OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Room 1200 Seattle, Washington 98104 Telephone (206) 477-0860

<u>hearingexaminer@kingcounty.gov</u> www.kingcounty.gov/independent/hearing-examiner

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

SUBJECT: Regional Animal Services of King County file no. V23014800-A23006031

JASON JOHNSON

Animal Services Enforcement Appeal

Activity no.: A23-006031

Appellant: **Jason Johnson**

Kenmore, WA 98028

Telephone:

Email:

King County: Regional Animal Services of King County

represented by Chelsea Eykel

Regional Animal Services of King County

21615 64th Avenue S Kent, WA 98032

Telephone: (206) 263-5968

Email: raskcappeals@kingcounty.gov

Animal Services apparently did not consult the case history involving Mr. Johnson and Max before it issued its October 18 notice and order citing Max for allegedly running at large on October 9.

First, as we explained in our April 19, 2022, decision in V22012956-A22000954, within Kenmore city limits, "at large" means "off the premises of the owner and not under the control

of the owner <u>by leash</u>; provided, that an animal within an automobile or other vehicle of its owner shall be deemed to be upon the owner's premises." K(enmore)CC 6.10.020.A, 6.10.030. Yet Animal Services cited Max for the default running at large violation that applies in unincorporated King County and some other contract cities. K(ing)CC 11.04.230.O. That was the wrong legal standard.

Second and more importantly, Max was under a May 19, 2023, confinement order (V23014260-A23003386), an order Examiner Drummond upheld on appeal on July 20, 2023. That order required that Max be "restrain[ed] using a leash with a collar or harness when taking [Max] off your property. Your leash can extend no longer than 8' in length." Yet Animal Services' October 19 notice and order appears oblivious to that.

We thus DISMISS Animal Services' October 19 notice and order WTTHOUT PREJUDICE, meaning ours is not a decision on the merits. Animal Services may re-issue a notice and order for the October 9 incident, but only after it does its homework. If Animal Services re-issues a notice and order, that document will contain its own appeal deadline Mr. Johnson should be sure to follow. But today's dismissal means that there is currently nothing for Mr. Johnson to challenge.

DATED November 28, 2023.

David Spohr Hearing Examiner P

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

King County Code 20.22.040 directs the Examiner to make the County's final decision for this type of case. This decision shall be final and conclusive unless appealed to superior court by *December 28, 2023*. Either party may appeal this decision by applying for a writ of review in superior court in accordance with chapter 7.16 RCW.

OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

King County Courthouse
516 Third Avenue Room 1200
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone (206) 477-0860
hearingexaminer@kingcounty.gov
www.kingcounty.gov/independent/hearing-examiner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

SUBJECT: Regional Animal Services of King County file no. V23014800-A23006031

JASON JOHNSON

Animal Services Enforcement Appeal

I, Lauren Olson, certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I transmitted the **ORDER OF DISMISSAL** to those listed on the attached page as follows:

- EMAILED to all County staff listed as parties/interested persons and parties with e-mail addresses on record.
- ☑ placed with the United States Postal Service, through Quadient-Impress, with sufficient postage, as FIRST CLASS MAIL in an envelope addressed to the non-County employee parties/interested persons to addresses on record.

DATED November 28, 2023.

Lauren Olson

Legislative Secretary

Eykel, ChelseaRegional Animal Services of King County

Johnson, Jason Hardcopy

Salzman, Timothy Hardcopy