

I-207 Analysis¹ Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County Community Service Area Subarea Plan December 2023

¹ In response to King County Comprehensive Plan Policy I-207 and King County Code (K.C.C.) 20.18.030 requirements for an analysis of proposed policy changes.

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change | | |
| Comprehensive Plan - Chapter 11 Community Service Area Subarea Planning | | | | | | | | | | |
| CP-501 King County, in cooperation with the Valley cities, and state and foderal agencies, should conduct a study of baseline conditions and cumulative impacts of development on the Snoqualmic River's water quality, and identify methods of equitably controlling these impacts. (SQP-1 through SQP-6) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because there have already been several studies on Snoqualmie River water quality. The focus is now on supporting several existing and in- development interventions to help reduce the impacts of development, which are supported by various development/water quality policies, including: R-336, R- 514, E-110. E-111, E-445, E-462, E- 467, and E-701. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | | |
| CP-502 Wildlife populations in the Snoqualmie Valley planning area are recognized as a regionally important resource and an important characteristic of the area's rural character. Special studies should be undertaken, in cooperation with the Washington State Department of Wildlife, to identify wildlife populations at risk due to the land uses allowed by the King County Comprehensive Plan and to develop mitigation measures to protect the continued viability of the area's wildlife populations. Should these studies indicate unmitigatable impact affecting wildlife populations due to the land uses allowed by the plan, a comprehensive plan amendment study will be undertaken to provide for the continued existence of this valuable resource. (SQP-17) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with comprehensive plan policies E-411 and E-418 in the existing comp plan (which are proposed to remain in the latest CAO draft); additionally, avoidance and mitigation are required generally for critical areas like fish and wildlife | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | | |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| b. Substan change existing c. Clarifica existing intent, o d. Technic no polic directive change | existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | habitat conservation areas by code. Additional policies that cover this topic include E-404, E- 432, E-434, E-438, E-439, and E-441. | | | | | | |
| CP-503 Properties in crosion prone drainage basins are subject to special development conditions applied to protect the safety and property of county residents through reducing or eliminating the occurrence of gully formation and sover erosion. These conditions may include: a. A drainage control plan; b. Installation of drainage control features prior to any land clearing, vegetation removal, site grading, read construction, or utility installation; and c. Runoff control requirements. (SQP-21) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with comprehensive plan policies E-501 E- 502, F-272, and the King County Surface Water Design Manual. Drainage basins are not more erosion-prone in the valley than elsewhere. Selecting the Snoqualmie Valley for special restrictions is likely not justified, especially as geologic information is now available to make more refined, site-specific assessments. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-504 King County will oppose annexations to Snoqualmie Valley cities that currently contain designated floodplain lands until interlocal agreements have been enacted to advance the policies and standards set forth in the comprehensive plan. (SQP-27) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because all cities along the Snoqualmie River have designated floodplains and participate in the National Flood Insurance Program. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| a. New polic b. Substanti change to existing p c. Clarificati existing p intent, or d. Technical no policy directive change | existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | Also, this policy overlaps in content proposed subarea plan policies SVNE- 6 and SVNE-16. | | | | | | |
| CP-505 The Shoreline Environment designations of the King County Shoreline Management Program should be consistent with comprehensive plan land use map designations and zoning. King County should initiate the shoreline redesignation process consistent with K.C.C. 25.32.130. (SQP-32) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with the existing methodology in the comprehensive plan for designating Shoreline Environments, which incorporates zoning (see Figure S-1 in the Shoreline Element). Shoreline Environment designations are already required to be consistent with the Shoreline Master Program. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-506 Until expansion areas are annexed, zoning for the expansion areas shall be urban reserve at five acre densities with the exception of the existing commercial/industrial area in the SE North Bend Way expansion area, and the existing industrial area in the Snoqualmie expansion area. (SQP-57) | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of North Bend. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-507 King County will support development within the Snoqualmie Valley cities of Duvall, Carnation, Snoqualmie and North Bend and annexation and development of lands within their expansion areas, when each city demonstrates that its wastewater and storm water treatment systems for the existing and proposed city jurisdiction will not degrade the water quality of the Snoqualmie River and its tributaries. (SQP-58) | | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-6. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-508 King County will not support Snoqualmic Valley cities' annexations into expansion areas until each city | Technical | This policy is proposed to be | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| b. Sub char exis c. Clar exis inte d. Tech no p dire char | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| has adopted mechanisms to reduce flood and channel migration hazards within its jurisdiction. (SQP-59) | | deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-6. and SVNE-16. | | | | | | |
| CP-509 King County shall initiate an amendment to the King County Comprehensive Plan if the cumulative impact of development of the cities' expansion areas will reduce the quality of the Snoqualmie River and its tributaries below the current "A and AA" standards. (SQP-61) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because Water quality standards "A" and "AA" refer to a 1960's classification standard, which the EPA no longer allows states to use. There is also overlap with comprehensive plan policy R-336 and proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-15 in terms of current water quality issues unique to the Snoqualmie River. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-510 King County shall support annexation of the expansion area only when Carnation implements a long term, nonstructural program to reduce flood damages on floodplains land within its jurisdiction. (SQP-68) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-6. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-511 Achieving a long term solution to flood damages within the City of Snoqualmie is one of King County's highest priorities for this planning area. (SQP-70) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE- 16, and because it is worded less as a policy and more as a stance. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an | existing policy | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| a. New b. Sub cha exis c. Clar exis inte d. Tec no p dire cha | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| CP-512 King County intends to assist the City of Snoqualmie to develop a long term solution and an implementation program which will reduce the risk from flooding and channel migration in the city. (SQP-73) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE- 16. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-513 If the long term solution to reducing the risk from flooding in the City of Snoqualmie is determined to have basin wide impacts, these impacts shall be reviewed by the King County River and Floodplain Management Program or its equivalent to identify any additional mitigations that may be required. If the long term solution to reducing the risk from flooding is demonstrated to not have basin wide impacts, it should be implemented as soon as possible and would not require a second, basin wide, review of impacts and mitigations. (SQP-74) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because it addresses concerns from a time before both the creation of the Flood Control District in 2017 (which funds flood risk reduction projects in the upper Snoqualmie) and County staff support of flood planning within Valley cities. This policy is also redundant to proposed subarea plan policy SVNE- 16. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-514 King County urges a public/private resource commitment to implement a long term solution to flooding in the City of Snoqualmic. (SQP-75) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because since this policy was created, the Flood Control District has been instituted to help provide fiscal resources for flood mitigation throughout Valley cities affected by flooding, including | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the proposed change | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an | existing policy | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | the City of | | | | | | |
| CP-515 Until a long term solution to preventing flood damages in the City of Snoqualmie is agreed to by King County and the City of Snoqualmie, King County will support annexations in expansion areas when consistent with all appropriate policies herein and when higher residential densities can be achieved, municipal services can be provided, and river water quality will not be degraded.(SQP-77) | Technical | Snoqualmie. This policy is proposed to be deleted because the Flood Control District has now been instituted to help provide fiscal resources for flood mitigation throughout Valley cities affected by flooding. It is believed these other provisions were connected to supporting the annexation of the Phase 1 & Phase 2 Snoqualmie Ridge areas, which have since been incorporated into the City of Snoqualmie. For the last part of the policy, Annexations would not occur unless municipal services could be provided; additionally, a previous policy addresses Snoqualmie River water quality. Regarding higher density goals, U- 205e addresses densities related to annexations. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an o | existing policy | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| CP-516 Annexations of lands within the Phase 1 and Phase 2 additions to the City of Snoqualmie's Urban Growth Area shall not occur until completion of detailed planning, preparation and review of project level Environmental Impact Statement(s), and a determination of required mitigations and amenities. The range of land uses to be allowed and the mitigations and amenities to be required shall be embodied in a binding Development Agreement between the City of Snoqualmie and the owners of proposed annexation lands. | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of Snoqualmie. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-517 The project level Environmental Impact Statement(s) for lands within the Phase 1 and Phase 2 additions to the City of Snoqualmie's Urban Growth Area shall address aquifer recharge issues, and potential impacts to the water quality and quantity of Lake Alice, private wells in the Lake Alice and Snoqualmie Hills neighborhoods, and all streams that flow off site. | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of Snoqualmie. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-518 Based on the findings of the Environmental Impact Statement(s), the Development Agreement between the City of Snoqualmie and the owners of proposed annexation lands in the Phase 1 and Phase 2 additions to the City of Snoqualmie's Urban Growth Area shall establish a program for long term monitoring of the water quality and quantity of Lake Alice and the private wells in the Lake Alice and Snoqualmie Hills neighborhoods, and of all streams flowing off site. | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of Snoqualmie. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-519 The Development Agreement shall also outline the remedies necessary if the monitoring program leads to findings that development activities on the annexation lands are the cause for adverse impacts to the water quality and/or quantity of Lake Alice and the private wells in the Lake Alice and Snoqualmie Hills neighborhoods, and of streams flowing off site. The owners of the annexation lands shall be responsible for the monitoring program and correction of any impacts determined to have been caused by their development activities. Remedies may include connection to the public water system, or construction of alternative wells. | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of Snoqualmie. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-520 The project level Environmental Impact Statement(s) for lands within the Phase 1 and Phase 2 additions to the City of Snoqualmie's Urban Growth Area shall address traffic safety issues, with a focus on safety concerns for rural homeowners dependent upon the southern stretch of the Snoqualmie Parkway for access to their homes. A range of alternatives to improve safety | Technical | Policy is deleted because Snoqualmie Parkway is now a City of Snoqualmie roadway and the I- | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| a. N b. S c e c. C e ir d. T n d t r n | change to an existing policy, | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| at the intersection of the Snoqualmic Parkway and SE 96th Street, including signalization, road widening and turn lanes shall be explored. | | 90 interchange is managed by Washington State DOT. There are some County roads at this location but signalization and widening is not called for on the County portions. The City of Snoqualmie has annexed up to the UGA so the need for additions is not appropriate | | | | | | |
| CP-521 Annexations of lands within the Phase 1 and Phase 2 additions to the City of Snoqualmie's Urban Growth Area shall be subject to updated Comprehensive Water and Sanitary Sewer Plans to determine the full range of improvements landowners within the annexation will be required to provide. | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of Snoqualmie. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-522 A Drainage Master Plan shall be required for any new development of lands within the Phase 1 and Phase 2 additions to the City of Snoqualmie's Urban Growth Area. Stormwater facility design shall adhere to the standards in the most recent update of the King County Design Manual, or of the Snoqualmie Storm Drainage Plan, whichever is the most stringent. | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of Snoqualmie. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-523 There shall be no road connections between the Phase 1 addition to the City of Snoqualmie's Urban Growth Area and 356th SE in the Snoqualmie Hills Planning Area, unless future analysis determines a restricted emergency access is necessary for safety purposes. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-25 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-524 There shall be no road connections between the Phase 2 addition to the City of Snoqualmie's Urban Growth Area and Lake Alice Road, unless future analysis determines a restricted emergency access for Lake Alice residents is necessary for safety purposes. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-25 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| change: a. New polic b. Substant change to existing p c. Clarificat existing p intent, or d. Technica | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propose | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| CP-525 To protect the rural character of the neighborhoods surrounding the Phase 1 and Phase 2 additions to the City of Snoqualmie's Urban Growth Area, the Phase 1 and Phase 2 areas shall include buffers to all rural lands along their perimeter. The size and structure of each buffer area shall be determined based on the characteristics of the land and existing vegetation, and its ability to perform the following functions: visual screening; noise reduction; and minimization of blow down. Buffers may include constructed berms and new plantings if deemed necessary and appropriate to perform the required functions. | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have largely been annexed by the City of Snoqualmie. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-526 King County supports the continued industrial use of Weyerhaeuser's Snoqualmie Mill site and its annexation to the City of Snoqualmie. (SQP-79) | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of Snogualmie. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-527 King County will support annexations of land in North Bend's expansion area when higher residential densities can be achieved, municipal services can be provided, and river water quality will not be degraded. (SQP-81) | Technical | Policy is deleted because it is no longer required. It is inconsistent with zoning in Urban Reserve (UR) zoning in the Potential Annexation Area (PAA), and no specific development conditions apply on parcels to imply conditions remain prior to annexation. Deletion of this policy also avoid redundancy with proposed policy SVNE-6. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-528 Commercial and light industrial land uses are appropriate along SE North Bend Way subject to special development conditions to mitigate impacts. (SQP-82) | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed change: | Rationale for the | | If the propose | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | been annexed by the City of North Bend. | | | | | | |
| CP-529 Commercial and industrial zoned land (including potential commercial or potential industrial zoned land) within the City of North Bend's Urban Growth Area (UGA) are planned for nonretail, resource based and highway oriented uses. These uses shall be served by public sowors. | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that either have been annexed by the City of North Bend or have been rezoned since this policy was adopted. All lands in North Bend PAA are zoned UR. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-530 The area between Tanner and the Edgewick Interchange, south of SE 140th and north of I 90, is appropriate for nonretail commercial and light industrial land uses. Commercial and light industrial uses shall be limited to uses that do not impact ground water and are related to resource based shipping, distributing and trucking related industrial development. (SQP-84) | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of North Bend. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-531 Land uses adjacent to the Edgewick Interchange shall be limited to highway oriented commercial uses that do not impact ground water, and serve the traveling public. (SQP-85) | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of North Bend. Land immediately to the south, east and west that is in county jurisdiction is zoned RA-5, RA-10 or F. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-532 The area north of the Edgewick Interchange is appropriate for resource based, shipping, distributing and trucking related industrial uses that do not impact ground water. (SQP-86) | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have been annexed by the City of North Bend. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-533 Fall City is an unincorporated rural town which shall have overall residential densities of one to four dwelling units per acre. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed change: | Rationale for the If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-1 and will continue being implemented through Map Amendment 2: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Fall City Residential Dimensional Standards. | | | | | | |
| CP-534 All property within the downtown Fall City business district is zoned Community Business (CB) and is included within a designated Special District Overlay (SDO). Development within the SDO is permitted using an on site septic system approved by the Seattle King County Health Department. Development is also permitted using either an alternative wastewater disposal system approved by the Seattle King County Health Department. Development is also permitted using either an alternative wastewater disposal system approved by the Seattle King County Health Department (such as a community drainfield) or a self contained sewage conveyance and treatment system approved by the Department of Ecology, provided that: a. The selected system shall be designed and constructed to serve only properties located within the designated SDO; b. The business and commercial property owners in the SDO are responsible for the operation and maintenance of the selected system; c. The County's role should be to provide technical assistance in the development and implementation of the selected system; d. If the selected system fails, and to prevent a potential health hazard, requires connection to the King County regional wastewater system, any such sewer conveyance shall be tight lined and shall under no circumstance be used to provide sewage disposal service to residential properties in Fall City, except as provided by policy R 508; and e. No costs to implement the selected system or to connect to the County's regional wastewater system shall be borne by properties outside the SDO. Funding from grants, loans and other outside sources may be | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-27 and will continue being implemented through Map Amendment 1: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Fall City Business District. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propose | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| used to help fund the system, and the County may assist | | | | | | | | |
| in the pursuit of this additional funding. CP-535 The zoning for Fall City adopted in the 1999 Fall City Subarea Plan reflects the community's strong commitment to its rural character, recognizes existing uses, provides for limited future commercial development, and respects natural features. Additionally, it recognizes the current and long term foreseeable rural level of utilities and other public services for the area. The land use implications of a major change in the water supply or a public health requirement for community wide wastewater collection and treatment may be evaluated in a new community based planning process; however this does not mean that zoning will change to allow more intense development beyond that adopted in the 1999 Fall City Subarea Plan. The rural character of Fall City should be preserved. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policies SVNE- 1, SVNE-2 and SVNE-27. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-536 Within the residential area of Fall City, compatible home occupations and small scale agricultural pursuits or similar rural land uses can continue. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policies SVNE- 1, SVNE-32 and SVNE-34. Map Amendment 1: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Fall City Business District.and Map Amendment 2: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Fall City Residential Dimensional Standards reflect the results from most recent community engagement. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| CP-537 King County should work with the State of Washington and the Fall City community to continue to make transportation improvements in Fall City that will favor safe and pleasant pedestrian and other nonmotorized links between downtown businesses, the residential areas, and nearby King County Parks, and safe walkways to schools, rather than rapid through traffic. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-23 and SVNE-24. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-538 King County should expand the soft surface pedestrian, equestrian and bicycle trail opportunities serving the Fall City area. Trail route options serving the community shall be reviewed to include a route along the left bank levee casement directly adjacent to the Raging River, historically used by the public as a pedestrian, equestrian and bicycle trail. This historically used trail generally follows the "wildlife corridor" along the bank of the Raging River from 328th Way SE approximately NE to the Preston Fall City Road. The selected trail system for the Fall City area shall be identified in the King County Parks and Recreation trail system plan. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policies SVNE- 19, SVNE-23 and SVNE-24. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-539 Zoning for the existing industrial and office areas adopted in the 1999 Fall City Subarea Plan should be maintained but not expanded. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-1 and will continue being implemented through Map Amendment 3: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Fall City Industrial. Office zoning no longer exists in the Rural Town of Fall City. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-540 Land uses at freeway interchanges without existing commercial or industrial development, and outside rural neighborhoods and Cities in the Rural Area, are designated rural residential to support development in rural neighborhoods and Cities in the Rural Area, and to preserve the scenic nature of the corridor. (SQP-98) | Technical | This policy was to address land uses at two interchanges in the Snoqualmie Community Plan, based on the | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | narrative the interchanges are the I-90/SR-18 Interchange and the interchange at North Bend. The I-90/SR- 18 is covered in the Snoqualmie Interchange Area Zoning and Land Use Study. The North Bend interchange is now annexed. | | | | | | |
| CP-541 New development at the Exit 22 Interchange shall not expand beyond the area designated in this plan and shall not adversely impact surrounding rural residential areas. All uses should be planned and sited to use long term onsite waste disposal systems. (SQP- 99) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-5. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-542 The existing two acres of land currently zoned for commercial use at Preston (Exit 22) is recognized, but no additional land for commercial uses is designated. (SQP-100) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-5 and will continue being implemented through Map Amendment 5: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Preston Mill Development Conditions. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-543 The presence of the Snoqualmie Tribe in the planning area has important historic and cultural significance for the Puget Sound region. The following places, recognized by the tribe as historically, culturally and archeologically important, should be considered for | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because a subarea plan cannot | | | | | | |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propose | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing polic c. Clarification existing polic intent, or | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| inclusion in the King County historic sites survey, and designation to local and/or national register of historic places. The tribe recognizes the following areas as culturally significant: a. Snoqualmie Falls; b. The banks of the Snoqualmie River between the falls and the three forks confluence area; c. Fall City Indian Cometery; d. Banks at the confluence of Snoqualmie and Raging Rivers; e. Banks at the confluence of Snoqualmie and Tolt Rivers; f. Fall City Park (site of John Sanawa's Council House and the first white school); g. Mt. Si; and h. Granite outcropping used as a quarry between North Bend and the City of Snoqualmie on SR 202. (SQP- 122) | | predetermine what the Snoqualmie Tribe and King County Landmarks Commission can determine are historic, archeologically, and culturally significant sites, The preservation of landmarks under King County jurisdiction is covered in K.C.C. 20.62 PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION OF LANDMARKS, LANDMARK SITES AND DISTRICTS. | | | | | | |
| CP-544 King County recognizes the spiritual, historic, cultural and recreational value of the Snoqualmie Falls. Any development adjacent to Snoqualmie Falls shall be designed and sited to protect these values. (SQP-123) | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have either been annexed by the City of Snoqualmie or purchased and transferred to trust lands by the Snoqualmie Tribe. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-545 Because of the spiritual significance of the area at the base of the falls to the various tribes in the Puget Sound region, this area of the falls should remain free of development and open for public access. (SQP-124) | Technical | Policy is deleted because it refers to areas that have either been annexed by the City of Snoqualmie or purchased and | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | transferred to trust lands by the Snoqualmie Tribe. | | | | | | |
| CP-546 The community of Proston is a significant cultural and historic reminder of the planning area's roots in the logging industry. The existing land use designation shall be maintained, and new development should respect the existing character of the community. (SQP-125) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-5. It will continue being implemented through Map Amendment 4: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Preston Industrial Development Conditions and Map Amendment 5: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Preston Mill Development Conditions. Also, the Preston Mill site has been preserved as a King County Park. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-547 The industrial area adjacent to the Rural Neighborhood of Preston shall be recognized with appropriate zoning for industrial uses. This area is designated for industrial uses to recognize existing industrial use and vested applications for new industrial development. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-5 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| The boundaries of this industrial area are permanent. No expansion of the designated industrial area will be permitted, and any effort to expand its boundaries is recognized as contrary to the Growth Management Act, including the 1997 amendments. | | and will be implemented through Map Amendment 4: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast | | | | | | |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| Any industrial development or redevelopment in the designated industrial area (excluding reconstruction in the event of accidental damage or destruction, or tenant improvements entirely within the building structures) shall be conditioned and scaled to maintain and protect the rural character of the area as defined in RCW 26 20 0300 (14) and to protect considue notice for the structures) | | King County – Preston Industrial Development Conditions. | | | | | | |
| 36.70A.030(14) and to protect sensitive natural features. New industrial development or redevelopment (excluding structures and site improvements that existed or are vested by applications as of May 24, 1996, or tenant improvements entirely within building structures), on lots not subject to restrictions and conditions consistent with those reflected in Recording No. 9708190805 must be dependent upon being in the Rural Area and must be compatible with the functional and visual character of rural uses in the immediate vicinity and must not encourage or facilitate conversion or redesignation of nearby Rural and Rural Neighborhood lands to commercial, industrial or urban uses. The boundaries of this industrial area shall be those properties within the Preston Industrial Water System, as set by King County Ordinance 5948, with the exception | | | | | | | | |
| of parcel #2924079054. CP-548 King County supports efforts of the community of Preston to achieve recognition of its historical and cultural significance. Its historic character should be maintained through designation as an historic area. (SQP-126) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because a subarea plan cannot predetermine what the King County Landmarks Commission can determine are historic, archeologically, and culturally significant sites. The preservation of landmarks under King County jurisdiction is covered in K.C.C. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | 20.62 PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION OF LANDMARKS, LANDMARK SITES AND DISTRICTS. | | | | | | |
| CP 550 The development of a regional railroad museum | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because a subarea plan cannot predetermine what the King County Landmarks Commission can determine are historic, archeologically, and culturally significant sites. The preservation of landmarks under King County jurisdiction is covered in K.C.C. 20.62 PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION OF LANDMARKS, LANDMARK SITES AND DISTRICTS. There is no record showing the Preston Heritage Committee still exists. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-550 The development of a regional railroad museum in the Snoqualmie area is encouraged to promote understanding of the regional significance of railroads in the settlement and development pattern of Washington State. (SQP-128) | Technical | The Northwest Railway Museum now has a Railway History Campus within the City of Snoqualmie. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| b. Substanti change to existing p c. Clarificati existing p intent, or d. Technical no policy directive change intended | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| CP-551 King County shall put high priority on the acquisition and development of a regional trail system linking the Snoqualmic Valley planning area to other parts of the county. (SQP-143) | Technical | Proposed for deletion to maintain consistency with other policies relating recreational land acquisition, including P-123 and P-133. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-552 King County supports designation of the Middle Fork of the Snoqualmie River under either the national or state Wild and Scenic River program. (SQP-151) | Technical | The Middle Fork of the Snoqualmie River was designated as part of the national Wild and Scenic River program in 2014. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-553 King County supports evaluation of the North Fork of the Snoqualmie River and the main stem of the Tolt River under either the national or state Wild and Scenic River program. (SQP-152) | Technical | This policy was not carried over to the Subarea Plan due to no current efforts at designation. Such a designation would be state or federal. The last time portions of these rivers were explored for such a designation was 1990. If such efforts arise in the future, a supportive policy could be considered at the next Subarea Plan update. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| CP-554 King County shall assist the City of North Bend, when requested, to develop a long term solution and an implementation program which will reduce the risk from flooding and channel migration in the city.)) | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-16 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Fall City Subarea Plan (as amended in 2012) | | | | | | | | |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an o | existing policy | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| b. Subschan exist c. Clari exist inter d. Tech no p direc chan inter | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| S-1 On-site septic systems, alternative wastewater disposal systems approved by the Seattle-King County Health Department (such as a community drainfield) are the preferred methods for wastewater treatment and disposal in Fall City. A self-contained sewage treatment and conveyance system approved by the Department of Ecology may be allowed to serve only the Fall City Business District if the preferred methods of wastewater treatment are proven to be technologically infeasible. Connection to and service by King County's regional wastewater system will be permitted only when necessary to address a threat to public health, as provided by King County policies and regulations. Business and commercial property owners in Fall City are the appropriate parties responsible for financing, operating and maintaining any future wastewater and disposal improvements in the business district. King County may help facilitate by providing technical assistance in the development and implementation of the selected system and support when pursing outside funding sources. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with subarea plan policy SVNE-27. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| RT-1 The Rural Town boundaries of Fall City are shown on the map on page 23, and reflect the community's strong commitment to its rural character, recognize existing development patterns, and respect natural features. The Rural Town boundaries of Fall City shall follow the Snoqualmic River and State Route 202 on the north, the Raging River on the east and southeast, the Issaquah-Fall City Road on the south, the western property lines of parcels 1524079014 and 152407908, then west along SE 44th Street and north along 328th Place SE, and the perimeter of the Nelson Tracts subdivision on the northwest. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policies SVNE- 1 and SVNE-2. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| L-1 The Urban Reserve area identified in the Snoqualmic Valley Community Plan should be redesignated to protect rural character and to recognize the logical outer boundary of the Rural Town. Those areas previously zoned Urban Reserve which are currently developed at densities similar to or greater than other properties included in the Rural Town boundaries are appropriately included within the Rural Town and redesignated to R-4, as indicated on the land use map on page 24. The remaining portions of the area proviously zoned Urban Reserve are appropriately redesignated Rural Residential and rezoned to RA-5. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because the rezones have already been accomplished. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| a. New policy, b. Substantive change to a existing poli c. Clarification existing poli intent, or d. Technical, w no policy directive change intended | change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| L-2 Residential development within the revised boundaries of the Rural Town of Fall City should be at densities ranging from one to four dwelling units per acre. All residential land should be zoned R-4 and the zoning code should be amended to eliminate the minimum density requirement and the maximum density option for R-4 zoned properties located within the Rural Town of Fall City. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because the rezones have already been accomplished. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| L-3 The keeping and raising of livestock should be allowed in a Rural Town. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because such uses are not permitted in Rural Towns. Community engagement results did not lead to pursuing the retention of this policy. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| L-4 Fall City's existing commercial and industrial land base should be retained. All property within the downtown Fall City business district is zoned Community Business (CB) and is included within a designated Special District Overlay (SDO). Development within the SDO is permitted using on-site septic approved by the Seattle-King County Health Department. Development is also permitted using either an alternative wastewater disposal system (such as a community drainfield) approved by the Seattle-King County Health Department or a self-contained sewage conveyance and treatment system approved by the Department of Ecology provided that: a. The selected system shall be designed and constructed to serve only properties located within the designated SDO; b. If the selected system fails, and to prevent a potential health hazard, requires connection to the King County regional wastewater system, any such sewer conveyance shall be tight-lined. The tight-lined conveyance shall under no circumstance be used to provide sewage disposal service to residential properties in Fall City, except as provided by King County Comprehensive Plan policy R-508; and | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-1, SVNE-2, and SVNE- 27. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| change to an existing poli c. Clarification existing poli intent, or d. Technical, w no policy directive change intended | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| c. No costs to implement the selected system or to connect to the County's regional wastewater system shall be borne by properties outside the SDO. Funding from grants, loans and other outside sources may be used to help fund the system, and the County may assist in the pursuit of this additional funding. | mondod | | | | | | | |
| L-5 Office zoning should be retained for the US Post Office site but changed to R-4 for the King County Roads Maintenance Yard site. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because this policy direction is no longer required. Office zoning no longer exists in the Rural Town of Fall City. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| H-1 King County should work with the community to preserve the historic character of Fall City, including working with individual property owners who are interested in attaining landmark status for significant buildings within the Rural Town. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-1. Also, King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks Historic Preservation Program already works with property owners on such matters. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| R-1 King County should develop a rural character protection program for Fall City and vicinity that may include a rural conservation district and addresses such issues as: rural landscape, signs, vistas, historic landmarks, design standards (building and infrastructure), preservation of the existing character of the Preston-Fall City Road and the state routes serving Fall City and land use controls to help achieve and maintain the community's goals and values (expressed on page 19 of this plan and also in King County Comprehensive Plan policy CP-929). | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE- 15. The ability for Department of Local Services to develop and implement geographically | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an o | existing policy | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| b. c. | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | specific rural design standards is limited. | | | | | | |
