MASTER



Department of Executive Services
Inquest Program
401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 135
Seattle, WA 98104

206-477-6191

TTY Relay 711

Webpage: kingcounty.gov/inquests Email: Inquests@kingcounty.gov

INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF MALIK WILLIAMS # 19IQ003592

INTERROGATORIES TO THE INQUEST JURY

DATED _/8 day of July, 2024.

Marcine Anderson Inquest Administrator

| 1. | On December 30, 2019, were Malik Williams and Ashley Reed sitting in a black Infiniti sedan in the parking lot of the South Ridge House apartment complex on 14 th Avenue in Federal Way? | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | YES X6 NO | UNKNOWN | | |
| | rocked, and the sound of a b | 6 | | |
| | YES X6 NO | UNKNOWN | | |
| 3. | complex? | deral Way Police respond to the call at the South Ridge House apartment | | |
| | YES XONO | UNKNOWN | | |
| | | Way Police officers the first to respond the apartment complex? | | |
| | Hinckle YES X Graham YES X YES | NO UNKNOWN NO UNKNOWN | | |
| | backed into a parking stall? | Vay Police Department officers locate the Infiniti described in the 911 call | | |
| | Hinckle YES X (Graham YES X (G | NO UNKNOWN NO UNKNOWN | | |
| 6. | Did Corporal Hinckle block | the Infiniti from leaving the parking lot? | | |
| | YES NO $X \varphi$ | UNKNOWN | | |
| 7. | 2 | ver's seat of the Infiniti when Officer Hinckle approached? | | |
| | YES | UNKNOWN | | |
| 8. | Did Ashley Reed open the | driver's door and leave the Infiniti? | | |
| | YES XONO | UNKNOWN | | |
| 9. | | the officers were investigating a possible domestic dispute? | | |
| | YES 2 NO 1 | unknown 3 | | |
| 10. | 2 / / | the front passenger seat of the Infiniti? | | |
| | yes Xono | UNKNOWN | | |
| 11. | \ . | front passenger door of the Infiniti? | | |
| | YES X NO | UNKNOWN | | |
| 12. | \ 7. | each the front passenger door of the Infiniti? | | |
| | YES X NO | UNKNOWN | | |
| 13. | Did Corporal Hinckle see a | handgun on Mr. Williams' lap? | | |
| | YES O NO | UNKNOWN | | |
| 14. | Did Mr. Williams have a ha | | | |
| | YES LP NO | UNKNOWN | | |
| 15. | Did Corporal Hinckle draw | his handgun, keeping it in a low-ready position. | | |
| | YES NO | UNKNOWN | | |

| 16. | 5. Did Corporal Hinckle alert Off | icer Graham that Mr. Williams had a firearm? |
|-----|--|---|
| | $yes_{5} no_{0}$ u | NKNOWN |
| 17. | 7. Did Officer Graham move to a | position towards the passenger rear side of the Infiniti? |
| | YES H NO U | nknown <u>2</u> |
| 18. | | tment Officer Nicholas Lara arrive and see Corporal Hinckle and/or gun drawn giving commands to Mr. Williams? NKNOWN |
| 19. | O. Did Officer Lara join Corporal YES NO U | Hinckle at the front passenger door of the Infiniti? |
| 20. | door and the first shot being fir | |
| | YES NO UI | NKNOWN |
| 21. | . During that time, did Corporal direct My. Williams to not touc | Hinckle, Officer Graham or Officer Lara give commands intended to the gun and to keep his hands away from the gun? |
| | YES X NO UI | NKNOWN |
| 22. | 2. Were the commands presented | |
| | YES <u>5</u> NO UI | NKNOWN |
| 23. | 3. Did Mr. Williams have sufficie | ent time to comply with the commands? |
| | YES NO U | NKNOWN |
| 24. | 1.0 | in interrogatory #21 were made, did Mr. Williams comply with the |
| | YES NO X O UI | NKNOWN DID NOT ANSWER |
| 25. | 5. At some point during that time YES NO UI | , did Mr. Williams pick up a beer bottle, drink from it and put it down. NKNOWN |
| 26. | | , did Mr. Williams pick up a handgun in a pinch grip, display it to the |
| | YES NO U | NKNOWN |
| 27. | 7. At some point during that period them. | od of time did Mr. Williams pick up a cigarette or cigarettes and smoke |
| | YES_XUNO UI | NKNOWN |
| 28. | At some point during that period handgun? | od of time did Mr. Williams have items in both hands that were not the |
| | YES XONO U | NKNOWN |
| 29. | At some point during that period | od of time did Corporal Hinckle tell Mr. Williams he was under arrest? |
| | YES <u>9</u> NO U | nknown <u>3</u> |
| 30. |). Did Officer Lara holster his ha | ndgun and draw his Taser? |
| | YES XONO UI | NKNOWN |

| | be tased? | | Williams that if Mr. Williams did not comply with commands, he would |
|-----|-------------|---------------------------|--|
| | YES 5 | NO | UNKNOWN _ \ |
| | to hurt him | but that he need | Mr. Williams that Federal Way Police Department Officers did not want ed to follow their commands? |
| | YES 4 | NO | unknown <u>2</u> |
| 33. | Hinckle wo | ould shoot Mr. W | Mr. Williams that if Mr. Williams reached for the handgun that Officer Villiams? |
| | YES | NO | unknown 4 2 |
| 34. | | | Villiams that he was going to Tase Mr. Williams? |
| | YES 5 | NO | UNKNOWN |
| 35. | | | Villiams grab the handgun in his lap? |
| | YES | NO | unknown <u></u> |
| 36. | 1 / . | _ | a Taser at Mr. Williams? |
| | YES X |)NO | UNKNOWN |
| 37. | | | Mr. Williams reach for the handgun in his lap? |
| | YES 4 | NO | UNKNOWN 1 |
| 38. | | | is handgun at Mr. Williams? |
| | YES X |)NO | UNKNOWN |
| 39. | | | ndgun at Federal Way Police Department Officers? |
| | YES 🕡 | NO | UNKNOWN |
| 40. | If you answ | vered "yes" to th | e previous question, how many rounds did Mr. Williams fire? |
| | 1 2 | 3 4 | 5 |
| 41. | Was Corpo | oral Hinckle struc | ck in the vest by a bullet? |
| 42. | Did Corpor |) NO ral Hinckle belie | UNKNOWN ve that the impact was from a bullet fired by Mr. Williams? |
| | YES X | | UNKNOWN |
| 43. | | t graze Corporal | |
| | YES X |)NO | UNKNOWN |
| 44. | • | | ck by a bullet fired by Mr. Williams? |
| | YES 💪 | NO | UNKNOWN |
| 45. | Was Corpo | oral Hinckle struc | ck by a bullet fired by an officer? |
| | YES | NO | UNKNOWN O |
| 46. | Was Office | er Lara struck in | the leg by a bullet? |
| | YES X | ONO | UNKNOWN |
| 47. | Was Office | er Lara struck by | a bullet fired by Mr. Williams? |

| | | 8 | | | | Court. | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | YES | NO _ | _ | UNKN | OWN _ | 5 | | | | |
| 48. | Was Office | | | | | | er? | | | |
| | YES | NO _ | | UNKN | OWN _ | 4 | | | | |
| 49. | Did Officer | Lara dis | scard his | s Taser a | nd drav | w his han | dgun? | | | |
| | YES X | NO | | UNKN | OWN _ | | | | | |
| 50. | Did Officer | Lara fir | e his ha | ndgun at | Mr. W | 'illiams? | | | | |
| | YES X | No_ | | UNKN | OWN_ | | | | | |
| 51. | Did the foll were fired? | | ederal V | Vay Poli | се Дер | artment o | officers arrive | e after Offi | cer Lara an | d before shots |
| | Blackshear | | YES_ | X6 | NO_ | | UNKNOW | | | |
| | Clark | | YES _ | X4 | NO - | | UNKNOW UNKNOW | | | |
| | Robertson Rogers | | YES _ | ∇ | NO _ | | UNKNOW | | | |
| 52. | | | | , , | | | | | lves in fror | nt of the Infiniti |
| | Blackshear | r | YES | XΨ | NO | | UNKNOW | N | | |
| | Clark | | YES _ | XQ | NO _ | - | UNKNOW | N | | |
| | Robertson | | YES_ | 6 | NO_ | | UNKNOW | | | |
| | Rogers | | | | | | | | | 1 1000 |
| 53. | Lara were 1 | | see Mr | . Williar | ns fire | his handg | gun in the are | ea that Corp | ora Hinck | le and Officer |
| | YES | NO _ | 2 | UNKN | OWN _ | | | | | |
| 54. | | | | | | | and see a flas | sh from ins | ide the Infi | niti? |
| | YES | NO | _ | UNKN | OWN . | | | | | |
| 55. | | | | | | | | nd point it i | n the direct | tion of the area |
| | that Corpor | | | | | | · | | | |
| | | | | | | 9 | | | | |
| 56. | from the pa | | | | | | he sound of | a gunshot a | ınd a simul | taneous flash |
| | YES 5 | | | | | a a | | | | |
| 57 | | | | | _ | | and not see s | any muzzle | flach from | the vicinity of |
| <i>.</i> , . | Officer Lar | | | | na or a | gansnot | and not see t | iny muzzie | mash mon | tine viennity of |
| | YES 5 | NO | | UNKN | OWN _ | | | | | |
| 58. | Did Mr. Wi | illiams p | oint a h | andgun a | it Corp | oral Hinc | kle or Office | er Lara? | | |
| | YES _ | NO | | UNKN | OWN . | | | | | |
| 59. | | | | | | | | lude that M | ır. William | s had fired a |
| | handgun at | | - | Police De | _ | | | | | |
| | Blackshear Clark | r | YES _ YES _ | 9 | NO _ | | UNKNOW UNKNOW | | | |
| | | | | 45 | | | | | | |

| | Graham | YES (a | NO | UNKNOWN |
|-----|--|---------------------------------|--|---|
| | Robertson | YES | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Rogers | YES | NO | UNKNOWN |
| 60. | Did the following F | ederal Way Pol | ice Department C | Officers fire a handgun at Mr. Williams? |
| | Blackshear | YES X 6 | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Clark | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Graham | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Robertson | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Rogers | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN |
| 61. | than 80 times? | | | at Mr. Williams at least 60 times and not more |
| | YES V NO | _ UNKN | NOWN | |
| 62. | Were the following | 111 | 100 | Officers in uniform and identifiable as police officers? |
| | Blackshear | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Clark | YES X 6 | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Graham | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Hinckle | YES YES | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Lara | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Robertson Rogers | YES YES | NO | UNKNOWN |
| 63. | that Mr. Williams u | sed a wheelcha | ir? | Officers aware, prior to any shots being fired, |
| | Blackshear | YES | NO X G | UNKNOWN |
| | Clark | YES | NO X 6 | UNKNOWN |
| | Graham Hinckle | YES | NO X | UNKNOWN |
| | Lara | YES | NO X (| UNKNOWN UNKNOWN |
| | Robertson | YES | NO X 6 | UNKNOWN |
| | Rogers | YES | NO X | UNKNOWN |
| 64. | Were the following | Federal Way P | olice Department | Officers aware, prior to any shots being fired, |
| | that Mr. Williams h | ad a physical in | npairment? | |
| | Blackshear | YES | NO <u>Q</u> | UNKNOWN |
| | Clark | YES | NO C | UNKNOWN |
| | Graham | YES | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Hinckle | YES | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Lara | YES | NO 6 | UNKNOWN |
| | Robertson Rogers | YES | NO 6 | UNKNOWN |
| | Rogers | 1123 | NO | UNKNOWN |
| 65. | If you found that a l that Officer continu threat? | Federal way Pole to discharge h | lice Department (nis firearm until th | Officer fired their handgun at Mr. Williams, did ne officer believed Mr. Williams was no longer a |
| | Blackshear | YES 5 | NO | UNKNOWN |
| | Clark | YES 5 | NO \ | UNKNOWN |
| | Graham | YES 5 | NO | UNKNOWN |

| | Hinckle Lara Robertson Rogers | YES 5 YES 5 YES 5 | NO NO NO NO | UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN |
|-----|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| 66. | Did a bullet or bulle Officers strike Mr. Y | | dgun fired by any | the following Federal Way Police Department |
| | Blackshear Clark Graham Hinckle Lara Robertson Rogers | YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES | NO | UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN |
| 67. | Did a bullet or bullet Officers cause the d | | | of the following Federal Way Police Department |
| | Blackshear Clark Graham Hinckle Lara Robertson Rogers | YES YES YES YES YES YES | NO X () | UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN |
| 68. | Did Federal Way Po | olice departme | ent officers retrea | t to cover? |
| | YES <u>Q</u> NO _ | _ UNK | NOWN | |
| 69. | After the shooting, compartment area of YES NO | of the Infiniti? | nctional Taurus 9 SNOWN | mm handgun located in the front passenger |
| 70. | Was the Taurus 9mi | | · · | |
| | YES X $($ NO $_$ | | NOWN | |
| | YES X ONO Was a magazine that | UNK at fit the Tauru | NOWN us 9mm handgun : | fired from the Taurus 9mm handgun? found on or near Mr. Williams? |
| | YES XQNO_ | | | |
| | Did Mr. Williams h YES NO | | | on of 0.11? |
| | | eved from a C | Garmin GPS devic | re located in Officer Graham's patrol vehicle ted? |
| | YES NO _ | 2 unk | KNOWN | |
| 75. | intentionally altered | or deleted? | | ex security system related to this incident |
| | YES NO L | 1 UNK | CNOWN | |

INTERROGATORIES ABOUT COMPLIANCE WITH POLICY AND TRAINING RELATING TO FAIR AND IMPARTIAL POLICING

| 76. | Did the Federal Wa Policing apply to th | | | Standards section 1.2.9 F | Fair and Impartial |
|-----|---|------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | Blackshear | YES X6 | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | Clark | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | Graham | YES X 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | Hinckle | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN | , |
| | Lara | YES (| NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | Robertson | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | Rogers | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | | rds Section 1.2. | 9 – Fair and Im | found that Federal Wa partial Policing applied errogatory No. 76. | |
| 77. | Did the actions of t Standards Section 1 | | | th Federal Way Police D g? | epartment Manual of |
| | Blackshear | YES 🕼 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Clark | YES (a | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Graham | YES (o | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Hinckle | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Lara | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Robertson | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Rogers | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| 78. | Did the actions of topolicing? | he following Off | icers comply with | th training received on fa | air and impartial |
| | Blackshear | YES 🕼 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Clark | YES (a | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Graham | YES (a | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Hinckle | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Lara | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Robertson | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Rogers | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | INTERROGAT | ΓORIES ABOU | T COMPLIAN DE-ESCALA | CE WITH TRAINING ATION | RELATING TO |
| 79. | Did the Federal Wa following officers? | y Police Departi | ment training on | De-Escalation apply to t | he actions of the |
| | Blackshear | YES X (0 | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | Clark | YES X. LO | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | Graham | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| 7 | Hinckle | YES X 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | Lara | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN | |
| | | φ | | | |

| | Robertson Rogers | YES X 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| An | Answer the following interrogatory only if you found that Federal Way Police Department training on De-Escalation applied to the actions of the following officers in Interrogatory No. 79. | | | | | | |
| 80. | Did the actions of the received on De-Esc | | icers comply with | th Federal Way Police D | repartment training they | | |
| | Blackshear Clark Graham Hinckle Lara Robertson Rogers | YES CONTROL YES | NO NO NO NO NO NO NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER DID NOT ANSWER DID NOT ANSWER DID NOT ANSWER | | |
| IN | TERROGATORII | ES ABOUT CO | MPLIANCE W TO USE OF | | RAINING RELATING | | |
| An | swer Interrogatorio Williams in Interr | | | und that Officer Lara f | ired a Taser Mr. | | |
| | Standards sections YES Did the firing of a 7 | 1.3 Use of Force NO Taser by the Offi | and 1.3.1 Use of UNKNOWN _ cer Lara comply | th Federal Way Police D f Force. DID NOT ANS with training received of DID NOT ANS | SWERon the use of a Taser? | | |
| INTERROGATORIES ABOUT COMPLIANCE WITH POLICY AND TRAINING ON LETHAL USE OF FORCE | | | | | | | |
| An | swer Interrogatorio Mr. Williams in In | | | that a particular offic | er fired his handgun at | | |
| 83. | 83. Did the following officers reasonably believe that his use of lethal force was in defense of human life, including the officer's own life, or in defense of any person in imminent danger of serious physical harm? | | | | | | |
| 84 | Blackshear Clark Graham Hinckle Lara Robertson Rogers | YES | NO NO NO NO NO NO NO | UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER | | |
| | 34. Was the use of lethal force by the following officers necessary as that term is defined by Federal Way Police Department Manual of Standards 1.3.1.C Definitions? | | | | | | |

| | | 1 . | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| | Blackshear | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Clark | YES(O | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Graham | YES 5 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Hinckle | YES 5 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Lara | YES5_ | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Robertson | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Rogers | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| 85. | Did the use of letha Manual of Standard - When Lethal Forc | s sections 1.3 Us | se of Force, 1.3.1 | comply with Federal Wa Use of Force, and 1.3.2 | ay Police Department A.A.1 Use of Lethal Force |
| | Blackshear | YES (O | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Clark | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Graham | YES 🕠 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Hinckle | YES 😺 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Lara | YES V | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Robertson | YES 10 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Rogers | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| 86. | Did the following C | officers comply | with training rece | eived on use of lethal for | ce? |
| | Blackshear | YES <u>Q</u> | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Clark | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Graham | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Hinckle | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Lara | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Robertson | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Rogers | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| 87. | Was it feasible for t | he following Of | 301. | a verbal warning prior to | o the use of lethal force? |
| | Blackshear | YES | NO X O | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Clark | YES | NO X O | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Graham | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Hinckle | YES X | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Lara | YES X 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Robertson | YES | NO X | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Rogers | YES | NO X 6 | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| 88. | | | 1 - | prior to the use of lethal | |
| | Blackshear | YES | NO V | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Clark | YES | NO 6 | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Graham | YES VES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Hinckle | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Lara | YES 4 | NO | UNKNOWN W | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Robertson | YES | NO 6 | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Rogers | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| 89. | | | | y Police Department Off sue a verbal warning price | |
| | Blackshear | YES (O | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Clark | YES (| NO | UNKNOWN | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | Graham | YES YES | NO | UNKNOWN \ | DID NOT ANSWER |
| | | | | | |

