



King County

Dow Constantine

King County Executive
401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 800
Seattle, WA 98104-1818

206-263-9600 Fax 206-296-0194

TTY Relay: 711

www.kingcounty.gov

June 30, 2021

The Honorable Claudia Balducci
Chair, King County Council
Room 1200
C O U R T H O U S E

Dear Councilmember Balducci:

This letter transmits a proposed Ordinance that, if enacted, would adopt the 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policies (Countywide Planning Policies) as approved by the Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) on June 23, 2021. This proposed legislation will update the Countywide Planning Policies to be consistent with the Multicounty Planning Policies and Regional Growth Strategy in VISION 2050, the region's long-range plan for growth.

The 2021 Countywide Planning Policies create a shared and consistent framework for growth management planning for all jurisdictions in King County in accordance with RCW 26.70A.210. The Countywide Planning Policies inform how local comprehensive plans are to be developed. These policies include numeric growth targets that will form the land use assumptions in each jurisdiction's comprehensive plan.

Over the past eighteen months, the GMPC held several meetings dedicated to reviewing the Countywide Planning Policies. The public comment period for the Policies was open from April 5 through May 5, 2021. During this period and in the months prior, the County received written and verbal comments from jurisdictions, non-profit organizations, community groups, and individuals. These comments have been combined into one document and posted on King County's Countywide Planning Policies website. Additionally, staff met with nineteen city councils, two planning commissions, the King County Planning Directors, and the GMPC's Affordable Housing Committee to gather feedback.

As part of the County's continuing efforts to advance pro-equity policies, the interjurisdictional staff team, comprised of staff from the County and cities, prepared an equity impact review, which is available on the GMPC's website. As part of public outreach, King County staff met with members of the Metro Mobility Equity Cabinet, the King County Immigrant and Refugee

The Honorable Balducci

June 30, 2021

Page 2

Commission, and the Climate Equity Community Task Force. Materials posted on the County's website were translated into eleven languages.


This proposed legislation furthers the King County Strategic Plan healthy environment goal of preserving open space and rural character, addressing climate change, and the goal's objectives of maintaining rural character by focusing new growth in urban areas and protect and supporting healthy and productive farms, forests, and open spaces. Land use planning plays a vital role in shaping an environmentally sustainable and economically viable future for all people in King County. The County's role in the GMPC fosters the ethic of working together for "One King County" by actively participating in regional organizations and defining King County's role in regional issues.

Environmental Review was conducted on the draft 2021 Countywide Planning Policies, including the Urban Growth Capacity Report, pursuant to Revised Code of Washington 43.21C, King County Code 20.44 and Washington Administrative Code 197-11. The review finds that the proposals fit within the range of past environmental reviews and do not substantially change the analysis of significant impacts and alternatives in the environmental documents listed in the Determination of Significance and Notice of Adoption of Existing Environmental Documents. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

Thank you for your consideration of this proposed Ordinance.

If your staff have any questions about this legislation, please contact Lauren Smith, Deputy Director for Regional Planning, Office of Performance, Strategy, and Budget, at 206-263-9306.

Sincerely,



for

Dow Constantine
King County Executive

Enclosure

cc: King County Councilmembers
 ATTN: Carolyn Busch, Chief of Staff
 Melani Pedroza, Clerk of the Council
Shannon Braddock, Deputy Chief of Staff, Office of the Executive
Karan Gill, Council Relations Director, Office of the Executive
Dwight Dively, Director, Office of Performance, Strategy, and Budget
Lauren Smith, Deputy Director, Regional Planning, Office of Performance, Strategy,
and Budget

| | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Date Created: | May 25, 2021 |
| Drafted by: | Karen Wolf |
| Sponsors: | |
| Attachments: | A. GMPC Motion No. 21-1 |

1 ..Title

2 AN ORDINANCE adopting and ratifying Growth
3 Management Planning Council Motion 21-1.

4 ..Body

5 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

6 **SECTION 1. Findings:**

7 A. Growth Management Planning Council Motion 21-1 recommends
8 amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies to the King County
9 Council as the 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policies.

10 B. On June 23, 2021, the Growth Management Planning Council approved
11 Motion 21-1.

12 **SECTION 2.** The 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policies, attached to
13 this ordinance via Growth Management Planning Council Motion 21-1 as Attachment A
14 to this ordinance, is hereby adopted by King County and ratified on behalf of the
15 population of unincorporated King County.

6/23/21

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

GMPC MOTION NO. 21-1

A MOTION recommending amendments to the King County
Countywide Planning Policies to the King County Council

WHEREAS; the Countywide Planning Policies were initially adopted in 1992 in
conformance with Growth Management Act requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Countywide Planning Policies were comprehensively reviewed
and amended in 2012 to be consistent with the Multicounty Planning Policies and Regional
Growth Strategy in VISION 2040; and

WHEREAS, the Puget Sound Regional Council General Assembly adopted
VISION 2050 and the Multicounty Planning Policies in 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Multicounty Planning Policies call for the Countywide Planning
Policies to be updated, where necessary, prior to December 31, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Planning Council directed staff to develop
recommendations for a limited scope update of the Countywide Planning Policies in
accordance with a set of Guiding Principles that included basing the update on the 2012
Countywide Planning Policies, centering social equity and health, integrating regional
policy and legislative changes, providing clear, concise, and actionable direction for
comprehensive plans, and implementing the Regional Growth Strategy with 2044 growth
targets that will form the land use basis for periodic comprehensive plan updates; and

22 WHEREAS, staff from King County and the cities in King County have worked
23 cooperatively to analyze and recommend updated policies, including the 2019-2044
24 housing and employment targets, for consideration by the Growth Management Planning
25 Council; and

26 WHEREAS, a Public Review Draft of the 2021 Countywide Planning Policies was
27 shared with the public and comments were received from numerous stakeholders; and

28 WHEREAS, the Growth Management Planning Council considered comments and
29 made adjustments to the Public Review Draft and have concluded their nineteen-month
30 review; and

31 WHEREAS, House Bill 1220 (adopted by the Washington State Legislature in
32 2021) requires that jurisdictions' housing elements under the Growth Management Act
33 include an inventory and analysis of existing and projected housing needs that identifies
34 the number of housing units necessary to manage projected growth, as provided by the
35 Department of Commerce, including: (i) units for moderate, low, very low, and extremely
36 low-income households; and (ii) emergency housing, emergency shelters, and permanent
37 supportive housing; and

38 WHEREAS, the Department of Commerce anticipates providing the existing and
39 projected housing need data and methodology guidance required by House Bill 1220 in
40 summer 2022; and

41 WHEREAS, the Affordable Housing Committee of the Growth Management
42 Planning Council will, no later than early 2022, commence a collaborative effort to:

43 1. monitor and report jurisdictional housing supply, housing affordability, housing
44 needs, and income-restricted housing levels, including disparities between subregions and

45 comparisons to established housing goals and targets, through the Regional Affordable
46 Housing Dashboard and reporting;

47 2. establish subregional or jurisdictional affordable housing needs, informed by
48 local data and the data and methodology provided by the Department of Commerce;

49 3. recommend to the Growth Management Planning Council an accountability and
50 implementation framework for equitably meeting affordable housing needs across the
51 region. The Affordable Housing Committee will consider, at a minimum, the range of
52 Development Patterns Chapter and Housing Chapter amendments proposed by Growth
53 Management Planning Council members in June 2021 regarding understanding and
54 accommodating housing need, holding jurisdictions accountable, and allocating resources;
55 and

56 4. recommend to the Growth Management Planning Council any Countywide
57 Planning Policy amendments necessary to implement their recommendations; and


58 WHEREAS, the Affordable Housing Committee will complete its housing needs
59 work by the end of 2022 and will report back to the Growth Management Planning Council
60 quarterly on its progress:

61 THEREFORE, the King County Growth Management Planning Council
62 recommends the 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policies, included with this
63 motion as Attachment A, to the King County Council. The Interjurisdictional Staff Team
64 is authorized to make technical changes to the policies, text, maps, and tables such as
65 fixing grammatical errors, correcting spelling, or aligning policy references without
66 changing the meaning prior to transmittal to the King County Council.

67

68

69

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Dow Constantine", is centered on the page. The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a solid horizontal line.

70

Dow Constantine, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

71

72

Appendix A: 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policies

~~2012~~ 2021 King County Countywide Planning Policies

~~With amendments ratified by June 25, 2016~~ Approved by the King County Growth Management
Planning Council on June 23, 2021

Page intentionally left blank

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | 5 |
| INTRODUCTION | 6 |
| VISION & FRAMEWORK | 8 |
| Vision for King County 2030 2050 | 8 |
| General Framework Policies | 12 |
| ENVIRONMENT | 15 |
| Environmental Sustainability | 15 |
| Earth and Habitat | 16 |
| Flood Hazards | 17 |
| Water Resources | 18 |
| Open Space | 18 |
| Restoration & Pollution | 19 |
| Air Quality and Climate Change | 20 |
| DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS | 22 |
| Urban Growth Area | 22 |
| Urban Lands | 23 |
| Growth Targets | 25 |
| Amendments to the Urban Growth Area | 31 |
| Review and Evaluation Program | 33 |
| Joint Planning and Annexation | 34 |
| Centers and Station Areas | 36 |
| Urban Regional Growth Centers | 36 |
| Countywide and Local Centers | 38 |
| Manufacturing/ Industrial Centers | 38 |
| Urban Design and Historic Preservation | 39 |
| Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands | 41 |
| HOUSING | 44 |
| Housing Inventory and Needs Analysis | 46 |
| Strategies to Meet Housing Needs | 46 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Regional Cooperation | 48 |
| Measuring Results | 49 |
| Conduct a Housing Inventory and Analysis | 52 |
| Collaborate Regionally..... | 54 |
| Implement Policies and Strategies to Meet Housing Needs Equitably..... | 54 |
| Adjust Strategies to Meet Housing Needs..... | 60 |
| ECONOMY | 61 |
| Business Development | 62 |
| People | 63 |
| Places | 64 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 67 |
| Supporting Growth | 67 |
| Mobility..... | 69 |
| System Operations | 71 |
| PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES | 74 |
| Urban and Rural Levels of Service | 74 |
| Collaboration Among Jurisdictions..... | 74 |
| Utilities..... | 75 |
| Locating Facilities and Services..... | 78 |
| Siting Public Capital Facilities | 80 |
| Public Facility and Disaster Preparedness | 80 |
| Appendix 1: Generalized Land Use Categories Map..... | 81 |
| Appendix 2: Potential Annexation Areas Map | 82 |
| Appendix 3: Urban Separators Map..... | 83 |
| Appendix 4: Housing Technical Appendix..... | 84 |
| Appendix 5: King County School Siting Task Force Report | 116 |
| Appendix 6: King County Centers Designation Framework..... | 117 |
| Appendix 7: CPP Historical Framework | 123 |
| Glossary | 126 |

LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Countywide Planning Policies guide how King County jurisdictions work together and plan for growth that will occur on the ancestral lands of the Coast Salish peoples. In respect for and acknowledgement of their legacy, the Countywide Planning Policies seek to create a livable, equitable, and sustainable home for current and future generations.

INTRODUCTION

The King County Countywide Planning Policies

The Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs) create a shared and consistent framework for growth management planning for all jurisdictions in King County in accordance with RCW 36.70A.210, which requires the legislative authority of a county to adopt a countywide planning policy in cooperation with cities located in the county. The comprehensive plan for King County and city comprehensive plans the comprehensive plans for cities and towns in King County are developed from the framework that the CPPs establish. The 2021 Countywide Planning Policies were designed to provide guidance in advance of the 2024 statutory update of comprehensive plans to reflect a number of changes to the regional policy framework and to reflect new priorities addressing equity and social justice within our communities

The CPPs implement VISION 2050, which is the region’s plan for growth. VISION 2050 is the product of a regional planning process led by the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC), an association of cities, towns, four counties (King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish), ports, tribes, and state agencies. VISION 2050 is the region’s plan for growth. By 2050, the region’s population is projected to reach 5.8 million people. The region’s vision for 2050 is to provide exceptional quality of life, opportunity for all, connected communities, a spectacular natural environment, and an innovative, thriving economy.

King County is home to 39 cities, all of which have a role in accommodating the approximately 660,000 people and 490,000 jobs projected to come to King County by 2044.

The Growth Management Planning Council

The Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) brings together elected officials from King County and the cities and develops and recommends the CPPs to the King County Council. The GMPC is chaired by the King County Executive and includes members from the King County Council, the Mayor of Seattle, members from the Seattle City Council, representatives from the other 38 cities in King County through the Sound Cities Association, and ex-officio membership from special purpose districts, school districts, and the Port of Seattle.

The GMPC is supported by the Interjurisdictional Staff Team (IJT), which reflects membership of the GMPC. The IJT is comprised of senior planning staff from King County and the cities. The IJT operates on a consensus basis and prepares all documents for GMPC review and consideration.

The Countywide Planning Policies and all amendments to the CPPs become effective following approval by the GMPC, adoption by the King County Council, and ratification by King County cities.

About the 2021 Update

With the update to VISION 2050 and the approaching 2024 statutory update of comprehensive plans, King County jurisdictions updated the Countywide Planning Policies for the next decade. Recognizing the existing Countywide Planning Policies as a starting place for the update, the Growth Management Planning Council approved Guiding Principles to establish the context and parameters for the update. The Guiding Principles call for a limited scope for the update based on the following:

- 2012 Countywide Planning Policies
- Centering social equity and health
- Integrating regional policy and legislative changes
- Providing clear, concise, and actionable direction for comprehensive plans
- Implementing the Regional Growth Strategy with 2044 growth targets that form the land use basis for periodic comprehensive plan updates

Equity and Social Justice

The GMPC established the guiding principle of “centering social equity and health” through the Countywide Planning Policies. As noted in VISION 2050, historical land use and housing policies have played a role in creating and maintaining racial inequities. While some explicitly discriminatory laws have been overturned, their legacy and effects have remained, preventing Black, Indigenous, and other communities of color from sharing the recent prosperity of the county. Centering equity and health in the CPPs will continue through improvements to policies and resource allocation that explicitly counter and remedy disparities in determinants of equity and are informed by those most affected by these disparities. The policies’ collective vision for the county’s shared future will have a significant effect on local plans that shape how jurisdictions allocate public resources and set policy to achieve a future where everyone enjoys a safe and healthy place to live, work, and play.

King County Demographics and Geography

King County is the most populous county in Washington State and the 13th most populous county in the nation. In 2021, King County is home to about 2.3 million people and 1.5 million jobs. King County’s population continues to diversify each year. In 2019, communities of color comprised 40 percent of the population, 23 percent of the population was born outside the United States, and 28 percent of people over age five spoke a language other than English at

home. People under 18 comprise 20 percent of the population, while seniors over 65 comprise about 14 percent of the population.

King County's land area is 2,130 square miles and is characterized by cities large and small, by beautiful scenery and geographic variety, stretching from the Puget Sound in the west to the crest of the Cascade Mountains in the east. King County has a variety of working farms and forestlands, as well as a significant open space network.

VISION 2040 STATEMENT

~~The 2012 King County Countywide Planning Policies were prepared to address changes to the Growth Management Act, take into account the passage of 20 years since their initial adoption, and to specifically reflect the regional direction established in VISION 2040.~~

~~Vision 2040 is the product of the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC), an association of cities, towns, counties, ports, tribes, and state agencies that serves as a forum for developing policies and making decisions about regional growth management, environmental, economic, and transportation issues in the four-county central Puget Sound region of Washington state (King, Kitsap, Pierce and Snohomish counties). Vision 2040's Regional Growth Strategy outlines how the four-county Puget Sound region should plan for additional population and employment growth.~~

~~As made clear in the Regional Growth Strategy, all jurisdictions in King County have a role in accommodating growth, using sustainable and environmentally responsible development practices. The 2012 King County Countywide Planning Policies support this strategy and provide direction at the county and jurisdiction level with appropriate specificity and detail needed to guide consistent and useable local comprehensive plans and regulations.~~

~~While VISION 2040 is consistent with the overall growth management strategy of the 1992 King County Countywide Planning Policies, restructuring the Countywide Planning Policies into the six chapters of Environment, Development Patterns, Housing, Economy, Transportation, and Public Facilities and Services was done to match the structure of VISION 2040.~~

VISION & FRAMEWORK

Vision for King County 20302050

It is the year 20302050 and our county has changed significantly in the roughly 4060 years that have elapsed since the first Countywide Planning Policies were adopted in 1992. In 2050: ~~It~~ many ways this is a result of the successful public-private partnership that has supported a

diversified, sustainable regional economy and has managed and accommodated growth while maintaining the quality of life and the natural environment throughout King County.

- Communities across King County are welcoming places where every person can thrive.
- All residents have access to opportunity and displacement from development is lessened.
- The cities are vibrant and inviting hubs for people with a safe, affordable, and efficient transportation system that connects people to where they want to go.
- Housing is characterized by a full range of options that are healthy, safe, affordable, and open to all.
- The county's critical areas are protected and have been restored.
- Open spaces are well distributed and inviting to all users.
- The Rural Area is viable and permanently protected with a clear boundary between urban and rural areas.
- The county boasts of bountiful agricultural areas and productive forest lands.
- The economy provides opportunities to everyone and includes locally owned business, global corporations, women owned business, and businesses with Black, Indigenous, immigrant, and other owners of color.

King County in 2030 is characterized by:

- ~~**Protected Critical Areas. Effective stewardship of the environment has preserved and protected the critical areas in the County, including wetlands, aquifer recharge areas, and fish and wildlife conservation areas.**~~

~~These critical areas continue to provide beneficial functions and values for reducing flooding, protecting water quality, supporting biodiversity, and enriching our quality of life for future generations as the as the region's population continues to grow.~~

- ~~**Viable Rural Area. The Rural Area, established in 1992, is permanently protected with a clear boundary between Rural and Urban Areas.**~~

~~The successful protection of these lands is due in large part to continued innovation within the Urban Growth Area to create new ways to use land efficiently and sustainably. In this way, there is minimal pressure to convert rural lands. The Rural Area is a viable option for those seeking a lifestyle contrast to the Urban Growth Area. The pressure to urbanize the Rural Area has also been lessened by market pressures to use the land for agriculture.~~

- ~~**Bountiful Agricultural Areas and Productive Forest Lands.**~~

~~More people are farming and a greater number of residents are benefiting from King County agricultural products, which can be purchased through a network of farmers markets and farm stands throughout the county. Since 2010, the increase in productive farming in the Agricultural Production District and in the Rural Area has accelerated as more residents seek locally grown food. Thriving~~

markets now exist throughout the county for these products. The forests of the Pacific Northwest remain as some of the most productive in the world with large scale commercial forestry prevalent in the eastern half of the county.

- **Vibrant, diverse and compact urban communities.**

Within the Urban Growth Area little undeveloped land now exists and urban infrastructure has been extended to fully serve the entire Urban Growth Area. Development activity is focused on redevelopment to create vibrant neighborhoods where residents can walk, bicycle or use public transit for most of their needs. Improvements to the infrastructure now focus on maintaining existing capacity as opposed to extending the infrastructure into previously unserved areas. Because of the innovations developed in public and private partnerships, there is still ample capacity to accommodate the planned population and employment growth targets within the Urban Growth Area.

Much of the growth in employment and new housing occurs in the Urban Centers. These centers successfully provide a mixture of living, working, cultural, and recreational activities for all members of the community. All the centers are linked together by a high capacity transit system, including light rail and high capacity bus transit. Transit stations and hubs are within walking distance to all parts of the center and the high capacity transit system facilitates people moving easily from one center to another. Within the collection of Urban Centers there is balance between jobs and housing. Each center has developed its own successful urban character and all are noted for their livability, vibrancy, healthy environment, design, and pedestrian focus.

Smaller concentrations of businesses are distributed throughout the Urban Growth Area to provide goods and services to surrounding residential areas. Most residents are within walking distance of commercial areas, fostering a healthy community through physical exercise and a sense of neighborhood. Local transit systems provide convenient connections to the Urban Centers and elsewhere within the Urban Growth Area.

Manufacturing/Industrial Centers continue to thrive and function as important hubs of the regional economy. These areas too are well served by transportation systems that emphasize the efficient movement of people, goods and information to and within Manufacturing/Industrial Centers as well as connecting to other regions.

The entire Urban Growth Area is characterized by superior urban design with an open space network that defines and separates, yet links, the various jurisdictions and central places. Countywide and regional facilities have been equitably dispersed—located where needed, sited unobtrusively—and have provided appropriate incentives and amenities to the surrounding neighborhoods.

Rural Cities have created unique urban environments within the Rural Area and provide commercial services and employment opportunities for their residents. These include retail, business, educational and social services for residents both of cities and the surrounding Rural Area while protecting and supporting the surrounding Rural Area and Resource Lands.

Federal, state and regional funds have been used to further this land use plan and to fund needed regional facilities while local resources focus on funding local and neighborhood facilities. The sharing of resources to accomplish common goals is done so that the regional plan can succeed and all can benefit.

The economy is vibrant, vital, and sustainable, and emphasizes diversity in the range of goods and information produced and the services provided. Regional cooperation has focused on economic development activities that have retained and expanded key industries such as aerospace, software, and biotechnology while using the resources of the region to attract new business clusters such as in renewable energy. Businesses continue to locate in our county because of the high quality of life; the preservation of the natural environment; the emphasis on providing a superior education; the predictability brought about by the management of growth and the effectiveness of public private partnerships supporting these attributes.

Housing opportunities for all incomes and lifestyles exist throughout the county and with the balanced transportation system access to employment is convenient and reliable. Innovation in the development of a diverse range of housing types has been fundamental in accommodating population growth. The diversity of housing types has allowed residents to stay within their community as their housing needs change.

King County communities are extraordinarily diverse culturally and this has been embraced and celebrated by the residents of King County. The needs of residents are attended to by a social service system that emphasizes prevention but stands ready to respond to direct needs as well. There is a sense of social equity within our communities and all share equitably in the distribution of and access to parks, open space, and vibrant neighborhood centers.

The Urban Growth Area is completely located within cities, which are the primary providers of urban services. Where appropriate, sub-regional consortia have been created for certain services, and King County government is recognized as a significant provider of regional services as well as the coordinator of local services to the Rural Area and Resource Lands.

Residents and businesses have recognized that, over time, through clear and reasonable timelines and financing commitments, issues will be addressed. Residents and businesses trust in their local governments because the plans and promises made to manage growth starting in 1992 have been followed. Change is accepted and proceeds in an orderly fashion based on the locally adopted and embraced growth management plans.

(Framework section moved to Appendix 7)

General Framework Policies

Unless otherwise noted, the Countywide Planning Policies apply to the Growth Management Planning Council, King County, and all of the cities within King County.

Amendments. While much has been accomplished, the Countywide Planning Policies were never intended to be static and will require amendment over time to reflect changed conditions. While the formal policy development is done by the Growth Management Planning Council, ideas for new policies begin in a variety of areas including individual jurisdictions. Policy G-1 below describes the process for amending the Countywide Planning Policies:

G-FW-1 Maintain the currency of the Countywide Planning Policies through periodic review and amendment. Initiate and review all amendments at the Growth Management Planning Council through the process described below:

- a) Only the Growth Management Planning Council may propose amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies except for amendments to the Urban Growth Area that may also be proposed by King County in accordance with policies ~~DP-15 and DP-16~~ through DP-18;
- b) Growth Management Planning Council recommends amendments to the King County Council for consideration, possible revision, and approval; proposed revisions by the King County Council that are of a substantive nature may be sent to the Growth Management Planning Council for their consideration and revised recommendation based on the proposed revision;
- c) A majority vote of the King County Council both constitutes approval of the amendments and ratification on behalf of the residents of Unincorporated King County;
- d) After approval and ratification by the King County Council, amendments are forwarded to each city and town for ratification. Amendments cannot be modified during the city ratification process; and
- e) Amendments must be ratified within 90 days of King County approval and require affirmation by the county and cities and towns representing at least 70 percent of the county population and 30 percent of those jurisdictions. Ratification is either by an affirmative vote of the city's or town's council or by no action being taken within the ratification period.

Monitoring. Periodically evaluating the effectiveness of the Countywide Planning Policies is key to continuing their value to the region and local jurisdictions. In 1994 King County and cities established the current Benchmarks program to monitor and evaluate key regional indicators.

G-FW-2 Monitor and benchmark the progress of the Countywide Planning Policies towards achieving the Regional Growth Strategy inclusive of the environment, development patterns, housing, the economy, transportation and the provision of public services, as well as reducing disparities in equity and health outcomes for King County residents. Identify corrective actions to be taken if progress toward benchmarks is not being achieved.

Investment. Key to ensuring the success of the Countywide Planning Policies is investment in regional infrastructure and programs. Balancing the use of limited available funds between regional and local needs is extremely complex.

G-FW-3 Work collaboratively to identify and seek regional, state, and federal funding sources to invest in infrastructure, strategies, and programs to enable the full implementation of the Countywide Planning Policies. Balance needed regional investments with countywide and local needs when making funding determinations.

FW-4 Support fiscal sustainability of Rural Areas. Rural Areas provide an overall benefit for all residents of King County and strategies to fund infrastructure and services in Rural Areas may be needed to support a defined rural level-of-service.

Consistency. The Countywide Planning Policies provide a common framework for local planning and each jurisdiction is required to update its comprehensive plans to be consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies. The full body of the Countywide Planning Policies is to be considered for decision-making within the context of each city's needs and situations.

G-4-FW-5 Adopt comprehensive plans that are consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies as required by the Growth Management Act.

Equity. The Countywide Planning Policies coordinate planning for an a more equitable future where all King County residents have access to housing, transportation, education, and employment choices and open space amenities regardless of their race, ethnicity, or income. Through their comprehensive plans, jurisdictions will create targeted policies and strategies unique to their local circumstances to achieve this goal.

FW-6 Enable culturally and linguistically appropriate equitable access to programs and services and help connect residents to service options, particularly for those most disproportionately cost-burdened or historically excluded.

FW-7 Develop and use an equity impact review tool when developing plans and policies to test for outcomes that might adversely impact Black, Indigenous, immigrant, and other communities. Regularly assess the impact of policies and programs to identify actual outcomes and adapt as needed to achieve intended goals.

FW-8 Involve community groups especially Black, Indigenous, immigrant, and other communities continuously in planning processes to promote civic engagement, government accountability, transparency, and personal agency.

ENVIRONMENT

Overarching Goal: *The quality of the natural environment in King County is restored and protected for future generations.*

Environmental Sustainability

Local governments have a key role in shaping sustainable communities by integrating sustainable development and business practices with ecological, social, and economic concerns. Local governments also play a pivotal role in ensuring environmental justice by addressing environmental impacts on minority and low-income populations and by pursuing fairness in the application of policies and regulations.

EN-1 Incorporate environmental protection and restoration efforts including climate action, mitigation, and resilience into local comprehensive plans to ensure that the quality of the natural environment and its contributions to human health and vitality are sustained now and for future generations.

EN-2 Develop and implement environmental strategies using integrated and interdisciplinary approaches for environmental assessment and planning, in coordination with local jurisdictions, tribes, and countywide planning groups.

~~EN-2~~ ~~EN-3~~ ~~Encourage~~ Ensure public and private projects incorporate locally appropriate low impact development approaches, developed using a watershed planning framework, for managing stormwater, protecting water quality, minimizing flooding and erosion, protecting habitat, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

~~EN-3~~ ~~EN-4~~ Encourage the transition to a sustainable energy future by reducing demand through planning for efficiency and conservation, supporting the development of energy management technology such as advanced thermostats or software that optimizes usage, and ~~by~~ meeting reduced needs from sustainable sources.

(EN-4 moved to EN-22)

EN-5 Ensure all residents of the region regardless of race, social, or economic status have a clean and healthy environment. Identify, ~~and~~ mitigate, ~~and~~ correct for unavoidable negative impacts of public actions that disproportionately affect ~~people of color and low-income populations~~ those frontline communities impacted by existing and historical racial, social,

environmental, and economic inequities, and who have limited resources or capacity to adapt to a changing environment.

Earth and Habitat

Healthy ecosystems and environments are vital to the sustainability of all plant and animal life, including humans. Protection of biodiversity in all its forms and across all landscapes is critical to continued prosperity and high quality of life in King County. The value of biodiversity to sustaining long-term productivity and both economic and ecological benefits is evident in fisheries, forestry, and agriculture. For ecosystems to be healthy and provide healthful benefits to people, local governments must prevent negative human impacts and work to ensure that this ecosystem remains diverse and productive over time. With the impending effects of climate change, maintaining biodiversity becomes even more critical to the preservation and resilience of resource-based activities and to many social and ecological systems. Protection of individual species, including Chinook salmon, also plays an important role in sustaining biodiversity and quality of life within the county. Since 2000, local governments, citizens, tribes, conservation districts, non-profit groups, and federal and state fisheries managers have cooperated to develop and implement watershed-based salmon conservation plans, known as Water Resource Inventory Area plans, to conserve and restore habitat for Chinook salmon today and for future generations.

EN-6 Locate development and supportive infrastructure in a manner that minimizes impacts to natural features. Promote the use of traditional and innovative environmentally sensitive development practices, including design, materials, construction, and on-going maintenance.

~~**EN-6**~~ ~~**EN-7**~~ Coordinate approaches and standards for defining and protecting critical areas, especially where such areas and impacts to them cross jurisdictional boundaries.

*(~~**EN-7**~~ moved to **EN-17**)*

EN-8 Use the best available science when establishing and implementing environmental standards.

~~**EN-8**~~ ~~**EN-9**~~ Develop an integrated and comprehensive approach to managing fish and wildlife habitat conservation, especially protecting to accelerate recovery focusing on enhancing the habitat of iconic species like salmon, orca, and other endangered, threatened, and sensitive species.

EN-10 Reduce and mitigate air, noise, and light pollution caused by transportation, industries, public facilities, hazards, and other sources. Prioritize reducing these impacts on vulnerable populations and areas that have been disproportionately affected.

EN-11 Ensure that new development, open space protection efforts, and mitigation projects support the State's streamflow restoration law, in order to promote robust, healthy, and sustainable salmon populations, and other ecosystem functions working closely within Water Resource Inventory Areas that encompass King County, and utilizing adopted watershed plans,

~~**EN-9**~~ ~~**EN-12**~~ Implement salmon habitat protection and restoration priorities in approved Water Resource Inventory Area plans.

EN-13 Enhance the urban tree canopy to provide wildlife habitat, support community resilience, mitigate urban heat, manage stormwater, conserve energy, protect and improve mental and physical health, and strengthen economic prosperity. Prioritize places where Black, Indigenous, and other people of color, low-income, and frontline community members live, work, and play.

Flood Hazards

Flooding is a natural process that affects human communities and natural environments in King County. Managing floodplain development and conserving aquatic habitats are the main challenges for areas affected by flooding. The King County Flood Control District exists to protect public health and safety, regional economic centers, public and private property, and transportation corridors. Local governments also have responsibility for flood control within their boundaries.

