Forecasting the Wastewater Treatment Division (WTD) Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Presented to the Metropolitan Water Pollution Abatement Advisory Comprehensive Engineering and Planning Subcommittee

February 2, 2023



Department of Natural Resources and Parks Wastewater Treatment Division

Contents

- From Problem/Need to CIP Forecast
- Forecast Uncertainty and Contingency Management
- Evolution of CIP Forecasts Over Time
- Delivery and Financial Strategies to Manage Growing CIP
- Application of Accomplishment Rates
- How Capital Spending Impacts the Sewer Rate



From Identifying a Problem/Need to Inclusion in CIP Forecast

Project Request Process

- All capital projects begin with a request - subject matter expert submits and a sponsor accepts.
- Projects are generally requested when funding is required in the near to medium term (<6 years out).
- When projects are requested, the scope may not be welldeveloped, and the cost estimate may be uncertain.

亘 Vet King County	(R) Crystal Fleet
WPTP Widget Replacement REQ-2399 Asset Management - Plants WEST POINT TP OVERALL	Estimated Total Cost Duration Portfolio Status \$14,782,000.00 6 Years Pending
Saved a few seconds ago	Edit Inactivate Project Request
PRISM Project Details Conceptual Model Evaluation	
Project Summary - REQ-2399	
Project Name Project Type Portfolio Category WPTP Widget Replacement Standalone Capital Project Asset Management - Plants	Estimated Project Duration 6 Years
Est. Construction Costs Est. Non-Construction Costs Est. Contingency Costs \$7,643,000 + \$3,739,000 +	Est. Total Project Costs \$3,400,000 = \$114,782,000.00
Project Location(s) • WEST POINT TP OVERALL	
Objective	Fast Track
Project Objective The objective of this project is to provide a continued high level of service by replacing aged widgets at West Point Treatment Plant.	Does this need to be fast tracked? No
Potential Consequences	Scope Information
Consequences of Non-Approval If this project is not approved, widgets may fail unexpectedly, resulting in permit non-compliance and disruption to operations.	Formulation? Yes
	Is this an Assessment/Evaluation/Study? No
Preliminary Scope Statement	Originating Section



Project Prioritization Process



- Project requests are categorized by the main business driver, then evaluated against the category criteria.
- Prioritization is the first point at which the potential timing of a project is understood.



Capital Project Formulation

- Since 2017, WTD has used the Capital Project Formulation program to develop conceptual needs to conceptual projects.
- The highest priority project requests are assigned to teams who investigate the problem, develop conceptual scope options to address the need/problem, and develop Class 5 cost estimates for the most likely scope options.







Cost Estimating – Estimating Tool

í	A	В	С	D	E	F	G		Н	
		Estima	te - AACEI Class 5					1	Base Year	Estimate Yea
Proj	ject Name:				Date:				2023	2023
Loca	ation:				Estimator:					
Des	scription:				Version:					
		DIRECT: SUBTO	TAL CONSTRUCTION	OSTS	_					
	Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Item Cost				
	1					\$	-			
	2					\$	-			
	3			<u> </u>		\$	-			
)	4					\$	-			
	5					S	-			
2	7					s s	-			
1	8					5	-			
;	9					\$	-			
5	10			<u> </u>		S				
2	10		Sub	total O	onstruction Costs					
-		Allowand	e for Indeterminate				-			
		Allowalle	e for macterimitat		reet Use Permit					
		FSTIMAT	ED PROBABLE COST							
;		DIRECT: SUBTOTAL AD					-			
,		DIRECTIONDICIALAD			uction Contracts	<				
3			Construction Ch				-			
		Co	onstruction Pricing U				-			
)			Subtotal Prima	ry Cons	struction Amount	S	-			
			(Constru	uction Sales Tax	\$	-			
2			Owner	Furnis	hed Equipment	\$	-			
3			Outsid	e Ager	ncy Construction		-			
1			Subtotal KC Com	ributio	n to Construction	\$	-			
i		DIRECT: SUBTOT/	AL OTHER CAPITAL CH					_		
5			KC/WTD D		mplementation		-			
·					sc. Capital Costs		-			
3					RUCTION COSTS	\$	-			
		INDIRECT: NO	V-CONSTRUCTION CO							
)					tion Consulting		-			
					sulting Services		-			
2			Permitting &	Other	Agency Support		-			
					Right-of-Way		-			
•			MIS		vice & Materials		-			
					on-WTD Support		-			
i			Subtotal		WTD Staff Labor onstruction Costs	•	-			
3			Subtotal		ect Contingency		-			
				FIU	Initiatives		-			
		т	OTAL INDIRECT NON-	CONST			-			
		1					-			
			TC	TALF	PROJECT COST	5	-			



	A	В	С	D		E		F	
1		Estimate - AACE	I Class 5						\square
2	Project Name:	WPTP Widget Replacement			Date:			2/2/2023	
3	Location:	West Point Treatment Plant - Overall			Estimat	or:		P. Requester	
4	Description:	This project will replace all widgets located at WPTF)		Version	:		1	
5		DIRECT: SUBTOTAL CONST	RUCTION C	OSTS					
6	Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Units		t Cost		Item Cost	
7	1	Widget Replacement Per Attached Asset List	500	EA	\$	10,000	\$	5,000,000	
8	2						\$	-	
9	3						\$	-	
10	4						\$		1
11	5						\$ \$		ř
12 13	6				<u> </u>		\$		
14	8						\$	·	
15	9				<u> </u>		\$		
16	10						\$		
32			Subt	otal G	onstructi	on Costs	\$	5,000,000	/
33		Allowance for Inde						1,250,000	ř.
34						e Permit		-	
35		ESTIMATED PROBA	BLE COST (6,250,000	
36		DIRECT: SUBTOTAL ADDITIONAL							
37		М	litigation C	onstri	uction C	ontracts	\$	-	
38			ruction Cha					625,000	K
39		Constructio						50,000	L
40		Sub	total Prima	y Cons	struction	Amount		6,925,000	
41			-			ales Tax	÷	704,688	
42						uipment		-	
43					-	truction		-	
44			tal KC Cont			struction	\$	7,629,688	
45		DIRECT: SUBTOTAL OTHER							
46			KC/WTD D					-	
47			ALDIRECT			al Costs		13,750	
48					RUCHO	v cosis	\$	7,643,000	
49		INDIRECT: NON-CONSTR	ign and Co		tion Co	sulting	¢	2 220 221	
50 51		Des				Services		2,338,231	
52		De	rmitting &		-		*	34,375	
53			initiang of	ounci		of-Way			
54			Mis	c. Serv		aterials		123,750	Γ
55						Support		17,188	
56						ff Labor		1,225,810	
57			Subtotal					3,739,354	
58						tingency		3,399,837	-
59					In	itiatives	\$	-	
60		TOTAL INDI	RECT NON-	CONST	RUCTIO	N COSTS	\$	7,139,000	
61			то	TAL P	PROJEC	T COST	\$	14,783,000	

Н	I.
Base Year	Estimate Year
2023	2023

G

Construction Cost: Per construction cost estimator. The tool allows for detailed construction estimates that can be rolled up to this summary page.

Allowance for Indeterminates (AFI): Used to accommodate minor changes in the design that ultimately impact the cost of construction. Related to accomplishing the original scope of work, expected to be spent. % applied to Construction Cost Subtotal.

Change Order Allowance: Used to cover unforeseen events in construction that will require changes to the contractual scope or work. Per KC policy 10% applied to Estimated Cost of Construction Bid (which includes AFI).

Construction Pricing Uncertainty Allowance: Per the construction cost estimator. Used during times of highly volatile material pricing. This allowance is a stand-alone cost and does not contribute to any of the other standard contingency factors.

Indirect Non-Construction Costs: For conceptual projects, consultant and in-house labor are calculated by a model based on historical data. As projects progress through design – this should be progressively estimated by the project manager based on level of effort instead of using a model.

Project Contingency: Accommodate elements of risk, degree of uncertainty, lack of design definition, desired confidence levels directly attributable to the overall scope of work, or the possibility that execution may not go as expected. Not intended to cover items outside the scope of the project. % applied to all above, not including Construction Pricing Uncertainty Allowance.