| Hinckle | YES | (NC | UNKNOV | WN | DID NOT ANSWER | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Lara | YES | 5 NO | UNKNOV | | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| Robertson | n YES | NO NO | UNKNOV | | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| Rogers | YES | | | | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| | - llassina Officari | | 3 | | P | |
| 90. Did the following Officers comply with training received on whether to issue a verbal warning prior to using lethal force? | | | | | | |
| Blackshea | ar YES | W NC | UNKNOV | WN | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| Clark | YES | lo NC | UNKNOV | WN | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| Graham | YES | _ 5 NC | UNKNOV | WN | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| Hinckle | YES | NC NC | UNKNOV | WN | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| Lara | YES | 5 NC | UNKNOV | WN | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| Robertson | n YES_ | NC | UNKNOV | WN | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| Rogers | YES | le NC | UNKNOV | WN | DID NOT ANSWER | |
| | e remaining into | OCCASIO | ETHER THE DEATH ONED BY CRIMINAL only if you found that cular officer in Interro | L MEANS Malik Willian | ms died from a bullet | |
| 91. Did the of | ficer meet the "g | good faith Star | ndard as defined in inst | ruction No. 7? | 1. | |
| Blackshear | YES 🕡 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Clark | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | _ DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Graham | YES (| NO | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Hinckle | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Lara | YES 6 | NO | UNKNOWN | | OT ANSWER | |
| Robertson | YES (o | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Rogers | YES 6 | NO | | _ DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| 92. Was the o | and the second | eadly force ne | cessary as defined in in | struction No. 9 | 9? | |
| Blackshear | YES 🕼 | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Clark | YES W | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Graham | YES <u>6</u> | NO | | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Hinckle | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Lara | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | _ DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Robertson | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Rogers | YES | NO | UNKNOWN | _ DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| | r answers to Intended | | | officer cause | Mr. Williams's death by | |
| Blackshear | YES | NO (| UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Clark | YES | NO (p | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Graham | YES | NO L | UNKNOWN | _ DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Hinckle | YES | NO 10 | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Lara | YES | NO 6 | UNKNOWN | DID NO | OT ANSWER | |
| Robertson | YES | NO 6 | UNKNOWN | | OT ANSWER | |
| Rogers | YES | NO O | UNKNOWN | | OT ANSWER | |
| ~ | | - | _ | | to the annual Earlie Mod Authorities | |

By initialing this document, the Presiding Juror attests that they have accurately recorded each juror's answers to each Interrogatory in this document.

DATED this 22 day of July , 2024.

Presiding Juror <u>W</u> (initials)

| Juror | No. | - | |
|-------|-----|---|--|
| | | | |

| Interrogatory No. 7: | |
|-----------------------|--|
| | Reed was in the drivers leat when trinckle's patrol vehicle approached. She was out of the vehicle when trinckle approached on fast. |
| Interrogatory No. 6: | |
| | Louldn't remember exact words, but nade it known to Fraham |
| | |
| Interrogatory No. 15: | Unknown where bullet that grazed Hincke's arm |
| | originated from |
| Interrogatory No. 47: | |
| | unknown where bullet that struck Caras leg originated from. |
| | |
| Interrogatory No. 53: | |
| | Frahan saw Williams point the gan in their direction and heard shots. Couldn't say if he achielly saw than. |
| | |