~~**EN-10**~~ ~~**EN-14**~~ Coordinate and fund holistic flood hazard management efforts through the King County Flood Control District.

~~**EN-11**~~ ~~**EN-15**~~ ~~Work cooperatively to meet~~ Meet regulatory standards for floodplain development ~~as these~~ through interjurisdictional collaboration. These standards are regularly updated for consistency with relevant federal requirements, including those related to the Endangered Species Act.

~~**EN-12**~~ ~~**EN-16**~~ ~~Work cooperatively with the~~ Cooperate with federal, state, and regional agencies and forums to develop regional levee maintenance standards that ensure public safety and protect habitat.

Water Resources

The flow and quality of water ~~is~~ are impacted by water withdrawals, land development, stormwater management, and climate change. Since surface and ground waters do not respect political boundaries, cross-jurisdictional coordination of water is required to ensure its functions and uses are protected and sustained. The Puget Sound Partnership was created by the Washington State Legislature as the state agency with the responsibility for assuring the preservation and recovery of Puget Sound and the freshwater systems flowing into the Sound. King County plays a key role in these efforts because of its large population and its location in Central Puget Sound.

EN-17 Encourage basin-wide approaches to wetland protection, emphasizing preservation and enhancement of the highest quality wetlands and wetland systems.

~~**EN-13**~~ ~~**EN-18**~~ Collaborate with the Puget Sound Partnership to implement the Puget Sound Action Agenda and to coordinate land use and transportation plans and actions for the benefit of Puget Sound and its watersheds.

~~**EN-14**~~ ~~**EN-19**~~ Manage natural drainage systems to improve water quality and habitat functions, minimize erosion and sedimentation, protect public health, reduce flood risks, and moderate peak ~~storm water~~ stormwater runoff rates. Work cooperatively among local, regional, state, national and tribal jurisdictions to establish, monitor, and enforce consistent standards for managing streams and wetlands throughout drainage basins.

EN-20 Support and incentivize environmental stewardship on private and public lands to protect and enhance habitat, water quality, and other ecosystem services, including protection of watersheds. In particular, protect wellhead areas that are sources of the region's drinking water supplies.

~~**EN-15**~~ ~~**EN-21**~~ Establish a ~~multi-jurisdictional~~ multijurisdictional approach for funding and monitoring water quality, quantity, biological conditions, and outcome measures and for improving the efficiency and effectiveness of monitoring efforts.

Open Space

~~**EN-4**~~ ~~**EN-22**~~ Identify and preserve regionally significant open space networks in both Urban and Rural Areas through implementation of the Regional Open Space Plan. Develop strategies and funding to protect lands that provide the following valuable functions:

- Ecosystem linkages and migratory corridors crossing jurisdictional boundaries;

- Physical or visual separation delineating growth boundaries or providing buffers between incompatible uses;
- Active and passive outdoor recreation opportunities;
- Wildlife habitat and migration corridors that preserve and enhance ecosystem resiliency in the face of urbanization and climate change;
- Preservation of ecologically sensitive, scenic, or cultural resources;
- Urban green space, habitats, and ecosystems;
- Forest resources; and
- Food production potential.

EN-23 Preserve and restore native vegetation and tree canopy, especially where it protects habitat and contributes to overall ecological function.

EN-24 Provide parks, trails, and open space within walking distance of urban area residents. Prioritize historically underserved communities for open space improvements and investments.

Restoration & Pollution

EN-25 Reduce and promote alternatives to the use of toxic pesticides, fertilizers, and other products, such as integrated pest management strategies, to minimize risks to human health and the environment.

EN-26 Restore ecological function and value to the region's freshwater and marine shorelines, watersheds, estuaries, and other waterbodies to a natural condition for ecological function and value, where appropriate and feasible.

EN-27 Prevent, mitigate, and remediate harmful environmental pollutants and hazards, including light, air, noise, soil, and structural hazards, where they have contributed to racially disparate environmental and health impacts, and to increase environmental resiliency in low-income communities.

EN-28 Adopt policies, regulations, and processes, related to new or existing fossil fuel facilities, which are designed to:

- Protect public health, safety, and welfare from all impacts of fossil fuels facilities;
- Mitigate and prepare for any impacts of fossil fuel facility disasters on all communities;
- Protect and preserve natural ecosystems from the construction and operational impacts of fossil fuel facilities;
- Manage impacts on public services and infrastructure in emergency management, resilience planning, and capital spending;

- Ensure comprehensive environmental review, and extensive community engagement, during initial siting, modifications, and on a periodic basis; and
- Reduce climate change impacts from fossil fuel facility construction and operations.

~~Air Quality and Climate Change~~

Greenhouse gas emissions are resulting in a changing and increasingly variable climate. King County's snow-fed water supply is especially vulnerable to a changing climate. Additionally, the patterns of storm events and river and stream flow patterns are changing and our shorelines are susceptible to rising sea levels. Carbon dioxide reacts with seawater and reduces the water's pH, threatening the food web in Puget Sound. While local governments can individually work to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, more significant emission reductions can only be accomplished through countywide coordination of land use patterns and promotion of transportation systems that provide practical alternatives to single occupancy vehicles. Efficient energy consumption is both a mitigation and an adaptation strategy. Local governments can improve energy efficiency through the development of new infrastructure as well as the maintenance and updating of existing infrastructure.

EN-29 Adopt and implement policies and programs that substantially reduce greenhouse gas emissions to meet state, regional, and local emissions reduction goals, including targets adopted by the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency.

~~**EN-16**~~ **EN-30** Plan for land use patterns and transportation systems that minimize air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, including:

- ~~Maintaining or exceeding existing standards for carbon monoxide, ozone, and particulates;~~
- Directing growth to Urban Centers and other mixed use/ high density locations that support mass transit, encourage non-motorized modes of travel, and reduce trip lengths;
- Facilitating modes of travel other than single occupancy vehicles including transit, walking, bicycling, and carpooling;
- Incorporating energy-saving strategies in infrastructure planning and design;
- Encouraging interjurisdictional planning to ensure efficient use of transportation infrastructure and modes of travel;
- Encouraging new development to use low emission construction practices, low or zero net lifetime energy requirements, and "green" building techniques; and
- Reducing building energy use through green building and retrofit of existing buildings; and.
- ~~Increasing the use of low emission vehicles, such as efficient electric-powered vehicles.~~

~~EN-17~~ EN-31 Establish a Align countywide greenhouse gas emissions reduction target that meets or exceeds the statewide reduction requirement that is stated as the 2050 goal of a 50 percent reduction below 1990 levels goals and targets with the latest international climate science and statewide targets aiming to limit the most severe impacts of climate change and keep global warming under 1.5 degrees Celsius.

~~EN-18~~ EN-32 Reduce countywide sources of greenhouse gas emissions, compared to a 2007 baseline, by 25% by 2020, 50% by 2030, and 80% by 2050 by 50% by 2030, 75% by 2040; and 95% and net zero emissions by 2050. Assuming 1% annual population growth, these targets translate to per capita emissions of approximately 8.5 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MTCO_{2e}) by 2020, 5 MTCO_{2e}, and 1.5 MTCO_{2e} by 2050.

~~EN-18A~~ EN-33 King County shall assess and report countywide greenhouse gas emissions associated with resident, business, and ~~other~~ local government buildings, ~~on~~ road vehicles, and solid waste at least every two years. King County shall also update its comprehensive greenhouse gas emissions inventory that quantifies all direct local sources of greenhouse gas emissions as well as emissions associated with local consumption at least every five years. Require King County to develop city specific-emissions inventories and data, in partnership with cities.

~~EN-19~~ EN-34 Promote energy efficiency, conservation methods, ~~and~~ sustainable energy sources, electrifying the transportation system, and limiting vehicle miles traveled to reduce air pollution, greenhouse gases, and consumption of fossil fuels to support state, regional, and local climate change-reduction goals.

~~EN-20~~ Plan and implement land use, transportation, and building practices that will greatly reduce consumption of fossil fuels.

~~EN-21~~ Formulate and implement climate change adaptation strategies that address the impacts of climate change to public health and safety, the economy, public and private infrastructure, water resources, and habitat.

EN-35 Address rising sea water by siting and planning for relocation of hazardous industries and essential public services away from the 500-year floodplain.

EN-36 Protect and restore natural resources such as forests, farmland, wetlands, estuaries, and urban tree canopy, which sequester and store carbon.

EN-37 Support the production and storage of clean renewable energy.

DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS

The policies in this chapter address the location, types, design, and intensity of land uses that are desired in King County and its cities. They guide implementation of the vision for physical development within the county. The policies also provide a framework for how to focus multimodal improvements to transportation, public services, the environment, and affordable housing, as well as how to incorporate concerns about climate change, social equity, and public health into planning for new growth. Development patterns policies are at the core of growth management efforts in King County; they further the goals of VISION ~~2040~~ 2050 and recognize the variety of local communities that will be taking action to achieve those goals.

Overarching Goal: *Growth in King County occurs in a compact, centers-focused pattern that uses land and infrastructure efficiently, connects people to opportunity, and ~~that~~ protects Rural and Resource Lands.*

The Countywide Planning Policies designate land as Urban, Rural, or Resource. The Generalized Land Use Categories Map in Appendix 1 shows the Urban Growth Area boundary and Urban, Rural, and Resource Lands within King County. Further sections of this chapter provide more detailed descriptions and guidance for planning within each of the three designations.

DP-1 ~~All~~ Designate all lands within King County ~~are designated as~~ subject to Growth Management Act planning as:

- ◆ a) Urban land within the Urban Growth Area, where new growth is focused and accommodated;
- ◆ b) Rural land, where farming, forestry, and other resource uses are protected, and very low-density residential uses, and small-scale non-residential uses are allowed; or
- ◆ c) Resource land, where permanent regionally significant agricultural, forestry, and mining lands are preserved.

In each of these lands, environmentally sensitive critical areas may exist and these are to be conserved through regulations, incentives, and programs.

Urban Growth Area

The Urban Growth Area encompasses all ~~of the~~ urban designated lands within King County. These lands include all cities as well as a portion of unincorporated King County. Consistent with the Growth Management Act and VISION 2040, urban lands are intended to be the focus of future growth that is compact, includes a mix of uses, and is well-served by public infrastructure. ~~Urban lands also include a network of open space where ongoing maintenance is a local as well as a regional concern.~~

The pattern of growth within the Urban Growth Area implements the Regional Growth Strategy through the allocation of targets to local jurisdictions. The targets create an obligation to plan and provide zoning for future potential growth, but do not obligate a jurisdiction to guarantee that a given number of housing units will be built or jobs added during the planning period.

Several additional elements in the Development Patterns chapter reinforce the vision and targeted growth pattern for the Urban Growth Area. Procedures and criteria for amending the Urban Growth Area boundary address a range of objectives and ensure that changes balance the needs for land to accommodate growth with the overarching goal of preventing sprawl within the county. A review and evaluation program provides feedback for the county and cities on the effectiveness of their efforts to accommodate and achieve the desired land use pattern. Joint planning facilitates the transition of governance of the Urban Growth Area from the county to cities, consistent with the Growth Management Act, and helps ensure equitable governance and service provision.

Urban form and development within the Urban Growth Area are important settings to provide people with access to jobs and housing, choices to engage in more physical activity, eat healthy food, and minimize exposure to harmful environments and substances. ~~In particular, the quality and safety of walking and biking routes children use to reach school is known to affect their health.~~ Access to sidewalks and pathways, healthy food, and open space is not shared equally across the urban area. Historical underinvestment in neighborhoods where communities of color have been concentrated and exclusion of communities of color from high-opportunity areas persists today. The stability and sustainability of the Urban Growth Area depend on fostering development patterns that provide access to opportunity for all.

Goal Statement: *The Urban Growth Area ~~accommodates~~ boundary is stable and capacity within it shall increase over time to accommodate growth consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy and growth targets through land use patterns and practices that create vibrant, healthy equitable, and sustainable communities.*

Urban Lands

DP-2 Accommodate housing and employment growth first and foremost in cities and centers within the Urban Growth Area, where residents and workers have higher access to opportunity and high capacity transit. Promote a pattern of compact development within the Urban Growth Area that includes housing at a range of urban densities, commercial and industrial development, and other urban facilities, including medical, governmental, institutional, and educational uses and schools, and parks and open space. The Urban Growth Area will include a

mix of uses that are convenient to and support public transportation ~~in order~~ to reduce reliance on single occupancy vehicle travel for most daily activities.

DP-3 ~~Efficiently develop~~ Develop and use residential, commercial, and manufacturing land ~~efficiently~~ in the Urban Growth Area to create healthy, ~~and vibrant, and equitable~~ urban communities with a full range of urban services, and to protect the long-term viability of the Rural Area and Resource Lands. ~~Promote the efficient~~ Efficient use of land within the Urban Growth Area ~~by using~~ includes methods such as:

- ◆ a) Directing concentrations of housing and employment growth to high opportunity areas like designated centers and station areas, consistent with the numeric goals in the regional growth strategy;
- ◆ b) Encouraging compact and infill development with a mix of compatible residential, commercial, and community activities;
- ◆ c) Provide opportunities for greater housing growth closer to areas of high employment to reduce commute times;
- ◆ d) ~~Maximizing~~ Optimizing the use of ~~the~~ existing capacity for housing and employment;
- ◆ e) Redeveloping underutilized lands, in a manner that considers equity and mitigates displacement; and
- ◆ f) Coordinating plans for land use, transportation, schools, capital facilities and services.

DP-4 ~~Concentrate housing and employment growth within the designated Urban Growth Area.~~ Focus housing growth in the Urban Growth Area within cities, countywide designated Urban Centers designated regional centers, countywide centers, and locally designated local centers, areas of high employment, and other transit supported areas to promote access to opportunity. Focus employment growth within regional and countywide designated Urban and Manufacturing/Industrial Centers manufacturing/industrial centers and within locally designated local centers.

DP-5 ~~Decrease~~ Reduce greenhouse gas emissions through land use strategies that promote a mix of housing, employment, and services at densities sufficient to promote walking, bicycling, transit, and other alternatives to auto travel and look for opportunities to reduce overall commute distances by locating housing closer to areas of high employment.

DP-6 ~~Plan for development patterns~~ Adopt land use and community investment strategies that promote public health and address racially and ethnically disparate health outcomes and promote access to opportunity. by providing all Focus on residents with the highest needs in providing and enhancing opportunities for employment, safe and convenient daily physical activity, social connectivity, and protection from exposure to harmful substances and environments, and housing in high opportunity areas.

DP-7 Plan for ~~development patterns~~ street networks that provide a high degree of connectivity to encourage walking, bicycling, and transit use, and that promote safe and healthy routes to and from public schools.

DP-8 Increase access to healthy and culturally relevant food in communities throughout the Urban Growth Area by encouraging the location of healthy food purveyors, such as grocery stores ~~and~~, farmers markets, urban agriculture programs, and community food gardens in proximity to residential uses and transit facilities, especially in those areas with limited access to healthy food.

DP-9 Designate Urban Separators as permanent low-density incorporated and unincorporated areas within the Urban Growth Area. Urban Separators are intended to protect Resource Lands, the Rural Area, and environmentally sensitive areas, and create open space and wildlife corridors within and between communities while also providing public health, environmental, visual, and recreational benefits. Changes to Urban Separators are made pursuant to the Countywide Planning Policies amendment process described in policy G-1. Designated Urban Separators within cities and unincorporated areas are shown in the Urban Separators Map in Appendix 3.

~~**DP-10** Discourage incompatible land uses from locating adjacent to general aviation airports throughout the county.~~

DP-10 No new Fully Contained Communities shall be approved in unincorporated King County.

DP-11 When large mixed-use developments are permitted adjacent to the Rural Area, then permitting cities collaborate with King County during the development process so that impacts on surrounding Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands are avoided and mitigated.

Growth Targets

Under the Growth Management Act, King County, in coordination with the cities in King County, adopts growth targets for the ensuing 20-year planning period. Growth targets are policy statements about the amount of housing and employment growth each jurisdiction is planning to accommodate within its comprehensive plan. Growth targets are adopted for each jurisdiction and unincorporated urban King County in the Countywide Planning Policies. Growth targets for the cities in the rural area include the incorporated area and the associated Potential Annexation Area, as shown in the map in Appendix 2.

~~**DP-11**~~ ~~**DP-12**~~ GMPC shall allocate residential and employment growth to each city and urban unincorporated ~~urban~~-area in the county. This allocation is predicated on:

- a) Accommodating the most recent 20-year population projection from the state Office of Financial Management and the most recent 20-year regional employment forecast from the Puget Sound Regional Council, informed by the 20-year projection of housing units from the state Department of Commerce;
- b) Planning for a pattern of growth that is consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy including focused growth within cities and Potential Annexation Areas with ~~countywide~~ designated centers and within ~~other larger cities~~ high capacity transit station areas, limited development in the Rural Area, and protection of designated Resource Lands;
- c) Efficiently using existing zoned and future planned development capacity as well as the capacity of existing and planned infrastructure, including sewer ~~and~~ water, and stormwater systems;
- d) Promoting a land use pattern that can be served by a connected network of public transportation services and facilities and pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure and amenities;
- e) Improving the jobs/housing balance connection consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy, both within between counties in the region and within subareas in the county;
- f) Promoting sufficient opportunities for housing and employment development that is distributed throughout the Urban Growth Area and within all jurisdictions in a manner that promotes racial and social equity;
- g) Allocating growth to ~~individual~~ each Potential Annexation Areas within the urban unincorporated area generally proportionate to its share of unincorporated capacity for housing and employment growth.

~~DP-12~~ **DP-13** GMPC shall:

- a) Update housing and employment targets periodically to provide jurisdictions with up-to-date growth allocations to be ~~incorporated~~ used as the land use assumption in state-mandated comprehensive plan updates;
- b) Adopt housing and employment growth targets in the Countywide Planning Policies pursuant to the procedure described in policy G-1;
- c) Create a coordinated countywide process to reconcile and set growth targets that implements the Regional Growth Strategy through countywide shares of regional housing and jobs, allocations to Regional Geographies, and individual jurisdictional growth targets;
- d) At the outset of a growth target setting process, ensure that each jurisdiction sets growth targets that are commensurate with their role in the Regional Growth Strategy by establishing a set of objective criteria and principles to guide how jurisdictional targets are determined (however targets can be exceeded if needed to meet affordable housing requirements); and

- e) Adjust targets administratively upon annexation of unincorporated Potential Annexation Areas by cities. Growth targets for the ~~2006-2031~~ planning period are shown in table DP-1.

~~DP-13~~ **DP-14** All jurisdictions shall plan Plan to accommodate housing and employment targets in all jurisdictions. This includes:

- a) Using the adopted targets as the land use assumption for their comprehensive plan;
- b) Establishing local growth targets for regional growth centers and regional manufacturing-industrial centers, where applicable;
- c) Adopting Ensuring adopted comprehensive plans and zoning regulations ~~that~~ provide capacity for residential, commercial, and industrial uses that is sufficient to meet 20-year growth ~~needs~~ targets and is consistent with the desired growth pattern described in VISION ~~2040~~ 2050;
- d) Coordinating Ensuring adopted local water, sewer, transportation, utility, and other infrastructure plans and investments ~~among agencies~~, including special purpose ~~districts~~ district plans, are consistent in location and timing with adopted targets as well as regional and countywide plans; and
- e) Transferring and accommodating unincorporated area housing and employment targets as annexations occur.

| | | Net New Units 2006-2031 | | Net New Jobs 2006-2031 | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Housing Target | Potential Annexation Area Housing Target | Employment Target | Potential Annexation Area Emp Target |
| Metropolitan Cities | | | | | |
| | Bellevue | 17,000 | 290 | 53,000 | |
| | Seattle | 86,000 | | 146,700 | |
| Metropolitan Cities Subtotal | | 103,000 | | 199,700 | |
| Core Cities | Auburn | 9,620 | | 19,350 | |
| | Bothell | 3,000 | 810 | 4,800 | 200 |
| | Burien | 4,440 | | 4,960 | |
| | Federal Way | 8,100 | 2,390 | 12,300 | 290 |
| | Kent | 9,270 | 90 | 13,280 | 210 |
| | Kirkland | 8,570 | | 20,850 | |
| | Redmond | 10,200 | 640 | 23,000 | |
| | Renton | 14,835 | 3,895 | 29,000 | 470 |
| | SeaTac | 5,800 | | 25,300 | |
| | Tukwila | 4,800 | 50 | 15,500 | 2,050 |
| Core Cities Subtotal | | 78,638 | | 168,340 | |
| † | Des Moines | 3,000 | | 5,000 | |

| Table DP-1: King County Jurisdiction Growth Targets 2006-2031 | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | Net New Units—2006-2031 | | Net New Jobs—2006-2031 | |
| | | Housing Target | Potential Annexation Area -Housing Target | Employment Target | Potential Annexation Area -Emp Target |
| | Issaquah | 5,750 | 290 | 20,000 | |
| | Kenmore | 3,500 | | 3,000 | |
| | Maple Valley | 1,800 | 1,060 | 2,000 | |
| | Mercer Island | 2,000 | | 1,000 | |
| | Sammamish | 4,000 | 350 | 1,800 | |
| | Shoreline | 5,000 | | 5,000 | |
| | Woodinville | 3,000 | | 5,000 | |
| Larger Cities Subtotal | | 28,050 | | 42,800 | |
| Small Cities | Algona | 190 | | 210 | |
| | Beaux Arts | 3 | | 3 | |
| | Black Diamond | 1,900 | | 1,050 | |
| | Carnation | 330 | | 370 | |
| | Clyde Hill | 10 | | 0 | |
| | Covington | 1,470 | | 1,320 | |
| | Duvall | 1,140 | | 840 | |
| | Enumclaw | 1,425 | | 735 | |
| | Hunts Point | 1 | | 0 | |
| | Lake Forest Park | 475 | | 210 | |
| | Medina | 19 | | 0 | |
| | Milton | 50 | 90 | 160 | |
| | Newcastle | 1,200 | | 735 | |
| | Normandy Park | 120 | | 65 | |
| | North Bend | 665 | | 1,050 | |
| | Pacific | 285 | 135 | 370 | |
| | Skykomish | 10 | | 0 | |
| Snoqualmie | 1,615 | | 1,050 | | |
| Yarrow Point | 14 | | 0 | | |
| Small Cities Subtotal | | 10,922 | | 8,168 | |
| Urban Unincorp | Potential Annexation Areas | 10,090 | | 3,220 | |
| | North Highline | 820 | | 2,170 | |
| | Bear Creek UPD | 910 | | 3,580 | |
| | Unclaimed Urban Uninc. | 650 | | 90 | |
| Urban Incorporated Subtotal | | 12,470 | | 9,060 | |
| Urban Growth Area Total | | 233,077 | | 428,068 | |

| Table DP-1: King County Jurisdiction Growth Targets 2019-2044 | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Net New Units and Jobs | | | |
| Jurisdiction | | 2019-2044 Housing Target | 2019-2044 Job Target |
| <u>Metro Cities</u> | <u>Bellevue</u> | <u>35,000</u> | <u>70,000</u> |
| | <u>Seattle</u> | <u>112,000</u> | <u>169,500</u> |
| <u>Metropolitan Cities Subtotal</u> | | <u>147,000</u> | <u>239,500</u> |
| <u>Core Cities</u> | <u>Auburn</u> | <u>12,000</u> | <u>19,520</u> |
| | <u>Bothell</u> | <u>5,800</u> | <u>9,500</u> |
| | <u>Burien</u> | <u>7,500</u> | <u>4,770</u> |
| | <u>Federal Way</u> | <u>11,260</u> | <u>20,460</u> |
| | <u>Issaquah</u> | <u>3,500</u> | <u>7,950</u> |
| | <u>Kent</u> | <u>10,200</u> | <u>32,000</u> |
| | <u>Kirkland</u> | <u>13,200</u> | <u>26,490</u> |
| | <u>Redmond</u> | <u>20,000</u> | <u>24,000</u> |
| | <u>Renton</u> | <u>17,000</u> | <u>31,780</u> |
| | <u>SeaTac</u> | <u>5,900</u> | <u>14,810</u> |
| | <u>Tukwila</u> | <u>6,500</u> | <u>15,890</u> |
| <u>Core Cities Subtotal</u> | | <u>112,860</u> | <u>207,170</u> |
| <u>High Capacity Transit Communities</u> | <u>Des Moines</u> | <u>3,800</u> | <u>2,380</u> |
| | <u>Federal Way PAA</u> | <u>1,020</u> | <u>720</u> |
| | <u>Kenmore</u> | <u>3,070</u> | <u>3,200</u> |
| | <u>Lake Forest Park</u> | <u>870</u> | <u>550</u> |
| | <u>Mercer Island</u> | <u>1,239</u> | <u>1,300</u> |
| | <u>Newcastle</u> | <u>1,480</u> | <u>500</u> |
| | <u>North Highline PAA</u> | <u>1,420</u> | <u>1,220</u> |
| | <u>Renton PAA - East Renton</u> | <u>170</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Renton PAA - Fairwood</u> | <u>840</u> | <u>100</u> |
| | <u>Renton PAA - Skyway/West Hill</u> | <u>670</u> | <u>600</u> |
| | <u>Shoreline</u> | <u>13,330</u> | <u>10,000</u> |
| | <u>Woodinville</u> | <u>2,033</u> | <u>5,000</u> |
| <u>High Capacity Transit Communities Subtotal</u> | | <u>29,942</u> | <u>25,570</u> |

| Table DP-1: King County Jurisdiction Growth Targets 2019-2044 | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Net New Units and Jobs | | | |
| <u>Jurisdiction</u> | | <u>2019-2044 Housing Target</u> | <u>2019-2044 Job Target</u> |
| <u>Cities and Towns</u> | <u>Algona</u> | <u>170</u> | <u>325</u> |
| | <u>Beaux Arts</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Black Diamond</u> | <u>2,900</u> | <u>680</u> |
| | <u>Carnation</u> | <u>799</u> | <u>450</u> |
| | <u>Clyde Hill</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>10</u> |
| | <u>Covington</u> | <u>4,310</u> | <u>4,496</u> |
| | <u>Duvall</u> | <u>890</u> | <u>990</u> |
| | <u>Enumclaw</u> | <u>1,057</u> | <u>989</u> |
| | <u>Hunts Point</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Maple Valley</u> | <u>1,720</u> | <u>1,570</u> |
| | <u>Medina</u> | <u>19</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Milton</u> | <u>50</u> | <u>900</u> |
| | <u>Normandy Park</u> | <u>153</u> | <u>35</u> |
| | <u>North Bend</u> | <u>1,748</u> | <u>2,218</u> |
| | <u>Pacific</u> | <u>135</u> | <u>75</u> |
| | <u>Sammamish</u> | <u>700</u> | <u>305</u> |
| | <u>Skykomish</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Snouqualmie</u> | <u>1,500</u> | <u>4,425</u> |
| <u>Yarrow Point</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>0</u> | |
| <u>Cities and Towns Subtotal</u> | | <u>16,183</u> | <u>17,468</u> |
| <u>Urban Unincorporated</u> | <u>Auburn PAA</u> | <u>12</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Bellevue PAA</u> | <u>17</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Black Diamond PAA</u> | <u>328</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Issaquah PAA</u> | <u>35</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Kent PAA</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>300</u> |
| | <u>Newcastle PAA</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Pacific PAA</u> | <u>134</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Redmond PAA</u> | <u>120</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Sammamish PAA</u> | <u>194</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Unaffiliated Urban Unincorporated</u> | <u>448</u> | <u>400</u> |
| <u>Urban Unincorporated Subtotal</u> | | <u>1,292</u> | <u>700</u> |
| <u>Urban Growth Area Total</u> | | <u>307,277</u> | <u>490,408</u> |

Amendments to the Urban Growth Area

The following policies guide the decision-making process by both the GMPC and King County regarding proposals to ~~expand~~ amend the Urban Growth Area.

~~DP-14~~ **DP-15** Review the Urban Growth Area at least every ten years. In this review consider monitoring reports and other available data. As a result of this review, and based on the criteria established in policies ~~DP-15 and DP-16~~ through DP-19, King County may propose and then the Growth Management Planning Council may recommend amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies and King County Comprehensive Plan that make changes to the Urban Growth Area boundary.

~~DP-15~~ **DP-16** Allow amendment of the Urban Growth Area only when the following steps have been satisfied:

- a) The proposed ~~expansion~~ amendment is under review by the County as part of an amendment process of the King County Comprehensive Plan;
- b) King County submits the proposal to the Growth Management Planning Council for the purposes of review and recommendation to the King County Council on the proposed amendment to the Urban Growth Area;
- c) The King County Council approves or denies the proposed amendment; and
- d) If approved by the King County Council, the proposed amendment is ratified by the cities following the procedures set forth in policy ~~GF~~ FW-1.

~~DP-16~~ **DP-17** Allow expansion of the Urban Growth Area only if at least one of the following criteria is met:

- a) A countywide analysis determines that the current Urban Growth Area is insufficient in size and additional land is needed to accommodate the housing and employment growth targets, including institutional and other non-residential uses, and there are no other reasonable measures, such as increasing density or rezoning existing urban land, that would avoid the need to expand the Urban Growth Area; or
- b) A proposed expansion of the contiguous Urban Growth Area is accompanied by dedication of permanent open space to the King County Open Space System, where the acreage of the proposed open space:
 - 1) is at least a minimum of four times the acreage of the land added to the Urban Growth Area; and
 - 2) is contiguous with the original 1994 Urban Growth Area with at least a portion of the dedicated open space surrounding the proposed Urban Growth Area expansion; and
 - 3) ~~Preserves~~ is onsite and preserves high quality habitat, critical areas, or unique features that contribute to the band of permanent open space along the edge of the Urban Growth Area; or

- c.) The area is currently a King County park being transferred to a city to be maintained as a park in perpetuity or is park land that has been owned by a city since 1994 and is less than thirty acres in size.

~~DP-17~~ ~~DP-18~~ If Add land to the Urban Growth Area only if expansion of the Urban Growth Area is warranted based on the criteria in ~~DP-16(a)~~ or ~~DP-16(b)~~, ~~DP-17(a)~~ or ~~DP-17(b)~~, add land to the Urban Growth Area only if and it meets all of the following criteria:

- a) ~~Is adjacent to the existing Urban Growth Area;~~
- b) For expansions based on ~~DP-16(a)~~ DP-17(a) only, is no larger than necessary to promote compact development that accommodates anticipated growth needs and is adjacent to the existing Urban Growth Area;
- b) For expansions based on DP-17(b), is:
 - 1) adjacent to the original 1994 contiguous Urban Growth Area;
 - 2) only residential development is allowed on the new urban lands;
 - 3) no development on the property shall occur until the property is annexed by the city.