Cost Estimate to Annualized Forecast – Conceptual Project Requests - Calculator

🕅 King County						😕 Crystal Fi
						🕁 Download Excel
Duration		Project Duration			6 Year Cashflow	
0	1 Months Years	Phase		Duration	Year	Spend
tart Date		Total Duration		12 mo	6 Year Spend	\$0
2023-01-20		Phase 1: Planning		1 mo	6 Year Average	50
onstruction Spend		Phase 2: Preliminary De	sign	2 mo	2023	50
s o		Phase 3: Final Design		3 mg	2024	50
		Phase 4: Implementatio		5 mo	2025	50
on-Construction Spend		Phase 5: Closeout		1 mo	2026	50
5 0		Phase 2. Closeout		1 80		
ntingency Spend					2027	\$0
\$ 0					2028	50
calation Rate						
·* 0.03						
		Total Duration	Start Date	Total Budget		
roJect Overvlew		1 Years	2023-01-26	\$0		Clear Calculator
Generation Generation Generation	Project Cashflor	W				Graph Legend
Distribution of Spending by Phase	\$1-					Construction
Annual Spend by Phase						Contingency Non-Construction
	\$0.5 —					
rend Type						
	su -					
 Cumulative 	50-					
G Annual						
	s-0.5					
aph Type						
🔿 Area						
G Bar	\$-1-			2023		
G Bar				2023		
ashflows						
ashflows By	Total	Actuals + Forecasted	6 Yr Avg. 202	3-2028		
		\$0	\$0			
Year Phase			N 15			4 Previous 8 Years Next 8 Years +
					2023	Total EAC
ash Flow						
ash Flow onstruction Forecast					\$0	50
Year Phase Cash Flow Construction Forecast Non-Construction Forecast Contingency Percest						



Cost Estimate to Annualized Forecast – Conceptual Project Requests – Calculator Input



Cost Estimate to Annualized Forecast – Conceptual Project Requests – Calculator Output



Total Annualized Forecast: Used in sewer rate model



Cost Estimate to Annualized Forecast – Projects in Delivery – Project Information System (PRISM)

A DASHBOARD	s - PROJECTS - CONTRACTS - REPORTS SYSTEM Projects Q 🥹	Crystal Fleet	- (6)	Export	Help 🗭 Feedb
≡	Grid State: 🛛 🌐 Reset Standard Forecast View 🔹 🔹 Escalated: 💙 Primary Dataset: 🖉 @ Gate 3-Baseline (06/15/2009) • C	omparison Datase	t: 🔞 Gate 3-1	Baseline (06/15/2009) •
Projects Grid	 WP Treatment Plant Disinfection (1037591) 				
Dashboard	Crystal Fleet , Project Manager INACTIVE PROJECT	AM COMPL	lete in-hou	se in-house	G
	Dionnie Dionisio , Project Control Engineer				Map Bata Ger
					COUNCIL 4
Narratives >	□ III Tier 0 ⊗ > III Tier 1 ⊗ > III Tier 2 ⊗				
Status		2010 <	2011 <	LTD ACTU	FORECAST E <
Schedule	Group	Gate 3- Baseline	Gate 3- Baseline	Thru Dec	Gate 3- Baseline
Financials 🗸		(06/15/	(06/15/	2009	(06/15/2009)
Forecast/Costing	 CONSTRUCTION 	1,650,150			1,650,150
Cost Report (Classic)	> Construction Contracting	1,490,150			1,490,150
Subprojects	> Owner Furnished Equipment	160,000			160,000
Actuals	> Outside Agency Construction				
Lifetime Chart	> Other Capital Charges				
	> Construction Pricing Uncertainty Allowance				
Funding	V NON-CONSTRUCTION	397,279	8,240	485,418	890,936
Budget	> Design and Const Consulting	4,060		31,616	35,676
Contracts	> Other Consulting Services			15,384	15,384
Reporting >	> Permitting & Local Agency	1,500			1,500
Asset Mgmt	> Right-of-Way				
Sustainability >	> Misc. Service & Materials	200		15,694	15,894
Compliance >	> Non-WTD Support				
	> WTD Staff Labor	381,452	8,240	411,036	800,729
	> Indirect Burden	10,066		11,687	21,753
	PROJECT RESERVE Project Contingency		216,300 216,300		216,300 216,300
	SETTLEMENTS, REIMBURSEMENTS, & LDs		210,500		216,500
	SETTLEMENTS, REIMBURSEMENTS, & LDS Settlements, Reimbursements & LDs				
	 Settlements, Reinibulisements & Los INITIATIVES 				
	Sustainability				
	> 1% for Art				
	Uncommitted/Adjustment	0	0	0	0
	encommuted registricity	2,047,429	224,540	485,418	2,757,386



Cost Estimate to Annualized Forecast – Projects in Delivery – Project Information System (PRISM)

DASHBOARDS	PROJECTS - CONTRACTS - REPO	ORTS SYSTEM		Projects		Q 🔗	🐥 Crystal F	leet -	port 💊 He	slp 🔎 Feedt
≡			Reset Standard Forecast Vi	ew 🔹 Escal	ated: 📃 Prim	ary Dataset: 🛛 🎯 C	urrent Forecast 🔻	Comparison Dataset:	i Gate 3-Base	aline (06/15/2009)
Projects Grid	 WP Treatment Plant Dis 	sinfection (1037	591)		111.0711/5	000 IF 07				
Dashboard	Crystal Fleet , Project Manager Dionnie Dionisio , Project Control En	nineer			INACTIVE	PROJECT	AM COM	IPLETE IN-HOUSE	IN-HOUSE	
Info		gincer			1 oin					Map Data Ten COUNCIL 4
Narratives >	□ □ Tier 0 ⊗ > □ □ Tier 1 ⊗ > □ □ Tier 2	\otimes								
Status			FIRST YEAR		2022 <	FORECAST ETC	LTD ACTU	FORECAST E <	MODEL	
Schedule	-		Closed	Forecast	Current	Current	Thru Jun	Current	Model	Variance
Financials 🗸	Group		Actuals (Jan - Jun)	Remaining (Jul - Dec)	Forecast	Forecast	2022	Forecast	(Calculat	(EAC - Model)
Forecast/Costing	> 461151 RESOURCE RECOVERY									
Cost Report (Classic)	> 461160 COMM SVCS						941	941	1,600	-658
Subprojects	461161 ENVIRONMENTAL 461170 PERMIT/REAL ESTATE						4,961 3,392	4,961 3,392	1,600	3,360
Actuals	> 461191 MODELING						5,392	3,392	3,495	-105
Lifetime Chart	> 461201/2 PLANNING - ASSET MGMT									
Funding	> 461181 CONSTRUCTION MGMT						256,747	256,747	132,537	124,210
Budget	> 461200 FLOW MONITORING & ANALYSIS						578	578	577	
Contracts	> 461192 ENGINEERING						476,184	476,184	187,972	288,211
Reporting >	461182 PROGRAM MGMT						77,163	77,163	55,251	21,911
Asset Mgmt	4880 Project Management						77,163	77,163		
Sustainability >	A61183 PROJECT CONTROLS A61184 PORTFOLIO MGMT						40,572	40,572	21,701	18,869
	> 461184 PORTFOLIO MGM1 > Indirect Burden						337,433	337,433	493,695	-156,262
Compliance >	 PROJECT RESERVE 						557,155	557,155	455,655	- 150,202
	Uncommitted/Adjustment		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	3,048,343	3,048,343	2,510,883	537,460
	DISTRIBUTOR MONTHS LOG PROJ		T DATASETS ACTU	ALS						
	NON-CONSTRUCTION > WTD Staff Labor > 4611	82 PROGRAM MGMT >	4880 Project Manage	ement				Last N	lodified by Tamir Hasan	on January 18, 2013 8:4
	NUMBER NAME	ATES	🔒 LO	K YEARS						
	4880 Project Management 77, Star	/2022	⊞							
	FORECAST (
	Dollars 0 + 77,162 Estimate to Completion LTD Actuals (thru Jul (ETC) 2022)	Estimate at Completion (EAC)	55,251 = Model (Similar Projects) Va	21,911 ariance (EAC - Model)					
	↔ ¥ Hours									



How Project and Conceptual Project Forecasts are Combined into one CIP Forecast



Strategy

Sets goals and assumptions

Prioritization Data

• Determines sequencing and how project fits into strategy

Annualized Forecast

 Individual Project and Project Request Cost Projections



Important Things to Remember

- Our Portfolio Management processes are primarily geared toward helping leadership make near-term decisions like the biennial budget request.
- The assumptions used in the 10-Year Sewer Rate Forecasts rely on portfolio forecast data to project a probable future, but they are **not formal plans**.
- The 10-Year CIP Sewer Rate Forecasts try to approximate what those potential futures may be, but there will always be changes from those assumptions due to future decisions, new data, risk, uncertainty, and changed conditions.



