

Office of the Prosecuting Attorney CRIMINAL DIVISION W554 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-9000

POST-INQUEST DECLINE MEMORANDUM

June 3, 2024

Law Enforcement Use of Force Fatality Regarding:

Mantry Norris

The King County Department of Executive Services held an inquest to determine the circumstances and causes of the death of Mantry Norris. On April 19, 2024, the jury answered interrogatories regarding the evidence and testimony presented at the inquest hearing. Subsequently, the Public Integrity Team within the King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office reviewed the interrogatories. Regarding whether the death was caused by criminal means, the jury returned a split verdict with one juror finding the death was caused by criminal means and five jurors finding the death was not caused by criminal means.

First and foremost, the Public Integrity Team wants to acknowledge that any loss of life is significant, and we hope that the inquest process was able to bring some further clarity regarding the event for the affected family and friends. It is, however, important to note that while the inquest process provides an open public forum to shed light on the circumstances and causes of a death, there are significant procedural differences between an inquest and a criminal trial.

For instance, in a criminal trial, the prosecution is prohibited from introducing or relying at all on inadmissible evidence, including an officer's compelled statement. Additionally, in a criminal trial, the jury consists of twelve individuals as opposed to six individuals in an inquest jury. In an inquest, no party has a burden to prove or disprove facts and the jury may render a split verdict. However, in a criminal trial, the prosecution must convince a unanimous jury of twelve people that each element of a criminal charge occurred beyond a reasonable doubt and the

Prosecuting Attorney
King County

Page 2

prosecution must also disprove the existence of a defense that negates an element of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt. Finally, and importantly, the inquest jury is not given a complete set of jury instructions that accurately define the available defenses a defendant would raise and need to be disproven beyond a reasonable doubt at a criminal trial.

Therefore, because the admissible evidence and testimony provided during the inquest are not materially different than the information the Public Integrity Team previously relied upon, no further action is required.