These Urban Growth Area expansions require an agreement between the property owner, the annexing city, and the County;
- c) Can be efficiently provided with urban services and does not require any supportive facilities, services, roads, or any infrastructure to cross or be located in the Rural Area, Resource Lands, or new open space area, and does not overly burden King County road networks in the Rural Area;
- d) Follows topographical features that form natural boundaries, such as rivers and ridge lines and does not extend beyond natural boundaries, such as watersheds, that impede the provision of urban services;
- e) Is not currently designated as Resource Land;
- f) Is sufficiently free of environmental constraints to be able to support urban development without significant adverse environmental impacts, unless the area is designated as an Urban Separator by interlocal agreement between King County and the annexing city; and
- g) Is subject to an agreement between King County and the city or town adjacent to the area that the area will be added to the city's Potential Annexation Area. Upon ratification of the amendment, the Countywide Planning Policies will reflect both the Urban Growth Area change and Potential Annexation Area change.

~~DP-18~~ ~~DP-19~~ Allow redesignation of Urban land currently within the Urban Growth Area to Rural land outside of the Urban Growth Area if the land is not needed to accommodate projected urban growth, is not served by public sewers, is contiguous with the Rural Area, and:

- a) Is not characterized by urban development;
- b) Is currently developed with a low density lot pattern that cannot be realistically redeveloped at an urban density; or

- c) Is characterized by environmentally sensitive areas making it inappropriate for higher density development.

Review and Evaluation Program

The following policies guide the decision-buildable lands program conducted by the GMPC and King County.

~~DP-19~~ **DP-20** Conduct a buildable lands program that meets or exceeds the review and evaluation requirements of the Growth Management Act. The purposes of the buildable lands program are:

- a) To collect and analyze data on development activity, including land supply, zoning, development standards, land uses, critical areas, and capacity for residential, commercial, and industrial land uses in urban areas;
- ~~To evaluate the consistency of actual development densities with current comprehensive plans; and~~
- b) To determine whether jurisdictions are achieving urban densities and planned growth consistent with comprehensive plans, countywide planning policies, and multicounty planning policies; and
- c) To evaluate the sufficiency of land capacity to accommodate growth for the remainder of the planning period.

~~DP-20~~ ~~If necessary based on the findings of a periodic buildable lands evaluation report, adopt reasonable measures, other than expansion of the Urban Growth Area, to increase land capacity for housing and employment growth within the Urban Growth Area by making more efficient use of urban land consistent with current plans and targets.~~

DP-21 The County and the cities, through the Growth Management Planning Council, will collaboratively determine whether reasonable measures other than amending the Urban Growth Area are necessary to ensure sufficient additional capacity if a countywide urban growth capacity report, informed by local data and analysis where appropriate, determines that:

- a) the current Urban Growth Area is insufficient in capacity to accommodate the housing and employment growth targets; or
- b) any jurisdiction:
 - 1) contains insufficient capacity to accommodate the housing and employment growth targets;
 - 2) has established regulatory or programmatic barriers to growth that prevent progress toward achieving the housing and employment growth targets; or
 - 3) has not achieved urban densities consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan.

Reasonable measures should be adopted to help implement local targets in a manner consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy.

DP-22 Adopt any necessary reasonable measures in comprehensive plans, and these may include increased land capacity for housing and employment or other measures to promote growth that is consistent with planned urban densities and adopted housing and employment targets. Jurisdictions will report adopted reasonable measures to the GMPC and will collaborate to provide data periodically on the effectiveness of those measures.

Joint Planning and Annexation

~~**DP-21**~~ ~~**DP-23**~~ Coordinate the preparation of comprehensive plans ~~among~~ with adjacent and other affected jurisdictions ~~as a means~~, military facilities, tribal governments, ports, airports, and other related entities to avoid or mitigate the potential cross-border impacts of urban development and encroachment of incompatible uses.

~~**DP-22**~~ ~~**DP-24**~~ Designate Potential Annexation Areas in city comprehensive plans and adopt them in the Countywide Planning Policies. ~~Ensure that~~ Affiliate all Potential Annexation Areas with adjacent cities and ensure they do not overlap or leave urban unincorporated urban islands between cities. Except for parcel or block-level annexations that facilitate service provision, commercial areas, and low- and high-income residential areas shall be annexed holistically rather than in a manner that leaves residential urban unincorporated areas stranded. Annexation is preferred over incorporation.

~~**DP-23**~~ ~~**DP-25**~~ Facilitate the annexation of unincorporated areas within the Urban Growth Area that are already urbanized and are within a city's Potential Annexation Area ~~in order to provide~~ increase the provision of urban services to those areas. ~~Annexation is preferred over incorporation.~~ To move Potential Annexation Areas towards annexation, cities and the County shall work to establish pre-annexation agreements that identify mutual interests, and ensure coordinated planning and compatible development until annexation is feasible.

DP-26 Develop agreements between King County and cities with Potential Annexation Areas to apply city-compatible development standards that will guide land development prior to annexation. Utilize tools and strategies such as service and infrastructure financing, transferring permitting authority, or identifying appropriate funding sources to address infrastructure and service provision issues.

~~**DP-24**~~ ~~**DP-27**~~ Allow cities to annex territory only within their designated Potential Annexation Area as shown in the Potential Annexation Areas Map in Appendix 2. Phase annexations to

coincide with the ability of cities or existing special purpose districts to coordinate the provision of a full range of urban services to areas to be annexed.

- a) For areas that have received approval for annexation from the King County Boundary Review Board, the City shall include a process that includes collaboration with King County for annexation in the next statutory update of their Comprehensive Plan.
- b) Jurisdictions may negotiate with one another regarding changing boundaries or affiliations of Potential Annexation Areas and may propose such changes to GMPC as an amendment to Appendix 2. In proposing any new or revised PAA boundaries or city affiliation, jurisdictions should consider the criteria in DP-29. In order to ensure that any changes can be included in local comprehensive plans, any proposals resulting from such negotiation should be brought to GMPC for action no later than two years prior to the statutory deadline for the major plan update.

~~DP-25 DP-28~~ Within the North Highline unincorporated area, where Potential Annexation Areas overlapped prior to January 1, 2009, strive Strive to establish alternative non-overlapping Potential Annexation Area boundaries within the North Highline unincorporated area, where Potential Annexation Areas overlapped prior to January 1, 2009, through a process of negotiation. Absent a negotiated resolution, a city may file a Notice of Intent to Annex with the Boundary Review Board for King County for territory within its designated portion of a Potential Annexation Area overlap as shown in the Potential Annexation Areas Map in Appendix 2 and detailed in the city's comprehensive plan after the following steps have been taken:

- a) The city proposing annexation has, at least 30 days prior to filing a Notice of Intent to annex with the Boundary Review Board, contacted in writing the cities with the PAA overlap and the county to provide notification of the city's intent to annex and to request a meeting or formal mediation to discuss boundary alternatives, and;
- b) The cities with the Potential Annexation Area overlap and the county have either:
 - i) 1) Agreed to meet but failed to develop a negotiated settlement to the overlap within 60 days of receipt of the notice, or
 - ii) 2) Declined to meet or failed to respond in writing within 30 days of receipt of the notice.

(DP-26 moved from this location to earlier in chapter given policy number changes)

~~DP-27 DP-29~~ Evaluate proposals to annex or incorporate urban unincorporated land based on the following criteria, as applicable:

- a) Conformance with Countywide Planning Policies including the Urban Growth Area boundary;
- b) The ability of the annexing or incorporating jurisdiction to efficiently provide urban services at standards equal to or better than the current service providers; ~~and~~
- c) ~~Annexation~~ The effect of the annexation or incorporation in a ~~manner that will avoid~~ avoiding or creating unincorporated islands of development;

- d) The ability to serve the area in a manner that addresses social equity and promotes access to opportunity; and
- e) Based upon joint outreach to community, the ability and interest of a city in moving forward with a timely annexation of the area, consistent with these criteria.

~~DP-28 DP-30~~ Resolve the issue of unincorporated road islands within or between cities. Roadways Annexation of roadways and shared streets within or between cities, but still under King County jurisdiction, should be annexed by considered by cities that are adjacent cities to them. Cities and the county shall work to establish timeframes for annexation of road islands.

Centers and Station Areas

A centers strategy is the linchpin for King County to achieve the Regional Growth Strategy as well as a range of other objectives, particularly providing a land use framework for an efficient and effective regional transit system, and guiding growth to locations planned for greater access to opportunity. ~~Countywide designation of Urban Centers~~ Designated regional centers and local designation of local centers provide for locations of mixed-use zoning, infrastructure, and concentrations of services and amenities to accommodate both housing and employment growth. Manufacturing/Industrial Centers preserve lands for family-wage jobs in basic industries and trade and provide areas where that employment may grow in the future.

Goal Statement: *King County grows in a manner that reinforces and expands upon a system of existing and planned high capacity transit in central places within which concentrated residential communities and economic activities can flourish.*

Urban Regional Growth Centers

~~DP-29 DP-31~~ Concentrate Focus housing and employment growth within into designated Urban Centers regional growth centers, at levels consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy, and at densities that maximize high capacity transit.

~~DP-30 DP-32~~ Designate Urban Centers regional growth centers in the Countywide Planning Policies where city-nominated locations meet the criteria in policies DP-31 and DP-32 and where the city's commitments will help ensure the success of the center. the King County Centers Designation Framework, as adopted in Appendix 6. ~~Urban Centers~~ Regional growth centers will be limited in number and located on existing or planned high capacity transit corridors to provide a framework for targeted private and public investments that support regional land use and transportation goals. ~~The Land Use Map in Appendix 1 shows the locations of the designated Urban Centers.~~

~~DP-31~~ Allow designation of new Urban Centers where the proposed Center:

- ~~a) Encompasses an area up to one and a half square miles; and~~
- ~~b) Has adopted zoning regulations and infrastructure plans that are adequate to accommodate
 - ~~i) A minimum of 15,000 jobs within one half mile of an existing or planned high capacity transit station;~~
 - ~~ii) At a minimum, an average of 50 employees per gross acre within the Urban Center; and~~
 - ~~iii) At a minimum, an average of 15 housing units per gross acre within the Urban Center.~~~~

DP-33 The King County Centers Designation Framework establishes designation processes and timelines, minimum existing and planned density thresholds, and subarea planning expectations. King County designated centers are shown on the Generalized Land Use Categories Map in Appendix 1.

~~DP-32~~ Adopt a map and housing and employment growth targets in city comprehensive plans for each Urban Center, and adopt policies to promote and maintain quality of life in the Center through:

- ~~• A broad mix of land uses that foster both daytime and nighttime activities and opportunities for social interaction;~~
- ~~• A range of affordable and healthy housing choices;~~
- ~~• Historic preservation and adaptive reuse of historic places;~~
- ~~• Parks and public open spaces that are accessible and beneficial to all residents in the Urban Center;~~
- ~~• Strategies to increase tree canopy within the Urban Center and incorporate low impact development measures to minimize stormwater runoff;~~
- ~~• Facilities to meet human service needs;~~
- ~~• Superior urban design which reflects the local community vision for compact urban development;~~
- ~~• Pedestrian and bicycle mobility, transit use, and linkages between these modes;~~
- ~~• Planning for complete streets to provide safe and inviting access to multiple travel modes, especially bicycle and pedestrian travel; and~~
- ~~• Parking management and other strategies that minimize trips made by single-occupant vehicle, especially during peak commute periods.~~

~~DP-33~~ Form the land use foundation for a regional high capacity transit system through the designation of a system of Urban Centers. Urban Centers should receive high priority for the location of transit service.

DP-34 Establish subarea plans for designated regional and countywide centers that comport with the expectations in the King County Centers Designation Framework adopted in Appendix 6.

DP-35 Evaluate the potential physical, economic, and cultural displacement of residents and businesses in regional growth centers and high capacity transit station areas, particularly for Black, Indigenous, immigrant, and other communities at greatest risk. Use a range of strategies to mitigate identified displacement impacts.

Countywide and Local Centers

DP-36 Designate countywide centers in the Countywide Planning Policies where locations meet the criteria in the King County Centers Designation Framework. Countywide centers shall have zoned densities that support high capacity transit and be located on existing or planned transit corridors.

~~DP-38~~ **DP-37** ~~Identify in comprehensive plans~~ Support the designation of local centers, such as city or neighborhood centers, transit station areas, or other activity nodes, where housing, employment, and services are accommodated in a compact form and at sufficient densities to support transit service and to make efficient use of urban land.

Manufacturing/ Industrial Centers

~~DP-37~~ **DP-38** Designate additional Manufacturing/ Industrial Centers and accommodate industrial employment growth in a network of regional and countywide industrial centers to support economic development and middle-wage jobs in King County. The Generalized Land Use Categories Map in Appendix 1 shows the locations of the designated Manufacturing/Industrial Centers. Designate these centers in the Countywide Planning Policies pursuant to the procedures described in policy G-1 based on nominations from cities and after determining that:

- a) the nominated locations meet the criteria set forth in ~~policy DP-35~~ **the King County Centers Designation Framework** and the criteria established by the Puget Sound Regional Council for ~~Regional Manufacturing/ Industrial Centers~~ regional manufacturing/ industrial centers;
- b) the proposed center's location will promote a countywide system of ~~Manufacturing/Industrial Centers~~ **manufacturing/ industrial centers** with the total number of centers representing a realistic growth strategy for the county; and
- c) the city's commitments will help ensure the success of the center.

~~DP-34~~ Concentrate manufacturing and industrial employment within countywide designated Manufacturing/ Industrial Centers. The Land Use Map in Appendix 1 shows the locations of the designated Manufacturing/Industrial Centers.

~~DP-35~~ Adopt in city comprehensive plans a map and employment growth targets for each Manufacturing/ Industrial Center and adopt policies and regulations for the Center to:

- ~~• Provide zoning and infrastructure adequate to accommodate a minimum of 10,000 jobs;~~
- ~~• Preserve and enhance sites that are appropriate for manufacturing or other industrial uses;~~
- ~~• Strictly limit residential uses and discourage land uses that are not compatible with manufacturing and industrial uses, such as by imposing low maximum size limits on offices and retail uses that are not accessory to an industrial use;~~
- ~~• Facilitate the mobility of employees by transit and the movement of goods by truck, rail, air or waterway, as appropriate;~~
- ~~• Provide for capital facility improvement projects which support the movement of goods and manufacturing/industrial operations;~~
- ~~• Ensure that utilities are available to serve the center;~~
- ~~• Avoid conflicts with adjacent land uses to ensure the continued viability of the land in the Manufacturing/ Industrial Center for manufacturing and industrial activities; and~~
- ~~• Attract and retain the types of businesses that will ensure economic growth and stability.~~

~~DP-36~~ ~~DP-38~~ Minimize or mitigate potential health impacts of the activities in Manufacturing/Industrial Centers manufacturing/industrial centers on residential communities, schools, open space, and other public facilities.

(DP-37 moved to DP-38)

(Local Centers and DP-38 moved to DP-37)

Urban Design and Historic Preservation

The countywide vision includes elements of urban design and form intended to integrate urban development into existing built and natural environments in ways that enhance ~~both the urban and natural settings~~ to create vibrant places. These elements promote public health, include high quality design, context sensitive infill and redevelopment, historic preservation, and cultural awareness, as well as the interdependence of urban and rural and agricultural lands and uses.

Goal statement: *The built environment in both urban and rural settings achieves ~~a high degree of~~ high quality design that recognizes and enhances, where appropriate, existing natural and urban settings and human health and dignity.*

DP-39 ~~Develop neighborhood planning and design processes that encourage infill development, redevelopment, and reuse of existing buildings and that, where appropriate based on local plans, enhance the existing community character and mix of uses. Plan for neighborhoods or subareas to encourage infill and redevelopment, provision of adequate public spaces, and reuse of existing buildings and underutilized lands, as well as enhance public health, existing community character, and mix of uses. Neighborhood and subarea planning will include equitable engagement with low-income households, Black, Indigenous, and other communities of color, and immigrants, including people facing language barriers.~~

DP-40 ~~Promote a high quality of design and site planning in publicly funded and private development throughout the Urban Growth Area. Provide for connectivity in the street network to accommodate walking, bicycling, and transit use to promote health and well-being.~~

DP-41 Preserve significant historic, visual, archeological, cultural, architectural, artistic, and environmental features, especially where growth could place these resources at risk. Support cultural resources that reflect the diversity of the community. Where appropriate, designate individual features or areas for protection or restoration. Encourage land use patterns and adopt regulations that protect historic resources and sustain historic community character while allowing for equitable growth and development.

DP-42 ~~Design new development to create~~ Create and protect systems of green infrastructure, such as urban forests, parks, green roofs, and natural drainage systems, in order to reduce climate-altering pollution and increase resilience of communities to climate change impacts. Prioritize neighborhoods with historical underinvestment in green infrastructure. Use natural features crossing jurisdictional boundaries to help determine the routes and placement of infrastructure connections and improvements.

DP-43 Design communities, neighborhoods, and individual developments using techniques that reduce heat absorption, particularly in ~~Urban Centers.~~ Regional and Countywide Centers and residential neighborhoods with less tree canopy and open spaces.

DP-44 Adopt flexible design standards, parking requirements, incentives, or guidelines that foster green building, multimodal transportation, and infill development ~~that is compatible with~~ enhances the existing or desired urban character. Ensure adequate code enforcement so that flexible regulations are appropriately implemented.

Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands

The Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands encompass all areas outside of the Urban Growth Area and include Vashon Island in Puget Sound and the area just east of the Urban Growth Area all the way to the crest of the Cascade Mountains.

Rural Area

The Rural Area is characterized by low density development with a focus on activities that are dependent on the land such as small scale farming and forestry. The Rural Area also provides important environmental and habitat functions and is critical for salmon recovery. The location of the Rural Area, between the Urban Growth Area and designated Resource Lands, helps to protect commercial agriculture and timber from incompatible uses. The Rural Area, outside of the ~~Rural~~ Cities in the Rural Area, is to remain in unincorporated King County and is to be provided with a rural level of service.

~~Rural Area~~

Goal Statement: The Rural Area ~~provides~~ geography is stable and the level and pattern of growth within it provide for a variety of landscapes and open space lands, maintains diverse low density communities, and supports rural economic activities based on sustainable stewardship of the land.

DP-45 Provide opportunities for residential and employment growth within Cities in the Rural Area at levels consistent with adopted growth targets. Growth levels should not create pressure for conversion of nearby Rural or Resource lands, nor pressure for extending or expanding urban services, infrastructure, and facilities such as roads or sewer across or into the Rural Area.

~~DP-45~~ DP-46 Limit growth in the Rural Area to prevent sprawl and the overburdening of rural services, reduce and avoid the need for new rural infrastructure, maintain rural character, and protect open spaces and the natural environment.

~~DP-46~~ DP-47 Limit residential development in the Rural Area to housing at low densities that are compatible with rural character and comply with the following density guidelines:

- a) One home per 20 acres where a pattern of large lots exists and to buffer Forest Protection Districts and Agricultural Districts;
- b) One home per 10 acres where the predominant lot size is less than 20 acres; or
- c) One home per five acres where the predominant lot size is less than 10 acres.

d) Allow limited clustering within development sites to ~~avoid~~ prevent development on environmentally critical lands or on productive forest or agricultural lands, but not to exceed the density guidelines cited in (a) through (c).

~~DP-47~~ **DP-48** Limit the extension of urban infrastructure improvements through the Rural Area to only cases where it is necessary to serve the Urban Growth Area and where there are no other feasible alignments. Such limited extensions may be considered only if land use controls are in place to restrict uses appropriate for the Rural Area and only if access management controls are in place to prohibit tie-ins to the extended facilities. Transit service may cross non-urban lands to serve cities in the Rural Area.

~~DP-48~~ **DP-49** Establish rural development standards ~~to protect~~ and strategies to ensure all development protects the natural environment, including farmlands and forest lands, by using seasonal and maximum clearing limits for vegetation, limits on the amount of impervious surface, surface water management standards that preserve natural drainage systems, water quality and groundwater recharge, and best management practices for resource-based activities.

~~DP-49~~ **DP-50** Prevent or, if necessary, mitigate negative impacts of urban development to the adjacent Rural Area. Mitigate negative impacts of industrial-scale development that occurs within the Rural Area.

~~DP-50~~ **DP-51** Except as provided in Appendix 5 (March 31, 2012 School Siting Task Force Report), limit new nonresidential uses located in the Rural Area to those that are demonstrated to serve the Rural Area, unless the use is dependent upon a rural location. Such uses shall be of a size, scale, and nature that is consistent with rural character.

~~DP-51~~ **DP-52** Allow cities that own property in the Rural Area to enter into interlocal agreements with King County to allow the cities to provide services to the properties they own as long as the cities agree to not annex the property or serve it with sewers or any infrastructure at an urban level of service. The use of the property must be consistent with the rural land use policies in the Countywide Planning Policies and the King County Comprehensive Plan.

Natural Resource Lands

~~The~~ Natural Resource Lands are designated areas with long-term commercial significance for agriculture, forestry, and mining, ~~and are depicted in the Land Use Map in Appendix 1 as Forest Product Districts, Agricultural Production Districts, and Mineral Resource Lands.~~ The use and designation of these lands are to be permanent, in accordance with the Growth Management Act. King County has maintained this base of agriculture and forest lands despite the rapid

growth of the previous decades. The Resource Lands are to remain in unincorporated King County but their benefit and significance is felt throughout the county into the cities. Within cities, farmers markets are becoming important and sought after neighborhood amenities.

The forests of the Pacific Northwest are some of the most productive in the world and King County has retained two-thirds of the county in forest cover. Large scale forestry is a traditional land use in the eastern half of King County and remains a significant contributor to the rural economy. In addition, forests provide exceptional recreational opportunities, including downhill and cross-country skiing, mountain biking, hiking, and backpacking.

Goal Statement: *Resource Lands are valuable long-term assets of King County and are renowned for their productivity and sustainable management.*

~~DP-52~~ ~~DP-53~~ Promote and support forestry, agriculture, mining, and other resource-based industries outside of the Urban Growth Area as part of a diverse and sustainable regional economy. Avoid redesignating natural resource lands to rural.

~~DP-53~~ ~~DP-54~~ Conserve commercial agricultural and forestry resource lands primarily for their long-term productive resource value and for the open space, scenic views, wildlife habitat, and critical area protection they provide. Limit Avoid redesignation to non-resource uses and limit the subdivision of land so that parcels remain large enough for commercial resource production.

~~DP-54~~ ~~DP-55~~ Encourage best practices in agriculture and forestry operations for long-term protection of the natural resources, habitat, and workers. Develop programs and strategies based on collaborative watershed processes that balance the needs of resource-based industries with habitat and species protection.

~~DP-55~~ ~~DP-56~~ Prohibit annexation of lands within designated Agricultural Production Districts or within Forest Production Districts by cities.

~~DP-56~~ ~~DP-57~~ Retain the Lower Green River Agricultural Production District as a regionally designated resource that is to remain in unincorporated King County.

~~DP-57~~ ~~DP-58~~ ~~Discourage~~ Prevent incompatible land uses adjacent to designated Resource Lands to ~~prevent~~ avoid interference with their continued use for the production of agricultural, mining, or forest products.

~~DP-58~~ ~~DP-59~~ Support agricultural, farmland, and aquatic uses that enhance the food system, and promote local production and processing of food to reduce the need for long distance transport and to increase the reliability and security of local food. Promote activities and

infrastructure, such as farmers markets, farm worker housing and agricultural processing facilities, that benefit both cities and farms by improving access to locally grown agricultural products.

~~DP-59~~ ~~DP-60~~ Support institutional procurement policies that encourage purchases of locally grown food products.

~~DP-60~~ ~~DP-61~~ Ensure that extractive industries and industrial-scale operations on resource lands maintain environmental quality ~~and~~, minimize negative impacts on adjacent lands, and that an appropriate level of reclamation occurs prior to redesignation.

~~DP-61~~ ~~DP-62~~ Use a range of tools, including land use designations, development regulations, level-of-service standards, and transfer or purchase of development rights to preserve Rural and Resource Lands and focus urban development within the Urban Growth Area.

~~DP-62~~ ~~DP-63~~ Use transfer of development rights to shift potential development from the Rural Area and Resource Lands into the Urban Growth Area, especially cities. Implement transfer of development rights within King County through a partnership between the county and cities that is designed to:

- a) Identify rural and resource sending sites that satisfy countywide conservation goals and are consistent with regionally coordinated transfer of development rights efforts;
- b) Preserve rural and resource lands of compelling interest countywide and to participating cities;
- c) Identify appropriate transfer of development rights receiving areas within cities;
- d) Identify incentives for city participation in regional transfer of development rights (i.e. county-to-city transfer of development rights);
- e) Develop interlocal agreements that allow rural and resource land development rights to be used in city receiving areas;
- f) Identify and secure opportunities to fund or finance infrastructure within city transfer of development rights receiving areas; and
- g) Be compatible with existing within-city transfer of development rights programs.

HOUSING

~~The Countywide Planning Policies provide a framework for all jurisdictions to plan for and promote a range of affordable, accessible, and healthy housing choices for current and future residents. Within King County, there is an unmet need for housing that is affordable for households earning less than 80 percent of area median income (AMI). Households within this category include low wage workers in services and other industries; persons on fixed incomes~~

including many disabled and elderly residents; and homeless individuals and families. A high proportion of these households spend a greater percentage of their income on housing than is typically considered appropriate. This is especially true for low and very low income households earning 50 percent or less (low) and 30 percent or less (very low) of area median income. The county and all cities share in the responsibility to increase the supply of housing that is affordable to these households.

While neither the county nor the cities can guarantee that a given number of units at a given price level will exist, be preserved, or be produced during the planning period, establishing the countywide need clarifies the scope of the effort for each jurisdiction. The type of policies and strategies that are appropriate for a jurisdiction to consider will vary and will be based on its analysis of housing. Some jurisdictions where the overall supply of affordable housing is significantly less than their proportional share of the countywide need may need to undertake a range of strategies addressing needs at multiple income levels, including strategies to create new affordable housing. Other jurisdictions that currently have housing stock that is already generally affordable may focus their efforts on preserving existing affordable housing through efforts such as maintenance and repair, and ensuring long-term affordability. It may also be appropriate to focus efforts on the needs of specific demographic segments of the population.

The policies below recognize the significant countywide need for affordable housing to focus on the strategies that can be taken both individually and in collaboration to meet the countywide need. These policies envision cities and the county following a four step process

1. Conduct an inventory and analysis of housing needs and conditions;
2. Implement policies and strategies to address unmet needs;
3. Measure results; and
4. Respond to measurement with reassessment and adjustment of strategies.

The provision of housing affordable to very low income households, those earning less than 30% of AMI, is the most challenging problem and one faced by all communities in the county. Housing for these very low income households cannot be met solely through the private market. Meeting this need will require interjurisdictional cooperation and support from public agencies, including the cities and the county.

Overarching Goal: *The housing needs of all economic and demographic groups are met within all jurisdictions.*

H-1 Address the countywide need for housing affordable to households with moderate, low and very low incomes, including those with special needs. The countywide need for housing by percentage of Area Median Income (AMI) is:

50-80% of AMI (moderate) ————— 16% of total housing supply

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 30-50% of AMI (low) | 12% of total housing supply |
| 30% and below AMI (very low) | 12% of total housing supply |

~~H-2— Address the need for housing affordable to households at less than 30% AMI (very low income), recognizing that this is where the greatest need exists, and addressing this need will require funding, policies and collaborative actions by all jurisdictions working individually and collectively.~~

Housing Inventory and Needs Analysis

~~The Growth Management Act requires an inventory and analysis of existing and projected housing needs as part of each jurisdiction’s comprehensive plan housing element. Assessing local housing needs provides jurisdictions with information about the local housing supply, the cost of housing, and the demographic and income levels of the community’s households. This information on current and future housing conditions provides the basis for the development of effective housing policies and programs. While some cities may find that they meet the current need for housing for some populations groups, the inventory and needs analysis will help identify those income levels and demographic segments of the population where there is the greatest need. Further guidance on conducting a housing inventory and analysis is provided in Appendix 4.~~

~~H-3— Conduct an inventory and analysis of existing and projected housing needs of all economic and demographic segments of the population in each jurisdiction. The analysis and inventory shall include:~~

- ~~a. Characteristics of the existing housing stock, including supply, affordability and diversity of housing types;~~
- ~~b. Characteristics of populations, including projected growth and demographic change;~~
- ~~c. The housing needs of very low, low, and moderate income households; and~~
- ~~d. The housing needs of special needs populations.~~

Strategies to Meet Housing Needs

~~VISION 2040 encourages local jurisdictions to adopt best housing practices and innovative techniques to advance the provision of affordable, healthy, sustainable, and safe housing for all residents. Meeting the county’s affordable housing needs will require actions by a wide range of private for profit, non-profit and government entities, including substantial resources from federal, state, and local levels. No single tool will be sufficient to meet the full range of needs in a given jurisdiction. The county and cities are encouraged to employ a range of housing tools to ensure the countywide need is addressed and to respond to local conditions. Further detail on~~

the range of strategies for promoting housing supply and affordability is contained in Appendix 4.

Jobs housing balance, addressed in H-9, is a concept that advocates an appropriate match between the number of existing jobs and available housing supply within a geographic area. Improving balance means adding more housing to job-rich areas and more jobs to housing-rich areas.

~~H-4— Provide zoning capacity within each jurisdiction in the Urban Growth Area for a range of housing types and densities, sufficient to accommodate each jurisdiction’s overall housing targets and, where applicable, housing growth targets in designated Urban Centers.~~

~~H-5— Adopt policies, strategies, actions and regulations at the local and countywide levels that promote housing supply, affordability, and diversity, including those that address a significant share of the countywide need for housing affordable to very low, low, and moderate income households. These strategies should address the following:~~

- ~~a. Overall supply and diversity of housing, including both rental and ownership;~~
- ~~b. Housing suitable for a range of household types and sizes;~~
- ~~c. Affordability to very low, low, and moderate income households;~~
- ~~d. Housing suitable and affordable for households with special needs;~~
- ~~e. Universal design and sustainable development of housing; and~~
- ~~f. Housing supply, including affordable housing and special needs housing, within Urban Centers and in other areas planned for concentrations of mixed land uses.~~

~~H-6— Preserve existing affordable housing units, where appropriate, including acquisition and rehabilitation of housing for long-term affordability.~~

~~H-7— Identify barriers to housing affordability and implement strategies to overcome them.~~

~~H-8— Tailor housing policies and strategies to local needs, conditions and opportunities, recognizing the unique strengths and challenges of different cities and sub-regions. Jurisdictions may consider a full range of programs, from optional to mandatory, that will assist in meeting the jurisdictions’s share of the countywide need for affordable housing.~~

~~H-9— Plan for housing that is accessible to major employment centers and affordable to the workforce in them so people of all incomes can live near or within reasonable commuting distance of their places of work. Encourage housing production at a level that improves the balance of housing to employment throughout the county.~~

~~H-10 Promote housing affordability in coordination with transit, bicycle, and pedestrian plans and investments and in proximity to transit hubs and corridors, such as through transit-oriented development and planning for mixed uses in transit station areas.~~

~~H-11 Encourage the maintenance of existing housing stock in order to ensure that the condition and quality of the housing is safe and livable.~~

~~H-12 Plan for residential neighborhoods that protect and promote the health and well-being of residents by supporting active living and healthy eating and by reducing exposure to harmful environments.~~

~~H-13 Promote fair housing and plan for communities that include residents with a range of abilities, ages, races, incomes, and other diverse characteristics of the population of the county.~~

Regional Cooperation

Housing affordability is important to regional economic vitality and sustainability. Housing markets do not respect jurisdictional boundaries. For these reasons, multijurisdictional efforts for planning and adopting strategies to meet regional housing needs are an additional tool for identifying and meeting the housing needs of households with moderate, low, and very low incomes. Collaborative efforts, supported by the work of Puget Sound Regional Council and other agencies, contribute to producing and preserving affordable housing and coordinating equitable, sustainable development in the county and region. Where individual cities lack sufficient resources, collective efforts to fund or provide technical assistance for affordable housing development and preservation, and for the creation of strategies and programs, can help to meet the housing needs identified in comprehensive plans. Cities with similar housing characteristics tend to be clustered geographically. Therefore, there are opportunities for efficiencies and greater impact through interjurisdictional cooperation. Such efforts are encouraged and can be a way to meet a jurisdiction's share of the countywide affordable housing need.

~~H-14 Work cooperatively among jurisdictions to provide mutual support in meeting countywide housing growth targets and affordable housing needs.~~

~~H-15 Collaborate in developing sub-regional and countywide housing resources and programs, including funding, to provide affordable housing for very low, low, and moderate income households.~~

~~H-16 Work cooperatively with the Puget Sound Regional Council and other agencies to identify ways to expand technical assistance to local jurisdictions in developing, implementing and~~

monitoring the success of strategies that promote affordable housing that meets changing demographic needs. Collaborate in developing and implementing a housing strategy for the four county central Puget Sound region.

Measuring Results

Maintaining timely and relevant data on housing markets and residential development allows the county and cities to evaluate the effectiveness of their housing strategies and to make appropriate changes to those strategies when and where needed. In assessing efforts to meet their share of the countywide need for affordable housing, jurisdictions need to consider public actions taken to encourage development and preservation of housing affordable to households with very low, low and moderate incomes, such as local funding, development code changes, and creation of new programs, as well as market and other factors that are beyond local government control. Further detail on monitoring procedures is contained in Appendix 4.

~~H-17~~ Monitor housing supply, affordability, and diversity, including progress toward meeting a significant share of the countywide need for affordable housing for very low, low, and moderate income households. Monitoring should encompass:

- ~~a.~~ Number and type of new housing units;
- ~~b.~~ Number of units lost to demolition, redevelopment, or conversion to non-residential use;
- ~~c.~~ Number of new units that are affordable to very low, low, and moderate income households;
- ~~d.~~ Number of affordable units newly preserved and units acquired and rehabilitated with a regulatory agreement for long term affordability for very low, low, and moderate income households;
- ~~e.~~ Housing market trends including affordability of overall housing stock;
- ~~f.~~ Changes in zoned capacity for housing, including housing densities and types;
- ~~g.~~ The number and nature of fair housing complaints and violations; and
- ~~h.~~ Housing development and market trends in Urban Centers.

~~H-18~~ Review and amend, a minimum every five years, the countywide and local housing policies and strategies, especially where monitoring indicates that adopted strategies are not resulting in adequate affordable housing to meet the jurisdiction's share of the countywide need.

The Countywide Planning Policies in the Housing Chapter support a range of affordable, accessible, and healthy housing choices for current and future residents. Further, they respond

to the legacy of discriminatory housing and land use policies and practices (e.g. redlining, racially restrictive covenants, exclusionary zoning, etc.) that have led to significant racial and economic disparities in access to housing and neighborhoods of choice. These disparities affect equitable access to well-funded schools, healthy environments, open space, and employment.

The policies reflect the region’s commitment to addressing the 2018 findings of the Regional Affordable Housing Task Force (Task Force). Key findings include:

- Dramatic housing price increases between 2012 and 2017 resulted in an estimated 156,000 extremely low-, very low-, and low-income households spending more than 30 percent of their income on housing (housing cost burdened); and
- Black, Hispanic, Indigenous, and extremely low-income households are among those most disproportionately impacted by housing cost burden.

While significant housing market activity is needed to reach overall King County housing growth targets, the ability of the region’s housing market to address the housing needs of low-income households is limited. A large majority of the need will need to be addressed with units restricted to income-eligible households – both rent-restricted units and resale restricted homes (“income-restricted units”).

Building on the Task Force’s work, this chapter establishes a countywide need for affordable housing defined as the additional housing units needed in King County by 2044 so that no household at or below 80 percent of Area Median Income (AMI) is housing cost burdened. While the need is expressed in countywide terms, housing affordability varies significantly across jurisdictions. In addressing housing needs, less affordable jurisdictions will need to take significant action to increase affordability across all income levels while more affordable jurisdictions will need to take significant action to preserve affordability. To succeed, all communities must address housing need where it is greatest - housing affordable to extremely low-income households.

When taken together, all the comprehensive plans of King County jurisdictions must “plan for and accommodate” the existing and projected housing needs of the county (RCW 36.70A.020 and 36.70A.070). The policies below set a framework for individual and collective action and accountability to meet the countywide need and eliminate disparities in access to housing and neighborhoods of choice. These policies guide jurisdictions through a four-step process:

1. Conduct a housing inventory and analysis;
2. Implement policies and strategies to meet housing needs equitably;
3. Measure results and provide accountability; and
4. Adjust strategies to meet housing needs.

Overarching Goal: Provide a full range of affordable, accessible, healthy, and safe housing choices to every resident in King County. All jurisdictions work to:

- preserve, improve, and expand their housing stock;
- promote fair and equitable access to housing for all people; and
- take actions that eliminate race-, place-, ability-, and income-based housing disparities.

H-1 All comprehensive plans in King County combine to address the countywide need for housing affordable to households with low-, very low-, and extremely low-incomes, including those with special needs, at a level that calibrates with the jurisdiction’s identified affordability gap for those households and results in the combined comprehensive plans in King County meeting countywide need. The countywide need for housing in 2044 by percentage of AMI is:

| | |
|---|---|
| <u>30 percent and below AMI (extremely low)</u> | <u>15 percent of total housing supply</u> |
| <u>31-50 percent of AMI (very low)</u> | <u>15 percent of total housing supply</u> |
| <u>51-80 percent of AMI (low)</u> | <u>19 percent of total housing supply</u> |

Table H-1 provides additional context on the countywide need for housing.¹

| Table H-1: King County Affordable Housing Need | 30% AMI | 31% - 50% AMI | 51% - 80% AMI | 80% AMI |
|---|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Housing Units by Affordability (2019) | | | | |
| Number of Units | 44,000 | 122,000 | 180,000 | 346,000 |
| As Share of Total Units | 5% | 13% | 19% | 36% |
| Additional Affordable Housing Units Needed (2019-2044) | | | | |
| Additional Housing Units Needed to Address Existing Conditions ² | 105,000 | 31,000 | 23,000 | 159,000 |
| Housing Units Needed to Address Growth Through 2044 ³ | 39,000 | 32,000 | 33,000 | 104,000 |
| Total Additional Affordable Housing Units Needed | 144,000 | 63,000 | 56,000 | 263,000 |
| Total Affordable Housing Units Needed by 2044 (Includes Current Housing Units) | | | | |
| Number of Units | 188,000 | 185,000 | 236,000 | 609,000 |
| As Share of Total Units | 15% | 15% | 19% | 49% |

¹ Table H-1 includes both homeownership and rental units.

² Estimates of additional affordable units needed to address existing cost burden and provide housing for persons experiencing homelessness. The estimates are based on a model in which adding units for households within a given low-income category (e.g., < 30% AMI) allows those households to vacate units affordable within the next income category (e.g., greater than 30% AMI and less than or equal to 50% of AMI), in turn addressing needs of cost-burdened households in that income level. (Estimates shown assume that housing units equal to 1/25th of cost burdened households in each category are added annually in each income category until cost burden is eliminated; a range of estimates is possible depending on inputs to this model.)

³ Estimates of housing units needed to address growth assume income distribution of households added through growth is the same as existing income distribution.

Refer to Appendix 4 for the methodology used to calculate countywide need and 2019 jurisdictional affordability levels as compared to countywide need.

H-2 Prioritize the need for housing affordable to households at or below 30 percent AMI (extremely low-income) by implementing tools such as:

- increasing capital, operations, and maintenance funding;
- adopting complementary land use regulations;
- fostering welcoming communities, including people with behavioral health needs;
- adopting supportive policies; and
- supporting collaborative actions by all jurisdictions.

H-3: Update existing and projected countywide and jurisdictional housing needs using data and methodology provided by the Washington State Department of Commerce, in compliance with state law.

Conduct a Housing Inventory and Analysis

The Growth Management Act requires an inventory and analysis of existing and projected housing needs as part of each jurisdiction’s comprehensive plan housing element. The inventory and needs analysis, together with an evaluation of recent progress to address housing needs, helps cities identify the greatest needs and prioritize strategies to address them. Understanding the impact of discriminatory housing and land use practices and current disparities in access to housing and neighborhoods of choice helps focus policies and programs to achieve equitable housing outcomes. For example, understanding disparities in access to opportunity areas (i.e. areas with high quality schools, jobs, transit and access to parks, open space, and clean air, water, and soil) can identify a need for increased affordability in those areas. Appendix 4 provides further guidance on conducting a housing inventory and analysis.

H-4 Conduct an inventory and analysis in each jurisdiction of existing and projected housing needs of all segments of the population and summarize the findings in the housing element. The inventory and analysis shall include:

- a. affordability gap of the jurisdiction’s housing supply as compared to countywide need percentages from policy H-1 (see table H-3 in Appendix 4) and needs for housing affordable to moderate income households;
- b. number of existing housing units by housing type, age, number of bedrooms, condition, tenure, and AMI limit (for income-restricted units);
- c. number of existing emergency housing, emergency shelters, and permanent supportive housing facilities and units or beds, as applicable;

- d. percentage of residential land zoned for and geographic distribution of moderate- and high-density housing in the jurisdiction;
- e. number of income-restricted units and, where feasible, total number of units, within a half-mile walkshed of high capacity or frequent transit service where applicable and regional and countywide centers;
- f. household characteristics, by race/ethnicity:
 - 1) income (median and by AMI bracket)
 - 2) tenure (renter or homeowner)
 - 3) size
 - 4) housing cost burden and severe housing cost burden;
- g. current population characteristics:
 - 1) age by race/ethnicity;
 - 2) disability
- h. projected population growth;
- i. housing development capacity within a half-mile walkshed of high capacity or frequent transit service, if applicable;
- j. ratio of housing to jobs in the jurisdiction;
- k. summary of existing and proposed partnerships and strategies, including dedicated resources, for meeting countywide housing need, particularly for populations disparately impacted;
- l. the housing needs of people who need supportive services or accessible units, including but not limited to people experiencing homelessness, persons with disabilities, people with medical conditions, and older adults;
- m. the housing needs of communities experiencing disproportionate harm of housing inequities including Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC); and
- n. areas in the jurisdiction that may be at higher risk of displacement from market forces that occur with changes to zoning development regulations and public capital investments.

H-5 Evaluate the effectiveness of existing housing policies and strategies to meet a significant share of countywide need. Identify gaps in existing partnerships, policies, and dedicated resources for meeting the countywide need and eliminating racial and other disparities in access to housing and neighborhoods of choice.

H-6 Document the local history of racially exclusive and discriminatory land use and housing practices, consistent with local and regional fair housing reports and other resources. Explain the extent to which that history is still reflected in current development patterns, housing conditions, tenure, and access to opportunity. Identify local policies and regulations that result in racially disparate impacts, displacement, and exclusion in housing, including zoning that may have a discriminatory effect, disinvestment, and infrastructure availability. Demonstrate how

current strategies are addressing impacts of those racially exclusive and discriminatory policies and practices. The County will support jurisdictions in identifying and compiling resources to support this analysis.

Collaborate Regionally

Housing affordability is important to regional economic vitality and sustainability. Housing markets do not respect jurisdictional boundaries. For these reasons, this section promotes cross-sectoral and interjurisdictional coordination and collaboration to identify and meet the housing needs of households with extremely low-, very low-, and low-incomes. Collaborative efforts, supported by the work of the Affordable Housing Committee, the Puget Sound Regional Council and other bodies, contribute to producing and preserving affordable housing and coordinating equitable, sustainable development in the county and region. Where individual jurisdictions lack sufficient resources, collective efforts to fund or provide technical assistance for affordable housing development and preservation, and for the creation of strategies and programs, can help to meet the housing needs identified in comprehensive plans. Jurisdictions with similar housing characteristics tend to be clustered geographically. Therefore, there are opportunities for efficiencies and greater impact through interjurisdictional cooperation. Such efforts are encouraged and can be a way to meet a jurisdiction's share of the countywide affordable housing need.

H-7 Collaborate with diverse partners (e.g. employers, financial institutions, philanthropic, faith, and community-based organizations) on provision of resources (e.g. funding, surplus property) and programs to meet countywide housing need.

H-8 Work cooperatively with the Puget Sound Regional Council, subregional collaborations and other entities that provide technical assistance to local jurisdictions to support the development, implementation, and monitoring of strategies that achieve the goals of this chapter.

Implement Policies and Strategies to Meet Housing Needs Equitably

VISION 2050 encourages local jurisdictions to implement strategies to preserve, improve, and expand their housing stock to provide a range of affordable, accessible, healthy, sustainable, and safe housing choices to every resident. This section supports equitably meeting housing needs through strategies and actions that promote:

- *distributional equity*: An individual's income race, ethnicity, immigration status, sexual orientation, ability, or income doesn't impact their ability to access housing in the neighborhood of their choice;

- cross-generational equity: The impact of the housing policies we create result in fair and just distribution of benefits and burdens to future generations;
- process equity: The housing policy development, decision-making, and implementation process is inclusive, open, fair, and accessible to all stakeholders; and
- reparative policies: The policies implemented will actively seek to repair harms caused by racially biased policies.

The strategies are grouped by theme:

- equitable processes and outcomes;
- increased housing supply, particularly for households with the greatest needs;
- expanded housing options and increased affordability accessible to transit and employment;
- expanded housing and neighborhood choice for all residents; and
- housing stability, healthy homes, and healthy communities

Further detail on the range of strategies for equitably meeting housing needs is contained in Table H-4 in Appendix 4.

Implement Policies and Strategies to Meet Housing Needs Equitably

Working together with households most impacted by the affordable housing crisis helps to tailor solutions to best meet their needs. Taking intentional action to overcome past and current discriminatory policies and practices helps to reduce disparities in access to housing and neighborhoods of choice.

H-9 Collaborate with populations most disproportionately impacted by housing cost burden in developing, implementing, and monitoring strategies that achieve the goals of this chapter. Prioritize the needs and solutions articulated by these disproportionately impacted populations.

H-10 Adopt intentional, targeted actions that repair harms to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) households from past and current racially exclusive and discriminatory land use and housing practices (generally identified through Policy H-6). Promote equitable outcomes in partnership with communities most impacted.

Increased housing supply, particularly for households with the greatest needs

VISION 2050 encourages local cities to adopt best practices and innovative techniques to meet housing needs. Meeting the countywide affordable housing need will require actions, including commitment of substantial financial resources, by a wide range of private for profit, non-profit,

and government entities. Multiple tools will be needed to meet the full range of needs in any given jurisdiction.

H-11 Adopt policies, incentives, strategies, actions, and regulations that increase the supply of long-term income-restricted housing for extremely low-, very low-, and low-income households and households with special needs.

H-12 Identify sufficient capacity of land for housing including, but not limited to: income-restricted housing; housing for moderate-, low-, very low-, and extremely low-income households; manufactured housing; multifamily housing; group homes; foster care facilities; emergency housing; emergency shelters; permanent supportive housing; and within an urban growth area boundary, duplexes, triplexes, and townhomes.

H-13 Implement strategies to overcome cost barriers to housing affordability. Strategies to do this vary but can include updating development standards and regulations, shortening permit timelines, implementing online permitting, optimizing residential densities, reducing parking requirements, and developing programs, policies, partnerships, and incentives to decrease costs to build and preserve affordable housing.

H-14 Prioritize the use of local and regional resources (e.g. funding, surplus property) for income-restricted housing, particularly for extremely low-income households, populations with special needs, and others with disproportionately greater housing needs. Consider projects that promote access to opportunity, anti-displacement, and wealth building for Black, Indigenous, and People of Color communities to support implementation of policy H-10.

Expanded housing options and increased affordability accessible to transit and employment

The Regional Growth Strategy accommodates growth in urban areas, focused in designated centers and near transit stations, to create healthy, equitable, vibrant communities well-served by infrastructure and services. As the region invests in transit infrastructure, it must also support affordability in transit areas.

Lack of housing affordability negatively impacts the region’s resilience to climate change as people are forced to live far from work, school, and transit, which contributes to climate change through increased transportation emissions and sprawl.

H-15 Increase housing choices for everyone, particularly those earning lower wages, that is co-located with, accessible to, or within a reasonable commute to major employment centers and affordable to all income levels. Ensure there are zoning ordinances and building policies in place that allow and encourage housing production at levels that improve jobs-housing balance throughout the county across all income levels.

H-16 Expand the supply and range of housing types, including affordable units, at densities sufficient to maximize the benefits of transit investments throughout the county.

H-17 Support the development and preservation of income-restricted affordable housing that is within walking distance to planned or existing high capacity and frequent transit.

Expanded housing and neighborhood choice for all residents

Extremely low-, very low-, and low-income residents often have limited choices when seeking an affordable home and neighborhood. The King County Consortium’s Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice found that many BIPOC and immigrant groups face disparities in access to opportunity areas with high quality schools, jobs, transit and access to parks, open space, and clean air, water, and soil. Some of the same groups are significantly less likely to own their home as compared to the countywide average, cutting them off from an important tool for housing stability and wealth building. Further, inequities in housing and land use practices as well as cycles of public and private disinvestment and investment have also resulted in communities vulnerable to displacement. Intentional actions to expand housing choices throughout the community will help address these challenges.

H-18 Adopt inclusive planning tools and policies whose purpose is to increase the ability of all residents in jurisdictions throughout the county to live in the neighborhood of their choice, reduce disparities in access to opportunity areas, and meet the needs of the region’s current and future residents by:

- a. providing access to affordable housing to rent and own throughout the jurisdiction, with a focus on areas of high opportunity;
- b. expanding capacity for moderate-density housing throughout the jurisdiction, especially in areas currently zoned for lower density single-family detached housing in the Urban Growth Area, and capacity for high-density housing, where appropriate, consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy;
- c. evaluating the feasibility of, and implementing, where appropriate, inclusionary and incentive zoning to provide affordable housing; and
- d. providing access to housing types that serve a range of household sizes, types, and incomes, including 2+ bedroom homes for families with children and/or adult roommates and accessory dwelling units, efficiency studios, and/or congregate residences for single adults.

H-19 Lower barriers to and promote access to affordable homeownership for extremely low-, very low-, and low-income, households. Emphasize:

- a. supporting long-term affordable homeownership opportunities for households at or below 80 percent AMI (which may require up-front initial public subsidy and policies that support diverse housing types); and
- b. remedying historical inequities in and expanding access to homeownership opportunities for Black, Indigenous and People of Color communities.

H-20 Adopt policies and strategies that promote equitable development and mitigate displacement risk, with consideration given to the preservation of historical and cultural communities as well as investments in low-, very low-, extremely low-, and moderate-income housing production and preservation; dedicated funds for land acquisition; manufactured housing community preservation, inclusionary zoning; community planning requirements; tenant protections; public land disposition policies; and land that may be used for affordable housing. Mitigate displacement that may result from planning efforts, large-scale private investments, and market pressure. Implement anti-displacement measures prior to or concurrent with development capacity increases and public capital investments.

H-21 Implement, promote and enforce fair housing policies and practices so that every person in the county has equitable access and opportunity to thrive in their communities of choice, regardless of their race, gender identity, sexual identity, ability, use of a service animal, age, immigration status, national origin, familial status, religion, source of income, military status, or membership in any other relevant category of protected people.

Housing stability, healthy homes, and healthy communities

H-22 Adopt and implement policies that protect housing stability for renter households; expand protections and supports for low-income renters and renters with disabilities.

H-23 Adopt and implement programs and policies that ensure healthy and safe homes.

H-24 Plan for residential neighborhoods that protect and promote the health and well-being of residents by supporting equitable access to parks and open space, safe pedestrian and bicycle routes, clean air, soil and water, fresh and healthy foods, high-quality education from early learning through K-12, affordable and high-quality transit options and living wage jobs and by avoiding or mitigating exposure to environmental hazards and pollutants.

Measure Results and Provide Accountability

Each jurisdiction has a responsibility to address its share of the countywide housing need. The county and cities will collect and report housing data to help evaluate progress in meeting this shared responsibility. The county will help coordinate a transparent data collection and sharing process with cities. Further detail on monitoring procedures is contained in Appendix 4.

H-25 Monitor progress toward meeting countywide housing growth targets, countywide need, and eliminating disparities in access to housing and neighborhood choices. Where feasible, use existing regional and jurisdictional reports and monitoring tools and collaborate to reduce duplicative reporting.

- a) Jurisdictions, including the county for unincorporated areas, will report annually to the county using guidance developed by the County on housing AMI levels:
 - 1) in the first reporting year, total income-restricted units, by tenure, AMI limit, address, and term of rent and income restrictions, for which the city is a party to affordable housing covenants on the property title created during the reporting period. In future years, report new units created and units with affordability terms that expired during the reporting period.
 - 2) description and magnitude of land use or regulatory changes to increase zoned residential capacity including, but not limited to, single-family, moderate-density, and high-density;
 - 3) new strategies (e.g. land use code changes, dedicated fund sources, conveyance of surplus property) implemented during the reporting period to increase housing diversity or increase the supply of income-restricted units in the jurisdiction; and
- b) The county where feasible consolidate housing data across jurisdictions to provide clarity and assist jurisdictions with housing data inventory will report annually:
 - 1) countywide housing inventory of:
 - i. total housing units, by affordability to AMI bands;
 - ii. total income-restricted units, by AMI limit;
 - iii. number of units lost to demolition, redevelopment, or conversion to non-residential use during the reporting period;
 - iv. of total housing units, net new housing units created during the reporting period and what type of housing was constructed, broken down by at least single-family, moderate-density housing types, and high-density housing types; and
 - v. total income-restricted units by tenure, AMI limit, location, created during the reporting period, starting in 2021.
 - vi. total net new income-restricted units and the term of rent and income restrictions created during the reporting period, starting in December 2022;
 - vii. share of households by housing tenure by jurisdiction; and
 - viii. zoned residential capacity percentages broken down by housing type/number of units allowed per lot;
 - 2) the county's new strategies (e.g. dedicated fund sources, conveyance of surplus property) implemented during the reporting period to increase the supply of restricted units in the county, including geographic allocation of resources;

- 3) the county's new strategies implemented during the reporting period to reduce disparate housing outcomes and expand housing and neighborhood choice for BIPOC households and other population groups identified through policy H-6.
 - 4) number of income-restricted units within a half mile walkshed of a high capacity or frequent transit stations in the county;
 - 5) share of households with housing cost burden, by income band, race, and ethnicity;
 - 6) tenant protection policies adopted by jurisdiction; and
 - 7) number of individuals and households experiencing homelessness, by race and ethnicity.
- c) Where feasible, jurisdictions will also collaborate to report:
- 1) net new units accessible to persons with disabilities.

H-26 The county will provide guidance to jurisdictions on goals for housing AMI levels annually provide transparent, ongoing information measuring jurisdictions' progress toward meeting countywide affordable housing need, according to H-25, using public-facing tools such as the King County's Affordable Housing Dashboard.

Adjust Strategies to Meet Housing Needs

H-27 Review and amend countywide and local housing strategies and actions when monitoring in Policy H-25 and H-26 indicates that adopted strategies are not resulting in adequate affordable housing to meet the countywide need. Consider amendments to land use policies and the land use map where they present a significant barrier to the equitable distribution of affordable housing.

ECONOMY

Overarching Goal: ~~People~~ All people throughout King County have opportunities to prosper and enjoy a high quality of life through economic growth and job creation.

The Countywide Planning Policies in the Economy Chapter support the economic growth and sustainability of King County's economy. A strong and healthy economy results in business development, job creation, and investment in our communities. The Economy Chapter reflects and supports the Regional Economic Strategy and VISION ~~2040~~ 2050's economic policies, which emphasize the economic value of business, people, and place.

The Regional Economic Strategy is the region's comprehensive economic development strategy and serves as the VISION 2040 economic functional plan. VISION 2040 integrates the Regional Economic Strategy with growth management, transportation, and environmental objectives to:

- support critical economic foundations, such as education, infrastructure, technology, and quality of life; and
- promote the region's specific industry clusters: aerospace, advanced manufacturing, clean technology, information technology, life sciences, logistics and international trade, military, and tourism.

Each local community will have an individual focus on economic development, while the region's prosperity will benefit from coordination between local plans and the regional vision that take into account the county's and the region's overall plan for growth.

EC-1 Coordinate local and countywide economic policies and strategies with VISION ~~2040~~ 2050 and the Regional Economic Strategy.

EC-2 Support economic growth that accommodates employment growth targets (see table DP-1) through local land use plans, infrastructure development, and implementation of economic development strategies. Prioritize growth of a diversity of middle-wage jobs and prevent the loss of such jobs from the region.

EC-3 ~~Identify and support~~ Support industry clusters and their related subclusters ~~within King County~~ that are integral components of the Regional Economic Strategy ~~or that may otherwise emerge as having significance to~~ and King County's economy. Emphasize support for clusters that: are vulnerable or threatened by market forces; that provide middle-wage jobs; that play an outsized role in the local economy; or that have significant growth potential.

EC-4 Evaluate the performance of economic development policies and strategies in business development and middle-wage job creation. Identify and track key economic metrics to help jurisdictions and the county as a whole evaluate the effectiveness of local and regional economic strategies.

Business Development

Business creation, retention, expansion, and recruitment are the foundations of a strong economy. The success of the economy in the county depends on opportunities for business formation and growth. Our communities play a significant role through local government actions, such as by making regulations more predictable, by engaging in public-private partnerships, and by nurturing a business-supportive culture, particularly for Black, Indigenous, and communities of color, as well as women-owned businesses.

These policies also seek to integrate the concept of healthy communities as part of the county's economic objectives, by calling for support of the regional food economy, including production, processing, wholesaling and distribution of the region's agricultural food and food products.

EC-5 Help businesses thrive through:

- Transparency, efficiency, and predictability of local regulations and policies;
- Communication and partnerships between business, government, schools, civic and community organizations, and research institutions; and
- Government contracts with local businesses.

EC-6 Foster the retention and development of those businesses and industries that ~~export their goods and services outside the region~~ manufacture goods and provide services for export.

EC-7 Promote an economic climate that is supportive of business formation, expansion, and retention, and emphasizes the importance of small businesses, locally owned businesses, women-owned businesses, and businesses with Black, Indigenous, immigrant, and other owners of color, in creating jobs.

EC-8 Foster a broad range of public-private partnerships to implement economic development policies, programs, and projects, including partnerships involving community groups, and ensure such partnerships share decision-making power with and spread benefits to community groups. Use partnerships to foster connections between employers, local vocational and educational programs, and community needs.

EC-9 Identify, ~~and support the retention of~~ support, and leverage key regional and local assets to the economy, including assets that are unique to our region's position as an international

gateway, such as major airports, seaports, educational facilities, research institutions, health care facilities, long-haul trucking facilities, and manufacturing facilities, ~~and port facilities.~~

EC-10 Support the regional food economy including the production, processing, wholesaling, and distribution of the region’s agricultural food and food products to all King County communities. Emphasize increasing/improving access to those for communities with limited ~~presence of~~ healthy, affordable, and culturally relevant food options.

People

People, through their training, knowledge, skills, and cultural background, add value to the region’s economy. Additionally, creating an economy that provides opportunities for all, particularly with a focus on those communities historically most disadvantaged, helps alleviate problems of poverty and income disparity.

A diversity of jobs at a variety of wages, skill levels, and educational requirements ensure a robust economy that provides access to opportunity for everyone. Those jobs that can support a household or family without significant educational requirements, often referred to as “middle wage” jobs, and potentially including trade jobs, play a unique role in advancing equity. Given the barriers in access throughout the educational, banking, and other institutional systems, these middle wage jobs provide key avenues for financial self-sufficiency and wealth building. Jobs in this range predominate in more locally held, smaller and medium sized business and manufacturers, such as accountants, machinists, or technicians. King County seeks to encourage new small business formation whenever possible and prevent displacement of industries and businesses that have a diversity of occupations or concentrations in those middle skills most associated with middle wage.

To support middle-wage jobs and career training for residents of economically distressed areas, King County supports a priority hire policy that requires developers to hire local workers and businesses when their development projects are above a certain budget threshold and receive public funding.

EC-11 Work with schools and other institutions to increase graduation rates and sustain a highly-educated and skilled local workforce. This includes aligning job training and education offerings that are consistent with the skill needs of the region’s industry clusters. Identify partnership and funding opportunities where appropriate. Align and prioritize workforce development efforts with Black, Indigenous, and other communities of color and immigrant communities.

EC-12 Celebrate the cultural diversity of local communities as a means to enhance social capital, neighborhood cohesion, the county’s global relationships, and support for cultural and arts institutions.

EC-13 ~~Address the historic disparity~~ Eliminate and correct for historical and ongoing disparities in income, and employment, and wealth building opportunities for economically disadvantaged populations, including minorities and women by committing resources to human services; community development; housing; economic development; and public infrastructure women, Black, Indigenous, and other people of color. Steer investments to community and economic development initiatives that elevate economic opportunity for those communities most marginalized and impacted by disinvestment and economic disruptions.

EC-14 Promote the local workforce through priority hire programs that create middle-wage employment opportunities in historically disadvantaged communities.

Places

Economic activity in the county predominantly occurs within the Urban Growth Area, including Urban Centers and Manufacturing/ Industrial Centers, which tend to be where middle wage jobs predominate. Continuing to guide local investments to these centers will help provide the support needed to sustain the economy and provide greater predictability to businesses about where capital improvements will be located, as well as meet other goals related to supporting equitable growth. In addition to making productive use of urban land, economic activity adds to the culture and vitality of our local communities.

While King County as a whole moves towards an economy dominated by high-tech and medical services, subregions within the County are hosts to concentrations in other sectors and have experienced job growth in the construction, warehousing, and transportation sectors as real estate pricing recalibrates the geography of jobs. Even as Seattle’s share of manufacturing sector jobs has fallen since 2008, South King County’s cities such as Kent, Auburn, and Renton have seen commensurate increases in manufacturing—and are competing with neighboring Snohomish and Pierce County to retain this critical industry. The policies below take a proactive approach to maintaining King County’s role as the home to internationally significant Manufacturing and Industrial Centers and the industries and businesses that make them what they are.

~~Businesses create active, attractive places to live and visit, and make significant contributions to the arts.~~ The Rural Area and Resource Lands are important for their contribution to the regional food network, mining, timber and craft industries, while ~~Rural~~ Cities in the Rural Area are

important for providing services to and being the economic centers for the surrounding Rural Area.

EC-14-15 Foster economic and employment growth in designated ~~Urban Regional, Countywide, and Local Centers and Manufacturing/Industrial Centers~~ Urban Regional, Countywide, and Local Centers through local investments, planning, and financial policies.

EC-15-16 Make local investments to maintain and expand infrastructure and services that support local and regional economic development strategies. Focus investment where it encourages growth in designated centers and helps achieve employment targets.

EC-16-17 Add to the vibrancy and sustainability of our communities and the health and well-being of all people through safe and convenient access to local services, neighborhood-oriented retail, purveyors of healthy food (e.g. grocery stores and farmers markets), and transportation choices.

EC-17-18 Promote the natural environment as a key economic asset and work to improve access to it as an economic driver. Work cooperatively with local businesses to protect and restore the natural environment in a manner that is equitable, efficient, and predictable, and minimizes impacts on businesses complements economic prosperity. Encourage private, public, and non-profit sectors to incorporate environmental stewardship and social responsibility into their practices. Encourage development of established and emerging industries, technologies and services that promote environmental sustainability, especially those addressing climate change and resilience.

EC-18-19 Maintain an adequate supply of land within the Urban Growth Area to support economic development. Inventory, plan for, and monitor the land supply and development capacity for, manufacturing/ industrial, commercial, and other employment uses that can accommodate the amount and types of economic activity anticipated during the planning period.

EC-19-20 Support ~~Manufacturing/Industrial Centers~~ manufacturing/industrial centers by adopting industrial siting with land use policies that limit the loss of protect industrial land, retain and expand industrial lands, maintain the region's economic diversity, and employment, support family wage jobs a diverse regional economy, and provide for the evolution of these Centers to reflect industrial business trends, including in technology and automation. Prohibit or ~~strictly~~ limit non-supporting or incompatible activities that ~~can~~ may interfere with the retention ~~or~~ and operation of industrial businesses, ~~especially in Manufacturing/Industrial Centers~~ while recognizing that a wider mix of uses, in targeted areas and circumstances, may be appropriate when designed to be supportive of and compatible with industrial employment.

EC-20-21 Facilitate redevelopment of contaminated sites through local, county and state financing and other strategies that assist with planning, site design, and funding for environmental remediation.

EC-21-22 Encourage economic activity within Rural Cities in the Rural Area, at an appropriate size, scale, and type that does not create adverse impacts to the surrounding Rural Area and Resource Lands and will not create the need to provide urban services and facilities to those areas

EC-23 Encourage commercial and mixed-use development that provide a range of job opportunities throughout the region to create a closer balance and match between the location of jobs and housing.

EC-24 Develop and implement systems that provide a financial safety net during economic downturns and recovery, and direct resources in ways that reduce inequities and build economic resiliency for those communities most negatively impacted by asset poverty.

EC-25 Ensure public investment decisions protect culturally significant economic assets and advance the business interests of immigrants, and Black, Indigenous, and other communities of color.

EC-26 Stabilize and prevent economic displacement of small, culturally relevant businesses and business clusters during periods of growth, contractions, and redevelopment. Track and respond to key indicators of displacement and mitigate risks through data collection, analyses, and adaptive responses.

TRANSPORTATION

The Regional Growth Strategy identifies a network of walkable, compact, and transit-oriented communities that are the focus of urban development, as well as industrial areas with major employment concentrations. In the Countywide Planning Policies, these communities include countywide designated Urban Centers and Manufacturing/ Industrial Centers, and locally designated local centers. An essential component of the Regional Growth Strategy is an efficient transportation system that provides multiple options for moving people and goods into and among the various centers. Transportation system, in the context of this chapter, is defined as a comprehensive, integrated network of travel modes (e.g. airplanes, automobiles, bicycles, buses, feet, ferries, freighters, trains, trucks) and infrastructure (e.g. sidewalks, trails, streets, arterials, highways, waterways, railways, airports) for the movement of people and goods on a local, regional, national and global scale.

Goals and policies in this chapter build on the 1992 King County Countywide Planning Policies and the Multicounty Planning Policies in VISION ~~2040~~2050. Policies are organized into three sections:

- Supporting Growth – focusing on serving the region with a transportation system that furthers the Regional Growth Strategy;
- Mobility – addressing the full range of travel modes necessary to move people and goods efficiently within the region and beyond; and
- System Operations – encompassing the design, maintenance and operation of the transportation system to provide for safety, efficiency, and sustainability.

Overarching Goal: *The region is well served by an integrated, multi-modal transportation system that supports the regional vision for growth, efficiently moves people and goods, and is environmentally and functionally sustainable over the long term.*

Supporting Growth

An effective transportation system is critical to achieving the Regional Growth Strategy in an equitable manner and ensuring that centers are functional and appealing to the residents and businesses they are designed to attract. The policies in this section reinforce the critical relationship between development patterns and transportation and they are intended to guide transportation investments from all levels of government that effectively support local, county, and regional plans to accommodate growth. Policies in this section take a multi-modal approach to serving growth, with additional emphasis on transit and non-motorized modes to support planned development in centers.

Goal Statement: Local and regional development of the transportation system is consistent with and furthers realization of the Regional Growth Strategy.

T-1 Work cooperatively with the Puget Sound Regional Council, the state, and other relevant agencies to finance and develop an equitable and sustainable multi-modal transportation system that enhances regional mobility and reinforces the countywide vision for managing growth. Use VISION 2040/2050, Transportation 2040, the Regional Transportation Plan, and the Regional Growth Strategy as the policy and funding framework for creating a system of Urban Centers, regional, countywide, locally designated local centers, and Manufacturing/Industrial Centers manufacturing/industrial centers linked by a multimodal network including high- capacity transit, frequent bus transit and an interconnected system of roadways, freeways and high-occupancy vehicle lanes.

T-2 Avoid construction of major roads and capacity expansion on existing roads in the Rural Area and Resource Lands. Where increased roadway capacity is warranted to support safe and efficient travel through the Rural Area, appropriate rural development regulations and effective access management should be in place prior to authorizing such capacity expansion in order to make more efficient use of existing roadway capacity and prevent unplanned growth in the Rural Area.

T-3 Increase the share of trips made countywide by modes other than driving alone through coordinated land use planning, public and private investment, and programs focused on centers and connecting corridors, consistent with locally adopted mode split goals.

T-4 Reduce the need for new capacity roadway improvements through investments in transportation system management and operations, pricing programs, and transportation demand management strategies that improve the efficiency of and access to the current system.

T-5 Prioritize transportation investments that provide and encourage alternatives to single occupancy vehicle travel and increase travel options, especially to and within centers and along corridors connecting centers.

~~T-4~~ **T-6** Develop station area plans for high capacity transit stations and transit- mobility hubs based on community engagement. Plans should reflect the unique characteristics ~~and~~ local vision for each station area including transit supportive land uses, transit rights-of-way, stations and related facilities, multi-modal linkages, safety improvements, ~~and~~ place-making elements and minimize displacement.

~~T-5~~ **T-7** Support countywide growth management and climate objectives by prioritizing transit service to and pedestrian safety in areas where existing housing and employment densities

support transit ridership and to ~~Urban Centers~~ designated regional and countywide centers and other areas planned for housing and employment densities that will support transit ridership. Address the mobility needs of transit-dependent populations in allocating transit service and provide at least a basic level of service throughout the Urban Growth Area.

T-8 Implement transportation programs and projects that address the needs of and promote access to opportunity for Black, Indigenous, and people of color, people with low and no-incomes, and people with special transportation needs.

T-9 Implement transportation programs and projects that prevent and mitigate the displacement of Black, Indigenous, and people of color, people with low and no-incomes, and people with special transportation needs.

~~T-6~~ T-10 Foster transit ridership by designing Integrate transit facilities and services as well as non-motorized active transportation infrastructure so that they are integrated with public spaces and private developments to create an safe and inviting public realm, waiting and transfer environments and encourage transit ridership countywide.

~~T-7~~ T-11 Ensure Advocate for policies and actions in state and capital improvement policies and actions programs that promote equity and sustainability, which are consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy, and support VISION 2040-2050, and the Countywide Planning Policies.

~~T-8~~ T-12 Prioritize federal, state, regional and local funding to transportation investments that support adopted countywide growth targets and are focused on multi-modal mobility and safety, equity, and climate change goals, as well as centers (local, countywide and regional) where applicable and consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy.

Mobility

Mobility is necessary to sustain personal quality of life and the regional economy. For individuals, mobility requires an effective transportation system that provides safe, reliable, and affordable travel options for people of all ages, incomes, and abilities. While the majority of people continue to travel by personal automobile, there are growing segments of the population (e.g. urban, elderly, teens, low-income, minorities, and persons with disabilities) that rely on other modes of travel such as walking, bicycling, and public transportation to access employment, education and training, goods and services. According to the 2009 American Community Survey, about 8.7 percent of all households in King County had no vehicle available. For many minority populations, more than 20 percent had no vehicle available to them.

The movement of goods is also of vital importance to the local and regional economy. International trade is a significant source of employment and economic activity in terms of transporting freight, local consumption, and exporting of goods. The policies in this section are intended to address use and integration of the multiple modes necessary to move people and goods within and beyond the region. The importance of the roadway network, implicit in the policies of this section, is addressed more specifically in the System Operations section of this chapter.

Goal Statement: *A well-integrated, multi-modal transportation system transports people and goods effectively and efficiently to destinations within the region and beyond.*

T-13 Advocate for and pursue new, innovative, sustainable, and progressive transportation funding methods including user fees, tolls, and other pricing mechanisms, that reduce the volatility of transit funding and funds the maintenance, improvement, preservation, and operation of the transportation system.

~~T-9~~ **T-14** Promote the mobility of people and goods through a multi-modal transportation system based on regional priorities consistent with VISION-2040-2050 and local comprehensive plans.

T-15 Determine if capacity needs can be met from investments in transportation system operations and management, pricing programs, transportation demand management, public transportation and system management activities that improve the efficiency of the current transportation system, prior to implementing major roadway capacity expansion projects. Focus on investments that are consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy and produce the greatest net benefits to people, especially communities and individuals where needs are greatest, and goods movement that minimize the environmental impacts of transportation.

~~T-10~~ **T-16** Support effective management, maintenance, and preservation of existing air, marine and rail transportation capacity, and infrastructure to address current and future capacity needs in cooperation with responsible agencies, affected communities, and users.

T-17 Promote coordination planning and effective management to optimize the movement of people and goods in the region's aviation system in a manner that minimizes health, air quality, and noise impact to the community, especially frontline communities. Consider demand management alternatives as future aviation growth needs are analyzed, recognizing capacity constraints at existing facilities and the time and resources necessary to build new ones. Support the ongoing process of development of a new commercial aviation facility in Washington State.

~~T-11~~ ~~T-18~~ Develop and implement freight mobility strategies that strengthen, preserve, and protect King County’s role as a major regional freight distribution hub, an international trade gateway, and a manufacturing area while minimizing negative impacts on the community.

~~T-12~~ ~~T-19~~ Address the needs of non-driving populations, people who do not drive, either by choice or circumstances (e.g., elderly, teens, low-income, and persons with disabilities), in the development and management of local and regional transportation systems.

~~T-13~~ ~~T-20~~ Site-Consider mobility options, connectivity, active transportation access, and safety in the siting and design of transit stations and transit-mobility hubs, to promote connectivity and access for pedestrian and bicycle patrons especially those that are serviced by high capacity transit.

T-21 Invest in transportation to improve economic and living conditions so that industries and workers are retained and attracted to the region, and to improve quality of life for all workers.

T-22 Respond to changes in mobility patterns and needs for both people and goods, encouraging partnerships with nonprofit providers and the private sector where applicable.

System Operations

The design, management, and operation of the transportation system are major factors that influence the region’s growth and mobility and have significant impacts on equity, addressing historical inequities and our environment. Policies in this section stress the need to make efficient use of the existing infrastructure, serve the broad needs of the users, address safety and public health issues, and design facilities that are a good fit for the surroundings. Implementation of the policies will require the use of a wide range of tools including, but not limited to:

- technologies such as intelligent transportation systems and alternative fuels;
- demand management programs for parking, commute trip reduction and congestion; and
- incentives, pricing systems and other strategies to encourage choices that increase mobility while improving public health and environmental sustainability.

Goal Statement: ~~The regional~~ A transportation system that is well-designed and managed to protect public investments, promote equitable access, provide mobility, promote public health and safety, and achieve optimum efficiency.

~~T-14~~ ~~T-23~~ Prioritize essential maintenance, preservation, and safety improvements of the existing transportation system to protect mobility, extend useful life of assets, and avoid more

costly replacement projects.

~~T-15~~ ~~T-24~~ Design and operate transportation facilities in a manner that is compatible with and integrated into the natural and built environments in which they are located. Incorporate features such as natural drainage, native plantings, and local design themes that facilitate integration and compatibility.

T-25 Reduce stormwater pollution from transportation facilities and improve fish passage through retrofits and updated design standards. When feasible, integrate with other improvements to achieve multiple benefits and cost efficiencies.

~~T-16~~ ~~T-26~~ ~~Protect the~~ Develop a resilient transportation system (e.g. roadway, rail, transit, sidewalks, trails, air, and marine) and protect against major disruptions ~~by developing and~~ climate change impacts. Develop prevention, adaptation, mitigation, and recovery strategies and by coordinating coordinate disaster response plans.

~~T-17~~ ~~T-27~~ Promote the use of ~~tolling and other~~ pricing strategies and transportation system management and operations tools to effectively manage the transportation system and provide an equitable, stable, and sustainable transportation funding source ~~and to~~ improve mobility.

~~T-18~~ Develop a countywide monitoring system to determine how transportation investments are performing over time consistent with *Transportation 2040* recommendations.

T-28 Promote road and transit facility design that includes well-defined, safe, and appealing spaces for pedestrians and bicyclists.

~~T-19~~ ~~T-29~~ Design roads and streets, including retrofit projects, to accommodate a range of motorized and non-motorized travel modes within the travel corridor in order to reduce injuries and fatalities, contribute to achieving the state goal of zero deaths and serious injuries, and to encourage non-motorized travel physical activity. The design should include well-defined, safe and appealing spaces for pedestrians and bicyclists

~~T-20~~ ~~T-30~~ Develop a transportation system that minimizes negative health and environmental impacts to human health, including exposure to environmental toxins generated by vehicle emissions all communities, especially Black, indigenous, and other communities of color and low-income communities, that have been disproportionately affected by transportation decisions.

~~T-21~~ ~~T-31~~ Provide equitable opportunities for an active, healthy lifestyle by integrating the needs of pedestrians and bicyclists in ~~the local~~ transit, countywide, and regional transportation

plans and systems.

~~T-22~~-T-32 Plan and develop a countywide transportation system that supports the connection between land use and transportation, and essential travel that reduces greenhouse gas emissions by advancing strategies that shorten trip length or replace vehicle trips to ~~decrease~~ reduce vehicle miles traveled.

~~T-23~~-T-33 Apply technologies, programs, and other strategies (e.g. intelligent transportation systems (ITS), first and last mile connections) where needed to ~~that~~ optimize the use of existing infrastructure and support equity in order to improve mobility, reduce congestion and vehicle miles traveled, increase energy-efficiency, reduce greenhouse-gas emissions, and reduce the need for new infrastructure.

~~T-24~~-T-34 Promote the expanded use of alternative fuel (e.g. electric) and zero emission vehicles by the general public with measures such as converting transit and public and private fleets, applying incentive programs, and providing for electric vehicle charging stations throughout the Urban Growth Area.

PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES

Overarching Goal: County residents in both Urban and Rural Areas have timely and equitable access to the public services needed ~~in order~~ to advance public health and safety, protect the environment, and carry out the Regional Growth Strategy.

Urban and Rural Levels of Service

The Growth Management Act directs jurisdictions and special purpose districts to provide public facilities and services to support development. The Growth Management Act distinguishes between urban and rural services and states that land within the Urban Growth Area should be provided with a full range of services necessary to sustain urban communities while land within the Rural Area should receive services to support a rural lifestyle. Certain services, such as sanitary sewers, are allowed only in the Urban Growth Area, except as otherwise authorized. The Growth Management Act also requires jurisdictions to determine which facilities are necessary to serve the desired growth pattern and how they will be financed, ~~in order~~ to ensure timely provision of adequate services and facilities.

PF-1 Provide a full range of urban services in the Urban Growth Area to support the Regional Growth Strategy and adopted growth targets and limit the availability of urban services in the Rural Area consistent with VISION ~~2040~~ 2050. Avoid locating urban serving facilities in the Rural Area.

Collaboration Among Jurisdictions

More than 100 special purpose districts, including water, sewer, flood control, stormwater, fire, school, and other districts, provide essential services to the residents of King County. While cities are the primary providers of services in the Urban Growth Area, in many parts of the county special purpose districts also provide essential services. Coordination and collaboration among all of these districts, the cities, King County, the tribes, and neighboring counties is key to providing efficient, high-quality and reliable services to support the Regional Growth Strategy.

PF-2 Provide affordable and equitable access to public services to all communities, especially the historically underserved. Prioritize investments to address disparities.

~~PF-2~~ ~~PF-3~~ ~~Coordinate among jurisdictions and service providers to provide~~ Provide reliable and cost-effective services to the public through coordination among jurisdictions and service providers.

~~PF-3~~ ~~PF-4~~ ~~Cities are~~ Recognize cities as the appropriate providers of services to the Urban Growth Area, either directly or by contract. Extend urban services through the use of special districts only where there are agreements with the city in whose Potential Annexation Area the extension is proposed. Within the Urban Growth Area, as time and conditions warrant, cities will assume local urban services provided by special service districts.

Utilities

Utilities include infrastructure and services that provide water supply, sewage treatment and disposal, solid waste disposal, energy, and telecommunications. Providing these utilities in a cost-effective way is essential to maintaining the health and safety of King County residents and to implementing the Regional Growth Strategy.

Water Supply

Conservation and efficient use of water resources are vital to ensuring the reliability of the region's water supply, the availability of sufficient water supplies for future generations, and the environmental sustainability of the water supply system.

~~PF-4~~ ~~PF-5~~ Develop plans for long-term water provision to support growth and to address the potential impacts of climate change and fisheries protection on regional water resources.

~~PF-5~~ ~~PF-6~~ ~~Support efforts to ensure~~ Ensure that all ~~consumers~~ residents have access to a safe, reliably maintained, and sustainable drinking water source that meets present and future needs.

~~PF-6~~ ~~PF-7~~ Coordinate water supply among local jurisdictions, tribal governments, and water purveyors to provide reliable and cost-effective sources of water for all users and needs, including for residents, businesses, fire districts, and aquatic species.

~~PF-7~~ ~~PF-8~~ Plan and locate water systems in the Rural Area that are ~~appropriate~~ appropriately sized for rural uses and densities and that do not increase ~~the~~ development potential ~~of~~ in the Rural Area.

PF-8-PF-9 Recognize and support agreements with water purveyors in adjacent cities and counties to promote effective conveyance of water supplies and to secure adequate supplies for emergencies.

PF-9-PF-10 Implement water conservation and efficiency efforts to protect natural resources, reduce environmental impacts, and support a sustainable long-term water supply to serve the growing population.

PF-10-PF-11 ~~Encourage~~ Require water reuse and reclamation, where feasible, especially for high-volume non-potable water users such as parks, schools, and golf courses.

Sewage Treatment and Disposal

Within the Urban Growth Area, connection to sanitary sewers is necessary to support the Regional Growth Strategy and to accommodate urban densities. Alternatives to the sanitary sewer system and the typical septic system are becoming more cost effective and therefore, more available. Alternative technology may be appropriate when it can perform as well or better than sewers in the Urban Growth Area. Septic systems are not considered to be alternative technology within the Urban Growth Area.

In the Rural Area and Resource Lands, which are characterized by low-density development, sewer service is not typically provided. In cases where public health is threatened, sewers can be provided in the Rural Area but only if connections are strictly limited. Alternative technology may be necessary to substitute for septic systems in the Rural Area.

PF-11-PF-12 Require all development in the Urban Growth Area to be served by a public sewer system except:

- a) single-family residences on existing individual lots that have no feasible access to sewers may utilize individual septic systems on an interim basis; or
- b) development served by alternative technology ~~other than septic systems~~ that:
 - ◆ 1) provide equivalent performance to sewers;
 - ◆ 2) provide the capacity to achieve planned densities; and
 - ◆ 3) will not create a barrier to the extension of sewer service within the Urban Growth Area.

PF-12-PF-13 Prohibit sewer service in the Rural Area and on Resource Lands except:

- a) where needed to address specific health and safety problems threatening existing structures; or
- b) as allowed by Countywide Planning Policy ~~DP-47~~ DP-48; or
- c) as provided in Appendix 5 of the {March 31, 2012 School Siting Task Force Report}.

Sewer service authorized consistent with ~~this~~ the policy shall be provided in a manner that does not increase development potential in the Rural Area.

Solid Waste

King County and the entire Puget Sound region are recognized for successful efforts to collect recyclable waste. Continuing to reduce and reuse waste will require concerted and coordinated efforts well into the future. It is important to reduce the waste stream going into area landfills to extend the usable life of existing facilities and reduce the need for additional capacity.

~~PF-13~~ PF-14 Reduce the solid waste stream and encourage reuse and recycling.

Energy

While King County consumers have access to electrical energy derived from hydropower, there are challenges for securing long-term reliable energy and for becoming more energy efficient.

~~PF-14~~ PF-15 Reduce the rate of energy consumption through efficiency and conservation as a means to lower energy costs and mitigate environmental impacts associated with traditional energy supplies.

~~PF-15~~ PF-16 ~~Promote~~ Invest in and promote the use of low-carbon, renewable, and alternative energy resources to help meet the county's long-term energy needs, reduce environmental impacts associated with traditional energy supplies, and increase community sustainability.

Telecommunications

A telecommunications network throughout King County is essential to fostering broad economic vitality and equitable access to information, goods and services, and opportunities for social connection.

~~PF-16~~ PF-17 Plan for the equitable provision of telecommunication infrastructure ~~to serve growth and development in a manner consistent with the regional and countywide vision and~~ affordable, convenient, and reliable broadband internet access to businesses, and to households of all income levels, with a focus on underserved areas.

Human and Community Services

Public services beyond physical infrastructure are also necessary to sustain the health and quality of life of all King County residents. In addition, these services play a role in distinguishing urban communities from rural communities and supporting the Regional Growth Strategy.

PF-17-PF-18 Provide human and community services to meet the needs of current and future residents in King County communities through coordinated, equitable planning, funding, and delivery of services by the county, cities, and other agencies.

Locating Facilities and Services

VISION ~~2040-2050~~ calls for a full range of urban services in the Urban Growth Area to support the Regional Growth Strategy, and for limiting the availability of services in the rural area. In the long term, there is increased efficiency and cost effectiveness in siting and operating facilities and services that serve a primarily urban population within the Urban Growth Area. At the same time, those facilities and services that primarily benefit rural populations provide a greater benefit when they are located within neighboring cities and rural towns.

PF-18-PF-19 Locate schools, institutions, and other community facilities and services that primarily serve urban populations within the Urban Growth Area, where they are accessible to the communities they serve, except as provided in Appendix 5 (March 31, 2012 School Siting Task Force Report) and as provided specifically for in Pierce County by RCW 36.70A.211. ~~Locate~~ If possible, locate these facilities in places that are well served by transit and pedestrian and bicycle networks. Jurisdictions shall work collaboratively with school districts to ensure the availability of sufficient land and the provision of necessary educational facilities within the Urban Growth Area through compliance with PF-21 and PF-22 and through the land use element and capital facilities element of local comprehensive plans.

PF-19-PF-20 ~~Locate~~ Locate new schools and institutions primarily serving rural residents in neighboring cities and rural towns, except as provided in Appendix 5 (March 31, 2012 School Siting Task Force Report) and as provided specifically for in Pierce County by RCW 36.70A.211 and locate. Locate new community facilities and services that primarily serve rural residents in neighboring cities and rural towns, with the limited exceptions when their use is dependent upon a rural location and their size and scale supports rural character.

Public school facilities to meet the needs of growing communities are an essential part of the public infrastructure. Coordination between each jurisdiction's land use plan and regulations and their respective school district[s] facility needs are essential for public school capacity needs to be met. The following policy applies countywide and requires engagement between each school district and each city that is served by the school district. The policy also applies to King County as a jurisdiction for areas of unincorporated King County that are within a school district's service boundary. The policy initiates a periodic procedure to identify if there are individual school district siting issues and if so, a process for the school district and jurisdiction to cooperatively prepare strategies for resolving the issue.

~~PF-19A~~ PF-21 Plan, through a cooperative process between jurisdictions and school districts, that public school facilities are available, to meet the needs of existing and projected residential development consistent with adopted comprehensive plan policies and growth forecasts. Cooperatively work with each school district located within the jurisdiction's boundaries to evaluate the school district's ability to site school facilities necessary to meet the school district's identified student capacity needs. Use school district capacity and enrollment data and the growth forecasts and development data of each jurisdiction located within the school district's service boundaries.

By Commencing in January 2016 and continuing every two years thereafter, each jurisdiction and the school district(s) serving the jurisdiction shall confer to share information and determine if there is development capacity and the supporting infrastructure to site the needed school facilities.

If not, cooperatively prepare a strategy to address the capacity shortfall. Potential strategies may include:

- Shared public facilities such as play fields, parking areas and access drives
- School acquisition or lease of appropriate public lands
- Regulatory changes such as allowing schools to locate in additional zones or revised development standards
- School design standards that reduce land requirements (such as multi-story structures or reduced footprint) while still meeting programmatic needs

In 2017, and every two years thereafter, King County shall report to the GMPC on whether the goals of this policy are being met. The GMPC shall identify corrective actions as necessary to implement this policy.

PF-22 Coordinate and collaborate with school districts to build new and expand existing school facilities within the Urban Growth Area. Jurisdictions and school districts should work together to employ strategies such as:

- a) Identifying surplus properties and private properties that could be available for new school sites;
- b) Creating opportunities for shared use of buildings, fields, and other facilities;
- c) Reviewing development regulations to increase the areas where schools can be located and to enable challenging sites to be used for new, expanded, and renovated schools;
- d) Prioritizing and simplifying permitting of schools;
- e) Considering the feasibility of locating playfields on land in the rural area directly adjacent to school sites located within the urban area and with direct access from the urban area;

- f) Partnering with school districts in planning and financing walking and biking routes for schools; and
- g) Encouraging more walking, biking, and transit ridership for students, teachers, and staff.

Strategies should recognize the school district’s adopted educational program requirements, established and planned school service areas, limited availability of developable sites, and established and planned growth patterns and enrollment projections.

Siting Public Capital Facilities

While essential to growth and development, regional capital facilities can disproportionately affect the communities in which they are located. It is important that all jurisdictions work collaboratively and consider environmental justice principles when siting these facilities to foster the development of healthy communities for all.

PF-20–PF-23 Site or expand public capital facilities of regional or statewide importance within the county in a way using a process that incorporates broad public involvement, especially from historically marginalized and disproportionately burdened communities, and equitably disperses impacts and benefits and supports while supporting the Countywide Planning Policies.

PF-24 Consider climate change, economic, equity, and health impacts when siting and building essential public services and facilities.

Public Facility and Disaster Preparedness


Community resilience is the ability to prepare for anticipated hazards, adapt to changing conditions, and withstand and recover rapidly from disruptions. The King County Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, which was approved in 2020, assesses natural and human-caused hazards that can impact the county. Coordinated planning across all jurisdictions and agencies in King County is the best way to establish broad community resilience. Lack of planning for resilience leads to disproportionate impacts on vulnerable populations.

PF-25 Support coordinated planning for public safety services and programs, including emergency management, in partnership with communities most vulnerable to the impacts of emergencies and natural disasters.


PF-26 Establish new or expanded sites for public facilities, utilities, and infrastructure in a manner that ensures disaster resiliency and public service recovery.

Appendix 1: Generalized Land Use Categories Map

Appendix I



Appendix 2: Potential Annexation Areas Map



Appendix 4: Housing Technical Appendix

Affordable Housing Need

Each jurisdiction, as part of its Comprehensive Plan housing analysis, will need to address affordability and condition of existing housing supply as well as its responsibility to accommodate a significant share of the countywide need for affordable housing. In order for each jurisdiction to address its share of the countywide housing need for very low, low and moderate income housing, a four step approach has been identified:

1. Conduct an inventory and analysis of housing needs and conditions;
2. Implement policies and strategies to address unmet needs;
3. Measure results; and
4. Respond to measurement with reassessment and adjustment of strategies.

The methodology for each jurisdiction to address countywide affordable housing need is summarized as follows:

Countywide need for Housing by Percentage of Area Median Income (AMI)

1. Moderate Income Housing Need. Census Bureau estimates¹ indicate that approximately 16 percent of households in King County have incomes between 50 and 80 percent of area median income; establishing the need for housing units affordable to these moderate income households at 16 percent of each jurisdiction's total housing supply.
2. Low Income Housing Need. Census Bureau estimates¹ indicate that approximately 12 percent of households in King County have incomes between 30 and 50 percent of area median income; establishing the need for housing units affordable to these low income households at 12 percent of each jurisdiction's total housing supply.
3. Very Low Income Housing Need. Census Bureau estimates¹ indicate that approximately 12 percent of households in King County have incomes between 0 and 30 percent of area median income; establishing the need for housing units affordable to these very low income households at 12 percent of each jurisdiction's total housing supply. This is where the greatest need exists, and should be a focus for all jurisdictions.

Housing Supply and Needs Analysis

Context: As set forth in policy H-3, each jurisdiction must include in its comprehensive plan an inventory of the existing housing stock and an analysis of both existing housing needs and housing needed to accommodate projected population growth over the planning period. This policy reinforces requirements of the Growth Management Act for local Housing Elements. The housing supply and needs analysis is referred to in this appendix as the housing analysis. As is noted in policy H-1, H-2, and H-3, the housing analysis must consider local as well as

countywide housing needs because each jurisdiction has a responsibility to address a significant share of the countywide affordable housing need.

The purpose of this section of Appendix 4 is to provide further guidance to local jurisdictions on the subjects to be addressed in their housing analysis. Additional guidance on carrying out the housing analysis is found in the Puget Sound Regional Council's report, "Puget Sound Regional Council Guide to Developing an Effective Housing Element," and the Washington Administrative Code, particularly 365-196-410 (2)(b) and (c). The state Department of Commerce also provides useful information about housing requirements under the Growth Management Act.