Example CIP Sewer Rate Model Input

		Catalana													
		Category Criteria Score	Common Portfolio Criteria Score	Notes	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	203
	Cog Replacement Program	10	10	Notes	\$ 320,000	\$ 110,000									
Ongoing Programs	Teapot Refurbishment	10	10		\$ 1.640.000		\$ 1,230,000		<u>\$ 120,000</u> \$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
(funds smaller	Window Replacements	10	10		\$ 4,870,000	\$ 6,310,000	\$ 7,480,000	\$ 7,670,000	Ŷ	\$ 7,090,000	\$ 7,300,000	÷ 7.520.000	\$ 7,750,000	\$ 7,980,000	\$ 8,220,000
subprojects)	System-wide Sprocket Program	10	10		\$ 2,330,000	\$ 2,430,000	\$ 2,430,000			\$ 2,720,000			\$ 2,970,000		
Projects in	Crossroads PS Upgrade	10	10		\$ 10,000	\$ 2,430,000	\$ 2,430,000	\$ 2,520,000	\$ 2,020,000	\$ 2,720,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,880,000	\$ 2,570,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,130,000
•	WPTP Valve Upgrade	10	10		\$ 360,000	φ -	о с	р - С	<u>, -</u> s -	р - С -	ş -	ş -	ş -	ş -	ş -
construction/closeout	Arboretum PS Storage	8.9	6.4		\$ 6,860,000		\$ 15,410,000	\$ 20,050,000	\$ 710,000	р - С -	орология С. –	у - с -	ş -	ş -	р с
Projects in design	Lakeside Interceptor Rehab	8.7	8.8		\$ 1,590,000		\$ 20,780,000	\$ 7,380,000	\$ 710,000	р - с	\$ - ¢	ş -	ş -	р - с	 -
	West Point Bottling Conveyor	8.4	4.3		\$ 1,590,000	\$ 1,970,000	\$ 1,020,000		\$ 16,850,000	Ş -	\$ 860,000	ş -	ş -	р - с	ş -
	SP Donut Making Machine	8.2	6.6			\$ 750,000	\$ 870,000		\$ 4,190,000			c	ş -	р - с	 -
	BWTP Solids Concentrator	7.9	5.5			\$ 750,000	\$ 870,000	\$ 1,420,000 \$ 780.000	\$ 1,350,000		\$ 3,700,000	\$ 800,000	Ŷ		
	Roegner Park PS Upgrade	7.9	8.1				\$ 1,470,000	+	\$ 13,560,000	\$ 12,035,000	\$ 48,000,000		\$ 1,560,000		
		7.7					\$ 1,470,000		\$ 1,600,000		\$ 8,600,000	\$ 360,000		ş -	\$ - C
	WPTP Water Pipe Replacement	7.6	6.9 0.5			ş -	ş -	\$ 530,000							
Conceptual Projects /	WPTP Underground Water Tank				Ş -	Ş -	ş -	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 3,190,000	\$ 7,820,000	\$ 5,823,000	\$ 630,000		ş -	Ş -
Project Requests	Brightwater Soup Canner	7.0	6.5		Ş -	ş -	ş -	ş -	\$ 240,000	\$ 710,000	\$ 2,030,000	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 730,000	ş -	\$ -
	Space Needle Treatment Plant Upgrade	6.9	8.4		ş -	\$ -	ş -	ş -	\$ 2,030,000	\$ 6,440,000	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 18,020,000	\$ 25,360,000	\$ 42,090,000	\$ 85,900,00
	South Plant Sludge Pump	6.8	5.7		\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ 720,000	\$ 2,160,000	\$ 3,026,500	\$12,890,000	\$ 863,500	\$ -
	WPTP Paper Press	6.0	3.4	Push Button Rehab Predecessor	\$-	\$ ·	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 3,310,000	\$ 5,080,600	\$ 6,600,000	\$ 14,056,000	\$ 26,850,000	\$ 1,236,800	\$ -	\$ -
	WPTP Push Button Rehab	6.5	8.3		\$-	\$ ·	\$ -	\$ -	ş -		\$ 210,000	\$ 879,000	\$ 1,257,830	\$ 3,800,000	\$ 12,986,500
	Teapot Refurb 2026-2032	9.0	8.2	After current program sunset	Ş -	\$ ·	ş -	\$ 1,270,000	\$ 1,310,000	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 1,390,000	\$ 1,430,000	\$ 1,470,000	\$ 1,510,000	\$ 1,560,000
Placeholder	Aging Inventory Placeholder	N/A	N/A									\$ 10,000,000	\$ 20,000,000	\$40,000,000	\$ 50,000,000
Total	Total Asset Management Category				\$17,980,000	\$ 28,560,000	\$ 51,860,000	\$ 56,490,000	\$ 59,740,600	\$64,745,000	\$ 109,059,000	\$ 114,005,500	\$75,354,630	\$99,433,500	\$ 161,946,50
Goals	Category % of 6-Year CIP Goal	279	6												
	Category % of 6-Year CIP Actual	27%	6												
	Complete Tier 1 projects (7.0 or hig	her category score	e) by 2031												



Prioritization Data: Projects are sequenced by relative priority. Sometimes prioritization data can be used in relation to a time-bound goal.

Annualized Forecasts: The total forecast is a sum of individual annualized forecasts that are arranged to meet a goal, strategic plan, and/or 6-Year allocation.

												•					
			Category	Common Portfolio													
			Criteria Score	Criteria Score	Notes		2023	3 2024	4 2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2 2033
Ong	oing Programs	Cog Replacement Program	10	10			\$ 320,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000
-	unds smaller	Teapot Refurbishment	10	10			\$ 1,640,000	\$ 1,610,000	\$ 1,230,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -
	ubprojects)	Window Replacements	10	10			\$ 4,870,000	\$ 6,310,000	\$ 7,480,000	\$ 7,670,000	\$ 6,890,000	\$ 7,090,000	\$ 7,300,000	\$ 7,520,000	\$ 7,750,000	\$ 7,980,000	\$ 8,220,000
50	sprojects	System-wide Sprocket Program	10	10			\$ 2,330,000	\$ 2,430,000	\$ 2,430,000	\$ 2,520,000	\$ 2,620,000	\$ 2,720,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,880,000	\$ 2,970,000	\$ 3,060,000	\$ 3,150,000
F	Projects in	Crossroads PS Upgrade	10	10			\$ 10,000	\$ -	ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - !	\$-	Ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
constr	ruction/closeout	WPTP Valve Upgrade	10	10			\$ 360,000	\$ -	Ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - !	\$-	Ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Proj	jects in design	Arboretum PS Storage	8.9	6.4			\$ 6,860,000	\$ 15,280,000	\$ 15,410,000	\$ 20,050,000	\$ 710,000	\$ - !	ş -	ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Proje	cts in design	Lakeside Interceptor Rehab	8.7	8.8			\$ 1,590,000	\$ 1,970,000	\$ 20,780,000	\$ 7,380,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		West Point Bottling Conveyor	8.4	4.3			ş -	\$ 100,000	\$ 1,020,000	\$ 5,260,000	\$ 16,850,000	\$12,430,000	\$ 860,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		SP Donut Making Machine	8.2	6.6			ş -	\$ 750,000	\$ 870,000	\$ 1,420,000	\$ 4,190,000	\$ 500,000	ş -	ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		BWTP Solids Concentrator	7.9	5.5			ş -	ş -	ş -	\$ 780,000	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 2,510,000	\$ 3,700,000	\$ 800,000	\$ -	\$ -	Ş -
		Roegner Park PS Upgrade	7.9	8.1			ş -	ş -	\$ 1,470,000	\$ 5,150,000	\$ 13,560,000	\$12,035,000	\$ 48,000,000	\$ 33,480,000	\$ 1,560,000	\$ -	Ş -
		WPTP Water Pipe Replacement	7.7	6.9			ş -	Ş -	Ş -	\$ 530,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 3,690,000	\$ 8,600,000	\$ 360,000	\$ -	\$ -	Ş -
Conce	eptual Projects /	WPTP Underground Water Tank	7.6	0.5			ş -	ş -	ş -	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 3,190,000	\$ 7,820,000	\$ 5,823,000	\$ 630,000	\$ -	\$ -	Ş -
		Brightwater Soup Canner	7.0	6.5			ş -	Ş -	Ş -	\$ -	\$ 240,000	\$ 710,000	\$ 2,030,000	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 730,000	\$ -	Ş -
PIOJ	ject Requests	Space Needle Treatment Plant	60														
		Upgrade	6.9	8.4			ş -	ş -	ş -	\$ -	\$ 2,030,000	\$ 6,440,000	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 18,020,000	\$ 25,360,000	\$ 42,090,000	\$ 85,900,000
		South Plant Sludge Pump	6.8	5.7			Ş -	Ş -	Ş -	\$ -	ş -	\$ 720,000	\$ 2,160,000	\$ 3,026,500	\$12,890,000	\$ 863,500	\$ -
		WPTP Paper Press	6.0	3.4	Push Button Rehab Pr	redecessor	ş -	ş -	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 3,310,000	\$ 5,080,600	\$ 6,600,000	\$ 14,056,000	\$ 26,850,000	\$ 1,236,800	\$ -	Ş -
		WPTP Push Button Rehab	6.5	8.3			ş -	Ş -	Ş -	\$ -	ş -		\$ 210,000	\$ 879,000	\$ 1,257,830	\$ 3,800,000	\$ 12,986,500
		Teapot Refurb 2026-2032	9.0	8.2	After current program	m sunset	ş -	Ş -	Ş -	\$ 1,270,000	\$ 1,310,000	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 1,390,000	\$ 1,430,000	\$ 1,470,000	\$ 1,510,000	\$ 1,560,000
P'	laceholder	Aging Inventory Placeholder	N/A	N/A										\$ 10,000,000	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 50,000,000
	Total	Total Asset Management Category	1				\$17,980,000	\$ 28,560,000	\$ 51,860,000	\$ 56,490,000	\$ 59,740,600	\$ 64,745,000	\$ 109,059,000	\$ 114,005,500	\$ 75,354,630	\$99,433,500	\$ 161,946,500
,					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
	Goals	Category % of 6-Year CIP Goal	27%	%											1		
		Category % of 6-Year CIP Actual	27%	%											1		
		Complete Tier 1 projects (7.0 or high	her category scor	/e) by 2031											1		
		the second se			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										4		

Strategy: Some portfolio categories have timebound goals or strategic plans associated with them. All have a 6-Year Allocation that we try to meet.

Dependencies: Sometimes projects have dependencies and are sequenced accordingly.

Placeholders: Portfolio inventory processes are geared toward near-term decisions (biennial budget and 6-Year CIP), sometimes placeholders are used when there is data that a conceptual need is likely but has not been requested or placed into the inventory yet. Placeholders are drawn down as conceptual projects are inventoried and prioritized.

Forecast Uncertainty and Contingency Management

Notes on Forecast Uncertainty

- Note that both time and level of scope definition have large impacts on cost certainty.
- Uncertainty in the problems/needs and their future solutions, uncertainty in future regulations, uncertainty in population, climate, flow and capacity projections, uncertainty in facility siting, and uncertainty in inflation, resources, and market conditions could all impact the final cost of the conceptual projects.

AACEi Cost Estimate Classification	WTD Cost Estimate Definition	% of Scope Definition	Expected Accuracy Range
Class 10	Long –Term System Planning	0% - 1%	-50% - +300%
Class 5	Concept Definition	0% - 2%	-50% - +100%
Class 4	Preliminary Design	1% - 15%	-30% - +50%
Class 3	Final Design	10% - 40%	-20% - +30%
Class 2	Bid for Construction	30% - 75%	-15% - +20%
Class 1	Construction Change Orders	65% - 100%	-10% - +15%



Scope Development and Cost Estimate Classification





Managing Contingencies

- Contingency is part of the risk management process and provides a means to mitigate the risk of known or predictable events that are inherent in capital projects.
- Contingency is part of the capital project budget and is controlled by the project manager.
- Contingency should be expected to be spent when risks materialize.
- If potential risks and uncertainties do not materialize as a project progresses, contingency should be reduced accordingly prior to proceeding to the next phase (requirement is also referenced in Ordinance 16764).
- Contingency is only intended to cover items that fall within the original project scope of work. The addition of any work beyond the chartered scope must be approved by management.
- <u>No additional "management reserve" is included in</u> <u>rate forecasts</u>. WTD does have a contingent emergent appropriation fund, but it is appropriation only, and not reflected in the sewer rate forecast.