| Interrogatory No. 22. Confunctive postmony at the same time authorized a some reports that officers gave commonds at the same time authorized a process that they gave commonds are at a time. And some restricted connected a connect record what exact commands were given. Interrogatory No. 21. To believe that on a more probable basis the attimes followed the fair a important British. Way have guided some interrection with an initiality. For instance, Perceing that he daint care as parameter authorized authorized level and appropriate the stant authorized level way police deat had appropriate the stant authorized level way police deat had appropriate to do policy in the band book spectically an the time and it sentimed as active evaluation, companied affirmed discolation of the process for active or way to a training companied and other receive attention of the process for active or way not align w! buymen and other to be active or way not align w! buymen and extensive the corresponding E.g. large police force force force of authorized the process of the corresponding and another process of the corresponding to the process of the p | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Interrogatory No. I do believe that an a more probable book the structure of the structure | Interrogatory No.22 | contrative testimony about wellow |
| Interrogatory No Interrogatory No I do be lieve that on a more probable basis the attacks followed the fair a important policing. I do personally wonder how much implicit birds way have guided since intervention with unwilliams. For instance, Perciently that he don't care or pay intervention with authoratically Perciently the start was reasonable in the start and authoratically Perciently was reasonable in the start to do policy in the health best specifically and the training the training compliance in the framework of action of the civil about specifically and the training the chert depthy defined described in arboration which was reasonable in the start of the policy in the health best specifically and the training the chert depthy defined described in arboration which we wanted to act the civil about specifically and the training of actions of a start force, thereof the scalable in arboration that it is may not align who hay non a constraining the control of the scalable in the start of the scalable in a start of the scalable in the start of the scalable in a start of the scalable in the start of the scalable in a start of the scalable in the start of the scalable in a start of the scalable in the start of the scalable in the start of the scalable in the scalable in a scalable in a scalable in the scalab | | That others gave |
| Interrogatory No. I do believe that on a more probable basis the whiters followed the far a important point of the personally wonder how much implicit birds way have guided some interrogation with unwilliams. For instance, perciently that he adult care or pay attents and automatically projugand the fun as a threat that automatically projugand the fun as a threat the didn't care or pay attents. Interrogatory No. I Lant believe Edwall way police dept had appropriate Dirices regarding desalation in 2019, There was little to do policy in the haddlook specifically on the trick and we do not recieve attains about training an arboritation of white the creat depth defined descalation as "the process of achieve valuations" congruence" in arboritation white or use least force, thereby, officers policed uncontrol training seems limited. Additionally "descalation" tactics may not align of layer was weapons, taking and over, giving commands in a land yelling tone, etc. are trained as descelation tactics, regarders police are trained as descelation tactics. Regarders police are trained as descelation tactics. Regarders police are trained as descelation tactics. | | commands at the same time air laboured + |
| Interrogatory No. 12. | | other repass that they gave cannonds de |
| Interrogatory No. 12. | | at atime. And some testimoney cannot recall |
| Interrogatory No. :: Others followed the fair + inn partial policing. I do personally wonder how much implicit birds may have guided sine interrection with unimiliaring. For instance, perceing that he adult care or pay attends that automatically perceing the jun as a threat that automatically perceing deft had appropriate Policies regarding descalation in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hadd book specifically on the trice and we do not recieve attails about training cumulum. Additionally the cheft depth defined descalation as "the process to achieve variantary compitance" in anderto reduce or use least force, thereof the 2019 descalation training seems limited. Additionally "descalation" tactics may not align w/ sugment and reservationally fog. large police force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land yelling tone, etc are trained as descelation tactics. Expendes police are trained as descelation tactics. | | what exact commands were given. |
| Interrogatory No. :: Others followed the fair + inn partial policing. I do personally wonder how much implicit birds may have guided sine interrection with unimiliaring. For instance, perceing that he adult care or pay attends that automatically perceing the jun as a threat that automatically perceing deft had appropriate Policies regarding descalation in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hadd book specifically on the trice and we do not recieve attails about training cumulum. Additionally the cheft depth defined descalation as "the process to achieve variantary compitance" in anderto reduce or use least force, thereof the 2019 descalation training seems limited. Additionally "descalation" tactics may not align w/ sugment and reservationally fog. large police force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land yelling tone, etc are trained as descelation tactics. Expendes police are trained as descelation tactics. | | |
| Interrogatory No. :: Others followed the fair + inn partial policing. I do personally wonder how much implicit birds may have guided sine interrection with unimiliaring. For instance, perceing that he adult care or pay attends that automatically perceing the jun as a threat that automatically perceing deft had appropriate Policies regarding descalation in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hadd book specifically on the trice and we do not recieve attails about training cumulum. Additionally the cheft depth defined descalation as "the process to achieve variantary compitance" in anderto reduce or use least force, thereof the 2019 descalation training seems limited. Additionally "descalation" tactics may not align w/ sugment and reservationally fog. large police force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land yelling tone, etc are trained as descelation tactics. Expendes police are trained as descelation tactics. | 77-60 | I do believe that on a more probable basis the |
| Interrogatory No. 77 Eden't believe federal way police dept. had appropriate Policies regarding description in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hadd book specifically on the 400 ic and we did not recieve attails about tomining curricum. Additionly the cheft depth defined describetion as "the process the achieve variantemy compliance" in order to leave or use least force, therever, others followed whost manufated. From an autist perspective the 2019 description training seems limited. Additionally "description" | Interrogatory No: | Officers followed the fair + insparried Discourse |
| Interrogatory No. 77 Eden't believe federal way police dept. had appropriate Policies regarding description in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hadd book specifically on the 400 ic and we did not recieve attails about tomining curricum. Additionly the cheft depth defined describetion as "the process the achieve variantemy compliance" in order to leave or use least force, therever, others followed whost manufated. From an autist perspective the 2019 description training seems limited. Additionally "description" | | I do personally wonder how much implicit bies |
| Interrogatory No. 77 Eden't believe federal way police dept. had appropriate Policies regarding description in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hadd book specifically on the 400 ic and we did not recieve details about tomining cuminum. Additionly the cheft depth defined describetion as "the process the achieve variantum compliance" in order to leave or use peak force, thereof officers followed what manufateds Interrogatory No. 79 From an autside perspective the 2019 description training seems limited. Additionally "description" tactics may not aight who sugment maentanding E.g. large police force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land yelling tone, etc are trained as description tactics, regardes police are trained as description tactics, regardes police | | may have guided sme interaction with ur williams. |
| Interrogatory No. 77 Eden't believe federal way police dept. had appropriate Policies regarding description in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hadd book specifically on the 400 ic and we did not recieve attails about tomining curricum. Additionly the cheft depth defined describetion as "the process the achieve variantemy compliance" in order to leave or use least force, therever, others followed whost manufated. From an autist perspective the 2019 description training seems limited. Additionally "description" | | for instance, Perciening that he didn't care or pay afterno |
| Interrogatory No. 77 Eden't believe federal way police dept. had appropriate Policies regarding description in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hadd book specifically on the 400 ic and we did not recieve attails about tomining curricum. Additionly the cheft depth defined describetion as "the process the achieve variantemy compliance" in order to leave or use least force, therever, others followed whost manufated. From an autist perspective the 2019 description training seems limited. Additionally "description" | | And authorestically reveigning the Jun as a sure at the |
| Prices regarding description in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hall book specifically on the 400 ic and we did not recieve details about forming curricum. Additionly the cheit depth defined describation as "the process are conserve variation," compirance in order to reduce or use least force, thereof shiwed what narrhaded the saiding seems limited. Additionally "description" tactics may not aight w/ saymen understanding E.g. large police force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land yelling tone, etc are trained as description tactics, regardes police are trained as description tactics, regardes police. | | The state of the s |
| Prices regarding description in 2019. There was little to do policy in the hall book specifically on the 400 ic and we did not recieve details about forming curricum. Additionly the cheit depth defined describation as "the process are conserve variation," compirance in order to reduce or use least force, thereof shiwed what narrhaded the saiding seems limited. Additionally "description" tactics may not aight w/ saymen understanding E.g. large police force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land yelling tone, etc are trained as description tactics, regardes police are trained as description tactics, regardes police. | 711 | I don't believe federal way police dost had appropriate |
| Interrogatory Note: The an autist perspective the 2019 descalation training seems limited. Additionally "descalation" tractics may not airly w/ bymen understanding E.g. large poice force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land/ yelling tane, etc are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police | Interrogatory No. 19: | Policies regarding of valentish in ODIA Thomas when title |
| Interrogatory Note: The an autist perspective the 2019 descalation training seems limited. Additionally "descalation" tractics may not airly w/ bymen understanding E.g. large poice force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land/ yelling tane, etc are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police | 80 | to do policy in the hand book specifically on the topic |
| Interrogatory Note: The an autist perspective the 2019 descalation training seems limited. Additionally "descalation" tractics may not airly w/ bymen understanding E.g. large poice force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land/ yelling tane, etc are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police | | and we did not recieve setails about forming curricum. |
| Interrogatory Note: The an autist perspective the 2019 descalation training seems limited. Additionally "descalation" tractics may not airly w/ bymen understanding E.g. large poice force, drawing weapons, taking over, giving commands in a land/ yelling tane, etc are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police | | Additionly the chest depthy defined descalation as the |
| Interrogatory No. 2: Training seems imited. Additionally "descalation" tactics may not airly w/ raymen many touring E.g. large police force, drawing weapons, taking cover, giving commands in a land/yelling tone, etc are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police | | mous per acrise come thanking office solved what would te |
| training seems imited. Additionally "descalation" tactics may not airly w/ raymen many training E.g. large police force, oraning weapons, taking cover, giving commands in a laud/yelling tone, etc are trained as descelation tactics, regardes police are trained as descelation tactics, regardes police | 100 | while or use those tense, thereon, somets dominate months |
| training seems imited. Additionally "descalation" tactics may not airly w/ raymen many training E.g. large police force, oraning weapons, taking cover, giving commands in a laud/yelling tone, etc are trained as descelation tactics, regardes police are trained as descelation tactics, regardes police | | FORM ON OHE & DESCRIPTION HO DOLE IN ILLIAN |
| tactics may not airjn w/ raymen indenstanding E.g. large police force, drawing weapons, taking cover, giving commands in a laud/yelling tone, etc are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police are trained as descelation tactics, regardless police | Interrogatory No. 2: | |
| are trained as descelation tactics, regardes police | 79 | tactics may not alich ull lauman independent |
| are trained as descelation tactics, regardes police | • | Ed large police sorce, oraning weapons, taking |
| are trained as descelation tactics, regardes police | | men ainval commande in a laud valling tone, etc. |
| based as los branch | | are trained as besident for the remarkers police |
| Interrogatory NOBES an testimone and andence presented 1 AD based on testimone and andence presented 1 Concretly know now many were snot, not all forgenents were tested as they were lost, there is not enough based on location of casings + wound, built detects, etc to continu which bullets were all shot by which gun ar exact trajectual direction. | | are morried as descretation to the stand of the |
| Interrogatory NOSOS DANT OF THE WAS ANALY TO SETTING I CONCRETELY KNOW YOUR WANT WERE SNOT. NOT ALL forgiments were tested as they were lost. Here is not enough based on location of casings + wound, built defects, etc to continu which builts were all shot by which gun ar exact trajectual direction. | | Burnel les burnels |
| concrettly know now many were snot not all forgements were tested as they were lost, there is not enough based on wanton of casings + wound, built detects, etc to confirm which bullets were all shot by which gun or exact trajectory! direction. | Interrogatory No. | based on testimone and ardence presented ! |
| fragments were tested as they were lost, there is not enough based on location of casings + would, built defects, etc to continu which bullets were all shot by which gun ar exact trajectery/ direction. | 40 | dant believe there was enough to the |
| is not enough based on location of casings + Waund, built detects, etc to continu which builts were all shot by which gun ar exact trajectory/ direction. | | Converted when were snot, not all |
| wound, built detects, etc to confirm which bullets were all shot by which gun or exact trajectory/ direction. | | transvers were rester as very work ast, there |
| all shot by which gun ar exact trajectory direction. | | interior less to the control of control of |
| all shot by unich gun ar exact trajectory direction. | | warren, builet detects, etc to continu which builets were |
| | | all shot by which gun or exact trajectory/ direction. |

