IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF KING

Case No.

Respondent

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER AUTHORIZING ADMINISTRATION OF ELECTROCONVULSIVE THERAPY (ECT)

HEARING

THIS MATTER having come before the Court upon a petition for involuntary treatment with ECT, the Petitioner(s) being represented by the undersigned Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for King County, the Respondent being represented by counsel, and:

Respondent present

Respondent present via video link

Respondent not present

Respondent waived presence

Separate presence waiver has been filed.

Respondent has orally waived his/her presence to defense counsel, and the Court accepts this waiver.

G.A.L. present
G.A.L. waived presence

G.A.L. waived Respondent's presence

Interpreter present

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney _____ present

Respondent's Attorney _____ present

Other present: ______.

the Court makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Respondent was provided all notice and statements of rights relative to the petition filed herein, and that petition was filed on _____.

The Respondent has refused to consent to treatment with ECT:

The Petitioners have a compelling interest in administering ECT to the Respondent because:

ECT is a necessary and effective course of treatment for the Respondent, as evidenced by
Respondent's prognosis with and without the treatment and the lack of effective alternative courses
of treatment. The alternatives are less effective than ECT because:

they are more likely to prolong the length of commitment for involuntary treatment.

they are more intrusive as to the Respondent's liberty and/or privacy interests.

the benefits of ECT exceed the risks of ECT.

1

The Respondent would consent to being treated with ECT if the Respondent were capable of making a rational decision concerning treatment, and this Court is hereby substituting its judgment for that of the Respondent.

Other Findings: ______.

AND FROM the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Court makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Court has jurisdiction over the person and subject matter of this cause.

The Petition for Involuntary Treatment With ECT was filed in a timely manner.

The Respondent should be involuntarily treated with ECT at clinically appropriate levels over her objections and over her express refusal for the period of the current involuntary treatment order, and any interim period during which she is awaiting trial or hearing on a new petition for involuntary treatment or involuntary ECT.

Other Conclusions:______.

ORDER

Based on the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as on the record, it is hereby ordered that ______ and/or other treatment providers are hereby authorized to administer ECT at clinically appropriate levels to the respondent over the Respondent's objections and express refusal for the period of the current involuntary treatment order, and any interim period during which the respondent is awaiting trial or hearing on a new petition for involuntary treatment or involuntary ECT.

The court authorizes up to ______ of course(s) of ECT treatment to be administered on a schedule established by ______.

Oral findings are incorporated by reference.

Other Order: ______.

Done in Open Court:

	<u>X</u>
	Judge / Commissioner
x	<u>x</u>
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Bar #	Attorney for Respondent, Bar # The order is digitally signed.
	Bar #

RESPONDENT'S PRESENCE WAIVER

I am the Respondent in this matter. My lawyer has discussed this Order with me. I know I have the right to a hearing/trial and that if I elect a trial that I will remain in treatment until the trial is completed. I know I have the right to be present at the entry of this Order. I do not wish to be present in court. I consent to the entry of this Order.

<u>X</u>

Respondent

Interpreter certifies that he/she has reviewed this order with Respondent.

Х

Interpreter