Housing Supply

Understanding the mix and affordability of existing housing is the first step toward identifying gaps in meeting future housing needs. Combined with the results of the needs analysis, these data can provide direction on appropriate goals and policies for both the housing and land use elements of a jurisdiction's comprehensive plan. A jurisdiction's housing supply inventory should address the following:

- Total housing stock in the community;
- Types of structures in which units are located (e.g., single-family detached, duplex or other small multiplex, townhome, condominium, apartment, mobile home, accessory dwelling unit, group home, assisted living facility);
- Unit types and sizes (i.e., numbers of bedrooms per unit);
- Housing tenure (rental vs. ownership housing);
- Amount of housing at different price and rent levels, including rent-restricted and subsidized housing;
- Housing condition (e.g. age, general condition of housing, areas of community with higher proportion of homes with deferred maintenance);
- Vacancy rates;
- Statistics on occupancy and overcrowding;
- Neighborhoods with unique housing conditions or amenities;
- Location of affordable housing within the community, including proximity to transit;
- Transportation costs as a component of overall cost burden for housing;
- Housing supply, including affordable housing, within designated Urban Centers and local centers;
- Capacity for additional housing, by type, under current plans and zoning; and
- Trends in redevelopment and reuse that have an impact on the supply of affordable housing.

Housing Needs

The housing needs part of the housing analysis should include demographic data related to existing population and demographic trends that could impact future housing demand (e.g.

aging of population). The identified need for future housing should be consistent with the jurisdiction's population growth and housing targets. The information on housing need should be evaluated in combination with the housing supply part of the housing analysis in order to assess housing gaps, both current and future. This information can then inform goals, policies, and strategies in the comprehensive plan update.

A comprehensive housing needs analysis should address the following population, household, and community characteristics:

- Household sizes and types;
- Age distribution of population;
- Ethnic and racial diversity;
- Household income, including the following income groupings:
 - 30 percent of area median income or lower (very low income);
 - Above 30 percent to 50 percent of area median income (low income)
 - Above 50 percent to 80 percent of area median income (moderate income)
 - Above 80 percent to 100 percent of area median income (middle income)
 - Above 100 percent to 120 percent of area median income (middle income)
 - Above 120 percent of median income;
- Housing growth targets and countywide affordable housing need for very low, low and moderate income households as stated in the Countywide Planning Policies;
- The number and proportion of households that are “cost-burdened.” Such households pay more than thirty percent of household income toward housing costs. “Severely-cost-burdened” households pay more than fifty percent of household income toward housing costs.
- Trends that may substantially impact housing need during the planning period. For example, the impact that a projected increase in senior population would have on demand for specialized senior housing, including housing affordable to low- and moderate-income seniors and retrofitted single family homes to enable seniors to age in place.
- Housing demand related to job growth, with consideration of current and future jobs-housing balance as well as the affordable housing needs of the local and subregional workforce.
- Housing needs, including for low- and moderate-income households, within designated Urban Centers and local centers.

Note on Adjusting for Household Size

As currently calculated, the affordable housing targets do not incorporate differences in household size. However, the reality is that differently sized households have different housing needs (i.e., unit size, number of bedrooms) with different cost levels. A more accurate approach to setting and monitoring housing objectives would make adjustments to reflect current and projected household sizes and also unit sizes in new development. Accounting for

household size in providing affordable units could better inform local policies and programs as well as future updates of the Countywide Planning Policies and affordable housing targets.

Implementation Strategies

As stated in policy H 5, local jurisdictions need to employ a range of strategies for promoting housing supply and housing affordability. The Puget Sound Regional Council's Housing Innovations Program Housing Toolkit⁴ presents a range of strategies. The strategies are identified as being generally applicable to single family development, multifamily development, ownership housing, rental housing, market rate projects, and subsidized projects. Strategies marked as a "Featured Tool" are recommended as being highly effective tools for promoting affordable and diverse housing in the development markets for which they are identified.

Measuring Results

Success at meeting a community's need for housing can only be determined by measuring results and evaluating changes to housing supply and need. Cities are encouraged to monitor basic information annually, as they may already do for permits and development activity. Annual tracking of new units, demolitions, redevelopment, zoning changes, and population growth will make periodic assessments easier and more efficient. A limited amount of annual monitoring will also aid in providing timely information to decision makers.

Policy H 18 requires jurisdictions to review their housing policies and strategies at least every five years to ensure periodic reviews that are more thorough and that provide an opportunity to adapt to changing conditions and new information. This five year review could be aligned with a jurisdiction's five-year buildable lands reporting process.

Policy H-1: Countywide Need

Each jurisdiction, as part of its Comprehensive Plan housing analysis, will need to address affordability and the condition of existing housing supply as well as its responsibility to accommodate its share of the countywide need for affordable housing as defined in policy H-1. In order for each jurisdiction to address its share of the countywide housing need for extremely low-, very low-, and low-income housing, a four-step approach should be followed:

1. Conduct a housing inventory and analysis;
2. Implement policies and strategies to equitably meet housing needs;
3. Measure results and provide accountability; and
4. Adjust strategies to meet housing needs.

⁴ PSRC Housing Innovations Program Housing Toolkit <http://psrc.org/growth/hip/>

Countywide need, also called the countywide affordable housing need, is the number of additional, affordable homes needed by 2044 so that no household at or below 80 percent AMI spends more than 30 percent of their income on housing. The countywide need for housing is estimated at 263,000 affordable homes affordable at or below 80 percent AMI that need to be built or preserved by 2044 as shown in Table H-1. The countywide need estimate includes both homeownership and rental units and accounts for people experiencing homelessness. The estimates are based on a model in which adding units for households within a given low-income category (e.g., < 30 percent AMI) allows those households to vacate units affordable within the next highest income category (e.g., greater than 30 percent AMI and less than or equal to 50 percent of AMI) each year, in turn addressing needs of cost-burdened households in that income level. The estimates in Table H-1 assume that housing units equal to 1/25th of the cost burdened households in each category in 2019 are added annually in each income category until cost burden is eliminated, which occurs in different years for different income categories due to the vacating unit process described earlier. The estimates of housing units needed to address growth also assume income distribution of households added through growth is the same as existing income distribution.

Estimating Local Housing Need

While the CPPs do not prescribe a jurisdictional share of countywide affordable housing need, per RCW 36.70A.070 jurisdictions must include in the housing element of their comprehensive plan:

an inventory and analysis of existing and projected housing needs that identifies the number of housing units necessary to manage projected growth, as provided by the department of commerce, including:

(i) Units for moderate, low, very low, and extremely low-income households;

Countywide housing need, housing affordability, and income-restricted housing unit data provided in Tables H-1 and H-2 and through the King County Regional Affordable Housing Dashboard can assist jurisdictions in estimating their local affordable housing needs. Sample calculations using a simplified methodology and potential policy responses for three jurisdictions of varying size and affordability are provided below. As a reminder, Policy H-1 and Table H-1 provides that the countywide need for housing in 2044 by percentage of AMI is:

| | |
|---|---|
| <u>30 percent and below AMI (extremely low)</u> | <u>15 percent of total housing supply</u> |
| <u>31-50 percent of AMI (very low)</u> | <u>15 percent of total housing supply</u> |
| <u>51-80 percent of AMI (low)</u> | <u>19 percent of total housing supply</u> |

The sample jurisdictional calculations use fictional data from Table H-3.

Table H-2: Fictional Jurisdictional Data

| Jurisdiction | Current Housing Units (HU) (2013-2017) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| | 0-30% AMI | | 31-50% AMI | | 51-80% AMI | | Over 80% AMI | | All Incomes |
| | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU | Total HU |
| Jurisdiction A | 2,000 | 3% | 3,000 | 4% | 7,000 | 10% | 58,000 | 83% | 70,000 |
| Jurisdiction B | 2,500 | 4% | 20,000 | 33% | 18,000 | 30% | 20,000 | 33% | 60,500 |
| Jurisdiction C | 300 | 3% | 600 | 6% | 1,600 | 17% | 7,000 | 74% | 9,500 |

Source: 2013 - 2017 CHAS

| Jurisdiction | Income-Restricted Housing Units (HU) (2019) | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| | 0-30% AMI | | 31-50% AMI | | 51-80% AMI | |
| | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU |
| Jurisdiction A | 300 | 0.4% | 500 | 0.7% | 2,100 | 3.0% |
| Jurisdiction B | 300 | 0.5% | 1,200 | 2.0% | 1,800 | 3.0% |
| Jurisdiction C | 0 | 0.0% | 70 | 0.7% | 80 | 0.8% |

Source: King County Income-restricted Housing Database

| Jurisdiction | Future Affordable Housing Need (2044 total units * Countywide Housing Need) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | 0-30% AMI | | 31-50% AMI | | 51-80% AMI | | Current Housing Units | 2044 Housing Growth Target | Total Housing Units in 2044 |
| | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU | | | |
| Jurisdiction A | 15,750 | 15% | 15,750 | 15% | 19,950 | 19% | 70,000 | 35,000 | 105,000 |
| Jurisdiction B | 10,875 | 15% | 10,875 | 15% | 13,775 | 19% | 60,500 | 12,000 | 72,500 |
| Jurisdiction C | 1,710 | 15% | 1,710 | 15% | 2,166 | 19% | 9,500 | 1,900 | 11,400 |

Note: This applies the countywide need for affordable housing to each jurisdiction's projected total housing units in 2044

| Jurisdiction | Difference from Current Housing Units to 2044 Need | | |
|----------------|--|--|------------|
| | 0-30% AMI | | 51-80% AMI |
| | # of HU | | # of HU |
| Jurisdiction A | 13,750 | | 12,750 |
| Jurisdiction B | 8,375 | | -9,125 |
| Jurisdiction C | 1,410 | | 1,110 |

Note: This table shows the gap or overage between the 2044 Housing Unit Need and Current Housing Units

| Jurisdiction | Difference from Current Income-Restricted Housing Units to 2044 Need | | |
|----------------|--|------------|------------|
| | 0-30% AMI | 31-50% AMI | 51-80% AMI |
| | # of HU | # of HU | # of HU |
| Jurisdiction A | 15,450 | 15,250 | 17,850 |
| Jurisdiction B | 10,575 | 9,675 | 11,975 |
| Jurisdiction C | 1,710 | 1,640 | 2,086 |

Note: This shows the gap or overage between the 2044 Housing Unit Need and Current Income-Restricted Housing Units

Jurisdiction A: Large, generally unaffordable

Analysis: Jurisdiction A is a larger jurisdiction with a relatively limited supply of housing affordable to households at or below 80 percent AMI (3 percent, 4 percent, and 10 percent of housing units for 0-30 percent, 31-50 percent, and 51-80 percent AMI respectively). Based on its housing growth target, to meet a proportional share of countywide housing need by 2044, the jurisdiction will need 15,750 units affordable to 0-30 percent AMI, 15,750 units affordable to 31-50 percent AMI and 19,950 units affordable to 51-80 percent AMI. This is a sizeable need compared to current levels of affordability.

Potential Policy Response: Given the low levels of currently affordable and income-restricted housing in the community, the jurisdiction will need to employ a diversity of tools – from public subsidy to policy tools like increasing the amount of land zoned for multifamily housing to meet affordability needs. For example, currently, only 3 percent, or 2,000 units, in the jurisdiction are affordable to households at or below 30 percent AMI. Of these units, only 300 are income-restricted. This means the jurisdiction will need to focus significant attention on creating new deeply affordable units as well as preserving any currently affordable units that are not income-restricted. Given the scale of the affordability gap, however, the jurisdiction’s primary focus should be on income-restricted housing production strategies. This could also include purchasing currently unaffordable housing units and holding rents relatively steady until they are affordable, a strategy recently employed by the King County Housing Authority. As the impact of overall housing supply increases on prices are uncertain, the jurisdiction should monitor affordability levels as overall supply of unrestricted housing units increases.

Jurisdiction B: Medium, currently affordable to all but the lowest incomes

Analysis: Jurisdiction B is a medium-sized jurisdiction with a large supply of housing affordable to households at 31-80 percent of AMI. If that housing was preserved at current affordability levels, it would more than provide a proportional share of housing to meet countywide affordable housing need. However, the jurisdiction lacks housing affordable to households at

the lowest income level (0-30 percent AMI) and only a small portion of its housing is income-restricted, leaving prices vulnerable to market forces and residents vulnerable to displacement.

Potential Policy Response: Given the current levels of affordability in the community, Jurisdiction B should focus on rehabilitation and preservation of both income-restricted housing at or below 80 percent AMI and unrestricted housing affordable at all income levels, and production of housing affordable to households at or below 30 percent AMI. Preservation may entail supporting affordable housing providers in the purchase of housing units that are currently affordable to households at or below 80 percent AMI, as well as investing in programs that improve the quality and safety of existing housing stock.

Jurisdiction C: Small, moderately affordable, low growth target, limited transit, large lot sizes

Analysis: Jurisdiction C is a smaller jurisdiction with some existing housing affordable to households at or below 80 percent AMI, but very little income-restricted housing. Compared to jurisdictions A and B, it has a low growth target, meaning that its future need for affordable housing is much larger than its projected growth. In addition, the jurisdiction lacks significant plans for transit investment and most of the current housing is on very large-sized lots, as prescribed by current zoning.

Potential Policy Response: Jurisdiction C will need to explore preservation and production tools appropriate to its context to increase its supply of affordable housing, particularly income-restricted housing. Likely, it will need to use land use policies to increase the diversity of housing types in the jurisdiction, as well as use public resources to support affordable housing production. The jurisdiction may also wish to engage with neighboring jurisdictions with better transit and employment access to determine if it makes sense to contribute to affordable housing production elsewhere in its sub-region in order to support job and service access for residents of affordable housing. However, this approach should be balanced with attention to providing equitable access to high opportunity areas, such as areas with quality schools and open space, to low-income residents and residents of color.

Policy H-2: Extremely Low-Income Households

The countywide need is the greatest for households at or below 30 percent AMI (extremely low-income). It will take significant cross-sector and cross-jurisdictional collaboration and resources to effectively and equitably meet the needs of these households. Jurisdictions are encouraged to explore emerging best practices to effectively meet the needs of extremely low-income households, including but not limited to:

- mitigating environmental concerns for compromised properties with proposed permanent supportive housing (PSH) projects;
- prioritizing vacant lands for PSH over other uses;

- making surplus publicly-owned lands suitable for 0-30 percent AMI housing development available for long-term lease or purchase at a reduced cost for extremely low-income housing;
- creating a unique dwelling type for PSH coupled with cost reduction strategies for this housing type;
- reducing fees, taxes, permit and hookup fees for PSH projects;
- streamlining design and permit review for PSH projects;
- increasing buildable height and/or floor area ratio for PSH; and
- reducing or removing cost requirements such as vehicular parking requirements for PSH.

Policy H-3: Housing Supply and Needs Analysis

As set forth in policy H-4, each jurisdiction must include in its comprehensive plan an inventory of the existing housing stock and an analysis of both existing housing needs and housing needed to accommodate projected population growth over the planning period. This policy reinforces requirements of the Growth Management Act for local Housing Elements. The housing supply and needs analysis is referred to in this appendix as the housing analysis. As is noted in policy H-1, H-2, and H-4, the housing analysis must consider local as well as countywide housing needs because each jurisdiction has a responsibility to address its share of the countywide affordable housing need.

The purpose of this section is to provide further guidance to local jurisdictions on the subjects to be addressed in their housing analysis. Additional guidance on carrying out the housing analysis is found in the Puget Sound Regional Council’s report, “Housing Element Guide: A PSRC Guidance Paper (July 2014),” Washington State Department of Commerce’s report, “Guidance for Developing a Housing Needs Assessment” (March 2020); and the Washington Administrative Code, particularly 365-196-410 (2)(b) and (c). The Washington State Department of Commerce also provides useful information about housing requirements under the Growth Management Act in the “Growth Management Planning for Housing - Washington State Department of Commerce” portion of their website

Housing Supply

Understanding the mix and affordability of existing housing is the first step toward identifying gaps in meeting future housing needs.

Table H-3 shows the current housing supply by jurisdiction and affordability levels, using data from 2013-2017 CHAS broken out by different income segments and 2019 housing unit data estimated by the Washington State Office Financial Management (OFM) which OFM does not break out by income segments. The 2019 OFM data serves as the base year for each

jurisdiction's 2044 housing growth targets and appears in Table H-1. The OFM housing units were allocated to different AMI bands by applying the percent share of total housing supply in each income segment as reported in the 2013-2017 CHAS data to the total housing units reported by OFM for 2019. These 2019 current housing units in each income segment are added to the countywide need (the total additional affordable housing units needed between 2019-2044) by AMI reported in Table H-1 to determine the Total Affordable Housing Units Needed by 2044.

Figures in Table H-3 include both rental and ownership units. Note that while some jurisdictions have an adequate supply of housing affordable to low-income households (51 to 80 percent of AMI) and very low-income households (31-50 percent of AMI), no jurisdiction in the county has sufficient housing affordable to extremely low-income households (0 to 30 percent of AMI) to meet a proportional share of existing needs as shown in Table H-1. This is where the greatest need exists and should be a focus for all jurisdictions.

Table H-3 will be updated annually and will be made publicly available on the Regional Affordable Housing Dashboard. While Table H-3 provides a starting point for understanding current housing supply by jurisdiction, other metrics are required to fully measure housing need. Jurisdictions may choose to supplement the data in Table H-3 with other data sources, such as PUMS, ACS, or their own housing inventories that may be more current or use different underlying assumptions. Because data sources vary in the time period they measure, the assumptions required to analyze the data, and the sampling techniques they use, they may produce results that do not perfectly align with Table H-3. Jurisdictions should use the methodology documented here to explain the causes and implications of differences between alternative methodologies and the information presented in Table H-3.

The methodology used to calculate current housing units in Table H-3 is summarized as follows:

1. CHAS data is downloaded from the HUD website. Select the most recent vintage of data (in this instance it was 2013-2017 ACS 5-year average data") for the data year, select the "Counties split by Place" Geographic Summary Level, which provides data at a jurisdictional level, select "csv" for the file type, and then download the data. This will download all the CHAS tables, as well as a data dictionary.
2. Tables 17A, 17B, 18A, 18B, and 18C have data on housing units and what AMI brackets they are affordable at. Tables 17A and 17B include data on vacant units for ownership and rental units respectively. These vacant units are included in the totals, because while vacant units are not currently being rented, they are still a part of a jurisdiction's housing supply, and many vacant units are available to rent or buy. Tables 18A, 18B, and 18C include data on occupied ownership units with a mortgage, occupied ownership

units without a mortgage, and occupied rental units respectively. All these units are also included in the totals in Table H-3.

3. To calculate how many units are in each jurisdiction at each AMI band, calculate those totals for tables 17A, 17B, 18A, 18B, and 18C and then sum them all together. To calculate total numbers of units by AMI, use the subtotal columns of the CHAS data. The data dictionary that comes with the CHAS tables shows which columns are subtotal columns. Multiple subtotal columns must be added together to get the total number of units affordable at a certain AMI. For example, in Table 18A, to get the total number of units affordable at 0-50 percent AMI, the columns T18B est3, T18B est28, T18B est53, T18B est78 must be summed, as each column represents a different number of units in the structure. The columns that must be summed together differ slightly based on the table. Refer to the data dictionary to ensure that the correct columns are chosen, as these may change slightly year to year.
4. CHAS uses RHUD for rental units and VHUD for ownership units as measures of affordability that correspond to AMI. For example, units that have a value of “less than or equal to RHUD30” are marked as being affordable at 0-30 percent AMI. Unlike with rental units, for the home ownership units found in tables 17A, 18A, and 18B, CHAS does not differentiate between VHUD0 to VHUD30 units and VHUD 30 to VHUD50 units. It instead combines them all into a “Value less than or equal to VHUD50” category. Since affordability is measured at 0-30 percent AMI and 30-50 percent AMI separately in Table H-3, assume that all units in the “Value less than or equal to VHUD50” are actually only affordable at 30-50 percent AMI, and are included in that column. Thus, all 0-30 percent AMI units in Table H-3 are rental units. This assumption is made because of the distribution of home prices in King County, where almost no homes are affordable to households making 0-30 percent AMI.
5. Once each of Tables 17A, 17B, 18A, 18B, and 18C have been totaled to get the number of units available at each AMI band, and the home ownership units in the “Value less than or equal to VHUD50” category have been recoded to be equal to 30-50 percent AMI, combine the totals of each table to get countywide totals. RHUD and VHUD categories should now line up for all categories up to 80 percent AMI and can thus be combined and re-labeled with the AMI categories seen in Table H-3. While categories above 80 percent don’t align between renter and ownership tables, they can all be combined into one over 80 percent AMI category.
6. Then take the sum of each AMI band to get the value in the “All Incomes” column. These values may differ slightly from the total units calculated using the CHAS “Total” columns, as individual “Subtotal” columns round units in the “Subtotal” columns (see [here for more information](#) on CHAS’s rounding methodology). This has only a minimal

impact on overall totals. Then, calculate what percentage of each jurisdiction’s housing supply is in each AMI band by dividing the number of units in each AMI band by the total number of units. Note that the totals included in the “% of Total HU” columns in table H-3 are rounded. The actual, unrounded percentages are used in the following steps. To calculate the unrounded percentages, in the “Housing Units (HU) 2017” section of the table divide the “# of HU” column amounts by the “Total HU” column amount for each jurisdiction.

7. To find the “All Housing” units data in the “2019 HU” column refer to the King County rows in the “2019 Postcensal Estimate of Total Housing Units” column in the Washington State Office of Financial Management’s (OFM) April 1 postcensal estimates of housing: 1980, 1990-present. Sum these values to get the total estimated housing units for 2019 countywide.
8. To break out OFM’s reported total countywide housing unit number, apply the percent share of housing units by AMI found in the “% of Total HU” columns to the total housing units reported by OFM for each jurisdiction in the “Total HU” column in the “HU 2019” section of the table for each jurisdiction and each AMI band. Then sum all jurisdictions totals together for each AMI band, then round the total to the nearest thousandth. This will give you the total units reported in “Countywide Total HU, 2019” row.
9. Add the current “Countywide Total HU, 2019” totals by AMI with the “Total Additional Affordable Housing Units Needed” (2019-2044) by AMI reported in Table H-1 to determine the Total Affordable Housing Units Needed by 2044 in Table H-1, which includes current housing units.

Table H-3: Housing Affordability for King County Jurisdictions by Regional Geographies

| Regional Geography and Jurisdiction | Housing Units (HU) 2017 ⁵ | | | | | | | | HU 2019 ⁶ | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | 0-30% AMI | | 31-50% AMI | | 51-80% AMI | | Over 80% AMI | | 0-30% AMI | 31-50% AMI |
| | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU | # of HU | % of Total HU | Total HU | Total HU |
| Metropolitan Cities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bellevue | 1,750 | 3% | 2,814 | 5% | 6,363 | 11% | 46,400 | 81% | 57,327 | 62,372 |
| Seattle | 19,330 | 6% | 32,655 | 10% | 55,910 | 17% | 212,875 | 66% | 320,770 | 367,806 |
| Core Cities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Auburn | 1,335 | 5% | 9,400 | 38% | 6,590 | 26% | 7,660 | 31% | 24,985 | 27,391 |
| Bothell | 390 | 4% | 1,200 | 11% | 2,075 | 19% | 7,215 | 66% | 10,880 | 12,208 |
| Burien | 985 | 5% | 4,879 | 26% | 5,155 | 27% | 8,003 | 42% | 19,022 | 20,793 |
| Federal Way | 1,430 | 4% | 9,170 | 26% | 12,450 | 35% | 12,695 | 36% | 35,745 | 37,257 |
| Issaquah | 715 | 5% | 845 | 6% | 1,770 | 12% | 11,750 | 78% | 15,080 | 16,801 |
| Kent | 1,970 | 4% | 11,195 | 25% | 14,769 | 33% | 16,720 | 37% | 44,654 | 48,228 |
| Kirkland | 1,125 | 3% | 2,325 | 6% | 4,775 | 13% | 28,405 | 78% | 36,630 | 39,312 |
| Redmond | 640 | 3% | 1,325 | 5% | 2,705 | 11% | 20,365 | 81% | 25,035 | 28,619 |
| Renton | 1,720 | 4% | 7,285 | 19% | 10,160 | 26% | 20,133 | 51% | 39,298 | 42,855 |
| SeaTac | 350 | 3% | 3,400 | 34% | 3,460 | 35% | 2,799 | 28% | 10,009 | 10,855 |
| Tukwila | 385 | 5% | 2,150 | 30% | 2,680 | 38% | 1,909 | 27% | 7,124 | 8,445 |
| High Capacity Transit Communities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Des Moines | 585 | 5% | 3,015 | 25% | 2,999 | 25% | 5,244 | 44% | 11,843 | 12,898 |
| Kenmore | 255 | 3% | 1,070 | 12% | 1,190 | 14% | 6,135 | 71% | 8,650 | 9,485 |
| Lake Forest Park | 105 | 2% | 344 | 7% | 419 | 8% | 4,325 | 83% | 5,193 | 5,494 |
| Mercer Island | 270 | 3% | 380 | 4% | 400 | 4% | 9,015 | 90% | 10,065 | 10,506 |
| Newcastle | 60 | 1% | 115 | 3% | 480 | 11% | 3,699 | 85% | 4,354 | 5,214 |
| Shoreline | 1,180 | 5% | 2,090 | 9% | 4,440 | 20% | 14,425 | 65% | 22,135 | 24,127 |
| Woodinville | 150 | 3% | 280 | 6% | 495 | 10% | 3,825 | 81% | 4,750 | 5,450 |
| Cities & Towns | | | | | | | | | | |
| Algona | 8 | 1% | 404 | 43% | 350 | 38% | 169 | 18% | 931 | 1,053 |
| Beaux Arts | - | 0% | 8 | 6% | 4 | 3% | 114 | 90% | 126 | 119 |
| Black Diamond | 40 | 2% | 350 | 21% | 230 | 14% | 1,070 | 63% | 1,690 | 1,808 |
| Carnation | 34 | 5% | 119 | 19% | 134 | 21% | 354 | 55% | 641 | 817 |
| Clyde Hill | 10 | 1% | 39 | 3% | 15 | 1% | 1,055 | 94% | 1,119 | 1,100 |
| Covington | 160 | 2% | 790 | 11% | 2,280 | 33% | 3,770 | 54% | 7,000 | 7,102 |
| Duvall | 50 | 2% | 200 | 8% | 250 | 10% | 2,085 | 81% | 2,585 | 2,684 |
| Enumclaw | 265 | 6% | 1,469 | 31% | 1,495 | 32% | 1,515 | 32% | 4,744 | 5,228 |
| Hunts Point | 4 | 3% | 12 | 8% | 4 | 3% | 139 | 87% | 159 | 186 |
| Maple Valley | 220 | 2% | 530 | 6% | 1,450 | 16% | 6,650 | 75% | 8,850 | 9,280 |
| Medina | 15 | 1% | 19 | 2% | 10 | 1% | 1,125 | 96% | 1,169 | 1,233 |
| Milton | 20 | 6% | 99 | 28% | 59 | 17% | 175 | 50% | 353 | 608 |
| Normandy Park | 150 | 5% | 235 | 8% | 220 | 8% | 2,200 | 78% | 2,805 | 2,876 |
| North Bend | 95 | 4% | 340 | 14% | 390 | 16% | 1,565 | 65% | 2,390 | 2,783 |
| Pacific | 40 | 2% | 934 | 39% | 840 | 35% | 600 | 25% | 2,414 | 2,460 |
| Sammamish | 180 | 1% | 365 | 2% | 853 | 4% | 19,615 | 93% | 21,013 | 22,159 |
| Skykomish | 4 | 6% | 23 | 34% | 8 | 12% | 33 | 49% | 68 | 173 |
| Snoqualmie | 45 | 1% | 169 | 4% | 293 | 7% | 3,664 | 88% | 4,171 | 4,748 |
| Yarrow Point | 4 | 1% | 4 | 1% | 8 | 2% | 419 | 96% | 435 | 416 |
| Urban Unincorporated & Rural | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unincorporated King County | 2,465 | 3% | 7,287 | 10% | 12,223 | 17% | 48,920 | 69% | 70,895 | 93,179 |
| Countywide Total HU, 2017⁵ | 38,539 | 5% | 109,333 | 13% | 160,401 | 19% | 538,834 | 64% | 847,107 | 956,128 |
| Countywide Total HU, 2019⁷ | 44,000 | 5% | 122,000 | 13% | 180,000 | 19% | 610,000 | 64% | 956,000 | |
| Countywide Total HU Needed by 2044 | 188,000 | 15% | 185,000 | 15% | 236,000 | 19% | 644,000 | 51% | 1,253,000 | |

⁵ Source: CHAS 2013-2017 (released August 25, 2020)

⁶ Source: 2019 data from Office of Financial Management's April 1 postcensal estimates of housing: 1980, 1990-present. Percentages are rounded.

⁷ Extrapolated using the percent share of total housing units from CHAS 2013-2017 and 2019 total housing unit data from Washington State Office of Financial Management's April 1 postcensal estimates of housing: 1980, 1990-present. Figures are rounded, see methodology above for how to recreate unrounded totals.

Housing Needs

The housing needs part of the housing analysis should include demographic data related to existing population, household and community trends that could impact future housing demand (e.g. aging of population). This data will be derived from a mixture of jurisdictional records, county datasets, state datasets, and federal datasets. The identified need for future housing should be consistent with the jurisdiction's population growth and housing targets. Combined with the results of the needs analysis, these data can provide direction on appropriate goals and policies for both the housing and land use elements of a jurisdiction's comprehensive plan.

The following guidance is offered to ensure the housing inventory and analysis data is consistently utilized and reported by all jurisdictions in King County:

- Affordability gap means the comparison of a jurisdiction's housing supply as compared to the countywide need percentages expressed in policy H-1. 2013-2017 housing supply is included in table H-3 in this appendix. The County will update this table annually and make it available online.
- Age means built in 2014 or later, built 2010 to 2013, built 2000 to 2009, built 1990-1999, built 1980 to 1989, built 1970 to 1979, built 1960 to 1969, built 1950 to 1959, built 1940 to 1949, built 1939 or earlier.
- Number of bedrooms means no bedroom, 1 bedroom, 2 or 3 bedrooms, and 4 or more bedrooms.
- Condition means lacking complete plumbing facilities, lacking complete kitchen facilities, and/or no telephone service available.
- Tenure means renter-occupied and owner-occupied.
- Income-restricted units should be reported by AMI limit (i.e. \leq 30 percent AMI, \leq 50 percent AMI, and \leq 80 percent AMI).
- Moderate-density housing means the following housing types: 1-unit attached; 2 units; 3 or 4 units; 5 to 9 units; 10 to 19 units. High-density housing means the following housing types: 20 or more units.
- Household income by AMI means equal to or less than 30 percent AMI, above 30 percent to 50 percent AMI; above 50 percent to 80 percent AMI, above 80 percent to 100 percent AMI, above 100 percent to 120 percent AMI, and above 120 percent AMI.
- Housing cost burden means a household spends more than 30 percent of their household income on housing costs.
- Severe housing cost burden means a household spends more than 50 percent of their household income on housing costs.

- Displacement risk means where residents and businesses are at greater risk of displacement based on PSRC's index or equivalent composite set of risk indicators such as: socio-demographics, transportation qualities, neighborhood characteristics, housing, and civic engagement.

Policy H-5: Evaluate Effectiveness

Prior to updating their comprehensive plan, a jurisdiction must evaluate the effectiveness of existing housing policies and strategies to meet a significant share of countywide need. This will help a jurisdiction identify the need to adjust current policies and strategies or implement new ones. Where possible, jurisdictions are encouraged to identify actual housing units created, by affordability level, since their last comprehensive plan update.

This evaluation also must also identify gaps in existing partnerships, policies, and dedicated resources for meeting the countywide need and eliminating racial and other disparities in access to housing and neighborhoods of choice. This exercise helps a jurisdiction understand what other strategies it should pursue beyond updating the comprehensive plan to meet the goals of this chapter. Some strategies, like inclusionary housing or new dedicated resources, will be easier to evaluate a quantitative impact and for others, it may be more qualitative. Jurisdictions without the ability to identify the impact of each policy may wish to describe the policies and programs that contributed to creating or preserving a given number of income-restricted units, special needs housing units, etc.

Policy H-6: Racial Exclusion and Discrimination

To inform a comprehensive plan strategy, a jurisdiction must also document the local history of racially exclusive and discriminatory land use and housing practices, consistent with local and regional fair housing reports and other resources.

A jurisdiction must also explain the extent to which that history is still reflected in current development patterns, housing conditions, tenure, and access to opportunity. Examples of suitable data include, but are not limited to:

- homeownership rates by race/ethnicity and age;
- concentration or dispersion of affordable housing or housing choice voucher usage within the jurisdiction;
- affordability of housing in the jurisdiction to the median income household of different races and ethnicities;
- racial demographics by neighborhood, e.g. degrees of integration and segregation;

- access to areas of opportunity by race and ethnicity;
- demographics of residents in areas of high displacement risk; and
- results of fair housing testing performed or fair housing complaint data within a jurisdiction.

Jurisdictions must also identify local policies and regulations that result in racially disparate impacts, displacement, and exclusion in housing, including but not limited to:

- zoning that may have a discriminatory effect;
- disinvestment; and
- infrastructure availability.

Racially restrictive housing covenants, unrecognized treaties with tribes, current exclusionary zoning, and lack of investment in affordable housing are examples of discriminatory practices or policies a jurisdiction could include in an assessment. Jurisdictions should not limit their review to local policies and regulations. The region should share resources and work together to develop a shared understanding of how racist or discriminatory housing practices and disparities were perpetuated by all levels of government as well as the private sector. While each jurisdiction’s assessment will be unique, King County jurisdictions are encouraged to identify federal, state, and regional practices as well as local.

Finally, a jurisdiction must demonstrate how current strategies are addressing impacts of those racially exclusive and discriminatory policies and practices. Using this information jurisdictions should identify and implement policies and regulations to address and begin to undo racially disparate impacts, displacement, and exclusion in housing caused by local policies, plans, and actions consistent with the policies in the “Implement Policies and Strategies to Equitably Meet Housing Needs” section.

Jurisdictions are encouraged to refer to the 2019 King County Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (Analysis of Impediments) to understand current barriers to fair housing choice. In addition to the guidance offered in this technical appendix, the County will support jurisdictions in identifying and compiling resources, such as University of Washington reports and databases, to support this analysis.

Policy H-7: Collaborate Regionally

The lack of homes affordable to low-income households is a regional problem that requires regional solutions. Jurisdictional collaboration with diverse partners is key to an effective regional response. Jurisdictions in their collaboration are encouraged to:

- address the countywide housing need;
- engage and collaborate with other entities in efforts to fund, site, and build affordable housing;
- join resources;
- raise public and private resources together to provide the additional subsidies required to develop housing at deeper levels of affordability;
- support affordable housing development or preservation in each other's jurisdictions; and
- take other collaborative action to address the countywide housing need.

Partners collaborating with jurisdictions are encouraged to support the following needs:

- technical assistance;
- organizational capacity building;
- land donations;
- financial contributions for operating and capital needs to support affordable housing development, maintenance and operations needs;
- funding for other needs such as data and monitoring infrastructure; and
- advocate for efforts to fund, site, and build affordable housing.

Policies H-9 through H-24: Implement Policies and Strategies to Meet Housing Needs Equitably

Jurisdictions need to employ a range of policies, incentives, strategies, actions, and regulations tailored to equitably meet their housing need. The Puget Sound Regional Council's Housing Innovations Program⁸ presents a range of strategies. The strategies can be filtered by objective, project type, and affordability level. Strategies marked with an asterisk include more detail and are proven to be particularly effective at meeting regional housing goals. The Municipal Research and Services Center (MSRC) and Washington State Department of Commerce also offers affordable housing-related resources on their websites, including information about techniques and incentives for encouraging and planning for housing affordability.

Local jurisdictions may also refer to this table for suitable strategies, largely derived from recommendations from the December 2018 Regional Affordable Housing Task Force Final Report and Recommendations. King County's Department of Community and Human Services

⁸ PSRC Housing Innovations Program <https://www.psrc.org/hip>

will work to periodically update these suggestions on the King County website if new strategies and best practices emerge.

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|---|---|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| <p>H-9 Collaborate with populations most disproportionately impacted by housing cost burden in developing, implementing and monitoring strategies that achieve the goals of this chapter. Prioritize the needs and solutions articulated by these disproportionately impacted populations.</p> | <p><u>Suggested strategies to ensure the process to plan for meeting countywide housing need is equitable include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Providing capacity grants to organizations representing target communities to support engagement</u> • <u>Providing other support to ensure those most disproportionately impacted have equitable access to participate in planning discussions (e.g. evening meetings, translation services, food, and childcare or travel stipends)</u> • <u>Establishing clear decision-making structures that ensures disproportionately impacted populations’ needs and solutions are prioritized and community members and leaders, organizations, and institutions share power, voice, and resources</u> |
| <p>H-10 Adopt intentional, targeted actions that repair harms to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) households from past and current racially exclusive and discriminatory land use and housing practices (generally identified through Policy H-6). Promote equitable outcomes in partnership with communities most impacted.</p> | <p><u>A suggested approach to identifying reparative strategies includes:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Looking at how current policies are working to undo past racially exclusive and discriminatory land use and housing practices or where they might be perpetuating that history</u> • <u>When current policies are perpetuating the harm, implementing equitable countermeasures to remove those policies and their impacts and mitigate disparate impacts on housing choice, access, and affordability</u> • <u>Using PSRC’s Regional Equity Strategy and associated tools and resources to center equity in comprehensive planning processes and intended outcomes</u> <p><u>Specific policies and strategies include:</u></p> |

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|--|--|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| <p>H-11 <u>Adopt policies, incentives, strategies, actions, and regulations that increase the supply of long-term income-restricted housing for extremely low-, very low-, and low-income households and households with special needs.</u></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Reduce or eliminate exclusionary zoning</u> • <u>Implement anti-displacement strategies, which include addressing housing stability for low-income renters and owners as well as preserving cultural diversity of the community</u> • <u>Implement policies that increase affordable homeownership opportunities for Black, Indigenous, and People of Color communities</u> • <u>Distribute affordable housing throughout a jurisdiction, with a focus on areas of opportunity</u> • <u>Consider environmental health of neighborhoods where affordable housing exists or is planned and plan for environmentally healthy neighborhoods</u> • <u>Support and prioritize projects that promote access to opportunity, anti-displacement, and wealth building opportunities for Black, Indigenous, and People of Color communities</u> <p><u>Strategies for promoting equitable outcomes in partnership with communities most impacted include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Utilize an equity impact review tool when developing or implementing policies or strategies</u> • <u>Create and utilize a community engagement toolkit</u> • <u>Intentionally include and solicit engagement from members of communities of color or low-income households in policy decision-making and committees</u> <p><u>Suggested strategies to help meet the need at these affordability levels include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Increase financial contributions to build, preserve, and operate long-term income-restricted housing</u> |

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|--|---|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Increase the overall supply and diversity of housing throughout a jurisdiction, including both rental and ownership</u> • <u>Provide housing suitable for a range of household types and sizes, including housing suitable and affordable for households with special needs, low-, very low-, and extremely low-incomes</u> <u>Implement policies that incentivize the creation of affordable units, such as Multifamily Tax Exemption, inclusionary zoning, and incentive zoning, and density bonus</u> • <u>Coordinate with local housing authorities to use project-based rental subsidies with incentive/ inclusionary housing units to achieve deeper affordability</u> • <u>Implement policies that reduce the cost to develop affordable housing</u> • <u>Implement universal design principles to ensure that buildings and public spaces are accessible to people with or without disabilities</u> • <u>Support sustainable housing development</u> • <u>Promote units that accommodate large households and/or multiple bedrooms</u> • <u>Prioritize strategies for implementation that will result in the highest impact towards addressing the affordable housing gap at the lowest income levels</u> |
| <p>H-12 <u>Identify sufficient capacity of land for housing including, but not limited to: income-restricted housing; housing for moderate-, low-, very low-, and extremely low-income households; manufactured housing; multifamily housing; group homes; foster care facilities; emergency housing; emergency shelters; permanent supportive housing; and within an urban growth area boundary, duplexes, triplexes, and townhomes.</u></p> | <p><u>An approach to identifying sufficient capacity for housing types is:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Consider the local and regional housing needs and available land capacity identified in H-4. For example, a jurisdiction that doesn't have any unshoused people may still need to provide sufficient capacity for this population if unmet need exists within the county or subregion</u> |

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|---|--|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Determine if current capacity is sufficient to meet future needs. For example, most permanent supportive housing will require multifamily zoning</u> <u>Collaborate with other jurisdictions to identify the subregional or countywide capacity needed for these housing types if current need within a jurisdiction is substantially less than the countywide need for that housing type</u> |
| <p>H-13 <u>Implement strategies to overcome cost barriers to housing affordability. Strategies to do this vary but can include updating development standards and regulations, shortening permit timelines, implementing online permitting, optimizing residential densities, reducing parking requirements, and developing programs, policies, partnerships, and incentives to decrease costs to build and preserve affordable housing.</u></p> | <p><u>Suggested strategies to overcome cost barriers to housing affordability to consider addressing include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Reduce vehicular parking requirements</u> • <u>Reduce permitting timelines</u> • <u>Increase the predictability of the permitting process</u> • <u>Reduce sewer fees for affordable housing</u> • <u>Reduce utility, impact and other fees for affordable housing and Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)</u> • <u>Streamline permitting process for affordable housing development and ADUs</u> • <u>Update building codes to promote more housing growth and innovative, low-cost development</u> • <u>Explore incentives similar to the Multifamily Tax Exemption for the development of ADUs for low-income households</u> • <u>Maximize and expand use of the Multifamily Tax Exemption</u> • <u>Offer suitable public land at reduced or no cost for affordable housing development</u> • <u>Before implementing a policy, consider how it will impact the cost to build affordable homes</u> |
| <p>H-14 <u>Prioritize the use of local and/ regional resources (e.g. funding, surplus property) for income-restricted housing, particularly for</u></p> | <p><u>Suggested strategies to effectively prioritize the use of resources include:</u></p> |

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|---|--|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| <p><u>extremely low-income households, populations with special needs, and others with disproportionately greater housing needs. Consider projects that promote access to opportunity, anti-displacement, and wealth building for Black, Indigenous, and People of Color communities to support implementation of policy H-10.</u></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Partner with communities most disproportionately impacted by the housing crisis, including extremely low-income households and Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) communities to inform resource design and allocation decisions. These decisions should prioritize strategies that reduce and undo disproportionate harm to these communities consistent, recognizing that specific needs of these communities may vary based on location</u> • <u>Identify and prioritize underutilized publicly owned land and nonprofit/ faith communities for the creation of income-restricted housing, both rental and homeownership</u> • <u>Prioritize sites near transit, quality schools, parks and other neighborhood amenities</u> • <u>Fund acquisition and development of prioritized sites</u> • <u>Prioritize public funding resources in a manner consistent with policy H-9</u> • <u>Consider the countywide median income levels of BIPOC households when designing affordable homeownership programs and set the affordability levels such that they are accessible to the median BIPOC households considered</u> |
| <p>H-15 <u>Increase housing choices for everyone—particularly those earning lower wages—that is co-located with, accessible to, or within a reasonable commute to major employment centers and affordable to all income levels. Ensure there are zoning ordinances and building policies in place that allow and encourage housing production at levels that improve jobs-</u></p> | <p><u>Strategies to increase housing choice near employment and affordable to all include but are not limited to⁹:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Update zoning and land use regulations (including in single-family low-rise zones) to increase density and diversify housing choices, including but not limited to:</u> |

⁹ PSRC’s Housing Innovations Program (HIP) website provides a searchable database of dozens of suggested strategies. Please refer to their database for a more comprehensive list of strategies.

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|--|---|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| <p><u>housing balance throughout the county across all income levels.</u></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <u>Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU) and Detached Accessory Dwelling Units (DADUs)</u> ○ <u>Duplex, Triplex, Four-plex</u> ○ <u>Zero lot line townhomes, row houses, and stacked flats</u> ○ <u>Micro/efficiency units</u> ○ <u>Manufactured housing preservation</u> ○ <u>Group homes</u> ○ <u>Foster care facilities</u> ○ <u>Emergency housing</u> ○ <u>Emergency shelters</u> ○ <u>Permanent supportive housing</u> ○ <u>Low-rise and high-density multifamily development</u> ○ <u>Housing development that accommodates large households and/or multiple bedrooms</u> ● <u>Implement strategies that provide for affordable housing near employment centers, such as:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <u>Project-level tools like affordability covenants when funding income-restricted units or development agreements</u> ○ <u>Incentives such as density bonuses, incentive zoning, or Multifamily Tax Exemption</u> ○ <u>Other regulatory tools such as commercial linkage fees, inclusionary zoning, or TOD overlays</u> ○ <u>Other financial tools such as public land for affordable housing</u> |
| <p>H-16 <u>Expand the supply and range of housing types—including affordable units—at densities sufficient to maximize the benefits of transit investments throughout the county.</u></p> | <p><u>Suggested zoning, regulation, and incentive strategies to be applied near transit station areas and transit corridors served by high capacity or frequent transit include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <u>Requiring minimum densities in these areas</u> ● <u>Providing enough multifamily zoning to accommodate a significant amount of</u> |

Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals

| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
|---|--|
| | <p>the jurisdictional share of affordable housing in these areas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Implementing comprehensive inclusionary/ incentive housing policies in existing and planned frequent transit service areas to achieve the deepest affordability possible through land use incentives, which may include increased density; reduced parking requirements, reduced permit fees, exempted impact fees, Multifamily Tax Exemption, and programmatic Environmental Impact Statements</u> • <u>Evaluate and update zoning in transit areas in advance of transit infrastructure investments</u> • <u>Evaluate the impact of development fee reductions in transit areas and implement reductions if positive impact</u> • <u>Implement comprehensive inclusionary/incentive housing policies in all existing and planned frequent transit service to achieve the deepest affordability possible through land use incentives</u> • <u>Coordinate with local housing authorities to use project-based rental subsidies with incentive/ inclusionary housing units to achieve deeper affordability near transit</u> |
| <p>H-17 <u>Support the development and preservation of income-restricted affordable housing that is within walking distance to planned or existing high capacity and frequent transit.</u></p> | <p><u>Preservation strategies to consider include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Identify areas that may be at higher risk of displacement from market forces that occur with changes to zoning development regulations and public capital investments and establish anti-displacement policies, with consideration given to the preservation of historical and cultural communities as well as:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <u>investments in low-, very low-, and extremely low-income housing equitable development initiatives</u> |

Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals

| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
|--------|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <u>inclusionary zoning</u> ○ <u>community planning requirements; tenant protections</u> ○ <u>public land disposition policies</u> ○ <u>consideration of land that may be used for affordable housing</u> ● <u>Collect data to better understand the impacts of growth, and the risks of residential, economic, and cultural displacement. Verify this data with residents at the greatest risk of displacement, particularly those most disproportionately impacted by housing cost burden and neighborhood-based small business owners. Supplement this information with regional data about displacement risk and ongoing displacement trends that can inform and drive policy and programs.</u> ● <u>Prioritize affordable housing investments, incentives, and preservation tools in areas where increases in development capacity and new public capital investments are anticipated to allow current low-income residents to stay</u> ● <u>Support the acquisition, rehabilitation, and preservation of income-restricted and naturally occurring affordable housing in areas with a high displacement risk, for long-term affordability serving households at or below 80 percent AMI</u> ● <u>Leverage new development to fund affordable housing in the same geography using zoning tools such as incentive/ inclusionary zoning</u> ● <u>Implement anti-displacement policies (e.g. community preference, tenant opportunity to purchase, no net loss of affordable units, right-to-return, community benefits agreements)</u> |

Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals

| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
|---|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Prioritize publicly owned land for affordable housing in areas at high risk of displacement</u> • <u>Support community land trust and other permanent affordability models</u> • <u>Identify, preserve, and improve cultural assets</u> • <u>Increase education to maximize use of property tax relief programs to help sustain homeownership for low-income individuals</u> • <u>Expand targeted foreclosure prevention</u> • <u>Preserve manufactured housing communities and improve the quality of the housing and associated infrastructure to improve housing stability and health for the residents while also expanding housing choices affordable to these residents, including opportunities to cooperatively own their communities</u> • <u>Encourage programs to help homeowners access support needed to participate in and benefit from infill development</u> |
| <p>H-18 <u>Adopt inclusive planning tools and policies whose purpose is to increase the ability of all residents in jurisdictions throughout the county to live in the neighborhood of their choice, reduce disparities in access to opportunity areas, and meet the needs of the region’s current and future residents by:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>providing access to affordable housing to rent and own throughout the jurisdiction, with a focus on areas of high opportunity;</u> b. <u>expanding capacity for moderate-density housing throughout the jurisdiction, especially in areas currently zoned for lower density single-family detached housing in the Urban Growth Area, and capacity for high-density housing, where</u> | <p><u>Other inclusive planning tools and policies that increase neighborhood choice include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Plan for moderate or high-density housing and complete neighborhoods within a half-mile walkshed of high capacity or frequent transit service in areas already zoned for residential housing and where exposure to air pollution and particulate matter is low to moderate.</u> • <u>Plan for complete neighborhoods around existing and planned essential services throughout a jurisdiction</u> • <u>Establish a designation that allows more housing types within single-family zoned areas near parks, schools, and other services</u> |

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|---|---|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| <p><u>appropriate, consistent with the Regional Growth Strategy;</u></p> <p>c. <u>evaluating the feasibility of, and implementing, where appropriate, inclusionary and incentive zoning to provide affordable housing; and</u></p> <p>d. <u>providing access to housing types that serve a range of household sizes, types, and incomes, including 2+ bedroom homes for families with children and/or adult roommates and accessory dwelling units, efficiency studios, and/or congregate residences for single adults.</u></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Housing types to allow development that is compatible in scale with existing housing</u> • <u>Revise parking regulations to prioritize housing and public space for people over space to park cars</u> • <u>Allow the conversion of existing houses into multiple units</u> • <u>Allow additional units on corner lots, lots along alleys and arterials, and lots on zone edges</u> • <u>Incentivize the retention of existing houses by making development standards more flexible when additional units are added</u> • <u>Provide technical and design resources for landowners and communities to redevelop and maintain ownership.</u> • <u>Reduce or remove minimum lot size requirements</u> • <u>Create incentives for building more than one unit on larger than average lots</u> • <u>Limit the size of new single-unit structures, especially on larger than average lots</u> • <u>Retain and increase family-sized and family-friendly housing</u> • <u>Remove the occupancy limit for unrelated persons in single-family zones, if applicable</u> |
| <p>H-19 <u>Lower barriers to and promote access to affordable homeownership for extremely low-, very low-, and low--income, households.</u></p> <p><u>Emphasize:</u></p> <p>a. <u>supporting long-term affordable homeownership opportunities for households at or below 80 percent AMI (which may require up-front initial public subsidy and policies that support diverse housing types); and</u></p> <p>b. <u>remedying historical inequities in and expanding access to homeownership</u></p> | <p><u>Suggested strategies to increase access to affordable homeownership for lower-income households include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Support alternative homeownership models that lower barriers to ownership and provide long-term affordability, such as community land trusts, and limited or shared equity co-ops</u> • <u>Encourage programs to help homeowners, particularly low-income homeowners, access financing, technical support or other tools needed to</u> |

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|---|--|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| <u>opportunities for Black, Indigenous and People of Color communities.</u> | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>participate in and benefit from infill development opportunities</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Increase educational efforts to ensure maximum use of property tax relief programs to help sustain homeownership for low-income individuals</u> • <u>Expand targeted foreclosure prevention</u> • <u>Preserve existing manufactured housing communities through use-specific zoning or transfer of development rights</u> |
| <p>H-20 <u>Adopt policies and strategies that promote equitable development and mitigate displacement, with consideration given to the preservation of historical and cultural communities as well as investments in low-, very low-, extremely low-, and moderate-income housing production and preservation; dedicated funds for land acquisition; manufactured housing community preservation, inclusionary zoning; community planning requirements; tenant protections; public land disposition policies; and land that may be used for affordable housing. Mitigate displacement that may result from planning efforts, large-scale private investments, and market pressure. Implement anti-displacement measures prior to or concurrent with development capacity increases and public capital investments.</u></p> | <p><u>Suggested equitable development and anti-displacement strategies include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Consider and plan for socioeconomic diversity and cultural stability</u> • <u>Encourage homeownership opportunities for low-income households</u> • <u>Acquire and preserve manufactured housing communities to prevent displacement</u> • <u>Acquire land for affordable housing ahead of planned infrastructure investments or other investments that may increase land and housing costs</u> • <u>Implement a community preference policy that allows housing developments to prioritize certain applicants when leasing or selling units in communities at high risk of displacement.</u> • <u>Implement tenant protections that increase stability such as:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <u>Notice of rent increase</u> ○ <u>Right to live with family</u> ○ <u>Just cause eviction for tenants on termed leases</u> ○ <u>Tenant relocation assistance</u> • <u>Establish programs to invest in underrepresented communities to promote community-driven development and/ or prevent displacement</u> |
| <p>H-21 <u>Implement, promote and enforce fair housing policies and practices so that every person in the county has equitable access and</u></p> | <p><u>Suggested fair housing policies and practices include:</u></p> |

Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals

| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
|---|---|
| <p><u>opportunity to thrive in their communities of choice, regardless of their race, gender identity, sexual identity, ability, use of a service animal, age, immigration status, national origin, familial status, religion, source of income, military status, or membership in any other relevant category of protected people.</u></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Invest in programs that provide fair housing education for both renters and landlords, enforcement, and testing</u> • <u>Engage underrepresented communities on an ongoing basis to better understand Remove barriers to housing and increase access to opportunity</u> • <u>Provide more housing for vulnerable populations</u> • <u>Provide more housing choices for people with large families</u> • <u>Support efforts to increase housing stability.</u> • <u>Preserve and increase affordable housing in communities at high risk of displacement</u> • <u>Review and update zoning to increase housing options and supply in urban areas</u> • <u>Work with communities to guide investments in historically underserved communities.</u> • <u>Report annually on fair housing goals and progress</u> |
| <p>H-22 <u>Adopt and implement policies that protect housing stability for renter households; expand protections and supports for low-income renters and renters with disabilities.</u></p> | <p><u>Tenant protection policies to consider include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Just cause eviction for tenants with termed leases</u> • <u>Increase time periods for notice of rent increases</u> • <u>Prohibit discrimination in housing against tenants and potential tenants with arrest records, conviction records, and criminal history</u> • <u>Tenant relocation assistance</u> • <u>Increase access to legal services</u> • <u>Rental inspection programs</u> <p><u>Supports for landlords that promote tenant stability include:</u></p> |

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|---|---|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Establish a fund that landlords can access to make repairs so costs are not passed on to low-income renters</u> • <u>Increase education for tenants and property owners regarding their respective rights and responsibilities</u> <p><u>Supports for low-income renters and people with disabilities to consider include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Shallow and deep rent subsidies</u> • <u>Emergency rental assistance</u> • <u>Services to address barriers to housing, including tenant screening reports and civic legal aid</u> • <u>Increased funding for services that help people with disabilities stay in their homes and/or age in place</u> |
| <p>H-23 <u>Adopt and implement programs and policies that ensure healthy and safe homes.</u></p> | <p><u>Strategies to improve the quality and safety of housing include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Establish and promote healthy housing standards</u> • <u>Provide home repair assistance for households earning at or below 80 percent AMI</u> • <u>Implement proactive rental inspection programs</u> • <u>Implement just cause eviction to protect tenants from landlords retaliating if they request basic maintenance and repairs to maintain a healthy and safe living environment</u> • <u>Partner with Aging & Disability organizations to integrate accessibility services</u> <p><u>See the King County Board of Health Guideline and Recommendation on Healthy Housing for additional guidance.¹⁰</u></p> |

¹⁰ See link: <https://kingcounty.gov/depts/health/board-of-health/~media/depts/health/board-of-health/documents/guidelines/guideline-recommendation-18-01-attachment-A.ashx>

| Table H-4 Suggested Strategies for Achieving Policy Goals | |
|--|---|
| Policy | Suggested Strategies |
| <p>H-24 <u>Plan for residential neighborhoods that protect and promote the health and well-being of residents by supporting equitable access to parks and open space, safe pedestrian and bicycle routes, clean air, soil and water, fresh and healthy foods, high-quality education from early learning through K-12, affordable and high-quality transit options and living wage jobs and by avoiding or mitigating exposure to environmental hazards and pollutants.</u></p> | <p><u>When planning for residential neighborhoods that protect and promote health and well-being of residents, suggested strategies include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Plan for housing in conjunction with other infrastructure investments to support equitable access to opportunity for households with a range of incomes and ensure the siting of homes is not in close to environmental hazards and pollutants</u> • <u>Analyze disparities in access to amenities and invest in affordable housing in areas with high access to these amenities while providing services and investment in areas where low-income people live</u> |

Policies H-25 and H-26: Measure Results and Provide Accountability

Success at meeting a community’s need for housing can only be determined by measuring results and evaluating changes to housing supply and need. Cities and the County will collaborate to monitor basic information annually, as they may already do for permits and development activity. Annual tracking of information such as new policies, new units, and zoning changes will make periodic assessments easier and more efficient. A limited amount of annual monitoring will also aid in providing timely information to decision makers

The purpose of “measuring results and providing accountability” is to motivate and enhance learning, collaboration, and progress. While some CPPs clearly lend themselves to quantitative measures and straightforward evaluation, some do not. This is often true when factors like the result of engagement with disproportionately impacted community members significantly shape implementation or where quantitative data is lacking. In these cases, jurisdictions have the liberty to make any reasonable interpretation of the policy and report as completely and honestly as possible how well the policy has been met.

Policy H-25 requires cities and the County to collaborate in this monitoring to ensure continual review of the effectiveness of local strategies at meeting the countywide need. The information will be collected by King County and reported annually in a public-facing, interactive regional affordable housing dashboard.

Policy H-27: Adjust Strategies to Meet Housing Needs

The data collected annually provides an opportunity for cities and the County to adapt to changing conditions and new information when monitoring finds that the adopted strategies

are insufficient for meeting the countywide need or result in the perpetuation of the inequitable distribution of affordable housing. Adaptation strategies can occur before the next comprehensive planning cycle during annual comprehensive plan updates, updates to the land use map, and/or a jurisdiction’s urban growth strategy (buildable lands) reporting process. The King County Affordable Housing Committee can serve as a venue for discussing regional progress and challenges jurisdictions face. The results of these conversations and recommended actions to meet countywide need more effectively can be shared with the Growth Management Planning Council.

Appendix 5: King County School Siting Task Force Report

On March 31, 2012 the School Siting Task Force issued the following report and recommendations related to 18 undeveloped school sites in King County, and future school siting. Countywide Planning Policies ~~DP-50, PF-12, PF-18 and PF-19~~ DP-51, PF-13, PF-19, and PF-20 contain references to this report, and in particular the Site Specific Solutions table found on pages 15-19 of the School Siting Task Force Report.

The complete report and associated documents can be found on the Countywide Planning Policies website at:

- <https://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/executive/performance-strategy-budget/regional-planning/CPPs.aspx>

Appendix 6: King County Centers Designation Framework

| | Metro Growth Centers | Urban Growth Centers | Countywide Growth Centers | Industrial Employment Centers | Industrial Growth Centers | Countywide Industrial Centers |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| <u>1. Purpose of Center</u> | Regional Metro Growth Centers have a primary regional role. They have dense existing jobs and housing, high quality transit service, and are planning for significant, equitable growth and opportunity. They serve as major transit hubs for the region and provide regional services and serve as major civic and cultural centers. | Regional Urban Growth Centers play an important regional role, with dense existing jobs and housing, high-quality transit service, and planning for significant, equitable growth and opportunity. These centers may represent areas where major investments – such as high capacity transit –offer new opportunities for growth. | Countywide growth centers ¹³ serve important roles as places for equitably concentrating jobs, housing, shopping, and recreational opportunities. These are often smaller downtowns, high capacity transit station areas, or neighborhood centers that are linked by transit, provide a mix of housing and services, and serve as focal points for local and county investment. | Regional Industrial Employment Centers are highly active industrial areas with significant existing jobs, core industrial activity, evidence of long-term demand, and regional role. They have a legacy of industrial employment and represent important long-term industrial areas, such as deep-water ports and major manufacturing and can be accessed by transit. Designation is to, at a minimum, preserve existing industrial jobs and land use and to continue to equitably grow industrial employment and opportunity in these centers where possible. | Regional Industrial Growth Centers are clusters of industrial lands that have significant value to the region and potential for future equitable job growth. These large areas of industrial land serve the region with international employers, industrial infrastructure, concentrations of industrial jobs, evidence of long-term potential, and can be accessed by transit. Designation will continue growth of industrial employment and preserve the region’s industrial land base for long-term growth and retention. | Countywide industrial centers serve as important local industrial areas. These areas support equitable access to living wage jobs and serve a key role in the county’s manufacturing/industrial economy. |
| <u>2. Distribution of Centers</u> | Centers are designated to achieve the countywide land use vision and are based on meeting the expectations of the framework. No arbitrary limit on the number of centers will be established. | Same | Same | Same | Same | Same |
| PART 1. DESIGNATION PROCESS AND SCHEDULE | | | | | | |
| A. Designation Process | | | | | | |
| <u>1. jurisdiction ordinance, motion, or resolution authorizing submittal of application</u> | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| <u>2. Fill out Form</u> | Yes | Yes | KC to have an application form and process. | Yes | Yes | KC to have an application form and process. |
| <u>3. Submit for eligibility review. Staff review and report</u> | Yes | Yes | IJT staff to review and present to GMPC. | Yes | Yes | IJT staff to review and present to GMPC. |

¹³ King County does not yet have designated countywide centers, although many jurisdictions have local centers that may be equivalent. Local centers are eligible for regional and countywide funding, and this funding is distributed based on criteria and formula.

| | Metro Growth Centers | Urban Growth Centers | Countywide Growth Centers | Industrial Employment Centers | Industrial Growth Centers | Countywide Industrial Centers |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 4. GMPC recommendation to PSRC | Yes | Yes | KC to have an application form and process. | Yes | Yes | KC to have an application form and process. |
| B. Schedule | | | | | | |
| 1. Applications limited to major updates. Call for new application approx. every 5 years. | Yes | Yes | Yes. KC to have a 5 year cycle or consider following PSRC major plan updates. | Yes | Yes | Yes. KC to have a 5 year cycle or consider following PSRC major plan updates. |
| C. Redesignation | | | | | | |
| 1. Follows PSRC re-designation process | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| PART 2: CENTER ELIGIBILITY | | | | | | |
| A. Local and Countywide Commitment | | | | | | |
| 1. center identified in Comprehensive Plan | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 2. demonstrate center is local priority for growth and investments | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes. And, commitment to protecting and preserving industrial uses, strategies, and incentives to encourage industrial uses in the center, and established partnerships with relevant parties to ensure success of manufacturing/industrial center. | Yes. And area has important county role and concentration of industrial land or jobs with evidence of long-term demand. |
| B. Planning | | | | | | |
| 1. completed center plan meeting Plan Review Manual specifications ¹⁴ | Yes | Yes | Yes ¹⁵ | Yes | Yes. And, in consultation with public ports and other affected government entities. | Yes ¹⁰ |
| 2. environmental review shows area appropriate for density | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 3. assessment of housing need and cultural assets, including displacement of residents and businesses | Yes | Yes | Yes, as part of subarea plan or in dedicated Comprehensive Plan chapter | Not applicable | Not applicable | Not applicable |

¹⁴ The PSRC *Center Plan Checklist* defines key concepts and provisions jurisdictions should use in planning for the designated centers. This includes the following: establishing a vision, considering natural and built environment topics, establishing geographic boundaries and growth targets, planning for a mix of land uses, addressing design standards, planning for a variety of housing types including affordable housing in growth centers, addressing economic development, and providing for public services and facilities, including multimodal transportation, all as appropriate and tailored to the center type and function.

¹⁵ For Countywide Centers the topics in the *Center Plan Checklist* should be addressed, except that growth targets are not required, and they can be met through inclusion of a dedicated chapter in the Comprehensive Plan that specifies how each required topic is addressed for each countywide center, rather than in stand-alone subarea plans.

| | Metro Growth Centers | Urban Growth Centers | Countywide Growth Centers | Industrial Employment Centers | Industrial Growth Centers | Countywide Industrial Centers |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4. documentation of tools to provide range of affordable and fair housing | Yes | Yes | Yes, as part of subarea plan or in dedicated Comprehensive Plan chapter | Not applicable | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| 5. documentation of community engagement, including with priority populations ¹⁶ | Yes | Yes | Yes, as part of subarea plan or in dedicated Comprehensive Plan chapter | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| C. Jurisdiction and Location | | | | | | |
| 1. new Centers should be in cities | Yes | Yes | Cities or Unincorporated Urban ¹⁷ | Yes | Yes | Cities or Unincorporated Urban ¹² |
| 2. if unincorporated area: a. it has link light rail and is affiliated for annexation | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Encouraged | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Encouraged |
| b. joint planning is occurring | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Encouraged | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Encouraged |
| c. plans for annexation or incorporation are required | Not applicable (center type does not exist in unincorporated area). | Not applicable (center type does not exist in unincorporated area). | Encouraged | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Not allowed in unincorporated urban area | Encouraged |
| D. Existing Conditions | | | | | | |
| 1. infrastructure and utilities can support growth | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes. Must include presence of irreplaceable industrial infrastructure such as working maritime port facilities, air and rail freight facilities. | Yes. Access to relevant transportation infrastructure including freight. | Yes |
| 2. center has mix of housing and employment | Yes | Yes | Yes | Not applicable | The center has an economic impact. | Not applicable. |
| E. Boundaries | | | | | | |
| 1. justification for center boundaries | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 2. boundary generally round or square | Yes | Yes | Compact, walkable size | Not applicable | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| F. Transportation | | | | | | |
| 1. center has bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and amenities | Yes | Yes | Yes. Supports multi-modal transportation, including pedestrian infrastructure and amenities, and bicycle infrastructure and amenities. | Defined transportation demand management strategies in place. | Defined transportation demand management strategies in place. | Defined transportation demand management strategies in place |

¹⁶ King County's "Fair and Just" Ordinance 16948, as amended, identifies four demographic groups, including: low-income, limited English proficiency, people of color, and immigrant populations.

¹⁷ For multi-jurisdiction centers, please describe the manner and structure (e.g. interlocal agreement, memorandum of understanding) with which the jurisdictions will plan together over the long-term.

| | Metro Growth Centers | Urban Growth Centers | Countywide Growth Centers | Industrial Employment Centers | Industrial Growth Centers | Countywide Industrial Centers |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2. center has street pattern supporting walkability | Yes | Yes | Yes. Supports multi-modal transportation, including street pattern that supports walkability. | Not applicable | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| 3. freight access | Yes | To be addressed in subarea plan | To be addressed in subarea plan | Access to relevant transportation infrastructure including freight. | Same | To be addressed in subarea plan |
| PART 3: CENTER CRITERIA | | | | | | |
| A. Purpose | | | | | | |
| 1. Compatibility with VISION centers concept, Regional Growth Strategy and Multicounty Planning Policies | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| B. Activity level/Zoning ¹⁸ | | | | | | |
| 1. existing activity ¹⁹ | 60 activity unit density | 30 activity unit density (AUs refer to combined jobs and population) | 18 activity unit density | 10,000 jobs | 4,000 jobs | 1,000 existing jobs and/or 500 acres of industrial land |
| 2. planned activity | Above 120 activity unit density | 60 activity unit density | 30 activity unit density | 20,000 jobs | 10,000 jobs | 4,000 jobs |
| 3. sufficient zoned capacity | Yes. Should be higher than target and supports a compact, complete, and mature urban form. | Yes. Should be higher than target. | Should have capacity and be planning for additional growth | Yes. Should be higher than target. | Yes. Should be higher than target. | Should have capacity and be planning for additional growth. |
| 4. planning mix of housing types and employment types | Planning for at least 15% residential and 15% employment activity | Planning for at least 15% residential and 15% employment activity | Planning for at least 20% residential and 20% employment, unless unique circumstances make these percentages not possible to achieve. | At least 50% of the employment must be industrial employment. Strategies to retain industrial uses are in place. | At least 50% of the employment must be industrial employment. Strategies to retain industrial uses are in place. | At least 50% of the employment must be industrial employment. Strategies to retain industrial uses are in place. |
| C. Geographic Size | | | | | | |
| 1. minimum size | 320 acres | 200 acres | 160 | No set threshold; size based on justification for the boundary. | 2000 acres | 1,000 existing jobs and/or 500 acres of industrial land |
| 2. maximum size | 640 acres (larger if internal HCT) | 640 acres (larger if internal HCT) | 500 acres | No set threshold; size based on justification for the boundary. | No set threshold; size based on justification for the boundary. | No set threshold; size based on justification for the boundary. |
| D. Transit | | | | | | |

¹⁸ PSRC's 2015 guidance on *Transit Supportive Densities and Land Uses* cites an optimal level of 56-116 activity units per acre to support light rail, dependent on transit costs per mile. The guidance indicates an optimal threshold of at least 17 activity units per acre to support bus rapid transit. Note: the existing threshold in the CPPs is roughly equivalent to 85 AUs existing activity for King County Urban Centers.

¹⁹ For existing centers, not meeting existing activity unit thresholds is not grounds for de-designation or re-designation by the Growth Management Planning Council.

| | Metro Growth Centers | Urban Growth Centers | Countywide Growth Centers | Industrial Employment Centers | Industrial Growth Centers | Countywide Industrial Centers |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. existing or planned transit service levels | Major transit hub, has high quality/high capacity existing or planned service including existing or planned light rail, commuter rail, ferry, or other high capacity transit with similar frequent service as light rail. (18 hours, 15-minute headways) | Fixed route bus, regional bus, Bus Rapid Transit or frequent all-day bus service (16 hours, 15 minute headways). High capacity transit may substitute for fixed route bus. | Yes, has frequent, all-day, fixed-route bus service (16 hours, 15-minute headways). | Must have existing or planned frequent, local, express, or flexible transit service. Should have documented strategies to reduce commute impacts through transportation demand management that are consistent with the Regional TDM Action Plan. | Same. | Should have local fixed-route or flexible transit service. |
| 2. transit supportive infrastructure | Provides transit priority (bus lanes, queue jumps, signal priority, etc.) within the right-of-way to maintain speed and reliability of transit service. Provides infrastructure (i.e. pedestrian and bicycle) that improves rider access to transit service and increases amenities to make transit an inviting option. | Provides transit priority (bus lanes, queue jumps, signal priority, etc.) within the right-of-way to maintain speed and reliability of transit service. Provides infrastructure (i.e. pedestrian and bicycle) that improves rider access to transit service and increases amenities to make transit an inviting option. | Supports connection/transfers between routes and other modes. Provides infrastructure (i.e. pedestrian and bicycle) that improves rider access to transit service and increases amenities to make transit an inviting option. | Provides transit priority (bus lanes, queue jumps, signal priority, etc.) within the right-of-way to maintain speed and reliability of transit service. Provides infrastructure (i.e. pedestrian and bicycle) that improves rider access to transit service and increases amenities to make transit an inviting option. | Supports connection/transfers between routes and other modes, and increases amenities to make transit an inviting option. | Supports connection/transfers between routes and other modes, and increases amenities to make transit an inviting option. |
| E. Market Potential | | | | | | |
| 1. Evidence of future market potential to support target and planned densities | Yes, with Market Study required | Yes, with Market Study required | Market Study encouraged | Yes, with Market Study required | Yes, with Market Study required | Market Study encouraged |
| 2. Market data will inform adoption of land use, housing, economic development, and investment strategies, including equitable development strategies. ²⁰ | Required within Market Study | Required within Market Study | Encouraged within Market Study | Required within Market Study, tailored for industrial employment. | Required within Market Study, tailored for industrial employment. | Encouraged within Market Study, tailored for industrial employment. |
| F. Role | | | | | | |
| 1. Evidence of regional or countywide role by serving as important destination | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 2. Planning for long-term, significant, and equitable growth | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| G. Zoning | | | | | | |

²⁰ For residential development, strategies and tools could include mandatory inclusionary housing, multifamily tax exemption, or others. For commercial and industrial development, strategies and tools could include priority hire policies, incentives for affordable commercial space, or others.

| | <u>Metro Growth Centers</u> | <u>Urban Growth Centers</u> | <u>Countywide Growth Centers</u> | <u>Industrial Employment Centers</u> | <u>Industrial Growth Centers</u> | <u>Countywide Industrial Centers</u> |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| <u>1. specific zones required</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>No</u> | At least 75% land area zoned for core industrial uses. This includes manufacturing, transportation, warehousing and freight terminals. | <u>Same</u> | At least 75% of land area zoned for core industrial uses. |
| <u>2. specific zones prohibited</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>No</u> | Commercial uses within core industrial zones shall be strictly limited. | <u>Same</u> | <u>Same</u> |

Appendix 7: CPP Historical Framework

(moved from General Chapter)

The year 1991 was one of tremendous change for the management of growth in King County and this environment of change gave rise to the distinctive character of the 1992 Countywide Planning Policies. While the Countywide Planning Policies have been amended periodically to address specific issues or revisions required by the Growth Management Act, the first thorough update of the Countywide Planning Policies was completed in 2012 to ensure that the Countywide Planning Policies are consistent with VISION 2040, the Growth Management Act and changes that had occurred in the previous twenty years within King County. In addition for the 2012 update, the Growth Management Planning Council directed that the revised policies include countywide direction on three new policy areas: climate change, healthy communities and social equity. Understanding the history of the 1992 policies is important ~~in order~~ to establish the context for the revised policies.

In 1991 five major conditions gave rise to the first Countywide Planning Policies and the process used in their development and adoption:

- 1. In 1985, the King County Council adopted a Comprehensive Plan that for the first time established a clear boundary between Urban and Rural Areas and set forth standards to delineate a clear development character for each.**
- 2. In 1991, the adoption of the Growth Management Act transformed the way that local jurisdictions looked at land use planning as well as how they interacted with neighboring jurisdictions.**

A fundamental requirement of the Growth Management Act was coordination between a shared countywide vision on how growth would be planned for and accommodated and how this would be implemented by local jurisdictions. In 1991, the Growth Management Act was amended to include the requirement that Countywide Planning Policies be adopted to describe this vision and how these relationships would be created. These provisions gave rise to the creation of the Growth Management Planning Council – an advisory group of elected officials from jurisdictions throughout the county charged with overseeing the preparation of the Countywide Planning Policies. Since the Growth Management Act was new and many jurisdictions had not created a comprehensive plan before, the Countywide Planning Policies became a guide for jurisdictions to follow in complying with the Growth

Management Act in areas as diverse as critical area regulation to local growth targets.

- 3. In 1991, the Puget Sound Council of Governments was dissolved and replaced with the Puget Sound Regional Council that initially had significantly reduced responsibilities for regional land use planning and coordination.**

Without an effective regional body for land use planning, it was necessary for the Puget Sound counties to identify their own process and organization for developing the Countywide Planning Policies. In the case of King County, this was the Growth Management Planning Council. Subsequently, as its responsibilities were expanded over time, the Puget Sound Regional Council developed VISION 2040, the multi-county vision and planning policies that set the structure for these revised Countywide Planning Policies.

- 4. By 1991, the Suburban Cities Association had changed from a loose coalition of cities outside of Seattle to a formal organization with the ability to represent constituent jurisdictions in regional forums.**

- 5. Prior to the development of the Countywide Planning Policies, King County and METRO attempted and failed to win electoral support for merger.**

This defeat left jurisdictions with concerns about the relationship between city and county governments, and further confusion about the roles of governments in the Urban Growth Area.

Because of these conditions and the environment they fostered, jurisdictions in King County decided to go further than just meeting the specific statutory requirements for such policies. The 1992 King County Countywide Planning Policies provided direction for many issues related to growth management and established a policy structure for subsequent issue resolution.

Since their adoption, many of the initial Countywide Planning Policies have been codified into local regulations or carried out in regional or statewide arenas and no longer need to be included in them. Through amendments to the King County Charter and interlocal agreements, the relationship between county and city governments has been clearly defined and annexations and incorporations have brought most of the urban unincorporated urban area into the cities.

Other key actions that were required by the 1992 Countywide Planning Policies along with their current status are described below:

- Complete a fiscal and environmental review of the 1992 Countywide Planning Policies – completed and adopted in 1994;

- Establish housing and employment targets for each jurisdiction – completed in 1994 and periodically updated pursuant to the Countywide Planning Policies;
- Adopt local comprehensive plans pursuant to the Growth Management Act and Countywide Planning Policies – each jurisdiction within King County has an adopted plan that is periodically updated;
- Develop land use capacity and urban density evaluation program – developed and then superseded by the King County Buildable Lands Program as required by the Growth Management Act;
- Develop a growth management monitoring program – King County Benchmarks program established in 1994 and annually updated as described in policy G-2; and
- Evaluate the need to change the Urban Growth Boundary and work to maintain a permanent Rural Area – established in 1994 and periodically reviewed as described in the Development Patterns chapter.

Glossary

Affordable Housing: Housing that is affordable at 30 percent or less of a household’s monthly income. This is a general term that may include housing affordable to a wide range of income levels and includes income-restricted and non-income units.

Affordable Housing Committee: A committee of the King County Growth Management Planning Council chartered to recommend actions and assess regional progress to advance affordable housing solutions and function as a point of coordination and accountability for affordable housing efforts across King County.

Agricultural Production District: A requirement of the Growth Management Act for cities and counties to designate, where appropriate, agricultural lands that are not characterized by urban growth, have soils suitable for agriculture, and that have long-term significance for commercial farming. The King County Comprehensive Plan designates Agricultural Production Districts where the principal land use should be agriculture.

Area Median Income: ~~The annual household income for the Seattle-Bellevue, WA Metro Area as published on approximately an annual basis by the~~ The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development calculates median income for each metropolitan region. These are used to determine income limits for government affordable housing programs.

Buildable Lands Program: A requirement of the Growth Management Act for certain counties in western Washington to report on a regular basis the amount of residential and commercial development that has occurred, the densities of that development, and an estimate of each jurisdiction’s ability to accommodate its growth target based on the amount of development that existing zoning would allow.

Clean renewable energy: Includes the production of electricity from wind, solar and geothermal and does not include production of energy created by combustion of fuel that causes greenhouse gas emissions or produces hazardous waste.

Climate Change: The variation in the earth’s global climate over time. It describes changes in the variability or average state of the atmosphere. Climate change may result from natural factors or processes (such as change in ocean circulation) or from human activities that change the atmosphere’s composition (such as burning fossil fuels or deforestation.)

Climate Change Adaptation refers to actions taken to adapt to unavoidable impacts as a result of climate change.

Climate Change Mitigation refers to actions taken to reduce the future effects of climate change.

Comprehensive Plan: A plan prepared by a local government following the requirements of the Washington Growth Management Act, containing policies to guide local actions regarding land use, transportation, housing, utilities, capital facilities, and economic development in ways that will accommodate at least the adopted 20-year targets for housing and employment growth.

Cost Burden: When a household spends more than 30 percent of their gross monthly income on housing costs.

Countywide Need: Also called the countywide affordable housing need, this is the number of additional, affordable homes needed in King County by 2044 so that no household earning at or below 80 percent of area median income is housing cost burdened. The countywide need for housing is estimated at 263,000 affordable homes affordable at or below 80 percent area median income built or preserved by 2044 as shown in Table H-1.

Displacement: The involuntary relocation of current residents or businesses from their current residence. This is a different phenomenon than when property owners voluntarily sell their interests to capture an increase in value. Physical displacement is the result of eviction, acquisition, rehabilitation, or demolition of property, or the expiration of covenants on rent- or income-restricted housing. Economic displacement occurs when residents and businesses can no longer afford escalating housing costs. Cultural displacement occurs when people choose to move because their neighbors and culturally related businesses have left the area.

Environmental Justice: The fair distribution of costs and benefits, based on a consideration for social equity. Environmental justice is concerned with the right of all people to enjoy a safe, clean, and healthy environment, and with fairness across income, ethnic, and racial groups in the siting and operation of infrastructure, facilities, or other large land uses.

Equitable Development: Public and private investments, programs, and policies in neighborhoods, characterized by high levels of chronic and recent displacement; a history of racially driven disinvestment; and significant populations of marginalized communities. This work is conducted in partnership with community stakeholders to meet the needs of marginalized people and reduce disparities, taking into account history and current conditions, so that quality of life outcomes such as access to quality education, living wage employment, healthy environment, affordable housing and transportation, are equitably distributed for the people currently living and working there, as well as for new people moving in.

Extremely Low-Income Households: Households earning 30 percent of the area median income or less for their household size.

Fossil fuels: Petroleum and petroleum products, coal, and natural gas such as methane, propane, and butane, derived from prehistoric organic matter and used to generate energy.

Fossil fuels do not include:

- A. Petrochemicals that are used primarily for non-fuel products, such as asphalt, plastics, lubricants, fertilizer, roofing, and paints;
- B. Fuel additives, such as denatured ethanol and similar fuel additives, or renewable fuels, such as biodiesel or renewable diesel with less than five percent fossil fuel content; or
- C. Methane generated from the waste management process, such as wastewater treatment, anaerobic digesters, landfill waste management, livestock manure and composting processes.

Fossil fuel facility: A commercial facility used primarily to receive, store, refine, process, transfer, wholesale trade or transport fossil fuels, such as, but not limited to, bulk terminals, bulk storage facilities, bulk refining and bulk handling facilities. Fossil fuel facilities do not include: individual storage facilities of up to thirty thousand gallons and total cumulative facilities per site of sixty thousand gallons for the purposes of retail or direct-to-consumer sales, facilities or activities for local consumption; noncommercial facilities, such as storage for educational, scientific or governmental use; or uses preempted by federal rule or law.

Forest Production District. A requirement of the Growth Management Act for cities and counties to designate, where appropriate, forest lands that are not characterized by urban growth and that have long-term significance for the commercial production of timber. The King County Comprehensive Plan designates Forest Production Districts where the primary use should be commercial forestry.

Frequent Transit: Transit service that is “show-up and go,” that comes frequently enough that passengers do not require a schedule.

Frontline communities: Those communities that are disproportionately impacted by climate change due to existing and historical racial, social, environmental, and economic inequities, and who have limited resources and/or capacity to adapt. These populations often experience the earliest and most acute impacts of climate change, but whose experiences afford unique strengths and insights into climate resilience strategies and practices. Frontline communities include Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) communities, immigrants and refugees, people living with low incomes, communities experiencing disproportionate pollution exposure, women and gender non-conforming people, LGBTQIA+ (lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, intersex, asexual, + other) people, people who live and/or work outside, those with existing health issues, people with limited English skills, and other climate vulnerable groups.

Growth Management Act: State law (RCW 36.70A) that requires local governments to prepare comprehensive plans (including land use, transportation, housing, capital facilities and utilities) to accommodate 20 years of expected growth. Other provisions of the Growth Management Act require developing and adopting countywide planning policies to guide local comprehensive planning in a coordinated and consistent manner.

Growth Targets: The number of residents, housing, or jobs that a jurisdiction is expected to use as the land use assumption in its comprehensive plan. Growth targets are set by countywide planning groups for counties and cities to meet the Growth Management Act requirement to allocate urban growth that is projected for the succeeding twenty-year period (RCW 36.70A.110). Countywide growth targets are articulated in the Development Patterns chapter.

Greenhouse Gas: Components of the atmosphere that contribute to global warming, including carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and fluorinated gases. Human activities have added to the levels of most of these naturally occurring gases.

Healthy Housing: Housing that protects all residents from exposure to harmful substances and environments, reduces the risk of injury, provides opportunities for safe and convenient daily physical activity, and assures access to healthy food and social connectivity.

~~**High capacity Transit:** Various types of transit systems, such as light rail and bus rapid transit, operating on fixed guideway or dedicated right-of-way designed to carry a large number of riders at higher speeds.~~

High Capacity Transit: Transit modes that operate principally on exclusive rights-of-way which provides a substantially higher level of passenger capacity, speed, and service frequency than traditional public transportation systems operating principally in general purpose roadways, including light rail, streetcar, commuter rail, ferry terminals, and bus rapid transit stations.

High-Density Housing: Multifamily housing of a certain density that is considered to be more intensive than moderate-density housing. This designation includes housing types of 20 or more units.

Housing Affordability: Refers to the balance (or imbalance) between incomes and housing costs within a community or region. A common measurement compares the number of households in certain income categories to the number of units in the market that are affordable at 30 percent of gross income.

Industry Clusters: Specific economic segments and industry clusters that are the focus of the Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Economic Strategy. As of June 2011, the identified

regional industry clusters included: aerospace, clean technology, information technology, life sciences, logistics and international trade, military, and tourism.

Incentive Zoning: Incentive zoning is a broad regulatory framework for encouraging and stimulating development that provides a desired public benefit as established in adopted planning goals. An incentive zoning system is implemented on top of an existing base of development regulations and works by offering developers regulatory allowances in exchange for public benefits.

Income-Restricted Affordable Housing Units: Housing units that provide lower-income people with an affordable place to live. To be eligible to live in one of these units, a prospective tenant's gross monthly income must be below a certain income threshold. The unit is also limited in price so as to be affordable to households at certain income levels.

Inclusionary Zoning: Inclusionary zoning stipulates that new residential development in certain zones includes some proportion or number of affordable housing units or meets some type of alternative compliance. Inclusionary zoning taps into economic gains from rising real estate values to create affordable housing for lower-income households. This mandatory approach can create more affordable housing in neighborhoods with access to transportation and quality jobs.

Jobs-Housing Balance: A planning concept which advocates that housing and employment be located closer together, with an emphasis on matching housing options with nearby jobs, so workers have shorter commutes or can eliminate vehicle trips. Improving balance means adding more housing to job-rich areas and more jobs to housing-rich areas. It also means ensuring a variety of housing choices available to a people earning variety of incomes in proximity to job centers to provide opportunities for residents to live close to where they work regardless of their income.

King County Open Space System: A regional system of *county-owned* parks, trails, natural areas, working agricultural and forest resource lands, and flood hazard management lands.

Low-Income Households: Households earning between ~~31~~ 51 percent and ~~50~~ 80 percent of the Area Median Income for their household size.

Manufacturing/ Industrial Centers: Designated locations within King County cities meeting criteria detailed in ~~policies DP 35-37~~ the King County Centers Designation Framework.

Mixed-Use Development: A building or buildings constructed as a single project which contains more than one use, typically including housing plus retail and/or office uses.

Moderate-Density Housing: Housing of a certain density that bridges a gap between single-family housing and more intense multifamily and commercial areas and provides opportunities for housing types that are inclusive to people of different ages, life stages, and incomes. Moderate-density housing includes but is not limited to duplexes, townhomes, and low-rise apartments and range in unit count from 1-unit attached up to 19 units.

Moderate-Income Households: Households earning between ~~51~~ 81 percent and ~~80~~ 120 percent of the Area Median Income for their household size.

Monitoring: An organized process for gathering and assessing information related to achieving established goals and policies. The process uses performance indicators to show progress toward, movement away from, or static state in policy implementation or policy achievement. Implementation monitoring tracks whether agreed-upon actions are taking place. Performance monitoring assesses whether desired results are achieved.

Open Space: A range of green places, including natural and resource areas (such as forests), recreational areas (such as parks and trails), and other areas set aside from development (such as plazas).

Opportunity Areas: Areas with high quality schools, jobs, transit; access to parks, open space, and clean air, water, and soil; and other key determinants of social, economic, and physical well-being.

Populations Disproportionately Impacted by Housing Cost Burden: When a household spends more than 30 percent of their income on their housing, they are considered cost burdened. Black, Indigenous, and Latinx households, as well as many immigrant and refugee households, are disproportionately represented both among households earning less than 80 percent of AMI as well as among cost burdened households, in part due to the legacy of structural racism and discrimination in housing and land use policies and practices. Households earning at or below 30 percent are also more disproportionately impacted by housing cost burden than higher income households.

Potential Annexation Area: A portion of the urban unincorporated ~~urban~~ area in King County that a city has identified it will annex at some future date. See Appendix 2: ~~Interim~~ Potential Annexation Areas Map.

Purchase of Development Rights: Programs that buy and then extinguish development rights on a property to restrict development and limit uses exclusively for open space or resource-based activities such as farming and forestry. Covenants run with the land in perpetuity so that the property is protected from development regardless of ownership.

Regional Growth Strategy: The strategy defined in VISION ~~2040-2050~~ that was developed by the Puget Sound Regional Council to help guide growth in the four-county region that includes King, Kitsap, Pierce and Snohomish counties. VISION ~~2040-2050~~ directs most of the region's forecasted growth into designated Urban Areas, and concentrates growth within those areas in designated centers planned for a ~~mixes~~ mix of uses and connection by high-capacity transit

Regional Transportation Plan: A 30-year action plan, adopted by the Puget Sound Regional Council, for transportation investments in the central Puget Sound region intended to support implementation of VISION 2050.

Renewable energy: Energy created from sources that can be replenished in a short period of time. The five renewable sources used most often are biomass (such as wood and biogas), the movement of water, geothermal (heat from within the earth), wind, and solar.

Resource Lands: Designated areas within King County that have long-term significance for agricultural, forestry, or mining. See Appendix 1: Generalized Land Use Categories Map.

Rural Area: Designated area outside the Urban Growth Area that is characterized by small-scale farming and forestry and low-density residential development. See Appendix 1: Generalized Land Use Categories Map.

Rural-Cities in the Rural Area: Cities that are surrounded by Rural Area or Resource Lands. Rural-Cities in the Rural Area are part of the Urban Growth Area.

Special Needs Housing: Housing arrangements for populations with special physical or other needs. These populations include: the elderly, disabled persons, people with medical conditions, homeless individuals and families, and displaced people.

Stormwater Management: An infrastructure system that collects runoff from storms and redirects it from streets and other surfaces into facilities that store and release it – usually back into natural waterways.

Sustainable Development: Methods of accommodating new population and employment that protect the natural environment while preserving the ability to accommodate future generations.

Tenure: The legal status by which people have the right to occupy their accommodation. Common housing tenure are renting (which includes public and private rented housing) and homeownership (which includes owned outright and mortgaged).

Transfer of Development Rights: Ability to transfer allowable density, in the form of permitted building lots or structures, from one property (the “sending site”) to another (the “receiving site”) in conjunction with conservation of all or part of the sending site as open space or working farm or forest.

~~**Transportation 2040:** A 30-year action plan for transportation investments in the central Puget Sound region intended to support implementation of VISION 2040.~~

Transportation Demand Management: Various strategies and policies (e.g. incentives, regulations) designed to reduce or redistribute travel by single-occupancy vehicles in order to make more efficient use of existing facility capacity.

Transportation System: A comprehensive, integrated network of travel modes (e.g. airplanes, automobiles, bicycles, buses, feet, ferries, freighters, trains, trucks) and infrastructure (e.g. sidewalks, trails, streets, arterials, highways, waterways, railways, airports) for the movement of people and goods on a local, regional, national and global scale.

Universal Design: A system of design that helps ensure that buildings and public spaces are accessible to people with or without disabilities.

~~**Urban Centers:** Designated locations within King County cities meeting criteria detailed in Development Pattern chapter policies 31-32.~~

Urban Growth Area: The designated portion of King County that encompasses all of the cities as well as other urban land where the large majority of the county’s future residential and employment growth is intended to occur. See Appendix 1: Generalized Land Use Categories Map.

Very Low-Income Households: Households earning between 30 to 50 percent of the Area Median Income ~~or less~~ for their household size.

~~**VISION 2040 2050:** The integrated, long-range vision for managing growth and maintaining a healthy region—including the counties of King, Kitsap, Pierce and Snohomish. It contains an environmental framework a numeric Regional Growth Strategy, the Multicounty Policies, and implementation actions and measures to monitor progress.~~

Walkshed: The area around a transit center typically measured as one half-mile radius used to measure the area in which walking or biking can serve as viable way to access a transit facility.

Water Resource Inventory Area: Major watershed basins in Washington identified for water-related planning purposes.

Workforce Housing: Housing that is affordable to households with one or more workers. Creating workforce housing in a jurisdiction implies consideration of the wide range of income levels that characterize working households, from one person working at minimum wage to two or more workers earning the average county wage or above. There is a particular need for workforce housing that is reasonably close to regional and sub-regional job centers and/or easily accessible by public transportation.