| Interrogatory No.5: | Several officers reported they stopped shooting because their were out of bullets or lost |
|-----------------------|--|
| | Visual of Mr. Williams. Additionally, officers reported that they did not get closer to observe, Mr. Williams because they were streeted concerned that he could still be athreat to safter and they were instruce it he was subject in they were was |
| | over but he still possessed a potential threat. |
| Interrogatory Notes | exact trajectery or which build detects and shots that |
| | Hirt Mr. Milliams were caused by which gun. All officers reported shooting a Mr. Williams location/directle forensic/an ballistic analysis could not concretely |
| | determine which gunlotticers shot the bullets-found in Mr. M |
| Interrogatory No. 25: | There was no testimoner or evidence regarding wether or not the video was attered or deleted. No testimoner evidence as to wether |
| | this was assessed. |
| * | |
| Interrogatory Now | I believe comparal throcke was snot by Mr. Williams gun which indicates the gun was pointed at officers. I am not exam commoned wether or |
| | not it was intersticial to aim + shoot or more of a reaction to the gun and shoot, and to bring up the gun and |
| | |
| Interrogatory No82: | umpies with transver as there testimoner and |
| | complies with training as there testimoney and policy that fore should be reasonably expected to average obstacle/compliance, soit And a taser is not a leady force. It would not be within |
| | min in a to office out those who are |
| 2 | imment threat of gun fire. It is reasonable to within training to use the taser instead of switching gun in the immediate threat; but it was not reasonable that was not reaso |
| | |