AACEi Cost Estimate	WTD Typical Contingency Amounts										
Classification	Construction Allowance for Indeterminants	Construction Change Order Allowance	Project Reserve								
Class 10	25%	10%	30%								
Class 5	25%	10%	25%								
Class 4	20%	10%	20%								
Class 3	15%	10%	15%								
Class 2	10%	10%	10%								
Class 1	0%	10%	5%								



Evolution of CIP Forecasts Over Time



Delivery and Financial Strategies to Manage Growing CIP

Managing an Increasing CIP – Delivery Strategies

Leverage Internal Resources

- Addition of Staff
- Collaborative Delivery
- Programmatic
 Packaging and Delivery
- Continue Project Delivery Best Practices

Adjust to Resource Limitations

• Project Deferral



Leverage Internal Resources

Addition of Staff

2023 Budget included about 50 new positions to support growing capital program

Collaborative Delivery

- Relies less on internal resources than design-bid-build
- WTD conducted initiative to incorporate it into project delivery business process
- Two projects recently approved by Washington State Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee to use Progressive Design Build

Programmatic Packaging and Delivery

- WTD piloting West Point Capital Program to coordinate and more efficiently deliver projects
- WTD is also piloting more asset-based programmatic delivery of commonly replaced assets



Leverage Internal Resources

Continue Project Delivery Best Practices

- WTD has long committed to continuous improvement of its project delivery processes
- Practicing standards-based project management (PMI) processes since 2007
- Consistent governance oversight at project phased stage gates and scope/schedule/cost changes
 - Decision-making authority is assigned to the lowest appropriate level so that decisions are made effectively and efficiently
- Robust Project Information System (PRISM) that integrates project forecasting with real-time information from accounting system



Adjust to Resource Limitations

- Portfolio Management data helps leadership make tough decisions when choosing which projects to resource
- Considerations include:
 - Resource allocation
 - Existing conditions
 - Internal and external impacts of deferral





Managing an Increasing CIP - Cash-Funding & Rate Smoothing

Annual Cash-Funding Requirements: 2023-2032 Adopted Rate Forecast

- Cash-funding requirements tied to a rapidly growing CIP result in steep, irregular rate increases (dark grey dotted line)
- WTD "smooths" the rates by averaging the 40% cash-funding requirement over 10 years (orange dotted line)
- Near-term rates become more sensitive to changes in CIP forecasts (more "volatility")



Application of Accomplishment Rates

Accomplishment Rate – Resource-Constrained Forecast

- In early 2022, when preparing the 2023 Sewer Rate Forecast, the individual forecasts for capital projects totaled more than what historical throughput would suggest.
- Due to concern over potentially overestimating the amount of capital expenditures early in the decade, a "resource-constraint" was applied to forecasted expenditures.
- This constrained the forecast at the beginning of the decade to what historical data suggested (based on throughput per FTE), then it redistributed expenditures to the end of the decade as resources were added, so that the total investment level represented in the forecast is the same.





Accomplishment Rate – Resource-Constrained Forecast

 The 85% accomplishment rate was then applied on top of (i.e., after) the resource-constrained redistribution of the CIP. The assumed effective accomplishment rate fluctuates between 69% and 101% a year through 2032, and averages approximately 87% (Georgetown and Joint Ship Canal use 100% accomplishment rates)

Forecast from Adopted 2023 Sewer Rate	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2023-2032
Aggregated CIP Project Forecasts	\$445	\$441	\$529	\$546	\$562	\$692	\$878	\$916	\$921	\$1,012	\$6,942
Resource-Constrained CIP Assumption	\$353	\$379	\$446	\$504	\$591	\$745	\$885	\$999	\$1,091	\$1,068	\$7,061
Adjusted to 85% AR	\$307	\$326	\$381	\$429	\$503	\$633	\$752	\$849	\$928	\$908	\$6,014
Effective AR	69%	74%	72%	79%	89%	92%	86%	93%	101%	90%	87%



How Capital Spending Impacts the Sewer Rate

CIP Spending Impact to Long-term Rates

Example of how \$100 million of capital spending in 2023 would translate into sewer rate requirements, assuming 40% cash funding, 5% bond interest rates, 30-year level debt service, and a January 1st bond issuance



Questions and Contact Information

Crystal Fleet

- Capital Portfolio Planning and Analysis Unit Manager
- crystal.fleet@kingcounty.gov

Devin ViBarnes

- Rates, Capital, & Debt Management Manager
- devin.vibarnes@kingcounty.gov