| PTO-1 King County should expand soft surface pedestrian, equestrian, and bicycle trail opportunities serving Fall City, including but not limited to a pedestrian, bicycle and equestrian crossing at SE 39th Place across State Route 203. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because the crosswalk at SE 39 th Place and State Route 203 has been completed, and to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-19 and SVNE-23. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| PTO-2 King County should conduct a trail feasibility study for the Preston-Fall City corridor which reviews options and recommends ways to connect Fall City to the Snoqualmic Valley Trail and the Preston- Snoqualmic Trail. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE- 19, which is worded more broadly and less like a work plan item better fit for a Community Needs List. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| PTO-3 King County should provide more passive use parks in the Fall City area. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because Fall City voted in a metropolitan parks district in 2009, and to avoid redundancy with proposed subarea plan policy SVNE-19. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| C-1 King County should consider developing new rural road standards. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted, as our Roads Division does not currently have | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| b. Substa change existing c. Clarific existing intent, d. Techni no poli directiv change | change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| C-2 King County should study measures to control traffic and increase pedestrian safety in Fall City, and should consider the recommendations of the Fall City Community Advisory Group when identifying and prioritizing traffic safety improvement needs in the Fall City area. | Technical | the resources to create and implement custom road standards for specific portions of the County. King County Road Standards contain unique standards for rural roadways across the County. This policy is proposed to be deleted because the Roads Division has traffic and pedestrian safety programs that are accessible to all communities. This is not a situation unique to the subarea or Fall City Roads Division did apply for and receive PSRC funds for a pedestrian traffic safety study on the arterials of | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| C-3 King County should develop a neighborhood traffic safety program for Fall City. | Technical | Fall City. This policy is proposed to be deleted because the Roads Division does not have plans or funding to develop a neighborhood traffic safety program for Fall City. Roads Division did apply for and receive PSRC | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| c. Clarification o existing policy intent, or d. Technical, wit no policy directive change | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change | | |
| | | funds for a pedestrian traffic safety study on the arterials of Fall City. | | | | | | | | |
| C-4 The Washington State Department of Transportation's proposal to install two roundabouts in Fall City should be evaluated. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because one roundabout has been installed along State Route 202, and several other roundabouts are referenced in WSDOT's recently completed State Route 202 Corridor Study. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | | |
| C-5 There should be a more aggressive enforcement program to prevent heavy trucks from driving through Fall City to circumvent the weighing station at Interstate 90. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because traffic enforcement is outside of the scope of a subarea plan, plus there is no longer a weigh station at the referenced portion of I-90 for heavy trucks to circumvent. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | | |
| C-6 King County should develop a scenic drive program and the Preston-Fall City Road should be considered for designation as a "Scenic Drive". | Technical | The County does have a Historic and Scenic Corridors Project, but it is unlikely to expand beyond the existing designated corridors. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | | |
| E-1 King County should play an active role in State Watershed Analysis studies of the Raging River Basin and support forest management prescriptions that will minimize downstream flooding, sedimentation and | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted because this sort of state-initiated | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | | |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| improve fisheries habitat conditions in the lower reaches of the basin. | | study is unlikely. If there was such a watershed analysis, King County would likely be involved regardless of the existence of this policy. | | | | | | |
| E-2 King County should play a more active role in controlling surface water runoff from logging and development activities. | Technical | This policy is proposed to be deleted to avoid redundancy with existing comprehensive plan policies R-636, E- 448, and E-497. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County Community Service Area Subarea Plan – Chapter 4 Land Use | | | | | | | | |
| SVNE-1 Recognize and protect the uniqueness, size, scale, and role of the Rural Town of Fall City as a community hub by retaining special district overlays and development conditions that preserve its rural and community character. | New Policy | To update and consolidate the intent of Comprehensive Plan policies CP- 533, CP-535, CP- 536, CP-539.and Fall City Subarea Plan policies RT-1, L-1, L-2, L-3, L-4, L- 5, H-1, R-1 into a single policy, reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. Robust engagement during the planning process proved maintaining the look, feel, and scale of this area is very important to its | Maintaining the existing rural character of area that comprises the Rural Town of Fall City. | Not Applicable | Regulatory | Map Amendment 1: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Fall City Business District, which updates the existing business district special district overlay by removing two P-Suffixes, one a landscaping requirement, the other a prohibition of overnight parking or truck storage. Updates to K.C.C. 21A.38.260 Special district overlay - Fall City business district. which includes clarifying | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change | | |
| | | community members. | | | | the intent of the overlay - to allow businesses consistent with the districts large on-site sewage system and rural character, in addition to small updates to allowed uses that reflect community feedback. Map Amendment 2: Fall City Residential, which establishes a P-Suffix development condition in the residentially zoned area of the Fall City Rural Town. which imposes additional dimensional standards on residential development. | | | | |
| SVNE-2 Retain the existing boundaries of the Community Business district in the Fall City Rural Town. | New Policy | To update and consolidate the intent of Comprehensive Plan policies CP- 534 and CP-535 and Fall City Subarea Plan policies RT-1 and L-4 into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. Robust engagement | A direct outcome is to maintain the existing rural character of area that comprises the commercial portions of the Rural Town of Fall City. | Not Applicable | Regulatory | The zoning of the Fall City Business District will remain the same in the King County Zoning Atlas. The implementing development regulations are contained in K.C.C. Title 21A. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | | |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | xisting policy | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | during the planning process proved maintaining the look, feel, and scale of this area is very important to its community members. This policy also supports the designed size of the on-site sewage system dedicated to this commercial area. | | | | | | |
| SVNE-3 Support the character and role the Rural Town of Snoqualmie Pass as a year-round community in a mountain environment, recreation destination, and a critical corridor for the local and regional economy by coordinating on land use issues with Kittitas County, ski area, and adjacent land managers. | New Policy | To reflect both the growing use of Snoqualmie Pass as a year-round recreation destination, and as a growing community. This policy reflects both community, business and agency engagement, as well as staff analyses, with a focus on existing and future land uses and coordination with Kittitas County, including monthly meetings focused on Snoqualmie Pass. Workforce housing was a topic raised by both Summit at Snoqualmie Ski Area and Snoqualmie Pass | Construction of housing affordable to the local workforce at Snoqualmie Pass, for both low-income residents and seasonal migrant workers. Directly related to this policy is a zoning map amendment to allow workforce housing on commercially zoned areas adjacent to State Road 906. | Not Applicable | Regulatory and Programmatic | Recommended Map Amendment 9: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Snoqualmie Pass Landscape Buffering and Alternative Housing Demonstration Project Area, which removes a P-Suffix requiring 25-foot landscaping buffers for CB-zoned parcels adjacent to I-90 and replaces it with a 100-foot requirement. The map amendment also adds these parcels to the Alternative Housing Demonstration Project Area (K.C.C. 21A.55.125). | No additional resources needed | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | residents during engagement. King County is currently supporting Kittitas County on a transportation safety grant. | | | | | | |
| SVNE-4 Protect view corridors along I-90 by siting, designing, and visually buffering land uses along the highway to preserve its scenic nature. | New Policy | To reflect both community engagement results and support the designation of this corridor as a National Heritage area in 2019, the Mountains to Sound Greenway This policy updates and simplifies Comprehensive Plan policy CP-540. | Preserving the scenery of the unincorporated portion of the Mountains to Sound Scenic Byway. | Not Applicable | Regulatory | Replacing the current P-Suffix for the commercially zoned areas of the Rural Town of Snoqualmie Pass EK-P03 in recommended Map Amendment 9, which enlarges an existing required native vegetation buffer from 25 feet to 100 feet. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| SVNE-5 Maintain the existing role, size, and scale of the Preston Industrial Area through retaining existing zoning and applying development conditions to ensure compatibility with adjacent rural properties. | New Policy | To update and consolidate the intent of Comprehensive Plan policies into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. This policy covers Comprehensive Plan policies CP- 541, CP-542, CP- 546, and CP-547. | Continuing to maintain the size and scale of the Preston Industrial Area, keeping intact the natural and rural nature of the Preston area. | Not Applicable | Regulatory | Recommended Map Amendment 4: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Preston Industrial Development Conditions, which updates and consolidates six development conditions into two P-Suffixes that include landscaping, open space, and utility provisions, simplified terminology, and that reflect the acquisition and | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| c. Clarification of existing polic intent, or | b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change | | |
| | | | | | | inclusion of a parcel in the King County Open Space System. | | | | |
| SVNE-6 Continue to coordinate with the Valley cities on annexations of remaining unincorporated urban areas. | New Policy | To update and consolidate the intent of Comprehensive Plan policies into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. This policy covers Comprehensive Plan policies CP- 506, CP-507, CP- 508, CP-510, CP- 515, CP-527. | Continue to work with Valley cities on coordinated annexations, planning for housing, infrastructure capacity, critical areas, natural hazards, and any other site-specific considerations. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing | | |
| Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County Community Service Area Subarea Plan – Chapter 5 Housing and Human Services | | | | | | | | | | |
| SVNE-7 Work with the Valley cities, the Town of Skykomish, and affordable housing providers to increase the supply of affordable housing within the cities in the subarea for workers and service providers, in order to protect adjacent Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands from sprawl and pressure to urbanize. | New Policy | To reflect both community engagement results and a regional need for more housing. As a subarea plan that covers the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands only, in compliance with both the Growth Management Act and the Comprehensive Plan, the policy supports affordable housing in adjacent incorporated areas, | Increased housing affordable to those who have low and extremely low incomes, including those who currently work in the subarea, or those on a fixed income. | Comprehensive Plan Policy R-510 | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing | | |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change | | |
| | | versus within the subarea itself. King County will continue to coordinate with the urban areas immediately adjacent to the subarea on their affordable housing capacity as a part of Affordable Housing Planning Program within the Housing, Homelessness and Community Development Division of DCHS. | | | | | | | | |
| SVNE-8 Support housing stability programs and affordable housing developments for seniors and veterans near senior service centers located in Cities in the Rural Area that serve residents in the subarea, including those that live in unincorporated King County. | New Policy | To reflect both community engagement results and a regional need for more housing. As a subarea plan that covers rural areas only, in compliance with both the Growth Management Act and the Comprehensive Plan, the policy supports affordable housing in adjacent incorporated areas, versus within the subarea itself. King County will continue to | Increased housing affordable to seniors who have low incomes or fixed incomes. This could have the most positive outcome in and near Census Designated Places where greater than 15% of the population are over 65 (Baring, Fall City, Lake Marcel- Stillwater, Riverbend). Housing stability for seniors was raised during several phases of engagement, including during the | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing | | |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | coordinate with the urban areas immediately adjacent to the subarea on their affordable housing capacity as a part of Affordable Housing Planning Program within the Housing, Homelessness and Community Development Division of DCHS. King County will also continue to support seniors, veterans and resilient communities through the Veterans, Seniors & Human Services Levy. | Mobility and Human Services Focus Group. | | | | | |
| SVNE-9 Encourage residential development that increases the supply and diversity of housing in Fall City Rural Town, while maintaining compatibility with existing development, such as opportunities to develop middle housing. | New Policy | To update and continue the intent of a Fall City Subarea Plan policy into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. Fall City Subarea Plan policy covered by this policy is L-2. Fall City community members mentioned concerns that their children would not | Increased housing affordable to those who have low incomes, or those on fixed incomes, within Fall City. | Not Applicable | Regulatory | Recommended Map Amendment 2: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Fall City Residential Dimensional Standards, which establishes a P- Suffix development condition in the residentially zoned area of the Fall City Rural Town, which imposes additional dimensional standards on | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | be able to afford to | | | | residential | | |
| SVNE-10 Support recreation and service industry workers by encouraging the development of diverse housing types in the Snoqualmie Pass Rural Town with strategies such as inclusionary housing or micro- housing units. | New Policy | live in the town. To reflect current conditions at Snoqualmie Pass, including engagement results and a current need for more and diverse housing by workers in the recreation and service industries. Workforce housing was a topic raised by both Summit at Snoqualmie Ski Area and Snoqualmie Pass residents during engagement. | Enabling the construction of housing affordable to the local workforce at Snoqualmie Pass, for both residents with low-incomes and seasonal workers. | Not Applicable | Regulatory | development. Recommended Map Amendment 9: Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County – Snoqualmie Pass Landscape Buffering and Alternative Housing Demonstration Project Area, which adds several CB- zoned parcels adjacent to I-90 and SR-906 to the Alternative Housing Demonstration Project Area (K.C.C. 21A.55.125), | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| SVNE-11 Support increased availability of behavioral and mental health services for youth within school facilities by partnering with school districts and human service providers to access additional resources. | New Policy | To reflect engagement results, specifically from those who serve youth regarding access to behavioral and mental health services. Given that this subarea is in the Rural Area, schools provide centrally located, accessible locations for services. Both the Riverview School District Multi- Language Learning administrators and the Mobility and Human Services | Increased physical and mental wellbeing of youth within the subarea through the increased availability of services. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | Focus Group shared that addressing mental health among youth within the schools is a growing issue. King County will continue to support mental health of youth through the Best Starts for Kids Initiative and School to Work Program. | | | | | | |
| SVNE-12 Partner with senior centers and other senior services providers, veteran service providers, and organizations assisting those living with disabilities in the subarea to coordinate access to programs that support mental and physical health. | New Policy | To reflect engagement results and community needs realized through both demographic data analysis and discussions with community service providers. Given that this subarea is in the Rural Area, existing senior service centers and other relevant providers are the most practical locations for service provision. Additional programming and activities for seniors was raised during several phases of engagement, including during a Mobility and Human | | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an o | existing policy | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | Services Focus Group. King County will also continue to support seniors, veterans and resilient communities through the Veterans, Seniors & Human Services Levy. | with a disability (Baring, Riverpoint, Riverbend). | | | | | |
| SVNE-13 Support human services, such as mental health services, for the remote communities along the US Highway 2 corridor before and after climate-related events. | New Policy | To reflect engagement results and community needs realized through direct contact with the community. The effect of recent wildfires on these communities and this area's vulnerability to more climate-related events make this policy necessary. This policy is a direct result of in- person engagement with community members of Northeast King County, who have great concern as to what would happen to their families and community after a major climate- related event such as a wildfire, | Intentional focus on the services provided to the Northeast King County community in the case of a climate-related event. The intent of this policy is to address human service needs after the short-term emergency response. Human services include but are not limited to emerging housing needs and mental health support. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing pol | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| b. c. d. | change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change | |
| | | landslide, or | | | | | | | |
| Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County Community | | extreme flood. | | | | | | | |
| Service Area Subarea Plan – Chapter 6 Environment | | | | | | | | | |
| SVNE-14 Collaborate with public and private entities to explore strategies to improve the existing road network crossing the Snoqualmie Valley floodplain to help alleviate the severity of flooding impacts, support transportation connectivity during major flood events, and reconnect salmon habitat. | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results, findings from the Snoqualmie Valley Fish Farm Flood Initiative Pilot, and recent funding to support a preliminary study related to this policy. This issue was raised throughout engagement, including during the Mobility and Human Services Focus Group. A project directly related to this policy is the Snoqualmie Valley Major Flood Mitigation Study. | Slightly alleviated transportation issues for priority populations who need to make critical appointments in the Urban Area, for work, services such as medical and/or behavioral health appointments, and other vital transportation needs. This could directly improve the lives of those within the subarea, specifically within the Census Designated Places that have a disability rate over 15% (Baring, Riverbend, Riverpoint), where attending medical appointments in the urban area is a challenge. | Not Applicable | Capital project and Programmatic | Not Applicable | The first phase of the Snoqualmie Valley Major Flood Mitigation Study project is funded and expected to be conclude this year; Roads Division is currently seeking additional funding for a second phase. Roads Division would need additional funding for design and implementation of any strategies identified through the study; it is possible that Roads Division will need additional funding to develop additional or iterative strategies beyond the partially funded Snoqualmie Valley Major Flood Mitigation Study. | Ongoing | |
| SVNE-15 Support protection of riparian corridors throughout the Snoqualmie River and Snohomish River watershed to help remedy high water temperatures and to reflect the intent of the recommendations resulting from the coordination and collaboration in watershed stewardship. | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results, and environmental concerns specific to this river. This policy nests within the broad concept of Comprehensive | Improving the conditions of salmon habitat. Salmon are a vital food source and culturally important for local Indian tribes | Clean Water, Healthy Habitat Strategic Climate Action Plan Fish, Farm, Flood Implementation oversight committee WRIA 7 Salmon Plan | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing | |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propose | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | Plan E-464 but creates much more specificity around a major water quality problem for the Snoqualmie River, as well as some priority actions KC should take and support. This process clearly articulates a position of support for the Snoqualmie Valley Fish Farm Flood Initiative Pilot process. The importance of salmon to Indian tribes was mentioned through engagement with the Snoqualmie Tribe and Tulalip Tribes. | | | | | | |
| SVNE-16 Partner with Snoqualmie Valley cities, the Snoqualmie and Tulalip Tribes, and public and private entities in developing long-term solutions and implementation programs to reduce flood and channel migration risk where feasible. | New Policy | To update and consolidate the intent of Comprehensive Plan and Fall City Subarea Plan policies into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. This policy consolidates and replaces Comprehensive Plan policies CP- | Fewer people living in areas at risk of flooding, including expanding programs that may assist low- income residents in relocating out of flood prone areas. | Clean Water, Healthy Habitat Flood Management Plan Strategic Climate Action Plan | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propose | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County Community | | 504, CP-508, CP- 511, CP-512, CP- 513, CP-514, CP- 515, CP-527, CP- 554. Fall City Subarea Plan policy covered by this policy is E-1. | | | | | | |
| Service Area Subarea Plan – Chapter 7 Parks and Open Space | | | | | | | | |
| SVNE-17 Expand recreation programming through grant opportunities and partnerships with the metropolitan parks districts within the subarea. SVNE-18 Participate in efforts with Indian Tribes | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results, specifically the desire for more recreation program opportunities. King County Parks can provide technical assistance on grant funding opportunities available through Parks. Other possible grant funding sources may be available through state and other agencies. To reflect | Increased social interaction, exercise, and general opportunities to participate in fulfilling activities for youth, seniors, veterans, and people with disabilities in the subarea. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing |
| SVNE-18 Participate in efforts with Indian Tribes and local, state, and federal agencies to address overcrowded trailheads on the Interstate 90 corridor. | | I o reflect community engagement results, in addition to concerns by Indian tribes and various agencies regarding the increased crowding of trailheads. | Continued multiagency coordination. Within the context of King County, DLS and DNRP would continue to focus on improving trailhead access. | NOT Applicable | Programmatic | NOT Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ungoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| SVNE-19 Support the Fall City Metropolitan Parks District, community-based organizations, and private entities in efforts to provide, manage, and maintain community facilities, gathering spaces, and trails in Fall City. | New Policy | To update and consolidate the intent of Fall City Subarea Plan policies into a single policy reflecting community engagement results, specifically the desire of the Fall City community to have more park- related facilities in their community. Fall City Subarea Plan policies covered by this policy are PTO- 1, PTO-2, PTO-3. Community members, land managers, and organizations shared that trailhead access is becoming increasingly difficult due to crowding, | Increased access to nature and recreation for those who may find such excursions difficult due to life circumstances, such as a disability or limited income, where a crowded trailhead could be the difference between experiencing nature or not. Increased access to nature and recreation for those who may find such excursions difficult due to life circumstances, such as a disability or limited income. King County Parks can provide technical assistance on grant funding opportunities available through Parks. Other possible grant funding sources may be available through state and other agencies. Partners would be Si View and Fall City Metropolitan Parks Districts. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | County staff resources would be available if Fall City Metropolitan Parks District were to initiate a conversation. | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | stantive change to an | existing policy | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | with some witnessing over a quarter mile walk to such trailheads as Mailbox Peak and Rattlesnake Lake in recent years. | | | | | | |
| Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County Community Service Area Subarea Plan – Chapter 8 Transportation | | | | | | | | |
| Styne-20 Work with communities in the subarea on right-sized mobility solutions that meet and are appropriate for their needs, in alignment with rural levels of transit service as identified by the Metro Transit Service Guidelines and Metro Connects. | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results, including community based organizations that support mobility and human services needs in the subarea. | Increased mobility options for those who are in most need, including seniors, youth, people with disabilities, people with low incomes, and other transit- dependent populations. This could directly increase the ability of those within the subarea to transport themselves, specifically within the Census Designated Places that have a disability rate over 15% (Baring, Riverbend, Riverpoint). One potential implementation measure would be to expand the Community Van to areas beyond the Duvall-Carnation area, such as the | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed. Metro plans to implement this consistent with their current work/funding. | Within three years |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an o | existing policy | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | internation | | communities along | | | | | |
| SVNE-21 Support safety improvements to the State Route 906 corridor for Snoqualmie Pass residents and visitors through working with the Washington State Department of Transportation, Kittitas County, local businesses, and the community. | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results, and to support existing coordination and planning for safety on the corridor with WSDOT, Kittitas County, the Snoqualmie Pass Community Association, Summit at Snoqualmie Ski Area. This policy would support continuing efforts such as assisting Kittitas County on a Federal Highway Administration Safe Streets and Roads for All Discretional Grant. | US Highway 2. Intentional planning of State Route 906 with the goal of improving safety for community members and visitor. Department of Local Services will continue to support Kittitas County on their Federal Highway Administration Safe Streets and Roads for All Discretional Grant. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | Additional resource would likely be needed. To date King County DLS has contributed a match to a Kittitas County grant for \$20,000. There will likely be further expenditures in the form of staff time dedicated to this is subsequent projects. | Ongoing |
| SVNE-22 Encourage the Washington State Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration to improve the comfort and usability for travelers on US Highway 2 through improved wayfinding and rest facilities. | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results regarding the need for more infrastructure to support the number of travelers on US Highway 2. Related improvements were requested during multiple engagement events with Northeast King County community | Restroom facilities would improve sanitation on properties adjacent to the highway. Improving wayfinding signs would encourage travelers to stop to shop at local businesses in the area, helping the local economy. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | Not Applicable. WSDOT | One year to start conversations with WSDOT, the state legislature, and the community. |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | members during the public review period. | | | | | | |
| SVNE-23 Coordinate and work with Washington State Department of Transportation and nearby community members on studying and implementing safety and active transportation improvements to the SE Redmond-Fall City Road portion of State Route 202. | New Policy | Dublic review period.To update and consolidate the intent ofComprehensivePlan and Fall CitySubarea Plan policies into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results.This policy covers ComprehensivePlan policy CP-537 and Fall City Subarea Plan policies PTO-1, C-1, and C-2. The topic of pedestrian safety on school routes has come up often during engagement with the Fall City community.This policy builds off the WSDOT State Road 202 Corridor Study. The study was completed in 2023, but as of October 2023 funding for several recommendations is still pending. Fall City Metropolitan Parks District is also pursuing active transportation | Increased safety for youth accessing schools adjacent to Redmond-Fall City Road. Chief Kanim Middle School and Fall City Elementary are adjacent to this right-of-way. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | Potential resources needed for a future grant or partnership with WSDOT | One year to start conversations with WSDOT, the state legislature, and the community. |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | improvements along this corridor, which presents another opportunity for coordination. | | | | | | |
| SVNE-24 Require new sidewalks addressing gaps in existing sidewalk connectivity be constructed within the Fall City Business District Special District Overlay as part of permitting and development activity. | | To update and consolidate Comprehensive Plan and Fall City Subarea Plan policies into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. This policy covers Comprehensive Plan policy CP-537 Fall City Subarea Plan policies covered by this policy are C-1, C-2, and C-3. Pedestrian safety and the specific desire for sidewalks in the community has been discussed several times during Fall City engagement. | Increased pedestrian mobility within Fall City Business District for those who have physical disabilities and increased overall safety for youth and seniors who walk in the area. The policy is to implement through permitting and development activity, through frontage improvements and right-of-way dedications required with new development. | Not Applicable | Capital project and Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | As development occurs. |
| SVNE-25 Prohibit road connections between the City of Snoqualmie and the unincorporated county roads of 356th Avenue SE and Lake Alice Road SE, unless future analysis determines restricted emergency access is necessary for safety. | New Policy | To update and consolidate Comprehensive Plan policies into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. This policy covers Comprehensive | Maintaining a rural level of road volumes in the rural areas west of the City of Snoqualmie. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed. | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | Plan policies CP- | | | | | | |
| Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County Community Service Area Subarea Plan – Chapter 9 Services and Utilities | | 523 and CP-524. | | | | | | |
| SVNE-26 Support utilities' efforts to maintain a reliable electrical grid with redundant distribution networks in areas that have chronic power outages. | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results regarding chronic power outages throughout the subarea. Puget Sound Energy was consulted on policy language. | Greater coordination with utility providers on electrical grid resilience. | Comprehensive Plan policy F-348 | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed. | Ongoing |
| SVNE-27 The Fall City commercial on-site sewage system shall serve only the existing properties within the Fall City Business District Special District Overlay. | New Policy | To update and consolidate Comprehensive Plan and Fall City Subarea Plan policies into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. This policy covers Comprehensive Plan policies CP- 534 and CP-535. Fall City Subarea Plan policies covered by this policy are S-1 and L- 4. | To limit the users of the on-site sewage system to those within the area the system was design to accommodate. | Not Applicable | Regulatory and Programmatic | Updates to K.C.C. 21A.38.260 Special district overlay - Fall City business district. which includes clarifying the intent of the overlay - to allow businesses consistent with the districts large on-site sewage system and rural character. | No additional resources needed | Not Applicable |
| SVNE-28 Work with internet service providers to increase access to reliable and affordable broadband services for community members in portions of the subarea identified as unserved or underserved in King County's 2020 Broadband Access and Availability Study, or subsequent studies. | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results regarding poor or no internet service in areas of the subarea. | Increased internet service, quality, and affordability, particularly for low- income families with school and work | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed. King County Information Technology Broadband Team already works with | Ongoing. |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an | existing policy | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | | internet access needs. | | | | internet service providers on these issues. | |
| Snoqualmie Valley/Northeast King County Community Service Area Subarea Plan – Chapter 10 Economic Development | | | | | | | | |
| SVNE-29 Support local businesses that are unique to Snoqualmie Valley/ NE King County, through such actions as technical assistance. | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results regarding the support and retention of local businesses. Support is dependent on availability of single economic development staff member for all unincorporated King County in Department of Local Services. | Increased viability, resilience, and longevity of local businesses. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | Level of funding dictates level of support. | Ongoing |
| SVNE-30 Support outdoor recreation and tourism that encourages local employment and protects the environment, natural resources, and working resource lands, by partnering with land management agencies, Cities in the Rural Area, community-based associations, and area residents. | New Policy | To reflect results from engagement with the communities and entities mentioned in the policy regarding the growing recreation use in the area and the need for support. The Parks and Recreation Division in DNRP has ongoing cooperation with a variety of organizations | A growing and environmentally sustainable local economy. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | supporting recreational tourism and recreational economy, e.g., Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust. | | | | | | |
| SVNE-31 Support the experience of visitors at Snoqualmie Pass through coordination with Kittitas County, Washington State Department of Transportation, the ski area, land management agencies, and community-based organizations, while balancing environmental protection. | New Policy | To reflect both the growing use of Snoqualmie Pass as a year-round recreation destination, and as a growing community. This policy reflects both community, business and agency engagement, as well as staff analyses, with a focus on the local economy. Department of Local Services already participates in the monthly Snoqualmie Pass coordination | To promote coordinated economic growth at Snoqualmie Pass that improves visitor experiences and does not negatively affect the environment. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Ongoing |
| SVNE-32 Focus economic uses in the existing commercial areas in Fall City, Snoqualmie Pass, Preston, Baring, and Timberlane Village and in the existing Preston Industrial Area, serving the local rural communities at a size and scale appropriate for the rural area. | New Policy | meeting. To update and consolidate Comprehensive Plan and Fall City Subarea Plan policies into a single policy reflecting current conditions and community engagement results. This policy covers Comprehensive Plan policy CP-536. | Maintaining the existing footprint of commercial areas in the subarea, controlling commercial growth in the subarea, which helps maintain a rural level of services and rural character. | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | No additional resources needed | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed | Rationale for the | | If the propos | ed new policy or subs | tantive change to an e | existing policy | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| | change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | proposed change | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | Fall City Subarea Plan policy covered by this policy is L-3. | | | | | | |
| SVNE-33 Support the economic viability of farms in the Snoqualmie Valley Agricultural Production District with appropriately scaled agritourism, through the support of strategies such as farmers markets, farm stays, farm stands, additional retail opportunities for the sale of locally grown and produced farm products, and marketing of the Valley as an agritourism destination. | New Policy | To reflect community engagement results, findings from the Snoqualmie Valley Fish Farm Flood Initiative Pilot, and feedback provided by local agricultural organizations. | To strengthen the local economy while maintaining the existing area and uses of the Agricultural Production District and existing rural character. | Local Food Initiative | Programmatic | Not Applicable | Additional resources are not known at this point and would likely be grant- based. Resource needs would be relevant to future partnerships with organizations such as the Snoqualmie Valley Preservation Alliance, Snoqualmie Valley Watershed Improvement District, SnoValley Chamber of Commerce, the King County Office of Economic Opportunity and Creative Economy, and local businesses. | Ongoing |
| SVNE-34 Support the Fall City community in diversifying its local economy as an agritourism hub for products created and grown in the Snoqualmie Valley Agricultural Production District. | New Policy | To update a Comprehensive Plan policy and a Fall City Subarea Plan policy to reflect current conditions and community engagement results, specifically the desire to have a closer economic connection with adjacent agriculture. This policy | Increased access to healthy foods for people with disabilities, seniors, and other populations with mobility difficulties in the subarea. This specific topic was raised by the Fall City community during engagement for this effort. Another anticipated | Not Applicable | Programmatic | Not Applicable | Level of support dependent on level of funding. | Ongoing |

| Policy | Is the proposed change: a. New policy, b. Substantive change to an existing policy, c. Clarification of existing policy intent, or d. Technical, with no policy directive change intended | Rationale for the proposed change | If the proposed new policy or substantive change to an existing policy | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | | Anticipated outcome of the proposed change, including effects on affected geographic area(s) and populations | Consistency of the proposed change with any other related plans, including plan names and policy numbers, where applicable | Planned implementation of proposed change: a. Regulatory (K.C.C.), b. Capital project, and/or c. Programmatic | If a "shall" policy and implementation is regulatory: Description of proposed (or existing) implementing development regulations | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: A statement of whether additional resources needed to implement the proposed change | If a "shall" policy and implementation is capital project and/or programmatic: Anticipated timeline for implementation of the proposed change |
| | | addresses removed policies: Comprehensive Plan CP-536 and Fall City Subarea Plan L-3. | indirect outcome is general support to economic development. Outcomes are dependent on availability of single economic development staff member for all unincorporated King County in Department of Local Services. | | | | | |