| Interrogatory No. | any indications of Mr. Williams Physical impairment |
|---------------------|---|
| | such as, the handicap stall, handicap placard, did no |
| | ast him to leave which theren, I don't fee they had any certain knowledge about this, and these small indications may have been missed or exp |
| , , | they had any certain knowledge about this and |
| | Other way. Either way, I don't see it would'be large |
| | they could be the beautiful they |
| 01 | per police dept powers the only reasonable force |
| Interrogatory Noll: | to use against + to avercame cothal force |
| | is bethal force generalises the officers all feet |
| | wilnut though inters a stowerst set to Science I he |
| 9 | that there was a shreat of society fre to uv. William's gun poximity and non compriance |
| | The poor without so your formers were not converted the |
| | which they and other actions. |
| | |
| Interrogatory No: | |
| interrogatory 140 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Interrogatory No: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | ₩ |
| | |
| | |
| Interrogatory No. : | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| , | |
|------------------------|--|
| Interrogatory No. 7: | |
| | When Hingrie headen walking toward the infinity. |
| | When Hinckle began walking toward the infinity, Ms. Reed is standing outside of the vehicle. |
| | INS. Reled is standing outside of the vericle. |
| | · |
| | |
| Interrogatory No.20 | |
| intellinguiting 110. | |
| | More than 3 minutes pass between thinkle approaching the passenger side of the door the first shots being fired. |
| | |
| Interrogatory No: | |
| | Testified that he saw the gun pointed, heard shots fired. Didn't State he saw the gun firing. |
| | |
| Interrogatemy No. 79+8 | P . |
| Interrogatory No: | in2019 |
| | It seems there to not adequate training provided |
| | It seems there is not adequate training provided by the department on de-escalation itself. |
| | Then Wal no Section in the Manual of Standards |
| | on de-espalation, nor was there specific |
| | Training on de-escalation itself. The tactic |
| Interrogatory No: | Used in 2019 do not seem to be techniques |
| | that are de-escalating, However, |
| | Officers followed 12/2019 manual of Handards and what they were truined at that time. |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | There was more time that elapsed between |
|--|--|
| Interrogatory No. 20: | approach and any shots being fired |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Interrogatory No. 7: | When he physically walks formand |
| The state of the s | Verticle, MS. Reed 18 OUT OF the Car |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| groß. | No one expressly noted what was dis- |
| Interrogatory No. 4: | COSSED with Ms. Reed |
| | |
| , | |
| | |
| | |
| ٠ | The order to of this delay a contract the contract |
| Interrogatory No. 45: | The graze of Hindle's arm had no condus- ive existence as to which bullet causedit |
| | The condition of the consent |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Interrogatory No. 17: | No bullet was found to match Laras |
| interrogatory reci. | injury, therefore I cannot conclude definition |
| | injury, therefore I cannot conclude definited with their it was caused by Mr. Williams or another officer. |
| | or other officer. |
| | |
| , | |

Juror No. ___

JUROR EXPLANATION FORM

| Interrogatory No. 1/2: | Nobullet was recovered that was indirected as the one that hit Lara so it is inconclusive |
|-------------------------------|---|
| | as to who shot him. |
| | |
| | He did not const. I a sign of hite |
| Interrogatory No. <u>53</u> : | He did not specify he saw it fired but he said the uttr. willian's gun was pointed at them and he heard firing. |
| | |
| -1 | Yes- 1 believe all officers complied with |
| Interrogatory No. : | their training, however I do feel that practices they were taught in 2019 like "massing" were not actually de-escalatory in the eyes of people who we not police. |
| | in the eyes of people who are not police. |
| T | |
| Interrogatory No: | |
| | |
| | |
| I. A. W. | |
| Interrogatory No: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |