estimates range from planning level through construction bid, durations subject to individual and global risks
AM Tier 1 Projects – currently poor condition or obsolete; high consequences of failure	Individual project cost estimates and analogous durations, composed of unfunded projects sequenced to meet 2030 priority inventory goal	Significant – cost estimates range from pre-planning through planning level, projects may be added or rescheduled based on emerging conditions, highly dependent on completing existing projects and future resource availability
AM Tier 2 Project Placeholder – assets expected to age into inventory	Extrapolates peak forecast average	Significant – rough order of magnitude



Conclusion

- Assets are managed in a whole life continuum approach
- Projects are inventoried in the capital portfolio through a project request process, which relies on human decision making
- Projects in the inventory are scored by subject matter experts using objective criteria to evaluate information from the project request
- The portfolio forecast relies on aggregation of individual inventoried project forecasts aligned to meet strategic goals
- The further out the forecast, the more uncertainty there is



Questions?

Crystal Fleet

WTD Capital Portfolio Planning and Analysis Unit Manager

Crystal.fleet@kingcounty.gov

Alden Wyma

WTD Asset Management Program Supervisor

alden.wyma@kingcounty.gov

