Bridge type

Alternative A


Deck bulb-tee girder superstructure

ADVANTAGES

- Lighter structure
- Less costly

DISADVANTAGES

Deeper girders
 which raises existing
 roadway more




Alternative B

Voided slab girder superstructure

ADVANTAGES

Shallower girders
 which raises existing
 roadway less

- Heavier structure
- More costly



Welcome




We invite you to:


- View the display boards
- Share your questions and comments with any project team member
- Documentyour opinion



Project location



Project Schedule



The planned target milestone dates shown above may be adjusted to reflect actual Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) obligation dates for right-of-way and construction funding of the project.

Why replace the existing bridge?

SOLE ACCESS FOR RESIDENTS

- The bridge provides sole access to approximately 70 singlefamily homes and a Department of Fish and Wildlife boat launch on Lake Walker.
- The bridge is at risk of being closed due to age and condition which would be a major impact to the residents of this community.

SAFETY CONCERNS

- The existing bridge is weight and speed restricted.
- The existing bridge is structurally deficient, and many components are continuing to age and deteriorate.
- The existing bridge scores a low Sufficiency Rating of 9.68 out of 100 (National Bridge Inspection Standards).
- The existing road has some functional deficiencies with its width and alignment.

EXTENSIVE MAINTENANCE

- The existing 61-year-old timber support structure is decaying.
- The repurposed 107-year-old steel floor beams are severely rusting.
- Frequent and major repairs come at a high cost.

A permanent solution is necessary.



Decaying timber support structure





Creosote-treated timber piles in environmentally sensitive creek

Alternative 1:


- New bridge at and slightly south/downstream of existing bridge location
- One-lane temporary road and bridge to the north/ upstream with alternating traffic signal

Project length = TBD

ADVANTAGES

- Shorter construction duration
 (6 months) than Alternative 3
- Lower construction costs than Alternative 3
- Small number of temporary easement impacts to adjacent properties

- Requires additional permanent right-of-way or permanent easement
- Requires temporary construction easement on north side
- Tree impacts




Alternative 2:

- New bridge at existing bridge location
- One-lane temporary road and bridge to the south/ downstream with alternating traffic signal
- Project length = TBD

ADVANTAGES

- Shorter construction duration (6 months) than Alternative 3
- Lower construction costs than Alternative 3
- Does not require additional permanent right-of-way or permanent easement

- Requires largest temporary easement impacts to adjacent properties
- Tree impacts



Alternative 3:

- New bridge slightly south/downstream of existing bridge
- Existing bridge used as detour bridge
- New bridge constructed in phases

ADVANTAGES

- Uses existing bridge as detour bridge
- No temporary easement impacts to adjacent properties

- Longest construction duration (8 months)
- Highest construction costs of the three alternatives
- Requires additional permanent right-of-way or permanent easement
- Tree impacts

