

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY  
JUVENILE DIVISION

STATE OF WASHINGTON,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Respondent.

**No.**

MOTION TO SEAL EVALUATION  
CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO  
RCW 10.77-COMPETENCY TO  
STAND TRIAL AND ORDER  
ESTABLISHING PRESENTATION  
SCHEDULE

OR

MOTION

The respondent, through his undersigned attorney of record, requests the court to seal the competency evaluation conducted in this matter and to file in the public record a redacted portion, pursuant to State v. Chen, 178 Wash 2d 350 (2013). The parties shall attempt to arrive at agreed proposed redactions by \_\_\_\_\_.

Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Respondent, Bar Number \_\_\_\_\_

Copy Received:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Bar No

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

ORDER

If the parties agree as to proposed redactions, these shall be presented to the court for review in chambers on the date listed above, along with an unredacted copy of the evaluation. If an agreement is not possible, each party shall provide its own proposed redactions by such date. The court shall:

- Review the proposed redactions and file the redacted version (either that proposed by the parties or as redacted by the court) in the open court file and shall file the unredacted evaluation under seal *or*
- If the court determines that no redactions are appropriate, the entire evaluation shall be filed in the open court file.

These issues will be decided in chambers with no further argument.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge