

January 27, 2020

**OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON**
King County Courthouse
516 Third Avenue Room 1200
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone (206) 477-0860
hearingexaminer@kingcounty.gov
www.kingcounty.gov/independent/hearing-examiner

SUMMARY ORDER

SUBJECT: Department of Local Services file no. **ENFR19-0818**

SVITLANA PETRUSHKA
Code Enforcement Appeal

Location: [REDACTED] Woodinville

Appellant: **Svitlana Petrushka**
[REDACTED]
Monroe, WA 98272
Telephone: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]

King County: Department of Local Services
represented by **LaDonna Whalen**
Department of Local Services
35030 SE Douglas Street Suite 210
Snoqualmie, WA 98065
Telephone: (206) 477-5567
Email: ladonna.whalen@kingcounty.gov

At today's conference, the Department noted that Appellant has resolved alleged violation #2 (substandard housing), and that Appellant has applied for an ABC permit prescreening meeting to address #1 (unpermitted addition). There being no current dispute and little reason for the examiner to continue involvement, we enter the following order.


We GRANT the appeal as to violation #2 (substandard housing).

We SUSTAIN the Department’s notice and order as to #1 (unpermitted addition), except that Appellant has already submitted her prescreening meeting request. No penalties may be assessed so long as Appellant follows the later compliance steps set forth in the notice and order. If compliance is not timely achieved, the Department may assess penalties retroactive to today.

If at the prescreening meeting or later, the Department issues a negative preliminary determination (such as the the addition is not permissible under applicable law), Appellant has the option to appeal that preliminary determination, rather than proceed with a complete application. KCC 20.20.030.D. But that—or another permit-related disputes—would be a later issue for a later appeal, and not part of today’s case.

If for some reason we have misunderstood the situation, by **February 20, 2020**, either party is free to file, with the examiner, a motion for reconsideration explaining why the examiner should not be dismissing this appeal. Filing a timely motion for reconsideration postpones the deadline (described below the signature line) for lodging an appeal.

DATED January 27, 2020.



David Spohr
Hearing Examiner

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

King County Code 20.22.040 directs the Examiner to make the County’s final decision for this type of case. This decision shall be final and conclusive unless proceedings for review of the decision are timely and properly commenced in superior court. Appeals are governed by the Land Use Petition Act, Chapter 36.70C RCW.

DS/jo

January 27, 2020

**OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON**

King County Courthouse
516 Third Avenue Room 1200
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone (206) 477-0860
hearingexaminer@kingcounty.gov
www.kingcounty.gov/independent/hearing-examiner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

SUBJECT: Department of Local Services file no. **ENFR19-0818**

SVITLANA PETRUSHKA
Code Enforcement Appeal

I, Jessica Oscoy, certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I transmitted the **SUMMARY ORDER** to those listed on the attached page as follows:

- EMAILED to all County staff listed as parties/interested persons and parties with e-mail addresses on record.
- placed with the United States Postal Service, with sufficient postage, as FIRST CLASS MAIL in an envelope addressed to the non-County employee parties/interested persons to addresses on record.

DATED January 27, 2020.



Jessica Oscoy
Legislative Secretary

Breazeal, Jeri

Department of Local Services

Deraitus, Elizabeth

Department of Local Services

Lux, Sheryl

Department of Local Services

Petrushka, Svitlana

Hardcopy

Whalen, LaDonna

Department of Local Services

Williams, Toya

Department of Local Services